# UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of Magnetic structure and exchange interactions in pyrrhotite end member minerals: hexagonal FeS and monoclinic Fe7S8.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/177657/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

#### Article:

Živković, A, King, HE, Wolthers, M et al. (1 more author) (2021) Magnetic structure and exchange interactions in pyrrhotite end member minerals: hexagonal FeS and monoclinic Fe7S8. Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter, 33 (46). 465801. ISSN 0953-8984

https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648x/ac1cb2

© 2021 IOP Publishing Ltd. This is an author-created, un-copyedited version of an article published in Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter. IOP Publishing Ltd is not responsible for any errors or omissions in this version of the manuscript or any version derived from it. The Version of Record is available online at https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648X/ac1cb2

#### Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

#### ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

## Magnetic structure and exchange interactions in pyrrhotite end member minerals: hexagonal FeS and monoclinic $Fe_7S_8$

To cite this article before publication: Aleksandar Živkovi et al 2021 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter in press https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648X/ac1cb2

#### Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is "the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an 'Accepted Manuscript' watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors"

This Accepted Manuscript is © 2021 IOP Publishing Ltd.

During the embargo period (the 12 month period from the publication of the Version of Record of this article), the Accepted Manuscript is fully protected by copyright and cannot be reused or reposted elsewhere.

As the Version of Record of this article is going to be / has been published on a subscription basis, this Accepted Manuscript is available for reuse under a CC BY-NC-ND 3.0 licence after the 12 month embargo period.

After the embargo period, everyone is permitted to use copy and redistribute this article for non-commercial purposes only, provided that they adhere to all the terms of the licence <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc-nd/3.0">https://creativecommons.org/licences/by-nc-nd/3.0</a>

Although reasonable endeavours have been taken to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record on IOPscience once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions will likely be required. All third party content is fully copyright protected, unless specifically stated otherwise in the figure caption in the Version of Record.

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

### Magnetic structure and exchange interactions in pyrrhotite end member minerals: hexagonal FeS and monoclinic Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub>

Aleksandar Živković<sup>1,\*</sup>, Helen E. King<sup>1</sup>, Mariette Wolthers<sup>1</sup>, Nora H. de Leeuw<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, Princetonlaan 8a, 3584CB Utrecht, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> School of Chemistry, University of Leeds, Woodhouse Lane, Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom

\* Corresponding authors: <u>A.Zivkovic@uu.nl</u>, <u>N.H.deLeeuw@uu.nl</u>

#### Abstract

Iron mono-sulphides, or pyrrhotites, are minerals present in the Earth's crust and mantle as well as major magnetic constituents of several classes of meteorites, thus are of interest to a wide range of disciplines including geology, geophysics, geochemistry, and material science. Despite displaying diverse magnetic properties as a result of iron vacancy ordering, the underlying exchange mechanism has not been quantified. This study presents an examination of the electronic and magnetic properties for the two pyrrhotite group end members, hexagonal FeS and monoclinic Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> (4C superstructure) by means of density functional theory coupled with a Heisenberg magnetic model. The easy magnetization axes of FeS and Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> are found to be positioned along the crystallographic c-direction and at an angle of 56° to the cdirection, respectively. The magnetic anisotropy energy in Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> is greatly increased as a consequence of the vacancy framework when compared to FeS. The main magnetic interaction, in both compounds, is found to be the isotropic exchange interaction favouring antiferromagnetic alignment between nearest-neighbouring spins. The origin of the exchange interaction is elucidated further following the Goodenough-Kanamori-Anderson rules. The antisymmetric spin exchange is found to have a minor effect in both compounds. The theoretical findings presented in this work thus help to further resolve some of the ambiguities in the magnetic features of pyrrhotites.

*Keywords*: troilite FeS, pyrrhotite 4C Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub>, exchange interaction, magnetic anisotropy energy, Heisenberg magnetic model,

#### Introduction

The pyrrhotite group encompasses a wide range of natural and synthetic iron-sulphur phases with the generic formula Fe<sub>1-x</sub>S, where x is between  $0 \le x \le 0.125$  and a crystal structure of the NiAs type[1–3]. Stoichiometric FeS (x = 0) is known as troilite and has a hexagonal NiAs-type structure. Stoichiometry alterations introduced via different arrangements of iron vacancies form variable pyrrhotite superstructures. The numerous pyrrhotite superstructures

are sorted into five categories according to their multiplicities of the NiAs sublattice: i) 2C for troilite, ii) 4C for monoclinic pyrrhotite, iii) NC (a = 2A; c = NC, N varies continuously between 5.0 and 11.0), iv) MC (a = 2A, c = MC, M varies between 3.0 and 4.0), and v) NA (a = NA; c = 3C, N varies between 40 and 90), where A and C are the respective NiAs sub cell lattice parameters[4,5].

Pyrrhotites are of interest to both condensed matter and planetary sciences owing to geophysical arguments suggesting that they are a possible component of the interior of terrestrial planets such as the Earth or Mars and are a common mineral in lunar and meteorite samples. Furthermore, pyrrhotite is the only binary sulphide common enough to be considered a rock-forming mineral[6–8]. In addition, pyrrhotites have been synthesised experimentally in low-temperature aqueous systems, including in the presence of bacteria indicating that they can be produced via biological processes[9].

