

Article Spatially Disaggregated Car Ownership Prediction using Deep Neural Networks

James Dixon ¹², Sofia Koukoura², Christian Brand¹, Malcolm Morgan³ and Keith Bell²

¹ Environmental Change Institute, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QY

- ² Institute for Energy & Environment, University of Strathclyde, 99 George Street, Glasgow G1 1RD
- ³ Institute for Transport Studies, University of Leeds, 34-40 University Road, Leeds LS2 9JT
- * Correspondence: james.dixon@ouce.ox.ac.uk
- 1 Abstract: Predicting car ownership patterns at high spatial resolution is key to understanding
- ² pathways for decarbonisation via electrification and demand reduction of the private vehicle
- ³ fleet. As the factors widely understood to influence car ownership are highly interdependent,
- 4 linearised regression models, which dominate previous work on spatially explicit car ownership
- ⁵ modelling in the UK, have shortcomings in accurately predicting the relationship. This paper
- presents predictions of spatially disaggregated car ownership and change in car ownership
- over time in Great Britain (GB) using deep neural networks (NNs) with hyperparameter tuning.
- The inputs to the models are demographic, socioeconomic and geographic datasets compiled at
- the level of Census Lower Super Output Areas (LSOAs) areas covering between 300 and 600
- households. It was found that when optimal hyperparameters are selected, these neural networks
- can predict car ownership with a mean absolute error up to 29% lower than when formulating the
 same problem as a linear regression; the results from NN regression are also shown to outperform
 - three other artificial intelligence (AI)-based methods: random forest, stochastic gradient descent
- three other artificial intelligence (Al)-based methods: random forest, stochastic gradient descent
 and support vector regression. The methods presented in this paper could enhance the capability
- of transport/energy modelling frameworks in predicting the spatial distribution of vehicle fleets,
- ¹particularly as demographics, socio-economics and the built environment such as public trans-
- ¹⁷ port availability and the provision of local amenities evolve over time. A particularly relevant
- 18 contribution of this method is that by coupling it with a technology dissipation model, it could be
- used to explore the possible effects of changing policy, behaviour and socio-economics on uptake

pathways for electric vehicles – cited as a vital technology for meeting Net Zero greenhouse gas
 emissions by 2050.

22 Keywords: artificial neural networks; car ownership; spatial modelling

23 1. Introduction

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

Surface transport is the largest contributing sector to UK greenhouse gas emissions, of which private cars make up the dominant share [1]. In their legally-binding commitment to reach Net Zero greenhouse gas emissions by 2050 [2], the UK Government must reduce surface transport emissions by 98%, from 116 MtCO₂e/year in 2019 to 2 MtCO₂e/year in 2050, according to the Climate Change Committee (CCC)'s *Further Ambition* scenario [3]. Much of this abatement will come from banning the sale of pure internal combustion engine vehicles from 2030 – with the sale of plug-in hybrids banned from 2035 [4]. Given that (as of June 2021) battery electric vehicle (EV) sales contribute just 7% of total car sales [5], the rate of increase of EV adoption over the next fourteen years will need to accelerate significantly, assuming that the current market dominance of battery EVs over other low emission vehicle technologies (e.g. hydrogen fuel cell EVs) [6] will continue.

Wider transport system decarbonisation, however, requires more than a switch from internal combustion-powered vehicles to EVs. As part of the pathways released in

Citation: Dixon, J.; Koukoura, S.; Brand, C.; Morgan, M.;, Bell, K. Spatially Disaggregated Car Ownership Prediction using Deep Neural Networks. *Future Transp.* 2021, *1*, 1–24. https://doi.org/

Received: Accepted: Published:

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Submitted to *Future Transp.* for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

their 2020 Sixth Carbon Budget recommendation, the CCC states that car miles per person 38 must decrease by 17% from 2020 to 2050 [7] for the UK to meet Net Zero. Likewise, all 30 three Net Zero-compatible pathways in National Grid ESO's 2020 Future Energy Scenarios 40 [8] show a decline in car ownership in the late-2030s to mid-2040s as private car travel is 41 curbed in favour of increased public transport and active travel – which has been shown 42 to be effective at replacing car-based trips and abating transport emissions [9]. Therefore, 43 the characterisation of the relationship between demographics, socio-economics and the 44 built environment (including public transport availability) to the spatial distribution of 45 car ownership is an urgent area of research. By establishing which of these independent variables can be influenced by transport/energy policy, future pathways for car owner-47 ship – and hence technology shifts in the vehicle fleet – can be explored as a function of the changing policy, social and behavioural landscape. Furthermore, while it has long 10 been predicted that EVs will present problems to electricity distribution networks with respect to their thermal and voltage limits [10–14], they also have the potential to interact 51 positively with the grid – by providing flexible demand to utilise renewable generation 52 when in surplus [15] and by charging bidirectionally to mitigate impacts on the network 53 [16]. However, for these benefits to be realised, involved parties need to know where the EVs are likely to be at a given point in the future. 55 While car ownership modelling is a well-established field of the academic literature 56 (section 2), efforts to model the spatial distribution of car ownership have been few. 57 Those that have attempted to do so in a UK context have used linear regression models, 58 which are identified as problematic due to significant multicollinearity between the 59 independent variables considered. To address these shortcomings, this paper presents 60 a method of predicting the spatial variation in car ownership across Great Britain (GB) 61 using an artificial neural network (NN) trained on key variables pertaining to these 62 factors. A dataset of demographic, socio-economic and built environment variables is 63 constructed from several sources (Table 1) for each of the three countries within GB 64 (England, Wales and Scotland). NNs are designed and optimised using a hyperparam-65 eter tuning approach; once trained on the datasets, the predictions of car ownership 66 are compared to those produced by an ordinary least squares (OLS) linear regression technique to highlight the benefit of this approach. Results are given for the base year 68 of 2011 and for the prediction of the change in car ownership between 2001 and 2011. This approach can be used to predict future changes in the spatial distribution of vehicle 70 ownership in GB. The spatial units of analysis in this paper are Lower Super Output Areas (LSOAs) for England & Wales, and analogous Data Zones (DZs) for Scotland – 72 areas containing, on average, 672 and 340 households respectively.

74 2. Previous Work on Car Ownership Modelling

Car ownership is a major determinant of the modal split of the distance travelled by
a population, and therefore car ownership forecasting is crucially important in transport
system modelling [17]. Factors that influence car ownership are widely accepted to
relate to household structure, socio-economic characteristics and the built environment
(including the so-called 'six Ds' of diversity, density, design, destination accessibility,
distance to transit, and demand management) [18–20].

Car ownership models can be broadly categorised into i) longitudinal and ii) cross-81 sectional. In longitudinal models, the evolution of car ownership is modelled year-on-82 year by considering the changing demand for cars. Such models generally follow a 83 Sigmoid (S-shaped) curve, typical of the evolution of any technology, in which an initially 84 slow rate of uptake increases to a steady linear rate of increase before plateauing at a 85 quantity assumed to represent the saturation of car ownership. This approach is used in 86 the UK Department for Transport's National Car Ownership Model (NATCOP) [21] and the International Transport Forum's 2019 car fleet model for France [22]. Conversely, 88 cross-sectional models seek to predict car ownership at a point in time based on a snapshot of the explanatory variables, or changes in car ownership between two points 90

- in time-based on changes in those variables between those points. Cross-sectional models
- have been employed as part of 'pseudo-panel' car ownership model (see for example
- ⁹³ [23]), which combines multiple cross-sectional datasets to predict the evolution in car
- ⁹⁴ ownership over time. Effectively, the model presented in this paper is a pseudo-panel ⁹⁵ model in that multiple points in time are used to predict the change in car ownership
- model, in that multiple points in time are used to predict the change in car ownership
 over time. Whereas models in [21–23] predict changes in national car ownership (millions
- \sim of vehicles), this paper is concerned with changes in car ownership per Census LSOA/DZ
- (hundreds of vehicles, scaled up to millions).

