

This is a repository copy of A Galactic survey of radio jets from massive protostars.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/174495/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

# Article:

Purser, SJD, Lumsden, SL orcid.org/0000-0001-5748-5166, Hoare, MG orcid.org/0000-0003-2684-399X et al. (1 more author) (2021) A Galactic survey of radio jets from massive protostars. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 504 (1). pp. 338-355. ISSN 0035-8711

https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab747

© 2021 The Author(s) Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Royal Astronomical Society. This is an author produced version of an article published in Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

#### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

# A Galactic survey of radio jets from massive protostars

S. J. D. Purser<sup>1,2\*</sup>, S. L. Lumsden<sup>2</sup>, M. G. Hoare<sup>2</sup> and S. Kurtz<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Cosmic Physics, Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies, 31 Fitzwilliam Place, Dublin 2, Ireland

<sup>2</sup>School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

<sup>3</sup>Instituto de Radioastronomía y Astrofísica, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, 58089 Morelia, Michoacán, México

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

#### ABSTRACT

In conjunction with a previous southern-hemisphere work, we present the largest radio survey of jets from massive protostars to date with high-resolution, (~ 0.000) Jansky Very Large Array (VLA) observations towards two subsamples of massive star-forming regions of different evolutionary statuses: 48 infrared-bright, massive, young, stellar objects (MYSOs) and 8 infrared dark clouds (IRDCs) containing 16 luminous ( $L_{bol} > 10^3 L_{\odot}$ ) cores. For 94% of the MYSO sample we detect thermal radio ( $\alpha \ge -0.1$  whereby  $S_{\nu} \propto \nu^{\alpha}$ ) sources coincident with the protostar, of which 84% (13 jets and 25 candidates) are jet-like. Radio luminosity is found to scale with  $L_{bol}$  similarly to the low-mass case supporting a common mechanism for jet production across all masses. Associated radio lobes tracing shocks are seen towards 52% of jet-like objects and are preferentially detected towards jets of higher radio and bolometric luminosities, resulting from our sensitivity limitations. We find jet mass loss rate scales with bolometric luminosity as  $\dot{m}_{jet} \propto L_{bol}^{0.9\pm0.2}$ , thereby discarding radiative, line-driving mechanisms as the dominant jet-launching process. Calculated momenta show that the majority of jets are mechanically capable of driving the massive, molecular outflow phenomena since  $p_{\text{iet}} > p_{\text{outflow}}$ . Finally, from their physical extent we show that the radio emission can not originate from small, optically-thick HII regions. Towards the IRDC cores, we observe increasing incidence rates/radio fluxes with age using the proxy of increasing luminosity-tomass (L/M) and decreasing infrared flux ratios  $(S_{70\,\mu m}/S_{24\,\mu m})$ . Cores with  $L/M < 40 \text{ L}_{\odot} \text{ M}_{\odot}^{-1}$ are not detected above (5.8 GHz) radio luminosities of  $\sim 1 \text{ mJy kpc}^2$ .

Key words: stars: formation, stars: massive, stars: protostars, ISM: jets and outflows, radio continuum: ISM, surveys

#### **1 INTRODUCTION**

Massive star (> 8 M<sub>☉</sub>) formation is a topic whose understanding is limited, and complicated, by many observational issues. Galactic sites of massive forming stars are distant (> 700 pc) and rarely isolated, the formation process lasts only ~  $10^5$  yr (Davies et al. 2011) in a completely enshrouded (by optically thick dust and gas) state and is rare in comparison to low mass star formation (Kroupa 2002). Consequently, multiple formation mechanisms are consistent with current observations, including the turbulent core model (McKee & Tan 2003), competitive accretion model (Bonnell et al. 2001), or varying contributions of each.

Furthering our understanding of this topic necessitates the use of large surveys, utilising observations at both the highest angular resolutions possible and at wavelengths which are optically thin to the enshrouding material. Hence, statistically-sized surveys using radio interferometers are one of the best possible means to refine our understanding of star formation at these mass regimes.

A ubiquitous feature of low (Anglada 1995, Furuya et al. 2003,

Ainsworth et al. 2012) and high (Purser et al. 2016) mass forming stars are collimated, high-velocity ( $\geq 300 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ), ionised jets of material ejected along the rotation axes of accretion discs which surround forming stars. Many mechanisms may launch these jets from radiation (via line driving Proga et al. 1998) to magnetocentrifugal forces. For the latter, opinion is divided whether Xwind (Shu et al. 1994) or disc-wind (Blandford & Payne 1982) models are likely to be more dominant. One of the main challenges with high mass star formation is that, while low mass stars are almost completely convective, high mass stars are more radiative. This challenges the production of protostellar magnetic fields and therefore the launch of jets by such fields, which in themselves are a necessary component of the X-wind model. However a study by Hosokawa et al. (2010) showed that a massive young stellar object (MYSO) bloats during its formation and consequently evolves through both convective and radiative phases, thereby suggesting the production of stellar magnetic fields is possible. Thus, the main focus of jet studies has always been in discerning between these possible mechanisms.

Our uncertainties have been exacerbated by the factors discussed above, but also due to the small number of large scale surveys

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail: purser@cp.dias.ie

for jets conducted, until very recently. Guzmán et al. (2012) surveyed a sample of 7, southern, MYSOs selected on the basis of their thermal radio spectral indices ( $\alpha > -0.1$  whereby  $S_{\nu} \propto \nu^{\alpha}$ ), modest radio luminosities in comparison to their large (>  $2 \times 10^4 L_{\odot}$ ) bolometric luminosities, and reddened infrared spectra. This led to the identification of 2 ionised jets, 3 hypercompact HII (HCHII) and 2 ultracompact HII (UCHII) regions. More recently the POETS survey (Moscadelli et al. 2016, Sanna, A. et al. 2018) approached sample selection differently by selecting distance-limited (< 9 kpc), radioweak (< 50 mJy) YSOs exhibiting strong water maser emission (a possible jet-shock tracer). They found a similar incidence rates for jets in their sample (45%) and correlation between the jets' radio luminosities and their parental MYSOs' bolometric luminosities, as Purser et al. (2016). Rosero et al. (2019) also reported similar jet incidence rates (> 30%) as well as the same scaling relation for jet and YSO luminosity as those works listed above.

A southern-hemisphere, radio survey (Purser et al. 2016, hereafter P16) conducted observations at 4 frequencies from 5 to 22 GHz towards a sample of 49 objects, of which 28 were identified as either ionised jets or candidates (the rest being HII regions, radiatively driven disc-winds (e.g. S140 IRS 1) or of an unknown nature). Their sample drew directly from the Red MSX Source (RMS) survey (Lumsden et al. 2013) and was comprised of a smaller, distancelimited (< 7 kpc) subsample (34 objects) spaced evenly over a wide range of bolometric luminosities, and with a greater constraint on radio-to-infrared flux ratios than Guzmán et al. (2012). Interestingly, that work showed that approximately half of the identified jets were associated with non-thermal emission, a ratio also observed by Moscadelli et al. (2016) and a recent, northern-hemisphere survey of non-thermal emission towards MYSOs (Obonyo et al. 2019). Whilst the central, thermal radio-jet is always coincident with the MYSO's infrared position, the non-thermal emission was observed as radio lobes aligned along the jet's axis, spatially distinct from the thermal radio/IR source. This emission was determined to be synchrotron emission, showing the presence of magnetic fields, in agreement with magnetohydrodynamic launch/collimation mechanisms of jets. It is thought that these magnetic fields are shock-enhanced and originally stem in either/both the disc or ambient material (Frank et al. 2000, Gardiner & Frank 2000). Due to the typical spectral indices found towards these lobes ( $\bar{\alpha} = -0.55$ ) this emission was determined to originate in a shock-accelerated (via the 1st order Fermi mechanism, or diffusive shock acceleration, Bell 1978) population of relativistic electrons. These shocks are likely interactions of the jet with the ambient medium, or internal working surfaces within the jet resulting from variability. For the star formation paradigm as a whole they play an important role in local feedback through their production of low-energy cosmic rays (e.g. the study of DG Tau A by Ainsworth et al. 2014, for the low-mass case) and contributions to turbulent support of the parental cloud.

As a complement to P16, this work performs a similar, RMS survey-derived, survey towards a northern sample of 48 MYSOs utilising the VLA in its most extended configuration and completing a Galactic radio survey of jets. The main goal is to establish a sample of identified, northern, ionised jets to augment the southern sample, as well as provide a set of Q-band, matching-beam observations for a future C-band e-MERLIN legacy survey<sup>1</sup>. Further to this, we investigate the emergence of collimated outflow phenomena towards even earlier stages of massive star formation in the cores of

IRDCs, of which we have chosen 8 fields from previous millimetre surveys. Full details of the sample, its constituting two subsamples and their selection procedure are discussed in §2. In §3 we describe the VLA observations conducted towards our sample and the performance of the VLA when observing ionised jets. These results are subsequently presented in §4. In §5 we investigate the properties of the radio emission and compare them to molecular outflows and accretion processes. Finally, we summarise the preceding sections and establish the conclusions stemming from them in §6.

#### 2 THE SAMPLE

Membership criteria for the observational sample of this work have been tailored to incorporate a wide range of evolutionary states, from the earliest phase of massive star formation represented by the IRDC stage, to the mid-infrared bright, pre-UCHII phase. Increasing luminosities arise from growth of the central object as it evolves, while changes in infrared colours are brought about by the evolution of a YSO's spectral energy distribution (SED). Selection criteria for the sample are therefore based on bolometric luminosity and infrared colour, assumed to be indicators of mass and evolutionary status, respectively.

#### 2.1 The IRDC sample

Evolutionarily-speaking, the first subsample is based upon the work by Rathborne et al. (2010), who surveyed a number of IRDCs at mm wavelengths, and subsequently derived many of their filial cores' physical properties. They employed the same classification system as Chambers et al. (2009), whereby each core was categorised based upon observed, infrared evolutionary indicators. Specifically these indicators include the presence of excessive 4.5, 8 or 24  $\mu$ m emission (the former giving rise to the widely observed 'extended green objects', or EGOs, in the GLIMPSE survey; see Cyganowski et al. 2011)). Core classifications include (in order of increasing evolutionary status) quiescent (Q), intermediate (I), active (A) or red (R). Details for each can be found in Table 4 of Chambers et al. (2009).

A requirement of the IRDCs selected for observation was that they contain cores of a variety of classifications and therefore numerous stages can be seen simultaneously within the primary beam of our C-band observations. Quantitatively, the selection criteria included IRDCs harbouring cores with luminosities >  $10^3 L_{\odot}$ , infrared flux ratios,  $S_{70\,\mu\text{m}}/S_{24\,\mu\text{m}} \gtrsim 50$  and distances < 7 kpc. This led to a sample size of 8 IRDCs, incorporating 45 cores within the field of view (see §3.1), of which 16 have bolometric luminosities greater than the cut-off (see Table 1). All cores of the sample with derived values for  $S_{70\,\mu\text{m}}/S_{24\,\mu\text{m}}$  are shown in blue in Figure 1.

#### 2.2 The MYSO sample

For the second subsample, the RMS survey database<sup>2</sup> was used to draw mid-infrared bright targets, representing a more-evolved phase of massive star formation than the IRDCs of § 2.1. For both their luminosities and distances, the same criteria as for the IRDCs (>  $10^3 L_{\odot}$  and < 7 kpc, Mottram et al. 2011a) are imposed. Other requirements include a 21 µm to 8 µm flux ratio > 2 (Lumsden et al. 2013) and no previous radio detection (or flux of < 1 mJy, Urquhart et al. 2009) in order to ensure the sources are not in

<sup>1</sup> http://www.e-merlin.ac.uk/legacy/projects/ feedbackstars.html

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> http://rms.leeds.ac.uk/cgi-bin/public/RMS\_DATABASE.cgi

**Table 1.** All cores within the C-band field of view of our observed IRDC subsample. Core names marked with an asterisk denote the core used as the observational phase centre for its IRDC complex (with the exception of G033.69-00.01; see §3.1). Distances, bolometric luminosities and IR ratios, and their errors, are derived following the method described in §2.3. Values for  $L_{bol}$  given as ranges show the range of possible  $L_{bol}$ . Calculations of this range used our derived distances and the ranges in luminosity given by Rathborne et al. (2010). This uncertainty in luminosity is due to the lack of 24 µm or 60 – 100 µm detections and their constraint upon the SEDs. Mid-infrared flux ratios have superscripts which determine how their flux ratios were calculated, which are <sup>1</sup>: Direct interpolation and ratio of measured fluxes; <sup>2</sup>: Derived from fitted SED parameters.

IRDC Core	$\alpha$	δ	D	$L_{ m bol}$	$\frac{S_{70\mu\mathrm{m}}}{S_{24\mu\mathrm{m}}}$	$M_{\rm core}$
	[J2000]	[J2000]	[kpc]	$[L_{\odot}]$	0 24 μm	$[M_{\odot}]$
G018.82-00.28 MM2*	18h26m23s4	-12°39′37″	$4.53^{+0.49}_{-0.39}$	$8920^{+2680}_{-2410}$	$^{1}273 \pm 103$	$80^{+24}_{-21}$
G018.82-00.28 MM4	18 <sup>h</sup> 26 <sup>m</sup> 15 <sup>s</sup> 5	-12°41′32″	$4.53_{-0.39}^{+0.49}$	$265^{+116}_{-111}$	$^{1}461 \pm 174$	$264_{-71}^{+79}$
G018.82-00.28 MM5	18h26m21s0	-12°41′11″	$4.53^{+0.49}_{-0.39}$	34 - 426	_	$149^{+57}_{-57}$
G024.08+00.04 MM1*	18 <sup>h</sup> 34 <sup>m</sup> 57 <sup>s</sup> 0	-07°43′26″	$3.53^{+0.41}_{-0.45}$	$14300^{+7300}_{-7400}$	$^{2}1127 \pm 516$	$68^{+21}_{-22}$
G024.08+00.04 MM2	18 <sup>h</sup> 34 <sup>m</sup> 51 <sup>s</sup> 1	-07°45′32″	$3.53^{+0.41}_{-0.45}$	303 <sup>+206</sup> -209	$^{2}4866 \pm 5788$	$84_{-29}^{+27}$
G024.08+00.04 MM3	18h35m02s2	-07°45′25″	$3.53^{+0.41}_{-0.45}$	47 - 399	_	$53^{+18}_{-18}$
G024.08+00.04 MM4	18h35m02s6	-07°45′56″	$3.53^{+0.41}_{-0.45}$	$58^{+38}_{-38}$	$^{2}9371 \pm 11181$	$49^{+16}_{-17}$
G024.08+00.04 MM5	18h35m07s4	-07°45′46″	$3.53^{+0.41}_{-0.45}$	21 - 289	-	$37^{+13}_{-13}$
G024.33+00.11 MM1*	18h35m07.9	-07°35′04″	$3.56^{+0.44}_{-0.49}$	$18600_{-9000}^{+8700}$	$^{2}1204 \pm 479$	$214^{+68}_{-73}$
G024.33+00.11 MM10	18h35m27.9	-07°35′32″	$3.56^{+0.44}_{-0.49}$	$179^{+177}_{-178}$	$^{2}439 \pm 505$	$30^{+10}_{-11}$
G024.33+00.11 MM11	18h35m05s1	-07°35′58″	$3.56^{+0.44}_{-0.49}$	1 - 201	_	$136^{+50}_{-50}$
G024.33+00.11 MM4	18h35m19s4	-07°37′17″	$3.56^{+0.44}_{-0.49}$	26 - 272	_	$178^{+64}_{-64}$
G024.33+00.11 MM6	18 <sup>h</sup> 35 <sup>m</sup> 07 <sup>s</sup> 7	-07°34′33″	$3.56^{+0.44}_{-0.49}$	51 - 391	_	$119_{-43}^{-04}$
G024.33+00.11 MM8	18h35m23s4	-07°37′21″	$3.56^{+0.44}_{-0.49}$	10 - 172	-	$120^{+43}_{-43}$
G024.33+00.11 MM9	18h35m26s5	-07°36′56″	$3.56^{+0.44}_{-0.49}$	$650^{+781}_{-785}$	$^{2}25 \pm 29$	$59^{+21}_{-22}$
G024.60+00.08 MM1	18h35m40s2	-07°18′37″	$3.41^{+0.39}_{-0.35}$	$861^{+499}_{-491}$	$^{1}137 \pm 52$	$93^{+29}_{-28}$
G024.60+00.08 MM2	18 <sup>h</sup> 35 <sup>m</sup> 35 <sup>s</sup> 7	-07°18′09″	$3.41^{+0.39}_{-0.35}$	985 <sup>+418</sup> <sub>-406</sub>	$^{1}2702 \pm 1021$	$94^{+29}_{-27}$
G024.60+00.08 MM3*	18h35m41s1	-07°18′30″	$3.41^{+0.39}_{-0.35}$	133 - 473	_	$12^{+4}_{-4}$
G028.28-00.34 MM1*	18h44m15s0	-04°17′54″	$3.08^{+0.39}_{-0.43}$	$4660^{+3950}_{-3980}$	$^{2}166 \pm 143$	$123_{-44}^{+41}$
G028.28-00.34 MM2	18 <sup>h</sup> 44 <sup>m</sup> 21 <sup>s</sup> 3	-04°17′37″	$3.08^{+0.39}_{-0.43}$	3970 <sup>+3470</sup> -3500	$^{2}142 \pm 128$	$96^{+33}_{-34}$
G028.28-00.34 MM3	18 <sup>h</sup> 44 <sup>m</sup> 13 <sup>s</sup> 4	$-04^{\circ}18'05''$	$3.08^{+0.39}_{-0.43}$	$3560^{+2820}_{-2850}$	$^{2}172 \pm 124$	$21^{+7}_{-7}$
G028.37+00.07 MM1*	18 <sup>h</sup> 42 <sup>m</sup> 52 <sup>s</sup> 1	-03°59′45″	$4.56^{+0.70}_{-0.55}$	$34100^{+16700}_{-15400}$	$^{2}9811 \pm 4016$	$447^{+164}_{-140}$
G028.37+00.07 MM10	18 <sup>h</sup> 42 <sup>m</sup> 54 <sup>s</sup> 0	-04°02′30″	$4.56^{+0.70}_{-0.55}$	$1080^{+880}_{-850}$	$^{2}1691 \pm 1571$	$131_{-42}^{+49}$
G028.37+00.07 MM11	18h42m42s7	-04°01′44″	$4.56^{+0.70}_{-0.55}$	$302^{+246}_{-239}$	$^{2}871 \pm 830$	$143^{+54}_{-47}$
G028.37+00.07 MM14	18 <sup>h</sup> 42 <sup>m</sup> 52 <sup>s</sup> .6	-04°02′44″	$4.56^{+0.70}_{-0.55}$	2 - 176	-	$17^{+6}_{-6}$
G028.37+00.07 MM16	18h42m40s2	-04°00′23″	$4.56^{+0.70}_{-0.55}$	6 - 516	-	$227^{+90}_{-90}$
G028.37+00.07 MM17	18h43m00s0	-04°01′34″	$4.56^{+0.70}_{-0.55}$	2 - 146	_	$77^{+30}_{-30}$
G028.37+00.07 MM2	18 <sup>h</sup> 42 <sup>m</sup> 37 <sup>s</sup> .6	-04°02′05″	$4.56^{+0.70}_{-0.55}$	$20500^{+13500}_{-12900}$	$^{2}411 \pm 179$	$171^{+63}_{-54}$
G028.37+00.07 MM4	18 <sup>h</sup> 42 <sup>m</sup> 50 <sup>s</sup> 7	-04°03′15″	$4.56^{+0.70}_{-0.55}$	$2240^{+1740}_{-1690}$	$^{2}1725 \pm 1468$	$120^{+45}_{-39}$
G028.37+00.07 MM6	18 <sup>h</sup> 42 <sup>m</sup> 49 <sup>s</sup> 0	-04°02′23″	$4.56^{+0.70}_{-0.55}$	22 - 1294	_	$127^{+51}_{-51}$
G028.37+00.07 MM9	18h42m46s7	-04°04′08″	$4.56^{+0.70}_{-0.55}$	5 - 507	_	$200^{+79}_{-79}$
G028.67+00.13 MM1*	18 <sup>h</sup> 43 <sup>m</sup> 03 <sup>s</sup> 1	-03°41′41″	$4.57^{+0.73}_{-0.49}$	$10400^{+8400}_{-8000}$	$^{2}137 \pm 91$	$39^{+15}_{-12}$
G028.67+00.13 MM2	18 <sup>h</sup> 43 <sup>m</sup> 07 <sup>s</sup> 1	-03°44′01″	$4.57_{-0.49}^{+0.73}$	$147^{+92}_{-85}$	$^{1}99 \pm 37$	$209^{+81}_{-64}$
G028.67+00.13 MM5	18 <sup>h</sup> 43 <sup>m</sup> 10 <sup>s</sup> 1	-03°45′08″	$4.57^{+0.73}_{-0.49}$	6 - 134	_	$40^{+16}_{-16}$
G028.67+00.13 MM6	18 <sup>h</sup> 43 <sup>m</sup> 12 <sup>s</sup> 2	-03°45′39″	$4.57_{-0.49}^{+0.73}$	3 - 183	_	$43^{+17}_{-17}$
G033.69-00.01 MM1	18 <sup>h</sup> 52 <sup>m</sup> 58 <sup>s</sup> 8	+00°42′37″	$5.95^{+0.53}_{-0.56}$	$1480^{+870}_{-880}$	$^{1}117 \pm 44$	$467^{+132}_{-135}$
G033.69-00.01 MM10	18 <sup>h</sup> 52 <sup>m</sup> 52 <sup>s</sup> 7	+00°38'35"	$5.95^{+0.53}_{-0.56}$	13 - 587	_	$42^{+13}_{-13}$
G033.69-00.01 MM11	18 <sup>h</sup> 52 <sup>m</sup> 56 <sup>s</sup> 2	+00°41′48″	$5.95^{+0.53}_{-0.56}$	16 - 702	_	$33^{+10}_{-10}$
G033.69-00.01 MM2	18 <sup>h</sup> 52 <sup>m</sup> 49 <sup>s</sup> 9	+00°37′57″	$5.95^{+0.53}_{-0.56}$	$17300^{+14900}_{-15000}$	$^{2}131 \pm 117$	$330^{+94}_{-96}$
G033.69-00.01 MM3	18 <sup>h</sup> 52 <sup>m</sup> 50 <sup>s</sup> 8	+00°36′43″	$5.95^{+0.53}_{-0.56}$	$4260^{+3760}_{-3770}$	$^{2}104 \pm 97$	$64^{+18}_{-19}$
G033.69-00.01 MM4	18 <sup>h</sup> 52 <sup>m</sup> 56 <sup>s</sup> 4	+00°43′08″	$5.95^{+0.53}_{-0.56}$	$197^{+117}_{-118}$	$^{1}77 \pm 29$	$298^{+85}_{-87}$
G033.69-00.01 MM5	18 <sup>h</sup> 52 <sup>m</sup> 47 <sup>s</sup> .8	+00°36′47″	$5.95^{+0.53}_{-0.56}$	$14800^{+10500}_{-10600}$	$^{2}316 \pm 183$	$66^{+18}_{-18}$
G033.69-00.01 MM6	18 <sup>h</sup> 52 <sup>m</sup> 48 <sup>s</sup> 7	+00°35′58″	$5.95^{+0.53}_{-0.56}$	35 - 491	_	$145_{-44}^{+44}$
G033.69-00.01 MM8	18 <sup>h</sup> 52 <sup>m</sup> 53 <sup>s</sup> 9	+00°41′16″	$5.95^{+0.53}_{-0.56}$	17 – 733	_	$233^{+72}_{-72}$
G033.69-00.01 MM9	18 <sup>h</sup> 52 <sup>m</sup> 58 <sup>s</sup> 1	+00°41′20″	$5.95^{+0.53}_{-0.56}$	$4720^{+3980}_{-4000}$	$^{2}162 \pm 140$	$30^{+8}_{-9}$

