UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of Adaptation to climate change–related ocean acidification:An adaptive governance approach.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/175187/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Greenhill, Lucy, Kenter, Jasper O. orcid.org/0000-0002-3612-086X and Dannevig, Halvor (2020) Adaptation to climate change–related ocean acidification:An adaptive governance approach. Ocean and Coastal Management. 105176. ISSN 0964-5691

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2020.105176

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

- 1 Post-print version of online article available as:
- 2 Greenhill, L., Kenter, J. O., & Dannevig, H. (2020). Adaptation to climate change related ocean
- 3 acidification: an adaptive governance approach. Ocean and Coastal Management.
- 4 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2020.105176
- 5 Adaptation to climate change related ocean acidification: an adaptive governance approach.
- 6 Greenhill, Lucy¹*, Kenter, Jasper O.² and Dannevig, Halvor³
- 7 *Corresponding author
- 8
- 9 Key words: ocean acidification; adaptive governance; climate change adaptation; aquaculture

10 Abstract

11 Climate change-driven ocean acidification (OA) is causing rapid change to global ecosystems and poses 12 a significant threat to marine life. However, predicting ecosystem effects remains highly uncertain and 13 governance responses to OA are not yet forthcoming. Adaptive governance can provide a means to 14 deal with this uncertainty and we consider its application to the polycentric governance of adaptation 15 responses to OA in Scotland, focussing on the aquaculture industry as a vulnerable sector. A workshop 16 was used to develop potential adaptation responses to OA and to gain information about present and 17 potential capacity for adaptive governance at national and regional levels. Scottish legislation, policy 18 and planning documents were subsequently analysed to enable description of how governance 19 arrangements constrain or enable adaptation responses. Legislative and policy analysis indicates 20 convergence across emerging mechanisms in support of adaptive governance. Recent advances in 21 climate change adaptation in Scotland promotes integration of adaptation into wider Scottish 22 Government policy development and functions, based on iterative and collaborative processes across 23 scales. Alongside this, institutional change in coastal and marine governance, including a partnership-24 led regional marine planning process and devolution of management through Crown Estate Scotland, 25 seek to advance new models of locally-led and learning-based planning and management which can 26 support adaptation. Better integration across policy and planning mechanisms is needed to enhance 27 adaptive capacity, including between climate change adaptation, marine planning and aquaculture 28 planning and management. This could be enabled through co-ordination of monitoring and review 29 processes to promote learning across scale and establishing links between existing and proposed 30 collaborative groups to enhance development of adaptation responses. However, expansion of the 31 aquaculture industry faces significant social and ecological constraints which mean accommodating adaptation through spatial measures is difficult, and is further challenged by the uncertainty in 32 33 predicting specific OA effects. The low adaptive capacity of the prevailing aquaculture licensing regime 34 is identified as a potential constraint to adaptive governance and recommendations to enhance 35 flexibility and enable adaptation are made.

¹ Scottish Association for Marine Science, Oban PA37 1 QA, UK. <u>lucy.greenhill@sams.ac.uk</u>

² Department of Environment and Geography, University of York, York, YO10 5NG. jasper.kenter@york.ac.uk

³ Western Norway Research Institute, Sognahallen, Røyrgata 4, 6856 Sogndal, Norway. <u>hda@vestforsk.no</u>

36 1. Introduction

37 1.1 Ocean acidification

38 Ocean acidification (OA) refers to the increasing acidity of seawater due to anthropogenic emissions 39 of CO₂, with far-reaching effects on ecosystems and marine users (Fabry et al., 2008; Frommel et al., 40 2011; Kroeker et al., 2013). In 2019, reporting on OA as Target 14.3 of Sustainable Development Goal 41 (SDG) 14 of the United Nations 2030 Development Agenda indicates increasing concern of "serious 42 consequences to marine life" (United Nations Economic and Social Council, 2019). But ecosystem 43 impacts across scales are difficult to predict, difficult to distinguish from effects due to other causes 44 and the scale and complexity, from global to local, make OA a 'wicked' problem for institutions to 45 address (Galaz et al., 2012; Billé et al., 2013).

In the coastal zone, the OA problem is further complicated by high local variability, driven by a combination of climate change-related and local factors. Local perturbations, caused by precipitation, changing land-use patterns, deforestation and nutrient pollution increase the vulnerability of coastal systems to OA (Kelly and Caldwell, 2013). Global, climate change-driven OA and coastal processes interact dynamically presenting a complex management challenge for coastal nations. Policy and management responses to OA are limited and, besides monitoring and modelling of OA, remain scant (Dannevig et al., 2019; Tiller et al., 2019).

- 53 Rising acidity and the associated decrease in carbonate ions in seawater negatively affects growth 54 rates in calcifying marine organisms including shellfish (Gazeau et al., 2013). Impacts on fish and wider 55 ecosystems are anticipated although difficult to predict (Frommel et al., 2011). Marine aquaculture⁴, 56 the farming of marine fish and shellfish for human consumption, is particularly vulnerable to the 57 impacts of OA. Aquaculture is the fastest growing food production industry globally, with 28.7 million 58 tonnes (USD 67.4 billion) of production from marine and coastal aquaculture in 2016 (FAO, 2018). The sector plays an increasingly important role in global food security, supporting growing human 59 60 consumption of protein while production from wild capture fisheries has remained stable with signs of decline (FAO, 2018). Enabling sustainable expansion of the aquaculture industry and mitigating the 61 62 negative impacts of OA is of global importance.
- 63 Impacts on aquaculture are already being felt on the west coast of the U.S. where episodic upwelling 64 supports a productive industry but a state of low carbonate saturation creates particular susceptibility 65 to OA (Feely et al., 2010). In Puget Sound, commercial production of Pacific oysters has suffered 66 including major losses due to negative effects of OA on seed production in 2007 to 2009 (Barton et 67 al., 2015). Through collaborative effort, research and strategies to support adaptation of the regional shellfish industry in Puget Sound are on-going (Craig, 2019). Adaptation responses to date include 68 69 water quality monitoring and chemical buffering of oyster hatcheries which reduces losses during 70 periods of higher acidity (Clements and Chopin, 2017). Elsewhere, research effort mainly focusses on 71 modelling of ecosystem effects such as further south in the California Current System (Gruber et al., 72 2012) and in Tasmania where warming seawater is modelled to support salmon aquaculture 73 management (Spillman and Hobday, 2014). Development of adaptation responses are at an early 74 stage globally and little is known about how governance can facilitate adaptation to OA.

⁴ Marine aquaculture is also referred to as 'mariculture'. We use 'aquaculture' in this paper to refer generally to production undertaken in coastal and marine areas.

75 Climate change is occurring, regardless of mitigation measures, and so responding to OA requires 76 adaptation i.e. the "anticipation of the adverse effects of climate change and action to prevent or 77 minimise the damage they can cause"⁵; enhancing adaptive capacity, strengthening resilience and reducing vulnerability to climate change⁶. To support this, in their 2018 report on the state of world 78 79 fisheries and aquaculture sectors, the Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations (FAO) 80 set out guidance for the adaptation of aquaculture to climate change, recommending that this is 81 addressed within National Adaptation Plans, required by all countries who are parties to the UNFCCC⁷. 82 These plans provide a means for integrating adaptation across the existing policies, programmes and 83 activities of national governments and a basis for developing iterative, country-specific programmes 84 for adaptation. The FAO also describe possible adaptation interventions for the aquaculture (and fishing) sectors in adapting to the broad range of climate change risks, including OA, with action 85 required across public and private actors to develop adaptation across scales⁸. Three categories of 86 87 adaptation interventions are presented: institutions and management, livelihood adaptation and resilience and risk reduction, and are summarised in Table 1. 88

89 Table 1.1 Categories of adaptation interventions for the aquaculture sector in responding to climate change effects⁹

Category of Adaptation Interventions

Institutions and management	Interventions, mainly on the part of public bodies, addressing governance mechanisms, legal, regulatory, policy and management frameworks and public investments and incentives, including the planning, development and management of aquaculture.
Livelihood adaptation	Interventions, mostly in the private sector, including a mix of public and private activities, within or among sectors, most commonly through diversification strategies within or outside the sector to reduce vulnerability.
Resilience and risk reduction	Interventions including a mix of public and private activities to promote early warning and information systems, improve risk reduction (prevention and preparedness) strategies and enhance response to shocks.

- 90 But while adaptation policy is advancing, in general, adaptation action outlined by countries has 91 "limited specificity and ambition", due principally to the difficulties in understanding impacts of
- 92 climate change at spatial and temporal scales relevant for decision-making¹⁰. Action by the public and
- 93 private sectors across different levels and scales of governance is needed to develop specific adaptive
- 94 responses, in the face of uncertainty, to adapt to climate change.
- 95 1.2 The need for adaptive governance

⁵ <u>https://sdg.iisd.org/issues/climate-change/adaptation/</u> (accessed 25 October 2019)

⁶ United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). 2015. The Paris Agreement.

https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/what-is-the-paris-agreement (accessed 2 October 2019)

⁷ United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

⁸ FAO, 2018, p.134

⁹ FAO, 2018, p.135

¹⁰ FAO, 2018, p.130

96 Enabling the expansion of marine aquaculture while adapting to key challenges including OA requires 97 an adaptive governance approach (Craig, 2019). Adaptive governance provides a framework for 98 understanding the characteristics of governance which has the ability (capacity and flexibility) to adapt 99 to changing conditions, in order to maintain and enhance the resilience of socio-ecological systems (Dietz et al., 2003; Chaffin, 2014). Literature aligns around key characteristics of institutionalised 100 adaptive governance (Chaffin & Gunderson, 2016) with four major themes outlined here. Firstly, 101 adaptive governance scholarship promotes polycentricity: distributed decision-making, informed by 102 103 local context and supported by vertical and horizontal co-ordination across organisational levels (Folke 104 et al., 2005; Ostrom, 2010). Secondly, collaboration and participation of a wide range of stakeholders 105 across state, private sector and civil society enables learning and knowledge co-production in resource 106 management (Plummer et al., 2013). Such collective action also supports legitimacy and adaptation 107 to change and surprise (Cosens et al., 2014). Third, adaptive governance requires incremental 108 improvements supported by on-going assessment and reflection on the processes and practical 109 experience of governance (Brunner, 2010), with flexibility to experiment and respond to feedback 110 (Armitage et al., 2009). Fourth, self-organisation, which underpins adaptive governance, is supported 111 by leadership, visioning, consensus-building and networks committed to change (Leach et al., 2010).