Prediction of car ownership given demographic, socioeconomic and built environ-00 ment variables are often based on forms of linear regression – either OLS, if the output of 100 the model is treated as a discrete variable (the number of cars in a given area or owned by 101 a given household), or logit, if the output is treated as a binary outcome (a household's 102 decision to buy another car or not). Either way, the prediction involves producing a line 103 or curve of 'best fit' by iteratively estimating a set of coefficients in order to minimise the 104 mean squared error (MSE) (in the case of OLS regression) or maximise the likelihood 105 function (in the case of logit regression). 106

In [24], the authors present a study of the relationship between vehicle energy 107 demand in GB and demographic, socio-economic and built environment variables using 108 OLS linear regression, though clusters are first formed pertaining to the energy use of 109 the households being studied. In [25], OLS linear regression is employed to quantify 110 the relationship between CO_2 emissions of private vehicle use with demographic, socio-111 economic and built environment variables. In [26], an OLS linear regression model is 112 built to predict car ownership in China with a focus on geospatial built environment vari-113 ables (e.g. the ratio of road to pavement in a given area). In [27], an OLS linear regression model is used to predict greenhouse gas emissions based on UK households' socio-115 economic characteristics. In [28], over 300 million records from UK road worthiness tests 116 (known as 'MOTs') are analysed to provide understanding of how vehicle characteristics 117 (vehicle age & type, location), household characteristics (income, energy consumption) 118 and geographic characteristics (population density) influence car ownership and use. 119

The variables explored and demonstrated to be important when modelling car 120 ownership in all of these works [23–28] relate to: demographic variables of the household 121 (number of residents, household composition (e.g. 2 adults, 2 children)); socio-economic 122 variables (disposable income, social classification, tenure, employment status); and built 123 environment variables (means of and distance travelled to work, urbanity, population 124 density – which is used as an indicator of i) access to local services within a walkable 125 distance or developed public transport and ii) the prevalence of off-street parking). Aside 126 from including as input the same variables as listed above, this paper includes detailed 12 accessibility data detailing households' level of access to public transport, services and 128 jobs. The hypothesised determinants of car ownership as used for this study are further 129 detailed in section 3.1. 130

A key problem of formulating these predictors as OLS or logit regression problems is that strong multicollinearity exists between demographic, socio-economic and built environment variables of individuals and households. For example, if an individual is employed, then the probability that they drive to work would be greater than it would for an individual who is not employed (for whom the probability is zero). This presents a problem, as a fundamental assumption of linear regression is that little or no collinearity exists in the predictors [29]. As could be expected, significant multicollinearity between the variables used in this study was found (section 3.2).

Techniques designed to overcome multicollinearity in these problems have been deployed in the literature. Studies in [20] and [30] demonstrate the use of multi-level Bayesian prediction techniques to enable prediction of car ownership and usage patterns given built environment variables. In [31], a negative binomial regression performed on input features ranging from population density and demographics to public transport & car sharing availability is used to investigate the extent to which the availability

of public transport & car sharing can reduce demand for car parking in Melbourne. 145 In [32], a Poisson regression formulation is used to examine the statistical relationship 146 between demographic data and gasoline pump prices and car ownership among a cohort of 'millennial' dwellings in Washington D.C. There has also been considerable recent 148 interest in using more advanced, non-linear prediction approaches for regression outside 149 of car ownership prediction (as further discussed in section 4.1). In [33], the authors 150 compare the performance of separate non-linear machine learning approaches – random 151 forest, support vector regression and 'gradient boosting machines' - to predict household 152 energy use from real-time sensor data (e.g. ambient weather conditions). In [34], the 153 authors propose a convolutional neural network (CNN): a deep learning technique 154 commonly used for image recognition, which flattens multidimensional arrays (such as 155 digital images) before being input into the nodes of the neural network (see section 4.3). 156 Such an approach is used in [34] to predict residential energy consumption based on the 157 time of day/week/year and output from sensors on the power grid (current and voltage 158 readings). In [35], the authors propose an ensemble method – ensembling the results of 150 separate NN regressors - to predict household electricity consumption based on a set of 160 household characteristics including the number of rooms, the total floor space and the 161 number of residents. In [36], car ownership in Thailand is predicted from independent 162 variables relating to household socio-economic factors, activities and accessibility using 163 both NNs and decision trees. By defining the problem as a classification, in which the 164 number of cars per household is classified as either 0, 1 or 2+, it is shown that neural 165 networks statistically outperform decision trees. 166

The gaps identified in the literature are two-fold: i) there is a lack of spatial resolution in car ownership modelling, and ii) the statistical problems posed by multicollinearity between the independent variables call for the use of advanced statistical models. To address these gaps, this paper presents predictions of car ownership in small (300-600 household) chunks of GB (i.e. LSOAs and DZs) using NNs, a form of non-linear statistical model. The NN hyperparameters are optimised, to demonstrate their effectiveness in this context versus other regression techniques.

174 3. Data

175 3.1. Hypothesised Predictors of Car Ownership

Data used to form the independent variables for this study were taken from the UK
Census, the Department for Transport (DfT), Experian, the Office for National Statistics
(ONS) and the Scottish Government.

The categories of independent variables used to predict car ownership in this study are summarised in Table 1. Within each variable category are several distinct variables, each of which is described in this section (below the table). In total, there are up to 169 independent variables in this study. As shown, data sources were often different for the separate constituent countries within GB – England, Wales and Scotland. This meant that individual NNs had to be formed for each country.

All the data in Table 1 are on a level of LSOA (England & Wales) [37] or DZ (Scotland) [38].

2011 is used as the base year, as it is the year of the latest Census in the UK and
the most recent year from which all datasets in Table 1 are available. In predicting the
change in car ownership over a period of time, the period 2001-2011 is used, as 2001 is
the year of the UK Census before that.

Variable category	Source	Region	Years				
Demographic & Socioeconomic data							
Economic activity	UK Census	GB	2001; 2011				
NSSec social classification	UK Census	GB	2001; 2011				
Household composition	UK Census	GB	2001; 2011				
Tenure	UK Census	GB	2001; 2011				
Means of travel to work	UK Census	GB	2001; 2011				
Distance travelled to work	UK Census	GB	2001; 2011				
Accessib	ility data						
Bus service frequency indicator (1-100) to nearest	DfT	England	2007-2013				
amenity s in set of amenities S							
Travel time by mode <i>t</i> in set of modes \mathcal{T} to nearest	DfT	England	2007-2013				
s in S							
Number of users within travel time m in set of	DfT	England	2007-2013				
travel times \mathcal{M}_s (in minutes) by t in \mathcal{T} to nearest							
s in S							
Experian Mosaic Public S	Sector classification data	ı †					
Number of individuals in Mosaic Public Sector	Experian	GB	2004-2005; 2008-				
groups ('A'-'O')			2011				
Gross disposable household income ++							
Gross disposable household income per Local	ONS	GB	1997-2017				
Authority							
Geographic data							
English region (9 levels; e.g. 'North West')	ONS	England	-				
Urban/rural classification (England & Wales, 8	ONS	England	2001; 2011				
levels)		& Wales					
Urban/rural classification (Scotland, 6 levels)	Scottish Govern-	Scotland	2001; 2011				
	ment						
Population density (England & Wales)	ONS	England	2001; 2011				
		& Wales					
Population density (Scotland)	Scottish Govern-	Scotland	2001; 2011				
	ment						

	1 1		1	т 1	1		· 11	1	c	•	1 1
12	۱hI	ρ	I٠.	Inde	nende	nt war	iable	DOD11201	tor	reoression	model
10	ພ	. U	1 .	mac	period	iii vai	iuon	lo ubcu	101	regression	mouch

⁺ Used for prediction car ownership 2011.

