



Deposited via The University of Leeds.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/180587/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Jegade, OE, Haque, N, Mullis, AM et al. (2021) Thermal transitions in metastable Cu – 68.5 at. % Co alloy. Canadian Journal of Chemistry. ISSN: 0008-4042

<https://doi.org/10.1139/cjc-2021-0228>

© 2021. This is an author produced version of an article published in Canadian Journal of Chemistry. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

1 **Thermal transitions in metastable Cu – 68.5 at. % Co alloy.**

2 Oluwatoyin E. Jegede ^{a*}, Nafisul Haque ^{a,b}, Andrew M. Mullis ^a and Robert F. Cochrane ^a

3 ^a School of Chemical & Process Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK.

4 ^b Department of Metallurgical Engineering, NEDUET, University Road, Karachi 75270, Pakistan

5 *Corresponding author: Oluwatoyin E. Jegede (email: pmoej@leeds.ac.uk)

6

Draft

7 Arc melted and drop tube processed Cu – 68.5 at. % Co alloy has been subjected to differential
8 thermal analysis (DTA). The liquidus temperature determined from the DTA curves in the arc
9 melt sample (1664 K) was found to be close to phase diagram estimate of 1662 K. In contrast as
10 a result of liquid phase separation in the drop tube samples, the values obtained in the powders
11 were much lower mainly because the compositions of the demixed phases vary from that of the
12 parent melt. The liquidus temperature of the 850 + μm powders was 1632 K while that of the <
13 38 μm sieve size powder was 1616 K. This variance is due to the asymmetric nature of the
14 metastable phase diagram of the system.

15

16 Keywords: Liquid phase separation, Monotectic solidification, Differential thermal analysis
17 (DTA), Drop tube, metastable alloys.

18

Draft

19 Introduction

20 One of the most studied immiscible alloy systems with a metastable miscibility gap is the binary
21 Cu-Co alloy system. Over the years the metastable miscibility gap (MG) in the alloy system has
22 been subject of intense interest as liquid phase separation (LPS) is only possible when the alloy
23 is undercooled into the miscibility gap where it separates into L_1 (Co – rich) and L_2 (Cu – rich)
24 liquid phases different from the parent melt¹⁻⁵. The accepted phase diagram of the system has a
25 slightly symmetrical MG with critical composition of 53 at. % Cu and was observed by Cao et
26 al.⁶ in their differential thermal analysis (DTA) and glass fluxing experiments on alloys in the
27 composition range 16 – 87.2 at. % Co. The undercooling at which LPS occurred at their critical
28 composition was placed at 1547 K which was 108 K below the equilibrium liquidus. However,
29 Nakagawa⁷ and Robinson et al.⁸ observed a perfectly symmetrical MG in the system. Cao et al.¹
30 places the critical undercooling of the equi – atomic composition at 90 K below the equilibrium
31 whereas 80 K was recorded by Robinson et al.⁸ who also confirmed that the peritectic
32 temperature of the system (T_p) was 1385 K. Yamauchi et al.³ however places the value of the
33 critical undercooling at 96 K below the liquidus with a T_p of 1360 K. The details of the MG by
34 Robinson et al.⁸ were said to be in agreement to the asymmetrical MG determined from
35 composition analysis of quenched samples by Munitz and Abbaschian². Critical composition
36 values of 58.5 at. % Cu with corresponding temperature of 1556 K has also been cited in
37 literature⁹.

38 A number of microstructural morphologies have been reported in the alloy of varying
39 compositions; dendrites^{2,10}, dual structures (dendritic and LPS)^{11,12} and LPS^{1,10,13-16}. In drop
40 tube processed samples, Cao et al.¹¹ observed that solidification morphology depended on the
41 degree of undercooling. Large droplets with lower cooling rates and undercooling were

42 characterized by α – cobalt dendrites with well-defined arm spacing while smaller droplets were
 43 characterized by uniformly dispersed spherical particles evidence of LPS in these droplets. Core
 44 shell microstructures have also been reported in the alloy of composition 68.5 at. % Co alloy
 45 processed in a drop tube ⁵. These structures were said to be the resultant of the interplay of
 46 interfacial energies and temperature and or composition gradient leading to Marangoni motion of
 47 dispersed particles of the minority phase formed close to the surface of the parent droplets.
 48 Details of the mechanism of formation and characteristics of these microstructures in drop tube
 49 processed Cu-Co alloys are given in ^{5,17}.