The present study concerns the two end members of this particular iron monosulphide group, namely FeS (hexagonal troilite, 2C superstructure) and Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> (monoclinic pyrrhotite, 4C superstructure). FeS is an antiferromagnet (AFM) below the Néel temperature of  $T_N = 592 - 598$  K. Although above 420 K FeS is a metal, below this temperature it has a narrow band gap of around 0.04 eV and thus behaves as an insulator[10]. Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> is a metal with a Néel temperature of  $T_N = 578$  K, in which the sulphur content is slightly greater than in FeS. It contains eight molecular units of Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> in the unit cell and has a ferrimagnetic (FIM) ordering originating from the relative arrangement of the vacant sites and iron atoms[1,11].

The magnetic features of FeS and Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> have been explored to a moderate extent over the last decades. Early studies by Kiyoo Sato [12] measured the anisotropy energy for natural Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> samples and noted that the easy direction of magnetization lies between the crystallographic c-axis and c-plane. Levinson et al[13] conducted Mössbauer measurements on laboratoryprepared specimen of Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> with and without the presence of an applied external magnetic field. They noted a lack of trivalent iron atoms in their spectra, indicating that the ionic model of  $(Fe^{3+})_2(Fe^{2+})_5(S^{2-})_8$  may rather correspond to a charge state of  $(Fe^{2.28+})_7(S^{2-})_8$ , suggesting itinerant rather than localized electronic behaviour in this system. Shimada et al[10] studied the electronic structures of FeS, Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub>, and Fe<sub>7</sub>Se<sub>8</sub> using photoemission and inversephotoemission spectroscopy, confirming FeS as an AFM semiconductor and Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> as a FIM metal. The change from the AFM insulator FeS to the FIM metal Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> was viewed from two standpoints: band picture and hole doping. In the first one the introduced Fe vacancies causes a decrease in the filling of the Fe 3d band as a result of the large number of removed electrons compared to the removed Fe 3d states. In the second picture, hole doping into the Mott insulator FeS occurs through Fe vacancies where the lower Hubbard band is shifted towards the EF and the spectral weight transferred to above the EF, effectively filling the band gap of FeS. Powell et al[14] used neutron diffraction to probe the structure and magnetism of Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub>. They found that the crystallographic and magnetic unit cells are coincident with a magnetic propagation vector  $\mathbf{k} = (0,0,0)$ . Also, the magnetic moments measured at 11 K were found to be directed at an angle of 29° to the layers of iron.

More recent works have dealt mostly with the low temperature magnetic transition around 30 K also known as the Besnus transition, which is considered to allow the easy detection of the mineral in natural samples[15–21]. Herbert *et al*[22] conducted diffusion measurements in Fe<sub>1-x</sub>S as a function of temperature in the range 170-400 °C. The measured activation energy for diffusion in the paramagnetic and structurally disordered pyrrhotite was 0.83 eV, which was found substantially lower compared to the activation energy of 1.18-1.30 eV in the fully magnetic ordered state. This was the first description of a magnetic diffusion anomaly in an ionic compound or FIM material. Soon after, this behaviour was complemented with kinetic Monte-Carlo and DFT studies[23]. Furthermore, Fe<sub>1-x</sub>S nano-wires and nano-disks that display a particular type of antiferromagnetic to ferrimagnetic transition upon heating have been investigated and proposed for technological purposes such as phase-change magnetic memory devices[23–25]. Overall, pyrrhotites have attracted a considerable interest in fundamental magnetism over the past decades as a result of the diverse magnetic properties displayed through the iron deficiency coupled with many existing superstructures[20].

Earlier theoretical investigations of troilite and pyrrhotite included a variety of techniques to explore their ground state properties, such as LAPW+LDA[26], Hartree-Fock[27], density functional theory (DFT)[28–30], and LMTO+LSDA[31]. Ushakov *et al*[32] performed DFT+DMFT calculations on FeS under pressure. They found that under normal conditions FeS orders magnetically with Fe ions adopting a single ionic configuration and via this behaviour they explained why LDA+U calculations are able to reproduce the band gap and experimental photoemission spectra of FeS. In contrast, under higher pressures static mean-field methods (like LDA+U) were found to break down and advanced techniques such as DMFT are essential.

Despite the considerable effort dedicated to the understanding of magnetic properties in FeS and Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub>, a complete and solid quantification of the magnetic exchange coupling in these materials is still missing. In order to shed light on these phenomena, in this work, calculations based on density functional theory have been employed, together with a microscopic Heisenberg model that includes the superexchange and Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interactions between the magnetic atoms in FeS and Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub>. By employing the method outlined below to obtain the exchange interactions, we are not limited to exchange interactions between nearest neighbours (NN) or next nearest neighbours (NNN) only but can obtain the relevant interactions across the whole simulation cell. The following questions are addressed: i) what is the origin of exchange coupling in FeS and Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> and ii) how does the exchange coupling differ across the two systems with increasing content of iron vacancies?