⁺⁺ Used for prediction of change in car ownership 2001-2011.

Demographic data were taken from the UK Census [39]. The variable categories shown in Table 1 comprise of responses to that Census question. For example, the economic activity variable category contains five distinct variables: economically active – full-time employee; economically active – part-time employee; economically active – self-employed; economically active – unemployed; economically inactive. Each variable is the number of individuals within that LSOA with that response.

Accessibility data were taken from [40]. In Table 1, S, indexed by s, is the set of 197 amenities: employment centres, primary schools; secondary schools; further education institutions; doctors' surgeries; hospitals; supermarkets; town centres. \mathcal{T} , indexed by t, is 199 the set of transport modes: cycle; car; public transport (including walking to/from transit 200 stops); composite mode. \mathcal{M}_s , indexed by *m*, is the set of journey durations (minutes) 201 applicable for amenity s. This is equal to {15, 30} for primary schools, doctors' surgeries, 202 supermarkets and town centres; {20, 40} for employment centres and secondary schools; 203 [30, 60] for further education institutions and hospitals. It should be noted that it would 204 also be desirable to have journey time via other modes of public transport besides bus; 205 rail in particular provides a major mode of transit in many major population centres in 206 GB. Therefore, having access to data on rail services would lead to the production of 207 more valuable research in this area. 208

The Mosaic Public Sector classification data were taken from Experian [41]. These are household counts within each of the 15 Mosaic Public Sector consumer segmentation groups (labelled 'A' to 'O'). The classification takes into account income, credit behaviour, property value and consumption [42], all of which are deemed to be important indicators for individuals' propensity for car ownership [43,44].

Gross disposable household income (GDHI) data were taken from [45]. These data cover the period 1997-2017 inclusive for the whole of GB, though are only available

at a Local Authority (LA) level. Their lack of spatial resolution meant that Mosaic 216 classifications were preferable to predict base year car ownership; however, as the latter 217 dataset is not available for 2001, the GDHI data were used to predict the change in car 218 ownership 2001-2011. To match LSOAs/DZs with GDHI, shapefiles of LA boundaries 219 were matched up to shapefiles of LSOA/DZ boundaries, and the corresponding values 220 were assigned. Geographic data were taken from the UK and Scottish governments. 221 English regions were assigned to each LSOA by matching up LSOA boundaries to region boundaries [46]. As these data are categorical, they are transformed into dummy 223 variables in order to be used as inputs to the regression models. These were then 224 transformed to 9 dummy variables (1 or 0) for each regional classification. Urban/rural 225 classifications were taken from [47] for England & Wales and [48] for Scotland. Both 226 sets of urban/rural classifications were transformed from their 8 (England & Wales) 227 or 6 (Scotland) level categorical form to dummy variables (1 or 0) for each category. 228 Population density was calculated from 2011 and 2001 population data for England & 229 Wales [49] and Scotland [50] and the area of each LSOA/DZ boundary. 230

It should be noted that the LSOA geographies were different for 2001 and 2011. In this work, all datasets were aligned to 2011 geographies to allow comparison. This was done using the lookup tables provided [51]. As the geographies are changed due to population changes, this led to discrepancies in population in given LSOAs between 2001 and 2011, which in turn leads to extreme 'increases' in car ownership. This is further discussed in section 5.

After collation of all predictors and transformation from categorical to dummy
 variables where applicable, England had 169 independent variables, Wales had 69, and
 Scotland had 67.

To form a dataset of the change in the variables in Table 1 for 2001-2011, only the variables common to both years could be used. Therefore, the accessibility statistics and, as discussed, Mosaic data were switched for GDHI. A new dataset was created by computing the difference between all values in 2011 and all values in 2001. The assigned region and urban/rural classification was assumed to be constant between the two years.

245 3.2. Multicollinearity

Figure 1 shows a correlation matrix between 169 variables for the England dataset
to demonstrate the significant level of multicollinearity in this problem.



Figure 1. Correlation matrix showing collinearity of independent variables

Figure 1, which is symmetrical about the line y = -x, shows that many of the independent variables are strongly correlated with one another. The appearance of Figure 1 resembles four distinct quadrants.

The bottom-right quadrant highlights how there is a significant correlation between the levels of accessibility to each amenity. This could be expected; for example, the number of households within 15 minutes' drive of a primary school would be expected to influence the number of households within 30 minutes' drive. There is also shown to be a correlation between access to different amenities, particularly between access to employment centres and other amenities.

The bottom-left and top-right quadrants show some localised strong correlations
between the accessibility statistics and other data. This is particularly apparent between
household composition and propensity to live within close access to GP surgeries,
hospitals, supermarkets and town centres.

The top-left quadrant shows strong correlations between many of the datasets used in this study. In particular, tenure and NS-SEC are heavily correlated with distance and means of travel to work, economic activity.

The strong level of multicollinearity highlighted in Figure 1 calls for non-linear statistical modelling. In section 4, the methods used for this study are explained.

266 3.3. Dependent Variable

The dependent variable in this study was the number of cars & vans per LSOA/Data Zone, taken from [39]. It is important to note that this does not distinguish between different types of car ownership (e.g. leasing or owning outright). Furthermore, the only vans included in this dataset are smaller, privately-owned vans rather than delivery vans used for business and commerce. In the rest of this paper, 'cars' is used synonymously with 'cars & vans'.

273 4. Method

4.1. Advanced Regression Models and Artificial Intelligence

Modelling the relationship between independent and dependent data (regression) is well-practised in fields including (but not limited to) medicine [52–54], cyber security [55], finance [56], scheduling [57,58], vehicle routing [59], data classification [60] and multi-objective optimisation [61,62]. The task of regression – the prediction of this relationship – can be done via any one of a variety of methods, including heuristics [55,59], nature-inspired algorithms [52,56,57,60–62] and non-linear statistical models [53,54,58].

In this study, the potential for NNs – a non-linear statistical model – to estimate 282 the relationship between car ownership and the independent variables in Table 1 is 283 investigated. In selection of the regression method used, four advanced regression 284 methods were chosen: NNs, random forest (RF), stochastic gradient descent (SGD) and 285 support vector regression (SVR). Due to their significantly higher accuracy compared to 286 the other three advanced regression methods and OLS regression (as shown in Table 2), 287 NNs have been used to present results from this study. In the interest of conciseness, RF, 288 SGD and SVR are not described in detail in this paper. 28

4.2. *Comparison to Other Regression Techniques*

RF, SGD, SVR and NN regression models were designed and optimised using
 grid search techniques on key hyperparameters¹ given the same dataset to return the
 minimum possible mean absolute error (MAE); each model was tested with an 80%:20%
 training:testing split.

