



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Dietary copper and selenium are associated with insulin resistance in overweight and obese Malaysian adults*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/178928/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Tan, PY [orcid.org/0000-0003-1281-4928](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1281-4928) and Mitra, SR (2021) Dietary copper and selenium are associated with insulin resistance in overweight and obese Malaysian adults. *Nutrition Research*, 93. pp. 38-49. ISSN 0271-5317

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nutres.2021.06.008>

---

© 2021, Elsevier. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

1 **Title page**

2 **Title: Dietary copper and selenium are associated with insulin resistance in overweight**  
3 **and obese Malaysian adults**

4 Pui Yee Tan<sup>1</sup> & Soma Roy Mitra<sup>1</sup>

5 <sup>1</sup> School of Food Science and Nutrition, Faculty of Environment, University of Leeds, United  
6 Kingdom

7 <sup>2</sup>School of Biosciences, Faculty of Science and Engineering, University of Nottingham  
8 Malaysia, Semenyih, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

9 **Short title:** Dietary copper and selenium, insulin resistance, Malaysian adults

10 **Contact Number:**

11 Pui Yee Tan<sup>1</sup> (First author)

12 Contact number: +44 7311442255

13 Postal address: University of Leeds, United Kingdom, Woodhouse, Leeds LS2 9JT

14 Email: [p.y.tan@leeds.ac.uk](mailto:p.y.tan@leeds.ac.uk)

15 ORCID: 0000-0003-1281-4928

16

17 Soma Roy Mitra<sup>1</sup> (Corresponding author)

18 Contact number: 6011-23298179

19 Fax number: +6 (03) 8924 8018

20 Postal address: The University of Nottingham Malaysia, Jalan Broga, 43500 Semenyih,  
21 Selangor

22 Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

23 Email: [Soma.Mitra@nottingham.edu.my](mailto:Soma.Mitra@nottingham.edu.my)

24 ORCID: 0000-0001-7045-1550

25

26 **Abbreviations**

27

28 ANCOVA; analysis of covariance, ATP; adenosine triphosphate, BMI; body mass index;  
29 BMR; basal metabolic rate, CI; confidence interval, COX; cytochrome c oxidase, Cu; copper,  
30 DSM-BIA; direct segmental multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance analysis, FFQ; food  
31 frequency questionnaire, Gpx; glutathione peroxidases, HbA1c; glycated hemoglobin, HDL;  
32 high-density lipoprotein, HOMA-IR; homeostatic model assessment-insulin resistance,  
33 hsCRP; high sensitivity C-reactive protein, IL; interleukin; IR; insulin resistance, LDL; low-  
34 density lipoprotein, MET; metabolic equivalent, MsrB; methionine sulfoxide reductase B,  
35 ORs; odds ratio, RNI; recommended nutrient intake, ROC; reactive oxygen species, Se;  
36 selenium, SEM; standard error of mean, Sepp1; selenoprotein P plasma 1, SOD; superoxide  
37 dismutase, TE; total energy intake, T2DM; type 2 diabetes mellitus, UNM; University of  
38 Nottingham in Malaysia, US; United State, VIF; variance inflation factor, WC; waist  
39 circumference,  $\beta$ ; beta coefficient.

40 **Abstract**

41

42 Imbalance in or inadequate intake of micronutrients may impair insulin synthesis, secretion,  
43 and its signaling pathways. This study aimed to investigate the associations between dietary  
44 copper (Cu) and selenium (Se) with insulin resistance (IR), in overweight/obese adults. We  
45 hypothesized that dietary Cu and Se are associated with IR in a non-linear trend. A cross-  
46 sectional study was conducted on 128 non-diabetic overweight and obese Malaysian adults  
47 aged  $\geq 18$  years with a body mass index  $\geq 23 \text{ kg/m}^2$ . Dietary intake was assessed using food  
48 frequency questionnaire. IR was defined as homeostatic model assessment-insulin resistance  
49 (HOMA-IR)  $\geq 1.7$ . Locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOESS) regression was  
50 performed to detect non-linearity and piecewise regression models were computed to  
51 examine the trend of the associations at different cut off points. In this study, 45% (n=57) of  
52 the study participants were found to be insulin resistant. A U-shaped non-linear relation  
53 between Se and HOMA-IR was observed. Three-piecewise regression models ( $\beta$  coefficient)  
54 revealed positive association between Se and HOMA-IR in individuals with relatively low  
55 ( $< 0.3 \mu\text{g/kg/day}$ ) and high ( $\geq 1.01 \mu\text{g/kg/day}$ ) intake of Se, 3.835 (CI=-12.216-19.886;  
56  $p=0.614$ ) and, 0.785 (CI=0.386-1.185;  $p=0.014$ ), respectively. Significant positive association  
57 was only found between dietary Cu and HOMA-IR with intake of Cu  $\geq 13.4 \mu\text{g/kg/day}$ , 0.276  
58 (CI=0.025-0.526;  $p=0.033$ ). In conclusion, this study provides evidence on a U-shaped non-  
59 linear relationship between dietary Se and HOMA-IR, and a positive association between Cu  
60 with HOMA-IR with intake of Cu  $\geq 13.4 \mu\text{g/kg/day}$ . Thus, an appropriate intake of dietary Cu  
61 and Se is crucial to improve insulin sensitivity and reduce the risk of diabetes mellitus.

62 **Keywords:** Dietary copper; dietary selenium; insulin resistance; HOMA-IR; non-linear  
63 relationships; overweight and obese Malaysian adults.

64

65 **Manuscript Text**

66

67 **1. Introduction**

68

69 Insulin resistance is characterized by impaired glucose tolerance, dyslipidemia,  
70 hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinemia, which precedes the development of Type 2 Diabetes  
71 Mellitus (T2DM) [1] [2]. Earlier studies have reported that dietary trace minerals such as  
72 copper (Cu) and selenium (Se) play a vital role in the regulation of enzymes catalyzing  
73 antioxidant metabolism, glucose metabolism, pancreatic  $\beta$ -cell function and insulin signaling.  
74 These findings have rekindled the interest of researchers in the role of these essential dietary  
75 trace minerals in oxidative stress and in glucose metabolism [3]–[5].

76

77 Selenium is known to play a significant role in the pathophysiology of obesity and insulin  
78 resistance due to its anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidant functions through selenium-  
79 dependent glutathione peroxidases and other selenoproteins [3]. Thus, it is expected that  
80 higher level of Se may have a protective effect against T2DM, and its deficiency could be  
81 responsible for impaired insulin sensitivity [6]. Few longitudinal prospective cohort studies  
82 reported that high levels of serum Se were significantly associated with decreased risk of  
83 dysglycemia and diabetes in various populations including US and French [7], [8]. However,  
84 positive associations have been found between the Se status and risk of diabetes in other  
85 studies [9], [10]. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis concluded that the levels of  
86 Se, assessed by dietary intake and various biological samples, were significantly associated  
87 with increased risk of diabetes from both nonexperimental and experimental studies [11]. The  
88 authors reported that subjects receiving Se supplementation of 200  $\mu$ g/day were associated  
89 with increased risk of diabetes compared to placebo in trials, but this effect was largely

90 limited to subjects with high baseline Se levels. Similar finding was reported in a population  
91 in Newfoundland, where higher dietary Se was associated with lower insulin resistance [12].  
92 However, this beneficial effect on insulin sensitivity was only found in individuals with total  
93 dietary Se intake below 1.6µg/kg/day, and disappeared above this threshold value [12]. These  
94 findings suggest a non-linear relationship between Se levels and diabetes and deserve further  
95 investigation.

