



Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/177341/>

Version: Published Version

---

**Article:**

Chatwin, H., Broadley, M., Valdersdorf Jensen, M. et al. (2021) 'Never again will I be carefree' : a qualitative study of the impact of hypoglycemia on quality of life among adults with type 1 diabetes. *BMJ Open Diabetes Research & Care*, 9 (1). e002322.

<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjdr-2021-002322>

---

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial (CC BY-NC) licence. This licence allows you to remix, tweak, and build upon this work non-commercially, and any new works must also acknowledge the authors and be non-commercial. You don't have to license any derivative works on the same terms. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

# 'Never again will I be carefree': a qualitative study of the impact of hypoglycemia on quality of life among adults with type 1 diabetes

Hannah Chatwin <sup>1</sup>, Melanie Broadley <sup>1</sup>, Mette Valdersdorf Jensen <sup>1</sup>, Christel Hendrieckx <sup>2,3</sup>, Jill Carlton <sup>4</sup>, Simon Heller <sup>5</sup>, Stephanie Amiel <sup>6</sup>, Bastiaan de Galan <sup>7,8</sup>, Norbert Hermanns <sup>9</sup>, Katharina Finke-Groene <sup>9</sup>, Jane Speight <sup>2,3</sup>, Frans Pouwer <sup>1,10</sup>

**To cite:** Chatwin H, Broadley M, Valdersdorf Jensen M, *et al.* 'Never again will I be carefree': a qualitative study of the impact of hypoglycemia on quality of life among adults with type 1 diabetes. *BMJ Open Diab Res Care* 2021;**9**:e002322. doi:10.1136/bmjdr-2021-002322

► Supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjdr-2021-002322>).

Received 9 April 2021  
Accepted 17 July 2021



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2021. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

**Correspondence to**  
Ms Hannah Chatwin;  
hchatwin@health.sdu.dk

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction** Achieving glycaemic targets and optimizing quality of life (QoL) are important goals of type 1 diabetes care. Hypoglycemia is a common barrier to achieving targets and can be associated with significant distress. However, the impact of hypoglycemia on QoL is not fully understood. The aim of this study was to explore how adults with type 1 diabetes are impacted by hypoglycemia in areas of life that are important to their overall QoL.

**Research design and methods** Participants responded to a web-based qualitative survey involving a novel 'Wheel of Life' activity. Responses were analyzed using reflexive thematic analysis.

**Results** The final sample included 219 adults with type 1 diabetes from Denmark, Germany, the Netherlands, and the UK. They had a mean±SD age of 39±13 years and diabetes duration of 20±14 years. Participants identified eight areas of life important to their overall QoL, including relationships and social life, work and studies, leisure and physical activity, everyday life, sleep, sex life, physical health, and mental health. Participants reported emotional, behavioral, cognitive, and social impacts of hypoglycemia within domains. Across domains, participants described interruptions, limited participation in activities, exhaustion, fear of hypoglycemia, compensatory strategies to prevent hypoglycemia, and reduced spontaneity.

**Conclusions** The findings emphasize the profound impact of hypoglycemia on QoL and diabetes self-care behaviors. Diabetes services should be aware of and address the burden of hypoglycemia to provide person-centered care. Clinicians could ask individuals how hypoglycemia affects important areas of their lives to better understand the personal impact and develop tailored management plans.

## INTRODUCTION

Hypoglycemia remains a common barrier to optimal glycaemic management for adults with type 1 diabetes, despite advances in insulin analogs, insulin delivery devices, and glucose monitoring devices.<sup>1 2</sup> Episodes can be frightening and life-threatening, with some resulting in loss of consciousness, seizure,

## Significance of this study

### What is already known about this subject?

- Hypoglycemia is common and burdensome among adults with type 1 diabetes, yet its impact on quality of life (QoL) is not fully understood.

### What are the new findings?

- Adults with type 1 diabetes experience emotional, social, cognitive, and behavioral impacts of hypoglycemia on their relationships, work/studies, leisure, everyday life, sleep, sex life, and physical/mental health.
- There are short-term impacts of hypoglycemic episodes as well as persistent impacts of living with the risk of hypoglycemia.
- Hypoglycemic episodes caused interruptions, limited participation in activities, reduced performance on activities/tasks, exhaustion, and concentration difficulties.
- In terms of living with the risk of hypoglycemia, participants feared and were preoccupied with hypoglycemia, maintained higher glucose and frequently checked their glucose to prevent hypoglycemia, and were limited in their spontaneity.

### How might these results change the focus of research or clinical practice?

- Healthcare professionals' need to understand the multifaceted impact of hypoglycemia on QoL and diabetes self-management.
- Clinicians could ask individuals how hypoglycemia affects important areas of their lives to better understand the personal impact, then facilitate access to tools and develop tailored hypoglycemia management plans accordingly.
- Further research is needed to determine whether these results are corroborated in unselected and culturally diverse samples.

and rarely, sudden death.<sup>3</sup> Adults with type 1 diabetes report that hypoglycemia impairs their sleep quality, work productivity, driving safety, and overall quality of life (QoL).<sup>4 5</sup>

QoL is increasingly emphasized as an important health outcome, with optimization of QoL a fundamental goal of diabetes care.<sup>6</sup> It is a subjective and multi-faceted construct encompassing physical, psychological, and social factors.<sup>7</sup> While the impact of hypoglycemia on QoL is recognized in research and clinical practice, it is not well understood.<sup>7</sup>

Previous studies of the impact of hypoglycemia have focused almost exclusively on psychological outcomes, namely fear of hypoglycemia.<sup>8</sup> This restricts our understanding of the burden to the impact on emotional aspects of QoL and limits our understanding of other potentially important domains (eg, work or relationships). In addition, past research has used measures that assess other constructs (eg, health status) and applied fixed frameworks of QoL that may not be relevant to study participants.<sup>9</sup>

While qualitative studies have focused on experiences of impaired hypoglycemia awareness and the challenges imposed by hypoglycemia,<sup>2 10</sup> no study has investigated how adults with type 1 diabetes are affected by hypoglycemia in QoL domains that are personally important to them. The aim of this study was to explore the impact of hypoglycemia on QoL by inviting adults with type 1 diabetes to describe how important areas of their lives are affected by hypoglycemia. This study was conducted across four European countries (Denmark, Germany, the Netherlands, and the UK), with the secondary aim of examining between-country differences in hypoglycemia impacts.

## RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

This study involved a web-based qualitative survey.

### Participants

In each of the target countries, the study was advertised in diabetes clinics, on social media, and via e-newsletters distributed by diabetes associations. Individuals were eligible to participate if they were:  $\geq 18$  years old, self-reporting a diagnosis of type 1 diabetes, and living in one of the target countries. Sample size targets were  $N=50$  in each country, based on previous studies of people with diabetes achieving conceptual saturation with similar sample sizes.<sup>11</sup>

### Materials

The survey involved a 'Wheel of Life' activity that asked participants to identify areas of life that are important to their overall QoL, then describe how hypoglycemia affects those areas they identified (see [figure 1](#)). This activity has been implemented as an interview method in past research on the impact of diabetes on QoL.<sup>12 13</sup> The method was adapted to include written instructions that enabled participants to complete the activity online without interviewer facilitation.

Demographic and clinical characteristics were assessed using validated measures and study-specific items. Eight items of the Hypoglycaemia Awareness Questionnaire<sup>14</sup>

assessed self-reported frequency, severity, and awareness of hypoglycemia in the past 12 months. The single-item Gold Score<sup>15</sup> assessed self-reported hypoglycemia awareness. The six-item Worry subscale of the Hypoglycemia Fear Survey Short Form<sup>16</sup> assessed fear of hypoglycemia. Since the study was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic, a nine-item adaptation of the Diabetes Attitudes, Wishes, and Needs 2 Impact of Diabetes Profile (DIDP)<sup>17</sup> was included to assess the impact of the pandemic on diabetes and various aspects of QoL. Five adults with type 1 diabetes piloted the survey to verify the readability, relevance, and importance of survey questions. English survey content was then translated into Danish, Dutch, and German in accordance with best practice guidelines.<sup>18</sup>

### Data collection

The survey was hosted on REDCap,<sup>19</sup> an online survey platform accessible via computer, tablet, or smartphone. Participants responded to screening questions to determine their eligibility. Eligible participants then reviewed an Information Sheet and gave their consent to participate anonymously by selecting checkboxes. Purposive sampling was used to recruit equal numbers of participants in each country and participants reporting the presence or absence of severe hypoglycemia in the past year. Once sample size targets were met for each group, data were checked for completeness and the survey was closed.

