

This is a repository copy of NCGS like IBS 'type' symptoms is a diagnosis of exclusion.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/178147/

Version: Published Version

Article:

Ahmed, H., Hallam, R., Webster, G. et al. (9 more authors) (2021) NCGS like IBS 'type' symptoms is a diagnosis of exclusion. Nutrition Journal, 20 (1). 79.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12937-021-00737-x

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Open Access



NCGS like IBS 'type' symptoms is a diagnosis of exclusion

H. Ahmed^{1*}, R. Hallam¹, G. Webster¹, A. Rej¹, I. D. Croall^{1,2}, S. H. Coleman¹, T. Key¹, R. Buckle¹, C. C. Shaw¹, J. Goodwin¹, I. Aziz¹ and D. S. Sanders¹

Keywords: NCGS, IBS, Gluten

Dear Editor,

We read with great interest the recent article by Moleski et al. [1], discussing symptoms of gluten ingestion in patients with Non-Celiac Gluten Sensitivity (NCGS). Notably, the authors highlight that without an objective marker for NCGS, participants within the study may have had alternative gastrointestinal diagnoses. Very little has been published about investigating patients with NCGS (that self-report symptoms occurring following the ingestion of gluten).

It is important to highlight to readers that patients who present with either self-reported NCGS or irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) 'type' symptoms should be investigated for other causes for their gastrointestinal symptoms. In view of this, we reviewed the case notes of patients with self-reported NCGS (n=205) and with a new presentation of IBS 'type' symptoms (n=74). Patients were divided into two groups, group one consisted of individuals presenting with self-reported NCGS. In contrast, group two consisted of individuals with a new presentation of IBS 'type' symptoms. Individuals were characterised according to demographics, in addition to investigative outcomes.

We found that patients presenting with NCGS and IBS 'type' symptoms were predominantly female, at 84% ($n\!=\!172$) and 62% ($n\!=\!46$) respectively. There was no significant difference in the presenting age between patients (NCGS mean age 40.0 ± 15.7 years vs IBS mean age 38.4 ± 14.1 years; $p\!=\!0.56$). Following investigation, 11.7% ($n\!=\!24$) of NCGS and 17.6% ($n\!=\!13$) of patients with IBS 'type' symptoms were found to have other gastrointestinal diagnosis (Tables 1 and 2). The most common diagnosis for the NCGS group was celiac disease (CD) (8.8%, $n\!=\!18$) and by comparison for patients with IBS 'type' symptoms it was bile acid diarrhoea (BAD) (13.5%, $n\!=\!10$).

We conclude that the demographics of patients with NCGS and IBS are similar, with a young female presentation. We found a significant minority of these patients have other GI diagnoses. The data supports the recommendation that patients presenting with self-reported NCGS should only be confirmed as having NCGS after excluding other gastrointestinal disorders. We have also demonstrated from our data that a similar approach is required in patients with IBS 'type' symptoms. One strategy that may help would be the utilisation of the Salerno experts' criteria in reaching a firm and positive diagnosis of NCGS

¹ Academic Unit of Gastroenterology, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield S10 2JF, UK Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*}Correspondence: hamza.ahmed7@nhs.net

Ahmed et al. Nutr J (2021) 20:79 Page 2 of 2

Table 1 Breakdown of the diagnosis of patients presenting with self-reported gluten sensitivity

Final primary diagnosis	Number of patients (%)
Non-celiac gluten sensitivity (NCGS)	181 (88.3)
Celiac disease (CD)	18 (8.8)
Fructose intolerance	1 (0.5)
Lactose intolerance	2 (1.0)
Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO)	3 (1.5)
Total	205 (100.0)

Table 2 Breakdown of the diagnosis of patients presenting with IBS 'type' symptoms

Final primary diagnosis	Number of patients (%)
Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS)	61 (82.4)
Bile acid diarrhoea (BAD)	10 (13.5)
Microscopic colitis	2 (2.7)
Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency (PEI)	1 (1.4)
Total	74 (100.0)

which would in turn improve the validity of the results published [2]. Whilst this approach may be regarded as cumbersome, it would facilitate comparison of different studies if adopted universally [2]. We fear that until a validated a biomarker of NCGS is discovered, further research in NCGS pathogenesis and triggers may be futile.

Acknowledgements

N/A

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed to the letter. The author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

N/A

Funding

N/A

Availability of data and materials

N/A

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Conducted in accordance with ethics approval. Consent to participate N/A

Consent for publication

N/A

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹Academic Unit of Gastroenterology, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Sheffield S10 2JF, UK. ²Academic Unit of Radiology, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK.

Received: 6 June 2021 Accepted: 30 August 2021 Published online: 08 September 2021

References

- Moleski S, Shah A, Durney P, Matthews M, Kaushal G, Smith C, et al. Symptoms of gluten ingestion in patients with non-celiac gluten sensitivity: a randomized clinical trial. Nutrition. 2021;81:110944.
- Catassi C, Elli L, Bonaz B, Bouma G, Carroccio A, Castillejo G, et al. Diagnosis of non-celiac gluten sensitivity (NCGS): the Salerno experts' criteria. Nutrients. 2015;7(6):4966–77.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- $\bullet\,$ thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