96 Copper is an important trace element involved in redox reactions. It is necessary for the  
97 catalytic activity of enzymes such as Cu/Zinc superoxide dismutase (SOD), which are  
98 involved in the protection of cells from superoxide radicals. Copper deficiency may lead to  
99 cardiac hypertrophy and blood vessel abnormalities [13], whereas copper overload is  
100 associated with dyslipidemia, increased oxidative stress and kidney dysfunction in diabetic  
101 rats [14]. Animal experiments have reported that dietary copper supplementation may restore  
102 β-cell function of Cohen diabetic rats [15]. However, there is increasing evidence that Cu acts  
103 as a pro-oxidant. A systematic review and meta-analysis of 15 observational studies also  
104 reported that subjects with diabetes mellitus have significant higher plasma or serum levels of  
105 Cu compared to healthy individuals [16]. Kim & Song 2014 also reported positive association  
106 between Cu levels and insulin resistance in visceral-obese adults [17]. Higher plasma Cu  
107 level was associated with increased levels of glycosylated hemoglobin in patients with diabetes  
108 mellitus [18].

109

110 Given that the pathological changes in metabolism contributing to hyperglycemia and insulin  
111 resistance occur at a preclinical phase, it may be expected that there is a metabolic imbalance  
112 in free radical production and in dietary antioxidants in clinically overt diabetics [19]. Thus, it  
113 is essential to determine the relationship between the dietary intake of Cu and Se and insulin  
114 resistance in the non-diabetic overweight and obese population in order to implement dietary

115 strategies for the prevention and management of diabetes at an early stage. The authors  
116 hypothesize that dietary Cu and Se are associated with insulin resistance in a non-linear trend.  
117 Therefore, the authors aimed to explore the associations between dietary nutrients,  
118 particularly Se and Cu and insulin resistance (IR), in non-diabetic overweight and obese  
119 Malaysian adults.

120

## 121 **2. Methodology**

122

### 123 **2.1. Ethics approval**

124 This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of  
125 Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects were reviewed and approved by the  
126 Science and Engineering Research Ethics Committee, University of Nottingham Malaysia  
127 (UNM) (ID: SM190614). This study was also registered under the Medical Research and  
128 Ethics Committee (MREC) of National Medical Research Registry (Registration number-  
129 25110), Ministry of Health Malaysia (MOH). Written informed consent was requested and  
130 obtained from each individual participant.

131

### 132 **2.2. Participant selection**

133 This study is nested in a broader study investigating the effect of dietary nutrients on obesity-  
134 related phenotypes. Detailed information of the study design and method has been described  
135 in our previous publication [20]. Briefly, this cross-sectional study was conducted to assess  
136 the associations between dietary intake including Cu and Se and insulin resistance (IR). A  
137 total of 128 non-diabetic (as reported by self-reported questionnaire and later confirmed by  
138 serum glucose levels) overweight and obese Malaysian (Malaysian Malays, Chinese and

139 Indians) adults aged 18 years and above with a body mass index (BMI)  $\geq 23 \text{ kg/m}^2$  [21] were  
140 recruited at random through advertisements and flyers, distributed at the University of  
141 Nottingham in Malaysia (UNM) campus and schools in the vicinity of UNM (Semenyih and  
142 Kajang areas of Selangor state in Malaysia). Interested individuals attended an initial  
143 screening to determine whether the participants met the specified inclusion and exclusion  
144 criteria. Participants were requested to complete a health and lifestyle questionnaire which  
145 included questions on past diseases, family history of past diseases, physical activity level  
146 and substance abuse. Smoking and alcohol consumption status were reported as i) never, ii)  
147 former and iii) current. The exclusion criteria included pregnant woman, individuals who  
148 were diagnosed with cardiovascular diseases, stroke, diabetes, renal or endocrine disorders  
149 such as hypothyroidism, and subjects on vitamin/micronutrient supplementations or drugs  
150 (e.g. cholesterol lowering, hypoglycemic and psychiatric medications).

151

### 152 **2.3. Measurement of anthropometric parameters**

153 Height of the individual with barefoot was measured with a standard height rod.

154 Anthropometric parameters including weight (kg), fat mass (kg), skeletal muscle mass (kg),  
155 fat free mass (kg) and percent body fat (%) were measured using a body composition  
156 analyzer direct segmental multi-frequency bioelectrical impedance analysis (DSM-BIA)  
157 (InBody 230, Seoul, Korea). Body mass index (BMI) was defined as weight in kilograms  
158 divided by the square of height in meters ( $\text{kg/m}^2$ ). Waist circumference (WC) was measured  
159 at the midpoint between the top of iliac crest and the lower margin of the last palpable rib  
160 [22].

161

### 162 **2.4. Assessment on dietary intake**

163 Energy, macro- and micronutrient intake were assessed by an interviewer-administered  
164 validated food frequency questionnaire (FFQ). This FFQ consisting 156 food items which  
165 were listed according to 12 categories (grain, meat and poultry, fish and seafood, egg and  
166 eggs products, legumes, milk and milk products, vegetables, fruits, drinks, confectionary,  
167 bread spread and flavorings), was collected from each participant [23]. For each item,  
168 participants indicated the frequency of consumption for the past week (never, once a week,  
169 2–4 times a week, 5–6 times a week, once per day, 2–3 times per day, 4–5 times per day).  
170 The number of standard portions consumed per sitting was recorded with the aid of  
171 photographs of standard portion sizes [24]. Detailed information related to the brands,  
172 methods of cooking, supplementation of vitamins and minerals and oil consumption were  
173 collected and documented to avoid under-reporting and to capture macro- and micronutrient  
174 intake as accurately as possible. Total daily intake of macro- and micronutrients including Cu  
175 and Se were calculated by multiplying the nutrient content of unit portion of each food item,  
176 by the frequency of consumption of each food, times the number of portions consumed per  
177 sitting, and finally summed across all food items to give an estimate of the per day intake.  
178 The per day consumption data were entered into an energy and nutrient assessment software,  
179 Dietplan7 (Forestfield Software Ltd., UK) to compute energy, macro and micro-nutrient  
180 intake. All the Malaysian food items not found in the Dietplan7 database were keyed in from  
181 the “Recommended Nutrient Intakes (RNI) Malaysia 2017“ [25] and “Nutrient Composition  
182 of Malaysian Foods” [26]. The intakes of macronutrients were expressed as a percentage of  
183 total energy consumed, while micronutrients (e.g. Cu and Se) were adjusted to unit/kg of  
184 body weight/day. TE/basal metabolic rate (BMR) ratio <1.2 was used to exclude under-  
185 reporters [27]. In the current study, none of the participant was found to be under-reporting.  
186 Therefore, all the participants were included for analysis.