### Data analysis

Survey records were imported into SPSS V.22 and NVivo V.12. Non-English records were translated via Google Translate then reviewed by native speakers of the original language for semantic equivalence between original and translated versions. Translated free-text responses were analyzed using reflexive thematic analysis. Two researchers (HC and MVJ) developed the coding framework with consultation from coauthors. HC coded 100% of the dataset and MVJ coded 20% for comparison. Researchers generated initial codes by familiarizing themselves with free-text responses then summarizing data extracts line-by-line within QoL domains. Extracts were only coded if they were meaningful and able to be interpreted, usually more than one word or short responses. Codes were observed to be qualitatively similar between countries; therefore, responses were pooled for theme development. Semantically related codes were clustered to develop themes within QoL domains. Themes were reviewed for goodness-of-fit, coherence, and discrimination from other themes. Researchers determined whether extracts within domains reflected emotional, behavioral, cognitive, or social impacts to evaluate the impact of hypoglycemia across these areas of functioning. Descriptive statistics were calculated for demographic and clinical variables. Between-group differences (by gender, country, and experience of severe hypoglycemia in the past year) were examined using  $\chi^2$  tests.

The next few questions are about hypoglycaemia and your quality of life. We would like you to imagine this wheel as a representation of your quality of life. This wheel is divided into sections. Each section represents an area of life that is important to the quality of your life right now.



What is important to you may not be the same as what is important to someone else. That is OK. There are no right or wrong answers. Here are some examples:



To complete this part of the survey, please think about areas of your life that are important to you. In the first box below, type a short label for an area of life that is important to you. In the second box, tell us (in as much detail as possible) about how hypoglycaemia affects that area of your life.

All types of hypoglycaemia are relevant (e.g., daytime, night-time, self-treated, or those where you need help from another person). Sometimes, an area of life may be affected not just by having a hypo but also by your worries about having a hypo or the efforts you make to avoid/treat hypos. Most people can think of at least five areas of life that are important to them.

**Figure 1** Instructions for the ‘Wheel of Life’ activity.

## RESULTS

Of the 237 survey respondents, 18 did not attempt the ‘Wheel of Life’ and were excluded. Excluded participants were more likely to be men, engaged in unpaid work, and report more worry about hypoglycemia, compared to included participants (online supplemental table 1).

### Sample characteristics

The final sample included 219 adults with type 1 diabetes. They had a mean±SD age of 39±13 years and diabetes duration of 20±14 years. Eighty-three per cent (n=182) were women. Sixty-nine per cent (n=151) were living with a spouse or partner. Forty-two per cent (n=92) were working full-time. Seventy-seven per cent (n=170) were using flash glucose monitoring or continuous glucose monitoring (CGM). Fifty-three per cent

(n=116) were using an insulin pump. Forty-seven per cent (n=102) were using multiple daily injections. Participants reported a median (IQR) of 4 (2-6) hypoglycemic episodes of any severity in the past week. Thirty-two per cent (n=70) of the sample reported at least one severe episode in the past year. Frequency of severe hypoglycemia in the past year ranged from 1 to 240 episodes per person, with a total of 624 episodes across those 70 participants reporting at least one episode. Thirty-nine per cent (n=87) reported impaired awareness of hypoglycemia (IAH) (Gold Score ≥4).<sup>15</sup> Fifty-one per cent (n=123) reported a comorbid physical or mental health diagnosis. Mean composite scores on the adapted DIDP indicated that overall QoL had been ‘slightly negatively’ impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic (online supplemental table 2).

**Table 1** QoL domains important to overall QoL among adults with type 1 diabetes

QoL domain	Number of references*	Description
Relationships and social life	233	Relationships with spouses/partners, children, other family members, and friends
Work and studies	176	Work, school/university, and other vocational activities
Leisure and physical activity	175	Sports, exercise, travel/vacation, and other hobbies
Everyday life	137	Driving, eating, household duties, and other functional activities
Sleep	80	Bedtime routine, sleep, and next-day functioning
Sex life	34	Sexual activity and intimacy
Physical health	24	Specific aspects of health (eg, pregnancy)
Mental health	10	Mood fluctuations and emotional difficulties

\*Domains may have been nominated multiple times across the 'Wheel of Life' by a single participant (eg, 'work meetings', 'working life', and 'talks with colleagues'), hence the number of references for a QoL domain may exceed the total number of participants. QoL, quality of life.

### QoL domains

Using the 'Wheel of Life', participants identified a mean±SD of 4±1 areas of life important to their overall QoL. Table 1 presents the eight QoL domains identified across responses, including the number of times each domain was nominated. Women were more likely than men to nominate relationships as an important area of life. There were no further differences in the number of times each domain was nominated by gender, country, or experience of severe hypoglycemia in the past year (online supplemental tables 3–5).

### Impact of hypoglycemia on QoL

Table 2 presents the reported impact of hypoglycemia on QoL domains. The length of free-text responses ranged from 1 to 382 words, with a median of 24 words. Of the 859 responses, 74% (n=635) were meaningful and able to be interpreted. In five of the eight domains, participants indicated that every area of functioning was affected by hypoglycemia. Participants rarely reported that QoL domains were unaffected by hypoglycemia (5%; n=29 of meaningful responses).

Participants from Germany were more likely than participants from other countries to report an impact on their leisure and physical activity. Participants from the Netherlands were more likely to report mental health impacts. Women were more likely than men to report an impact on their work or studies. Participants who experienced severe hypoglycemia in the past year were more likely than participants who had not experienced severe hypoglycemia in the past year to report an impact on their sex life. There were no further differences between groups (online supplemental tables 6–8). Box 1 presents participants' quotations to illustrate themes.

### Relationships and social life

#### Disrupted quality time

Participants explained that hypoglycemia and its after-effects limited their ability to interact with family and friends. During hypoglycemia, they found it difficult to speak, respond, and 'connect emotionally with what

people are saying'. Some felt embarrassed about their 'childish', 'resistant', or drunken-like behavior during hypoglycemia. They cancelled plans or interrupted activities to treat hypoglycemia, which was annoying for them and meant that others had to wait for them. Some were unable to enjoy meals with friends due to having eaten to treat hypoglycemia before the meal. Participants felt 'drained' following hypoglycemia and lacked energy for interaction. They felt moody and irritable, which sometimes resulted in arguments or 'being unfairly angry' towards their children. Some explained that their partners became frustrated with them for recurrences. Participants were worried about burdening others, particularly family members who helped with treatment or were inconvenienced by interruptions (eg, sensor alarms), so hypoglycemic events were 'downplayed' or treated discreetly to avoid worrying or bothering others.

#### Reduced ability to parent

Some participants found it difficult to take care of their children during hypoglycemia, as they had to 'attend to their own needs first'. They felt unable to fulfill their usual role and responsibilities due to hypoglycemia, and sometimes had to relinquish care of their children to their spouse/partner. Some stated that their children had 'treated hypos for them' and 'taken care of them', which was distressing for parents. Some expressed concerns about taking care of their child without another adult present, due to the risk of hypoglycemia.