**Table 2.** All targets observed within our MYSO subsample. Distances, and their errors, are derived following the method described in §2.3 unless a superscript number is present on the left showing which reference it is taken from. These are: <sup>1</sup> : Fujisawa et al. (2012); <sup>2</sup> : Zhang et al. (2009); <sup>3</sup> : Wu, Y. W. et al. (2014); <sup>4</sup> : Rygl et al. (2012); <sup>5</sup> : Choi et al. (2014); <sup>6</sup> : Rygl et al. (2010); <sup>7</sup> : Moscadelli et al. (2009); <sup>8</sup> : Imai et al. (2000); <sup>9</sup> : Hachisuka et al. (2006); <sup>10</sup> : Kawamura et al. (1998); <sup>11</sup> : Burns et al. (2017); <sup>12</sup> : Honma et al. (2007). An asterisk indicates that our data for that object was previously presented by Rosero et al. (2019).

MYSO	IRAS	Alias	α	δ	D	$L_{ m bol}$	$rac{S_{70\mu m}}{S_{24\mu m}}$
			[J2000]	[J2000]	[kpc]	$[L_{\odot}]$	- 1 μιι
G033.6437-00.2277	18509+0027		18 <sup>h</sup> 53 <sup>m</sup> 32 <sup>s</sup> .60	00°31′39″0	$^{1}3.65^{+0.40}_{-0.35}$	$11600^{+3500}_{-3200}$	$15.3 \pm 2.3$
G035.1979-00.7427	18556+0136	G35.20-0.74N	18 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 12 <sup>s</sup> 99	01°40′31″2	$^{2}2.19^{+0.24}_{-0.20}$	$31000^{+7700}_{-6700}$	$9.4 \pm 0.7$
G035.1992-01.7424	18592+0108	W48	19 <sup>h</sup> 01 <sup>m</sup> 46.570	01°13′24″0	$^{2}3.27^{+0.56}_{-0.42}$	$169000^{+60000}_{-46000}$	$12.4 \pm 1.1$
G037.4266+01.5183	18517+0437		18 <sup>h</sup> 54 <sup>m</sup> 13.80	04°41′32″0	$^{3}1.88^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$	5290 <sup>+1650</sup> -1650	$40.9 \pm 3.7$
G056.3694-00.6333	19363+2018		19h38m31s63	20°25′18″7	$6.65^{+0.63}_{-0.73}$	$6990^{+1700}_{-1870}$	$11.9 \pm 1.6$
G077.5671+03.6911	20107+4038		20 <sup>h</sup> 12 <sup>m</sup> 33.570	40°47′40″7	$6.13_{-0.82}^{+0.75}$	5330 <sup>+1330</sup> <sub>-1450</sub>	$5.3 \pm 0.4$
G078.8699+02.7602	20187+4111	V1318 Cygni	20h20m30s60	41°21′26″6	$^{4}1.40^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$	$6520^{+1110}_{-1110}$	$5.1 \pm 0.3$
G079.8855+02.5517B	20227+4154		20h24m31.68	42°04′22″4	$^{4}1.40^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$	$2170^{+770}_{-770}$	$9.8 \pm 1.2$
G081.8652+00.7800		W75 IRS2	20h38m35s36	42°37′13″7	$^{4}1.30^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$	$3100^{+2700}_{-1480}$	$11.9 \pm 1.4$
G083.7071+03.2817			20h33m36s51	45°35′44″0	$^{4}1.40^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$	$3870^{+1190}_{-1190}$	$6.6 \pm 0.0$
G084.9505-00.6910			20h55m32s47	44°06′10″1	$5.87^{+0.80}_{-0.80}$	$14600^{+4500}_{-4500}$	$8.9 \pm 0.1$
G094.2615-00.4116	21307+5049		21h32m30s59	51°02′16″0	$5.56^{+0.83}_{-0.83}$	$10500^{+3300}_{-3300}$	$12.6 \pm 1.3$
G094.3228-00.1671	21300+5102		21h31m45s11	51°15′35″3	$4.83_{-0.84}^{+0.76}$	$6840^{+2240}_{-2460}$	$5.0 \pm 0.5$
G094.4637-00.8043	21334+5039		21h35m09s11	50°53′09″6	$5.36^{+0.76}_{-0.91}$	$24500^{+7700}_{-9000}$	$8.2 \pm 0.6$
G094.6028-01.7966	21381+5000	V645 Cygni	21h39m58s25	50°14′20″9	$53.95^{+0.41}_{-0.34}$	$28500^{+7600}_{-6800}$	$1.9 \pm 0.1$
G100.3779-03.5784	22142+5206		22 <sup>h</sup> 16 <sup>m</sup> 10 <sup>s</sup> 35	52°21′34″.7	$^{5}3.46^{+0.20}_{-0.18}$	$15400^{+5100}_{-5100}$	$1.9 \pm 0.2$
G102.8051-00.7184B	22172+5549		22h19m09s11	56°05′00″3	$4.36^{+0.78}_{-0.78}$	$2770^{+1030}_{-1030}$	$9.5 \pm 1.5$
G103.8744+01.8558	22134+5834		22h15m09s08	58°49′07″8	$2.27^{+0.59}_{-0.86}$	$9460^{+5380}_{-7490}$	$3.9 \pm 0.2$
G105.5072+00.2294	22305+5803		22h32m23s85	58°18′59″8	$4.87^{+0.95}_{-0.80}$	$11000^{+4900}_{-4300}$	$8.1 \pm 0.9$
G107.6823-02.2423A	22534+5653		22 <sup>h</sup> 55 <sup>m</sup> 29 <sup>s</sup> 82	57°09′24″.9	$5.02^{+0.88}_{-0.80}$	4780+1720	$4.4 \pm 0.4$
G108.1844+05.5187	22272+6358A	LDN 1206	22 <sup>h</sup> 28 <sup>m</sup> 51 <sup>s</sup> 40	64°13′41″0	$^{6}0.78^{+0.10}_{-0.08}$	873 <sup>+736</sup> -396	$32.5 \pm 2.0$
G108.4714-02.8176			23 <sup>h</sup> 02 <sup>m</sup> 32 <sup>s</sup> 07	56°57′51″3	$53.24^{+0.11}_{-0.10}$	$2650^{+340}_{-330}$	$12.8 \pm 0.1$
G108.5955+00.4935A	22506+5944		22 <sup>h</sup> 52 <sup>m</sup> 38 <sup>s</sup> 71	60°00′44″.5	52 47+0.22	$1230^{+240}_{-220}$	$6.8 \pm 0.8$
G108.7575-00.9863	22566+5828		22 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 47 <sup>s</sup> 25	58°45′01″6	$4.52^{+0.85}_{-0.78}$	$15200^{+14300}_{-8800}$	8.1 ± 0.6
G110.0931-00.0641	23033+5951		23 <sup>h</sup> 05 <sup>m</sup> 25 <sup>s</sup> 16	60°08′15″4	4 70+0.85	13900+5300	$12.5 \pm 2.1$
G111.2348-01.2385	23151+5912		23 <sup>h</sup> 17 <sup>m</sup> 21 <sup>s</sup> 01	59°28′48″0	$53.33^{+1.23}_{-0.71}$	24000+17900	$5.8 \pm 0.6$
G111.2552-00.7702	23139+5939		23 <sup>h</sup> 16 <sup>m</sup> 10 <sup>s</sup> 39	59°55′28″2	$53.34^{+0.27}_{-0.23}$	9200+8090	$10.4 \pm 1.4$
G111.5671+00.7517*	23118+6110	NGC 7538 IRS9	23 <sup>h</sup> 14 <sup>m</sup> 01 <sup>s</sup> 75	61°27′19″8	$^{7}2.65^{+0.12}_{-0.11}$	$47000^{+40900}_{-22300}$	$35.1 \pm 1.0$
G114.0835+02.8568	23262+6401		23 <sup>h</sup> 28 <sup>m</sup> 27 <sup>s</sup> .76	64°17′38″4	$4.48^{+0.84}_{-0.77}$	8170+3860	$4.0 \pm 0.4$
G118.6172-01.3312	00127+6058		00 <sup>h</sup> 15 <sup>m</sup> 27.83	61°14′18″9	$3.13_{-0.66}^{+0.72}$	$6010^{+2850}_{-2620}$	$14.4 \pm 1.0$
G126.7144-00.8220	01202+6133		01 <sup>h</sup> 23 <sup>m</sup> 33 <sup>s</sup> 17	61°48′48″2	1 14+0.39	6490+4490	$7.0 \pm 0.4$
G133.7150+01.2155	02219+6152	W3 IRS5	$02^{h}25^{m}40^{s}.77$	62°05′52″4	<sup>8</sup> 1 83 <sup>+0.14</sup>	$192000_{-94000}^{+168000}$	$3.6 \pm 0.4$
G134.2792+00.8561	02252+6120		02 <sup>h</sup> 29 <sup>m</sup> 01 <sup>s</sup> 93	61°33′30″5	$92.04^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$	$3300^{+1090}_{-1090}$	$6.3 \pm 0.5$
G136.3833+02.2666A	02461+6147		$02^{h}50^{m}08.57$	61°59′52″1	$3.39^{+0.86}_{-0.56}$	$7180^{+3830}_{-2640}$	$4.2 \pm 0.4$
G138.2957+01.5552*	02575+6017	AFGL 4029 IRS1	03 <sup>h</sup> 01 <sup>m</sup> 31 <sup>s</sup> 32	60°29′13″2	3 17+0.70	17200+8100	$4.6 \pm 0.3$
G139.9091+00.1969A*	03035+5819	AFGL 437S	03 <sup>h</sup> 07 <sup>m</sup> 24 <sup>s</sup> .52	58°30'43."3	$3.41^{+0.64}_{-0.73}$	12300+6000	$3.3 \pm 0.2$
G141.9996+01.8202	03236+5836	AFGL 490	03 <sup>h</sup> 27 <sup>m</sup> 38 <sup>s</sup> 76	58°47′00″1	$0.97 \pm 0.46$	2140+2040	$3.3 \pm 0.2$ $2.8 \pm 0.1$
G143.8118-01.5699	0525015050		03 <sup>h</sup> 24 <sup>m</sup> 50 <sup>s</sup> 95	54°57′32″7	$2.58^{+0.76}_{-0.49}$	$10400^{+6200}_{-4100}$	$32.0 \pm 0.4$
G148.1201+00.2928	03523+5343	AFGL 5107	03 <sup>h</sup> 56 <sup>m</sup> 15 <sup>s</sup> 36	53°52′13″0	$3.22^{+0.89}_{-0.57}$	$3980^{+2410}_{-1720}$	$32.0 \pm 0.4$ $3.7 \pm 0.4$
G160.1452+03.1559	04579+4703	ALIGE STOP	05 <sup>h</sup> 01 <sup>m</sup> 39 <sup>s</sup> 89	47°07′21″7	$2.05^{+0.80}$	-1720	$4.9 \pm 0.4$
G173.4839+02.4317	05358+3543	SH 2-233 IR	05 <sup>h</sup> 39 <sup>m</sup> 09.92	47 07 21.7 35°45′17″2	$2.05^{+0.80}_{-0.46}$ 102.00 <sup>+0.60</sup>	$2310^{+1870}_{-1140}$ $2890^{+3040}$	$4.9 \pm 0.4$ $13.4 \pm 1.2$
G173.4839+02.4317 G174.1974-00.0763		AFGL 5142 IRS2	05 <sup>h</sup> 30 <sup>m</sup> 46 <sup>s</sup> 06	33°47′54″1	-0.60	$2890^{+3040}_{-2190}$ 6760 <sup>+2090</sup>	$13.4 \pm 1$ $9.1 \pm 0.7$
G174.1974-00.0763 G177.7291-00.3358	05274+3345	CPM 18	05 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 46.06		$^{11}2.14^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$ $^{10}2.00^{+0.60}$	$6760^{+2090}_{-2090}$ $3760^{+2280}$	
	05355+3039	UPIVI 18	05 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 47.16 05 <sup>h</sup> 51 <sup>m</sup> 11.15	30°41′18″1 25°46′16″4	$^{10}2.00^{+0.60}_{-0.60}$ $^{10}2.00^{+0.60}_{-0.60}$	$3760^{+2280}_{-2280}$ $4170^{+2580}_{-2580}$	$10.0 \pm 0.8$
G183.3485-00.5751	05480+2545	AECI 5100 ID01		25°46′16″.4	-0.00		$19.7 \pm 1.7$
G188.9479+00.8871	06058+2138	AFGL 5180 IRS1	$06^{h}08^{m}53.40$	21°38′28″1	$52.10^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$	$10400^{+1000}_{-1000}$ $20000^{+12500}_{-12500}$	$9.2 \pm 0.8$
					-0.60	12500	$8.8 \pm 0.8$
					$1.78^{+0.12}_{-0.12}$	$28300^{+5100}_{-5100}$	$10.6 \pm 0.3$ $11.8 \pm 0.2$
G189.0307+00.7821 G192.6005-00.0479 G196.4542-01.6777	06056+2131 06099+1800 06117+1350	AFGL 6366S S255IR NIRS3 S269 IRS2	06 <sup>h</sup> 08 <sup>m</sup> 40 <sup>s</sup> 52 06 <sup>h</sup> 12 <sup>m</sup> 54 <sup>s</sup> 01 06 <sup>h</sup> 14 <sup>m</sup> 37 <sup>s</sup> 06	21°31′00″4 17°59′23″1 13°49′36″4	${}^{10}2.00^{+0.60}_{-0.60}$ ${}^{11}1.78^{+0.12}_{-0.12}$ ${}^{12}5.28^{+0.24}_{-0.22}$	2830	12500



**Figure 1.** Mid-infrared,  $S_{70\,\mu\text{m}}$  to  $S_{24\,\mu\text{m}}$  flux ratios against bolometric luminosity for IRDC cores and MYSOs. The arrow represents the general evolutionary trend for an IRDC core through to an MYSO. Histograms of both mid-infrared ratios and bolometric luminosity are shown.

the UCH<sub>II</sub> phase. These extra criteria ensure selection of different evolutionary stages compared to those comprising the sample of §2.1. Comparing their  $S_{70\,\mu\text{m}}/S_{24\,\mu\text{m}}$  ratios to the IRDCs, the midinfrared bright MYSOs have values of  $\leq 100$  (shown in yellow in Figure 1) meaning that between the MYSO and IRDC subsamples, a continuous range in evolutionary status is represented (highlighting the previous point). Near-infrared ancillary data (Cooper et al. 2013) also show that many are still accreting due to the presence of CO bandhead emission (e.g. Ilee et al. 2013) and likely driving ionised winds from their weak Br $\gamma$  emission (relative to that expected from HII regions). In total, this provides a sample size of 48 MYSOs which are listed, along with their basic properties, in Table 2.

# 2.3 Distances, core masses, bolometric luminosities and infrared ratios

To ensure proper weighting of data-points during the employment of fitting algorithms, rather than use a typical error of 34% for  $L_{\text{bol}}$  (Mottram et al. 2011b), we instead recalculated  $\delta L_{\text{bol}}$  for each target. To do this, standard errors were required for both bolometric flux and distance.

Bolometric fluxes and their errors were calculated by integrating the area under the infrared SED of each core of the IRDC subsample, for which a greybody function was used to fit to available infrared fluxes (see § 3.2 of Rathborne et al. 2010). In cases where the SED could not be reliably fit, bolometric fluxes were derived from the given luminosities/distances of Rathborne et al. (2010).