187

## 188 **2.5. Assessment of physical activity**

189 Physical activity data were collected through a structured questionnaire including a list of  
190 physical activities with corresponding metabolic equivalent (MET) values [28]. Details of  
191 activities asked included (1) the type and intensity of the activity; (2) the time and duration  
192 (mins) of each activity performed per day; and (3) the number of days each activity was  
193 performed in a week. The reported physical activity was then categorized into light (<3  
194 METs), moderate (3-6 METs) and vigorous (>6 METs) intensity physical activity, according  
195 to Ainsworth et al., 2000 [28]. The total time (mins) spent on sedentary, moderate and  
196 vigorous intensity physical activity in a week was computed for each participant. Physically  
197 active was defined as accumulation of at least 150 minutes/week of moderate intensity  
198 physical activity or 60 minutes/week of vigorous intensity physical activity, according to the  
199 Ministry of Health Malaysia, or else they are considered as physically inactive [29].  
200 Participants of the current study were then categorized into two groups; physically active and  
201 physically inactive.

202

## 203 **2.6. Blood biochemical analysis**

204 Fasting blood samples were collected by an experienced phlebotomist, from the antecubital  
205 vein into grey vacutainer tubes containing fluoride oxalate (Becton Dickinson, Oxford, UK)  
206 for plasma glucose analysis, and yellow vacutainer tube with clot activator and gel (Becton  
207 Dickinson, Oxford, UK) for serum insulin, lipid profile including total cholesterol,  
208 triglyceride and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, and high sensitivity C-reactive  
209 protein (hsCRP) analysis. For serum preparation, tube was left to clot immediately at room  
210 temperature for 30 minutes and centrifuged at 1500x g at 4°C for 15 minutes within 2 hours.  
211 The supernatant (serum) was kept frozen at -80°C until analysis. For plasma preparation, tube

212 was inverted several times to ensure a proper mixing of the additive with the blood. Plasma  
213 was then separated by centrifugation at 2000x g at 4 °C for 15 minutes within 2 hours.  
214 Plasma was kept frozen at -80°C until analysis.

215 All blood biochemical analyses were carried on the Abbott Architect CI8200 Automatic  
216 Analyzer. Serum hsCRP level was quantified by immunoturbidimetric method using  
217 ARCHITECT C-Reactive Protein Reagent Kit (8G65) (Abbott Laboratories, IL, USA).  
218 Serum insulin was analyzed by chemi-luminescent micro-particle immunoassay (CMIA)  
219 using ARCHITECT Insulin Reagent Kit (8K41) (Abbott Laboratories, IL, USA). Plasma  
220 glucose was measured by hexokinase method using ARCHITECT Glucose Reagent Kit  
221 (3L82-20) (Abbott Laboratories, IL, USA). Homeostatic model assessment-insulin resistance  
222 (HOMA-IR) was calculated as = [fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L) x fasting serum insulin  
223 (uU/ml)]/22.5 according to homeostatic model assessment (HOMA) [30]. Insulin resistance  
224 (IR) was defined as HOMA-IR $\geq$ 1.7 [31]. Serum total cholesterol and triglyceride were  
225 determined employing enzymatic-colorimetric methods using ARCHITECT Cholesterol  
226 Reagent Kit (7D62) and ARCHITECT Triglyceride Reagent Kit (7D74) (Abbott  
227 Laboratories, IL, USA), respectively. HDL-cholesterol was measured by enzymatic-direct  
228 determination method using ARCHITECT Commercial HDL Cholesterol Liquid Reagent Kit  
229 (Abbott Laboratories, IL, USA). LDL cholesterol was determined using the Friedewald  
230 formula: LDL cholesterol = Total cholesterol – [(Triglyceride/5) + HDL cholesterol] [32].

231

## 232 **2.7. Statistical analysis**

233 Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (IBM  
234 SPSS statistic, Chicago, IL, USA, version 22). Data were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error  
235 of mean (SEM) or number (percentage). Normality was checked using Shapiro-Wilk Test,  
236 and log transformation was performed to transform nonnormally distributed data into

237 normally distributed data. Independent-t test and Chi square test were performed to assess the  
238 differences in general characteristics for continuous and categorical variables, respectively,  
239 between the insulin resistant and non-insulin resistant groups. One-way ANCOVA was  
240 performed to determine the differences in anthropometric, blood biochemical and dietary  
241 parameters between the insulin resistant and non-insulin resistant groups, after adjusting for  
242 covariates using different models.

243 Associations between dietary intake and HOMA-IR were evaluated by using multiple linear  
244 regression, beta coefficient ( $\beta$ ) and 95% of confidence interval (CI) were computed.

245 Adjustments for covariates such as age, social-demographic, dietary, anthropometric and  
246 blood biochemical parameters were applied using different models (models 1, 2 and 3).

247 Variance inflation factor (VIF) was taken as a measure for testing multicollinearity among  
248 related parameters [33], with VIF value  $\geq 10$  indicates high collinearity. The VIF values for  
249 dietary parameters were close to 1.0, indicating lack of multicollinearity.

250 Locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOESS) regression was constructed to detect non-  
251 linear relationship between dietary micronutrient (e.g. Cu and Se) and HOMA-IR using  
252 STATGRAPHICS Centurion version 19 (Statepoint Technologies Inc. Warrenton, Va,  
253 Virginia, USA). When a non-linear association was observed, piecewise regression analysis  
254 was performed to examine how the associations differed by cut off points. A two- and three  
255 piecewise linear regression model was constructed for dietary Se and Cu, respectively. The  
256 cut-off points were determined by trying all possible values along a predefined interval and  
257 choosing the turning point that gave the highest likelihood. Then, log likelihood ratio test for  
258 the linear regression model and two piecewise linear regression model was conducted to  
259 determine the best fit for the model.

260

## 261 **2.8. Power and sample size calculation**

262 To determine the association between dietary micronutrients and insulin resistance, sample  
263 size was computed using the formula  $n = \frac{r+1}{r} \frac{SD^2(Z_\beta + Z_{1-\alpha/2})^2}{d^2}$ , for case-control study,  
264 according to Charan & Biswas, 2013 [34].  $Z_{1-\alpha/2}$  is the value from the standard normal  
265 distribution holding  $1 - \alpha/2$  below it, which is 1.96 and  $Z_\beta$  is the standard normal variate for a  
266 power of 80%, which is 0.84. SD is the standard deviation of dietary Cu in the Malaysian  
267 population which was previously reported, 0.6mg [35]. d is the expected mean difference in  
268 dietary Cu between the insulin resistant and non-insulin resistant groups, which is 0.25mg. r  
269 is the ratio of the two groups, which is 1. Thus,  $n = \frac{1+1}{1} \frac{0.6^2(0.84+1.96)^2}{0.25^2}$ , =90. Assuming an  
270 attrition rate of 20%, at least a total of 108 participants should be enrolled in this study.

271

### 272 **3. Results**

273 A total of 128 participants were recruited after screening for inclusion criteria. Of the total,  
274 45% (n=57) of the study participants were found to be insulin resistant (HOMA-IR $\geq$ 1.7).

275 General characteristics of the insulin resistant and non-insulin resistant groups are reported in  
276 Table 1. No significant difference was found in age, sex, ethnicity, physical activity status,  
277 smoking and alcohol consumption between the two groups.

278

#### 279 **3.1. Differences in the average values of the anthropometric, blood biochemical and** 280 **dietary parameters between the insulin resistant and non-insulin resistant groups**

281 The differences in the mean values of the anthropometric, blood biochemical and dietary  
282 parameters between the insulin resistant and non-insulin resistant groups are reported in  
283 Table 2. Insulin resistant group had significantly higher body weight, BMI, WC, muscle  
284 mass, fat mass, percent body fat, fasting glucose, fasting insulin, HOMA-IR, triglyceride and  
285 total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol and significantly lower HDL cholesterol compared to the

286 non-insulin resistant group, even after adjusting for covariates ( $p < 0.05$ ). These variables were  
287 adjusted as covariates in the subsequent regression analysis. The correlations between  
288 HOMA-IR and other dietary parameters are reported in the Table S1.