#### Fears and compensatory strategies

Participants feared hypoglycemia occurring in the company of others and felt uncomfortable with others potentially witnessing episodes. Consequently, they accepted less social invitations, participated less actively in certain activities, and maintained higher glucose levels for social events. Some felt 'trapped', 'paralyzed', and completely unable to participate in social events due to the risk of hypoglycemia. They reported a lack

**Table 2** Impact of hypoglycemia on QoL domains: themes, number of references (N), described impacts, and areas of functioning affected

Domains/Themes	N	Emotional	Behavioral	Cognitive	Social
<b>Relationships and social life</b>	141	X	X	X	X
Disrupted quality time	117	Interrupted/cancelled activities, irritability/conflict, worry about burdening others, fatigue, unable to interact with others			
Reduced ability to parent	27	Unable to fulfil roles and responsibilities, unable to take care of children			
Fears and compensatory strategies	25	Lack spontaneity, less active participation, maintain higher glucose levels			
<b>Work and studies</b>	148	X	X	X	X
Reduced productivity or performance	105	Fatigue, poor concentration, interrupted/stopped tasks, fear negative evaluation from colleagues, late arrival/sick leave			
Fears and compensatory strategies	43	Fear of hypoglycemia, maintain higher glucose levels, check glucose levels frequently			
Limited employment prospects	10	Difficult to get/keep job, work part-time for less pressure, unable to pursue certain job prospects			
<b>Leisure and physical activity</b>	122	X	X	X	X
Fears and limited spontaneity	71	Limited/ceased participation, lack spontaneity, fear of hypoglycemia, maintain higher glucose levels, check glucose levels repeatedly			
Interrupted, discontinued, or cancelled activities	61	Breaks taken, activities cut short/cancelled, reduced performance, fatigue, concentration difficulties			
<b>Everyday life</b>	89	X	X	X	X
Limited driving freedom	47	Fear of hypoglycemia, maintain higher glucose levels, check glucose levels frequently, unable to drive/delayed in starting trip			
Day-to-day burdens	30	Carry treatments/equipment, interrupted/discontinued household duties, financial burden of treatments			
Limited dietary freedom	12	Food choices dictated by glucose levels, unable to enjoy foods associated with hypoglycemia			
<b>Sleep</b>	62	X	X	X	X
Fears and compensatory strategies	28	Fear hypoglycemic coma/not waking up, maintain higher glucose levels, set alarms to check glucose levels overnight			
Disrupted sleep	24	Multiple interruptions, delayed sleep onset, unable to return to sleep, nightmares, rebound hyperglycemia			
Next-day fatigue	16	Exhaustion, bad moods, and impaired functioning			
<b>Sex life</b>	24	X	X		X
Postponed, interrupted, or discontinued sexual activity	23	Interrupted/discontinued sex, limited ability to enjoy sex, worry about disappointing partner			
Reduced spontaneity	4	Fear of/preoccupation with hypoglycemia during sex			
<b>Physical health</b>	14	X	X		
Other aspects of health negatively affected	14	Weight gain/unable to lose weight, comorbidities exacerbated, pregnancy complications			
<b>Mental health</b>	35	X	X		X
Emotional difficulties	11	Negative emotional states, ashamed/angry with self for recurrences, disordered eating behaviors exacerbated			
Persistent stress	24	Fear of/preoccupation with the risk of hypoglycemia, less carefree			

Extracts may be coded to more than one subtheme, thus N for themes may exceed the sum of subtheme N. Emotional impacts=effects on mood. Behavioral impacts=effects on actions or conduct. Cognitive impacts=effects on thinking or decision-making. Social impacts=effects on interactions with others. QoL, quality of life.

of flexibility in making plans, with outings needing to be well-organized rather than spontaneous to mitigate risk. By contrast, some explained that they felt able to manage the risk of hypoglycemia because they were well-supported by family and friends.

**Work and studies**

**Reduced productivity and performance**

Participants experienced reduced productivity or performance at work or school/university due to hypoglycemia. They described exhaustion and concentration difficulties due to both nocturnal episodes and episodes that occurred during work hours. Difficulties with focus, alertness, and ‘*mental sharpness*’ were linked to suboptimal

execution of tasks (‘*overlooking things*’, ‘*making mistakes*’, or ‘*missing deadlines*’). They often interrupted tasks to manage hypoglycemia, which was annoying for them. They checked their glucose and treated hypoglycemia in secret to avoid unwanted attention or negative evaluation from colleagues. Participants described long recovery periods and felt pressured to resume tasks despite feeling unwell. They sometimes arrived late to work or took sick leave following nocturnal hypoglycemia.

**Fears and compensatory strategies**

Participants feared hypoglycemia at work and were worried about appearing unprofessional, frightening others, or embarrassing themselves. They maintained

## Box 1 Participant descriptions of the impact of hypoglycemia on QoL domains

### Relationships and social life

*"My children have had to treat my hypos. Young children have had to see me shake uncontrollably and be very weak until I recover."* (Woman)

*"You do not want to end up as a burden to others if you go and lose control, which dulls the desire to interact with others and be participatory/self-forgetting."* (Man)

### Work and studies

*"Hypoglycaemia reduces my attention and ability to think clearly, so mistakes can happen. This can be very uncomfortable, especially in contact with customers."* (Man)

*"I get so nervous about getting low that I check very often at work. I fear what my employer thinks and whether they see me in a worse position than my colleagues."* (Woman)

### Leisure and physical activity

*"It is annoying when sport has to be interrupted all the time and you cannot, like healthy people, just do what you want for as long as you want."* (Man)

*"I can do craft hobbies and reading because they are low intensity and don't cause hypos. I would like to be brave one day and try new hobbies if I can get over my fears."* (Woman)

### Everyday life

*"Driving long distances without a hypo is almost impossible. To be late for an appointment because of a hypo is simply uncomfortable."* (Woman)

*"To do something spontaneously at short notice is impossible, because I have to prepare all scenarios and drag luggage along with me."* (Man)

*"I often make choices about what I eat based on my values. With hypos, I eat things that I don't want. Then the sword of Damocles hangs over my head because I know that it will result in a hypo or hyper."* (Woman)

### Sleep

*"I run high at night because I'm afraid I will have a hypo in my sleep and not wake up."* (Woman)

*"The next day, I am useless. I am constantly behind the facts and feel lethargic and empty."* (Man)

### Mental health

*"When I have low blood sugar, I am incredibly hard on myself and spend a lot of energy scolding myself!"* (Woman)

*"The stress associated with anticipating hypos is debilitating. I often get worked up about this and I feel really anxious/frustrated that my life is dictated by my blood sugar."* (Woman)

### Sex life

*"Hypoglycemia destroys the ability to have sex and the sexual activity itself can induce hypoglycemia. There are few situations that are more despairing and at times humiliating. You are reminded that your body is a machine that has lost the ability to maintain itself and that you are the controller who at this very unfortunate time has lost control."* (Man)

### Physical health

*"I'm afraid of comorbidities. Will I do everything I want? Can I become a mother and what type? What can I offer those around me? Why can't I have the job I have dreamed of all my life? I have such thoughts every time I have low blood sugar."* (Woman)

higher glucose levels and checked their glucose frequently to prevent hypoglycemia. Some commented on the advantages of CGM for discreet glucose checking and hypoglycemia prevention at work.

### Limited employment prospects

Some participants were self-employed, unemployed, retired, or felt limited in pursuing their *'dream job'*. Some explained that it was difficult to get or maintain a job due to the risk of hypoglycemia. Others opted for part-time work for fewer hours and *'less work pressure'*.

### Leisure and physical activity

#### Interrupted, discontinued, or canceled activities

Participants *'cut short'* or *'called off'* sports, exercise, and leisure activities due to hypoglycemia. Some felt unable to work out as frequently as they wanted. Fatigue and concentration difficulties further contributed to reduced performance. They noted that hypoglycemia often restricted them and *'takes the fun out things'*, which was frustrating for them. Participants explained that the need to consume additional calories to manage (the risk of) hypoglycemia *'makes exercise seem pointless'*.