#### **Computational details**

Spin-polarized density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed using the Vienna ab-initio simulation package (VASP v5.4.4)[33–35] with the projector-augmented wave (PAW) method and a plane-wave cutoff of 400 eV. For the PAW potentials, the valence electronic configurations used were  $4s^{1}3d^{7}$  for iron and  $3s^{2}3p^{4}$  for sulphur. The general gradient approximation (GGA) for the exchange-correlation (XC) functional was employed with the

Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) parametrization[36] for DFT+*U* calculations within the formalism of Dudarev *et al*[37]. For FeS troilite, the  $U_{eff} = U - J = 1$  eV value was taken from earlier works[38], while the  $U_{eff}$  for Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> pyrrhotite was tuned as described below, with a final utilized value of  $U_{eff} = U - J = 1.5$  eV. Long distance dispersion corrections were included using the D3 approach of Grimme *et al*[39]. Non-collinear magnetism has been performed by including spin-orbit coupling (SOC) effects.

The conjugate gradient method was used for structural optimisations, with the total energy and force convergence criteria set to  $10^{-5}$  eV and 0.01 eV/Å, respectively. The Brillouin zone was sampled using 2x5x2 and 7x7x5 F-centred Monkhorst-Pack meshes for Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> and FeS troilite, respectively[40], while the partial occupancies were determined using the method of Methfessel-Paxton with a set width for all calculations of 0.01 eV. The extent of charge distributions was studied using the Bader scheme as implemented in the Henkelman code[41–43]. Graphical drawings were produced using VESTA[44].

The magnetic anisotropy energy (MAE) was determined using the so-called magnetic force theorem[45,46], by performing fully self-consistent calculations for the collinear case and subsequently freezing the potential charge density for different orientations of the magnetization direction and then taking the energy differences with respect to the minimal energy configuration. Convergence of the MAE energy of about 0.1  $\mu$ eV/atom was achieved using 325 k points for Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> and 245 k points for FeS over the complete Brillouin zone. The convergence tests have been performed for up to 637 k points for Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> and 567 k points for FeS.

Starting from DFT, the Hamiltonian in the basis of the Wannier functions was constructed using the Wannier90 code (v3.1.0) [47–50]. To build the actual Wannier functions and calculate the maximally localized Wannier functions (MLWFs), an updated VASP2WANNIER90 interface was used in order to correctly account for the projections when spin-orbit coupling effects are included<sup>1</sup>. To obtain the magnetic interaction parameters, the TB2J package was used[51]. The orbitals included to construct the Hamiltonian in the basis of the Wannier functions (WFs) were the Fe-3*d* and S-3*p* states. The spread of the 3*d* orbitals and 3*p* orbitals was converged to less than 1 Å<sup>2</sup> and 2 Å<sup>2</sup>, respectively. The spin Heisenberg Hamiltonian employed through the TB2J package reads as:

 $\boldsymbol{E} = -\sum_{i} \boldsymbol{K}_{i} \vec{\boldsymbol{S}}_{i}^{2} - \sum_{i \neq j} \left[ \boldsymbol{J}_{ij}^{iso} \vec{\boldsymbol{S}}_{i} \cdot \vec{\boldsymbol{S}}_{j} + \vec{\boldsymbol{S}}_{i} \boldsymbol{J}_{ij}^{iso} \vec{\boldsymbol{S}}_{j} + \vec{\boldsymbol{D}}_{ij} \cdot \left( \vec{\boldsymbol{S}}_{i} \times \vec{\boldsymbol{S}}_{j} \right) \right].$ 

The first term represents the single-ion anisotropy, the second the isotropic exchange, the third the symmetric anisotropic exchange, and the last term the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) interaction. The convention used within this framework is that positive exchange *J* values favour ferromagnetic spin alignment. Each pair *ij* is counted twice and the spin vectors are normalized to 1, to yield parameters in the units of energy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://github.com/Chengcheng-Xiao/VASP2WAN90\_v2\_fix

#### **Results and discussion**

#### Structural and electronic properties of FeS and Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub>

Troilite FeS crystallizes in a hexagonal structure (space group *P-62c*, nr. 190), with the unit cell containing 24 atoms, 12 of each chemical species. There are only four non-equivalent positions in the cell (occupied by one iron and three sulphur), with sulphur atoms situated at the vertices of an octahedron around each iron atom. The calculated lattice parameters of FeS read a = b = 5.898 Å and c = 11.593 Å, which is in agreement with earlier theoretical works [30] as well as available experimental data (a = b = 5.965 Å and c = 11.759 Å [52])

In contrast, pyrrhotite  $Fe_7S_8$  adopts a monoclinic structure (space group C2/c, nr. 15), where the loss of symmetry due to the presence of defects results in a much larger unit cell that contains 60 atoms: 28 of iron and 32 of sulphur. Within the unit cell of pyrrhotite, there are eight non-equivalent positions (four iron and four sulphur sites), with each iron six-fold coordinated with sulphur atoms. The iron full and iron-deficient layer stack alternatively along the direction perpendicular to these layers.



Figure 1. Calculated structural parameters and unit cell volume of Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> for varying U<sub>eff</sub> values without (left) and with (right) additional dispersion corrections. Reference structure taken from Powell et al[14].