289

### 290 **3.2. Non-linear relationship between dietary copper and HOMA-IR**

291 Locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOESS) regression was conducted to detect the  
292 relationship between dietary Cu and Se and HOMA-IR. As shown in the Figure 1, non-linear  
293 relationship between dietary Cu and HOMA-IR was identified. The result from two-  
294 piecewise linear regression analysis revealed that the inflection point was at  $13.4 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{day}$   
295 (Table 3). Interestingly, on the right side of the inflection point, significant positive  
296 association was found between dietary Cu and HOMA-IR, with an effect size ( $\beta$ ) of 0.276  
297 ( $\text{CI} = 0.025\text{-}0.526$ ;  $p = 0.033$ ). Significance remained even after adjusting for covariates. On the  
298 left side of the inflection point, an inverse association was detected between dietary Cu and  
299 HOMA-IR,  $-0.025$  ( $\text{CI} = -0.137\text{-}0.086$ ), however such association did not reach statistical  
300 significance ( $p = 0.601$ ). Further, a stratified analysis between dietary Cu and HOMA-IR was  
301 conducted based on sex and age to observe the effect of sex (females and males) and age  
302 (using median age 41y as cut off) on these associations (Table S2). No significant effect of  
303 sex and age was found on these associations after adjusting for covariates.

304

### 305 **3.3. Non-linear relationship between dietary selenium and HOMA-IR**

306 A U-shaped non-linear relationship between dietary Se and HOMA-IR was observed (Figure  
307 2). When linear regression model analysis was performed (including adjusting for covaristes),  
308 an positive association was found between dietary Se and HOMA-IR (Table 4) which did not  
309 achieve statistical significance. However, the results from the three-piecewise linear  
310 regression analysis revealed that the inflection points were at 0.3 and  $1.01 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{day}$  (Table

311 4). Positive associations between dietary Se and HOMA-IR were found in individuals with  
312 relatively low ( $\leq 0.3 \mu\text{g/kg/day}$ ) and high ( $\geq 1.01 \mu\text{g/kg/day}$ ) intake of dietary Se, with an effect  
313 size ( $\beta$  coefficient) of 3.835 (-12.216-19.886;  $p=0.614$ ) and 0.785 (CI=0.386-1.185;  
314  $p=0.014$ ), respectively, after adjusting for covariates. However, such positive association was  
315 found significant only with intakes of Se  $\geq 1.01 \mu\text{g/kg/day}$ . Whereas an inverse association  
316 was found between dietary Se and HOMA-IR with intakes of Se between 0.3-1.01  $\mu\text{g/kg/day}$ ,  
317 -1.064 (CI=-4.486-2.357;  $p=0.536$ ). However, such associations did not reach statistical  
318 significance. When participants were stratified based on sex and age, similar findings were  
319 found in female participants (Table S3). However, due to the small sample size of male  
320 participants, the regression coefficient and p value could not be computed. Age showed no  
321 significant effect on these association. No significant association was found between other  
322 dietary micronutrients and HOMA-IR using multiple linear regression (Table S1), thus the  
323 results are not discussed.

324

#### 325 **4. Discussion**

326

327 This is a cross sectional study assessing the associations between dietary Cu and Se with  
328 HOMA-IR in non-diabetic overweight and obese Malaysian adults. Locally weighted  
329 scatterplot smoothing (LOESS) regression revealed a non-linear relationship in both dietary  
330 Cu with HOMA-IR and dietary Se with HOMA-IR. Two-piecewise regression analysis  
331 identified significant positive association between dietary Cu and HOMA-IR in individuals  
332 with dietary Cu intake  $\geq 13.4 \mu\text{g/kg/day}$ .

333

334 Copper is a dietary essential trace element. It serves as a cofactor for the catalytic activity of  
335 many metalloenzymes. Such enzymes play a critical role in the function of cytochrome c

336 oxidase (COX) at the terminal end of the mitochondrial electron transport chain [36].  
337 Efficient COX activity is crucial for the generation of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) via the  
338 mitochondrial electron transport chain, necessary to mediate insulin secretion by the  
339 pancreatic  $\beta$ -cells. However, excess Cu can increase the production of reactive oxygen  
340 species (ROS) resulting in heightened oxidative stress. It is reported that higher level of  
341 oxidative stress can trigger the onset and progression of T2DM [4]. Further, it has been  
342 reported that decrease in serum Cu is associated with decreased production of ROS in  
343 experimental animal models of diabetes mellitus.

344

345 Our finding is in line with a systematic review and meta-analysis which reported that subjects  
346 with diabetes mellitus have significantly higher plasma or serum levels of Cu compared to  
347 healthy individuals [16]. Similar finding was reported in a prospective cohort study which  
348 found that dietary Cu was associated with increased odds of T2DM in the Japanese  
349 population [37]. Higher serum Cu levels were associated with hyperinsulinemia and  
350 decreased insulin sensitivity in the prediabetes individuals [38]. So and so reported that  
351 glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) levels were positively correlated with Cu, in the patients  
352 with T2DM from a couple of observational studies [39] [40]. The studies referred to support  
353 the role of copper in the pathogenesis of diabetes mellitus. However, there is limited data  
354 available from clinical trials in human populations, raising concerns on the appropriate  
355 quantity of dietary or supplemental intake of Cu per day for normal cellular metabolism.  
356 Linear regression analysis of the current study (Table 4) shows that dietary Se was not  
357 significantly correlated to HOMA-IR. However, a U-shaped non-linear relationship between  
358 dietary Se and HOMA-IR was observed (Figure 2). The 3-piecewise regression analysis  
359 indicated that the correlation between dietary Se and HOMA-IR had a segmental trend at  
360 different inflection points. An inverse association was found in intakes of Se between 0.3 and

361 1.0µg/kg/day, and a positive association were found in intakes of <0.3 µg/kg/day and  
362 ≥1.0µg/kg/day.

363

364 Earlier studies in the Chinese population have reported positive association between dietary  
365 Se and type 2 diabetes [41], [42]. However, yet others have reported conflicting evidence.  
366 Ozkaya et al., 2009 reported that in a Turkish population, HOMA-IR was significantly higher  
367 in diabetic patients with lower levels of serum Se (<80µg/dl) compared to those with higher  
368 levels of serum Se (≥80µg/dl) [43]. Supplementation of Se (200 µg/d) in patients with  
369 diabetic nephropathy had demonstrated positive impact on insulin sensitivity [44]. However,  
370 a 3-year Se supplementation trial reported no protective effect of Se on pancreatic β-cell  
371 function and insulin sensitivity [45].