#### Fears and limited spontaneity

Participants feared hypoglycemia during physical activity and stated that *'activities are difficult to implement spontaneously'*. Rigorous planning was required to account for glucose fluctuations that occurred with exercise. Some attempted to prevent hypoglycemia by maintaining higher glucose levels and checking their glucose frequently, which could be disruptive and *'defeat the purpose'* of the activity (eg, walking for relaxation). Some chose low-key or less physically active pursuits to prevent hypoglycemia. Others participated less often in certain activities (eg, leading church events) due to fear of hypoglycemia.

### Everyday life

#### Limited driving freedom

Participants experienced driving as stressful due to fear of hypoglycemia while driving. They attempted to offset their fears by checking their glucose frequently and maintaining higher glucose levels while driving. Trips were delayed or interrupted due to hypoglycemia, which was annoying for them and meant that they had to cancel or arrive late to appointments. Some had been involved in a car accident due to hypoglycemia or had experienced discrimination when renewing their driver's license. Some mentioned that CGM had offered greater safety, security, and flexibility with driving.

#### Day-to-day burdens

Participants described the burden of constantly carrying hypoglycemia treatments and equipment. They felt frustrated when household duties had to be interrupted, postponed, or discontinued due to hypoglycemia. Participants were burdened by the financial costs associated with hypoglycemia, including treatments and diabetes supports not covered by insurance (eg, service dog).

#### Limited dietary freedom

Participants often had to ingest carbohydrates to treat hypoglycemia despite not being hungry, which conflicted with their healthy eating/weight loss goals and enjoyment



of shared meals. Food choices were often dictated by glucose levels and some previously enjoyed foods had become too closely associated with hypoglycemia treatment. Some limited their alcohol intake due to fear of hypoglycemia and *'losing control'*.

## Sleep

### Fears and compensatory strategies

Participants were afraid of hypoglycemic coma or not waking up from sleep due to hypoglycemia. Many attempted to prevent hypoglycemia by maintaining higher glucose levels overnight and setting alarms to check their glucose throughout the night. Participants felt tense when falling asleep due to the risk of hypoglycemia. Many commented on the benefits of CGM for alleviating fear and alerting them to low glucose. Some mentioned that CGM alarms *"didn't always wake them up"* or were too disruptive, which led some to switch off alarms.

### Disrupted sleep

Participants and their spouses/partners experienced multiple sleep interruptions due to hypoglycemia, including sensor alarms, delayed sleep onset due to glucose fluctuations, difficulties falling asleep after waking up with hypoglycemia, nightmares, and rebound hyperglycemia due to overtreatment of hypoglycemia and associated counterregulation.

### Next-day fatigue

Participants felt unrested and *'drained'* following nocturnal hypoglycemia and sleep disruptions. Next-day fatigue was associated with *'bad moods'* and impaired functioning. Some mentioned headaches and *'feeling hungover'*, which was associated with concentration difficulties and *'possible incapacity for work'*.

### Sex life

Sexual activities were often postponed, interrupted, or discontinued due to hypoglycemia. Some participants were *'too tired'* or *'not receptive'* to sexual advances following hypoglycemia. Others were unable to achieve climax and some men reported difficulties getting an erection. Consequently, *'lust or pleasure dissipated'* and they felt *'bad'*, *'frustrated'*, *'embarrassed'*, *'insecure'*, or *'humiliated'*. Participants were worried about disappointing or frightening their partner, particularly in a new relationship and/or when their partner was unfamiliar with hypoglycemia. Since sex often induced hypoglycemia, participants lacked spontaneity with sex or were preoccupied with fear of hypoglycemia during sex.

### Physical health

Due to consuming additional calories to treat hypoglycemia, some had gained weight or found it difficult to lose weight, and felt uncomfortable with their body. Some women explained that, prior to pregnancy, it was difficult to achieve recommended hemoglobin A1c levels because they were too scared to maintain glucose levels

in such a low range or overtreated hypoglycemia and experienced rebound hyperglycemia. Some mentioned that comorbidities (eg, multiple sclerosis) were exacerbated by hypoglycemia. Participants explained that they were fearful of long-term health complications due to frequent hypoglycemia and/or rebound hyperglycemia.

## Mental health

### Emotional difficulties during and after episodes

Participants described many emotional states during and after hypoglycemia, including *irritability*, *sadness*, *helplessness*, and *general moodiness*. They felt ashamed or angry with themselves for recurrences. Some explained that hypoglycemia exacerbated stress and pre-existing disordered eating behaviors, whereby they suspended or turned off their insulin pumps and/or skipped meals to compensate for calories consumed for hypoglycemia treatment.

### Persistent emotional impacts of hypoglycemia

Participants described a pervasive sense of anxiety and *'tenseness'* related to the ever-present threat of hypoglycemia. They reported an enduring fear of hypoglycemia between episodes. Many were preoccupied with hypoglycemia and always *'working on'* hypoglycemia, at the expense of enjoying leisure activities and quality time with others. Participants commented that they no longer had *'peace of mind'*: *"Never again will I be carefree"*.

## DISCUSSION

This web-based qualitative study is the first to explore the impact of hypoglycemia on areas of life that adults with type 1 diabetes identify as important to their overall QoL. The findings show that hypoglycemia affects many areas of life important to adults with type 1 diabetes, including their relationships and social life, work and studies, leisure and physical activity, everyday life, sleep, sex life, physical health, and mental health. Participants described the short-term impacts of hypoglycemic episodes as well as the persistent impacts of living with the risk of hypoglycemia. Common reports across domains relating to hypoglycemic episodes were interruptions, limited participation in activities, reduced performance, exhaustion, and concentration difficulties. Common reports relating to living with the risk of hypoglycemia were fear of and preoccupation with hypoglycemia, maintenance of higher glucose and frequent glucose checking to prevent hypoglycemia, and limited spontaneity. These findings were largely consistent across four European countries.

Participants experienced emotional, behavioral, cognitive, and social impacts in most QoL domains, which indicates that hypoglycemia affects many areas of functioning, including the way individuals think, feel, behave, and interact with others. In addition to reported impacts on mental health, participants indicated that hypoglycemia affected their emotional functioning in all other QoL domains, which shows that hypoglycemia can have a

pervasive impact on emotional well-being. Fear of hypoglycemia was mentioned frequently across domains, with some participants describing long-standing fears of dying during sleep.

Past research has examined the impact of diabetes on sex life<sup>20</sup> and fear of hypoglycemia during sex for young adults with diabetes,<sup>21</sup> but not the impact of hypoglycemia on sex life for adults with type 1 diabetes. Participants in this study felt less able to derive enjoyment and pleasure from sex due to (the risk of) hypoglycemia, and consequently, felt frustrated, embarrassed, insecure, and humiliated. When considered alongside other findings that hypoglycemia limits participation in and enjoyment of social interactions, this finding implies that hypoglycemia hinders an individual's ability to relate to others in many ways.

Generic QoL measures typically assess other domains of QoL that were rarely mentioned by participants in the current study, including financial and spiritual factors,<sup>22</sup> although participants were not prompted to consider all QoL domains. With respect to financial impacts, the countries targeted in this study have universal healthcare systems, thus the impact of hypoglycemia on financial resources may be inherently lessened. There could be a greater impact of hypoglycemia on QoL in countries without universal healthcare systems.

The finding that positive support from others helps individuals to cope with the challenges of hypoglycemia indicates that the burden of hypoglycemia can be mitigated if support needs are met. While participants mentioned the benefits of diabetes technologies for hypoglycemia prevention, there were conflicting reports about the advantages of technologies for sleep, whereby CGM sensors allayed fears of nocturnal hypoglycemia but disrupted sleep with alarms. The finding that some participants switch off their CGM alarms indicates that alarms are burdensome despite keeping participants safe.