Core masses were calculated using Equation 1 (Hildebrand 1983), with the same set of assumptions as used by Rathborne et al. (2006) (a dust opacity at 1.2 mm,  $\kappa_{1.2 \text{ mm}} = 0.1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  and dust-to-gas-mass ratio, R = 100). Temperatures from our SED fits were

used or, if not available, those derived by Rathborne et al. (2010). Our own distance estimates were also used (see below).

$$M_{\rm core} = \frac{R F_{1.2\,\rm mm} D^2}{\kappa_{\rm V} B_{\rm V} \left(T_{\rm dust}\right)} \tag{1}$$

where *R* is the dust-to-gas-mass ratio,  $F_{1.2 \text{ mm}}$  is the core's integrated flux at 1.2 mm, *D* is distance,  $\kappa_{1.2 \text{ mm}}$  is the dust opacity at 1.2 mm and  $B_V$  ( $T_{\text{dust}}$ ) is the blackbody function.

Infrared flux ratios ( $S_{70 \,\mu\text{m}}/S_{24 \,\mu\text{m}}$ ) were derived from fitted SEDs. However, in some cases the measured 24  $\mu$ m fluxes were not fit well by the greybody function and the ratio was instead calculated from the measured 24  $\mu$ m flux and the 70  $\mu$ m flux calculated from the greybody SED fit (which ignored flux measurements at 24  $\mu$ m) instead. For the MYSO sample, bolometric fluxes and their associated errors were taken from Mottram et al. (2011a), while  $S_{70 \,\mu\text{m}}/S_{24 \,\mu\text{m}}$  ratios were calculated using  $S_{70 \,\mu\text{m}}$  and  $S_{24 \,\mu\text{m}}$  values interpolated between IRAS, IGA, AKARI and/or WISE fluxes.

Distance errors were slightly more complex to quantify. While for distances determined via parallax measurements these errors are easily calculated, for those distances determined via kinematic analyses (i.e. the whole IRDC sample and most of the MYSO sample) another approach had to be used. A work by Wenger et al. (2018) used Monte Carlo techniques to determine kinematic distances, and their errors, to high-mass star formation regions in the Galaxy (their 'Method C'), whose determined values were within a median difference of 14% of those determined via parallax. To use this Monte Carlo technique, values for  $v_{LSR}$  were taken from Rathborne et al. (2006) and the RMS survey (Urguhart, J. S. et al. 2008). As a consistency check, distances calculated from this approach were compared to those derived using the alternate model of Reid et al. (2019). The two distances strongly agreed with eachother, with a mean/standard deviation of  $d_{W18}/d_{R19} = 1.05 \pm 0.25$  where  $d_{W18}$  and  $d_{R19}$  are the distances computed via Wenger et al. (2018) and Reid et al. (2019), respectively.

It is important to note that although Mottram et al. (2011a) used previous kinematic distance estimates (with a general error of  $\delta D = \pm 1 \text{ kpc}$ ) to determine extinction, and therefore  $F_{\text{bol}}$ , the values derived for  $F_{\text{bol}}$  generally agreed for near/far kinematic distances (their Figure 2). Therefore using their values for  $F_{\text{bol}}$  should not significantly affect the estimates for  $L_{\text{bol}}$  and  $\delta L_{\text{bol}}$  presented in this work.

With these calculations of both bolometric fluxes and distances, as well as their errors, the calculation of bolometric luminosity and its error is a trivial exercise. Results for these are shown in Table 1 and Table 2 for the IRDC and MYSO sample respectively. It should be noted that for 26 of the 48 MYSOs we used more accurate distances from the literature (i.e. maser parallax measurements).

#### **3 OBSERVATIONS**

#### 3.1 Observational information

All observations were taken with the Very Large Array (VLA) in its A-configuration, between  $13^{th}$  October 2012 and  $27^{th}$  December 2012 for 5.8 GHz observations (project code 12B-140; PI - M. G. Hoare) and between  $16^{th}$  March 2014 and  $27^{th}$  July 2015 for 44 GHz observations (project codes 14A-141 and 15A-238; PIs - S. L. Lumsden and S. J. D. Purser, respectively). The WIDAR correlator was set up in full continuum mode, with bandwidths of 2 GHz (16 spectral windows of  $64 \times 2$  MHz channels with 8-bit samplers) and 8 GHz (64 spectral windows of  $64 \times 2$  MHz channels with 3-bit samplers) centred on frequencies of 5.8 and 44 GHz respectively, which we refer to as C and Q-bands. In the A-configuration, the VLA has a minimum and maximum baseline length of 680 m and 36400 m, corresponding to C and Q-band largest, recoverable, angular scales of 8."9 and 1."2 respectively. Synthesised beam widths of  $\sim 0."3$  and  $\sim 0."04$  are typical, while primary beam sizes at FWHM are  $\sim 430"$  and  $\sim 60"$ .

In total, 56 target fields were observed at C-band and 49 fields at Q-band comprising observations of the 8 IRDCs and 48 MYSOs at both C and Q-bands, of which 38 were observed only at the lower frequency. Cores used as pointing centres for their IRDC complexes are denoted with an asterisk next to their name in Table 1. However, G033.69–00.01, which is a relatively extended complex, was observed at C-band with a pointing centre maximising the fraction of the IRDC in high-response areas of the primary beam ( $\alpha_{12000} = 18^{h}52^{m}54^{s}.0$  and  $\delta_{J2000} = 00^{\circ}39'39''$ ). At Q-band, the smaller primary beam necessitated a mosaic of 3 pointings with one pointing centre mirroring that at C-band, and the other two using coordinates of  $\alpha_{J2000} = 18^{h}52^{m}49^{s}.9$ ,  $\delta_{J2000} = 00^{\circ}37'57''$  and  $\alpha_{J2000}18^{h}52^{m}58^{s}.1$ ,  $\delta_{J2000} = 00^{\circ}41'20''$ . For the MYSO sample, the pointing centres are the coordinates of the MYSOs themselves as given in Table 2.

Depending on the LST of the observation, different flux and bandpass calibrators were used to bootstrap the flux density scale and calibrate the frequency-dependent gains. For calibration of the time-varying gains, a phase calibrator was observed every 12 min at C-band, for between 30 - 60 s (dependent on calibrator flux). At Q-band, due to increased atmospheric instability, a calibrator cycle time of 2 min, including slew times and phase-calibrator scan lengths (30 - 40 s), was adopted. On-source times for the science targets were 24 - 26 and 15 - 17 min achieving a theoretical rms noise of 6 and 27 µJy beam<sup>-1</sup> at C and Q-bands respectively. Target fields in the MYSO sample labelled between G118 and G148 only received half of the Q-band observing time required to achieve this sensitivity, resulting in a theoretical rms noise of  $38 \,\mu Jy \,beam^{-1}$ . Synthesised beam sizes and rms noise levels achieved in the final images are shown in each plot (and caption) in Figures B1-B57 of Appendix B.

For the flagging, editing, calibration and subsequent deconvolution/imaging, the CASA software package (McMullin et al. 2007) was used in conjunction with the CASA pipeline (version 4.7.2). Manual flagging was performed first before running the pipeline, after which output calibration tables were manually inspected. In cases where erroneous calibration solutions were found, flagging was repeated and the pipeline was rerun until the time-varying gains, bandpass solutions and bootstrapped flux densities were of a high quality. At C-band, spectral cube imaging of the 2 MHz ( $\Delta v \sim 90 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) channels corresponding to the 6.7 GHz CH<sub>3</sub>OH maser line was conducted with maser positions recorded (green '×' in any contour plots) and the relevant channel(s) subsequently flagged prior to continuum imaging. Absolute position is accurate to within 10% of the beam width, or ~ 30 mas at C-band and ~ 4 mas at Q. For all images primary-beam correction was applied.

Resulting imaging parameter, such as restoring beam dimensions, and image noise levels are summarised in Table A1 of §A.

#### **3.2** Performance of the array

An important diagnostic of collimated jets are spectral changes in flux and deconvolved major axis length. At different frequencies, Reynolds (1986) showed that the relative contributions of opticallythick and thin emission vary with a corresponding positional shift of the surface at which optical depth is unity (i.e.  $\tau_{\nu} \sim 1$ ). These changes are quantified with the spectral indices  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  (whereby  $\theta_{\text{maj}}(\nu) \propto \nu^{\gamma}$  and  $\theta_{\text{maj}}$  is the observed jet length).

Unfortunately, when observing sources which may display extended emission, the degree to which different spatial scales are filtered out by interferometric observations is not well quantified for specific cases. With observational setups like those discussed above, where the same antenna configuration is used for radically different observing frequencies, this effect can be exacerbated. Since accurate spectral analysis is essential for radio jets we must therefore check if multi-frequency, A-configuration VLA-observing would significantly alter our measured values for  $\alpha$  or  $\gamma$ .

To check the array performance of the VLA for our observations, the physical modelling, radiative-transfer and synthetic observation code, RaJePy<sup>3</sup> (Purser, in prep) was used. A bi-conical jet profile (i.e.  $\alpha = +0.6$  and  $\gamma = -0.7$ ) with a mass-loss rate of  $\dot{m}_{jet} = 5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , opening angle  $\theta_{op} = 30^{\circ}$  and distance of D = 3 kpc was employed for the physical model which is representative of jets in general (P16). This profile was modelled up to an extent of 0.17 for both jet and counter-jet (total length of 1.11) using a cell size of 2 au (~ 0.7 mas), ensuring good sampling of the flux distribution by the beam (1111 is ~ 4 C-band beams across). Synthetic observations were performed at each major frequency band of the VLA from L (1 – 2 GHz) to Q-bands with A-configuration antenna positions and typical continuum bandwidths (1 – 8 GHz).

In Figure 2, the results of a single-component (Gaussian) fit to the recovered flux distributions of the synthetic observations are shown (blue points/errorbars). This fit failed at C, X and Kubands due to lower signal-to-noise, mixed with increasingly compact emission. For effective comparison, the same fit was performed on the sky model convolved with the clean beam of the observations (crosses). Recovered fluxes range from  $98 \pm 6\% - 144 \pm 40\%$  of the sky model's showing them to be well-recovered by the VLA owing to the increasingly compact (with frequency) flux distribution and comprehensive uv-coverage of the array. A least-squares (LSQ) fit to the fluxes yields  $\alpha = 0.60 \pm 0.02$ , in good agreement with that expected from the analytical model of Reynolds (1986). From our synthetic observations the derived value of  $\gamma = -1.0 \pm 0.1$  diverges from  $\gamma = -0.7$  expected for the  $\tau = 1$  surface from Reynolds (1986). We believe this results from approximating a jet's flux distribution as a Gaussian, yet overall behaviour of  $\theta_{maj}$  is still a useful indicator that the extent of optically thick emission is contracting towards the jet-base with frequency.

For the purposes of this work, we find that the VLA in its A-configuration performs well towards science targets of this type. With insignificant levels of flux being lost due to spatial-filtering, spectral analysis of ionised jets from C/Q-band data such as that presented here is a useful diagnostic of physical conditions within the jets, and requires no baseline-matching between C and Q-bands.

#### 4 RESULTS

Every field was imaged out to 10% of the primary beam's peak response at each observed frequency (~ 6' and ~ 1' from the pointing centre at C and Q-bands respectively) using a typical robustness of 0.5 and cell sizes of 0.''07 and 0.''01 respectively. Appendix B contains the resulting clean maps of radio flux in Figures B1–B8

<sup>3</sup> https://github.com/SimonP2207/RaJePy



**Figure 2.** Performance of the A-configuration VLA towards a jet model with  $\dot{m}_{jet} = 5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ,  $\theta_{op} = 30^{\circ}$  and D = 3 kpc. Fluxes and deconvolved sizes derived from fitting a 2-D Gaussian in the image plane are shown in the top and bottom panels, respectively. Blue markers/errorbars show results for the synthetic observations, the LSQ fit to which is shown as a solid, blue line. Black, '×' markers show the fit results towards the sky-model convolved with the restoring beams of the observations. Dashed, red curves show the flux predicted by Equation 8 of Reynolds (1986) (top panel) and the separation of the jet/counter-jet's  $\tau = 1$  surfaces as given by their Equation 12. Observed bandwidths of each observing band are shown as shaded areas.

of Appendix B1 for the IRDC sample and Figures B9–B57 of Appendix B2 for the MYSO sample. Links to each plot are available in Table A1 for ease.

For the measurement of fluxes and physical sizes, the same methods discussed in §3.3 of P16 were adopted (i.e. fitting of the emission with a Gaussian profile in the image plane). A full list of sources detected (i.e. >  $5\sigma$  where  $\sigma$  is the rms noise in each image) in the field are recorded, along with their derived fluxes and physical sizes, in Tables A2–A4. As a note, errors in flux used the local rms noise in their calculation, thereby accounting for the increased, effective noise towards the edge of the primary

### Ionised jets from MYSOs 7

beam. Calculated spectral indices for both flux ( $\alpha$ ) and deconvolved, major axis-length ( $\gamma$ ), between 5.8 GHz and 44 GHz, are recorded in Table A5. At C-band, for the MYSO sample, only sources within 1' from the pointing centre are recorded (i.e. within the field imaged at Q-band, for spectral comparison). For reader ease, links to the discussion notes for each, individual object of both subsamples can be found in the last column of Table A1. All clean images, data products and tables are also available online<sup>4</sup>.

Classification of the compact radio sources follows the same algorithm discussed in § 4.1 of P16. In light of the results of § 3.2 values for  $\gamma$  are not restrictive (i.e. in that they must be related to  $\alpha$  as per Reynolds 1986) and only negative values are required for jets. Resulting classifications are summarised in Table 3, with a detailed breakdown in Table A5. Detailed discussion of the classifications and results for each member of both samples are contained in Appendix D for the interested reader.

As a further note, we expect pollution of the sample by extragalactic sources to be minimal. An analysis of the radio catalogue of Bonaldi et al. (2019) shows that, within the C-band primary beam, we expect only 6 AGNs above a flux limit of  $24 \,\mu$ Jy (~  $4\sigma$ ) and below a size-limit of 1" (and therefore of similar appearance to our targets of interest). At Q-band, due to the small primary beam and higher sensitivity limit, this number is negligible.

#### 5 ANALYSIS

#### 5.1 IRDCs and their radio evolution

For their 1.2 mm-detected cores, Rathborne et al. (2010) employed the classification scheme of Chambers et al. (2009), as summarised in § 2.1. Based upon their infrared properties this scheme establishes a measure of the cores' evolutionary states, from completely inactive ('quiescent') to harbouring active sites of star formation ('red'). Exactly when a YSO jet's radio emission 'switches on' during this evolution is an open question. Here we use both the core luminosity-to-mass and the 70 µm to 24 µm flux ratios as quantitative, evolutionary indicators. Both can be considered proxies for a core's transition from a 'cold' (or quiescent) to a 'hot' (intermediate/active/red) molecular core and therefore age. In light of this and to investigate the onset of radio emission Figure 3 therefore plots detected radio flux against these indicators, showing that radio detections are only found towards some of the 'I', 'A' or 'R' cores whose luminosity-to-mass ratios are  $\gtrsim 40$ . No weak ( $\gtrsim 30 \,\mu\text{Jy}\,\text{beam}^{-1}$ ) radio emission is detected towards any quiescent ('Q') core (see Table 3 for a summary).

An intermediate-class core (MM2 of G024.60+00.08) displays maser emission yet no corresponding radio-continuum source is detected. Weak radio-continuum emission typical of ionised jets is seen towards the 'R' and 'A' cores G024.33+00.11 MM1 (the most massive of the sample) and G028.37+00.07 MM1 (which harbours two jet candidates), the most and third-most luminous cores within the sample. Those two cores harbouring jets also display maser emission, and their cores have higher luminosity-to-mass ratios than the maser-only source. Strong radio emission from HII regions is observed towards six (five 'R' class and one 'I' class) cores possessing high  $L_C/M_C$  ratios. Those cores containing HII regions also have higher values for  $S_{70\,\mu\text{m}}/S_{24\,\mu\text{m}}$  and radio flux (as expected), than those with jets (see panel b of Figure 3).

Evolutionarily, these findings make sequential sense. First,

**Table 3.** Classifications of radio sources associated with each IRDC core (separated by core classification according to Rathborne et al. 2010) and MYSO. The final row indicates the number of cores/MYSOs associated with radio detections to those without. Classifications as a jet with a 'C' in parentheses indicates candidacy status as an ionised jet, while 'L' indicates association with shock-ionised lobes (see penultimate paragraph of § 1).

Tuna		IRI	DCs		- MYSOs
Туре —	R	А	Ι	Q	- 1011508
Photo-ionised disc-wind	0	0	0	0	2
HII region	5	0	1	0	3
Jet	1	0	0	0	3
Jet (C)	0	1	0	0	22
Jet (L)	0	0	0	0	10
Jet (L,C)	0	0	0	0	3
Unknown	1	0	0	0	2
Detection ratio	6:5	1:6	1:7	0:19	45:3



**Figure 3.** Plots of the 6 GHz distance luminosities against parental core luminosity-to-mass ratios (panel a; data from Rathborne et al. 2010) and 70  $\mu$ m to 24  $\mu$ m flux ratios (panel b), for the IRDC sample. Cores harbouring radio-detections are shown as circles, the colour-scheme for which is based upon their classification ('R', 'A', 'I' or 'Q') according to Rathborne et al. (2010). Non-detections (upper limits) follow the same colour scheme based upon core classification. Smaller markers illustrate our radio source classification following the scheme of Figure 4 while the thick, '+' marker denotes the IRDC cores coincident with detected CH<sub>3</sub>OH masers. Histograms (colours as discussed) are shown, with solid bars showing cores with detected radio sources, while hollow bars include detections *and* radio non-detections. The dotted line delineates detected radio source classification from this work as HII regions, or jets/jet candidates. Representative (median) errors are shown in the top left of each plot.

collapse-induced heating liberates volatile species into the gas phase via desorption from icy mantles (Viti et al. 2004), providing the conditions for maser emission from the desorbed CH<sub>3</sub>OH. As the core collapses further, accretion and ejection phenomena in the form of discs and jets (the 'Class I' phase of low-mass star formation) produce weak radio emission, after which the newly formed massive protostar's ultraviolet Lyman flux increases to the point whereby an HII region is formed.

Due to the small number of radio sources detected towards the IRDC sample, only this brief analysis could be conducted. However those radio-detected IRDC cores harbouring jets and non-detections will help guide future surveys in terms of sensitivity requirements, especially in the SKA era.

#### 5.2 Radio luminosity against bolometric luminosity

One of the key results of P16 was the segregation of MYSOs harbouring ionised jets from those powering HII regions, in radio/bolometric luminosity parameter space. While the jets were found to occupy a region that adhered to the low-mass powerlaw for jets found by Anglada (1995), HII regions were roughly as radio-luminous as their ultraviolet Lyman fluxes (inferred from the models of Davies et al. 2011) would predict. However there were some that were significantly lower than their predicted radio flux. These under-luminous HII regions were still classified as such since classification was based on not just radio flux, but also upon morphology and infrared properties. This approach caught those HII regions that were either resolved out by the interferometer and/or compact/optically-thick at the observed frequencies and is adopted in this work.