Table 2 shows a comparison of the performance (given by the MAE of prediction) 295 of the RF, SGD, SVR and NN regression models compared to that of an OLS linear 296 regression model² on the same dataset with the same training:testing split. In Table 2, 297 the values in brackets are the MAE values as a percentage of the average number of cars 298 per LSOA/DZ, or the average change in cars per LSOA/DZ. As previously stated, there 299 were 776 cars per LSOA in England, 830 cars per LSOA in Wales and 353 cars per DZ in 300 Scotland on average. The average change in cars per LSOA/DZ between 2001 and 2011 301 was a positive gain of 86 cars. 302

As shown, significant improvements of up to 28.8% versus the OLS baseline are realised by using the NN method presented in this paper. The improvement, in percentage terms, is shown to be lower for the prediction of change in cars than for the prediction of

306 the number of cars.

Table 2: Comparative results for mean absolute error (MAE) and MAE as a percentage of the mean number of cars per LSOA/DZ – ordinary least squares (OLS) regression; random forest (RF); stochastic gradient descent (SGD); support vector regression (SVR); artificial neural networks (NN) with optimal hyperparameters

Regression	OLS	RF	SGD	SVR	NN
Cars per LSOA, England	24.66	30.17	48.89	72.83	17.55
	(3.18%)	(3.89%)	(6.30%)	(9.39%)	(2.23%)
Cars per LSOA, Wales	21.06	33.62	22.39	154.21	17.03
	(2.54%)	(4.05%)	(2.70%)	(18.59%)	(2.05%)
Cars per LSOA, Scotland	13.27	16.71	13.43	34.07	10.38
	(3.76%)	(4.73%)	(3.81%)	(9.65%)	(2.94%)
Change in cars per LSOA, England &	21.54	23.37	21.78	36.38	19.62
Wales	(25.08%)	(27.21%)	(25.36%)	(42.36%)	(22.85%)

¹ The hyperparameters optimised for the NNs are described in detail in section 4.5. For the RF regression model, the number of trees and the size of trees (depth; nodes per tree) were altered. For the SGD regression model, the loss function and penalty parameters were altered. For the SVR regression model, the kernel functions, and parameters C, γ – the decision surface 'smoothness' and significance of each training sample respectively – were altered. More detail on hyperparameter optimisation for RF, SGD and SVR regressors can be found at [63].

² Backwards elimination was used to avoid overfitting with a cut-off P-value of 0.05.

The clear out-performance of NNs versus other techniques resounds with results found in a review of machine learning studies applied to problems in the energy sector in [64].

310 4.3. Artificial Neural Network

NNs are non-linear statistical models that can be used both in regression and classification tasks in machine learning applications. A linear combination of the inputs $x_1...x_D$ (Equation 1) creates features, and a target variable is modelled as a function of linear combinations of the features.

$$a_j = \sum_{i=1}^{D} w_{ji}^{(1)} x_i + w_{j0}^{(1)}$$
(1)

Equation 1 shows the first layer of the network (as indicated by superscript 1) where j = 1, ..., M, with M being the number of linear combinations. The parameters are w_{ji} and the parameters w_{j0} are biases. The quantities a_j are known as activations. Each of them is then transformed using a differentiable, non-linear activation function h to yield the hidden units z as shown in Equation 2.

$$_{j} = h(a_{j}) \tag{2}$$

h functions vary by network design. Two of the most popular are Sigmoid and rectified linear units (ReLU) [65,66], which are both trialled in this study (section 4.5).

Z

$$a_k = \sum_{j=1}^M w_{kj}^{(2)} zj + w_{k0}^{(2)}$$
(3)

A linear combination in the next layer gives output unit activations, as shown 322 in Equation 3, where j = 1, ..., K, with K being the total number of outputs. Finally, 323 assuming that the network has one hidden layer for simplicity reasons but without 324 loss of generality, the output unit activations are transformed through an activation 325 function, giving a set of network outputs y_k . The neural network is, therefore, a non-326 linear function, mapping the input variables x_i to the output variables y_k , which depend 327 on the inputs, and a vector w that is composed by the weights and biases. A simple 328 neural network for regression with one hidden layer can be shown in Figure 2. This 320 configuration can be generalised by considering additional layers - 'deep' networks are 330 defined as those with more than one hidden layer. Each layer consists of a weighted 331 linear combination as in Equation 3 followed by an element-wise transformation using a 332 non-linear activation function. 333



Figure 2. Neural network diagram. The input, hidden, and output variables are represented by nodes. Arrows show the direction of information during forward propagation. Each hidden and output unit has an associated bias parameter (omitted for clarity).

³³⁴ While training the network, given a set of input vectors and a corresponding set of ³³⁵ target variables, the aim is to minimise an error function E(w). This requires an iterative ³³⁶ optimisation process; a weight vector w which minimises the chosen error function must ³³⁷ be found. This involves choosing some initial value w^0 for the weight vector and then ³³⁸ moving through weight space in a succession of steps, as in Equation 4 where τ is the ³³⁹ iteration step. The process by which w^0 is chosen varies by what distribution the values ³⁴⁰ are selected from. In this study, normal and uniform distributions are trialled.

$$w^{(\tau+1)} = w^{\tau} + \Delta w^{(\tau)} \tag{4}$$

The choice of the vector update $\Delta w^{(\tau)}$ depends on the algorithm. Many algorithms³ make use of gradient information and therefore require that, after each update, the value of $\nabla E(w)$ is evaluated at the new weight vector $w^{(\tau+1)}$. The learning rate α determines the step size at each iteration while moving towards a minimum of the error loss function, as shown in Equation 5.

$$w^{(\tau+1)} = w^{\tau} - \alpha \nabla E(w^{\tau}) \tag{5}$$

For computational reasons, it is common practice to divide the data into smaller datasets and update the weights of the neural networks at the end of every step to fit it to the data given. The batch size is the total number of training examples present in a single batch; this is further discussed in section 4.5.

Model parameters are internal to the neural network – for example, neuron weights *w*. They are estimated from the training samples and specify how to transform the input data into the desired output. On the other hand, a hyperparameter is one whose value is set before the learning process begins. Hyperparameters are not updated during the learning and are used to configure either the model (e.g. number of neurons) or the algorithm used to find the minimum error solution (e.g. the learning rate).

Hyperparameter tuning is choosing a set of optimal hyperparameters for a learning
algorithm. This is carried out in this study via a grid search approach, in which a
specified subset of hyperparameters is searched exhaustively to produce the best (i.e. the
one that returns the lowest MSE) configuration. This is further discussed in section 4.5.

³ The algorithm used in this paper was the Adam optimiser [67], based on stochastic gradient descent.

360 4.4. Preprocessing – Normalisation

Before being put into the networks, the data were normalised as per a Gaussian distribution (Equation 6).

$$\hat{X} = \frac{X - \mu_X}{\sigma_X} \tag{6}$$

where each $X \in \mathcal{X}$ is one of the set of independent variables, with corresponding mean μ_X and standard deviation σ_X .