50 LPS is highly desirable in the system as with other immiscible alloy systems due to the various
 51 LPS patterns formed by their metastable phases enabling their specific design for wide area of
 52 specialization ¹⁸. Clearly, undercooling is a pre – requisite for LPS to occur in the Cu – Co alloy
 53 system and to a great extent influences its non – equilibrium solidification process as the
 54 demixed liquids have different undercooling to each other and to the parent melt thereby
 55 following different solidification path on the metastable phase diagram. It is difficult to
 56 determine undercooling in drop tube experiments however, this has been inferred from the
 57 cooling rate which can be estimated from the diameter of free falling droplets obtained in drop
 58 tube experiments using balance of heat fluxes within the droplet using the equation below as
 59 described in ¹⁹.

$$60 \quad \dot{T} = \frac{6}{\rho_m C_{pm} D} [h_d (T^D - T^R) + \varepsilon \sigma_{SB} (T_D^4 - T_R^4)] \quad (1)$$

61 Where ρ_m and C_{pm} is the density and specific heat capacity of the alloy melt, h_d , is the heat
 62 transfer coefficient of the droplet falling through the gas, D is the droplet diameter, T_D and T_R is

63 droplet temperature during free fall and room/ ambient temperature respectively, ϵ is the total
64 surface emissivity and σ_{SB} is the Stefan – Boltzmann’s constant.

65 Differential thermal analysis (DTA) has been used to study different compositions of the Cu-Co
66 alloy. Jegede et al. ²⁰ studied the equi-atomic composition (Cu- 50at. % Co) and found that rapid
67 cooling of the arc melt process was sufficient to cool into the MG and therefore initiate LPS in
68 arc melt samples. Their phase diagram prediction of minimal undercooling requirement for an
69 alloy of equi-atomic composition to access the binodal and spinodal curves ⁵ was also confirmed
70 in the drop tube samples. They were able to show that the melting temperature of the Co-rich
71 phase varied with cobalt content while that of the Cu-rich phase was approximately 1295 K. The
72 propensity for LPS in the Cu-Co alloy is said to be greatly affected by deviation from the equi-
73 atomic composition due to the shape of the MG in the system ⁵. Cao et al. ¹ confirmed this in
74 their DTA study of a Cu-rich composition of the alloy (Cu- 32at. % Co) where they found that a
75 considerable amount of undercooling is required for their alloy to access the binodal curve and
76 even higher cooling rate to get to the spinode.

77 In this article, DTA is used to study a Co-rich composition (Cu- 68.5at. % Co) which is an alloy
78 on the other end of the two alloys discussed above. The aim is to gain insights into the accuracy
79 of the calculated metastable phase diagram of the Co – Cu alloy system determined in a previous
80 study ⁵ as well as to understand the liquid phase separation behavior and the thermal phase
81 transformations in arc melted and drop tube processed Cu -68.5at. % Co alloy.

82

83

84

85 **Experimental methods**

86 The Cu – 68.5 at. % Co master alloy was prepared from high purity elemental constituents (Co
87 Alfa Aesar 99.998 %; Cu Alfa Aesar 99.999 %) by alloying in an arc melting furnace under a
88 protective argon environment. In order to ensure homogeneity of the ingot, the melt process was
89 repeated nine times. The drop tube experiment was carried out in a 6.5 m drop tube facility
90 having a nitrogen environment. Slices from the ingot were melted in the crucible of the drop tube
91 furnace and the super-heated melt was injected into the drop tube under pressure and this
92 dispersed into varying size droplets which rapidly solidified during free fall down the tube. The
93 solidified powders were collected upon cooling and sieved into different sieve size fractions. A
94 detailed description of the experimental procedure is available elsewhere ⁵.