### Strengths and limitations

The 'Wheel of Life' was a key strength of this study as it provided a novel method for exploring areas of life that participants defined as important to their QoL, without researchers imposing a definition. While some participants reported minimal to no impact on certain domains, there was no mention of positive impacts of hypoglycemia, unlike previous studies in which people with diabetes have reported the benefits of diabetes management for healthy eating and exercise.<sup>20 23</sup> However, the 'Wheel of Life' instructions prompted participants to consider the various impacts of hypoglycemia and included examples of challenges, so participants may have been more primed to consider negative impacts. Nonetheless, participants could openly describe any type of impact relevant to their experience. The web-based format offered participants the time to consider their responses carefully and allowed for lengthy and candid descriptions of sensitive issues (eg, sexuality). Conversely, researchers were unable

to seek clarification of meaning, and some meaning may have been lost during translation.

While the web-based format enabled access to participants from multiple countries, this study may be subject to self-selection bias. There was an over-representation of participants with IAH, which may have further skewed responses toward reports of negative impacts. There was little mention of hypoglycemia awareness in qualitative responses, which may indicate that participants were not concerned about reduced awareness. While participants mentioned the impact of hypoglycemia on others (eg, CGM alarms being disruptive), it may be that they underestimate the impact of hypoglycemia on their relatives<sup>2</sup> and the findings likely do not capture the full impact of hypoglycemia on relatives. The majority reported no severe episodes in the past year, which may imply that the impacts described do not refer solely to severe episodes and/or that severe episodes can have a long-standing impact. Future studies could differentiate between impacts of hypoglycemic episodes requiring the assistance of others versus episodes that are self-treated.

There was an over-representation of women in this sample, although quantitative analysis revealed minimal differences and free-text responses were not observed to be qualitatively different (eg, [box 1](#)). Further research is needed to examine the impact of hypoglycemia on QoL among men with type 1 diabetes, especially since there is evidence of gender differences in fear of hypoglycemia.<sup>24</sup> This study may have been biased in terms of greater representation of socially advantaged people with internet access and social media engagement. Nonetheless, data were collected from a large, heterogeneous, multi-country (though mostly European) sample.

### CONCLUSIONS

This study emphasizes the profound impact of hypoglycemia on areas of life that typically serve as an individual's resources, including their relationships and vocational activities. The findings indicate that hypoglycemia can interfere with basic human needs being met, from the physiological need for sleep to the social need for connection with others, which may account for the relationship between (fear of) hypoglycemia and mental health comorbidities.<sup>25</sup> The 'Wheel of Life' was instrumental in eliciting rich personal accounts and should be used in future studies to determine whether these findings are corroborated in unselected and culturally diverse samples.

Despite the rise in tools to defend against hypoglycemia,<sup>26 27</sup> adults with type 1 diabetes continue to report difficulties with hypoglycemia management. Maintaining higher glucose levels to prevent hypoglycemia is common<sup>5 28–30</sup> and can have serious health implications.<sup>31</sup> The findings indicate that, beyond hypoglycemic episodes, fear of hypoglycemia and compensatory strategies to prevent hypoglycemia can be disruptive and debilitating in themselves. Thus, the absence of severe



hypoglycemia may not always reflect minimal impact on QoL. The findings can inform healthcare professionals' understanding of the multifaceted impact of hypoglycemia on psychosocial functioning and diabetes self-care behaviors. Diabetes services should be aware of and address the burden of hypoglycemia to provide person-centered care. Clinicians could ask individuals how hypoglycemia affects important areas of their lives to better understand the personal impact, then facilitate access to tools and develop tailored hypoglycemia management plans accordingly.

#### Author affiliations

- <sup>1</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark  
<sup>2</sup>The Australian Centre for Behavioural Research in Diabetes, Diabetes Victoria, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia  
<sup>3</sup>School of Psychology, Deakin University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia  
<sup>4</sup>School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK  
<sup>5</sup>Department of Oncology and Metabolism, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK  
<sup>6</sup>Department of Diabetes, King's College London, London, UK  
<sup>7</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands  
<sup>8</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, The Netherlands  
<sup>9</sup>Research Institute Diabetes Academy Mergentheim (FIDAM), Bad Mergentheim, Germany  
<sup>10</sup>Research Unit, Steno Diabetes Center Odense, Odense, Denmark

**Acknowledgements** The authors thank the adults with type 1 diabetes who participated in this study. They acknowledge Manon Coolen and Kevin Matlock (University of Southern Denmark), who contributed to development of the study concept, design and protocol. They further acknowledge Uffe Søholm, Nanna Lindekilde and Manon Coolen (University of Southern Denmark), who translated survey materials.

**Contributors** HC, MB, MVJ, CH, JS and FP developed the study concept and design, including use of the 'Wheel of Life' activity previously developed by JS. HC, MB, MVJ, CH, JC, SH, SA, BdG, NH, JS and FP contributed to development of the study protocol. HC, MB, MVJ, SH, BdG, NH and KF-G completed and managed ethics applications in target countries. MVJ, CH, NH, KF-G and FP conducted non-English translations of survey materials. HC, MB, MVJ, JC, SH, BdG, NH, KF-G, JS and FP assisted with participant recruitment. HC and MVJ coded data. HC wrote the manuscript. All authors edited the manuscript for critical content and approved the final version.

**Funding** This project has received funding from the Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint Undertaking (JU) under grant agreement no. 777460. The JU receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme and EFPIA and T1D Exchange, JDRF, International Diabetes Federation (IDF), The Leona M. and Harry B. Helmsley Charitable Trust. CH and JS are supported by core funding to the Australian Centre for Behavioural Research in Diabetes provided by the collaboration between Diabetes Victoria and Deakin University.

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient consent for publication** Not required.

**Ethics approval** Ethics approval was granted by the University of Southern Denmark Research Ethics Committee (#19/78420), German Society for Psychology Research Ethics Committee (#HermannsNorbert2020-05-12VA), Radboud University Medical Centre Research Ethics Committee (2020-6587), and UK Health and Social Care Research Ethics Committee (20-NI-0054).

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data availability statement** Data are available on reasonable request via contact with the corresponding author (<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8328-0869>), provided that data are to be used for research projects related to health sciences. Data are deidentified participant data from a web-based qualitative survey.

**Supplemental material** This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been

peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

#### ORCID iDs

Hannah Chatwin <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7248-8568>  
 Melanie Broadley <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4408-6304>  
 Mette Valdersdorf Jensen <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0605-3317>  
 Christel Hendrieckx <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0075-828X>  
 Jill Carlton <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9373-7663>  
 Simon Heller <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2425-9565>  
 Stephanie Amiel <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2686-5531>  
 Bastiaan de Galan <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1255-7741>  
 Norbert Hermanns <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2903-2677>  
 Katharina Finke-Groene <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3927-6501>  
 Jane Speight <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1204-6896>  
 Frans Pouwer <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8172-9818>

#### REFERENCES

- Bolinder J, Antuna R, Geelhoed-Duijvestijn P, *et al*. Novel glucose-sensing technology and hypoglycaemia in type 1 diabetes: a multicentre, non-masked, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2016;388:2254–63.
- Rankin D, Elliott J, Heller S, *et al*. Experiences of hypoglycaemia unawareness amongst people with type 1 diabetes: a qualitative investigation. *Chronic Illn* 2014;10:180–91.
- Yeh JS, Sung S-H, Huang H-M, *et al*. Hypoglycemia and risk of vascular events and mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Diabetol* 2016;53:377–92.
- Brod M, Christensen T, Bushnell DM. Impact of nocturnal hypoglycemic events on diabetes management, sleep quality, and next-day function: results from a four-country survey. *J Med Econ* 2012;15:77–86.
- Dømggaard M, Bagger M, Rhee NA, *et al*. Individual and societal consequences of hypoglycemia: a cross-sectional survey. *Postgrad Med* 2015;127:438–45.
- American Diabetes Association. 5. Lifestyle management: *standards of medical care in diabetes-2019*. *Diabetes Care* 2019;42:S46–60.
- Speight J, Holmes-Truscott E, Hendrieckx C, *et al*. Assessing the impact of diabetes on quality of life: what have the past 25 years taught us? *Diabet Med* 2020;37:483–92.
- Chatwin H, Broadley M, Speight J, *et al*. The impact of hypoglycaemia on quality of life outcomes among adults with type 1 diabetes: a systematic review. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2021;174:108752.
- Speight J, Reaney MD, Barnard KD. Not all roads lead to Rome—a review of quality of life measurement in adults with diabetes. *Diabet Med* 2009;26:315–27.
- Martyn-Nemeth P, Duffecy J, Fritschi C, *et al*. Challenges imposed by hypoglycemia in adults with type 1 diabetes. *Clin Nurs Res* 2019;28:947–67.
- Litterbach E, Holmes-Truscott E, Pouwer F, *et al*. 'I wish my health professionals understood that it's not just all about your HbA<sub>1c</sub>!'. Qualitative responses from the second Diabetes MILES - Australia (MILES-2) study. *Diabet Med* 2020;37:971–81.
- Speight J, Woodcock A, Reaney M, *et al*. Expectations and experiences of transplant: a qualitative study of people with type 1 diabetes undergoing pancreatic islet transplantation. *AIDPIT Study Group: 29th Workshop of the Artificial Insulin Delivery Pancreatic and Islet Transplantation (AIDPIT) Study Group* 2010.
- Speight J, Woodcock A. The wheel of life: a novel method for exploring health-related quality of life (HRQoL). *Quality of Life Research* 2010;19:1–144.
- Speight J, Barendse SM, Singh H, *et al*. Characterizing problematic hypoglycaemia: iterative design and preliminary psychometric