To compare our results to those of P16, the C-band distance luminosities for the sample of P16 were calculated by using their derived values for spectral index. In cases identified as HII regions where the loss of flux with increasing resolution becomes an issue (i.e.  $\alpha_{\text{measured}} < -0.1$ ), an optically thin spectral index is assumed and the flux at 5.8 GHz is extrapolated from that measured at 5.5 GHz. In Figure 4, the calculated distance luminosities at 5.8 GHz from P16 (smaller markers) are plotted against bolometric luminosity, as well as for all radio detections towards the MYSO sample of §2.2 (larger markers). Fitting the jets (not candidates) from this work and those from P16 (whose D,  $L_{bol}$  and  $\delta L_{bol}$  were also recalculated using the methods of  $\S2.3$ ) with a power law gives the relation shown as the magenta line in Figure 4 and explicitly stated in Equation 2. For this process the BCES algorithm of Akritas & Bershady (1996) was used since it takes into account errors in both  $L_{\text{bol}}$  (independent variable) and  $S_{5.8 \text{ GHz}}D^2$  (dependent variable). For comparison, we also fit the low-mass sample with the same algorithm, the results of which are plotted as a dotted line in Figure 4, and given in Equation 3. Both derived relations for low and highmass YSOs agree within errors. This shows that jet radio luminosity scales with bolometric luminosity in the same way across 6 orders of magnitude, from  $10^{-1}$  to  $10^6\,L_{\odot}.$  As in P16, this suggests that those jets associated with high-mass MYSOs may be produced via 'scaled-up' processes of their lower-mass counterparts.

$$\log_{10} \left[ \frac{S_{5.8\,\text{GHz}} D^2}{\text{mJy kpc}^2} \right] = (0.70 \pm 0.24) \cdot \log_{10} \left[ \frac{L_{\text{bol}}}{L_{\odot}} \right] - (2.36 \pm 1.02)$$
(2)

$$\log_{10} \left[ \frac{S_{5.8\,\text{GHz}} D^2}{\text{mJy kpc}^2} \right] = (0.67 \pm 0.06) \cdot \log_{10} \left[ \frac{L_{\text{bol}}}{L_{\odot}} \right] - (2.10 \pm 0.07)$$
(3)

#### 5.3 Evolution and relationship of jets and shock-ionised lobes

Theoretical works have highlighted how accretion is a variable process. For example, Meyer et al. (2018) show how accretion rates increase in the first  $\sim 10^4$  yr of an MYSO's lifetime and how accretion rate variability becomes larger in amplitude towards the end of the MYSO stage. Due to the intrinsic connection between accretion and ejection, increased variability in the jet over time is expected. This may manifest itself at radio wavelengths as a timevarying radio flux for the thermal, radio jet, or an increase in the presence/change in the shock-ionised lobes along the jet's axis due to evolving mass-loss characteristics (such as precession or varying ejection velocities). While the former requires multi-epoch observations to investigate, the latter can be examined by analysis of the shock-ionised lobes and their correlation to evolutionary indicators. With this in mind, we therefore investigate the jet sample and possible evolutionary trends connected to the presence of shock-ionised lobes below.

In Figure 5 the bolometric (panel a) and 5.8 GHz distance luminosities (panel b) are plotted against the 70 µm/24 µm flux ratios. Superficially, those jets associated with lobes appear to occupy a narrower range of infrared flux ratios than those without lobes, with a mean/standard deviation for  $\log_{10}(S_{70 \mu m}/S_{24 \mu m})$  of 1.14±0.29 and 1.15±0.40, respectively. For the bolometric luminosity, the mean/standard deviation for  $\log_{10}(L_{bol})$  is  $4.34 \pm 0.45$  and  $3.96 \pm 0.43$ , while for the distance luminosity,  $\log_{10}(S_{5.8 \text{ GHz}}D^2)$  is  $0.75 \pm 0.50$  and  $0.15 \pm 0.60$  for the jets associated with lobes and those without, respectively. These statistics are reflected in the corresponding cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) plotted in Figure 6 and indicate that jets associated with lobes are found in a narrower range of infrared ratios, and at higher radio and bolometric luminosities.

To more thoroughly examine this conclusion two-sample, Kolmogrov-Smirnov (K-S) tests were performed to see if the jets with, and those without, lobes (for both our MYSO subsample and that of P16) were drawn from the same distributions in infrared flux ratios, radio luminosities and bolometric luminosities. K-S tests quantify this based upon a test statistic (values of  $0 \rightarrow 10$ ) and an associated *p*-value, defined as the probability of falsely rejecting the null hypothesis that both populations are drawn from the same distribution. For the mid-infrared ratios a K-S test statistic of 0.195 and *p*-value of 0.537 were calculated. For the radio luminosities these were calculated to be 0.580 and < 0.001, while for bolometric luminosity values of 0.330 and 0.055 were calculated respectively. Although this shows that the two samples could be drawn from the same mid-infrared ratio distribution and bolometric luminosity, the opposite is true for the radio luminosities.

To understand why shocked lobes are more prevalent towards brighter MYSOs, we compare lobe properties to those of the powering MYSO's thermal jet. Therefore, in Figure 7, the log-mean (i.e. averaged over the lobes associated with each individual jet) 5.8 GHz distance-luminosities for lobes associated with each jet from this work and those of P16 are plotted against bolometric luminosity (panel a), central jet luminosity (panel b) and jet mass loss rate (panel c; see §5.5 for details on the calculation of  $\dot{m}_{jet}$ ). Upper limits ( $3\sigma$ ) on lobe fluxes/luminosities for those jets without any associated lobes are also plotted. For the sample of P16, if no spectral indices were available (i.e. detection at only one frequency) extrapolation of lobe luminosities to 5.8 GHz used an average value for spectral index of  $\bar{\alpha} = -0.55 \pm 0.31$  (as per the findings of P16). For all compared parameters, power-laws were fitted and, since all compared variables use distance in their respective calculations, partial



**Figure 4.** A plot of the radio luminosities at 5.8 GHz against bolometric luminosity for those objects detected from the sample discussed in § 2.2. Different sub-classifications are marked as blue squares for jets, hollow blue squares for jet candidates, green triangles for jets with lobes, hollow green triangles for candidate jets with lobes, red circles for HII regions and magenta diamonds for disc winds (see legend). Those objects from P16 are shown using the same scheme as discussed but half the marker size of the objects from this work. A contemporaneous jet/HII region is highlighted by a red, transparent circle (G345.4938+01.4677, Guzmán et al. 2016). Note that for jets with lobes, only the central, thermal jet is plotted. Small '×' markers are low-mass radio jets, normalized to 5.8 GHz (black, green and red for Anglada 1995, Furuya et al. 2003, AMI Consortium et al. 2011, respectively), assuming  $\alpha = 0.6$  where the information is not available. The dotted line represents the power law we derived for the low-mass case (Equation 3), while the magenta line is that fitted to jets (*not* candidates) in this sample (Equation 2) with the 1 $\sigma$  confidence interval shaded in grey. Radio luminosity of an optically-thin, HII region resulting from the ionising, ultraviolet, Lyman continuum of a ZAMS star of that  $L_{bol}$  (calculated using the stellar models of Davies et al. (2011) for  $L_{bol} > 10^3 L_{\odot}$ , and Thompson (1984) otherwise) is shown as a dot-dashed line. Lighter, grey, hatched shading represents the area where the radio-luminosity is between 20 – 180% of that expected from those models. Representative (median) errors are shown in the bottom right of the plot.

<b>Table 4.</b> Fitted power-law parameters (of the form $\log_{10} y = m \log_{10} x + c$ ), calculated partial correlation coefficients whilst controlling for distance ( $\tau_{xy,D}^{xy,D}$ )
and their associated <i>p</i> -values. All fitted (not including upper limits) power-laws are represented in the relevant panels of Figure 7 as grey lines. For those fits
not including upper-limits the BCES algorithm is used, otherwise the Akritas-Theil-Sen estimator (Akritas & Bershady 1996) is used.

x	у	Ν	Include Upper limits?	т	С	$ au_{ ext{kendall}}^{xy.D}$	<i>p</i> -value
T	$(clobe D^2)$	18	×	$+0.90 \pm 0.48$	$-3.33 \pm 2.15$	0.360	0.044
$L_{ m bol}$	$\langle S_{5.8{ m GHz}}^{ m lobe}D^2 angle$	18	✓	+1.13	-4.38	0.513	< 0.001
ciet D <sup>2</sup>	$\langle clobe D^2 \rangle$	19	×	$+1.04 \pm 0.18$	$-0.23 \pm 0.15$	0.615	< 0.001
$S_{5.8\mathrm{GHz}}^{\mathrm{jet}}D^2$	$\langle S^{ m lobe}_{5.8 m GHz}D^2 angle$	19	1	+1.24	-0.40	0.658	< 0.001
	$\langle clobe D^2 \rangle$	19	×	$+0.93 \pm 0.30$	$+5.18 \pm 1.51$	0.485	0.005
$\dot{m}_{ m jet}$	$\langle S_{5.8{ m GHz}}^{ m lobe}D^2 angle$	19	$\checkmark$	+1.02	+5.67	0.558	< 0.001

correlation coefficients<sup>5</sup> (controlling for distance) were calculated. Results for these are tabulated in Table 4 with fitted power-laws (using BCES as in §5.2) also shown in Figure 7. From those results, we show a statistically-significant correlation of lobe luminosity with both jet luminosity and jet mass loss rate. No correlation between lobe and bolometric luminosity was found. For comparison, we also plot known examples of jets with lobes (HH 80-81, G35.2N, Serpens triple radio source, DG Tau A and HOPS 370) from the literature, across the YSO mass spectrum, as coloured circles in all panels of Figure 7. As can be seen, these objects adhere well to the derived power laws, regardless of their values for  $L_{bol}$ .

Interestingly, from panels b and c of Figure 7, the lobe-fluxdensity,  $3\sigma$  upper-limits for jets without lobes appear to be lower than would be expected from their jets' fluxes or mass loss rates. This may simply result from less luminous lobes falling below our sensitivity limits. Alternatively, jets with lower mass-loss rates may be less likely to produce lobes, implying a more intrinsic difference between them and higher-luminosity YSOs. In order to distinguish between these possibilities, we fit the lobe luminosities including both detections, as well as upper-limits of lobe luminosity for those jets without lobes. Since we are fitting singly-censored data (i.e. upper limits), the BCES algorithm is inadequate and instead the Akritas-Theil-Sen estimator (Akritas et al. 1995) is used, which is insensitive to outliers. Where this discrepancy is a detection issue, fitted parameters of these two algorithms should not change. As shown in Table 4, this is what is observed. When repeated for average lobe fluxes (i.e. not luminosity and therefore distance independent) against MYSO infrared flux and jet flux, the same result is seen. Thus, we establish that for the lower-luminosity radio jets, the nondetection of shock-ionised lobes is due to the sensitivity limit of our observations. Of course, this does not preclude the possibility that the lower-luminosity jets are less likely to produce lobes, a possibility which more sensitive radio observations are required to elicit.

#### 5.4 Spectral indices and dust contribution

#### 5.4.1 Thermal, protostellar radio emission

At cm-wavelengths emission from an MYSO is generally dominated by free-free emission from ionised gas. However, thermal emission from dust grains begins to dominate the spectral energy distribution in the mm-regime. At Q-band therefore, thermal dust emission may contribute to the measured flux. Fortunately, powerlaw contributions can be validly assumed for both the ionised and dust components since turnover frequencies of ionised jets are generally higher than 44 GHz (from general consensus of observations) and mm-wavelengths fall under the Rayleigh-Jeans approximation ( $h\nu \ll kT_{dust}$ ). While the ionised jet's flux follows a power-law, the dust's flux is related to frequency by Equation 4 (where  $\beta$  is the dust opacity index) with the total flux (ionised gas and dust) given in Equation 5. In the ISM, the average dust opacity index,  $\beta$ , is  $\beta = 1.8 \pm 0.2$ , while in protoplanetary discs, where grain agglomeration leads to increased dust grain sizes, this value can fall to  $\beta \approx 1$  (Draine 2006) or even less if observing an optically-thick, hot accretion-disc. Typically, for MYSOs the value for  $\beta$  falls in the range  $1 \le \beta \le 2$  (e.g.  $\beta = 1, \beta = 1.3$  and  $\beta = 1.5$  for Zhang et al. 2007, Galván-Madrid et al. 2010, Chen et al. 2016, respectively).

$$S_{\nu}^{\text{dust}} \propto \nu^{\beta+2}$$
 (4)

$$S_{\nu} = c_1 \nu^{\alpha} + c_2 \nu^{\beta+2} \tag{5}$$

where  $c_1 = S_0^{\text{jet}} v_0^{-\alpha}$ ,  $c_2 = S_0^{\text{dust}} v_0^{-\beta-2}$  and  $S_0^{\text{jet}}$  and  $S_0^{\text{dust}}$  are the flux contributions at some reference frequency,  $v_0$ , from the ionised and dust components respectively.

For establishing dust contributions to the Q-band fluxes we employ four methods which are listed, and subsequently discussed, below:

(1) Interpolation of  $S_{44\,\rm GHz}$  using matching-resolution mm/submm observations

(2) Extrapolation of  $S_{44 \text{ GHz}}$  using fluxes from L to Ka-bands

(3) Analysis of position angle differences from C to Q-band

(4) Comparison of spectral index distribution across the sample with that of 'dust-free' surveys

Method *1*: Matching-resolution, sub-mm/mm fluxes available in only two cases, G160.1452+03.1559 are (within the field of view for and G173.4815+02.4459 G173.4839+02.4317). For each of those two MYSOs, it is therefore possible to constrain the SED of the central, thermal source and directly derive the power-laws governing dust and ionised emission. Using the method of least squares in conjunction with Equation 5 we deduce that dust contributes  $22 \pm 9\%$ of the Q-band flux for G160.1452+03.1559 and  $1 \pm 4\%$  for G173.4815+02.4459. Further details are available in § D2.40 and §D2.41 of Appendix D, respectively.

*Method* 2: In Figure 8, five MYSOs' radio SEDs are plotted which include cm-fluxes from four other surveys. Using the method of least squares a power-law was fitted to those fluxes recorded at frequencies ranging from 1.5 - 25.5 GHz (where dust emission is assumed to be negligible), with derived values for  $\alpha$  indicated on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Partial correlation tests measure the degree of correlation between two variables whilst removing the influence of another variable affecting both dependent and independent variable



**Figure 5.** A plot of bolometric luminosity (panel a) and distance luminosity at 5.8 GHz (panel b), against the 70  $\mu$ m to 24  $\mu$ m flux ratios. Black '×' symbols mark non-detections in panel a, which are consequently marked as upper limits in panel b. All other symbols have the same meaning as in Figure 4. Histograms are plotted for confirmed jets (solid bars) and candidate jets (line-only bars) using the same colour-scheme employed for the markers.

each panel. From those power-laws the predicted, dust-free Q-band flux was calculated (errors are the prediction interval) along with its difference with the observed flux,  $\Delta S_Q$  (also indicated in each panel). Values in the range  $-21 \pm 24\% \le \Delta S_Q \le +46 \pm 69\%$  show that, within errors, no excess flux from dust is therefore observed in these examples.

*Method 3*: Across our sample, 13 MYSOs with jet-like emission were measured to have finite, deconvolved sizes at both C and Q-bands. In those examples the difference in major-axis position angles,  $|\theta_{PA}^{C} - \theta_{PA}^{Q}|$ , could therefore be calculated. It is expected that  $|\theta_{PA}^{C} - \theta_{PA}^{Q}| = 0^{\circ}$  if jet-emission dominates and  $|\theta_{PA}^{C} - \theta_{PA}^{Q}| = 90^{\circ}$  when dust-contributions dominate. Between these two values, both the jet and dust contribute significant emission. In Figure 9, we therefore plot the histogram for  $|\theta_{PA}^{C} - \theta_{PA}^{Q}|$  showing that jet-emission dominates in most cases. To quantify the average fraction of the Q-band flux from dust emission, we have fitted this histogram with two Gaussian distributions whose means are fixed at 0° and 90° (solid, black line in Figure 9). Using the ratio of the areas under

each distribution as a proxy for relative flux contributions, we estimate that dust contributes an average of  $29 \pm 23\%$  to the Q-band fluxes across our sample.

Method 4: Histograms of the spectral indices found towards the ionised jets (Figure 10) were compared to those of the lower frequency ( $v_{obs} < 23 \text{ GHz}$ ) survey of P16 (their Figure 7), where sources have a minimal dust contribution. The resulting histograms are plotted in Figure 10. Comparison between spectral indices recorded in P16 and those here shows that those jets observed in this work tend to have higher values for  $\alpha$  than those of P16. Fitting a normal distribution to each sample yields a mean spectral index of  $\bar{\alpha}_{P16}$  = 0.54 and  $\bar{\alpha}_{VLA}$  = 0.84 (this work) for the jets from P16 andt his work, respectively. Due to the use of the same classification scheme, there should be no intrinsic differences between southern and northern hemisphere jets and this difference is therefore attributable to dust contributions at Q-band which increase the derived values for  $\bar{\alpha}$ . Using the derived values for  $\bar{\alpha}$ , dust therefore contributes an average of  $46 \pm 10\%$  of the total emission at Q-band, across our sample. This value is similar to the results of method 3 and to values obtained by Sánchez-Monge et al. (2008). In that work 2 of a sample of 4 MYSOs showed dust contributions to the Q-band flux of 38% and 44% for IRAS 04579+4703 (our G160.1452+03.1559; see §D2.40) and IRAS 22198+6336, respectively.

Considering the results of each method outlined above, dust contributions do not dominate at Q-band. However, measurable changes in the average spectral index and changes in the deconvolved position angles from C to Q-bands are observed showing dust still contributes. Considering method 4 had the largest sample sizes of any of the methods, we therefore conclude that within our sample dust contributes an average of  $44 \pm 10\%$  of the Q-band flux. Individual contributions can not be discerned at this point however, and therefore recorded spectral indices are not adjusted.

As a note, we also investigated the relationship between  $|\theta_{PA}^{C} - \theta_{PA}^{Q}|$  and  $\alpha$ , as we would expect higher values of  $\alpha$  in cases of increased dust contributions, however no correlation was observed.

#### 5.4.2 Shock-ionised lobes

As for the shock-ionised lobes' spectral index distribution, the population with detections at both C and Q-bands, and therefore with calculated values for  $\alpha$  between these frequencies, is relatively small (4 lobes). An L-band survey by Obonyo et al. (2019) observed some of our sample's sources and, in conjunction with our results, derived spectral indices for some of the associated lobes. These have been included along with ours and plotted as a histogram in panel (a) of Figure 10 (green bars). Subsequently we calculate that the associated lobes (4 from this work and 4 from Obonyo et al. 2019) belong to a normal distribution with a mean value of  $\bar{\alpha} = -0.08$ and standard deviation of  $\sigma_{\alpha} = 0.41$  (green, dotted line in panel a of Figure 10), much flatter than the average spectral index found by P16 ( $\bar{\alpha} = -0.55$ ). We believe that this results from several factors (1) only lobes with shallower spectral indices would be detected in this work, (2) shock-ionised lobes have  $\alpha \sim -0.5$  (P16), lowering their signal-to-noise at Q-band (3) Shock-ionised lobes are extended on the arcsecond-scale and therefore flux-loss at Q-band is an issue. Due to these observational selection effects, as well as small sample size, no further analysis was performed.



**Figure 6.** Calculated cumulative distribution functions for the 70  $\mu$ m to 24  $\mu$ m flux ratios (panel a), 5.8 GHz radio luminosities (panel b) and bolometric luminosities of MYSOs harbouring ionised jets. CDFs for jets with lobes including candidate jets with lobes (dash-dotted green line), jets without lobes including candidates (solid blue line) and all jets and candidates (dotted grey line) are plotted.