372

373 A recent meta-analysis supports our findings, reporting a U-shaped non-linear dose–response  
374 relationship between serum selenium and diabetes mellitus [46]. The authors reported that  
375 positive association between Se and risk of type 2 diabetes exists in individuals with  
376 relatively low (<100µg/l) and high (>130µg/l) levels of serum selenium. Another study  
377 reported non-linear relationship between serum Se and diabetes in the US population, with  
378 high levels of Se (≥137.66 ng/ml) being significantly associated with increased odds of  
379 diabetes compared to low levels of Se (<111.62 ng/ml) [9]. A 7.7 years of clinical trial  
380 reported that selenium supplementation in effect may increase the risk of diabetes mellitus  
381 and not alleviate it. The authors suggested that individuals with higher baseline serum or  
382 plasma selenium levels of ≥122µg/L should not be supplemented with selenium (200 µg/d)  
383 [47], as supplemental intake of Se above the adequate level may potentially raise the risk of  
384 type 2 diabetes mellitus, whereas those who have deficient levels of selenium may benefit  
385 from Se supplementation.



386

387 The anti-oxidant action of seleno-proteins selenoprotein P plasma 1 (Sepp1) and glutathione  
388 peroxidases (GPX) in the  $\beta$ -pancreatic cells, is expected to inhibit the excess production of  
389 ROS including hydrogen peroxide that impairs the insulin signaling pathway [48]. However,  
390 animal studies demonstrated that both over expression of GPx1 and methionine sulfoxide  
391 reductase B (MsrB) selenoproteins caused by Se supplementation and Se deficiency, could  
392 lead to the development of diabetes mellitus [49]. Excess GPx1 may stimulate reductive  
393 stress due to lack of oxidants or excess reducing equivalents [50], which prevent normal  
394 hydrogen peroxide signaling, leading to hyperinsulinemia and decreased insulin sensitivity.  
395 However, decreased expression of GPx1 and other selenoproteins may result in increased  
396 production of ROS and lead to oxidative stress-induced insulin resistance [51]. Therefore,  
397 through measured intake of dietary and supplemental Se an appropriate redox balance/ROS  
398 flux must be maintained to limit negative effects of oxidative or reductive stress on glucose  
399 homeostasis.

400

401 Our findings suggest that dietary intake of  $\text{Cu} \geq 13.4 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{day}$ , as well as both low  
402 ( $< 0.3 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{day}$ ) and high ( $\geq 1.01 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{day}$ ) intake of dietary Se may increase the risk of  
403 insulin resistance and diabetes. These findings are in line with the Malaysia's dietary  
404 guidelines which suggest that the recommended nutrient intake (RNI) for Se for males and  
405 females are  $0.42 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{day}$  and  $0.37 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{day}$  respectively. The RNI for Cu is  $900 \mu\text{g}/\text{day}$   
406 which is equivalent to  $13.8 \mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{day}$  [25]. Finally, caution needs to be practiced in Cu and/or  
407 Se supplementation if any, as excess or deficiency may disturb the balance in cellular  
408 metabolism in both normal and diabetic individuals.

409

#### 410 **4.1. Strengths and limitations**

411

412 Insulin resistance is a complex metabolic dysregulation of glucose homeostasis. In this study  
413 the authors adjusted for a number of the covariates such as age, height, weight, smoking,  
414 alcohol consumption, physical activity level, total energy intake, and other macro and micro-  
415 nutrients which are all potentially important covariates of insulin resistance [52], [53]. Even  
416 after statistical adjustments the associations between dietary Cu, Se and insulin resistance  
417 remained significant. Therefore, systematic control of the confounding factors is one of the  
418 major strengths of the current study. Given that the metabolic requirements of Cu and Se is  
419 most likely to be different in pre and overt diabetics, this study can pave the way for similar  
420 large scale studies to draw up recommended guidelines for dietary trace mineral intake in the  
421 prevention of diabetes mellitus in overweight and obese Malaysian adults.

422

423 A few limitations exist in the current study. The study design limits causal inference of the  
424 pathology of insulin resistance from dietary intake. As in any observational study,  
425 measurement errors may occur in self-reported variables (e.g. dietary intake and physical  
426 activity data). There is also the possibility of unmeasured or residual confounding, although  
427 we have carefully controlled several lifestyle and dietary factors which are potentially  
428 associated with insulin resistance.

429

430 Further, dietary Cu and Se may not completely reflect its actual nutritional status in our study  
431 participants. Future studies investigating the link between the nutritional status of Se/Cu,  
432 should include analysis of blood biomarkers of Se/Cu. However, it is also noteworthy to  
433 highlight that this is an exploratory study which aimed to generate initial insight on the  
434 associations between dietary micronutrients and insulin resistance to identify serum  
435 micronutrients of interest to be tested in future research.

436 The Cu and Se content of the food items may vary depending on the sources or the soil  
437 characteristics of farmlands. The lack of speciation analysis and of the measurement of  
438 bioavailability of micronutrients may have limited the derivation of dietary recommendation  
439 from the current findings. Besides, the interactions between Se and Cu and other  
440 micronutrients should be taken into consideration, particularly micronutrients with high  
441 antioxidant properties, as well as the genetic influences on the inter-individual variability in  
442 dietary absorption and metabolism.

443

444 Our study participants were recruited from a specific geographical location and they were  
445 predominantly women. These factors may have influenced the study outcome and hence the  
446 findings from the current experiments may not be generalizable to the Malaysian population.  
447 Therefore, large scale studies from other geographical locations are required to generalize the  
448 findings of the current study to the Malaysian population.

449

## 450 **5. Conclusion**

451

452 Our results reveal significant positive association between dietary Cu and HOMA-IR in  
453 individuals with intake of dietary Cu  $\geq 13.4\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{day}$ . Positive associations between dietary  
454 Se and HOMA-IR were found in individuals with relatively low ( $< 0.3\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{day}$ ) and high  
455 ( $\geq 1.01\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}/\text{day}$ ) intake of dietary Se, indicating a U-shaped non-linear relationship. The  
456 authors opine that dietary intake of trace minerals such as Cu and Se may play a critical role  
457 in the pathogenesis of insulin resistance and T2DM and deserve further investigation in larger  
458 populations.

459

## 460 **6. Declarations**

461

## 462 **6.1. Acknowledgement**

463

464 The authors gratefully acknowledge the contribution of Ms. Leh Hui Eng for her assistance in  
465 data collection. The authors are also thankful to the staff of the diagnostic center, Mr. Sunil  
466 Rao for his assistance in blood collection and analysis.

467

## 468 **6.2. Funding**

469

470 This study was funded by an internal grant from the University of Nottingham Malaysia  
471 (UNM) (UNHB0008).

472

## 473 **6.3. Conflicts of interest**

474

475 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

476

## 477 **6.4. Author contributions**

478

479 Pui Yee Tan: Investigation, Project Administration, Data Curation, Formal Analysis,  
480 Visualization, Writing-Original Draft and Writing-Review & Editing. Soma Roy Mitra:  
481 Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Writing-Review & Editing and  
482 Funding Acquisition. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

483

## 484 **Reference:**

485 [1] Wilcox G. Review: Insulin and insuline resistance. Clin Biochem Revz 2005;26:19-39.