365 4.5. Hyperparameter Tuning

As discussed in section 4.3, hyperparameter tuning is undertaken to find the NN configuration that returns the smallest error of prediction. In this study, the hyperparameters tuned were the number of hidden layers, the number of neurons in each layer, the activation function used for each layer, the batch size, the learning rate and the parameter initialisation functions.

4.5.1. Network Depth and Number of Neurons per Layer

The number of neurons in the input layer was fixed by the number of independent variables. This was 169 for England, 69 for Wales and 67 for Scotland. The difference is due to the presence of the accessibility statistics for England (see Table 1) and the 6-level urban/rural classification for Scotland (compared to an 8-level classification for England & Wales).

As this is a regression problem, the number of neurons in the output layer was fixed to 1.

The number of hidden layers (i.e. not including the input and output layers) trialled was 1 to 4 inclusive. The number of neurons N_n in the n^{th} hidden layer was trialled exhaustively from the set \mathcal{N}_n (Equation 7), where $10\mathcal{Z}$ represents the set of integer multiples of 10.

$$\mathcal{N}_n = \{ \nu \in 10\mathcal{Z}, 0 < \nu \le N_{n-1} \}$$
(7)

4.5.2. Learning Rate, Weightings Estimator, Batch Size, Activation Function

Learning rates trialled were 0.01 and 0.001. Batch sizes trialled were 1% and 10% of the training dataset (see section 4.5.3). ReLU and Sigmoid activation functions are trialled for all layers. Weighting estimators for all layers trialled were of normal and uniform distributions.

388 4.5.3. Training

The dataset was split into *training*, a set of data for fitting the model, *validation*, a set of data with which to continually evaluate the model's performance as hyperparameter tuning was undertaken, and *testing*, a set of data that the model had never seen before to evaluate the performance once the hyperparameters had been fixed. The proportions of these sets were 64%, 16% and 20% respectively.

For each combination, the network was trained for up to 10,000 epochs (this was cut short if the reported MSE did not reduce substantially over 50 epochs).

The building and training of NNs in this paper was done using the Keras library in Python [68].

4.5.4. Optimal configurations

The sets of optimal hyperparameters out of those trialled for each regression problem are shown in Table 3.

Regression	Hidden layers	Hidden layer di- mensions	Weightings estimator	Activation function	Batch size (% of in- put data)	Learning rate
Cars per LSOA - Eng- land	2	[100,60]	Normal	ReLU	1	0.001
Cars per LSOA - Wales	2	[40,30]	Normal	ReLU	10	0.001
Cars per LSOA - Scot- land	2	[50,50]	Normal	ReLU	10	0.001
Change in cars per LSOA - England & Wales	2	[40,40]	Normal	ReLU	1	0.001

Table 3: Optimal configurations for artificial neural networks used to predict number of cars per LSOA and change in cars per LSOA

Table 3 shows that certain hyperparameter values were consistently found to be 401 the best performing: a learning rate of 0.001, Normal weightings estimators and ReLU 402 activation functions were consistently better performing than their alternatives. The 403 minimum MSE values were reported for networks with 2 hidden layers for all regression 404 problems, though the number of neurons in each layer differed. The batch size differed 405 depending on the input dataset. For regressions involving the England dataset (32,802 406 LSOAs), the optimum batch size was 1%. For the considerably smaller Wales and 407 Scotland datasets (1,909 LSOAs and 6,976 DZs respectively), a 10% batch size produced 408 a lower MSE. 409

410 5. Results & Discussion

This section presents the results of car ownership prediction in the base year (2011) and change in car ownership between 2001 and 2011 by LSOA/DZ. For background, the mean number of cars per LSOA/DZ was 776 for England, 830 for Wales and 353 for Scotland (this is lower due to the smaller number of households per Scottish DZ than English or Welsh LSOA). The average change in cars per LSOA/DZ between 2001 and 2011 was a positive gain of 86 cars.

417 5.1. Base Year (2011)

Figure 3 shows predicted and actual cars per LSOA in England & Wales; Figure 4 shows the same result per DZ for Scotland.



Figure 3. Predicted (left) and actual (right) cars per LSOA (2011), England & Wales – detail shown of Greater London region



Figure 4. Predicted (left) and actual (right) cars per DZ (2011), Scotland - detail shown of Greater Glasgow region

Figures 3 and 4 exhibit a good prediction of the number of cars per LSOA in 420 England & Wales and per DZ in Scotland. It is shown that generally, car ownership 421

is higher in rural areas than urban areas (recall that LSOAs/DZs are sized on number 422

of households) - this is a trend that has been generally observed, including in the UK 423

[69]. While the error in prediction is difficult to make out by eye in Figures 3 and 4, the 424 error in prediction is quantified, first as a histogram and density plot in Figure 5, then

425

displayed per LSOA/DZ for the whole of GB in Figures 6 and 7. 426



Figure 5. Error of prediction of cars per LSOA/DZ shown through (left) histogram of percentage error by LSOA/DZ (2011) across GB and (right) density plot of predicted vs. actual cars per LSOA/DZ (2011) across GB



Figure 6. Error of prediction of number of cars (2011) by LSOA/DZ across GB – detail shown of Greater London and Greater Glasgow regions



Figure 7. Percentage error of prediction of number of cars (2011) by LSOA/DZ across GB – detail shown of Greater London and Greater Glasgow regions

Figure 5 displays a broad goodness of fit between the actual number of cars and the predicted number of cars across GB. Half of the LSOAs/DZs are predicted within -0.7% and +3.2%, corresponding to an absolute error of -5 to +20 cars per LSOA/DZ – compared to a weighted mean of 709 cars per LSOA/DZ – across GB. The positive skew of the histogram shows that the model tends to overpredict than underpredict. This is found to be in common with the Department for Transport's NATCOP model [21].

Figures 6 and 7 show the limited correlation between prediction error and location. 433 Though there are clearly under-and over-predictions throughout GB, it is apparent that in 434 Greater London car ownership is generally over-predicted. This trend is also evident in 435 other UK fleet models; for example, the aforementioned NATCOP model [21], in which 436 it is discussed how the distinctive travel behaviours of the Greater London region lead to 437 difficulty in predicting car ownership there. However, to this model's credit, the errors 438 appear to be small: whereas [21] gives an over-prediction of the total number of cars in 439 London to be 12% (compared to over-predictions of metropolitan and non-metropolitan 440 districts in the rest of GB to be 2% and 3% respectively), the average percentage error 441 for this model was found to be 3.04% in London, versus a GB-wide rate of 1.35%. The 442 mean percentage error is given for all nine English regions and the other non-English 443 constituent countries of GB in Table 4. 444

Table 4: Mean percentage error for prediction in number of cars (2011), English regions, Scotland and Wales

Region/country	Mean error (%)
London	+3.05
North West	+1.99
Yorkshire & The Humber	+1.39
North East	+1.96
West Midlands	+2.22
South East	+1.03
East of England	+0.97
East Midlands	+0.98
South West	+1.37
Scotland	+0.11
Wales	+0.02

5.2. Change in Car Ownership from 2001 to 2011

Figure 8 shows predicted and actual change in cars per LSOA in England & Wales.