112 Understanding the emergence of adaptive governance within highly regulated systems of governing 113 is a contemporary challenge facing its scholars. In particular, the role of law in preventing, triggering, 114 and facilitating dimensions of adaptive governance is receiving increasing attention (Craig et al., 2017; 115 Gunderson et al., 2018; Cosens et al., 2018). Legal procedures define how management decisions are 116 taken including the scale of decision-making, who has the capacity (legal authority and resources) to 117 participate and how to adjust and respond to change (Craig, 2019). Attention is drawn to the relevance 118 of legal adaptive capacity, the substantive and procedural legal mechanisms which support adaptive 119 governance and thus allow governance to respond to changing circumstances and emerging 120 knowledge (Garmestani and Benson, 2013; Camacho and Glicksman, 2016). Tension is observed 121 between ensuring flexibility to adapt while preserving necessary stability in governance and a balance 122 is needed (Soininen and Platjouw, 2018).

Taking this perspective, Craig (2019) recently highlighted marine spatial planning¹¹ as an "inherently 123 124 flexible" process which provides potential for "procedural innovation" to support adaptive 125 governance of aquaculture in adapting to OA in the U.S. (Craig, 2019: 7). Marine spatial planning can 126 support the spatial allocation of aquaculture activities in relation to other demands, promoting 127 colocation with other industries and enabling the management of ecological impacts to support 128 ecosystem resilience. As a forum for public participation it is suggested to contribute to "creative 129 collaboration and promote experimentation with accountability" and should be considered as an 130 iterative process providing a basis for on-going re-negotiation of priorities and adapting over time 131 (Craig, 2019: 1).

- In this paper, we seek to advance understanding of how to facilitate adaptive governance in response
 to the complex management challenge of OA. This addresses increasing concern regarding the threat
 it poses to food security of human populations and the limited progress in advancing adaptation of
- the aquaculture sector. Building on recent work by Craig (2019) and others, we apply an adaptive

¹¹ *Marine spatial planning* is referred to as such in the U.S. whereas *marine planning* is used in the case study of analysis and this latter term is used in this paper, noting that it refers to the same concept.

136 governance perspective to the adaptation of aquaculture in Scotland, where there is increasing 137 evidence of the potential detrimental effects of OA combined with a dynamic policy context. This 138 includes recent and rapid progress in climate change adaptation policy, concurrent implementation 139 of marine planning and other legislative developments affecting coastal and marine governance. We 140 consider the feasibility of adaptation of aquaculture to OA i.e. what are potential adaptation 141 responses in Scotland, and, to what extent do policy, planning and management arrangements 142 constrain or enable adaptation responses to OA?

143 1.3 Background to the Scottish Case

144 Aquaculture is a critically important sector in Scotland and contributes over £1.8 billion annually to the Scottish economy along with socio-economic benefits, particularly for remote rural and coastal 145 146 communities¹². The industry is dominated by the farming of Atlantic salmon, with significant rainbow 147 trout and mussel production, along with oysters, scallops and growing interest in seaweed cultivation. 148 Shellfish cultivation primarily focusses on mussel farming, and over 80% of Scotland's farmed mussels produced in the Shetland Islands in 2017¹³. Scottish Government's policy is to support the aquaculture 149 industry's vision of expanding the sector and to double its economic contribution by 2030¹⁴ and 150 151 ensuring the sector's sustainability, resilience and adaptability is of national importance.

In 2017, the Marine Climate Change Impacts Partnership (MCCIP)¹⁵ reported that global ocean pH 152 continues to decrease with increasing risk of deleterious effects on ecosystems, particularly shellfish 153 154 growth, within 50 years, and that OA is happening at a faster rate in the United Kingdom (UK) than the wider North Atlantic¹⁶. As required by the UK's Climate Change Act 2008¹⁷, the UK Climate Change 155 156 Risk Assessment (2017) identified priorities for adaptation across devolved administrations of the UK based on emerging science and details OA as of particular risk to marine species and habitats in 157 158 Scotland¹⁸. In response, Scotland's second Climate Change Adaptation Programme 2019-2024 (CCAP) was laid before the Scottish Parliament in September 2019¹⁹, fulfilling a requirement of the Climate 159 160 Change (Scotland) Act 2009 (S.53). The CCAP is the Scottish Government's statutory five year programme for adapting to climate change and presents a cross-cutting strategy to promote 161

¹² Marine Scotland, 2014. An Assessment of the Benefits to Scotland of Aquaculture, https://www2.gov.scot/Resource/0045/00450799.pdf (accessed 12th October 2019)

¹³ NAFC Marine Centre, University of the Highlands and Islands (NAFC), 2019. Shetland Islands Draft Regional Marine Plan, p.108 <u>https://www.nafc.uhi.ac.uk/research/marine-spatial-planning/shetland-islands-regional-marine-planning-partnership/sirmp-2019/</u> (accessed 11 November 2019)

¹⁴ Scottish Government, 2019. Aquaculture. <u>https://www2.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish</u> (accessed 17 June 2019)

¹⁵ In the UK, the MCCIP co-ordinates the development of scientific evidence on marine climate change impacts along with guidance on adaptation to policy advisors and decision makers, see http://www.mccip.org.uk/ ¹⁶ MCCIP, 2017. Marine Climate Change Impacts: 10 year report card. http://www.mccip.org.uk/ ¹⁶ MCCIP, 2017. Marine Climate Change Impacts: 10 year report card. http://www.mccip.org.uk/ ¹⁶ MCCIP, 2017. Marine Climate Change Impacts: 10 year report card. http://www.mccip.org.uk/ ¹⁶ MCCIP, 2017. Marine Climate Change Impacts: 10 year report card. http://www.mccip.org.uk/ ¹⁶ MCCIP, 2017. Marine Climate Change Impacts: 10 year report card. http://www.mccip.org.uk/ ¹⁶ MCCIP, 2017. Marine Climate Change Impacts: 10 year report card. http://www.mccip.org.uk/ ¹⁶ MCCIP, 2017. Marine Climate Change Impacts: 10 year report-card/climate-of-the-marine-environment/oceanacidification/ (accessed 2 June 2019)

¹⁷ The UK's Climate Change Act 2008 was the world's first long-term, legally binding framework law to address climate change.

¹⁸ Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs (DEFRA), 2017. UK Climate Change Risk Assessment 2017 Evidence Report, <u>https://www.theccc.org.uk/tackling-climate-change/preparing-for-climate-change/uk-climate-change-risk-assessment-2017/</u> (accessed 25th August 2019)

¹⁹ This updates Scotland's First Climate Change Adaptation Programme 2014-2019.

integration of adaptation into wider Scottish Government policy development and functions²⁰. It 162 details provisions in relation to OA alongside wider climate change risks such as rising sea levels and 163 164 increased extreme weather events, and identifies policies and activities which support adaptation of vulnerable sectors, including aquaculture. In addressing implementation of the SDGs, Scottish 165 Government identifies OA as a future concern regarding suppressed shell growth and potential to 166 cause reproductive disorders in some species of fish²¹. National adaptation activity in Scotland and the 167 UK has so far focussed on monitoring (of seawater chemistry) and research, including contributing to, 168 and engaging with, relevant national and international groups²² as has been reported annually since 169 170 Scotland's first statutory CCAP²³. The CCAP adopted in 2019 places increased emphasis on the potential effects of OA and the need for action beyond monitoring, but more work is needed to 171 172 identify specific responses to OA and how these can be facilitated.

- 173 Delivering Scottish Government's policy to expand the aquaculture sector faces significant challenges,
- 174 including sea lice, disease, public objection and conflict for space with other activities²⁴. Various
- 175 national initiatives therefore seek to promote the growth of the aquaculture sector while addressing
- the constraints, including spatial guidance for finfish development based on environmental sensitivity
- to nutrient enrichment and benthic impacts²⁵, designation of protected areas for shellfish growing²⁶,
- 178 guidance on addressing visual impacts²⁷, among others. Development of larger sites further offshore
- is encouraged to avoid sensitive inshore locations and there is a presumption against further marine
- 180 finfish farms on the north and east coasts due to potential for interaction with wild salmon²⁸. As owner
- and manager of a range of rural, coastal and marine assets including the seabed and most of the
- 182 foreshore, Crown Estate Scotland's²⁹ objectives are to enhance the value of their assets and revenue

²⁸ Scottish Government, 2015. Scotland's National Marine Plan, p.50,

²⁰ Scottish Government, 2019a. Climate Ready Scotland: Second Scottish Climate Change Adaptation Programme 2019-2024, September 2019. <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/climate-ready-scotland-second-scottish-climate-change-adaptation-programme-2019-2024/</u> (accessed 12 October 2019).

²¹ Scottish Government, 2019b. Scotland and the SDGs: A national review to drive action, draft report, p.252. (Unpublished)

²² For example, the United Kingdom Ocean Acidification (UKOA) Research Programme, the Ocean Acidification International Reference User Group (OA-iRUG) under IUCN, and OSPAR.

²³ Scottish Government, 2017. Scottish Climate Change Adaptation Programme: Third Progress Report 2017. <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/climate-ready-scotland-scottish-climate-change-adaptation-programme-third-annual/</u>(accessed 15 June 2019)

²⁴ O'Hagan, A.M, et al., 2017. Regional review of Policy and Management Issues in Marine and Freshwater Aquaculture. Report produced as part of the EU Horizon 2020 AquaSpace project. <u>http://www.aquaspaceh2020.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Regional-Review-of-Policy-Management-Issues-in-Marine-and-Freshwater-Aquaculture.pdf</u> (accessed 19 September 2019)

²⁵ Marine Scotland Science, 2019. Locational Guidelines: Marine Fish Farms in Scottish Waters. <u>https://www2.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Publications/publicationslatest/farmedfish/locationalfishfarms</u> (accessed 12th October 2019)

²⁶ Areas designated under the Water Environment (Shellfish Water Protected Areas: Designation) (Scotland) Order 2013

²⁷ Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH), 2008. Guidance on Landscape / Seascape Capacity for Aquaculture, which addresses impacts on coastal character and scenic qualities. <u>https://www.nature.scot/guidance-landscapeseascape-capacity-aquaculture (accessed 15 November 2019)</u>

https://www.gov.scot/publications/scotlands-national-marine-plan/ (accessed 15 June 2019)

²⁹ Crown Estate Scotland is a public corporation of the Scottish Government which manages a range of rural, coastal and marine assets on behalf of the Crown. Following the 2014 referendum on independence for Scotland, the Scotland Act 2016 made provision for the devolution for the management and revenues of Crown Estate assets in Scotland.