- 486 [2] Reaven G. Obesity, insulin resistance, and cardiovascular disease. *Recent Prog Horm*  
487 *Res* 2004;59:207–23.
- 488 [3] Fontenelle LC, Feitosa MM, Morais JBS, Severo JS, Freitas TEC, Beserra JB et al.  
489 The role of selenium in insulin resistance. *Brazilian J Pharm Sci* 2018;54:e00139.  
490 <https://doi.org/10.1590/s2175-97902018000100139>.
- 491 [4] Bjørklund G, Dadar M, Pivina L, Doşa MD, Semenova Y, Aaseth J. The role of zinc  
492 and copper in insulin resistance and diabetes mellitus. *Curr Med Chem* 2019;27.  
493 <http://doi.org/10.2174/0929867326666190902122155>.
- 494 [5] Vertuani S, Angusti A, Manfredini S. The antioxidants and pro-antioxidants network:  
495 an overview. *Curr Pharm Des* 2005;10:1677–94.
- 496 [6] Huang Z, Rose AH, Hoffmann PR. The role of selenium in inflammation and  
497 immunity: From molecular mechanisms to therapeutic opportunities. *Antioxidants*  
498 *Redox Signal* 2012;16:705–743.
- 499 [7] Park K, Rimm EB, Siscovick DS, Spiegelman D, Manson JE, Morris JS, et al. Toenail  
500 selenium and incidence of type 2 diabetes in U.S. men and women. *Diabetes Care*  
501 2012;35:1544–51.
- 502 [8] Akbaraly TN, Arnaud J, Rayman MP, Hininger-Favier I, Roussel AM, Berr C, et al.  
503 Plasma selenium and risk of dysglycemia in an elderly French population: Results  
504 from the prospective epidemiology of vascular ageing study. *Nutr Metab* 2010;7:21.  
505 <http://doi.org/10.1186/1743-7075-7-21>.
- 506 [9] Bleys J, Navas-Acien A, Guallar E. Serum selenium and diabetes in U.S. adults.  
507 *Diabetes Care* 2007;30:829–34.
- 508 [10] Laclaustra M, Navas-Acien A, Stranges S, Ordovas JM, Guallar E. Serum selenium

- 509 concentrations and diabetes in U.S. adults: National health and nutrition examination  
510 survey (NHANES) 2003-2004. *Environ Health Perspect* 2009;117:1409–13.
- 511 [11] Vinceti M, Filippini T, Rothman KJ. Selenium exposure and the risk of type 2  
512 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2018;33:789–810.
- 513 [12] Wang Y, Lin M, Gao X, Pedram P, Du J, Vikram C, et al. High dietary selenium  
514 intake is associated with less insulin resistance in the Newfoundland population. *PLoS*  
515 *One* 2017;12:e0174149. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0174149>.
- 516 [13] Sharma VK, Watts JK. Oligonucleotide therapeutics: Chemistry, delivery and clinical  
517 progress. *Future Med Chem* 2015;7:2221–42.
- 518 [14] Galhardi CM, Diniz YS, Faine LA, Rodrigues HG, Burneiko RCM, Ribas BO, et al.  
519 Toxicity of copper intake: Lipid profile, oxidative stress and susceptibility to renal  
520 dysfunction. *Food Chem Toxicol* 2004;42:2053–60.
- 521 [15] Weksler-Zangen S, Jörns A, Tarsi-Chen L, Vernea F, Aharon-Hananel G, Saada A, et  
522 al. Dietary copper supplementation restores  $\beta$ -cell function of Cohen diabetic rats: A  
523 link between mitochondrial function and glucose-stimulated insulin secretion. *Am J*  
524 *Physiol - Endocrinol Metab.* 2013;304:E1023-34.
- 525 [16] Qiu Q, Zhang F, Zhu W, Wu J, Liang M. Copper in Diabetes Mellitus: a Meta-  
526 Analysis and Systematic Review of Plasma and Serum Studies. *Biol Trace Elem Res*  
527 2017;177:53–63.
- 528 [17] Kim HN, Song SW. Concentrations of chromium, selenium, and copper in the hair of  
529 viscerally obese adults are associated with insulin resistance. *Biol Trace Elem Res.*  
530 2014;158:152–7.
- 531 [18] Viktorínová A, Tošerová E, Križko M, Ďuračková Z. Altered metabolism of copper,

- 532 zinc, and magnesium is associated with increased levels of glycated hemoglobin in  
533 patients with diabetes mellitus. *Metabolism* 2009;58:1477-82.
- 534 [19] Matough FA, Budin SB, Hamid ZA, Alwahaibi N, Mohamed J. The role of oxidative  
535 stress and antioxidants in diabetic complications. *Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J* 2012;12:5-  
536 18.
- 537 [20] Mitra SR, Tan PY. Effect of an individualised high protein, calorie-restricted diet on  
538 anthropometric and cardio-metabolic parameters in overweight and obese Malaysian  
539 adults: a 6-month randomised controlled study. *Br J Nutr* 2019;121:1002-1017.
- 540 [21] WHO Expert Consultation. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its  
541 implications for policy and intervention strategies. *Lancet* 2004;363:157-163.
- 542 [22] WHO. Waist circumference and waist-hip ratio: Report of a WHO expert consultation.  
543 World Health Organization (December); 2008
- 544 [23] Loy SL, Marhazlina M, Nor Azwany Y, Hamid Jan JM. Development, validity and  
545 reproducibility of a food frequency questionnaire in pregnancy for the Universiti Sains  
546 Malaysia birth cohort study. *Malays J Nutr* 2011;17:1–18.
- 547 [24] Suzana S. Atlas of food exchanges & portion sizes. Second edition. Kuala Lumpur:  
548 MDC Publishers Sdn Bhd; 2009.
- 549 [25] National Corrdinating Committee on Food and Nutrition. Recommended nutrient  
550 intakes for Malaysia. Malaysia: Ministry of Health; 2017.
- 551 [26] Tee ES. Nutrient composition of Malaysian foods. Fourth edition. Malaysia: Ministry  
552 of Health: Malaysian food Composition Database Programme; 1997.
- 553 [27] Goldberg G, Black A, Jebb S, Colte T, Murgatroyd P, Coward W, et al. Critical  
554 evaluation of energy intake data using fundamental principles of energy physiology: 1.

- 555 Derivation of cut-off limits to identify under-recording. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1991;45:583-  
556 99.
- 557 [28] Ainsworth BE, Haskell WIL, Whitt MC, Irwin ML, Swartz AM, Strath SJ, et al.  
558 Compendium of physical activities: an update of activity codes and MET intensities.  
559 *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2000;32:S498–504.
- 560 [29] National Corrdinating Committee on Food and Nutrition. *Malaysian Dietary Guidelines*  
561 2010. Malaysia; Ministry of Health; 2010.
- 562 [30] Wallace TM, Levy JC, Matthews DR. Use and abuse of HOMA modeling. *Diabetes*  
563 *Care* 2004;27:1487–95.
- 564 [31] Yamada C, Moriyama K, Takahashi E. Optimal cut-off point for homeostasis model  
565 assessment of insulin resistance to discriminate metabolic syndrome in non-diabetic  
566 Japanese subjects. *J Diabetes Investig* 2012;3:384–7.
- 567 [32] Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-  
568 density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge.  
569 *Clin Chem* 1972;18:499-502.
- 570 [33] Mansfield ER, Helms BP. Detecting multicollinearity. *Am Stat.* 1982,  
571 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.1982.10482818>; 2019 [assessed 10 Feb 2019]
- 572 [34] Charan J, Biswas T. How to calculate sample size for different study designs in  
573 medical research? *Indian J Psychol Med* 2013;35: 121–126.
- 574 [35] Hashim Z, Hamid RA. Evaluation of trace elements iron, zinc, copper and lead In the  
575 diet of female university students. *Malays J Nutr* 1995;1:31-40.
- 576 [36] Horn D, Barrientos A. Mitochondrial copper metabolism and delivery to cytochrome C  
577 oxidase. *IUBMB Life* 2008;60:421–9.