Figure 8. Predicted (left) and actual (right) change in cars (2001-2011) per LSOA, England & Wales

Figure 8 shows a generally good match between the predicted and actual change in 447 number of cars per LSOA between 2001 and 2011 in England & Wales. Extreme values 448 in excess of +/-1000 are shown on the axis; these are due to the boundary changes in 449 Census geography between 2001 and 2011. As discussed in section 3.1, 2011 boundaries 450 were used for both datasets to allow comparison; however, for a small proportion (<0.5%) 451 of boundaries, the change in boundaries lead to drastic changes in population – and 452 hence vehicle ownership. In general, the error appears to be small; it is difficult to tell the 453 difference between the predicted and actual plots in Figure 8. It is shown that generally, 454 car ownership has reduced in Greater London in the period 2001-2011 and increased 455 virtually everywhere else. This is in agreement with UK Department for Transport 456 statistics [69]. 457

The error in prediction of the change in cars is quantified, first as a histogram and density plot in Figure 9, then displayed per LSOA for England & Wales in Figures 10 and 11 (for the error in number of cars and in percentage terms respectively).



Figure 9. Error of prediction of change in cars (2001-2011) shown through (left) histogram of percentage error by LSOA in England & Wales and (right) density plot of predicted vs. actual cars per LSOA in England & Wales – detail shown of Greater London region



Figure 10. Absolute error of prediction of change in cars (2001-2011) by LSOA across England & Wales – detail shown of Greater London, Northwest England and Mid-Wales regions



Figure 11. Percentage error of prediction of change in cars (2001-2011) by LSOA across England & Wales – detail shown of Greater London, Northwest England and Mid-Wales regions

Though Figure 9 shows a steep-sided Gaussian distribution – as was the case in 461 Figure 5 – the percentage errors are notably larger: this time, 50% of the predictions are 462 between -22.4% and 15.9% of the actual values, corresponding to an absolute error of 463 -19 to +14 cars per LSOA (compared to, as already mentioned, an average increase in 464 cars per LSOA/DZ between 2001 and 2011 of 86). Whereas the Gaussian distribution 465 in Figure 5 was positively skewed, the distribution in Figure 9 is negatively skewed, 466 meaning that the model tends to under-predict the (positive) change in cars. This is 467 heavily impacted by the 'special case' presented by Greater London (see Table 5). The 468 right-hand side plot in Figure 9 shows the significant range of changes in cars by LSOA 469 in 10 years. By comparison to the dashed green line (showing the line y = x), a generally 470 strong prediction of change in cars is shown. 471 Figures 10 and 5 show less correlation between prediction and geographical location

Figures 10 and 5 show less correlation between prediction and geographical location
than was shown in Figures 6 and 7. Figure 11 especially highlights the extremity of the
under-predictions within Greater London (shown by the dark blue). This is reflected
in Table 5, which shows the mean percentage error for the predicted change in cars
(2001-2011) per LSOA for England & Wales, by English region and non-English GB
constituent country (in this case, Wales). It is shown that whereas non-London English
regions have a mean percentage error between -2.29% and +3.95%, Greater London's
corresponding value is -15.29%.

Region/country	Mean error (%)		
London	-15.29		
North West	-0.12		
Yorkshire & The Humber	+1.26		
North East	+3.95		
West Midlands	-1.10		
South East	-2.15		
East of England	-2.29		
East Midlands	+1.50		
South West	+2.26		
Wales	+5.12		

Table 5: Mean percentage error for prediction in change in cars (2001-2011), English regions and Wales

As detailed in section 3, the set of predictors used for the change in car ownership is less comprehensive than the set used for base year car ownership (due to lack of data collection in 2001). This is likely to be a key reason for the greater errors in the change in

car ownership model compared with the base year car ownership model.

Clearly, predicting car ownership patterns in Greater London is more difficult than
doing so for the rest of GB (as has been found – as previously mentioned – in [21]).
This may be due to the relative scarcity of off-street parking, advanced public transport
networks and high quality cycling infrastructure in the capital when compared to the rest
of GB. Further work is recommended to investigate methods of improving prediction of
car ownership in this region.

6. Conclusion & Future Work

This paper has presented predictions of car ownership based on demographic, socio-491 economic and built environment variables in a base year (2011), and over the course 492 of 10 years (2001-2011), by using and tuning a set of deep NNs. It was shown that this 103 method offers significant improvements in prediction accuracy (up to a 29% reduction 494 in MAE) versus an OLS regression technique and further improvements compared 495 to other advanced regression techniques using the same dataset, and that the model 496 offers significant improvements in accuracy predicting car ownership in Greater London 107 compared to the UK Department for Transport's NATCOP model [21]. 498

The method presented in this paper can be used to improve the accuracy of car ownership models and hence allow for enhanced modelling of the spatial distribution of car ownership. As previously mentioned, while the model does offer improvements in prediction of car ownership in London, the region still suffers worse predictions than the rest of GB. It is proposed that further work be done to investigate methods of improving predictions within London using NN methods.

The approach demonstrated could be relevant to transport and electricity system 505 planners. Exploring the effect of changing demographics, socio-economics and the built environment on the number of cars per LSOA is useful for transport planners as it 507 indicates the pressure cars will put on local transport infrastructure; furthermore, by 508 using these methods to explore credible futures for the dissipation of electric vehicles, 509 the results could be invaluable to electricity network operators - who will be given 510 an impression of the electrical demand they can expect at the relevant pieces of infras-511 tructure. The method allows for scenario-based modelling of how the car ownership 512 predicting variables may change in the future, and analysis of how this could affect 513 car ownership. Several of the predictors used - most readily the accessibility statistics, 514 but to a certain extent economic activity, means of travel to work, distance travelled to 515 work and disposable household income – could be influenced by policy and planning 516 decisions. 517

This paper lays the foundation for more detailed technology-aware fleet modelling, 518 which would form a crucial part of analysis available to decision makers as the transport 519 sector strives to meet Net Zero targets as part of Paris Agreement goals. Though this 520 paper has focused on car ownership in GB, methods presented in this paper could readily 521 be applied to other nations. To enhance the method's applicability to potential disruptive 522 patterns in the transport sector, further work is recommended to include distinction 523 of cars by ownership type: while private owned cars dominate the UK car fleet, this 524 may change with new business models, car clubs and other technologies – including 525 autonomous vehicles – that may facilitate shared mobility. Of particular relevance in 526 the future of mobility is the effect of the COVID-19 recovery on society's willingness to use public transport [70] and the potential for the continuation of remote working [71], 528 both of which have the potential to disrupt the future pathway of the transport-energy 529 system. 530

531 Acknowledgement

This work has been done through the UK Energy Research Centre (UKERC) and the Centre for Research in Energy Demand Solutions (CREDS) – UK Research & Innovation grant numbers EP/S029575/1 and EP/R035288/1 respectively.

535 Author Contributions

James Dixon: conceptualisation, methodology, software, validation, formal analysis, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing; Sofia Koukoura: conceptualisation, methodology, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing; Christian Brand: conceptualisation, methodology, writing – review & editing, supervision, funding acquisition; Malcolm Morgan: conceptualisation, methodology, writing – review & editing; Keith Bell: supervision, funding acquisition.