**Figure 7.** Log-mean 5.8 GHz lobe luminosities against MYSO bolometric luminosity (panel a), central jet 5.8 GHz luminosities (panel b) and central jet mass loss rates (panel c). Faded points represent  $3\sigma$  upper limits on lobe luminosities for jets not associated with any lobes. Grey lines show the lines of best fit whose derived parameters are given in Table 4, with the  $1\sigma$  confidence interval shaded light grey. Jet candidates, while plotted, are not included in this analysis. The red dotted line is the Akritas-Theil-Sen line (Akritas et al. 1995) which includes censored (i.e. upper-limits) data. Coloured circles represent YSOs from the literature for comparison which are, DG Tau A (blue marker; Purser et al. 2018), the Serpens triple radio source (yellow marker; Rodríguez-Kamenetzky et al. 2016), G035.02+0.35N (red marker; Sanna, A. et al. 2019), HOPS 370 (purple marker; Osorio et al. 2017) and HH 80-81 (green marker; Marti et al. 1993).

#### 5.5 Mass loss and accretion

Jets' mass loss rates are an important parameter which can act as a discriminator between different jet-launching mechanisms. When compared with accretion rates (using the so called, 'magnetic lever arm' parameter,  $\lambda = \dot{m}_{acc}/\dot{m}_{jet}$ ; Frank et al. 2014) we can discriminate between different magneto-centrifugal launching mechanisms. Whilst 'X-winds' typically are expected to have  $1/\lambda \sim 0.3$  (Shu & Shang 1997), values of  $1/\lambda \sim 0.1$  are anticipated for the diskwinds described by Pelletier & Pudritz (1992). Radiative launching mechanisms have also been suggested and we can determine their significance by comparing  $\dot{m}_{jet}$  with  $L_{bol}$  since for radiatively-launched/line-driven jets  $\dot{m}_{jet} \propto L_{bol}^{3/2}$  (Proga et al. 1998). For this work one of two techniques was used to compute

For this work one of two techniques was used to compute  $\dot{m}_{jet}$  based upon the observed values for  $\alpha$  (details of which are can be found in Appendix C). Calculated values for  $\dot{m}_{jet}$  possess a range from  $(1.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$  to  $(1.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , a mean (of log values) of  $(8.7 \pm 6.1) \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  and a median of



**Figure 8.** Radio SEDs for the thermal radio emission of 5 MYSOs in our sample, including fluxes taken from the literature (blue error-bars). Spectral indices derived from fluxes between L and K-bands are indicated, as well as the excess Q-band flux,  $\Delta S_Q$ . Grey shading indicates the 1 $\sigma$  confidence intervals on the power-laws derived (solid, black lines). *References*: Top row, left panel - Rosero et al. (2016). Top row, right panel - Rosero et al. (2019) for component 7 of G035.1979–00.7427 Bottom row - Sanna, A. et al. (2018) and Obonyo et al. (2019) (L-band flux of G111.2552–00.7702). In the case of component A1 of G111.2552–00.7702, the C-band flux recorded by Sanna, A. et al. (2018) is disregarded in the power-law fit due to source confusion.

 $(6.1 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-6} \,\mathrm{M_{\odot} yr^{-1}}$ . A full table of calculated values of  $\dot{m}_{jet}$  for each individual case is available in Table A6 of Appendix A. In Figure 11 we have plotted  $\dot{m}_{jet}$  against  $L_{bol}$  for all jets from our sample and those from P16. A power-law was fitted to the sample of this work and that of P16, not including candidates, using the same methods discussed in § 5.2. Consequently, Equation 6 shows the derived relation for the combined sample which is plotted as a solid, magenta line in Figure 11. For this relation we derive a partial correlation coefficient, whilst controlling for distance, of  $\tau_{\rm kendall} = 0.554$  with an associated *p*-value of ~ 1 × 10<sup>-4</sup> showing a significant correlation between  $\dot{m}_{jet}$  and  $L_{bol}$ .

$$\log_{10} \left[ \frac{\dot{m}_{jet}}{M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}} \right] = (0.90 \pm 0.19) \cdot \log_{10} \left[ \frac{L_{bol}}{L_{\odot}} \right] - (8.85 \pm 0.78)$$
(6)

As discussed above, jets are proposed to be launched magnetocentrifugally or radiative line-driving. For the latter, it is expected that  $\dot{m}_{jet} \propto L_{bol}^{3/2}$  (Proga et al. 1998) yet we find that  $\dot{m}_{jet} \propto L_{bol}^{0.90\pm0.19}$ . We take this as evidence negating line-driving as the dominant launching mechanism of jets from MYSOs.

To discern between competing magnetocentrifugal mechanisms, establishing the ratio of accretion to ejection rates is of paramount importance. Using Equation 7, accretion rates were calculated from the accretion luminosities (whereby  $L_{acc}/L_{bol} = 0.1$ ) following the work of Cooper et al. (2013) who assumed the empirical relationship between Br $\gamma$  and accretion luminosity (see their Figure 8). For that calculation, the results of Davies et al. (2011) were used to compute  $R_{\star}$  and  $M_{\star}$  assuming the ZAMS configuration can approximate the MYSOs' protostellar structure.

$$\dot{m}_{\rm acc} = \frac{R_{\star} L_{\rm acc}}{GM_{\star}} \tag{7}$$

where  $\dot{m}_{\rm acc}$  is the accretion rate,  $R_{\star}$  is the radius of the MYSO,  $L_{\rm acc}$  is the accretion luminosity and  $M_{\star}$  is the mass of the MYSO. In Figure 11 the accretion rate is shown (blue, dotted line) and



**Figure 9.** Histogram of differences in major axis position angle from C to Q-band across the sample. Binning is defined as  $s + w(n-1) \le \alpha < s + wn$ , where s is the left edge value for the left-most bin  $(|\theta_{PA}^C - \theta_{PA}^Q| = 0^\circ)$ , n is the bin number (starting at 1 with the left-hand bin) and w is the bin width (15°). The black line shows the fit of a double-Gaussian distribution to the histogram.

compared to our correlation for the jets' mass loss rates suggesting a reasonably constant ratio of  $\dot{m}_{jet}/\dot{m}_{acc}$  across the high-mass regime. Finding the ratios of calculated values for  $\dot{m}_{jet}$  and  $\dot{m}_{acc}$ gives an average value for  $\dot{m}_{jet}/\dot{m}_{acc}$  of 0.19 with a standard deviation of 0.19, higher than those found towards low mass cases (~ 0.01 – 0.1; Hartigan et al. 1994). However, due to the large approximations involved in the calculations of  $\dot{m}_{jet}$  and  $\dot{m}_{acc}$ , determining the dominant model of jet launching in MYSOs can not be achieved from the results here. To constrain the models further, a more accurate follow-up survey to constrain the accretion rates of each object, as well as jet velocities and ionisation fractions, is required to definitively measure this ratio.

#### 5.6 Jets and molecular outflows

How molecular outflows are driven is as yet unknown, with a possibility being entrainment by jets. Guzmán et al. (2012) argued that the typical momenta of jets, when compared with that of the large scale outflows, was too small to drive them. On the other hand, Sanna et al. (2016) showed that the ratio between the momentum of a  $4 \times 10^4 L_{\odot}$  MYSO's jet and that of its associated molecular outflow, over the dynamical timescale of the outflow, was of order unity. This indicated that the jet was mechanically able to fully drive the outflow. Since this was a single object study, its application to MYSOs and their molecular outflows in general necessitates a larger sample.

A distance-limited survey of 89 MYSOs by Maud et al. (2015) showed 59 to be associated with massive, molecular outflows and derived relationships for outflow force and momentum with  $L_{bol}$  (their Table 6). Consequently they also established an average dy-

namical timescale  $(\bar{t}_{\rm dyn})$  for the molecular outflows of  $8.4 \times 10^4$  yr, roughly the same as known timescales for massive star formation (~  $10^5$  yr, McKee & Tan 2003, Mottram et al. 2011b). Combining the work presented here with that of P16, an opportunity to compare the momenta of the molecular outflows (from Maud et al. 2015) and jets is available.

To calculate the momenta of the jets, their force (i.e. momentum rate),  $F_{jet}$ , which is the product of jet velocity and mass loss rate, is integrated over time. Assuming  $v_{jet} = 500 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  and a jet lifetime of  $8.4 \times 10^4$  yr (i.e.  $\bar{t}_{dyn}$  of the molecular outflows), we calculate the total momenta of the jets,  $p_{jet}$ . In Figure 12  $p_{jet}$  is plotted against  $L_{bol}$  with the relationship for the molecular outflows from Maud et al. (2015) also shown (blue dotted line). Taking the ratio of these two power-laws, as with the single object study of Sanna et al. (2016),  $p_{jet}/p_{outflow} \gtrsim 1$  (0.9 – 2.1 in the range  $10^3 \leq L_{bol} \leq 10^5$ ), supporting the idea that jets are the driving forces behind the molecular outflows. To further investigate this result, proper motion studies should be utilised to calculate jet velocities, and therefore total momentum, more accurately.

#### 5.7 Measured sizes and implications

Early expansion of HII regions may be governed by the interplay between pressure outwards and gravitational forces inwards (Keto 2002). Towards more-evolved HII regions, the gas pressure far exceeds the gravitational forces (i.e.  $\frac{GM^2}{rnkT} \ll 1$ ) at their Strömgren radii where ionisation is halted due to equality of Lyman fluxes and recombination rates. However, an MYSO with limited UV photon flux has a much smaller Strömgren radius, whereby  $\frac{GM^2}{rnkT} \gg 1$ , and therefore the HII region can become trapped only to expand when its radius exceeds that of the 'gravitational radius',  $r_g$  (Equation 8).

$$r_{\rm g} = \frac{GM_{\star}}{2v_{\rm s}^2} \tag{8}$$

where  $M_{\star}$  is the MYSO mass and  $v_{\rm s}$  is the sound speed ( $v_{\rm s} = \sqrt{\frac{kT}{m_{\rm H}}}$ where  $T \sim 10^4$  K and  $m_{\rm H}$  is the mass of hydrogen).

Pertinent to this work, these lines of thought lead to the question of whether observed thermal, free-free, radio emission originates in a trapped HII region, or an ionised jet. To differentiate between the two possibilities, the gravitational radius of the MYSO and physical extent of the ionised gas must be compared, with similarity between the two quantities favouring a trapped HII region. It is possible to infer MYSO mass from bolometric luminosity using the models of Davies et al. (2011), and therefore calculate gravitational radius using Equation 8. A measure of the plasma's physical extent can be deduced from the radio images, assuming the radio component can be reasonably described by a 2-D Gaussian function.

In Figure 13, the deduced major axes are plotted against bolometric luminosity for all sources with jet-like characteristics. Also plotted are the expected gravitational radii calculated using Equation 8 in conjunction with the models of Davies et al. (2011). In cases where measurement of  $\theta_{maj}$  was only possible at one frequency, a standard value of  $\gamma = -0.7$  was used to extrapolate to 5.8 GHz. No relation between jet major axis length and bolometric luminosity is found with a partial  $\tau_{kendall}$  (whilst controlling for distance) of 0.026 and corresponding *p*-value of 0.796. It is apparent that the major axes far exceed the gravitational radii. From Keto (2002), the ionisation front of an expanding HII region moves with an approximate velocity of ~ 5 km s<sup>-1</sup>, corresponding to mean and median dynamical times for the ionisation front of ~ 640 yr and



**Figure 10.** Histograms of spectral indices for: a.) All lobes (green) and jet-like sources (red) for which a spectral index between C and Q-bands was derived. b.) All jet-like sources with a derived spectral index from this work (red) and those from P16 (blue). Normal distributions have been fitted to the jets from this work (red dotted line) and from P16 (blue dotted line). Binning is defined as  $s + w(n - 1) \le \alpha < s + wn$ , where *s* is the left edge value for the left-most bin ( $\alpha = -0.7$ ), *n* is the bin number (starting at 1 with the left-hand bin) and *w* is the bin width (0.2 for panel a and 0.3 for panel b).

1000 yr respectively. Considering the whole process of massive star formation is thought to last ~  $10^5$  yr (Davies et al. 2011), only a small percentage of the jet-candidates observed could potentially be recently untrapped HII regions, while the vast majority must have an elongated, ionised jet component to explain the large extent over which ionised material is found. As a further note, it is interesting that the contemporaneous HII/jet from P16, G345.4938+01.4677, has a major axis length which coincides with that expected of a trapped HII region model (highlighted marker in Figure 13).

Another question, that an analysis of jet morphology may answer, is that of jet collimation and on what scales it typically occurs. From Equations 12 and 16 of Reynolds (1986) it can be shown that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\theta_{\text{maj}}}{\text{au}} \end{bmatrix} \propto \left( S_{\nu} D^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon+q_{\text{T}}}}$$
$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\theta_{\text{maj}}}{\nu} \end{bmatrix} \propto S_{\nu}^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon+q_{\text{T}}}} D^{\frac{2}{1+\epsilon+q_{\text{T}}}-1}$$
(9)

where  $\epsilon$  is the power-law coefficient for variation of jet-width along its length, and  $q_{\rm T}$  is a similar coefficient for temperature. In sensible physical models of jets, these parameters are constrained to be  $0 \le \epsilon \le 1$  and  $q_{\rm T} \le 0$ .

For the standard, non-recombining, conical jet model whereby the jet material adheres to ballistic trajectories (i.e. no longer influenced by magnetic fields),  $\epsilon = 1$  and  $q_T = 0$ . If the conical model were the dominant model to generally describe the jets in our sample, it is expected that  $\theta_{maj} \propto S_{\nu}^{1/2}$  following from Equation 9. Whereas for jets still under collimation, we would expect  $\epsilon < 1$  and therefore a steeper relation since  $(1 + \epsilon + q_T)^{-1} > 1/2$  (assuming significant cooling does not occur on these scales).

$$\log_{10}\left[\frac{\theta_{\text{maj}}}{\prime\prime}\right] = (0.44 \pm 0.16) \cdot \log_{10}\left[\frac{S_{5.8\,\text{GHz}}}{\text{mJy}}\right] - (0.11 \pm 0.12)$$
(10)

In Figure 14 therefore, we examine major axis length and its relationship with radio flux at 5.8 GHz (to avoid emission from dust at Q-band). A power law is fitted to ascertain the dominant jet model, the results of which are given in Equation 10. Since we find a power-law coefficient of  $0.44 \pm 0.16$  and following from the above discussion, this may be evidence showing that the standard, conical model for ionised jets is the dominant one on the scales probed by our observations (~  $10^2 - 10^4$  au). However, we calculate a partial  $\tau_{\text{kendall}}$  (whilst controlling for distance) of 0.337 and corresponding p-value of 0.009, which hints at the presence of correlation but is not conclusive (i.e. p < 0.001). Possible inaccuracies in the measurement of  $\theta_{maj}$  resulting from deconvolution errors or nonconstant mass ejection may have affected the quality of results. Further, more sensitive and multi-epoch radio observations would be therefore be required to more thoroughly establish, or dismiss, the tentative relationship seen above.

#### 6 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Presented here, our radio observations towards forming massive stars at a variety of evolutionary stages represents the largest radio survey of jets associated with massive protostars to date. It has resulted in the detection of 14 (confirmed) ionised jets coincident with the MYSOs' infrared positions, of which 10 are determined to be associated with shock-ionised, radio lobes. Including those radio sources which hold jet-candidacy status this increases to a total of





**Figure 11.** A plot of the jet mass loss rate against bolometric luminosity for jet-like sources detected. Symbols have the same meaning as in Figure 4 and the magenta line is the fit (Equation 6) to both the data presented here and that of P16 with the corresponding  $1\sigma$  confidence interval shaded in light grey. The dotted line represents the accretion rate (using Equation 7 and assuming  $L_{acc} = 0.1 L_{bol}$ , Cooper et al. 2013).



**Figure 12.** A plot of the total jet momentum against bolometric luminosity. Symbols have the same meaning as in Figure 4, while the magenta line is the fit to both the data presented here and that of P16 with the  $1\sigma$  confidence interval shaded in light grey. The dotted line is the relationship between  $p_{\text{outflow}}$  and  $L_{\text{bol}}$  from Table 6 of Maud et al. (2015).



**Figure 13.** Deconvolved major axis length at 5.8 GHz, as derived using IMFIT, against bolometric luminosity for all sources displaying jet-like characteristics. Symbols are the same as in Figure 4, while the grey area represents twice the gravitational radius (Equation 8) for the relevant bolometric luminosity, with a 34% error, (Davies et al. 2011).



**Figure 14.** Deconvolved major axis length at 5.8 GHz (in arcseconds), as derived using IMFIT, against radio flux at 5.8 GHz for all sources displaying jet-like characteristics. Our fit (Equation 10) to both the jet-like sources of this work and those of P16 is shown as a magenta line with the fit's  $1\sigma$  confidence interval shaded light grey. All markers used are the same as in Figure 4.

38. Within 60'' of the pointing centres and linked to other sites of star formation, a further 22 jets or candidates (6 of which are associated with lobes) are found. Analysis of the radio properties of this new, northern-hemisphere sample of ionised jets, as well as that from P16, were conducted to determine ionised jets' role in massive star formation, as well as their properties, resulting in the following conclusions:

(i) Towards our IRDC subsample, 5.8 GHz radio emission is not detected to a level of ~ 1 mJy kpc<sup>2</sup> in cores with a luminosity-to-mass ratio of  $L_{\rm C}/M_{\rm C} \leq 40$ . Combined with the detection of masers towards pre-HII region cores, this agrees with the standard evolutionary picture of molecular cores.

(ii) In agreement with the previous statistical study of P16, jet radio luminosities are found to scale with MYSO bolometric luminosity as  $S_{5.8\,\text{GHz}}D^2 \propto L_{\text{bol}}^{0.71\pm0.24}$ , the same as for low mass jets. This indicates a common mechanism for the launch of ionised jets across all masses.

(iii) From comparison with the 'dust-free' studies of P16, our work shows that dust emission accounts for an average of  $46\pm10\%$  of an ionised jet's observed, Q-band (44 GHz) flux. This highlights the importance of well sampled cm/mm/sub-mm SEDs in the accurate deduction of ionised jet properties.

(iv) Non-detection of shock-ionised lobes towards lowerluminosity radio jets is primarily due to the sensitivity limit of our observations. This does not preclude the possibility that lowerluminosity radio jets are less likely to produce these lobes, for which observations with increased sensitivity are required.

(v) Through calculation of the jets' mass-loss rates we observe the a correlation with bolometric luminosity of  $\dot{m}_{jet} \propto L_{bol}^{0.91\pm0.19}$ . For radiative line-driving of jets it is predicted that  $\dot{m}_{jet} \propto L_{bol}^{3/2}$  and therefore we conclude that this can not be the dominant launching mechanism of jets in the high-mass regime.

(vi) Comparing empirically-determined accretion rates with our calculated jet mass loss rates gives a typical value for  $\dot{m}_{\rm jet}/\dot{m}_{\rm acc} \sim 0.19$ , consistent with current, magnetohydrodynamic, jet-launching models, yet higher than the low-mass case. Case-by-case measurements of important jet properties, such as velocity or ionisation fraction are required to discriminate between different magnetocentrifugal launching mechanisms.

(vii) Using the results of a previous study of massive, molecular outflows it has been shown that most ionised jets have larger momenta than the molecular outflows, whereby  $p_{jet}/p_{outflow} > 1$ . This indicates that the outflows can indeed be powered by the ionised jets through mechanical entrainment.

(viii) From the maximum physical sizes of the radio emission from 'jet-like' sources, an ionised jet is required to explain the presence of ionised gas past the gravitational radius for each MYSO. This rejects the hypothesis that weak, compact radio emission towards MYSOs stems from small, optically-thick H<sub>II</sub> regions.