Figure 2. Calculated magnetic moment localized on the four distinct iron sites present in  $Fe_7S_8$  for varying  $U_{eff}$  values without (left) and with (right) additional dispersion corrections. Reference magnetic moments indicated with the dashes line were taken from Powell et al[14]

Table 1. Spin magnetic moments for FeS and Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> computed with DFT+U

	Magnetic moment ( $\mu_B$ /atom)		
	Experimental values	This work	
Troilite FeS	3.21[28], 4[53]	3.04	
Pyrrhotite Fe <sub>7</sub> S <sub>8</sub>	3.03 (at 77 K) and 3.53 (at 4.2 K)[54], 3.16[14]	3.13 - 3.32	

The calculated lattice parameters and unit cell volume of Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> are shown in Figure 1, with the final values of a = 11.796 Å, b = 6.872 Å, c = 12.878 Å (experimental reference values taken as a = 11.866 Å, b = 6.848 Å, c = 12.895 Å from [14]). Despite Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> exhibiting a metallic ground state, a standard GGA (PBE) functional is not sufficient to reproduce the structure correctly and underestimates the volume by more than 15% when compared to available experimental values. This discrepancy is attributed to the well-known delocalization of *d* and *f* electrons by semi-local exchange-correlation functionals as well as strong electron correlations[55]. However, an additional on-site interaction to the PBE functional remedies the shortcoming and the structural parameters are recovered within less than 1% deviation. A value of  $U_{eff}$  = 1.5 eV applied on the Fe-*d* orbitals was found to yield results in almost perfect agreement with experimental values. Furthermore, adding dispersion interactions was found crucial, as otherwise the lattice b and c dimensions remain poorly described within DFT+*U*. With the correctly reproduced lattice parameters, the (spin only) magnetic moment present at the Fe sites is recovered as well, as depicted in Figure 2. The calculated values compared well with the experimental data (see Table 1).



Figure 3. Electronic band structure of FeS troilite and  $Fe_7S_8$  pyrrhotite along high-symmetry points in the Brillouin zone, calculated with for collinear spins with DFT+U.

Figure 3 and Figure 4 depict the spin-projected electronic band structure and accompanying densities of states (DOS) of troilite and pyrrhotite. In addition, on top of the single particle Kohn-Sham electronic band structure, the interpolated band structure obtained from the subspace spanned by the minimized MLWFs is shown. The overlap between the band structures is very good, ensuring that the WFs are well localized and that their spread is minimal. An indirect gap of 0.56 eV was obtained for FeS, which overestimates the experimental value by an order of magnitude (0.04 eV[38]), yet such behaviour has been noted before and its cause discussed in previous works[30,38,56]. Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> was found to exhibit metallic behaviour due to states present at the Fermi level, corresponding well to available experimental data which measures pyrrhotite as a highly conducting material[57].

In FeS, the Fe ions adopt a  $d^6$  high spin configuration with a single electron in the minority spin channel in the  $d_{xy}$  orbital[32]. The calculated DOS confirm further hybridization (covalency) of Fe-3*d* states with the 3*p* orbitals of sulphur (full DOS not shown). The  $t_{2g}$  and  $e_g$  electrons at the Fe site are broadened across the whole energy range from -8 eV up to the Fermi level, which does not allow crystal field splitting to be noted, as shown in Figure 4. This reflects the local irregular octahedral environment surrounding the Fe atom, where each Fe-S bond is distorted and has dissimilar bond lengths.

A similar situation is found in Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub>, with the  $t_{2g}$  and  $e_g$  electronic levels not distinctly separated but delocalized across a wide energy range. Fe is predominantly in the  $3d^6$  high spin state, similar to FeS and hybridizes with the sulphur 3p states. The states around the Fermi level originate from the single electron present in the minority spin channel of each Fe atom, which stack alternately along the c-axis.



Figure 4. Orbital resolved (Fe-d) electronic densities of state of FeS troilite and Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> pyrrhotite, calculated using DFT+U.

#### Magnetic anisotropy energy

The dependence of the MAE on the crystallographic directions in FeS and Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> is shown in Figure 5. For FeS, the maximum MAE is noted for a 90° rotation from the c-axis into the abplane with a value of almost 395.9  $\mu$ eV/cell (around 16.5  $\mu$ eV/atom). Thus, the magnetic moments align along the c-axis in an antiferromagnetic manner, confirming previous low temperature experimental studies of FeS[58]. For Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub>, the calculated MAE minimum is noted at a 56° angle to the c-axis (34° to the iron layers), which matches the measurements done by Powell *et al*[14], who observed that the moments are directed at ca. 29° to the iron layers at 11 K. The MAE in Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> due to spin-orbit coupling reads 5488.5  $\mu$ eV/cell (around 91.5  $\mu$ eV/atom), which is greatly enlarged in magnitude compared to FeS. This is a direct consequence of the symmetry reduction from a hexagonal to monoclinic structure and subsequent increase of Fe-Fe orbital overlap, as postulated by Koulialias *et al*[59].



Figure 5. Magnetic anisotropy energy per unit cell for troilite FeS (left) and pyrrhotite  $Fe_7S_8$  (right) obtained with DFT+U.