95 Due to the limited amount of powder samples gotten from the drop tube experiment, the size
96 fractions that gave the most powder were considered for the DTA experiment. The DTA
97 measurements were taken using a PerkinElmer™ STA 8000 simultaneous thermal analyzer at
98 heating and cooling rates of 15 Kmin⁻¹. Measurements were taken using 52.320 mg of arc melt
99 sample, 23.081 mg and 18.903 mg of the 850 + μm and < 38 μm sieve size fraction of the drop
100 tube powders respectively. Only the DTA curve of the first heating and cooling cycle were
101 considered in this study as the DTA samples showed signs of Cu oxide contamination evidenced
102 by their black appearance and this is thought to be the origin of some of the peaks observed in
103 the second process cycle. Also, it is reasoned that DTA curves from the second cycle upwards
104 are not likely to be representative of the starting material composition. Baseline artefacts were
105 identified on the DTA curves but were not discussed as they are not part of the transformation
106 details of the alloy but of the reference sample. These were subsequently subtracted from all the
107 curves.

108 All samples were subsequently processed using standard and quantitative metallographic
109 procedures and were examined using a Carl Zeiss Evo MA 15 SEM in backscattered electron
110 mode. Composition of the samples was determined using energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer
111 (EDS) attached to the SEM.

112 **Results and discussion**

113 Fig. 1 is the metastable phase diagram of the alloy system which was obtained by super imposing
114 calculated miscibility gap ⁵ on the equilibrium phase diagram of the Co – Cu system. The
115 estimated T_p on the diagram (1367 K) was lower to that quoted for the phase diagrams by Cao et
116 al. ⁶ and Robinson et al. ⁸ which was 1385 K but not far off from that reported by Yamauchi et al.
117 ³ who reported T_p of 1360 K. The liquidus temperature of the Cu – 68.5 at. % Co alloy is
118 estimated to be 1662 K with the binodal and spinodal decomposition estimated to occur at
119 undercooling of 143 K and 256 K below the liquidus respectively ⁵. An alloy on the opposite end
120 of the phase diagram (Co – 68 at. % Cu) has been shown to have liquidus temperature of 1643 K
121 with critical undercooling of 263 K to cool into the binodal region ¹. These estimates suggest the
122 likelihood of liquid phase separation in the alloy in the binodal region rather than in the spinodal
123 region due to the higher degree of undercooling required to access the spinodal curve.

124



125

126 **Fig. 1. Metastable phase diagram of the Cu-Co alloy system with calculated miscibility gap**
 127 **showing the binodal and spinodal curves. The solidification path of the Cu 68.5 at. % Co**
 128 **alloy and that of alloy of equi – atomic composition is indicated by the red and black lines**
 129 **respectively ⁵.**

130

131 Fig. 2 is a plot of the cooling rate as a function of droplet diameter for the drop tube powders.

132 Properties for the gas and alloy melt used for the calculation are listed in table 1.


133

134

135

136

137



138

139 **Fig. 2. Estimated cooling rate as a function of droplet diameter in Cu – 68.5 at. % Co alloy.**

140 The figure shows that the calculated cooling rate increases as the droplet diameter decreases with
 141 a droplet of diameter 850 μm having a cooling rate of $8.5 \times 10^2 \text{ Ks}^{-1}$ whereas a 150 μm droplet
 142 has an estimated cooling rate of $8.8 \times 10^3 \text{ Ks}^{-1}$ and a 38 μm droplet is shown to have a cooling
 143 rate of $8.1 \times 10^4 \text{ Ks}^{-1}$. The translation of this on the solidified microstructures based on phase
 144 diagram estimates is that the smaller droplets with the higher cooling rates are able to be
 145 sufficiently undercooled into the MG and undergo metastable LPS. However, based on Fig. 1 it
 146 is unlikely that the Cu – 68.5 at. % Co alloy would be able to access the spinodal region of the
 147 MG as the estimated undercooling required to do so is quite high.

148

149


150 **Table 1: Physical properties of gas and alloy melt.**

Parameter	Unit	Value
Specific heat capacity (C_g)	$\text{J Kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	1039
Thermal conductivity (λ_g)	$\text{W m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	2.4×10^{-2}
Dynamic viscosity (μ_g)	N s m^{-2}	1.76×10^{-5}
Prandtl number (Pr)		0.7619
Specific heat capacity (C_m)	$\text{J Kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	590 ^a (50% Co)
Specific heat capacity (C_m)	$\text{J Kg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$	627 ^a (68.5% Co)
Density of melt (ρ_m)	Kg m^{-3}	7885 ^a (50% Co)
Density of melt (ρ_m)	Kg m^{-3}	7835 ^a (68.5% Co)
Latent heat of melting (L)	J Kg^{-1}	0
Emissivity of melt (ϵ)		0.3007 ^a

Stefan Boltzmann constant (σ_B)	$W m^{-2} K^{-4}$	5.67×10^{-8}
------------------------------------------	-------------------	-----------------------


151 ^aCalculated from the pure element based on their atomic fractions.