- 542
- Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy. 2020 UK greenhouse gas emissions,
 provisional figures. Technical report, 2021.
- Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy. UK becomes first major economy to pass net zero emissions law, 2019.
- 547 3. Climate Change Committee. Net Zero: The UK's contribution to stopping global warming.
 548 Technical Report May, 2019.
- Department for Transport. Decarbonising transport: setting the challenge. Technical report, 2020.
- 5. Society of Motor Manufacturers & Traders (SMMT). Electric Vehicle and Alternatively
 Fuelled Vehicle Registrations: Year-to-Date, 2021.
- McKerracher, C.; Izadi-Najafabadi, A.; O'Donovan, A.; Albanese, N.; Soulopolous, N.;
 Doherty, D.; Boers, M.; Fisher, R.; Cantor, C.; Frith, J.; Mi, S.; Grant, A. Electric Vehicle
 Outlook 2020. Technical report, Bloomberg New Energy Finance, 2020.
- ⁵⁵⁶ 7. Climate Change Committee. The Sixth Carbon Budget: The UK's path to Net Zero, 2020.
- 557 8. National Grid ESO. Future Energy Scenarios, 2020.
- Brand, C.; Götschi, T.; Dons, E.; Gerike, R.; Anaya-Boig, E.; Avila-Palencia, I.; de Nazelle,
 A.; Gascon, M.; Gaupp-Berghausen, M.; Iacorossi, F.; Kahlmeier, S.; Int Panis, L.; Racioppi,
 F.; Rojas-Rueda, D.; Standaert, A.; Stigell, E.; Sulikova, S.; Wegener, S.; Nieuwenhuijsen,
 M.J. The climate change mitigation impacts of active travel: Evidence from a longitudinal
 panel study in seven European cities. *Global Environmental Change* 2021, 67, 102224. doi:
- ⁵⁶³ 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2021.102224.
- Dixon, J.; Elders, I.; Bell, K. Electric Vehicle Charging Simulations on a Real Distribution
 Network using Real Trial Data. IEEE Transportation Electrification Conference & Expo,
 Asia-Pacific. IEEE, 2019. doi:10.1109/ITEC-AP.2019.8903719.
- Neaimeh, M.; Wardle, R.; Jenkins, A.M.; Yi, J.; Hill, G.; Lyons, P.F.; Hübner, Y.; Blythe, P.T.;
 Taylor, P.C. A probabilistic approach to combining smart meter and electric vehicle charging
 data to investigate distribution network impacts. *Applied Energy* 2015, 157, 688–698. doi:
 10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.01.144.
- Veldman, E.; Verzijlbergh, R.A. Distribution grid impacts of smart electric vehicle charging from different perspectives. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid* 2015, *6*, 333–342. doi: 10.1109/TSG.2014.2355494.
- Pieltain Fernández, L.; Gómez San Román, T.; Cossent, R.; Mateo Domingo, C.; Frías,
 P. Assessment of the impact of plug-in electric vehicles on distribution networks. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems* 2011, 26, 206–213. doi:10.1109/TPWRS.2010.2049133.
- Xydas, E.; Marmaras, C.; Cipcigan, L.M.; Jenkins, N.; Carroll, S.; Barker, M. A data-driven
 approach for characterising the charging demand of electric vehicles: A UK case study. *Applied Energy* 2016, *162*, 763–771. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.10.151.
- 15. Dixon, J.; Bukhsh, W.; Edmunds, C.; Bell, K. Scheduling Electric Vehicle Charging to
 Minimise Carbon Emissions and Wind Curtailment. *Renewable Energy* 2020, 161, 1072–1091.
 doi:10.1016/j.renene.2020.07.017.
- 16. Crozier, C.; Morstyn, T.; McCulloch, M. The opportunity for smart charging to mitigate the
 impact of electric vehicles on transmission and distribution systems. *Applied Energy* 2020,
 268. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.114973.
- ⁵⁸⁶ 17. de Jong, G.; Fox, J.; Daly, A.; Pieters, M.; Smit, R. Comparison of car ownership models.
 Transport Reviews 2004, 24, 379–408. doi:10.1080/0144164032000138733.
- Potoglou, D.; Kanaroglou, P.S. Modelling car ownership in urban areas: a case study of Hamilton, Canada. *Journal of Transport Geography* 2008, *16*, 42–54. doi:10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2007.01.006.
- ⁵⁰⁰ 19. Shay, E.; Khattak, A.J. Automobiles, trips, and neighborhood type: Comparing environmental
- measures. *Transportation Research Record* **2007**, pp. 73–82. doi:10.3141/2010-09.

- 595 10.3390/ijerph15091868.
- Fox, J.; Patruni, B.; Daly, A.; Lu, H. Estimation of the National Car Ownership Model for
 Great Britain: 2011 Base. Technical report, 2016. doi:10.7249/rr1494.
- International Transport Forum. Understanding Consumer Vehicle Choice A New Car Fleet
 Model for France. Technical report, 2019.
- Dargay, J.M. Determinants of car ownership in rural and urban areas: A pseudo-panel analysis. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review* 2002, *38*, 351–366. doi:10.1016/S1366-5545(01)00019-9.
- Chatterton, T.J.; Anable, J.; Barnes, J.; Yeboah, G. Mapping household direct energy consumption in the United Kingdom to provide a new perspective on energy justice. *Energy Research and Social Science* 2016, *18*, 71–87. doi:10.1016/j.erss.2016.04.013.
- Brand, C.; Goodman, A.; Rutter, H.; Song, Y.; Ogilvie, D. Associations of individual, house hold and environmental characteristics with carbon dioxide emissions from motorised
 passenger travel. *Applied Energy* 2013, 104, 158–169. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2012.11.001.
- Wu, N.; Zhao, S.; Zhang, Q. A study on the determinants of private car ownership in China: Findings from the panel data. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice* 2016, 85, 186–195. doi:10.1016/j.tra.2016.01.012.
- Gough, I.; Abdallah, S.; Johnson, V. The distribution of total greenhouse gas emissions
 by households in the UK, and some implications for social policy. Technical report, New
 Economics Foundation and Centre for Analysis of Social Exclusion, 2011.
- 28. Cairns, S.; Chatterton, T.; Anable, J.; Wilson, E.; Ball, S.; Emmerson, P.; Barnes, J. MOT data:
 what scope for understanding car ownership and use at a local level? 14th Annual Transport
 Practitioners Meeting; 2016.
- Best, H.; Wolf, C.; Meuleman, B.; Loosveldt, G.; Emonds, V. Regression Analysis: Assumptions
 and Diagnostics; 2014; pp. 83–110. doi:10.4135/9781446288146.n5.
- 30. Ding, C.; Cao, X. How does the built environment at residential and work locations affect
 car ownership? An application of cross-classified multilevel model. *Journal of Transport Geography* 2019, 75, 37–45. doi:10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2019.01.012.
- De Gruyter, C.; Truong, L.T.; Taylor, E.J. Can high quality public transport support reduced
 car parking requirements for new residential apartments? *Journal of Transport Geography* 2020, *82*, 102627. doi:10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2019.102627.
- 32. Zhong, L.; Lee, B. Carless or Car Later?: Declining Car Ownership of Millennial Households
 in the Puget Sound Region, Washington State. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board* 2017, 2664, 69–78. doi:10.3141/2664-08.
- Candanedo, L.M.; Feldheim, V.; Deramaix, D. Data driven prediction models of energy use of appliances in a low-energy house. *Energy and Buildings* 2017, 140, 81–97. doi: 10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.01.083.
- Kim, T.Y.; Cho, S.B. Predicting residential energy consumption using CNN-LSTM neural
 networks. *Energy* 2019, *182*, 72–81. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2019.05.230.
- 35. Chen, K.; Jiang, J.; Zheng, F.; Chen, K. A novel data-driven approach for residential electricity consumption prediction based on ensemble learning. *Energy* 2018, 150, 49–60. doi: 10.1016/j.energy.2018.02.028.
- 36. Kaewwichian, P.; Tanwanichkul, L.; Pitaksringkarn, J. Car Ownership Demand Modeling
 Using Machine Learning: Decision Trees and Neural Networks. *International Journal* 2019, 17, 219–230.
- ⁶⁴⁰ 37. Office for National Statistics. Lower Layer Super Output Area (LSOA) boundaries **2016**.
- 38. Scottish Government. Data Zone Boundaries 2011, 2019.
- 342 39. UK Data Service. Infuse access 2011 and 2001 UK Census data, 2011.
- 40. Department for Transport. Accessibility Statistics, 2014.
- 41. UK Data Service. Experian Demographic Data, 2004-2005 and 2008-2011, 2011.
- 42. Experian. Experian's Mosaic Public Sector citizen classification for the United Kingdom.
 Technical report, 2011.
- 43. Brand, C.; Anable, J.; Morton, C. Lifestyle, efficiency and limits: modelling transport energy
- and emissions using a socio-technical approach. *Energy Efficiency* **2019**, *12*, 187–207. doi:
- 649 10.1007/s12053-018-9678-9.