#### **Exchange parameters**

The DFT+*U* results of the exchange interaction parameters between Fe atoms at different sites in FeS and Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> are shown in Figure 6. For FeS, only one ferromagnetic exchange interaction between iron atoms of the same spin state in the ab-plane is identified, with a magnitude of 16.5 meV (denoted as J<sub>1</sub>, for detailed visualization see Figure 7). The strongest magnetic interaction (J<sub>3</sub> = -22.2 meV) is antiferromagnetic between Fe atoms separated at *d* = 2.972 Å on top of each other along the c-axis. The superexchange interactions occurring between Fe-Fe atoms placed further than 5.5 Å apart are an order of magnitude weaker than the direct exchange and demonstrate competitive interplay between ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic behaviour.



Figure 6. Calculated magnetic interaction parameters from DFT+U of FeS and Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> as a function of interatomic distance.

The observed magnetic behaviour in FeS can be elucidated following the Goodenough-Kanamori-Anderson (GKA) rules[60–65]. The favourable Fe-Fe distance of atoms stacked along the crystallographic c-direction of less than 3 Å enables effective d orbital overlap without ligand (sulphur, p orbital) participation. Furthermore, the Fe centres lie on top of one another so that the half-filled d orbitals are directed towards each other enabling effective spin hopping between them. This arrangement explains the origin of the AFM interaction between adjacent spin-alternating Fe layers. The FM interacting iron atoms occupy every other corner of the hexagon formed in the ab-plane. Such a geometry and distances of 2.743 Å allow for orbital overlap which minimizes the intra-site Coulomb energy and maximizes the spin multiplicity, favouring FM coupling.



Figure 7. Schematic representation of the crystal lattice of FeS troilite and Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> pyrrhotite together with the strongest magnetic interaction pathways identified using DFT+U. The numbering is done in an arbitrary manner.

Similar magnetic behaviour to that of FeS is noted for Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub>. Due to symmetry reduction in Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub>, the FM exchange interaction is no longer uniquely defined. Obtained values are in the range of 8.7 - 12.3 meV as a result of the variable intralayer Fe-Fe distance of 2.849 Å - 3.050 Å (along the crystallographic c-direction, grouped as the J<sub>c</sub> interaction in Figure 7). Compared to FeS, the FM interaction is weakened as a consequence of the extended intralayer Fe-Fe bond length, in accordance with the aforementioned GKA rules. The dominant magnetic interaction in Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> remains AFM and does not experience substantial magnitude reduction, compared to FeS, with a maximal value of -21.2 meV (labelled as J<sub>a</sub>, d(Fe-Fe) = 2.892 Å). The superexchange interaction between magnetic Fe sites in Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> is somewhat enhanced compared to FeS, yet the values remain for one order of magnitude lower than the dominant exchange interaction.



Figure 8. DM vector components along the a,b,c direction of FeS and Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub>, as a function of interatomic distance, obtained with TB2J using DFT+U+SOC.

The DFT+*U*+SOC calculated parameters of the DM vectors for both FeS and Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> are shown in Figure 8. The components of the strongest magnetic interactions are listed in Table 2. There is no clear observable trend among the different DM components, neither for FeS nor Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub>. The largest magnitude of the DM vector reads 0.65 meV or FeS (2.3 % of the J<sub>3</sub> interaction) and 0.38 meV (1.8 % of the J<sub>a</sub> interaction) for Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub>. The canting angles, in competition with the isotropic collinear Heisenberg exchange (estimated through the relation  $|D_{ij}|/|J_{ij}|$ ), are calculated to be 1.318° and 1.661° for the strongest FM and AFM interaction in FeS, respectively. The canting angles between spin pairs in Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> are decreased when compared to FeS and estimated at 0.515° and 0.802° for the most prominent FM and AFM interactions, respectively. When compared to materials which possess helical cycloid phases or skyrmionics states, where the D/J ratio is typically in the range of 0.1-0.2 [66,67], the DM interaction in troilite and 4C pyrrhotite induces fairly weak effects.

	Da	Db	Dc	D	L
FeS	-0.383	0.044	-0.088	0.395	J <sub>1</sub> = 16.5
	-0.060	-0.086	-0.031	0.110	$J_2 = -16.4$
	0.000	-0.528	-0.383	0.652	J <sub>3</sub> = -22.3
	0.276	0.233	0.234	0.430	J <sub>4</sub> = -17.5
Fe <sub>7</sub> S <sub>8</sub>	-0.049	-0.197	-0.118	0.384	$J_a = -21.2$
	-0.213	-0.003	0.036	0.216	<b>J</b> <sub>b</sub> = -16.9
	-0.050	-0.010	-0.003	0.075	J <sub>c</sub> = 8.7-12.3
	-0.007	0.197	0.071	0.209	J <sub>d</sub> = -8.5
	-0.016	0.052	0.041	0.259	J <sub>e</sub> = -13.7

Table 2. Calculated components of the DM interaction vector for strongest interactions between magnetic Fe atoms in FeS and  $Fe_7S_8$  as identified earlier.

Finally, it should be noted that the outlined results obtained using DFT+U are only able to partially account for the strong electron correlation present in systems such as pyrrhotites. Despite good agreement with experimentally available data, additional research using methods including improved description of electron correlation, such as DFT + DMFT, would be desirable to further verify the validity of the results outline in this work.