152 The microstructure of the arc melt sample is shown below in Fig. 3. As expected from the
 153 equilibrium phase diagram of the system, the microstructure observed was dendritic and no
 154 evidence of liquid phase separation was seen in the sample. Average dendritic composition
 155 determined by EDS (Fig. 4) was 84.27 at. % Co. This is in contrast to the microstructure of the
 156 arc melt sample of the equi-atomic composition in which dark spherical particles dispersed in a
 157 light matrix was observed, an indication of LPS ²⁰. This variance is believed to be as a result of
 158 the slightly higher estimated cooling rate for the equi-atomic alloy. The higher the cooling rate,
 159 the greater the degree of undercooling therefore it was possible for the Cu-50at. % Co alloy to
 160 access the MG much faster than the Cu-68.5at. % Co alloy during the solidification process.



161

162 **Fig. 3. Back scattered SEM image of arc melted Cu – 68.5 at. % Co alloy.**



163

164 **Fig. 4. EDS reading of dendrites in arc melted Cu – 68.5 at. % Co alloy.**

165 Microstructures of the drop tube samples supports the metastable phase diagram estimates with

166 evidence of liquid phase separation via binodal decomposition observed. The microstructures in

167 the drop tube samples were of two major categories i.e. phase separated structures and non-


168 phase separated structures. The phase separated structures had embedded dispersed spherical

169 particles which were either copper or cobalt rich. Evidence of spinodal decomposition was not

170 observed in any of the microstructures of this composition. Morphology of the non- phase

171 separated structures were all dendritic. Fig. 5 shows some of the phase separated structures

172 observed in the drop tube powders.




173
 174 **Fig. 5. Phase separated structures in undercooled drop tube powders of Cu 68.5 at. % Co**
 175 **alloy: (a) core shell type structure, (b) and (c) are evolving core shell type structures at**
 176 **different stages along its formation process. The dark phase is Co-rich while the light phase**
 177 **is Cu-rich.**
 178

179 Clearly, because of the compositional difference between the alloy in this research and that
 180 studied by Cao et al. ¹, the liquidus temperature and undercooling is expected to vary. Their
 181 obtained liquidus temperature should and was lesser than that of the Cu – 68.5 at. % Co alloy.
 182 However, on the basis that a non-symmetrical miscibility gap exists in the system, their
 183 estimated critical undercooling was too high as binodal decomposition should occur in the alloy
 184 at much lesser undercooling. Their calculated miscibility gap places the liquidus temperature of
 185 the Cu – 68.5 at. % Co alloy at 1632 K with critical undercooling of 28 K to access the binodal
 186 region while that of the spinodal is placed as 67 K. Their estimated undercooling value of 263 K
 187 places their alloy as well as the one in this research well within the spinodal region. This seems
 188 to suggest that the higher the undercooling, the higher the liquidus temperature which is
 189 reasonable due to the fact that as the undercooling increases, the composition of the alloy is
 190 constantly changing with the Co – rich phase becoming more enriched. However, there is no
 191 microstructural evidence to suggest that this alloy spinodally decomposed.

192 Fig. 6 shows the first cycle DTA curves of the arc melted sample. Two strong endothermic peaks
 193 were prominent with onset temperatures of 1373 K and 1664 K. Since no metastable liquid phase
 194 separated structures were observed in its microstructure in Fig. 7, the equilibrium phase diagram


195 is used for analysis. The first onset temperature when traced on the phase diagram falls slightly
 196 above the T_p of the alloy system (1367 K) the second onset temperature is assumed to be the
 197 liquidus temperature of the alloy since it lies exactly on the liquidus line. However, the peak of
 198 this second event was observed at 1669 K and this we attribute to the latent heat of fusion. At
 199 1599 K, a very strong exothermic event occurred with an onset temperature of 1636 K which
 200 falls slightly below the liquidus. On the equilibrium phase diagram, the alloy at this temperature
 201 is in the L + α region with liquid phase volume fraction of 56.72 % and composition of 81.66 at. %
 202 Co. The second exothermic event with onset temperature of 1375 K corresponds to the
 203 solidification of the Cu – rich inter dendritic space.