- validation of the hypoglycaemia awareness questionnaire (HypoA-Q). *Diabet Med* 2016;33:376–85.
- 15 Gold AE, MacLeod KM, Frier BM. Frequency of severe hypoglycemia in patients with type I diabetes with impaired awareness of hypoglycemia. *Diabetes Care* 1994;17:697–703.
  - 16 Grabman J, Vajda Bailey K, Schmidt K, *et al.* An empirically derived short form of the Hypoglycaemia Fear Survey II. *Diabet Med* 2017;34:500–4.
  - 17 Peyrot M, Burns KK, Davies M, *et al.* Diabetes Attitudes Wishes and Needs 2 (DAWN2): a multinational, multi-stakeholder study of psychosocial issues in diabetes and person-centred diabetes care. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2013;99:174–84.
  - 18 Wild D, Grove A, Martin M, *et al.* Principles of good practice for the translation and cultural adaptation process for patient-reported outcomes (PRO) measures: report of the ISPOR task force for translation and cultural adaptation. *Value Health* 2005;8:94–104.
  - 19 Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, *et al.* Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform* 2009;42:377–81.
  - 20 Bradley C, Todd C, Gorton T, *et al.* The development of an individualized questionnaire measure of perceived impact of diabetes on quality of life: the ADDQoL. *Qual Life Res* 1999;8:79–91.
  - 21 Pinhas-Hamiel O, Tisch E, Levek N, *et al.* Sexual lifestyle among young adults with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2017;33:e2837.
  - 22 Group W. The world Health organization quality of life assessment (WHOQOL): position paper from the world Health organization. *Soc Sci Med* 1995;41:1403–9.
  - 23 Stuckey HL, Mullan-Jensen C, Kalra S, *et al.* Living with an adult who has diabetes: qualitative insights from the second Diabetes Attitudes, Wishes and Needs (DAWN2) study. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2016;116:270–8.
  - 24 Gjerløw E, Bjørgaas MR, Nielsen EW, *et al.* Fear of hypoglycemia in women and men with type 1 diabetes. *Nurs Res* 2014;63:143–9.
  - 25 Anderbro T, Gonder-Frederick L, Bolinder J, *et al.* Fear of hypoglycemia: relationship to hypoglycemic risk and psychological factors. *Acta Diabetol* 2015;52:581–9.
  - 26 Vallis M, Jones A, Pouwer F. Managing hypoglycemia in diabetes may be more fear management than glucose management: a practical guide for diabetes care providers. *Curr Diabetes Rev* 2014;10:364–70.
  - 27 Choudhary P, Rickels MR, Senior PA, *et al.* Evidence-Informed clinical practice recommendations for treatment of type 1 diabetes complicated by problematic hypoglycemia. *Diabetes Care* 2015;38:1016–29.
  - 28 Due-Christensen M, Willaing I, Ismail K, *et al.* Learning about type 1 diabetes and learning to live with it when diagnosed in adulthood: two distinct but inter-related psychological processes of adaptation a qualitative longitudinal study. *Diabet Med* 2019;36:742–52.
  - 29 Speight J, Barendse SM, Singh H, *et al.* Cognitive, behavioural and psychological barriers to the prevention of severe hypoglycaemia: a qualitative study of adults with type 1 diabetes. *SAGE Open Med* 2014;2:205031211452744.
  - 30 Diago-Cabezudo JI, Madec-Hily A, Aslam A. Perceptions of hypoglycemia and self-monitoring of blood glucose in insulin-treated diabetes patients: results from a European online survey. *Diabetes Management* 2013;3:15–23.
  - 31 Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group, Nathan DM, Genuth S, *et al.* The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* 1993;329:977–86.

**Supplemental Table S1. Comparison of Included and Excluded Participants on Core Demographic and Clinical Characteristics.**

	Included ( <i>n</i> =219)	Excluded ( <i>n</i> =18)	Between-Groups Difference
Age ( <i>M, SD</i> )	39.4 (13.3)	37.4 (13.5)	<i>p</i> =.552
Gender (Females; %, <i>n</i> )	83.1 (182)	55.6 (10)	Fisher's=8.349, <i>p</i> =.015
Country of residence (% , <i>n</i> )			
Denmark	18.7 (41)	44.4 (8)	<i>p</i> =.073
Germany	23.3 (51)	11.1 (2)	
The Netherlands	30.1 (66)	22.2 (4)	
United Kingdom	27.9 (61)	22.2 (4)	
Current living situation (% , <i>n</i> ) †			
Alone	16.0 (35)	5.6 (1)	<i>p</i> =.236
With spouse/partner	68.9 (151)	66.7 (12)	<i>p</i> =.841
With child(ren)	27.9 (61)	44.4 (8)	<i>p</i> =.136
With parent(s)	11.0 (24)	5.6 (1)	<i>p</i> =.473
Other	2.7 (6)	11.1 (2)	<i>p</i> =.059
Employment (% , <i>n</i> ) †			
Full-time	42.0 (92)	38.9 (7)	<i>p</i> =.796
Part-time	23.3 (51)	11.1 (2)	<i>p</i> =.233
Self-employed	4.1 (9)	5.6 (1)	<i>p</i> =.769
Unemployed	5.9 (13)	11.1 (2)	<i>p</i> =.386
Unpaid worker	2.7 (6)	16.7 (3)	<i>p</i> =.003*
Student	16.4 (36)	22.2 (4)	<i>p</i> =.529
Retired	5.5 (12)	5.6 (1)	<i>p</i> =.989
Other	5.9 (13)	0.0 (0)	<i>p</i> =.288
Most recent educational qualification completed (% , <i>n</i> ) †			
Secondary school or lower	15.5 (34)	16.7 (3)	<i>p</i> =.683
Further education	27.4 (60)	22.2 (4)	<i>p</i> =.635
Bachelor	30.6 (67)	38.9 (7)	<i>p</i> =.465
Master	21.5 (47)	16.7 (3)	<i>p</i> =.632
PhD	4.1 (9)	0.0 (0)	<i>p</i> =.381
Other	5.5 (12)	5.6 (1)	<i>p</i> =.989

Frequency of hypoglycemia ( <i>Median, IQR</i> )			
Number of episodes in past week	3.5 (2.0-6.0)	3.3 (3.0-5.3)	<i>p</i> =.643
≥1 Episode needing help from others in the past year	32 (70)	35 (6)	<i>p</i> =.778
Hypoglycemia awareness status ( <i>%</i> , <i>n</i> )			
Impaired (Gold score ≥4)	39.7 (87)	38.9 (7)	<i>p</i> =.945
HypoA-Q IA Subscale ( <i>M</i> , <i>SD</i> )	7.6 (3.8)	7.7 (4.2)	<i>t</i> =-0.083, <i>p</i> =.934
Fear of hypoglycemia, HFS-SF Worry Subscale ( <i>M</i> , <i>SD</i> )*	10.5 (5.3)	13.9 (4.6)	<i>t</i> =-2.568, <i>p</i> =.011

HFS-SF = Hypoglycemia Fear Survey Short Form.