183 from activities including the aquaculture sector (finfish, shellfish and seaweed), and they invest in 184 strategic research and development to support the industry.

185 The regulatory regime addressing aquaculture in Scotland has been described as overly complex, costly and presenting a barrier to the expansion of the sector, and has led to the process being 186 187 reviewed^{30,31}. This issue is faced across the European Union and there is an identified need to simplify administrative procedures and minimise regulatory burden across Member States to enable industry 188 189 growth^{32,33}. In Scotland, multiple agreements are required for developing an aquaculture facility, including: a seabed (or foreshore) lease agreement from Crown Estate Scotland; planning permission 190 from local authorities in accordance with terrestrial Local Development Planning³⁴, which must be 191 accompanied by Environmental Impact Assessment; and other licenses and consents from regulatory 192 193 bodies for installation of equipment, discharges and predator control. Crown Estate Scotland plan to review and amend their aquaculture leasing and terms by 2022³⁵. 194

195 Alongside sector-specific planning, marine planning is being implemented in Scotland through a twotier approach, at national and regional level, and seeks to support the development of the aquaculture 196 197 industry in line with government policy. Scotland's National Marine Plan was adopted in 2015 and sets 198 out a strategic policy framework for the sustainable development of Scotland's marine resources out 199 to 200 nautical miles and must be considered in all decisions taken by public authorities that affect Scotland's marine area³⁶. This overarching plan is to be delivered through regional marine planning, 200 addressing the eleven Scottish Marine Regions of territorial waters³⁷ through a phased, learning-based 201 and experimental approach intended to enhance "local ownership and decision-making"³⁸. 202 Development of regional marine plans is delegated to regional Marine Planning Partnerships, 203 comprising public authorities and stakeholders³⁹ and there is flexibility in how the process is 204 205 developed in each region. Marine Planning Partnerships are established and active in two regions (the 206 Clyde and Shetland Islands Marine Regions) and are in the process of preparing their statutory regional

³⁰ Scottish Government, 2016. Independent review of Scottish aquaculture consenting. <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/independent-review-scottish-aquaculture-consenting/</u> (accessed 22 September 2019)

³¹ Scottish Aquaculture Research Forum (SARF), 2016. SARF110 - Strategic Considerations for Locational Regulation of Shellfish Aquaculture in Scotland. <u>http://www.sarf.org.uk/cms-assets/documents/245878-18407.sarf110.pdf</u> (accessed 12 November 2019)

³² European Commission, 2013. COM(2013)229: Strategic Guidelines for the sustainable development of EU aquaculture, p.4, <u>https://ec.europa.eu/fisheries/sites/fisheries/files/docs/body/com_2013_229_en.pdf</u> (accessed 6 October 2019)

³³ O'Hagan, A.M, et al., 2017.

³⁴ Under the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 (planning permission in respect of operation of marine fish farm).

 ³⁵ <u>https://www.crownestatescotland.com/what-we-do/marine/asset/aquaculture</u> (accessed 7th December)
 ³⁶ Scottish Government, 2015

³⁷ Defined under the Scottish Marine Regions Order 2015. The 11 Scottish Marine Regions are: Argyll, Clyde, Forth & Tay, Moray Firth, North Coast, North East, Outer Hebrides, Orkney Islands, Shetland Isles, Solway and West Highlands.

³⁸ <u>https://www2.gov.scot/Topics/marine/seamanagement/regional</u> (accessed 8 June 2019)

³⁹ <u>https://www2.gov.scot/Topics/marine/seamanagement/regional/partnerships</u> (accessed 8 June 2019)

- marine plans⁴⁰. Although regionally-developed, resulting plans must be consistent with national policy
 and are subject to adoption by Scottish Ministers⁴¹.
- 209 Scottish coastal and marine governance is thus polycentric as described by Ostrom (2010) and 210 McGinnis (2011): it is multi-level, multi-sectoral and involves overlapping jurisdictions. In these nested 211 arrangements, the governance system includes: 1) primary (and secondary) legislation; 2) nationally-212 led policy and planning processes in government and its agencies; 3) regional and local collaboration 213 in marine planning and other mechanisms; and 4) decision-making in the licensing and management 214 of aquaculture facilities. Our attention is on how this governance system is, or might become, adaptive 215 in supporting adaptation of aquaculture to the impacts of climate change. The term response option is used herein to represent an action or societal change that supports adaptation to OA, and 216 217 corresponds to the term adaptation intervention used by the FAO.

218 2. Methods

219 To identify potential response options, a one day workshop was held in March 2018 at the Scottish 220 Government Regional Office in Edinburgh. Potential response options were developed through facilitated discussion in response to structured questions and a written record of the discussion was 221 222 made by the chair and two supporting project researchers. Records were compiled, synthesised and 223 a draft workshop report was circulated to participants and confirmed as an agreed record of the event. 224 Next, outputs were analysed and response options described in relation to the themes of adaptation 225 interventions proposed by the FAO⁴² (Table 1), as a logical framework and to promote coherence with emerging international guidance for the adaptation of aquaculture (and fisheries). Analysis of relevant 226 227 Scottish legislation, policy and planning documents was subsequently undertaken to identify provisions which support identified adaptation responses and legal adaptive capacity, i.e. substantive, 228 229 structural and procedural mechanisms for institutionalizing adaptive governance for responding to 230 OA.

3. Results

232 3.1 Participation at the workshop

Nine participants attended the event including staff from Scottish Government's Marine Scotland Science, Marine Scotland's Planning and Policy Division, an environmental non-governmental organisation (ENGO) and academic scientists. The aquaculture industry was invited to attend but all invitees declined with response from a major shellfish industry association indicating that OA is an issue of some interest but is not sufficiently tangible to be of immediate concern. The large, mainly international companies that make up most of the Scottish salmon farming industry appear to be focussed on shorter-term issues (e.g. sea lice, escaped fish and changing regulatory demands) which

⁴⁰ As at November 2019, Clyde Marine Planning Partnership are developing the plan following consultation on the "Pre-consultation draft of the Clyde Regional Marine Plan" in March 2019, and Shetland are consulting on the "Shetland Islands Draft Regional Marine Plan 2019". Preparations are underway for regional marine planning in the Orkney Islands Marine Region where the next Marine Planning Partnership is anticipated to be established.

⁴¹ Scottish Ministers represent the highest level of Government in Scotland.

⁴² FAO, 2018

have visible and direct economic consequences⁴³ and across the sector resources and capacity to participate were a concern. In contrast, public officials of Scottish Government engaged with the workshop including hosting the event, participating and presenting on the activities underway relating to OA and adaptation across policy and scientific departments. Despite the lack of industry representation discussion centred on the impacts on the aquaculture sector and how to mitigate them, given its vulnerability and socioeconomic significance.

246 3.2 Potential adaptation response options in Scotland

247 At the workshop, 15 response options (ROs) were identified as potential approaches for adapting to

- 248 OA in Scotland. These are presented in Table 2, categorised under the FAO themes of adaptation 249 interventions, and are described in three subsequent sub-sections.
 - Response Options to OA in Scotland identified at the workshop

Institutions and management

- RO1 Mitigation of OA at a large scale by addressing emissions reductions and enhance focus on marine interests in national climate policy and legislation.
- RO2 Integrate OA into the broader climate change adaptation agenda to support adaptation responses at other scales.
- RO3 Consider further the integration of OA into the EU Water Framework Directive and Marine Strategy Framework Directive implementation as a water quality issue.
- RO4 Integrate OA concerns into regional marine planning in Scotland supported by refined objectives in Scotland's National Marine Plan.
- RO5 Undertake scenario analysis based on modelling to inform regional management responses.
- RO6 Integration of terrestrial and coastal issues to understand and manage co-stressors at regional scale.

Livelihood adaptation

- RO7 Account for adaptation to local changes and consider whether aquaculture may need to relocate to other locations in future.
- RO8 Aquaculture site-level responses could include moving installations vertically in response to changing acidity, combined with early warning systems.
- RO9 Diversification of species farmed including more resilient species or cultivation of seaweed.
- RO10 Collaborative working and facilitating cross-sector relationships to explore feasibility of operational response options.

Resilience and risk reduction

RO11 General measures to strengthen ecosystem resilience including identifying particularly vulnerable areas and protecting these by identifying co-stressors and compensating negative impacts.

⁴³ Highlands and Islands Enterprise (HIE), 2017, Value of Scottish Aquaculture 2017, <u>http://www.hie.co.uk/regional-information/economic-reports-and-research/archive/value-of-aquaculture-2017.html</u> (accessed 14 June 2019)

- RO12 Identify how current monitoring programmes can be informative about OA, for example jellyfish and Harmful Algal Blooms (HABs), phosphorus levels recorded under the WFD, and others, in order to measure ecosystem responses.
- RO13 Frame monitoring and data collection on a regional scale to best inform understanding of ecosystem changes.
- RO14 Awareness raising to improve stakeholder and public understanding of OA and the need to adapt.

250 Table 3.1 Response options (RO) identified at the stakeholder workshop

251 3.1.1 Institutions and management

Most responses identified at the workshop fall within the FAO's category of institutions and management and address the development of rules that guide interventions including creation or enhancement of public policy, legislation, institutional design and planning or management frameworks⁴⁴. Responses at this level were noted as essential to underpin and support subsequent planning, management, adaptation and resilience building responses, particularly in the short-term (1-5 years). National government and other public bodies or regulatory authorities were identified as lead actors in these responses, working with regional management bodies and wider stakeholders.

Although addressing adaptation, mitigation was emphasized as a crucial aspect of reducing impacts of OA over the longer term. The overarching response of mitigation of OA (RO1) thus relates to policy and measures already being taken to mitigate climate change and participants considered that policy development in Scotland is robust in this area, suggesting only that increasing understanding and awareness of the socio-economic and ecological consequences of OA may 'add weight' to national policy on emissions reductions⁴⁵.