204

205 **Fig. 6. First cycle DTA curves of arc melted Cu – 68.5 at. % Co alloy showing the T_m of the**
 206 **Cu – rich phase and T_N of α – Co dendrites.**
 207


208 The first endothermic event is thought to be the melting temperature (T_m) of the Cu – rich phase
 209 as the composition at this temperature when traced on the equilibrium phase diagram is majorly
 210 copper. The T_m of pure copper is 1358 K while that of pure cobalt is 1768 K. The prominent
 211 peak of the exothermic event observed at 1599 K is likely due to the formation of α – Co hence
 212 its onset temperature is taken as its nucleation temperature (T_N) in the alloy. This is confirmed by
 213 the microstructural image in Fig. 7 showing the presence of α – Co dendrites in the DTA sample
 214 and EDS gave their average composition as 82.2 at. % Co which is not far off from the
 215 composition of its starting material in Fig. 4.



216
 217 **Fig. 7. Back scattered SEM image showing DTA processed sample of arc melted Cu – 68.5**
 218 **at. % Co alloy.**
 219

220 In Fig. 8, the same events were observed on the curves of the first heating cycle of the larger
 221 drop tube powders ($850^+ \mu\text{m}$). However, the peaks were observed to be slightly displaced, for

222 instance, T_m was 1372 K in this sample compared to 1373 K observed in the arc melt sample.
 223 The second endothermic peak onset was at 1632 K. It is worth mentioning that a baseline artifact
 224 observed at 1090 K in the arc melt sample shifted to 1098 K in this drop tube powder size range
 225 and the feature is noticed to be considerably wider in this sample.



1


226

227 **Fig. 8. DTA curves of the 850⁺ μm drop tube powder of Cu – 68.5 at. % Co alloy showing**
 228 **T_m of the Cu – rich phase and T_N of α – Co dendrites.**

229

230 In Fig. 9, the DTA curves in the drop tube powder in the < 38 μm sieve size fraction had four
 231 endothermic and exothermic events. The first endothermic event observed corresponding to the
 232 melting point in this powder (1375 K) differs by 2 K and 3 K to the arc melt sample and powder
 233 in the 850⁺ μm size range respectively. At temperatures 1443 K and 1484 K the second and third

234 endothermic events occurred respectively as two small departures from the baseline; indicating
235 that some sort of weak reactions occurred at these temperatures. When traced on the metastable
236 phase diagram, the temperature 1443 K coincides with the binodal and spinodal curves but due to
237 the estimated high undercooling necessary for the alloy to cool into the spinodal region, it is
238 unlikely the alloy spinodally decomposed during the DTA experiment. However, when traced on
239 the equilibrium phase diagram it hits the liquidus line and falls within the $L + \alpha - \text{Co}$ region with
240 the volume fraction of the $\alpha - \text{Co}$ phase placed at 33 % while the liquid found to be Cu – rich
241 had a composition of 40 at. % Co. The final endothermic event which was characterized by a
242 broad peak had an onset temperature of 1542 K. The first exothermic event in this alloy powder
243 size range occurred as a small departure from the baseline at 1176 K. The onset temperatures of
244 the first, second and third exothermic peaks were 1620 K, 1616 K and 1373 K respectively.



245


246 **Fig. 9. DTA curves of the < 38 μm drop tube powder of the Cu – 68.5 at. % Co alloy.**

247

248 Two transformations known to occur at lower temperatures on the equilibrium phase diagram of
 249 the Co – Cu system are the magnetic transformation at 1323 K and eutectoid transformation at
 250 695 K. It is difficult to conclude if the baseline event observed in the larger drop tube powder is
 251 an artifact or a feature of the alloy; however, it is thought that the temperature of the event
 252 corresponds to the magnetic transformation temperature which has been known to be lowered
 253 with increasing Cu content. If this were the case, the alloy most probably crossed the T_p line and
 254 the use of the equilibrium phase diagram in this analysis rather than the metastable one is
 255 justified. Although the first exothermic event in the smaller powder also occurred as a small

256 departure from the baseline, the energy observed to be associated with the event was rather low
257 (-1.33 J/g).