- 578 [37] Eshak ES, Iso H, Maruyama K, Muraki I, Tamakoshi A. Associations between dietary  
579 intakes of iron, copper and zinc with risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a large population-  
580 based prospective cohort study. *Clin Nutr* 2018;37:667–74.
- 581 [38] Kant R, Verma V, Patel S, Chandra R, Chaudhary R, Shuldiner AR, et al. Effect of  
582 serum zinc and copper levels on insulin secretion, insulin resistance and pancreatic  $\beta$   
583 cell dysfunction in US adults: Findings from the National Health and Nutrition  
584 Examination Survey (NHANES) 2011–2012. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract*  
585 2021;172:108627. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2020.108627>.
- 586 [39] Atari-Hajipirloo S, Valizadeh N, Khadem-Ansari MH, Rasmi Y, Kheradmand F.  
587 Altered concentrations of copper, zinc, and iron are associated with increased levels of  
588 glycated hemoglobin in patients with Type 2 diabetes mellitus and their first-degree  
589 relatives. *Int J Endocrinol Metab* 2016;14:e33273. <https://doi.org/10.5812/ijem.33273>.
- 590 [40] Naka T, Kaneto H, Katakami, N, Matsuoka, T, Harada A, Yamasaki Y, et al.  
591 Association of serum copper levels and glycemic control in patients with type 2  
592 diabetes. *Endocr J* 2013;60:393-6.
- 593 [41] Siddiqi SM, Sun C, Wu X, Shah I, Mehmood A. The correlation between dietary  
594 selenium intake and type 2 diabetes: a cross-sectional population-based study on north  
595 Chinese adults. *Biomed Res Int* 2020;2020:8058463.  
596 <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8058463>.
- 597 [42] Wei J, Zeng C, Gong QY, Yang H Bin, Li XX, Lei GH, et al. The association between  
598 dietary selenium intake and diabetes: A cross-sectional study among middle-aged and  
599 older adults. *Nutr J* 2015;14:1–6.
- 600 [43] Ozkaya M, Sahin M, Cakal E, Gisi K, Bilge F, Kilinc M. Selenium levels in first-  
601 degree relatives of diabetic patients. *Biol Trace Elem Res* 2009;128:144–51.

- 602 [44] Bahmani F, Kia M, Soleimani A, Asemi Z, Esmailzadeh A. Effect of selenium  
603 supplementation on glycemic control and lipid profiles in patients with diabetic  
604 nephropathy. *Biol Trace Elem Res* 2016;172:282–9.
- 605 [45] Jacobs ET, Lance P, Mandarino LJ, Ellis NA, Chow HHS, Foote J, et al. Selenium  
606 supplementation and insulin resistance in a randomized, clinical trial. *BMJ Open*  
607 *Diabetes Res Care* 2019;7:1–10.
- 608 [46] Wang XL, Yang TB, Wei J, Lei GH, Zeng C. Association between serum selenium  
609 level and type 2 diabetes mellitus: A non-linear dose-response meta-analysis of  
610 observational studies. *Nutr J* 2016;15:1–9.
- 611 [47] Stranges S, Marshall JR, Trevisan M, Combs G. Article. Effects of long-term selenium  
612 supplementation on the incidence of type 2 diabetes. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;147:217-  
613 23.
- 614 [48] Steinbrenner H, Speckmann B, Klotz LO. Selenoproteins: Antioxidant selenoenzymes  
615 and beyond. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 2016;595:113–9.
- 616 [49] Labunsky VM, Lee BC, Handy DE, Loscalzo J, Hatfield DL, Gladyshev VN. Both  
617 maximal expression of selenoproteins and selenoprotein deficiency can promote  
618 development of type 2 diabetes-like phenotype in mice. *Antioxidants Redox Signal*  
619 2011;14:2327–36.
- 620 [50] Lubos E, Loscalzo J, Handy DE. Glutathione peroxidase-1 in health and disease: From  
621 molecular mechanisms to therapeutic opportunities. *Antioxidants Redox Signal*  
622 2011;15:1957–97.
- 623 [51] Nita M, Grzybowski A. The role of the reactive oxygen species and oxidative stress in  
624 the pathomechanism of the age-related ocular diseases and other pathologies of the

625 anterior and posterior eye segments in adults. *Oxid Med Cell Longev*

626 2016;2016:3164734. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/3164734>.

627 [52] Khazrai YM, Defeudis G, Pozzilli P. Effect of diet on type 2 diabetes mellitus: a  
628 review. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2014;30:24-33.

629 [53] Kolb H, Martin S. Environmental/lifestyle factors in the pathogenesis and prevention  
630 of type 2 diabetes. *BMC Med* 2017;15:131. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-017-0901-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-017-0901-x)  
631 x.

632 **Figure legends:**

633 **Figure 1: Non-linear relationship between dietary copper and HOMA-IR**

634

635 **Figure 2: Non-linear relationship between dietary selenium and HOMA-IR**

636

637

638 **Table 1: General characteristics-difference between the insulin resistant and non-**  
639 **insulin resistant groups**

General characteristics	Total (n=128)	Non-insulin resistant (n=71)	Insulin resistant (n=57)	p value
Age (y)	44.0 ± 10.9	44.0 ± 1.2	44.5 ± 1.5	0.791 <sup>a</sup>
Sex				
Female	108 (84.4%)	62 (89.9%)	44 (77.2%)	0.053 <sup>b</sup>
Male	20 (15.6%)	7 (10.1%)	13 (22.8%)	
Physical activity status				
Physically inactive	36 (94.7%)	66 (95.7%)	53 (93.0%)	0.515 <sup>b</sup>
Physically active	2 (5.3%)	3 (4.3%)	4 (7.0%)	
Smoking Status				
Never	125 (97.6%)	68 (98.6%)	55 (96.5%)	0.537 <sup>b</sup>
Current	1 (0.8%)	0	1 (1.8%)	
Former	2 (1.6%)	1 (1.4%)	1 (1.8%)	
Alcohol consumption				
Never	126 (98.4%)	68 (98.6%)	56 (98.2%)	0.361 <sup>b</sup>
Current	1 (0.8%)	0	1 (1.8%)	
Former	1 (0.8%)	1 (1.4%)	0	

640 Data were expressed as mean (±SEM) or number (percentage).

641 <sup>a</sup>p value based on independent-t test.

642 <sup>b</sup>p value based on chi square test.