265 As impacts are predicted regardless of mitigation, climate change adaptation was indicated as the main policy agenda for supporting responses to OA. RO2 identifies increasing emphasis on OA in the 266 267 broader climate change adaptation agenda in relation to more familiar risks such as flooding and coastal resilience as a fundamental step. Further, RO3 promotes use of existing water quality 268 269 management frameworks to support understanding and managing local factors which contribute to 270 OA in the coastal zone, including commitments under the EU Water Framework Directive (WFD) 271 (Directive 2000/60/EC) and Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD) (Directive 2008/56/EC). 272 Both the MSFD and WFD include targets and objectives for 'acceptable' conditions ('Good 273 Environmental Status' or 'Good Ecological Status', respectively) and a framework for monitoring and 274 understanding ecosystem changes, including those related to pH. The WFD is implemented through River Basin Management Plans⁴⁶ (RBMP) which applies to inland and coastal waters out to 3 nautical 275 276 miles and provides a framework for integrated management of co-stressors including pollution from 277 agriculture. The Scottish Environmental Protection Agency (SEPA), who is responsible for

⁴⁴ FAO, 2018, p.134

⁴⁵ The Climate Change (Emissions Reduction Targets) (Scotland) Act received Royal Assent on 31 October 2019 and represents further ambitious national climate change legislation and policy.

⁴⁶ There are two River Basin Management Plans in Scotland, one covering the Scotland River Basin District; and the other cross border for the Solway Tweed River Basin District.

implementing the WFD in Scotland, are preparing the third iterations of RBMPs for publication in
 2020⁴⁷ and could support addressing OA and developing resilience in the coastal zone.

280 Workshop participants also considered the developing regional marine planning process an important 281 mechanism for supporting adaptation of aquaculture in coastal areas (RO4). Although at an early 282 stage, marine planning provides a framework for considering specific measures at a regional scale 283 including spatial options for flexible siting of aquaculture operations in relation to OA changes, 284 according to the characteristics and constraints of individual marine regions. It also provides a 285 mechanism for strengthening ecosystem resilience including identifying vulnerable areas and reducing 286 coastal pollution which contributes to pH fluctuations as well as other stressors (RO6). This should 287 relate to, and be informed by, the RBMPs to target the reduction of cumulative stressors and other 288 inland influences on acidity in coastal waters. Other resilience-building measures identified which 289 could be supported by marine planning included compensatory action (RO11), such as protecting or 290 restoring other vulnerable areas such as fish nurseries in order to counteract potential negative effects 291 of OA on fish recruitment. Further, regional marine planning was considered as providing an 292 appropriate scale for the design of monitoring programmes to understand trends at a smaller scale 293 (RO13). Lastly, the regional and partnership-based model of marine planning being implemented in 294 Scotland was considered to potentially enable greater participation and collaboration between public 295 and private actors in the development of OA responses.

296 3.2.2 Livelihood adaptation

297 This category includes specific responses at the operational level of human activities to adapt and 298 reduce vulnerability to OA, supported by institutional and management responses. Responses raised 299 included re-locating aquaculture installations to areas of more favourable pH as conditions change 300 (RO7). However, spatial relocation was perceived to be challenging due to the inflexibility of the 301 current planning process for aquaculture in enabling relocation and the limited space suitable for 302 aquaculture development given the constraints due to pollution, sea lice problems and conflict with 303 other users. Considering optional re-siting areas in areas which have been licensed but not developed was suggested, an issue which is limiting space for aquaculture in Scotland⁴⁸. Uncertainty in predicting 304 305 change at an appropriate spatial scale was noted as compromising spatial adaptation in the coastal 306 zone.

307 At individual farms, there may be scope for adaptation responses by aquaculture facility developers, 308 such as adjusting their operations to respond to changing pH of surface waters, for example the height 309 of shellfish cultivation in the water column (RO8). These 'fine-scale' and real-time responses require 310 carbonate chemistry monitoring systems which it was noted may already be in place at aquaculture 311 sites for optimising water treatment (Barton et al., 2015). Participants identified other responses by 312 industry which could include diversification of fish or shellfish species to those more tolerant to higher 313 acidity or to consider cultivation of macroalgae (e.g. Kelp) (RO9) given that acidification of coastal 314 waters increases favourable conditions for algae growth and which may also assist in mitigation 315 (Chung et al., 2013). Collaboration between public bodies, industry at a collective (association) and 316 individual (company) level, along with scientists was noted as necessary to determine economically 317 and technically feasible adaptation responses, and enables sharing of accountability (and cost) of

⁴⁷ <u>https://www.sepa.org.uk/environment/water/river-basin-management-planning/</u>

⁴⁸ Scottish Government, 2016, p.9

developing response options (RO10). Given the difficulties in engaging industry on the issue raisingawareness of OA and its implications was considered an important next step.

320 3.2.3 Resilience and risk reduction

321 All options discussed require more scientific evidence to improve preparedness and inform adaptive 322 strategies, in particular the prediction of ecosystem effects and determining thresholds which may 323 cause regime shift. There was strong emphasis throughout on the role of science, including 324 monitoring, to assess how the ecosystem is changing in relation to OA in order to inform refined 325 prediction of effects and response options. Government activity in relation to OA was presented as 326 currently focused in this area through the activities of Marine Scotland Science⁴⁹, and participants 327 noted that knowledge in relation to chemistry is well developed but gaps remain in understanding 328 biological ecosystem effects, from primary production upwards. Undertaking a comprehensive 329 monitoring strategy for changing pH of seawater was noted as difficult due to background variability, 330 and the influence of run-off in influencing OA in coastal waters. As predictive models are improved, tools such as scenario analysis (RO5) were proposed as useful to explore changing conditions and 331 332 appropriate response options, or combination of response options, across a range of possible 333 outcomes.

In addition to the on-going support of Scottish Government's contribution to UK-scale monitoring of 334 335 OA changes, identified actions to develop capacity for understanding trends at a smaller scale included 336 reviewing existing monitoring programs to ascertain which data collected can be informative about 337 OA, even if indirectly (RO12). For example, occurrences of jellyfish and harmful algal blooms and 338 phosphorus levels which are monitored under the WFD may relate to OA and be used as indicators 339 for ecosystem responses to acidification, particularly where long-term data sets are available. A 340 regional approach was suggested as a relevant scale to frame monitoring and data collection in 341 relation to OA in the coastal area and could be facilitated by integrated planning frameworks such as 342 regional marine planning.

Communicating and raising awareness of OA emerged as necessary although challenging, particularly 343 344 given the relationship between OA and climate change and the uncertainty in predicting local impacts 345 of OA in Scotland. Industry interest in OA adaptation was noted as low since direct effects are 346 uncertain and companies are focussed on more immediate issues. For the wider public, awareness of 347 OA may be influenced by well-publicised impacts on coral reef, rather than issues facing Scotland. 348 Greater outreach was thought to be needed (RO14) and the role of non-state actors was highlighted 349 as relevant, for example ENGOs in supporting public communication and participation (Brooker et al., 350 2019).

351 3.3 Document analysis

Legislation and policy addressing climate change adaptation, the marine planning process and aquaculture planning and management present a range of substantive, structural and procedural mechanisms relevant to the adaptation of aquaculture. Specific goals addressing the adaptation of aquaculture to OA are evident in the CCAP and in a regional marine assessment, with no specific reference in national or regional marine plans. However, in these, other goals and policies address adaptation to OA or adaptation in general and can support responses at the operational level.

⁴⁹ Marine Scotland Science is a Directorate of Scottish Government.

- 358 Structural and procedural capacity is evident in provisions and promoted changes, and which indicate 359 adaptive capacity in relation to the dimensions of adaptive governance introduced in 1.2., i.e. distributed decision-making, participation and collaboration, learning-based and adaptive 360 approaches, with supporting activities including leadership. Some adaptive capacity is evident across 361 the levels of governance but is more prevalent in new policy and legislation (particularly the CCAP and 362 the Scottish Crown Estate Act 2019). A summary of this analysis is presented in table 3 and the outputs 363 364 included in the discussion which addresses the extent to which policy, planning and management arrangements in Scotland constrain or enable adaptation responses to OA.
- 365

ADAPTIVE CAPACITY IN POLICY AND PLANNING FOR ADAPTATION OF AQUACULTURE IN SCOTLAND			
Specific provisions for adapting to OA	Structural and procedural adaptive capacity		
Climate Change Adaptation (Key documents: Second Scottish Climate Change Adaptation Programme 2019-2024 ⁵⁰ ; Climate Change (Emissions Reduction Targets) (Scotland) Act 2019; Climate Change (Scotland) Act 2009; UK Climate Change Act 2008).			
 OA identified as a risk to "nature-based industries" and as a risk to ecosystems which supports protection, resilience and enhancement. Potential for diversification of aquaculture to other species or seaweed indicated. Spatial planning and RBMP highlighted in relation to management of water quality. Collaboration supported by a new Climate Change and Ocean Acidification subgroup. National Forum proposed to support local adaptation efforts. 	 "Place-based", locally-led adaptation efforts emphasized. Promotes systemic behavior change and includes raising awareness through climate literacy. On-going research, monitoring and evidence gathering, and iterative production of the CCAP based on annual progress monitoring. Vertical integration between local and national adaptation responses. 		
Marine Planning (Key documents: Scotland's National Marine Plan ⁵¹ , Clyde Regional Marine Plan – Pre- consultation Draft ⁵² and Clyde Marine Region Assessment ⁵³ , Shetland Marine Spatial Plan – Consultation Draft ⁵⁴ , Marine (Scotland) Act 2010).			
 National policy addressing growth of aquaculture sector, climate change adaptation and ecosystem protection and enhancement. OA identified as a threat to shellfish fisheries, as an additional risk to release from carbon sinks, and as a factor to be considered in the designation of future Marine Protected Areas in the Clyde Marine Region. 	 Two-tier process includes a devolved, partnership-led approach to marine planning. Regional marine planning developing through a phased, learning-based approach with flexibility at the regional level. 		