For future works it has been shown that constraining the spectral properties of the jets themselves, at sub-mm, mm and cm wavelengths is crucial in accurately determining the jets' physical parameters. Relationships between the jets and various properties of the MYSOs themselves would be constrained further and ultimately the mechanisms for launch, collimation and general relationship with their environment elucidated. As briefly mentioned, a future e-MERLIN, matching-beam, C-band radio survey of these targets is planned and results will follow in a future publication.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

SJDP gratefully acknowledges the studentship funded by the Science and Technology Facilities Council of the United Kingdom (STFC) and also support from the advanced grant H2020–ERC–2016–ADG–74302 from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation programme. We would also like to acknowledge and thank the referee, whose comments helped to improve this work.

This paper has made use of information from the RMS survey database at http://www.ast.leeds.ac.uk/RMS which was constructed with support from the Science and Technology Facilities Council of the United Kingdom.

The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

Throughout this work we also made use of astropy, a community-developed core python package for astronomy (version 3.0.1, Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013), and uncertainties, a python package for calculations with uncertainties (version 3.0.1) developed by Eric O. Lebigot, for plotting and error propagation purposes respectively.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

The data underlying this article are available on GitHub at https: //github.com/SimonP2207/RadioJetsFromYSOs, and can be freely accessed.

#### References

- AMI Consortium et al., 2011, MNRAS, 415, 893
- Ainsworth R. E., Scaife A. M. M., Shimwell T., Titterington D., Waldram E., 2012, MNRAS, 423, 1089
- Ainsworth R. E., Scaife A. M. M., Ray T. P., Taylor A. M., Green D. A., Buckle J. V., 2014, ApJ, 792, L18
- Akritas M. G., Bershady M. A., 1996, ApJ, 470, 706
- Akritas M. G., Murphy S. A., LaValley M. P., 1995, Journal of the American Statistical Association, 90, 170

Alvarez C., Hoare M., Glindemann A., Richichi A., 2004, A&A, 427, 505 Anglada G., 1995, RMxAC, 1, 67

- Anglada G., Rodríguez L. F., 2002, RMAA, 38, 13
- Aspin C., Sandell G., Weintraub D. A., 1994, A&A, 282, L25
- Astropy Collaboration et al., 2013, A&A, 558, A33
- Bartkiewicz A., Szymczak M., van Langevelde H. J., Richards A. M. S., Pihlström Y. M., 2009, A&A, 502, 155
- Battersby C., Bally J., Jackson J. M., Ginsburg A., Shirley Y. L., Schlingman W., Glenn J., 2010, ApJ, 721, 222
- Bell A. R., 1978, MNRAS, 182, 147
- Beltrán M. T., Brand J., Cesaroni R., Fontani F., Pezzuto S., Testi L., Molinari S., 2006, A&A, 447, 221
- Beltrán M. T., Cesaroni R., Moscadelli L., Sánchez-Monge Á., Hirota T., Kumar M. S. N., 2016, A&A, 593, A49
- Beuther H., Schilke P., Sridharan T. K., Menten K. M., Walmsley C. M., Wyrowski F., 2002a, A&A, 383, 892
- Beuther H., Schilke P., Gueth F., McCaughrean M., Andersen M., Sridharan T. K., Menten K. M., 2002b, A&A, 387, 931
- Beuther H., Zhang Q., Hunter T. R., Sridharan T. K., Bergin E. A., 2007, A&A, 473, 493
- Bica E., Dutra C. M., Soares J., Barbuy B., 2003, A&A, 404, 223
- Blandford R. D., Payne D. G., 1982, MNRAS, 199, 883
- Bonaldi A., Bonato M., Galluzzi V., Harrison I., Massardi M., Kay S., De Zotti G., Brown M. L., 2019, MNRAS, 482, 2

- Bonnell I. A., Bate M. R., Clarke C. J., Pringle J. E., 2001, MNRAS, 323, 785
- Bunn J. C., Hoare M. G., Drew J. E., 1995, MNRAS, 272, 346
- Burns R. A., Handa T., Nagayama T., Sunada K., Omodaka T., 2016, MN-RAS, 460, 283
- Burns R. A., et al., 2017, MNRAS, 467, 2367
- Caratti o Garatti A., et al., 2017, Nature Physics, 13, 276
- Carpenter J. M., Snell R. L., Schloerb F. P., 1990, ApJ, 362, 147
- Carral P., Kurtz S., Rodríguez L. F., Martí J., Lizano S., Osorio M., 1999, Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrofis., 35, 97
- Carrasco-González C., Rodríguez L. F., Torrelles J. M., Anglada G., González-Martín O., 2010, ApJ, 139, 2433
- Carrasco-González C., et al., 2015, Science, 348, 114
- Cesaroni R., et al., 2018, A&A, 612, A103
- Chambers E. T., Jackson J. M., Rathborne J. M., Simon R., 2009, ApJS, 181, 360
- Chen Y., Yao Y., Yang J., Zeng Q., Sato S., 2009, ApJ, 693, 430
- Chen H.-R. V., Keto E., Zhang Q., Sridharan T. K., Liu S.-Y., Su Y.-N., 2016, ApJ, 823, 125
- Choi Y. K., Hachisuka K., Reid M. J., Xu Y., Brunthaler A., Menten K. M., Dame T. M., 2014, ApJ, 790, 99
- Cooper H. D. B., et al., 2013, MNRAS, 430, 1125
- Cyganowski C. J., Brogan C. L., Hunter T. R., Churchwell E., 2011, ApJ, 743, 56
- Davies B., Hoare M. G., Lumsden S. L., Hosokawa T., Oudmaijer R. D., Urquhart J. S., Mottram J. C., Stead J., 2011, MNRAS, 416, 972
- Deharveng L., Zavagno A., Cruz-Gonzalez I., Salas L., Caplan J., Carrasco L., 1997, A&A, 317, 459
- Draine B. T., 2006, ApJ, 636, 1114
- Eiroa C., Casali M. M., 1995, A&A, 303, 87
- Eiroa C., Casali M. M., Miranda L. F., Ortiz E., 1994, A&A, 290, 599
- Fedriani R., et al., 2019, Nature Communications, 10
- Fontani F., Cesaroni R., Testi L., Molinari S., Zhang Q., Brand J., Walmsley C. M., 2004, A&A, 424, 179
- Fontani F., Cesaroni R., Furuya R. S., 2010, A&A, 517, A56
- Frank A., Lery T., Gardiner T. A., Jones T. W., Ryu D., 2000, ApJ, 540, 342
- Frank A., et al., 2014, Protostars and Planets VI, pp 451–474
- Fujisawa K., et al., 2012, PASJ, 64, 17
- Furuya R. S., Kitamura Y., Wootten A., Claussen M. J., Kawabe R., 2003, ApJS, 144, 71
- Galván-Madrid R., Zhang Q., Keto E., Ho P. T. P., Zapata L. A., Rodríguez L. F., Pineda J. E., Vázquez-Semadeni E., 2010, ApJ, 725, 17
- Garay G., Rodríguez L. F., de Gregorio-Monsalvo I., 2007, ApJ, 134, 906 Gardiner T. A., Frank A., 2000, ApJ, 545, L153
- Gibb A. G., Hoare M. G., Little L. T., Wright M. C. H., 2003, MNRAS, 339, 1011
- Ginsburg A. G., Bally J., Yan C.-H., Williams J. P., 2009, ApJ, 707, 310
- Goddi C., Moscadelli L., 2006, A&A, 447, 577
- Goddi C., Moscadelli L., Alef W., Tarchi A., Brand J., Pani M., 2005, A&A, 432, 161
- Gomez J. F., Torrelles J. M., Estalella R., Anglada G., Verdes-Montenegro L., Ho P. T. P., 1992, ApJ, 397, 492
- Gottschalk M., Kothes R., Matthews H. E., Landecker T. L., Dent W. R. F., 2012, A, 541, A79
- Guzmán A. E., Garay G., Brooks K. J., Voronkov M. A., 2012, ApJ, 753, 51
- Guzmán A. E., Garay G., Rodríguez L. F., Contreras Y., Dougados C., Cabrit S., 2016, ApJ, 826, 208
- Hachisuka K., et al., 2006, ApJ, 645, 337
- Hartigan P., Morse J. A., Raymond J., 1994, ApJ, 436, 125
- Heyer M. H., Snell R. L., Morgan J., Schloerb F. P., 1989, ApJ, 346, 220
- Hildebrand R. H., 1983, QJRAS, 24, 267
- Honma M., et al., 2007, PASJ, 59, 889
- Hosokawa T., Yorke H. W., Omukai K., 2010, ApJ, 721
- Hunter T. R., Testi L., Taylor G. B., Tofani G., Felli M., Phillips T. G., 1995, A&A, 302, 249
- Hunter T. R., Testi L., Zhang Q., Sridharan T. K., 1999, AJ, 118, 477 Ilee J. D., et al., 2013, MNRAS, 429, 2960

- Imai H., Kameya O., Sasao T., Miyoshi M., Deguchi S., Horiuchi S., Asaki Y., 2000, ApJ, 538, 751
- Ishii M., Hirao T., Nagashima C., Nagata T., Sato S., Yao Y., 2002, AJ, 124, 430
- Jiang Z., et al., 2003, ApJ, 596, 1064
- Kawamura A., Onishi T., Yonekura Y., Dobashi K., Mizuno A., Ogawa H., Fukui Y., 1998, ApJS, 117, 387
- Keto E., 2002, ApJ, 580, 980
- Kroupa P., 2002, Science, 295, 82
- Kumar M. S. N., Keto E., Clerkin E., 2006, A&A, 449, 1033
- Kurtz S., Churchwell E., Wood D. O. S., 1994, ApJS, 91, 659
- Lee H.-T., et al., 2013, ApJS, 208, 23
- Lefloch B., Lazareff B., Castets A., 1997, A&A, 324, 249
- Lodders K., 2003, ApJ, 591, 1220 López-Sepulcre A., Cesaroni R., Walmsley C. M., 2010, A&A, 517, A66
- Lumsden S. L., Wheelwright H. E., Hoare M. G., Oudmaijer R. D., Drew
- J. E., 2012, MNRAS, 424, 1088 Lumsden S. L., Hoare M. G., Urquhart J. S., Oudmaijer R. D., Davies B.,
- Mottram J. C., Cooper H. D. B., Moore T. J. T., 2013, ApJS, 208, 11 Mallick K. K., et al., 2014, MNRAS, 443, 3218
- Marti J., Rodriguez L. F., Reipurth B., 1993, ApJ, 416, 208
- Masqué J. M., Rodríguez L. F., Trinidad M. A., Kurtz S., Dzib S. A., Rodríguez-Rico C. A., Loinard L., 2017, ApJ, 836, 96
- Maud L. T., Moore T. J. T., Lumsden S. L., Mottram J. C., Urquhart J. S., Hoare M. G., 2015, MNRAS, 453, 645
- McKee C. F., Tan J. C., 2003, ApJ, 585, 850
- McMullin J. P., Waters B., Schiebel D., Young W., Golap K., 2007, in Shaw R. A., Hill F., Bell D. J., eds, Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series Vol. 376, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XVI. p. 127
- Meakin C. A., Hines D. C., Thompson R. I., 2005, ApJ, 634, 1146
- Meyer D. M.-A., Vorobyov E. I., Elbakyan V. G., Stecklum B., Eislöffel J., Sobolev A. M., 2018, MNRAS, 482, 5459
- Mezger P. G., Henderson A. P., 1967, ApJ, 147, 471
- Minier V., Booth R. S., Conway J. E., 2000, A&A, 362, 1093
- Minier V., Burton M. G., Hill T., Pestalozzi M. R., Purcell C. R., Garay G., Walsh A. J., Longmore S., 2005, A&A, 429, 945
- Mitchell G. F., Hasegawa T. I., Schella J., 1992, ApJ, 386, 604
- Molinari S., Testi L., Rodríguez L. F., Zhang Q., 2002, ApJ, 570, 758
- Moscadelli L., Reid M. J., Menten K. M., Brunthaler A., Zheng X. W., Xu Y., 2009, ApJ, 693, 406
- Moscadelli L., et al., 2016, A&A, 585, A71
- Mottram J. C., et al., 2011a, A&A, 525, A149
- Mottram J. C., et al., 2011b, ApJ, 730, L33
- Murakawa K., Lumsden S. L., Oudmaijer R. D., Davies B., Wheelwright H. E., Hoare M. G., Ilee J. D., 2013, MNRAS, 436, 511
- Navarete F., Damineli A., Barbosa C. L., Blum R. D., 2015, MNRAS, 450, 4364
- Obonyo W. O., Lumsden S. L., Hoare M. G., Purser S. J. D., Kurtz S. E., Johnston K. G., 2019, MNRAS, 486, 3664
- Ogura K., Sugitani K., Pickles A., 2002, ApJ, 123, 2597
- Oh C. S., Kobayashi H., Honma M., Hirota T., Sato K., Ueno Y., 2010, PASJ, 62, 101
- Osorio M., et al., 2017, ApJ, 840, 36
- Palau A., et al., 2011, ApJ, 743, L32
- Palau A., et al., 2013, ApJ, 762, 120
- Panagia N., Felli M., 1975, A&A, 39, 1
- Pelletier G., Pudritz R. E., 1992, ApJ, 394, 117
- Proga D., Stone J. M., Drew J. E., 1998, MNRAS, 295, 595
- Purcell C. R., et al., 2013, ApJS, 205, 1
- Purser S. J. D., et al., 2016, MNRAS, 460, 1039
- Purser S. J. D., Ainsworth R. E., Ray T. P., Green D. A., Taylor A. M., Scaife A. M. M., 2018, MNRAS, 481, 5532
- Rathborne J. M., Jackson J. M., Simon R., 2006, ApJ, 641, 389
- Rathborne J. M., Simon R., Jackson J. M., 2007, ApJ, 662, 1082
- Rathborne J. M., Jackson J. M., Chambers E. T., Stojimirovic I., Simon R., Shipman R., Frieswijk W., 2010, ApJ, 715, 310
- Ray T. P., Poetzel R., Solf J., Mundt R., 1990, ApJ, 357, L45

- Reid M. J., et al., 2019, ApJ, 885, 131
- Rengarajan T. N., Ho P. T. P., 1996, ApJ, 465, 363
- Reynolds S. P., 1986, ApJ, 304, 713
- Rodón J. A., Beuther H., Megeath S. T., van der Tak F. F. S., 2008, A&A, 490, 213
- Rodríguez-Esnard T., Mignes V., Trinidad M. A., 2014, ApJ, 788, 176
- Rodríguez-Kamenetzky A., Carrasco-González C., Araudo A., Torrelles J. M., Anglada G., Martí J., Rodríguez L. F., Valotto C., 2016, ApJ, 818, 27
- Rodríguez T., Trinidad M. A., Migenes V., 2012, ApJ, 755, 100
- Rosero V., et al., 2016, ApJS, 227, 25
- Rosero V., et al., 2019, ApJ, 880, 99
- Rygl K. L. J., Brunthaler A., Reid M. J., Menten K. M., van Langevelde
- H. J., Xu Y., 2010, A&A, 511, A2
- Rygl K. L. J., et al., 2012, A&A, 539, A79
- Rygl K. L. J., et al., 2014, MNRAS, 440, 427
- Saito H., Saito M., Moriguchi Y., Fukui Y., 2006, PASJ, 58, 343
- Saito H., Saito M., Yonekura Y., Nakamura F., 2008, ApJS, 178, 302
- Sánchez-Monge Á., Palau A., Estalella R., Beltrán M. T., Girart J. M., 2008, A&A, 485, 497
- Sánchez-Monge Á., et al., 2014, A&A, 569, A11
- Sandell G., Weintraub D. A., Hamidouche M., 2011, ApJ, 727, 26
- Sanna, A. Moscadelli, L. Goddi, C. Krishnan, V. Massi, F. 2018, A&A, 619, A107
- Sanna, A. et al., 2019, A&A, 623, L3
- Sanna A., Moscadelli L., Cesaroni R., Caratti o Garatti A., Goddi C., Carrasco-González C., 2016, A&A, 596, L2
- Schreyer K., Henning T., van der Tak F. F. S., Boonman A. M. S., van Dishoeck E. F., 2002, A&A, 394, 561
- Schreyer K., Semenov D., Henning T., Forbrich J., 2006, ApJ, 637, L129
- Schulz A., Black J. H., Lada C. J., Ulich B. L., Martin R. N., Snell R. L., Erickson N. J., 1989, ApJ, 341, 288
- Shepherd D. S., Testi L., Stark D. P., 2003, ApJ, 584, 882
- Shimoikura T., et al., 2013, ApJ, 768, 72
- Shu F. H., Shang H., 1997, Symposium International Astronomical Union, 182, 225–239
- Shu F., Najita J., Ostriker E., Wilkin F., Ruden S., Lizano S., 1994, ApJ, 429, 781
- Simon R., Rathborne J. M., Shah R. Y., Jackson J. M., Chambers E. T., 2006, ApJ, 653, 1325
- Smith H. A., Fischer J., 1992, ApJ, 398, L99
- Snell R. L., Huang Y.-L., Dickman R. L., Claussen M. J., 1988, ApJ, 325, 853
- Sridharan T. K., Beuther H., Schilke P., Menten K. M., Wyrowski F., 2002, ApJ, 566, 931
- Su Y.-N., Zhang Q., Lim J., 2004, ApJ, 604, 258
- Sugitani K., Fukui Y., Mizuni A., Ohashi N., 1989, ApJ, 342, L87
- Surcis G., Vlemmings W. H. T., van Langevelde H. J., Hutawarakorn Kramer B., Quiroga-Nuñez L. H., 2013, A&A, 556, A73
- Surcis G., Vlemmings W. H. T., van Langevelde H. J., Hutawarakorn Kramer B., Bartkiewicz A., Blasi M. G., 2015, A&A, 578, A102
- Tamura M., Gatley I., Joyce R. R., Ueno M., Suto H., Sekiguchi M., 1991, ApJ, 378, 611
- Thompson R. I., 1984, ApJ, 283, 165
- Tieftrunk A. R., Gaume R. A., Claussen M. J., Wilson T. L., Johnston K. J., 1997, A&A, 318, 931
- Tofani G., Felli M., Taylor G. B., Hunter T. R., 1995, A&AS, 112, 299
- Trinidad M. A., Curiel S., Torrelles J. M., Rodríguez L. F., Migenes V., Patel N., 2006, ApJ, 132, 1918
- Urquhart, J. S. et al., 2008, A&A, 487, 253
- Urquhart J. S., et al., 2009, A&A, 501, 539
- Urquhart J. S., et al., 2011, MNRAS, 418, 1689
- Urquhart J. S., et al., 2014, MNRAS, 443, 1555
- Varricatt W. P., Davis C. J., Ramsay S., Todd S. P., 2010, MNRAS, 404, 661
- Vink J. S., de Koter A., Lamers H. J. G. L. M., 2001, A&A, 369, 574
- Viti S., Collings M. P., Dever J. W., McCoustra M. R. S., Williams D. A., 2004, MNRAS, 354, 1141
- Wang Y., Zhang Q., Pillai T., Wyrowski F., Wu Y., 2008, ApJ, 672, L33

Wang Y., et al., 2016, A&A, 587, A69

- Weintraub D. A., Kastner J. H., 1996, in Roberge W. G., Whittet D. C. B., eds, Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series Vol. 97, Polarimetry of the Interstellar Medium. p. 345
- Wenger T. V., Balser D. S., Anderson L. D., Bania T. M., 2018, ApJ, 856, 52
- Wilson T. L., Boboltz D. A., Gaume R. A., Megeath S. T., 2003, ApJ, 597, 434
- Wright A. E., Barlow M. J., 1975, MNRAS, 170, 41
- Wu, Y. W. et al., 2014, A&A, 566, A17
- Wu Y. W., Xu Y., Pandian J. D., Yang J., Henkel C., Menten K. M., Zhang S. B., 2010, ApJ, 720, 392
- Wu Y.-W., Xu Y., Yang J., 2011, Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics, 11, 137
- Xu J.-L., Wang J.-J., Qin S.-L., 2012, A&A, 540, L13
- Yan C.-H., Minh Y. C., Wang S.-Y., Su Y.-N., Ginsburg A., 2010, ApJ, 720, 1
- Zapata L. A., Rodríguez L. F., Kurtz S. E., 2001, Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrofis., 37, 83
- Zhang Q., Hunter T. R., Brand J., Sridharan T. K., Cesaroni R., Molinari S., Wang J., Kramer M., 2005, ApJ, 625, 864
- Zhang Q., Hunter T. R., Beuther H., Sridharan T. K., Liu S.-Y., Su Y.-N., Chen H.-R., Chen Y., 2007, ApJ, 658, 1152
- Zhang B., Zheng X. W., Reid M. J., Menten K. M., Xu Y., Moscadelli L., Brunthaler A., 2009, ApJ, 693, 419
- Zhang Y., et al., 2013, ApJ, 767, 58
- Zinchenko I., et al., 2015, ApJ, 810, 10
- van der Tak F. F. S., Tuthill P. G., Danchi W. C., 2005, A&A, 431, 993

#### **APPENDIX A: TABLES**

Table A1: Convolving beams (columns 2 and 3) and noise levels (columns 3 and 4) for each observed field at each observed frequency. Note that these are the noise levels at the pointing centre. The 5th and 6th columns show whether the noise in the image was limited thermally, or by dynamic range/spatial frequency *uv*-coverage limitations. Columns 7 and 8 reference the figure showing the image data and section detailed notes/literature review, respectively.