258 In the 850⁺ μm powder, the peak positions on the heating curve and second peak of the cooling
259 curve are exactly **3 K** lesser than that of the arc melt sample while the first peak on the cooling
260 curve differs from that of the arc melt sample by **2 K**. However, the first exothermic event on the
261 cooling curve in this powder sample has an onset temperature (which coincides with the
262 temperature at which α – Co starts to nucleate) that is higher than the arc melt sample (1608 K).
263 The second exothermic events on the equilibrium phase diagram coincides with temperature at
264 which the Cu – rich phase starts to solidify (T_s). Therefore the microstructure is expected to be α
265 -Co dendrites with Cu – rich inter dendritic space. This is confirmed by the microstructure in Fig.
266 10.



267
268 **Fig. 10. Back scattered SEM image of DTA processed sample of 850⁺ μm drop tube**
269 **powder of the Cu – 68.5 at. % Co alloy.**

270

271 The presence of a third exothermic peak on the DTA cooling curve of the < 38 μm powder
272 sample is initially thought to be due to LPS based on what looked like spherical structures on its
273 microstructure in Fig. 11. Evidently, the microstructure shows what looks like spherical particles
274 but upon tracing out the temperatures on the metastable phase diagram, these coincide with the
275 spinodal line. Since there is no evidence of spinodal decomposition in this microstructure and
276 other microstructures of the alloy, the use of the equilibrium phase diagram in analysing it is
277 justified. It is therefore concluded that the spherical particles are dendrite tips.



278
279 **Fig.11. Back scattered SEM image of DTA sample of the < 38 μm drop tube powder of the**
280 **Cu – 68.5 at. % Co alloy.**
281

282 **Conclusion**

283 In conclusion, analysis of the onset temperature of the events on the DTA curves on the phase
284 diagram of the system shows that as the powder size decreased, volume fraction of the α – Co
285 phase increased. This is reflected by the variation of the T_m as sample size decreased; a departure
286 from the melting temperature of pure copper was observed. The implication of this is that as
287 undercooling increased (higher undercooling in smaller powder droplets), the copper content
288 gradually reduces.

289

290 **Acknowledgement**

291 Oluwatoyin Jegede is a commonwealth scholar, sponsored by the UK government.

292 **Competing interest statement**

293 The authors declare there are no competing interests.

294

295 **Author contribution statement**

296 All authors actively contributed towards the writing of the manuscript and have given approval

297 to its final version.

298 **References**

- 299 (1) Cao, C.; Letzig, T.; Görler, G.; Herlach, D. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2001**, 325 (1–2), 113.
- 300 (2) Munitz, A.; Abbaschian, R. *Metall. Mater. Trans. A* **1996**, 27 (12), 4049.
301 doi.org/10.1007/BF02595654.
- 302 (3) Yamauchi, I.; Ueno, N.; Shimaoka, M.; Ohnaka, I. *J. Mater. Sci.* **1998**, 33 (2), 371.
303 doi.org/10.1023/A:1004319829612.
- 304 (4) Munitz, A.; Abbaschian, R. *J. Mater. Sci.* **1991**, 26 (23), 6458.
305 doi.org/10.1007/BF00551897.
- 306 (5) Jegede, O. E.; Cochrane, R. F.; Mullis, A. M. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2018**, 53 (16), 11749.
307 doi.org/10.1007/s10853-018-2417-y.
- 308 (6) Cao, C.; Görler, G.; Herlach, D.; Wei, B. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **2002**, 325 (1–2), 503.
309 doi.org/10.1016/S0921-5093(01)01756-7.
- 310 (7) Nakagawa, Y. *Acta Metall.* **1958**, 6 (11), 704. doi.org/10.1016/0001-6160(58)90061-0.
- 311 (8) Robinson, M. B.; Li, D.; Rathz, T. J.; Williams, G. *J. Mater. Sci.* **1999**, 34 (15), 3747.
312 doi.org/10.1023/A:1004688313591.
- 313 (9) Palumbo, M.; Curiotto, S.; Battezzati, L. *Calphad* **2006**, 30 (2), 171.
314 doi.org/10.1016/J.CALPHAD.2005.10.007.
- 315 (10) Zhang, Y.; Gao, J.; Yasuda, H.; Kolbe, M.; Wilde, G. *Scr. Mater.* **2014**, 82, 5.
316 doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2014.03.003.