#### Conclusion

In this work, the origin of the magnetic interactions appearing in two end members of the pyrrhotite group of iron sulphide materials has been analysed by means of DFT calculations. The strongest interaction is found to occur between nearest neighbouring iron atoms favouring antiferromagnetic spin alignment between iron layers along the crystallographic c-plane and ferromagnetic alignment between iron atoms within the same layer in the abplane. The high symmetrical structure of FeS implies an overall net zero moment across the unit cell, while the low symmetry structure of Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> exhibits ferrimagnetism as a result of the uncompensated magnetic intra-layer iron interaction in Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> is weakened in comparison to FeS, while the antiferromagnetic inter-layer interactions remain almost unaltered. Calculated values compare well with experimental values when available and provide fundamental complementary information. The DFT+*U* method is confirmed as suitable for modelling the ground state properties of pyrrhotite group members.

#### Acknowledgements

A.Z. and N.H.d.L acknowledge the NWO ECHO grant (712.018.005) for funding. Part of the local compute cluster and the research work of M.W. is part of the Industrial Partnership Programme i32 Computational Sciences for Energy Research that is carried out under an agreement between Shell and the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO). This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 819588). This work was carried out on the Dutch national e-infrastructure with the support of SURF Cooperative. The authors would also like to thank Xu He and Eric Bousquet for explaining the limitations of the Heisenberg model and technical support with TB2J.

#### References

- [1] Néel L 1953 Some New Results on Antiferromagnetism and Ferromagnetism *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 25 58–63
- [2] Krontiras C, Pomoni K and Theodossiou A 1984 Resistivity anisotropy of pyrrhotite *J. Appl. Phys.* **55** 3894–5
- [3] Kind J, García-Rubio I, Charilaou M, Nowaczyk N R, Löffler J F and Gehring A U 2013 Domainwall dynamics in 4C pyrrhotite at low temperature *Geophys. J. Int.* **195** 192–9
- [4] de Villiers J P R and Liles D C 2010 The crystal-structure and vacancy distribution in 6C pyrrhotite *Am. Mineral.* **95** 148–52
- [5] Wang H and Salveson I 2005 A review on the mineral chemistry of the non-stoichiometric iron sulphide, Fe 1-x S ( $0 \le x \le 0.125$ ): polymorphs, phase relations and transitions, electronic and magnetic structures *Phase Transitions* **78** 547–67
- [6] Takele S and Hearne G R 2001 Magnetic–electronic properties of FeS and Fe 7 S 8 studied by 57 Fe Mössbauer and electrical measurements at high pressure and variable temperatures *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **13** 10077–88
- [7] Kavner A, Duffy T S and Shen G 2001 Phase stability and density of FeS at high pressures and temperatures: Implications for the interior structure of Mars *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 185 25–
- [8] Martín-Hernández F, Dekkers M J, Bominaar-Silkens I M A and Maan J C 2008 Magnetic anisotropy behaviour of pyrrhotite as determined by low- and high-field experiments *Geophys. J. Int.* **174** 42–54
- [9] Rickard D and Luther G W 2007 Chemistry of Iron Sulfides *Chem. Rev.* **107** 514–62
- [10] Shimada K, Mizokawa T, Mamiya K, Saitoh T, Fujimori A, Ono K, Kakizaki A, Ishii T, Shirai M and Kamimura T 1998 Spin-integrated and spin-resolved photoemission study of Fe chalcogenides *Phys. Rev. B* 57 8845–53
- [11] OVANESYAN N S, TRUKHTANOV V A, ODINETS G Y and NOVIKOV G V 1971 VACANCY DISTRIBUTION AND MAGNETIC ORDERING IN IRON SULFIDES *Sov. Phys. JETP-USSR* **33** 1193–7
- [12] Sato K 1966 Magnetizing Process of Pyrrhotite Crystal in High Magnetic Field J. Phys. Soc. Japan **21** 733–7
- [13] Levinson L M and Treves D 1968 Mössbauer study of the magnetic structure of Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> J. Phys. Chem. Solids **29** 2227–31
- [14] Powell A V., Vaqueiro P, Knight K S, Chapon L C and Sánchez R D 2004 Structure and magnetism in synthetic pyrrhotite Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub>: A powder neutron-diffraction study *Phys. Rev. B* 70
- [15] Charilaou M, Kind J, Koulialias D, Weidler P G, Mensing C, Löffler J F and Gehring A U 2015 Magneto-electronic coupling in modulated defect-structures of natural Fe<sub>1-x</sub>S J. Appl. Phys. 118 083903
- [16] Koulialias D, Kind J, Charilaou M, Weidler P G, Löffler J F and Gehring A U 2016 Variable defect structures cause the magnetic low-temperature transition in natural monoclinic pyrrhotite *Geophys. J. Int.* 204 961–7

<sup>[17]</sup> Koulialias D, Canévet E, Charilaou M, Weidler P G, Löffler J F and Gehring A U 2018 The

2
2
3
4
5
2
6
7
0
0
9
10
11
11
12
13
1/
14
15
16
17
1/
18
19
20
20
21
22
23
23
24
25
26
20
27
28
29
20
30
31
32
22
22
34
35
26
50
37
38
20
22
40
41
12
42
43
44
45
40
46
47
48
40
49
50
51
5.
52
53
54
55
55
56
57
58
50
59

relation between local structural distortion and the low-temperature magnetic anomaly in Fe 7 S 8 *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **30** 425803