Target	$\theta_{\rm beam}^{\rm C}$	$\theta_{\text{beam}}^{\text{Q}}$	$\sigma_{\rm RMS}^{\rm C}$	$\sigma_{\rm RMS}^{\rm Q}$	Therma C-band	l Noise? Q-band	Figure	Notes
		IRDC Sample	[ µJy]	[ µJy]	C-Dalid	Q-balld		
<b>C</b> 010.0 <b>2</b> .00. <b>2</b> 0	0//550 0//202 2201	•	105					0.51.1
G018.82-00.28	0	$0.072 \times 0.038; -25.9$	105	57	N	N	B1	§D1.1
G024.08+00.04	0	$0.082 \times 0.047; -26.7$	9	29	Y	Y	B2	§D1.2
G024.33+00.11	0386 × 0297; -06°.6	$0.064 \times 0.038; -25.8$	6	37	Y	Y	B3	§D1.3
G024.60+00.08	0	$0.064 \times 0.038; -25.4$	6	35	Y	Y	B4	§D1.4
G028.28-00.34	$0.364 \times 0.303; -176.6$	$0.0000 \times 0.0000000000000000000000000000$	82	35	Ν	Y	B5	§D1.5
G028.37+00.07	$0.364 \times 0.301; -03.2$	$0.068 \times 0.046; -21.2$	6	31	Y	Y	<b>B6</b>	§D1.6
G028.67+00.13	$0.''358 \times 0.''303; +00.9$	0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	10	33	Ν	Y	<b>B7</b>	§D1.7
G033.69-00.01	$0.343 \times 0.308; +03.2$	$0.072 \times 0.048; -27.2$	6	27	Y	Y	<b>B</b> 8	§D1.8
		MYSO Sample						
G033.6437-00.2277	$0.''346 \times 0.''311; +09.^{\circ}1$	$0.064 \times 0.047; -25.5$	6	32	Y	Y	<b>B</b> 9	§D2.1
G035.1979-00.7427	$0.''369 \times 0.''296; -21.^{\circ}4$	$0.051 \times 0.039; -25.5$	6	35	Y	Y	B10	§D2.2
G035.1992-01.7424	$0.''364 \times 0.''289; -20.^{\circ}6$	$0.051 \times 0.039; -31.1$	134	37	Ν	Ν	B11	§D2.3
G037.4266+01.5183	$0.344 \times 0.307$ ; +08°2	$0!'048 \times 0!'039; -30^{\circ}.7$	5	37	Y	Y	B12	§D2.4
G056.3694-00.6333	$0!'445 \times 0!'364; -52^{\circ}8$	$0.043 \times 0.039; -46.2$	7	34	Y	Y	<b>B</b> 13	§D2.5
G077.5671+03.6911	$0.323 \times 0.295; +66.0$	$0!'043 \times 0!'039; -46^{\circ}2$	6	30	Y	Y	<b>B</b> 14	§D2.6
G078.8699+02.7602	$0.''319 \times 0.''295; +61.^{\circ}0$	$0!'062 \times 0!'050; -85^{\circ}.1$	6	30	Y	Y	B15	§D2.7
G079.8855+02.5517	0!'316 × 0!'293; +53°.9	$0.062 \times 0.050; -84.1$	7	30	Y	Y	<b>B</b> 16	§D2.8
G081.8652+00.7800	0!'313 × 0!'293; +42°.8	0!'051 × 0!'039; +89°.3	10	40	Ν	Ν	<b>B</b> 17	§D2.9
G083.7071+03.2817	$0''_{319} \times 0''_{290}; +46^{\circ}_{11}$	$0.064 \times 0.050; -88^{\circ}.1$	7	29	Y	Y	<b>B</b> 18	§D2.10
G084.9505-00.6910	$0.''316 \times 0.''292; +45^{\circ}0$	$0.0067 \times 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000$	8	30	Y	Y	B19	§D2.11
G094.2615-00.4116	$0''_{387} \times 0''_{308}; -79^{\circ}_{8}$	0064 × 0051; +88°.0	6	36	Y	Y	<b>B20</b>	§D2.12
G094.3228-00.1671	0!'377 × 0!'309; -76°.5	$0!'054 \times 0!'041; +85^{\circ}2$	6	39	Y	Y	B21	§D2.13
G094.4637-00.8043	$0.''392 \times 0.''312; -82.^{\circ}2$	$0.053 \times 0.041; +86.8$	6	38	Y	Y	B22	§D2.14
G094.6028-01.7966	$0.''399 \times 0.''311; -83^{\circ}5$	$0.054 \times 0.041; +88.4$	6	39	Y	Y	B23	§D2.15
G100.3779-03.5784	$0.''381 \times 0.''310; -75.^{\circ}9$	$0.054 \times 0.041; +86.7$	6	36	Y	Y	B24	§D2.16
G102.8051-00.7184B	0!'395 × 0!'309; -74°.1	0!'054 × 0!'040; +81°.4	6	36	Y	Ν	B25	§D2.17
G103.8744+01.8558	$0.''327 \times 0.''302; +53.5$	$0.0065 \times 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000$	6	36	Y	Y	B26	§D2.18
G105.5072+00.2294	$0.''330 \times 0.''312; +51.^{\circ}0$	$0.073 \times 0.048; +83.0$	6	36	Y	Ŷ	B27	§D2.19
G107.6823-02.2423A	0."564 × 0."352; -87°.6	$0''_{075} \times 0''_{047}; -89^{\circ}_{.7}$	5	33	Y	Y	B28	§D2.20
G108.1844+05.5187	0."423 × 0."398; +45°.3	0."061 × 0."038; +79°.0	6	43	Y	Y	B29	§D2.21
G108.4714-02.8176	$0.445 \times 0.282; -89.5$	$0.063 \times 0.038; +88^{\circ}.0$	6	37	Y	Ŷ	B30	§D2.22
G108.5955+00.4935A	$0.1337 \times 0.1311; +35.3$	$0.0003 \times 0.0038; +85^{\circ}.9$	6	44	Ŷ	Ŷ	B31	§D2.23
G108.7575-00.9863	$0.337 \times 0.311$ ; +33.5 $0.312 \times 0.295$ ; +43.6	$0.003 \times 0.030; +0.000; +0.000; +0.000; +0.000; -0.000; +0.00$	6	43	Ŷ	Ŷ	B32	§D2.24
G110.0931-00.0641	$0.312 \times 0.293$ ; +13.0 $0.326 \times 0.314$ ; +36°.1	$0.003 \times 0.030$ ; $+86.4$	6	44	Ŷ	Ŷ	B32 B33	§D2.25
G111.2348-01.2385	0	$0.001 \times 0.001$ ; $-09.9$	6	40	Ŷ	Ŷ	B34	§D2.26
G111.2552-00.7702	$0.430 \times 0.283; +87.0$	$0.015 \times 0.033$ ; $-10^{\circ}9$	6	38	Y	Ŷ	B35	§D2.20
G111.5671+00.7517	$0.''324 \times 0.''308; +31^{\circ}1$	$0.015 \times 0.038$ ; $-08^{\circ}5$	8	40	N	Ŷ	B36	§D2.27
G114.0835+02.8568	$0.324 \times 0.300$ ; $+31.1$ $0.337 \times 0.317$ ; $+13.3$	0.043 × 0.050, 00.5	6		Y	-	B30 B37	§D2.20 §D2.29
G118.6172-01.3312	$0.337 \times 0.317$ ; $+15.3$ $0.321 \times 0.203$ ; $-81.6$	0."064 × 0."051; +48°.2	5	44	N	Y	B38	§D2.29 §D2.30
G126.7144-00.8220	$0.321 \times 0.203$ , $-81.0$ $0.295 \times 0.203$ ; $-44^{\circ}.3$	$0.004 \times 0.051$ ; +48.2 $0.003 \times 0.001$ ; +58°5	20	52	Y	Y	B39	
G120.7144-00.8220 G133.7150+01.2155	$0.293 \times 0.200; -44.3$ $0.287 \times 0.197; -31^{\circ}1$	$0.033 \times 0.040; +38.3$ $0.054 \times 0.038; +59.0$	20 22	52 71	I N	I N	взя B40, B41	§D2.31 §D2.32
G134.2792+00.8561	$0.287 \times 0.197, -31.1$ $0.350 \times 0.284; -39.9$	$0.034 \times 0.038; +39.0$ $0.067 \times 0.050; +63.4$		51	N Y	Y	B40, B41 B42	
	$0.350 \times 0.284; -39.9$ $0.349 \times 0.279; -40.4$	$0.067 \times 0.050; +63.4$ $0.070 \times 0.050; +68.8$	6 11	48	Y Y	Y Y	В42 В43	§D2.33
G136.3833+02.2666	$0.'349 \times 0.'279; -40.4$ $0.''342 \times 0.''273; -42^{\circ}0$	$0.070 \times 0.030; +68.8$ $0.056 \times 0.039; +69.2$	11 7					§D2.34
G138.2957+01.5552	<i>,</i>		7	60 62	Y	Y	B44	§D2.35
G139.9091+00.1969A	$0.''379 \times 0.''278; -68^{\circ}6$	$0.056 \times 0.039; +71.1$	7	62 60	Y	Y	B45	§D2.36
G141.9996+01.8202	$0379 \times 0277; -664$	$0.055 \times 0.039; +66.0$	7	60 52	Y	Y	B46	§D2.37
G143.8118-01.5699	$0!'384 \times 0!'277; -74^{\circ}5$	$0.067 \times 0.050; +71.5$	7	52	Y	Y	B47	§D2.38
G148.1201+00.2928	$0!''368 \times 0!''275; -71^{\circ}1$	$0.070 \times 0.050; +80.0$	7	50	Y	Y	B48	§D2.39
G160.1452+03.1559	$0.''328 \times 0.''280; -62.^{\circ}4$	-	8	-	Y	-	B49	§D2.40

Target	$\theta_{\rm beam}^{\rm C}$	$\theta_{\rm beam}^{\rm Q}$	σ <sup>C</sup> <sub>RMS</sub> [ μJy]	σ <sup>Q</sup> <sub>RMS</sub> [μJy]	Therma C-band	l Noise? Q-band	Figure	Notes
G173.4839+02.4317	0	-	7	-	Y	-	B50	§D2.41
G174.1974-00.0763	0!'369 × 0!'286; +88°.3	-	7	-	Y	-	B51	§D2.42
G177.7291-00.3358	0	-	7	-	Y	-	B52	§D2.43
G183.3485-00.5751	$0.495 \times 0.285; +70.3$	-	10	-	Y	-	B53	§D2.44
G188.9479+00.8871	0."558 × 0."290; +68°.9	-	10	-	Y	-	B54	§D2.45
G189.0307+00.7821	0	-	8	-	Y	-	B55	§D2.46
G192.6005-00.0479	0	-	9	-	Ν	-	B56	§D2.47
G196.4542-01.6777	0."674 × 0."293; +62°.7	-	10	-	Ν	-	B57	§D2.48

Table A1: Continued

Table A2: Positions and fluxes at C-band for all detected sources derived from IMFIT. A 'PP' value in R.A. or Declination error columns indicates that the source is extended and listed positions are those of the pixel of peak-flux. Cases where flux upper-limits are given with no positional entries are for Q-band only detections. Quoted errors do not include the uncertainty in the flux scale.

Object	Lobe	Right Ascension [J2000]	δ R.A. [mas]	Declination [J2000]	δ Dec. [mas]	$S_{5.8}^{\text{Peak}}$ [ mJy beam <sup>-1</sup> ]	S <sub>5.8</sub> [ mJy]
			IRDC S	ample			
G018.82-00.28	Core	18 <sup>h</sup> 26 <sup>m</sup> 23 <sup>s</sup> 6516	1	-12°39′37′′549	1	$18.89 \pm 0.11$	$36.62 \pm 0.25$
G024.08+00.04	А	18 <sup>h</sup> 34 <sup>m</sup> 57 <sup>s</sup> 1881	6	-07°43′26.′′234	6	$1.05\pm0.01$	$4.55\pm0.08$
	B1	18 <sup>h</sup> 34 <sup>m</sup> 59 <sup>s</sup> 6276	7	-07°43′01.′′633	9	$0.77 \pm 0.01$	$1.71 \pm 0.06$
	B2	18 <sup>h</sup> 34 <sup>m</sup> 59 <sup>s</sup> 5937	5	-07°43′00.′′313	7	$1.47 \pm 0.01$	$5.52\pm0.06$
	B3	18 <sup>h</sup> 34 <sup>m</sup> 59 <sup>s</sup> 5918	25	-07°42′57.′′355	34	$0.30 \pm 0.01$	$2.47 \pm 0.13$
	В	18 <sup>h</sup> 34 <sup>m</sup> 59 <sup>s</sup> 5980	PP	-07°43′00.′′433	PP	$1.47 \pm 0.01$	$9.70 \pm 0.16$
G024.33+00.11	А	18h35m08s1393	4	-07°35′04.′′096	5	$0.23 \pm 0.01$	$0.28 \pm 0.01$
	В	18h35m10s9054	4	-07°34′22′′083	8	$0.14 \pm 0.01$	$0.14 \pm 0.01$
	С	-	-	-	-	< 0.02	< 0.02
	D	18h35m23s9300	2	-07°37′37.′′980	2	$2.18\pm0.02$	$3.36\pm0.05$
G024.60+00.08	А	18 <sup>h</sup> 35 <sup>m</sup> 43 <sup>s</sup> 7668	7	-07°19′25.′′809	10	$0.12 \pm 0.01$	$0.15 \pm 0.01$
	В	18 <sup>h</sup> 35 <sup>m</sup> 36 <sup>s</sup> 1054	9	-07°18′57.′′992	15	$0.07 \pm 0.01$	$0.07 \pm 0.01$
G028.28-00.34	HII	18 <sup>h</sup> 44 <sup>m</sup> 15 <sup>s</sup> 1070	PP	-04°17′54.′′985	PP	$9.34 \pm 0.08$	$222.10 \pm 4.50$
	А	18 <sup>h</sup> 44 <sup>m</sup> 09 <sup>s</sup> 8066	4	-04°18′00″120	4	$1.42 \pm 0.04$	$1.60 \pm 0.07$
G028.37+00.07	А	18 <sup>h</sup> 42 <sup>m</sup> 51 <sup>s</sup> 9820	24	-03°59′54′′609	21	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.04 \pm 0.01$
	A2	-	-	-	-	< 0.02	< 0.02
	A3	18 <sup>h</sup> 42 <sup>m</sup> 51.9783	57	-03°59′53.′′814	52	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.05\pm0.02$
	В	18 <sup>h</sup> 42 <sup>m</sup> 52 <sup>s</sup> 3941	1	-03°59′06.′′902	2	$0.51 \pm 0.01$	$0.54 \pm 0.01$
	С	18 <sup>h</sup> 42 <sup>m</sup> 50 <sup>s</sup> 2414	16	-03°59′16.′′450	17	$0.05 \pm 0.01$	$0.05 \pm 0.01$
	D	18 <sup>h</sup> 42 <sup>m</sup> 37 <sup>s</sup> 1243	3	-04°02′02.′′264	4	$0.61 \pm 0.01$	$0.93 \pm 0.02$
G028.67+00.13	HII	18 <sup>h</sup> 43 <sup>m</sup> 03 <sup>s</sup> 1780	PP	-03°41′46.′′484	PP	$0.98 \pm 0.01$	$61.95 \pm 0.78$
G033.69-00.01	А	18 <sup>h</sup> 52 <sup>m</sup> 49 <sup>s</sup> 0900	16	+00°38'10'.'015	16	$0.14 \pm 0.01$	$1.53\pm0.06$
			MYSO S	Sample			
G033.6437-00.2277	А	18 <sup>h</sup> 53 <sup>m</sup> 32 <sup>s</sup> 5641	23	+00°31′39.′′130	32	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.06 \pm 0.01$
	В	18 <sup>h</sup> 53 <sup>m</sup> 32 <sup>s</sup> 8191	31	+00°32′04′′333	18	$0.03 \pm 0.01$ $0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$
	C	18 <sup>h</sup> 53 <sup>m</sup> 29 <sup>s</sup> 3719	4	+00°32′02′′828	4	$0.20 \pm 0.01$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$ $0.22 \pm 0.01$
	D	-	-	-	-	< 0.02	< 0.02
G035.1979-00.7427	5	18 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 12 <sup>s</sup> 9305	3	+01°40′39!′434	4	$0.35 \pm 0.01$	$0.35 \pm 0.02$
	6	18 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 12 <sup>s</sup> 8148	5	+01°40′36″613	7	$0.22 \pm 0.01$	$0.24 \pm 0.02$
	7	18 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 13 <sup>s</sup> 0336	5	+01°40′35″965	6	$0.46 \pm 0.01$	$0.79 \pm 0.03$
	8	18 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 13 <sup>s</sup> 0406	1	+01°40′35″256	2	$1.03 \pm 0.01$	$1.43 \pm 0.02$
	Core A	18 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 12 <sup>s</sup> 9525	9	+01°40′37′′383	14	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	$0.11 \pm 0.02$
	14	18 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 13 <sup>s</sup> 0190	2	+01°40′34″141	3	$0.82 \pm 0.01$	$1.39 \pm 0.03$