643

644

645

646  
647

**Table 2: Anthropometric, blood biochemical and dietary parameters-difference in means between the insulin resistant and non-insulin resistant groups**

	Total (n=128)	Non-insulin resistant (n=71)	Insulin resistant (n=57)	p value
<b>Anthropometric parameters</b>				
<sup>1</sup> Height (cm)	157.9 ± 0.7	157.4 ± 0.8	158.6 ± 1.1	0.825
<sup>1</sup> Weight (kg)	73.9 ± 1.4	69.0 ± 1.1	79.8 ± 2.6	<0.001
<sup>1</sup> BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	29.5 ± 0.4	27.8 ± 0.4	31.5 ± 0.7	<0.001
<sup>1</sup> WC (cm)	93.8 ± 1.1	89.0 ± 1.1	99.9 ± 1.7	<0.001
<sup>1</sup> Muscle mass (kg)	23.4 ± 0.4	22.2 ± 0.4	25.0 ± 0.8	0.005
<sup>1</sup> Fat mass (kg)	31.1 ± 0.9	28.1 ± 0.8	34.6 ± 1.7	<0.001
<sup>1</sup> Percent Body Fat (%)	41.6 ± 0.6	40.6 ± 0.7	42.6 ± 1.0	0.002
<b>Blood biochemical parameters</b>				
<sup>2</sup> Fasting glucose (mmol/L)	5.2 ± 0.2	4.8 ± 0.1	5.8 ± 0.4	0.015
<sup>2</sup> Fasting insulin (uU/mL)	10.1 ± 0.9	4.8 ± 0.3	16.3 ± 1.5	<0.001
<sup>2</sup> HOMA-IR	2.5 ± 0.3	1.0 ± 0.1	4.3 ± 0.5	<0.001
<sup>2</sup> Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	5.5 ± 0.1	5.5 ± 0.1	5.5 ± 0.2	0.900
<sup>2</sup> Triglyceride (mmol/L)	1.4 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.1	0.010
<sup>2</sup> HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.5 ± 0.4	1.7 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.1	0.001
<sup>2</sup> LDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	3.4 ± 1.0	3.3 ± 0.1	3.5 ± 0.1	0.998
<sup>2</sup> Total cholesterol/HDL cholesterol	3.7 ± 1.0	3.5 ± 0.1	4.1 ± 0.1	0.013
<sup>2</sup> hsCRP (mg/L)	5.8 ± 0.7	4.8 ± 0.7	7.1 ± 0.4	0.744
<b>Dietary parameters (intake per day)</b>				
<sup>2</sup> Total energy intake (kcal)	2009 ± 30	1950 ± 40	2075 ± 46	0.103
<sup>3</sup> Protein intake (g)	74.8 ± 2.1	74.0 ± 2.5	73.5 ± 3.2	0.513
<sup>3</sup> Fat intake (g)	82.8 ± 1.9	81.7 ± 2.3	84.3 ± 3.1	0.958
<sup>3</sup> Carbohydrate intake (g)	253.7 ± 4.7	242.1 ± 7.4	268.3 ± 8.6	0.685
<sup>3</sup> Percent energy from protein (% of TE)	14.8 ± 0.4	15.2 ± 0.5	14.0 ± 0.5	0.369
<sup>3</sup> Percent energy from fat (% of TE)	36.7 ± 0.6	37.4 ± 0.7	36.0 ± 1.1	0.735
<sup>3</sup> Percent energy from carbohydrate (% of TE)	47.0 ± 0.7	45.8 ± 1.0	48.7 ± 1.1	0.275
<sup>3</sup> Cu intake (µg)	793.5 ± 45.1	686.1 ± 45.0	891.4 ± 78.6	0.126
<sup>3</sup> Se intake (µg)	45.5 ± 3.0	46.1 ± 3.5	39.9 ± 3.7	0.479
<sup>3</sup> Weight adjusted Cu intake (µg/kg)	11.0 ± 0.6	10.1 ± 0.7	11.7 ± 1.1	0.150
<sup>3</sup> Weight adjusted Se intake (µg/kg)	0.6 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.1	0.472

648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
  
655  
656

Data were expressed as mean (±SEM) or number (percentage).  
One-way ANCOVA was performed to determine the differences in the mean values of anthropometric, blood biochemical and dietary parameters after adjusting for covariates in different models <sup>1</sup>age, height, sex, physical activity status, smoking status and alcohol consumption; <sup>2</sup> model<sup>1</sup> + weight; <sup>3</sup> model<sup>2</sup>+ total energy intake. BMI, body mass index; WC, waist circumference; HOMA-IR, homeostatic model assessment -insulin resistance; hsCRP, high sensitivity C-reactive protein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; TE, total energy intake; Cu, copper; Se, selenium.

**Table 3: Non-linear relationship between dietary copper and HOMA-IR addressing by two-piecewise regression model**

	Unadjusted		Model 1 <sup>a</sup>		Model 2 <sup>b</sup>		Model 3 <sup>c</sup>	
	$\beta$ (95% CI)	p value	$\beta$ (95% CI)	p value	$\beta$ (95% CI)	p value	$\beta$ (95% CI)	p value
Linear regression model	0.161 (0.089-0.232)	<0.001	0.145 (0.074-0.217)	<0.001	0.135 (0.064-0.205)	<0.001	0.139 (0.071-0.207)	<0.001
Two-piecewise linear regression model								
Inflection point (13.4 $\mu$ g/kg/day)								
<13.4	-0.025 (-0.137-0.086)	0.651	-0.016 (-0.127-0.096)	0.781	-0.001 (-0.121-0.119)	0.986	-0.032 (-0.155-0.090)	0.601
$\geq$ 13.4	0.341 (0.106-0.577)	0.006	0.326 (0.104-0.547)	0.005	0.274 (0.034-0.513)	0.027	0.276 (0.025-0.526)	0.033
p for log likelihood ratio test				0.011				

<sup>a</sup>Model 1: adjusted for age, BMI, sex, ethnicity, physical activity status, smoking status, alcohol consumption and total energy intake.

<sup>b</sup>Model 2: adjusted for model 1 + height, weight, WC, fat mass, muscle mass and percent body fat.

<sup>c</sup>Model 3: adjusted for model 2 + triglyceride, HDL cholesterol and total cholesterol per HDL cholesterol.

CI; confidence interval,  $\beta$ ; beta coefficient.

**Table 4: Non-linear relationship between dietary selenium and HOMA-IR addressing by three-piecewise regression model**

	Unadjusted		Model 1 <sup>a</sup>		Model 2 <sup>b</sup>		Model 3 <sup>c</sup>	
	$\beta$ (95% CI)	p value	$\beta$ (95% CI)	p value	$\beta$ (95% CI)	p value	$\beta$ (95% CI)	p value
Linear regression model	-0.720 (-1.831-0.391)	0.202	0.101 (-1.040-1.243)	0.861	0.206 (-0.886-1.298)	0.710	0.270 (-0.795-1.335)	0.617
Three-piecewise linear regression model								
Inflection point (0.3 and 1.0 $\mu$ g/kg/day)								
$\leq$ 0.3	9.204 (-4.686-23.095)	0.186	7.845 (-8.565-24.256)	0.331	2.494 (-13.654-18.642)	0.748	3.835 (-12.216-19.886)	0.614
0.31-1.0	-3.431 (-6.946-0.083)	0.056	-2.544 (-5.849-0.761)	0.129	-1.712 (-5.006-1.582)	0.303	-1.064 (-4.486-2.357)	0.536
$\geq$ 1.01	0.669 (-0.235-1.573)	0.136	0.885 (0.426-1.345)	0.002	0.729 (0.093-1.366)	0.032	0.785 (0.386-1.185)	0.014
P for log likelihood ratio test				0.022				

<sup>a</sup>Model 1: adjusted for age, BMI, sex, ethnicity, physical activity status, smoking status, alcohol consumption and total energy intake.

<sup>b</sup>Model 2: adjusted for model 1 + height, weight, WC, fat mass, muscle mass and percent body fat.

<sup>c</sup>Model 3: adjusted for model 2 + triglyceride, HDL cholesterol and total cholesterol per HDL cholesterol.

CI; confidence interval,  $\beta$ ; beta coefficient.