- [18] Volk M W R, McCalla E, Voigt B, Manno M, Leighton C and Feinberg J M 2018 Changes in physical properties of 4C pyrrhotite (Fe7S8) across the 32 K Besnus transition *Am. Mineral.* 103 1674–89
- [19] Koulialias D, Schäublin R, Kurtuldu G, Weidler P G, Löffler J F and Gehring A U 2018 On the Magnetism Behind the Besnus Transition in Monoclinic Pyrrhotite J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 123 6236–46
- [20] Koulialias D, Lesniak B, Schwotzer M, Weidler P G, Löffler J F and Gehring A U 2019 The Besnus Transition in Single-Domain 4C Pyrrhotite Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems 20 5216–24
- [21] Haines C R S, Dutton S E, Volk M W R and Carpenter M A 2020 Magnetoelastic properties and behaviour of 4C pyrrhotite, Fe 7 S 8, through the Besnus transition J. Phys. Condens. Matter 32 405401
- [22] William Herbert F, Krishnamoorthy A, Rands L, Van Vliet K J and Yildiz B 2015 Magnetic diffusion anomaly at the Néel temperature of pyrrhotite, Fe 1-x S Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 17 11036–41
- [23] Herbert F W, Krishnamoorthy A, Yildiz B and Van Vliet K J 2015 Diffusion-limited kinetics of the antiferromagnetic to ferrimagnetic λ -transition in Fe 1–x S *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **106** 092402
- [24] Nath M, Choudhury A, Kundu A and Rao C N R 2003 Synthesis and Characterization of Magnetic Iron Sulfide Nanowires *Adv. Mater.* **15** 2098–101
- [25] Takayama T and Takagi H 2006 Phase-change magnetic memory effect in cation-deficient iron sulfide Fe<sub>1-x</sub>S *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **88**
- [26] Shirai M, Suzuki N and Motizuki K 1996 Electronic band structure and photoemission spectra of Fe<sub>7</sub>S<sub>8</sub> *J. Electron Spectros. Relat. Phenomena* **78** 95–8
- [27] Becker U, Munz A W, Lennie A R, Thornton G and Vaughan D J 1997 The atomic and electronic structure of the (001) surface of monoclinic pyrrhotite (Fe7S8) as studied using STM, LEED and quantum mechanical calculations *Surf. Sci.* 389 66–87
- [28] Martin P, Price G D and Vočadlo L 2001 An ab initio study of the relative stabilities and equations of state of FeS polymorphs *Mineral. Mag.* **65** 181–91
- [29] Wells S, Alfe D, Blanchard L, Brodholt J, Calleja M, Catlow R, Price D, Tyler R and Wright K 2005 Ab-initio simulations of magnetic iron sulphides *Mol. Simul.* **31** 379–84
- [30] Ricci F and Bousquet E 2016 Unveiling the Room-Temperature Magnetoelectricity of Troilite FeS *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** 1–5
- [31] Antonov V N, Bekenov L V., Shpak A P, Germash L P, Yaresko A N and Jepsen O 2009 X-ray magnetic circular dichroism in iron chalcogenides Fe1–xS: First-principles calculations *J. Appl. Phys.* **106** 123907
- [32] Ushakov A V., Shorikov A O, Anisimov V I, Baranov N V. and Streltsov S V. 2017 Suppression of magnetism under pressure in FeS: A DFT+DMFT study *Phys. Rev. B* **95** 205116
- [33] Kresse G and Joubert D 1999 From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmentedwave method *Phys. Rev. B* **59** 1758–75