⁵⁰ Scottish Government, 2019a

⁵¹ Scottish Government, 2015

⁵² Clyde Marine Planning Partnership (CMPP), 2019. Clyde Marine Plan – Pre-consultation draft, 2019. https://www.clydemarineplan.scot/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/Pre-consultation-draft-Clyde-Regional-Marine-Plan-18-March-2019.pdf (accessed 11 September 2019)

⁵³ CMPP, 2017. Clyde Marine Region Assessment. <u>https://www.clydemarineplan.scot/wp-</u> content/uploads/2018/02/Clyde-Marine-Region-Assessment-2017.pdf (accessed 11 September 2019) ⁵⁴ NAFC, 2019

 Regional policies support diversification; siting of aquaculture further offshore to mitigate inshore risks; and co-existence of marine uses. Shetland Marine Plan also encourages areawide Aquaculture Development Management Plans to support an holistic approach to developing aquaculture in the region. 	 Marine planning is an iterative process, with reporting and review of national and regional marine planning required. Collaboration and co-operation supported at regional level, between operators and between sectors. 			
Aquaculture Planning (Key documents: Crown Estate Scoth Estate Act 2019).	and draft 2020-23 Corporate Plan ⁵⁵ ; Scottish Crown			
 Crown Estate Scotland strategic objectives support growth of the aquaculture industry, through research and innovation. Provisions for further devolution of certain Crown Estate Scotland assets to be managed by local authorities, island councils, public bodies and community organisations, including through a Local Asset Management Pilot Scheme. Crown Estate Scotland plan to review aquaculture leasing and terms by 2022. Government-led process of on-going improvement of spatial guidance for aquaculture development. Designations of shellfish growing areas are reviewed every 6 years. 	 Promotes changing ownership models and new, locally-led and collaborative arrangements. Approaches to the leasing of aquaculture could adapt following future reviews. Crown Estate Scotland capacity to act in a leadership role, with resources and ability to integrate between levels. Science-led efforts to reduce uncertainty and improve siting options. 			
Aquaculture Licensing (Key documents: Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 (planning permission in respect of operation of marine fish farm) (and amendments); Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 1997 (and amendments)).				
• Changes in use, location and type of equipment at an existing site accommodated through Permitted Development Rights up to a certain scale, or requiring further development	 Some flexibility for adaptation accommodated within existing regulatory process. Strategic co-operation in management 			

 Strategic co-operation in management areas promotes collaboration and adaptive capacity over a wider spatial scale.

366 Table 3.2: Summary of adaptive capacity in policy and planning to support adaptation of aquaculture to OA in Scotland.

367 4. Discussion

system.

368 4.1 Adaptive governance for ocean acidification in Scotland

application under the terrestrial planning

Management Areas promoted for coordinating

management in relation to key issues, primarily

fish health but could be expanded.

⁵⁵ Crown Estate Scotland, 2019

As a complex issue with highly uncertain effects in coastal areas adaptive governance is needed to 369 370 respond to OA with responses across multiple levels (Craig, 2019). A range of response options are 371 identified here including national policy action by government, regional integrated planning and 372 management by respective authorities and adapting activities at the operational level. Analysis of the 373 polycentric governance system in Scotland indicates adaptive capacity supported by rapid legislative and policy development steering action on climate change adaptation, the implementation of regional 374 375 marine planning, and through sector-specific planning and licensing frameworks. Substantive, 376 structural and procedural provisions across these promote collective action and power-sharing at local 377 scales, nested within a national framework, and a basis for iterative, learning-based approaches to 378 adaptation. This system is described here to understand the feasibility of adaptation of aquaculture 379 to OA and constraints in advancing adaptation responses for aquaculture in Scotland.

380 4.2 Climate change adaptation as an enabling policy framework

381 Participants emphasized the importance of the national climate change adaptation policy agenda and 382 Scotland's second CCAP indicates a strengthening statutory basis for progressing response options and adaptation of aquaculture to OA. The CCAP now specifies OA as a threat to Scotland's aquaculture 383 384 industry under Outcome 3, which aims to ensure a sustainable and adaptable economy by addressing 385 the risks posed to "nature-based industries" from climate change (Sub-outcome 3.1⁵⁶). Opportunity for farming of other species and seaweed in changing conditions is also identified and requires further 386 387 research. OA is also considered from an ecosystem perspective in Outcome 6 which aims to ensure the protection, enhancement and resilience of the marine and coastal environment⁵⁷ and supports 388 adaptation responses addressing ecosystem resilience. Under these outcomes, the CCAP lists specific 389 390 policies, proposals and research activities to enable their delivery. These include the Climate Change and Ocean Acidification subgroup established in May 2018 under Scotland's 10 Year Farmed Fish 391 Health Framework⁵⁸ and which presents an opportunity for collaborative, polycentric development of 392 strategies to support adaptation of the aquaculture industry, identified as contributing to adaptation 393 394 Puget Sound (Craig, 2019). Comprised of industry, government, scientists and regulatory agencies the subgroup aims to support fish aquaculture business to adapt by monitoring, reviewing and assessing 395 396 the impact of climate change and ocean acidification on Scottish waters⁵⁹. Collaborative effort can 397 also be enabled through existing groups supporting public and private co-operation in Scotland⁶⁰. 398 Other important commitments in the CCAP include on-going contribution of Scottish Government to 399 the evidence-gathering activities of the MCCIP to enhance preparedness and a further action could 400 consider which other monitoring activities could provide information on OA trends at a smaller scale. 401 In terms of the WFD, the CCAP refers to RBMPs as relevant to adaptation through management of 402 water quality including land-based sources of pollution, and could support addressing OA in the 403 coastal zone, as identified by participants.

⁵⁶ Sub-Outcome 3.1: "Scotland's businesses based on natural resources are informed and adaptable to climate change" (Scottish Government 2019a, p.92)

⁵⁷ Outcome 6: "Our coastal and marine environment is valued, enjoyed, protected and enhanced and has increased resilience to climate change" (Scottish Government 2019a, p.178)

⁵⁸ <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/scotlands-10-year-farmed-fish-health-framework/</u>

⁵⁹ <u>https://www2.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/Strategic-Framework/Subgroup4</u> (accessed 14 October 2019)

⁶⁰ For example Scotland's Aquaculture Innovation Centre (SAIC), <u>https://www.scottishaquaculture.com/</u> and the Scottish Aquaculture Research Forum (SARF), <u>http://www.sarf.org.uk/</u>

Beyond provisions specific to OA, procedural and structural mechanisms supporting adaptive 404 governance are seen throughout the CCAP. Firstly, the CCAP is fundamentally learning-based and 405 406 adaptive based on a monitoring framework to support continuing progress. This includes annual 407 progress reporting and updating of the CCAP every 5 years (required under the Climate Change (Scotland) Act 2009) and allows for new understanding to inform future adaptation. The CCAP, under 408 the Climate Change (Scotland) Act 2009, therefore indicates substantive adaptive capacity based on 409 410 goals of adaptation as well as procedural adaptive capacity by enabling adjustment to new information 411 (Carmanco and Glicksman, 2016).

412 Secondly, the CCAP emphasises the importance of locally-led efforts in adapting to climate change with action and decisions taken at a scale which reflects local geographies and demographics. A "place-413 based" approach is a key theme of the CCAP, aligned with the 'Place Principle'⁶¹ being adopted across 414 415 Scottish Government in response to new legislation requiring increased community engagement and 416 local governance in Scotland⁶². This reflects potential for distributed decision-making and could 417 promote the development of self-organisation in adaptation efforts at smaller scales (Cosens et al., 2018). Further, the development of a National Forum proposed in the CCAP⁶³ to support local 418 adaptation initiatives could support vertical interplay across national, regional and local levels in 419 420 developing responses.

421 Adaptive capacity is also enhanced by initiatives proposed under the CCAP to improve 'climate literacy' to aid public awareness and through promotion of systemic behaviour change⁶⁴. This directly 422 423 supports awareness-raising identified as a barrier in Scotland and sustained effort in learning and 424 capacity building to enable co-production of knowledge to respond to OA (Dannevig et al., 2019). 425 More broadly, an explicit adaptation agenda as set out in the CCAP supports developing a "culture of 426 tolerance for change and uncertainty" which is essential for developing adaptive approaches (De Caro 427 et al., 2017: 5). As a new programme further analysis will be needed to ascertain the extent to which 428 adaptive governance is supported by the CCAP but overall it represents an advanced framework which 429 supports adaptive governance and a basis for developing adaptation responses across scales.

430 4.3 Marine planning and the potential for adaptive governance

431 The marine planning process in Scotland could support adaptive governance in responding to OA 432 through a combination of substantive, structural and procedural characteristics. At the national level, 433 Scotland's National Marine Plan includes policy objectives for the sustainable growth of the 434 aquaculture industry along with a range of climate change adaptation policies, including the need for 435 spatial planning, an ecosystem approach and adaptive management⁶⁵. The current iteration of the 436 National Marine Plan does not specifically consider OA and adaptation is instead framed in relation to 437 flooding, sea level rise and the resilience of coastal infrastructure. However, it is supportive of 438 measures to strengthen resilience through policies to protect and enhance the marine environment

⁶¹ "The Place Principle calls on all those responsible for providing services and looking after assets in a place to work and plan together, and with local communities, to improve the lives of people, support inclusive and sustainable growth and create more successful places that will be capable of adapting to climate change" (Scottish Government, 2019a, p.71)

⁶² Including the Community Empowerment (Scotland) Act 2015 and the recent Planning (Scotland) Act 2019.

⁶³ Scottish Government, 2019a, p.27

⁶⁴ *Ibid,* p.25

⁶⁵ Scottish Government, 2015, p.182

as well as promoting appropriate siting of aquaculture facilities in relation to ecological constraints
 and colocation or diversification of activities where appropriate⁶⁶. Policy related to OA is likely to be
 included in future iterations of the National Marine Plan and would enhance focus on adaptation
 responses⁶⁷.

443 Regionally, the Marine Planning Partnerships must address national policy objectives and develop 444 regional policies in their marine plans which apply to activities developed within their regions⁶⁸. In 445 their assessment of the region required to inform marine planning, the Clyde Marine Planning Partnership identified OA as a threat to shellfish fisheries, as an additional risk to release from carbon 446 447 sinks and as a factor to be considered in the designation of future Marine Protected Areas in the Clyde Marine Region⁶⁹. While not specific to OA, policies in the current versions of the Clyde and Shetland 448 449 can support adaptation of aquaculture in line with the identified responses. These include 450 diversification to other species or seaweed cultivation, promoting siting of aquaculture facilities in 451 areas further offshore to mitigate inshore risks and policies which promote co-existence of aquaculture with other marine uses which may increase siting options⁷⁰. Combined with policies to 452 address ecosystem resilience these promote the viability of the sector considering a range of 453 454 constraints and factors which supports adaptation to OA (Craig, 2019). Spatial constraints on 455 relocation noted by stakeholders are evident in emerging marine plans, particularly in Shetland where 456 aquaculture activity is extensive and limited new space exists without technological innovation to 457 develop activities further offshore⁷¹.