\* Higher scores indicate greater fear of hypoglycemia (out of a possible 24).

† Participants were able to select more than one response option.

Note: Between-group differences in annual net income, diabetes duration, treatment regimen, and comorbidities could not be examined due to missing data.

**Supplemental Table S2. Participants' Demographic, Clinical, and Psychological Characteristics.**

	Overall ( <i>N</i> =219)	Denmark ( <i>n</i> =41)	Germany ( <i>n</i> =51)	Netherlands ( <i>n</i> =66)	United Kingdom ( <i>n</i> =61)	Between-Country Difference
Age ( <i>M, SD</i> )	39.4 (13.3)	40.2 (13.4)	37.8 (13.9)	36.5 (14.1)*	43.3 (10.8)*	<i>F</i> =3.070, <i>p</i> =.029
Gender (% , <i>n</i> )						
Female	83.1 (182)	90.2 (37)	82.4 (42)	81.8 (54)	80.3 (49)	<i>p</i> =.511
Male	16.4 (36)	9.8 (4)	15.7 (8)	18.2 (12)	19.7 (12)	
Other	0.5 (1)	0.0 (0)	2.0 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	
Nationality (% , <i>n</i> )						
Danish	18.3 (40)	95.1 (39)	2.0 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	<i>p</i> <.001
Dutch	29.7 (65)	2.4 (1)	0.0 (0)	97.0 (64)	0.0 (0)	
English	17.4 (38)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	62.3 (38)	
German	21.9 (48)	0.0 (0)	94.1 (48)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	
Indian	0.9 (2)	0.0 (0)	3.9 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	
Irish	2.3 (5)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	8.2 (5)	
Moroccan	0.5(1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	1.5 (1)	0.0 (0)	
Romanian	0.5 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	1.5 (1)	0.0 (0)	
Scottish	5.9 (13)	2.4 (1)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	19.7 (12)	
Welsh	0.9 (2)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	3.3 (2)	
Other	1.4 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	4.9 (3)	
Most recent educational qualification completed (% , <i>n</i> ) †						
Secondary school or lower	15.1 (33)	17.1 (7)	17.6 (9)	13.6 (9)	13.1 (8)	<i>p</i> =.880
Further education	27.4 (60)	31.7 (13)	37.3 (19)	27.5 (18)	16.4 (10)	<i>p</i> =.086
Bachelor	30.6 (67)	17.1 (7)*	21.6 (11)	42.4 (28)*	34.4 (21)	<i>p</i> =.016
Master	21.5 (47)	24.4 (10)*	17.6 (9)	18.2 (12)*	26.2 (16)	<i>p</i> =.595
PhD	4.1 (9)	0.0 (0)	9.8 (5)	1.5 (1)	4.9 (3)	<i>p</i> =.066
Other	5.5 (12)	14.6 (6)	2.0 (1)	0.0 (0)	8.2 (5)	<i>p</i> =.006
Employment (% , <i>n</i> ) †						
Full-time	42.0 (92)	43.9 (18)	43.1 (22)	24.2 (16)*	59.0 (36)*	<i>p</i> =.001
Part-time	23.3 (51)	9.8 (4)*	23.5 (12)	37.9 (25)*	16.4 (10)	<i>p</i> =.003

Self-employed	4.1 (9)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	10.6 (7)	3.3 (2)	$p=.011$
Unemployed	5.9 (13)	14.6 (6)	2.0 (1)	4.5 (3)	4.9 (3)	$p=.062$
Unpaid worker	2.7 (6)	2.4 (1)	2.0 (1)	6.1 (4)	0.0 (0)	$p=.205$
Student	16.4 (36)	12.2 (5)	19.6 (10)	21.2 (14)	11.5 (7)	$p=.377$
Retired	5.5 (12)	12.2 (5)	7.8 (4)	1.5 (1)	3.3 (2)	$p=.082$
Other	5.9 (13)	9.8 (4)	3.9 (2)	9.1 (6)	1.6 (1)	$p=.201$
<b>Annual net income (% , n)</b>						
	‡	19.5 (8)	37.3 (19) <€35,000	65.2 (43) <€40,000	27.9 (17) <£30,000	‡
	‡	43.9 (18) 200- 600,000DKK	41.2 (21) €35-80,000	21.2 (14) €40-80,000	52.5 (32) £30-70,000	‡
	‡	26.8 (11) >600,000DKK	7.8 (4) >€80,000	3.0 (2) >€80,000	14.8 (9) >£70,000	‡
	‡	9.8 (4) Prefer not to say	13.7 (7) Prefer not to say	10.6 (7) Prefer not to say	4.9 (3) Prefer not to say	‡
<b>Current living situation (% , n) †</b>						
Alone	16.0 (35)	17.1 (7)	17.6 (9)	16.7 (11)	13.1 (8)	$p=.910$
With spouse/partner	68.9 (151)	68.3 (28)	70.6 (36)	60.6 (40)	77.0 (47)	$p=.252$
With child(ren)	27.9 (61)	24.4 (10)	25.5 (13)	25.8 (17)	34.4 (21)	$p=.606$
With parent(s)	11.0 (24)	4.9 (2)	13.7 (7)	18.2 (12)	4.9 (3)	$p=.051$
Other	2.7 (6)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	6.1 (4)	3.3 (2)	$p=.145$
Diabetes duration (M, SD)	20.4 (14.1)	18.8 (15.3)*	18.3 (14.3)	18.3 (12.2)	25.8 (14.1)*	$F=3.666, p=.013$
<b>Treatment (% , n) †</b>						
MDIs	47 (102)	68 (28)*	43 (22)	36 (24)*	46 (28)	$p=0.012$
Insulin pump	53 (116)	32 (13)*	57 (29)	65 (43)*	51 (31)	$p=0.008$
DIY	5 (11)	2 (1)	6 (3)	6 (4)	5 (3)	$p=0.851$
<b>Frequency of hypoglycemia (HypoA-Q)</b>						
Episodes in past week (Mdn, IQR)	3.5 (2.0-6.0)*	3.0 (1.3-4.8)	4.0 (2.0-6.0)	4.0 (2.0-6.0)	4.0 (3.0-7.0)*	$F=2.795, p=.041$
≥1 Episode needing help from others in the	32.0 (70)	24.4 (10)	39.2 (20)	36.4 (24)	26.2 (16)	$p=.284$