- [34] Kresse G and Furthmüller J 1996 Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set *Phys. Rev. B* **54** 11169–86
  - [35] Kresse G and Furthmüller J 1996 Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set *Comput. Mater. Sci.* **6** 15–50
  - [36] Perdew J P, Burke K and Ernzerhof M 1996 Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** 3865–8
  - [37] Dudarev S L, Botton G A, Savrasov S Y, Humphreys C J and Sutton a. P 1998 Electron-energyloss spectra and the structural stability of nickel oxide: An LSDA+U study *Phys. Rev. B* 57 1505–9
  - [38] Rohrbach A, Hafner J and Kresse G 2003 Electronic correlation effects in transition-metal sulfides *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **15** 979–96
  - [39] Grimme S, Antony J, Ehrlich S and Krieg H 2010 A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu J. Chem. Phys. **132** 154104
- [40] Monkhorst H J and Pack J D 1976 Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations Phys. Rev. B 13 5188–92
- [41] Yu M and Trinkle D R 2011 Accurate and efficient algorithm for Bader charge integration *J. Chem. Phys.* **134** 064111
- [42] Tang W, Sanville E and Henkelman G 2009 A grid-based Bader analysis algorithm without lattice bias *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **21** 084204
- [43] Sanville E, Kenny S D, Smith R and Henkelman G 2007 Improved grid-based algorithm for Bader charge allocation *J. Comput. Chem.* **28** 899–908
- [44] Momma K and Izumi F 2011 VESTA 3 for three-dimensional visualization of crystal, volumetric and morphology data *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **44** 1272–6
- [45] Liechtenstein A I, Katsnelson M I, Antropov V P and Gubanov V A 1987 Local spin density functional approach to the theory of exchange interactions in ferromagnetic metals and alloys *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **67** 65–74
- [46] Daalderop G H O, Kelly P J and Schuurmans M F H 1991 Magnetocrystalline anisotropy and orbital moments in transition-metal compounds *Phys. Rev. B* **44** 12054–7
- [47] Pizzi G, Vitale V, Arita R, Blügel S, Freimuth F, Géranton G, Gibertini M, Gresch D, Johnson C, Koretsune T, Ibañez-Azpiroz J, Lee H, Lihm J-M, Marchand D, Marrazzo A, Mokrousov Y, Mustafa J I, Nohara Y, Nomura Y, Paulatto L, Poncé S, Ponweiser T, Qiao J, Thöle F, Tsirkin S S, Wierzbowska M, Marzari N, Vanderbilt D, Souza I, Mostofi A A and Yates J R 2020 Wannier90 as a community code: new features and applications J. Phys. Condens. Matter **32** 165902
- [48] Souza I, Marzari N and Vanderbilt D 2001 Maximally localized Wannier functions for entangled energy bands *Phys. Rev. B* **65** 35109
- [49] Marzari N and Vanderbilt D 1997 Maximally localized generalized Wannier functions for composite energy bands *Phys. Rev. B* **56** 12847–65
- [50] Marzari N, Mostofi A A, Yates J R, Souza I and Vanderbilt D 2012 Maximally localized Wannier functions: Theory and applications *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84** 1419–75
- [51] He X, Helbig N, Verstraete M J and Bousquet E 2021 TB2J: A python package for computing

1 2		
3 4		magnetic interaction parameters Comput. Phys. Commun. 264 107938
5 6 7	[52]	Makovicky E 2006 Crystal Structures of Sulfides and Other Chalcogenides <i>Rev. Mineral.</i> <i>Geochemistry</i> <b>61</b> 7–125
7 8 9	[53]	Coey J M D and Roux-Buisson H 1979 Electronic properties of (Ni1-xFex)s solid solutions <i>Mater. Res. Bull.</i> <b>14</b> 711–6
10 11 12	[54]	Fillion G, Mattei J L, Rochette P and Wolfers P 1992 Neutron study of 4C pyrrhotite <i>J. Magn.</i> <i>Magn. Mater.</i> <b>104–107</b> 1985–6
13 14 15	[55]	Martin R M 2004 <i>Electronic Structure: Basic Theory and Practical Methods</i> (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
16 17 18	[56]	Hobbs D and Hafner J 1999 Magnetism and magneto-structural effects in transition-metal sulphides <i>J. Phys. Condens. Matter</i> <b>11</b> 8197–222
19 20 21	[57]	Pearce C I 2006 Electrical and Magnetic Properties of Sulfides <i>Rev. Mineral. Geochemistry</i> <b>61</b> 127–80
22 23 24 25	[58]	Andresen A F, Hofman-Bang N, Bak T A, Varde E and Westin G 1960 Magnetic Phase Transitions in Stoichiometric FeS Studied by Means of Neutron Diffraction. <i>Acta Chem. Scand.</i> 14 919–26
26 27 28 29	[59]	Koulialias D, Charilaou M, Schäublin R, Mensing C, Weidler P G, Löffler J F and Gehring A U 2018 Ordered defects in Fe 1– x S generate additional magnetic anisotropy symmetries <i>J. Appl. Phys.</i> <b>123</b> 033902
30 31 32	[60]	Goodenough J B 1962 Cation-Cation Three-Membered Ring Formation <i>J. Appl. Phys.</i> <b>33</b> 1197–9
33 34 35	[61]	Anderson P W 1950 Antiferromagnetism. Theory of Superexchange Interaction <i>Phys. Rev.</i> <b>79</b> 350–6
36 37 38	[62]	Kanamori J 1957 Theory of the Magnetic Properties of Ferrous and Cobaltous Oxides, I <i>Prog. Theor. Phys.</i> <b>17</b> 177–96
39 40 41	[63]	Goodenough J B 1967 Narrow-band electrons in transition-metal oxides <i>Czechoslov. J. Phys.</i> <b>17</b> 304–36
42 43 44	[64]	Goodenough J B 1958 An interpretation of the magnetic properties of the perovskite-type mixed crystals $La_{1-x}Sr_xCoO_{3-\lambda}$ J. Phys. Chem. Solids <b>6</b> 287–97
45 46 47	[65]	Khomskii D I 2014 TRANSITION METAL COMPOUNDS (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
48 49 50	[66]	Li X, Yu H, Lou F, Feng J, Whangbo M H and Xiang H 2021 Spin Hamiltonians in Magnets: Theories and Computations <i>Molecules</i> <b>26</b> 1–26
50 51 52 53	[67]	Kim B H and Min B I 2011 Effect of orbital symmetry on the anisotropic superexchange interaction <i>New J. Phys.</i> <b>13</b> 073034
53 54 55 56 57 58		
59 60		