458 Structurally, the partially decentralised approach to regional marine planning in Scotland indicates 459 polycentricity which could support adaptive governance. In this nested arrangement, national 460 government provide legal legitimacy, economic incentives and policy oversight while the partnerships 461 support learning and collaboration at the regional level, based on strong leadership and participation 462 (Greenhill et al., 2020). Involvement in partnership-based plan-making has improved decision-making legitimacy in Shetland in relation to aquaculture siting and supported siting of aquaculture proposals 463 464 in relation to fishing interests (Greenhill et al., 2020). It also provides a foundation for addressing 465 'social licence' and issues related to public acceptance of the expansion of aquaculture, another 466 constraint facing the industry (Billing, 2018).

467 However, the extent to which marine planning can influence adaptive outcomes, including adaptation 468 measures, may be constrained by the overlapping planning and management processes which it seeks to guide (Greenhill et al., 2020). Marine planning in Scotland is not equivalent to "a legally sanctioned 469 470 process for allocating marine space" (Craig 2019: 3) and the siting of aquaculture facilities is primarily 471 steered by sector-specific policy and planning by national government and local authorities. Further, 472 marine planning is not equivalent to management and the extent to which Marine Planning 473 Partnerships can facilitate adaptive responses depends on their influence on regulatory and 474 permitting decisions for aquaculture taken by local authorities and national regulatory bodies in

⁶⁶ E.g. "AQUACULTURE 13: Proposals that contribute to the diversification of farmed species will be supported, subject to other objectives and policies being satisfied." (Scottish Government, 2015, p.51)

⁶⁷ The National Marine Plan is reviewed every 3 years with the next review due in 2021.

⁶⁸ The Marine (Scotland) Act 2010 also requires that any regional marine plan must set out "objectives relating to the mitigation of, and adaptation to, climate change" (Art. 5(4)(a)).

⁶⁹ CMPP, 2017

⁷⁰ NAFC, 2019

⁷¹ Ibid.

accordance with legislative requirements. This has two major implications: firstly, the
"experimentation with accountability" promoted by Craig (2019: 1) for adaptive governance is limited
to the marine planning arena since *management* authority does not change and secondly,
implementation of adaptation responses consequently depends on the accommodation of adaptation
through flexibility in the wider aquaculture planning and management framework (discussed in 4.4).

480 The role of regional marine planning in supporting adaptation measures is also dependent on when, 481 how and if marine planning proceeds in Scotland. Progress in implementation is slower than 482 anticipated; there is uncertainty regarding available resources for marine planning in other regions and the process itself is currently under review⁷². Further, in an 'experimental' and flexible marine 483 planning system, regional differences affect the ability of partnerships to develop influential regional 484 485 policy, spatial or non-spatial, and include the complexity of the region, the degree of cohesion 486 between stakeholders and available resources (Greenhill et al., 2020). In Scotland, socio-cultural and 487 governance arrangements in certain island contexts are indicated as better enhancing legitimacy and 488 accountability through marine planning, building on existing (and increasing) devolution of 489 management powers to the same scale (Greenhill et al., 2020).

490 As an iterative process requiring review of regional assessments and marine plans, marine planning is 491 able to respond to changing circumstances and new knowledge including the impacts of OA on 492 aquaculture. Marine planning provides a valuable repository of data and information and provide the 493 basis for refining adaptation action over time in response to regional trends and predicted effects. OA 494 could receive greater emphasis in later iterations of regional marine plans and, as an on-going forum 495 for public participation and collaboration, adaptation responses could be considered alongside 496 changing priorities and ecological changes (Craig, 2019). This could incorporate scenario analysis 497 suggested by participants to consider adaptation options across a range of predicted outcomes to 498 inform adaptation planning. In Shetland, a constraints-based approach which steers industry to areas 499 preferable for development based on gradational understanding of risk can be more adaptive than a 500 spatial allocation ('hard zoning') approach, as it is flexible and can be more easily updated to respond 501 to new information (Kelly et al., 2014).

502 4.4 Flexibility in aquaculture planning and management

503 Given their role in leasing the seabed for aquaculture development, Crown Estate Scotland could play 504 an important role in aquaculture adaptation, underpinned by the new Scottish Crown Estate Act 2019 505 which provides for the long-term management of Crown Estate assets devolved to Scotland. Although 506 they do not have a role in regulatory compliance, as manager of the seabed they have a strategic 507 interest in supporting the industry to ensure growth and enhanced revenue generation. For example, 508 Crown Estate Scotland's propose a review of aquaculture leasing and terms to "safeguard aquaculture 509 businesses"73, which could include the need to accommodate adaptation measures in leasing 510 arrangements. The Scottish Crown Estate Act 2019 also includes provisions for further devolution of 511 certain assets to be managed by local authorities, island councils, public bodies and community 512 organisations within a national governance framework. Increased decision-making and ownership at

⁷² The Scottish Parliament's Environment, Climate Change and Land Reform Committee is currently undertaking a review of progress in developing regional marine planning partnerships. <u>https://www.parliament.scot/parliamentarybusiness/CurrentCommittees/111991.aspx</u> (accessed 12 November 2019)

⁷³ Crown Estate Scotland, 2019, p.13

the local level is being promoted including through a Local Asset Management Pilot Scheme⁷⁴ which may support adaptive governance based on shared management rights (Greenhill et al., 2020) and could provide another mechanism for collaborative development of locally-relevant adaptation responses. In Shetland, the Sullom Voe Masterplan⁷⁵ is being progressed under this scheme and focusses on re-opening areas for aquaculture development previously closed for navigational purposes.

519 Other potential mechanisms to support adaptation of the industry to OA include Aquaculture 520 Management Areas (AMAs) promoted by the FAO as fundamental in implementing an ecosystem approach to aquaculture⁷⁶. AMAs enable collective farm management at a more appropriate scale for 521 managing the risks to and from aquaculture and builds on the likelihood that facility operators self-522 organise around areas which are suitable for development⁷⁷. Monitoring of environmental change can 523 524 be collaboratively and strategically undertaken to understand vulnerability and address threats such 525 as eutrophication (and OA). Governments play a key role and the AMA provides an entity which can support community engagement⁷⁸. Management areas exist in Scotland have been developed 526 527 specifically to address the need to strategically address challenges of disease in fish farms (Disease 528 Management Areas⁷⁹) and management agreements between multiple operators in a farm 529 management area are supported by the Aquaculture and Fisheries (Scotland) Act 2013 (S.1 (2)). 530 Strategic co-operation in management areas supports collaboration and provides adaptive capacity 531 over a wider spatial scale and could be expanded to consider climate change adaptation needs. It is 532 more difficult to establish new AMAs where industry is already well established (as in Scotland) but 533 there is potential for gradual strategic co-ordination and management based on collective action by 534 industry⁸⁰. Regional marine planning in Shetland encourages area-wide Aquaculture Development 535 Management Plans to support an holistic approach to developing aquaculture proposals in the region⁸¹ and could facilitate the benefits of an AMA approach. 536

Ultimately, decisions concerning specific aquaculture projects are taken through national and local 537 licensing processes which focus on site selection, environmental impact assessment and local social 538 acceptance⁸². Livelihood adaptation responses therefore require flexibility, not just in planning and 539 540 leasing arrangements, but in the regulatory processes it seeks to guide. Depending on the scale of the 541 response some changes in use (for example to other species or activity), location and type of 542 equipment may require repeating some of the permitting process, including public consultation, particularly if beyond the existing planning boundary of a site⁸³. This includes addressing the spatial 543 544 specificity essential for aspects such as navigational safety of fish farm moorings and equipment.

⁸² O'Hagan et al., 2017, p.8

⁷⁴ <u>https://www.crownestatescotland.com/what-we-do/local-pilot-scheme</u>

⁷⁵ <u>https://www.nafc.uhi.ac.uk/research/marine-spatial-planning/sullom-voe-master-plan-project/</u>

 ⁷⁶ FAO, 2017. Aquaculture zoning, site selection and area management under the ecosystem approach to aquaculture: A handbook, p.37, <u>http://www.fao.org/3/a-i6834e.pdf</u> (accessed 5 December 2019)
 ⁷⁷ Ibid.

⁷⁸ FAO, 2017, p.38

⁷⁹ https://www2.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish/FHI/managementagreement

⁸⁰ FAO, 2017, p.17

⁸¹ NAFC, 2019

⁸³ Regulated by the Town and Country Planning Marine Fish Farming (Scotland) Order 2007 which applies to the placement of equipment in the sea, on the seabed or on the foreshore out to 12 nautical miles.

545 Some change can be accommodated within permitted development rights of existing consents⁸⁴, 546 however, given the cost of planning application fees for aquaculture industry is incentivised to apply 547 for the smallest initial development area restricting flexibility for future changes⁸⁵. Addressing the 548 payment mechanism and considering how flexibility can fairly be built into consent applications may 549 support adaptation. Future review of aquaculture regulation and licensing should consider the need 550 for flexibility and appropriate mechanisms to enable not just spatial relocation, but to enable 551 experiments and trials of adaptation measures at aquaculture site level.

552 5. Conclusion

There is consensus that OA will alter ecosystems, affect human activities and governance needs to respond (Billé et al., 2013). Adaptation of the aquaculture industry is essential to protect an important economic sector and provide food security for an expanding global population. Climate change adaptation requires adaptive governance to enable robust decision-making in the context of uncertainty, and is enabled through a governance system consisting of polycentric arrangements and a versatile choice of policy instruments to foster adaptive and innovative responses (Arnold and Gunderson, 2013).

560 Scotland provides a pertinent case given the increasing importance of the aquaculture sector with national policy to double its economic contribution by 2030, combined with increasingly ambitious 561 562 climate change policy, and supports understanding of implementing adaptive governance in response 563 to OA. Findings indicate a range of response options across the themes of institutions and 564 management, livelihood adaptation and resilience and risk reduction, supporting the need for nested 565 arrangements and providing a basis for framing adaptation in relation to new FAO guidance. Uncertainty in predicting specific effects in coastal areas presents a significant challenge in developing 566 567 operational responses and emphasis in the short-term is on public bodies to lead scientific effort and providing an enabling policy framework with flexibility for adaptation at smaller scales. Alongside, 568 569 collaboration with industry is essential to raise awareness and understand the feasibility of adaptation 570 responses at sector and project level.