past year (% , n)						
Frequency of self-treated episodes in past 12 months (% , n)						
Never	1.4 (3)	2.4 (1)	2.0 (1)	0.0 (0)	1.6 (1)	<i>p</i> =.276
1-2 times	1.8 (4)	2.4 (1)	3.9 (2)	0.0 (0)	1.6 (1)	
3-4 times	3.7 (8)	4.9 (2)	3.9 (2)	4.5 (3)	1.6 (1)	
1-2 times/month	14.2 (31)	24.4 (10)	9.8 (5)	18.2 (12)	6.6 (4)	
1 time/week	16.9 (37)	19.5 (8)	23.5 (12)	12.1 (8)	14.8 (9)	
1+ times/week	62.1 (136)	46.3 (19)	56.9 (29)	65.2 (43)	73.8 (45)	
Hypoglycemia awareness status						
Gold Score						
<i>M (SD)</i>	3.4 (1.7)	3.5 (1.9)	3.2 (1.5)	3.4 (1.5)	3.3 (1.7)	<i>p</i> =.706
Impaired (Gold ≥4) (% , n)	39.7 (87)	46.3 (19)	31.4 (16)	40.9 (27)	41.0 (35)	<i>p</i> =.510
HypoA-Q IA Scale ( <i>M, SD</i> ) §	7.8 (4.4)	7.4 (4.9)	7.2 (4.2)	8.3 (4.0)	8.2 (4.7)	<i>F</i> =0.824, <i>p</i> =.482
Comorbidities (% , n) †						
Diabetes-related	3.8 (9)	0.0 (0)	5.2 (3)	4.2 (3)	4.5 (3)	<i>p</i> =.139
Other	47.5 (114)	57.8 (26)	44.8 (26)	34.7 (31)	47.0 (31)	
None	47.5 (114)	42.2 (19)	44.8 (26)	52.1 (37)	48.5 (32)	
Prefer not to say	1.3 (3)	0.0 (0)	5.2 (3)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	
Fear of hypoglycemia: HFS-SF Worry Scale ( <i>M, SD</i> ) ¶	10.5 (5.3)	10.5 (5.4)	11.7 (5.9)	9.9 (5.1)	10.1 (4.7)	<i>p</i> =.277
Adapted DIDP: Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on QoL #						
Total Score	3.3 (0.8)	3.2 (0.6)	3.3 (0.77)	3.5 (0.8)	3.2 (0.8)	<i>p</i> =.078
Diabetes	3.6 (1.3)	3.4 (1.0)	3.8 (1.35)	3.8 (1.0)	3.3 (1.5)	<i>p</i> =.090
Sleep	3.6 (1.2)	3.5 (0.8)	3.8 (1.14)	3.9 (1.2)*	3.3 (1.2)*	<i>p</i> =.019
Health	3.7 (1.2)	3.6 (0.9)	3.8 (1.22)	3.9 (1.3)*	3.4 (1.4)*	<i>p</i> =.129
Wellbeing	3.0 (1.2)	3.0 (0.9)	3.0 (1.32)	3.3 (1.2)	2.9 (1.2)	<i>p</i> =.197
Finance	3.7 (1.1)	3.7 (0.9)	3.5 (1.16)	3.9 (0.9)	3.8 (1.3)	<i>p</i> =.300
Relationship	3.3 (1.2)	3.0 (1.1)	3.1 (1.25)	3.4 (1.2)	3.6 (1.3)	<i>p</i> =.064
Leisure	2.8 (1.4)	2.6 (1.2)	2.7 (1.43)	3.1 (1.3)	2.6 (1.5)	<i>p</i> =.163
Work	3.1 (1.4)	2.7 (1.1)	3.1 (1.54)	3.2 (1.3)	3.1 (1.4)	<i>p</i> =.330

Future	3.0 (1.1)	2.8 (1.1)	3.1 (1.17)	3.4 (1.1)	2.8 (1.0)	<i>p</i> =.018
--------	-----------	-----------	------------	-----------	-----------	----------------

Between-country differences were analyzed using one-way ANOVAs and Chi-square tests. DIDP = DAWN2 Impact of Diabetes Profile; HypoA-Q = Hypoglycaemia Awareness Questionnaire; HFS-SF = Hypoglycemia Fear Survey Short Form; IA = Impaired Awareness; MDIs = Multiple daily injections; DIY = Do-it-yourself technologies (e.g., closed-loop systems).

\* Group implicated in statistically significant difference.

† Participants were able to select more than one response option.

‡ Cannot be calculated due to inequivalent country-specific income brackets.

§ Higher scores indicate greater self-reported impairment of hypoglycemia awareness (out of a possible 20).

|| Other comorbidities include autoimmune conditions, psychiatric conditions, and other physical health problems.

¶ Higher scores indicate greater fear of hypoglycemia (out of a possible 24).

# Lower scores indicate more significant negative impact (1 = *Very negative impact* to 7 = *Very positive impact*)

**Supplemental Table S3. Between-Country Differences in the Number of Times QoL Domains were Nominated by Participants.**

QoL Domain	Overall	Denmark	Germany	Netherlands	United Kingdom	Between-Country Difference
Relationships and Social Life	233	44	59	63	67	$p=.527$
Work and Study	176	31	41	61	43	$p=.341$
Leisure and Physical Activity	175	27	47	54	47	$p=.899$
Everyday Life	137	16	36	46	39	$p=.405$
Sleep	80	12	19	17	32	$p=.086$
Sex Life	34	6	12	11	5	$p=.275$
Physical Health	24	5	3	7	9	$p=.456$
Mental Health	10	1	2	2	5	$p=.502$

Note that QoL domains may have been nominated several times by a single participant, where they referred to that domain in different ways across entries (e.g., “Work meetings”, “Working life”, and “Talks with colleagues”).

**Supplemental Table S4. Gender Differences in the Number of Times QoL Domains were Nominated.**

QoL Domain	Overall	Female	Male	Between-Groups Difference
Relationships and Social Life	233	206	27	$p=.034^*$
Work and Study	175	145	30	$p=.625$
Leisure and Physical Activity	174	142	32	$p=.322$
Everyday Life	136	115	21	$p=.864$
Sleep	80	69	11	$p=.575$
Sex Life	34	25	9	$p=.087$
Physical Health	24	19	5	$p=.506$
Mental Health	10	7	3	$p=.222$

Note that QoL domains may have been nominated several times by a single participant, where they referred to that domain in different ways across entries (e.g., “Work meetings”, “Working life”, and “Talks with colleagues”).

**Supplemental Table S5. Between-Groups Differences in the Number of Times QoL Domains were Nominated.**

QoL Domain	Overall	Severe Hypoglycemia Past Year	No Severe Hypoglycemia Past Year	Between-Groups Difference
Relationships and Social Life	233	79	154	$p=.408$
Work and Study	176	64	112	$p=.943$
Leisure and Physical Activity	175	62	113	$p=.828$
Everyday Life	137	51	86	$p=.772$
Sleep	80	30	50	$p=.789$
Sex Life	34	16	18	$p=.176$
Physical Health	24	10	14	$p=.567$
Mental Health	10	8	2	$p=.285$

Note that QoL domains may have been nominated several times by a single participant, where they referred to that domain in different ways across entries (e.g., “Work meetings”, “Working life”, and “Talks with colleagues”).

**Supplemental Table S6. Between-Country Differences in the Number of Meaningful Data Extracts Coded to QoL Domains.**

Domain / Country	Overall	Denmark	Germany	Netherlands	UK	Between-Country Difference
Relationships and Social Life	141	36	31	38	36	$p=.064$
Work and Study	148	25	39	50	34	$p=.153$
Leisure and Physical Activity	122	15	40*	41	26	$p=.040^*$
Everyday Life	89	13	26	30	20	$p=.557$
Sleep	62	8	20	15	19	$p=.470$
Sex Life	24	5	9	6	4	$p=.278$
Physical Health	14	2	3	6	3	$p=.752$
Mental Health	35	7	3	18*	7	$p=.021^*$

**Supplemental Table S7. Gender Differences in the Number of Meaningful Data Extracts Coded to QoL Domains.**

Domain / Gender	Overall	Females	Males	Between-Groups Difference
Relationships and Social Life	105	88	17	$p=.901$
Work and Study	120	106*	14	$p=.033^*$
Leisure and Physical Activity	93	77	16	$p=.813$
Everyday Life	70	58	12	$p=.863$
Sleep	55	50	5	$p=.086$
Sex Life	24	17	7	$p=.077$
Physical Health	14	13	1	$p=.329$
Mental Health	26	22	4	$p=.869$

**Supplemental Table S8. Between-Groups Differences in the Number of Meaningful Data Extracts Coded to QoL Domains.**

Domain / Gender	Overall	Severe Hypoglycemia Past Year	No Severe Hypoglycemia Past Year	Between-Groups Difference
Relationships and Social Life	105	41	64	$p=.379$
Work and Study	121	50	71	$p=.072$
Leisure and Physical Activity	94	36	58	$p=.552$
Everyday Life	70	20	50	$p=.113$
Sleep	55	18	37	$p=.550$
Sex Life	24	13	11	$p=.050^*$
Physical Health	14	7	7	$p=.262$
Mental Health	27	10	17	$p=.911$