- 317 (11) Cao, C.; Wang, N.; Wei, B. *Sci. China Ser. A Math.* **2000**, *43* (12), 1318.
318 doi.org/10.1007/BF02880070.
- 319 (12) Luo, X.; Chen, L. *Sci. China Ser. E Technol. Sci.* **2008**, *51* (9), 1370.
320 doi.org/10.1007/s11431-008-0128-3.
- 321 (13) Zhang, Y. K.; Gao, J.; Nagamatsu, D.; Fukuda, T.; Yasuda, H.; Kolbe, M.; He, J. C. *Scr.*
322 *Mater.* **2008**, *59* (9), 1002. doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2008.07.005.
- 323 (14) Zhang, Y.; Gao, J.; Wei, L.; Kolbe, M.; Volkmann, T.; Herlach, D. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2011**,
324 *46* (20), 6603.
- 325 (15) Kolbe, M.; Gao, J. R. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **2005**, *413–414*, 509.
326 doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2005.08.170.
- 327 (16) Cao, C. D.; Wei, B.; Herlach, D. M. *J. Mater. Sci. Lett.* **2002**, *21* (41), 341.
328 doi.org/10.1023/A:1017913029777.
- 329 (17) Mullis, A. M.; Jegede, O. E.; Bigg, T. D.; Cochrane, R. F. *Acta Mater.* **2020**, *188*, 591.
330 doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2020.02.017.
- 331 (18) Zhai, W.; Wei, B. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2015**, *86*, 57.
332 dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jct.2015.02.021.
- 333 (19) Erol, M.; Büyük, U.; Volkmann, T.; Herlach, D. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2013**, *575*, 96.
- 334 (20) Jegede, O. E.; Haque, N.; Mullis, A. M.; Cochrane, R. F. *Can. J. Chem.* **2021**.
335 doi.org/10.1139/cjc-2021-0064.
- 336
- 337




Fig. 1. Metastable phase diagram of the Cu-Co alloy system with calculated miscibility gap showing the binodal and spinodal curves. The solidification path of the Cu 68.5 at. % Co alloy and that of alloy of equi – atomic composition is indicated by the red and black lines respectively 5.

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)




Fig. 2. Estimated cooling rate as a function of droplet diameter in Cu – 68.5 at. % Co alloy.

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)




Fig. 3. Back scattered SEM image of arc melted Cu – 68.5 at. % Co alloy.

26009x19507mm (1 x 1 DPI)




Fig. 4. EDS reading of dendrites in arc melted Cu – 68.5 at. % Co alloy.

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)




Fig. 5. Phase separated structures in undercooled drop tube powders of Cu 68.5 at. % Co alloy: (a) core shell type structure, (b) and (c) are evolving core shell type structures at different stages along its formation process. The dark phase is Co-rich while the light phase is Cu-rich.

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)




Fig. 6. First cycle DTA curves of arc melted Cu - 68.5 at. % Co alloy showing the T_m of the Cu - rich phase and T_N of α - Co dendrites.

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)




Fig. 7. Back scattered SEM image showing DTA processed sample of arc melted Cu - 68.5 at. % Co alloy.

225x183mm (72 x 72 DPI)




Fig. 8. DTA curves of the 850+ μm drop tube powder of Cu – 68.5 at. % Co alloy showing T_m of the Cu – rich phase and T_N of α – Co dendrites.

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)




Fig. 9. DTA curves of the $< 38 \mu\text{m}</math> drop tube powder of the Cu - 68.5 at. % Co alloy.$

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)




Fig. 10. Back scattered SEM image of DTA processed sample of 850+ μm drop tube powder of the Cu - 68.5 at. % Co alloy.

225x183mm (72 x 72 DPI)




Fig.11. Back scattered SEM image of DTA sample of the < 38 μm drop tube powder of the Cu - 68.5 at. % Co alloy.

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)