571 Legal and institutional arrangements are critical in defining the capacity for adaptive governance in 572 existing regulatory systems (Cosens et al., 2018). In this analysis findings indicate convergence in 573 developing legislation and policy in Scotland on institutional change towards adaptive governance, 574 with substantive, structural and procedural adaptive capacity enhanced through emerging 575 instruments. New climate change adaptation policy provides a cross-policy, iterative basis for 576 advancing adaptation responses and an explicit, substantive impetus for adaptive approaches. 577 Alongside this, institutional change in coastal and marine governance including a new, two-tier marine 578 planning process and the adoption of the Scottish Crown Estate Act 2019 seek to advance new models 579 of devolved and learning-based planning and management. These mechanisms intersect in the coastal 580 zone and present opportunity for adaptive governance in the adaptation of aquaculture to OA.

⁸⁴ Regulated by the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (Fish Farming) (Scotland) Amendment Order 2012

⁸⁵ Scottish Aquaculture Research Forum (SARF), 2016. SARF110 - Strategic Considerations for Locational Regulation of Shellfish Aquaculture in Scotland. <u>http://www.sarf.org.uk/cms-assets/documents/245878-18407.sarf110.pdf</u> (accessed 12 November 2019)

581 Collaborative and polycentric activity is fundamental to adaptive governance and is supported by 582 emerging structural capacity across the governance system. This includes government led public – 583 private initiatives, the regional Marine Planning Partnerships, organisations and groups proposing to 584 take on local management of Crown Estate Scotland assets and co-operation supported through 585 Aquaculture Management Areas. In this supportive institutional context, actors operating at different 586 levels can support awareness raising, advance collective action and enhance adaptive capacity.

587 Marine planning can encourage and facilitate consideration of potential adaptation options alongside 588 other priorities, but the process is still developing and challenges are evident in its implementation 589 and in understanding its influence on the management of marine activities (Greenhill et al., 2020). 590 Greater connectivity between marine planning, national policy development and regulatory decision-591 making regarding aquaculture would increase capacity to develop and implement adaptation 592 responses for the sector. Strengthening the legal procedures connecting marine planning and 593 aquaculture sector planning and management by government, Crown Estate Scotland's leasing 594 process and local authority licensing in Scotland could enhance the role of marine planning in 595 adaptation, including increasing its capacity to facilitate legitimate debate on adaptation options 596 (Craig, 2019).

597 While opportunities exist for advancing adaptation responses at different scales there is a need for 598 effective integration, including horizontal and vertical institutional linkages, to support adaptive 599 governance (Folke et al. 2005; Berkes, 2010). Coherence in governance is required to enhance 600 adaptive capacity, especially in geographical contexts where several regulatory and / or governance 601 arrangements overlap (Soininen and Platjouw, 2018). Procedural adaptive capacity could be 602 supported by co-ordination between cycles of monitoring and evaluation of marine planning, policy 603 implementation and effectiveness of adaptation responses, in addition to monitoring of ecosystem 604 change. Making the information from review processes easily accessible would increase accountability 605 and transparency in adaptive governance (Craig and Ruhl, 2014). Additionally, since the institutional 606 changes identified here are at early stages of implementation, further investigating the 607 complementarity and potential for integration in enabling adaptation would be an important next 608 step.

609 Communication regarding OA remains a challenge given the interlinkages with climate change 610 processes, local variability and uncertain effects, compounding the difficulties in engaging 611 stakeholders to determine pre-emptive response options. While low saliency of OA remains a broad 612 challenge (Tiller et al., 2019) progress can be supported through measures to enhance climate literacy 613 and increasing facilitation of multi-stakeholder groups at multiple levels. Attention to the issue of OA 614 is increasing and Extinction Rebellion, a popular response to the climate emergency, has expressed 615 interest in what is known about OA and its impacts in the coastal waters of Western Scotland (P. Tett, 616 pers. comm.).

Despite increasing adaptive capacity in policy and planning, the adaptive capacity of existing regulation of aquaculture may still constrain adaptation responses. The licensing process remains the main arena for considering the specific details of proposed aquaculture operations and their social and ecological implications and requires specificity to enable fixed agreements and permissions. It also includes processes for public objections and appeal against applications and decisions which influence what is possible for aquaculture development (Billing, 2018). In addition to promoting more streamlined licensing procedures to support sector growth, future reviews of aquaculture 624 management needs to consider the need for flexibility to accommodate adaptation responses. Future 625 research could also consider how legal provisions supporting strategic and collaborative approaches 626 could be more widely implemented, including how Aquaculture Management Areas (with community 627 involvement) could be utilised to support adaptation responses at the operational level.

628 6. Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the project "Adapting Coastal Zone Management to Ocean Acidification (grant no. 255748), funded by the Norwegian Research Council. The contribution from the participants at the workshop is gratefully acknowledged. This article has benefitted from the constructive feedback of three anonymous reviewers.

633 7. References

Armitage, D.R., Plummer, R., Berkes, F., Arthur, R.I., Charles, A.T., Davidson-Hunt, I.J., Diduck, A.P.,
Doubleday, N.C., Johnson, D.S., Marschke, M., McConney, P., Pinkerton, E.W., Wollenberg, E.K., 2009.
Adaptive co-management for social-ecological complexity. Front. Ecol. Environ. 7, 95–102.
https://doi.org/10.1890/070089

Arnold, C., Gunderson, L., 2013. Adaptive Law and Resilience. Environ. Law Report. 10426–10443.

Barton, A., Waldbusser, G., Feely, R., Weisberg, S., Newton, J., Hales, B., Cudd, S., Eudeline, B.,
Langdon, C., Jefferds, I., King, T., Suhrbier, A., McLauglin, K., 2015. Impacts of Coastal Acidification on
the Pacific Northwest Shellfish Industry and Adaptation Strategies Implemented in Response.
Oceanography 25, 146–159. https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2015.38

Billé, R., Kelly, R., Harrould-kolieb, E., Herr, D., Joos, F., Laffoley, D., 2013. Taking Action Against Ocean
Acidification: A Review of Management and Policy Options. Environ. Manage. 52, 761–779.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-013-0132-7

Billing, S., 2018. Using public comments to gauge social licence to operate for finfish aquaculture :
Lessons from Scotland. Ocean Coast. Manag. 165, 401–415.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2018.09.011

- Brooker, E., Hopkins, C.R., Devenport, E., Greenhill, L., Duncan, C., 2019. Civil society participation in
 the Scottish marine planning process and the role of Environmental Non-Governmental Organisations.
- 651 J. Environ. Plan. Manag. 1–38. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2018.1532876
- Brunner, R.D., 2010. Adaptive governance as a reform strategy. Policy Sci. 43, 301–341.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11077-010-9117-z
- Camacho, A.E., Glicksman, R.L., 2016. Legal Adaptive Capacity: How Program Goals and Processes
 Shape Federal Land Adaptation to Climate Change. U. Colo. L. Rev. 87, 711.

Chaffin, B., 2014. A decade of adaptive governance scholarship : synthesis and future directions A
decade of adaptive governance scholarship : synthesis and future directions 19.
https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-06824-190356

Chaffin, B.C., Gunderson, L.H., 2016. Emergence , institutionalization and renewal : Rhythms of
adaptive governance in complex social-ecological systems 165, 81–87.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.09.003

- Chung, I.K., Oak, J.H., Lee, J.A., Shin, J.A., Kim, J.G., Park, K., 2013. Installing kelp forests/seaweed beds
 for mitigation and adaptation against global warming : Korean Project Overview. ICES J. Mar. Sci. ICES
 70, 1038–1044.
- 665 Clements, J.C., Chopin, T., 2017. Ocean acidification and marine aquaculture in North America :
 666 potential impacts and mitigation strategies 326–341. https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12140

Cosens, B., Gunderson, L.H., Chaffin, B.C., 2018. Introduction to the Special Feature Practicing
Panarchy: Assessing Legal Flexibility, Ecological Resilience, and Adaptive Governance in Regional
Water Systems Experiencing Rapid Environmental Change Introduction to the Special Feature
Practicing Panarc. Ecol. Soc. 1, 4.

- 671 Cosens, B., Gunderson, L.H., Chaffin, B.C., 2014. Adaptive Water Governance Project : Assessing Law ,
 672 Resilience and Governance in Regional Socio- Ecological Water Systems Facing a Changing Climate.
 673 Idaho L. Rev. 51, 1–27.
- Cosens, B.A., Craig, R.K., Hirsch, S.L., Tony, C.A., Benson, M.H., Decaro, D.A., Garmestani, A.S., 2017.
 The role of law in adaptive governance. https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-08731-220130.Submit

676 Craig, R.K., 2019. Fostering adaptive marine aquaculture through procedural innovation in marine
677 spatial planning. Mar. Policy. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2019.103555

- Craig, R.K., Ruhl, J.B., 2014. Designing administrative law for adaptive management. Vanderbilt Law
 Rev. 67, 1–87. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2222009
- Dannevig, H., Groven, K., Hovelsrud, G.K., Lundberg, A.K., Bellerby, R.G., Wallhead, P., Labriola, M.,
- 2019. A framework for agenda-setting ocean acidi fi cation through boundary work. Environ. Sci. Policy
 95, 28–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2019.02.001
- Dietz, T., Ostrom, E., Stern, P.C., 2003. The Struggle to Govern the Commons 302, 1907–1912.
- Fabry, V.J., Seibel, B.A., Feely, R.A., Orr, J.C., 2008. Impacts of ocean acidification on marine fauna and
 ecosystem processes. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 414–432.
- 686 Feely, R.A., Alin, S.R., Newton, J., Sabine, C.L., Warner, M., Devol, A., Krembs, C., Maloy, C., 2010. The
- 687 combined effects of ocean acidification, mixing, and respiration on pH and carbonate saturation in an
- urbanized estuary. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 88, 442–449. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2010.05.004
- Folke, C., Hahn, T., Olsson, P., Norberg, J., 2005. Adaptive Governance of Social-Ecological Systems.
 Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour. 30, 441–473. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.energy.30.050504.144511
- 691 Frommel, A.Y., Maneja, R., Lowe, D., Malzahn, A.M., Geffen, A.J., Folkvord, A., Piatkowski, U., Reusch,
- T.B.H., Clemmesen, C., 2011. Severe tissue damage in Atlantic cod larvae under increasing ocean
 acidification. Nat. Clim. Chang. 2, 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1324
- Galaz, V., Crona, B., Österblom, H., Olsson, P., Folke, C., 2012. Polycentric systems and interacting
 planetary boundaries Emerging governance of climate change-ocean acidification-marine
 biodiversity. Ecol. Econ. 81, 21–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2011.11.012
- Garmestani, A.S., Benson, M.H., 2013. A Framework for Resilience-based Governance of Social Ecological Systems A Framework for Resilience-based Governance of Social-Ecological.