- *34,* 441–473. doi:10.1080/01441647.2014.915440.
- 45. Office for National Statistics. Regional gross disposable household income: local authorities
 by NUTS1 region, 2020.
- 46. Office for National Statistics. Regions (December 2017) Boundaries in England, 2019.
- 47. Office for National Statistics. Rural Urban Classification (2011) of Lower Layer Super Output
 Areas in England and Wales, 2018.
- 48. Scottish Government. Urban Rural Classification 2011-2012, 2012.
- 49. Office for National Statistics. Lower layer Super Output Area population estimates, 2019.
- 50. National Records of Scotland. Detailed Data Zone Tables Mid-2011, 2015.
- Office for National Statistics. Lower Layer Super Output Area (2001) to Lower Layer Super
 Output Area (2011) to Local Authority District (2011) Lookup in England and Wales, 2018.
- ⁶⁶² Output Area (2011) to Local Authority District (2011) Lookup in England and Wales, 2018.
 ⁶⁶³ 52. D'Angelo, G.; Pilla, R.; Tascini, C.; Rampone, S. A proposal for distinguishing between
 ⁶⁶⁴ bacterial and viral meningitis using genetic programming and decision trees. *Soft Computing*
- **2019**, 23, 11775–11791. doi:10.1007/s00500-018-03729-y.
- Alvi, R.H.; Rahman, M.H.; Khan, A.A.S.; Rahman, R.M. Deep learning approach on tabular
 data to predict early-onset neonatal sepsis. *Journal of Information and Telecommunication* 2021,
 5, 226–246. doi:10.1080/24751839.2020.1843121.
- ⁶⁶⁰ 54. Bodenhofer, U.; Haslinger-Eisterer, B.; Minichmayer, A.; Hermanutz, G.; Meier, J. Machine
 ⁶⁷⁰ learning-based risk profile classification of patients undergoing elective heart valve surgery.
 ⁶⁷¹ *European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery* 2021, 00, 1–8. doi:10.1093/ejcts/ezab219.
- ⁶⁷² 55. Babagoli, M.; Aghababa, M.P.; Solouk, V. Heuristic nonlinear regression strategy for detecting
 ⁶⁷³ phishing websites. *Soft Computing* **2019**, *23*, 4315–4327. doi:10.1007/s00500-018-3084-2.
- 56. Sang, B. Application of genetic algorithm and BP neural network in supply chain finance
 under information sharing. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 2021, 384, 113170.
 doi:10.1016/j.cam.2020.113170.
- 57. Dulebenets, M.A. A novel memetic algorithm with a deterministic parameter control for
 efficient berth scheduling at marine container terminals. *Maritime Business Review* 2017,
 2, 302–330. doi:10.1108/mabr-04-2017-0012.
- Brahmia, I.; Wang, J.; Xu, H.; Wang, H.; De Oliveira, L. Robust Data Predictive Control
 Framework for Smart Multi-Microgrid Energy Dispatch Considering Electricity Market
 Uncertainty. *IEEE Access* 2021. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3060315.
- Pasha, J.; Dulebenets, M.A.; Kavoosi, M.; Abioye, O.F.; Wang, H.; Guo, W. An Optimization
 Model and Solution Algorithms for the Vehicle Routing Problem with a 'Factory-in-a-Box'.
 IEEE Access 2020, *8*, 134743–134763. doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3010176.
- 60. Panda, N.; Majhi, S.K. How Effective is the Salp Swarm Algorithm in Data Classification.
 Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing. Springer, 2020, Vol. 999, pp. 579–588. doi:
 10.1007/978-981-13-9042-5_49.
- Liu, Z.Z.; Wang, Y.; Huang, P.Q. AnD: A many-objective evolutionary algorithm with angle based selection and shift-based density estimation. *Information Sciences* 2020, 509, 400–419,
 [1710.00175]. doi:10.1016/j.ins.2018.06.063.
- 62. Zhao, H.; Zhang, C. An online-learning-based evolutionary many-objective algorithm.
 Information Sciences 2020, 509, 1–21. doi:10.1016/j.ins.2019.08.069.
- 63. Pedregosa, F.; Varoquaux, G.; Gramfort, A.; Michel, V.; Thirion, B.; Grisel, O.; Blondel, M.;
 Prettenhofer, P.; Weiss, R.; Dubourg, V.; Vanderplas, J.; Passos, A.; Cournapeau, D.; Brucher,
 M.; Perrot, M.; Duchesnay, E. Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. *Journal of Machine*
- 697 Learning Research **2011**, 12, 2825–2830.
- 64. Sharifzadeh, M.; Sikinioti-Lock, A.; Shah, N. Machine-learning methods for integrated
 renewable power generation: A comparative study of artificial neural networks, support
 vector regression, and Gaussian Process Regression. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 2019, 108, 513–538. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2019.03.040.
- Friedman, J.; Hastie, T.; Tibshirani, R. *The elements of statistical learning*; Vol. 1, Springer series
 in statistics New York, 2001.
- 66. Sibi, P.; Allwyn Jones, S.; Siddarth, P. Analysis of different activation functions using back
 propagation neural networks. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology* 2013,
 47, 1044, 1240
- 706 47, 1344–1348.

- Kingma, D.P.; Ba, J.L. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. 3rd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2015 - Conference Track Proceedings 2015, pp. 1–15, [1412.6980].
- 710 68. Chollet, F. keras. https://github.com/fchollet/keras, 2015.
- 69. Department for Transport. Household car ownership by region and Rural-Urban Classifca tion: England, 2002/03 and 2018/19 (Table NTS9902), 2020.
- 713 70. Wiseman, Y. COVID-19 Along with Autonomous Vehicles will Put an End to Rail Systems in Isolated Territories. *IEEE Intelligent Transportation Systems Magazine* 2021. doi: 10.1100 (NUTC 2021.2010)000
- 715 10.1109/MITS.2021.3049409.
- 716 71. Beck, M.J.; Hensher, D.A.; Wei, E. Slowly coming out of COVID-19 restrictions in Australia:
 717 Implications for working from home and commuting trips by car and public transport.
- Journal of Transport Geography **2020**, *88*, 102846. doi:10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2020.102846.