- Gazeau, F., Parker, L.M., Comeau, S., Gattusu, J.-P., O'Connor, W.A., Martin, S., Portner, H.-O., Ross,
 P.M., 2013. Impacts of ocean acidification on marine shelled molluscs. Mar. Biol. 2207–2245.
- 701 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-013-2219-3
- 702 Greenhill, L., Stojanovic, T.A., Tett, P., (2020). Does marine planning enable progress towards adaptive
- governance in marine systems ? Lessons from Scotland's regional marine planning process. Maritime
 Studies (1-16). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40152-020-00171-5
- Gruber, N., Hauri, C., Lachkar, Z., Loher, D., Frölicher, T.L., Plattner, G.-K., 2012. Rapid Progression of
 Ocean Acidification in the California Current System Rapid Progression of Ocean Acidification in the
 California Current System. Science (80-.). 337, 220–223. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1216773
- 708 Gunderson, L., Cosens, B.A., Chaffin, B.C., Arnold, C.A.T., Fremier, A.K., Garmestani, A.S., Craig, R.K.,
- Gosnell, H., Birge, H.E., Allen, C.R., Benson, M.H., Morrison, R.R., Stone, M.C., Hamm, J.A., Nemec, K.,
- 710 Schlager, E., Llewellyn, D., 2018. Regime shifts and panarchies in regional scale social-ecological water
- 711 systems. https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-08879-220131.Submit
- Kelly, C., Gray, L., Shucksmith, R., Tweddle, J.F., 2014. Review and evaluation of marine spatial planning
 in the Shetland Islands. Mar. Policy 46, 152–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2014.01.017
- Kelly, R.P., Caldwell, M.R., 2013. Ten Ways States Can Combat Ocean Acidification. Harvard Environ.
 Law Rev. 287–347.
- Kroeker, K.J., Kordas, R.L., Crim, R., Hendriks, I.E., 2013. Impacts of ocean acidification on marine
 organisms : quantifying sensitivities and interaction with warming 1884–1896.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12179
- Leach, M., Scoones, I., Stirling, A., 2010. Dynamic Sustainabilities : Technology , Environment , Social
 Justice. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781849775069
- McGinnis, M.D., 2011. An Introduction to IAD and the Language of the Ostrom Workshop: A Simple
 Guide to a Complex Framework. Policy Stud. J. 39, 169–183. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.15410072.2010.00401.x
- Ostrom, E., 2010. Beyond Markets and States : Polycentric Governance of Complex Economic Systems
 Beyond Markets and States. Am. Econ. Rev. 100, 641–672.
- Plummer, R., Armitage, D.R., Loë, R.C. De, 2013. Adaptive Comanagement and Its Relationship to
 Environmental 18.
- Soininen, N., Platjouw, F.M., 2018. Resilience and Adaptive Capacity of Aquatic Environmental Law in
 the EU Resilience and Adaptive Capacity of Aquatic Environmental Law in the EU : An Evaluation and
 Comparison of the WFD , MSFD , and MSPD. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004389984
- Spillman, C.M., Hobday, A.J., 2014. Climate Risk Management Dynamical seasonal ocean forecasts to
 aid salmon farm management in a climate hotspot 1, 25–38.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2013.12.001
- Tiller, R., Arenas, F., Galdies, C., Leitão, F., Malej, A., Martinez, B., Solidoro, C., Stojanov, R., Turk, V.,
- Guerra, R., 2019. Who cares about ocean acidification in the Plasticene ? Ocean Coast. Manag. 174,
- 736 170–180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2019.03.020

737 Wyborn, C., 2015. Co-productive governance : A relational framework for adaptive governance. Glob.

- 738 Environ. Chang. 30, 56–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.10.009
- 739

740 8. Footnoted policy documents and reports in footnotes

- 741 Clyde Marine Planning Partnership (CMPP), 2017. Clyde Marine Region Assessment 2017.
- 742 <u>http://marine.gov.scot/information/clyde-marine-region-assessment-2017</u> (accessed 11 September
 743 2019)
- 744 Clyde Marine Planning Partnership (CMPP), 2019. Clyde Marine Plan Pre-consultation draft, 2019.
- 745 <u>https://www.clydemarineplan.scot/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/Pre-consultation-draft-Clyde-</u>
- 746 <u>Regional-Marine-Plan-18-March-2019.pdf</u> (accessed 11 September 2019)
- 747 Crown Estate Scotland, 2019. Crown Estate Scotland draft 2020-23 Corporate Plan,
- 748 <u>https://consult.gov.scot/crown-estate-strategy-unit/2020-23-corporate-plan/ (accessed 25 October</u>
- 749 2019)

750 Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs (DEFRA), 2017. UK Climate Change Risk Assessment

- 751 2017 Evidence Report, <u>https://www.theccc.org.uk/tackling-climate-change/preparing-for-climate-</u>
- 752 <u>change/uk-climate-change-risk-assessment-2017/</u> (accessed 25th August 2019)
- European Commission, 2013. COM(2013)229: Strategic Guidelines for the sustainable development of
 EU
- https://ec.europa.eu/fisheries/sites/fisheries/files/docs/body/com_2013_229_en.pdf (accessed 6October 2019)
- FAO, 2017. Aquaculture zoning, site selection and area management under the ecosystem approach
 to aquaculture: A handbook. <u>http://www.fao.org/3/a-i6834e.pdf</u> (accessed 5 December 2019)
- FAO, 2018. The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2018, Food and Agricultural Organisation of
 the United Nations, http://www.fao.org/3/i9540en/i9540en.pdf (accessed 25 October 2019)
- 761 Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations (FAO), 2018. The State of World Fisheries
- and Aquaculture: Meeting the Sustainable Development Goals. <u>http://www.fao.org/state-of-</u>
 <u>fisheries-aquaculture</u> (accessed 12 October 2019)
- 764 Highlands and Islands Enterprise (HIE). 2017. Value of Scottish Aquaculture 2017.
- 765 http://www.hie.co.uk/regional-information/economic-reports-and-research/archive/value-of-
- 766 <u>aquaculture-2017.html</u> (accessed 14 June 2019)
- 767 Marine Climate Change Impacts Partnership (MCCIP), 2017. Marine Climate Change Impacts: 10 year
- 768 report card. <u>http://www.mccip.org.uk/impacts-report-cards/full-report-cards/2017-10-year-report-</u>
- 769 <u>card/climate-of-the-marine-environment/ocean-acidification/ (accessed 2 June 2019)</u>
- Marine Scotland, 2014. An Assessment of the Benefits to Scotland of Aquaculture,
 https://www2.gov.scot/Resource/0045/00450799.pdf (accessed 12th October, 2019)
- 772 Marine Scotland Science, 2019. Locational Guidelines: Marine Fish Farms in Scottish Waters.
- 773 <u>https://www2.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Publications/publicationslatest/farmedfish/locationalfishfar</u>
- 774 <u>ms (accessed 12 October 2019)</u>

- 775 NAFC Marine Centre, University of the Highlands and Islands (NAFC), 2018. Shetland Islands Draft
- 776 Regional Marine Plan https://www.nafc.uhi.ac.uk/research/marine-spatial-planning/shetland-
- islands-regional-marine-planning-partnership/sirmp-2019/ (accessed 11 November 2019)

778 O'Hagan, A.M. et al., 2017. Regional review of Policy and Management Issues in Marine and

779 Freshwater Aquaculture. Report produced as part of the Horizon 2020 AquaSpace project. 170pp.

780 http://www.aquaspace-h2020.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/Regional-Review-of-Policy-

781 <u>Management-Issues-in-Marine-and-Freshwater-Aquaculture.pdf</u> (accessed 19 September 2019)

Scottish Aquaculture Research Forum (SARF), 2016. SARF110 - Strategic Considerations for Locational
 Regulation of Shellfish Aquaculture in Scotland. <u>http://www.sarf.org.uk/cms-</u>
 <u>assets/documents/245878-18407.sarf110.pdf</u> (accessed 12 November 2019)

- Scottish Government, 2019a. Climate Ready Scotland: Second Scottish Climate Change Adaptation
 Programme 2019-2024, September 2019. <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/climate-ready-</u>
 <u>scotland-second-scottish-climate-change-adaptation-programme-2019-2024/</u> (accessed 12 October
 2019).
- 789 Scottish Government, 2019b. Scotland and the SDGs: A national review to drive action, draft report.790 (Unpublished)
- 791 Scottish Government, 2019c. Aquaculture. <u>https://www2.gov.scot/Topics/marine/Fish-Shellfish</u>
 792 (accessed 17 June 2019)
- Scottish Government, 2018. National Marine Plan Review 2018: Three Year Report on the
 implementation of Scotland's National Marine Plan. https://www.gov.scot/publications/national-
 marine-plan-review-2018-three-year-report-implementation-scotlands/
 (accessed 17 June 2019)

Scottish Government, 2017. Scottish Climate Change Adaptation Programme: Third Progress Report
 2017. <u>https://www.gov.scot/publications/climate-ready-scotland-scottish-climate-change-</u>
 adaptation-programme-third-annual/ (accessed 15 June 2019)

- Scottish Government, 2016. Independent review of Scottish aquaculture consenting.
 https://www.gov.scot/publications/independent-review-scottish-aquaculture-consenting/ (accessed
 22 September 2019)
- 802ScottishGovernment,2015.Scotland'sNationalMarinePlan.803https://www.gov.scot/publications/scotlands-national-marine-plan/ (accessed 15 June 2019)

804 Scottish Natural Heritage (SNH), 2008. Guidance on Landscape / Seascape Capacity for Aquaculture, 805 which addresses impacts on coastal character and scenic qualities. 806 https://www.nature.scot/guidance-landscapeseascape-capacity-aquaculture (accessed 15 November 807 2019)

- United Nations Economic and Social Council, 2019. Special edition: progress towards the Sustainable
 Development Goals, report 8th May 2019, <u>https://undocs.org/E/2019/68</u> (accessed 2 October 2019)
- 810 United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). 2015. The Paris Agreement.

811 https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/what-is-the-paris-agreement

812 (accessed 2 October 2019)

813