Object	Lobe	Right Ascension [J2000]	$\delta$ R.A. [mas]	Declination [J2000]	δ Dec. [mas]	$S_{5.8}^{\text{Peak}}$ [ mJy beam <sup>-1</sup> ]	<i>S</i> <sub>5.8</sub> [ mJy]
	15	18 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 13 <sup>s</sup> 0498	7	+01°40′38′′118	10	$0.25 \pm 0.01$	$0.40 \pm 0.03$
	13	18 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 13 <sup>s</sup> 1204	15	+01°40′33″146	16	$0.12 \pm 0.01$	$0.18 \pm 0.02$
	4	18 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 13 <sup>s</sup> 1067	6	+01°40′40′′818	6	$0.42 \pm 0.01$	$1.01 \pm 0.02$
	EX-S	18 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 13 <sup>s</sup> 0120	PP	+01°40′30′′722	PP	$0.53 \pm 0.01$	$0.75 \pm 0.23$
	EX-N	18 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 13 <sup>s</sup> 0300	PP	+01°40′44′′225	PP	$0.60 \pm 0.01$	$0.13 \pm 0.123$ 2.13 ± 0.14
G035.1992-01.7424	HII	19 <sup>h</sup> 01 <sup>m</sup> 46 <sup>s</sup> 5000	PP	+01°13′25′′023	PP	$20.70 \pm 0.13$	$2015.00 \pm 39.00$
0055.1772-01.7424	В	-	-	-	-	< 0.40	< 0.40
G037.4266+01.5183	A	18 <sup>h</sup> 54 <sup>m</sup> 13 <sup>s</sup> 6643	13	+04°41′39′′193	19	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$
0037.1200101.5105	В	18 <sup>h</sup> 54 <sup>m</sup> 14 <sup>s</sup> 2433	16	+04°41′40′′821	13	$0.05 \pm 0.01$	$0.05 \pm 0.01$ $0.05 \pm 0.01$
	C	18 <sup>h</sup> 54 <sup>m</sup> 12 <sup>s</sup> 7075	8	+04°41′41″619	9	$0.03 \pm 0.01$ $0.08 \pm 0.01$	$0.05 \pm 0.01$ $0.08 \pm 0.01$
G056.3694-00.6333	A	19 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 31 <sup>s</sup> 6920	34	+20°25′18′′194	27	$0.05 \pm 0.01$ $0.05 \pm 0.01$	$0.00 \pm 0.01$ $0.07 \pm 0.02$
0050.5074-00.0555	В	19 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 31 <sup>s</sup> 7329	46	+20°25′17′′929	33	$0.05 \pm 0.01$ $0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.07 \pm 0.02$ $0.06 \pm 0.02$
	C	19 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 31 <sup>s</sup> 6215	120	+20°25′18′′566	119	$0.04 \pm 0.01$ $0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.00 \pm 0.02$ $0.14 \pm 0.04$
	D	19 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 31 <sup>s</sup> 5218	48	+20°25′18.''916	69	$0.03 \pm 0.01$ $0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.05 \pm 0.02$
G077.5671+03.6911	D	19 36 31.3216	+0	+20 23 18. 910	-	< 0.02	< 0.02
GUTT.JUTITUJ.U711	А	- 20 <sup>h</sup> 12 <sup>m</sup> 35 <sup>s</sup> 2170	- 18	- +40°47′25″279	- 16	< 0.02 $0.05 \pm 0.01$	< 0.02 $0.05 \pm 0.01$
G078.8699+02.7602	A A	20 <sup>h</sup> 20 <sup>m</sup> 30 <sup>s</sup> 5984	9	+40 47 23. 279 +41°21′26.′′260	10 7	$0.03 \pm 0.01$ $0.11 \pm 0.01$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$ $0.13 \pm 0.01$
00/0.0099+02./002	A B	20 <sup>h</sup> 20 <sup>m</sup> 30 <sup>s</sup> 5689	33	+41°21′31′′327	38	$0.01 \pm 0.01$ $0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.13 \pm 0.01$ $0.06 \pm 0.02$
	Б С	$20^{\circ}20^{\circ}30.3089$ $20^{h}20^{m}28.2397$	5 5	+41°21′51.′′460	58 6		
	D	20 <sup>h</sup> 20 <sup>m</sup> 28.2397 20 <sup>h</sup> 20 <sup>m</sup> 31.9780	5 2	+41°22′05″416		$0.16 \pm 0.01$ $0.55 \pm 0.01$	$0.16 \pm 0.01$ $0.60 \pm 0.01$
		-			1		
0070 0055 00 5517	E	20 <sup>h</sup> 20 <sup>m</sup> 29 <sup>s</sup> 3480	13	+41°21′28′′327	19	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$
G079.8855+02.5517	С	20 <sup>h</sup> 24 <sup>m</sup> 31 <sup>s</sup> 5514	5	+42°04′13″490	5	$0.17 \pm 0.01$	$0.17 \pm 0.01$
	E	20 <sup>h</sup> 24 <sup>m</sup> 28 <sup>s</sup> 9510	10	+42°03′30.′′426	13	$0.08 \pm 0.01$	$0.09 \pm 0.01$
	B1	-	-	-	-	< 0.02	< 0.02
	B2 D	-	-	-	-	< 0.02	< 0.02
C001 0(52 00 7000		- 20 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 36 <sup>s</sup> 4396	-	-	-	< 0.02	< 0.02
G081.8652+00.7800	VLA1	20 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 36 <sup>s</sup> 4396 20 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 36 <sup>s</sup> 4769	1	+42°37′34′′827	1	$2.48 \pm 0.01$	$2.74 \pm 0.03$
	VLA2		4	+42°37′34″057	6	$2.48 \pm 0.01$	$1.30 \pm 0.05$
	VLA3	20 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 36 <sup>s</sup> 4842	1	+42°37′33″416	2	$1.52 \pm 0.01$	$1.87 \pm 0.03$
	W75NBc	20 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 36 <sup>s</sup> 5676	2	+42°37′31′′484	2	$1.18 \pm 0.01$	$1.84 \pm 0.04$
	W75NBc2	20 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 36 <sup>s</sup> 5251	3	+42°37′31″402	3	$0.84 \pm 0.01$	$1.26 \pm 0.04$
	VLA4	20 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 36 <sup>s</sup> 5345	5	+42°37′29′′900	5	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	$0.65 \pm 0.03$
	VLA5	20 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 36 <sup>s</sup> 5509	79	+42°37′28′′934	58	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.07 \pm 0.04$
	VLA6	20 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 36 <sup>s</sup> 5469	36	+42°37′36″331	32	$0.06 \pm 0.01$	$0.08 \pm 0.03$
	VLA7	20 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 36 <sup>s</sup> 5335	32	+42°37′37″156	48	$0.08 \pm 0.01$	$0.34 \pm 0.05$
	А	20 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 35 <sup>s</sup> 3784	7	+42°37′13″792	6	$0.16\pm0.01$	$0.17\pm0.01$
	HII	20 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 37 <sup>s</sup> 6000	PP	+42°37′55′′861	PP	$0.37 \pm 0.01$	$89.80 \pm 1.40$
G083.7071+03.2817	А	20 <sup>h</sup> 33 <sup>m</sup> 36 <sup>s</sup> 5573	7	+45°35′43″975	5	$0.21 \pm 0.01$	$0.27 \pm 0.02$
	В	20 <sup>h</sup> 33 <sup>m</sup> 36 <sup>s</sup> 3512	5	+45°35′41.′′178	5	$0.20 \pm 0.01$	$0.21 \pm 0.01$
G084.9505-00.6910	А	20 <sup>h</sup> 55 <sup>m</sup> 31 <sup>s</sup> 7256	8	+44°05′11.′′323	8	$0.13 \pm 0.01$	$0.16 \pm 0.01$
	В	-	-	-	-	< 0.02	< 0.02
G094.2615-00.4116	A1	21 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 30 <sup>s</sup> 6095	35	+51°02′15.′′978	16	$0.06 \pm 0.01$	$0.09 \pm 0.01$
	A2	21 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 30 <sup>s</sup> 6540	23	+51°02′15.′′454	249	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.07\pm0.01$
	В	21 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 28 <sup>s</sup> 8028	30	+51°02′40.′′218	14	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.07\pm0.01$
G094.3228-00.1671	А	21 <sup>h</sup> 31 <sup>m</sup> 45 <sup>s</sup> 0221	18	+51°15′37.′′074	12	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.04\pm0.01$
G094.4637-00.8043	А	21 <sup>h</sup> 35 <sup>m</sup> 09 <sup>s</sup> 1203	9	+50°53′09.′′022	6	$0.18\pm0.01$	$0.30\pm0.02$
	A2	-	-	-	-	< 0.02	< 0.02
	В	21h35m09s1610	8	+50°53′09.′′561	8	$0.13\pm0.01$	$0.16\pm0.01$
	С	21h35m09s0566	9	+50°53′09.′′865	6	$0.12\pm0.01$	$0.13 \pm 0.01$
	D	21h35m09s0366	33	+50°53′08.′′288	20	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.06 \pm 0.01$
	Е	21h35m08s9195	32	+50°53′07.′′098	20	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.06 \pm 0.01$
G094.6028-01.7966	А	21h39m58s2677	6	+50°14′20.′′994	4	$0.20 \pm 0.01$	$0.23 \pm 0.01$
G100.3779-03.5784	А	22 <sup>h</sup> 16 <sup>m</sup> 10 <sup>s</sup> 3628	17	+52°21′34′′139	8	$0.08 \pm 0.01$	$0.09 \pm 0.01$
	В	22 <sup>h</sup> 16 <sup>m</sup> 10 <sup>s</sup> 2539	35	+52°21′21′′817	25	$0.05 \pm 0.01$	$0.09 \pm 0.02$

Table A2: Continued

24 S. J. I	D. Purser, S. L.	Lumsden, M.	G. Hoare and	S. Kurtz
------------	------------------	-------------	--------------	----------

Object	Lobe	Right Ascension	$\delta$ R.A.	Declination	$\delta$ Dec.	$S_{5.8}^{\text{Peak}}$	S <sub>5.8</sub>
		[J2000]	[mas]	[J2000]	[mas]	[mJy beam <sup>-1</sup> ]	[mJy]
G102.8051-00.7184B		-	-	-	-	< 0.02	< 0.02
	А	22 <sup>h</sup> 19 <sup>m</sup> 05 <sup>s</sup> 7223	11	+56°04′52.′′470	9	$0.09\pm0.01$	$0.09\pm0.01$
G103.8744+01.8558	А	22 <sup>h</sup> 15 <sup>m</sup> 09 <sup>s</sup> 2239	2	+58°49′08.′′847	2	$1.27 \pm 0.01$	$3.62\pm0.04$
	В	22 <sup>h</sup> 15 <sup>m</sup> 08 <sup>s</sup> 7668	10	+58°49′07.′′822	7	$0.19\pm0.01$	$0.28 \pm 0.02$
	С	22 <sup>h</sup> 15 <sup>m</sup> 09 <sup>s</sup> 4574	24	+58°49′03.′′453	15	$0.06\pm0.01$	$0.12\pm0.02$
	D	22 <sup>h</sup> 15 <sup>m</sup> 08 <sup>s</sup> 1600	12	+58°49′09.′′715	15	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.04\pm0.01$
	E	22 <sup>h</sup> 15 <sup>m</sup> 07 <sup>s</sup> 8650	10	+58°49′10.′′328	26	$0.09\pm0.01$	$0.23\pm0.02$
	F	22 <sup>h</sup> 15 <sup>m</sup> 07 <sup>s</sup> 5911	38	+58°49′11.′′230	16	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.04\pm0.01$
G105.5072+00.2294	А	22 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 23 <sup>s</sup> 7707	19	+58°19′00.′′275	15	$0.06 \pm 0.01$	$0.06\pm0.01$
G107.6823-02.2423A	А	22 <sup>h</sup> 55 <sup>m</sup> 29 <sup>s</sup> 8323	50	+57°09′24.′′812	26	$0.04\pm0.01$	$0.05\pm0.01$
	HII	22 <sup>h</sup> 55 <sup>m</sup> 28 <sup>s</sup> 8860	PP	+57°09′21.′′715	PP	$0.04\pm0.01$	$0.85\pm0.08$
G108.1844+05.5187	А	22h28m51s4743	103	+64°13′41″131	109	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.17\pm0.04$
	В	22h28m55s5193	26	+64°14′26.′′645	32	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.13\pm0.02$
G108.4714-02.8176	А	23 <sup>h</sup> 02 <sup>m</sup> 32 <sup>s</sup> .0825	13	+56°57′51″396	6	$0.10 \pm 0.01$	$0.11 \pm 0.01$
G108.5955+00.4935A	А	-	-	-	-	< 0.02	< 0.02
	С	22 <sup>h</sup> 52 <sup>m</sup> 38 <sup>s</sup> .0574	72	+60°01′01″133	65	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.15\pm0.03$
	В	22 <sup>h</sup> 52 <sup>m</sup> 38 <sup>s</sup> 7975	13	+60°00′52.′′820	31	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$
	D	22 <sup>h</sup> 52 <sup>m</sup> 45 <sup>s</sup> 8662	6	+60°00'39'.'764	5	$0.16 \pm 0.01$	$0.17 \pm 0.01$
G108.7575-00.9863	А	22 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 47 <sup>s</sup> 4172	7	+58°45′02.′′032	6	$0.12 \pm 0.01$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$
	В	22h58m48.3396	14	+58°45′09!'333	20	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$
	С	22 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 47 <sup>s</sup> 7203	12	+58°45′29.′′403	17	$0.07 \pm 0.01$	$0.08 \pm 0.01$
	D	22 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 46 <sup>s</sup> 2177	13	+58°45′30.′′910	23	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$
	E	22 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 51 <sup>s</sup> 1438	21	+58°45′12′′804	<u>-</u> 56	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.06 \pm 0.02$
G110.0931-00.0641	A1	23 <sup>h</sup> 05 <sup>m</sup> 25 <sup>s</sup> 1493	7	+60°08′15″604	7	$0.14 \pm 0.01$	$0.18 \pm 0.01$
0110.0991 00.0011	A2	23 <sup>h</sup> 05 <sup>m</sup> 25 <sup>s</sup> 2124	33	+60°08′15″568	25	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.05 \pm 0.01$
	B	23 <sup>h</sup> 05 <sup>m</sup> 25 <sup>s</sup> 0444	8	+60°08′15″807	6	$0.17 \pm 0.01$	$0.31 \pm 0.02$
	C	23 <sup>h</sup> 05 <sup>m</sup> 24 <sup>s</sup> 9678	2	+60°08′16″012	2	$0.42 \pm 0.01$	$0.49 \pm 0.01$
	D	23 <sup>h</sup> 05 <sup>m</sup> 25 <sup>s</sup> 4498	50	+60°08′16″753	26	$0.42 \pm 0.01$ $0.05 \pm 0.01$	$0.49 \pm 0.01$ $0.21 \pm 0.03$
	E	23 <sup>h</sup> 05 <sup>m</sup> 23 <sup>s</sup> 5920	17	+60°09′08′′724	28	$0.03 \pm 0.01$ $0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.21 \pm 0.03$ $0.04 \pm 0.01$
	F	25 05 25.5920	-	+00 09 08. 724	- 20	< 0.02	$< 0.04 \pm 0.01$
G111.2348-01.2385	A	23 <sup>h</sup> 17 <sup>m</sup> 20 <sup>s</sup> .8952	10	- +59°28′47.′′609	4	$0.15 \pm 0.01$	$0.16 \pm 0.01$
G111.2552-00.7702	A Al	23 <sup>h</sup> 16 <sup>m</sup> 10 <sup>s</sup> 3413	10	+59°55′28′′582	5	$0.13 \pm 0.01$ $0.18 \pm 0.01$	$0.10 \pm 0.01$ $0.26 \pm 0.02$
0111.2552-00.7702	A1 A2	23 <sup>h</sup> 16 <sup>m</sup> 10 <sup>s</sup> 2717	90	+59°55′29′′025	35	$0.13 \pm 0.01$ $0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.20 \pm 0.02$ $0.06 \pm 0.02$
	A2 B	23 <sup>h</sup> 16 <sup>m</sup> 09 <sup>s</sup> 7928	90 14	+59°55′14″170	12	$0.03 \pm 0.01$ $0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.00 \pm 0.02$ $0.02 \pm 0.01$
		23 <sup>h</sup> 16 <sup>m</sup> 10 <sup>s</sup> 2051	14 27	+59°55′04″281	12		
	C					$0.05 \pm 0.01$	$0.04 \pm 0.01$
	D	23 <sup>h</sup> 16 <sup>m</sup> 13 <sup>s</sup> 5915	57	+59°56′00.′′239	18	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.06 \pm 0.02$
G111.5671+00.7517	A	23 <sup>h</sup> 14 <sup>m</sup> 01 <sup>s</sup> 7570	3	+61°27′19″785	3	$0.38 \pm 0.01$	$0.42 \pm 0.02$
	B1	23 <sup>h</sup> 14 <sup>m</sup> 01 <sup>s</sup> 5487	34	+61°27′17″558	33	$0.06 \pm 0.01$	$0.19 \pm 0.03$
	B2	23 <sup>h</sup> 14 <sup>m</sup> 01 <sup>s</sup> 6363	40	+61°27′17″956	50	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.13 \pm 0.02$
	С	23 <sup>h</sup> 14 <sup>m</sup> 01 <sup>s</sup> 8471	6	+61°27′21.′′438	5	$0.24 \pm 0.01$	$0.32 \pm 0.02$
	D	23 <sup>h</sup> 14 <sup>m</sup> 01 <sup>s</sup> 5441	16	+61°26′51.″513	24	$0.05 \pm 0.01$	$0.07\pm0.02$
G114.0835+02.8568	A1	23 <sup>h</sup> 28 <sup>m</sup> 27 <sup>s</sup> .8290	11	+64°17′38.′′154	9	$0.10 \pm 0.01$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$
	A2	23 <sup>h</sup> 28 <sup>m</sup> 27 <sup>s</sup> 7639	17	+64°17′38.′′000	12	$0.06 \pm 0.01$	$0.06 \pm 0.01$
	В	23 <sup>h</sup> 28 <sup>m</sup> 27 <sup>s</sup> 4089	78	+64°17′40′′123	49	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.17\pm0.03$
	С	23 <sup>h</sup> 28 <sup>m</sup> 19 <sup>s</sup> 8581	3	+64°17′40.′′088	3	$0.38\pm0.01$	$0.46\pm0.01$
	D	23 <sup>h</sup> 28 <sup>m</sup> 26 <sup>s</sup> 0116	16	+64°18′19.′′902	24	$0.04\pm0.01$	$0.05\pm0.01$
G118.6172-01.3312	А	-	-	-	-	< 0.02	< 0.02
	В	-	-	-	-	< 0.02	< 0.02
G126.7144-00.8220	А	01 <sup>h</sup> 23 <sup>m</sup> 33 <sup>s</sup> .1132	2	+61°48′48.′′795	4	$0.89 \pm 0.02$	$1.28\pm0.04$
	A2	-	-	-	-	< 0.06	< 0.06
G133.7150+01.2155	Q1/K2	02h25m40s6667	5	+62°05′51.′′772	9	$0.86 \pm 0.02$	$0.85 \pm 0.07$
	Q2/K3	02 <sup>h</sup> 25 <sup>m</sup> 40 <sup>s</sup> .6824	8	+62°05′52.′′041	27	$0.42\pm0.02$	$0.85 \pm 0.11$
	Q3/K4	02 <sup>h</sup> 25 <sup>m</sup> 40 <sup>s</sup> 6805	4	+62°05′51.′′485	7	$0.80\pm0.02$	$0.63 \pm 0.06$
	Q4/K6	02h25m40s7317	10	+62°05′49.′′819	18	$0.20 \pm 0.02$	$0.22 \pm 0.04$

 Table A2: Continued

 $S_{5.8}^{\text{Peak}}$ Object Lobe **Right Ascension**  $\delta R.A.$ Declination  $\delta$  Dec.  $S_{5.8}$ [J2000] [mas] [J2000] [mas] mJy beam<sup>-1</sup> [mJy] 02h25m40s6417 7 Q4b +62°05′50″096 8  $0.38 \pm 0.02$  $0.48 \pm 0.05$ Q5/K7 02<sup>h</sup>25<sup>m</sup>40<sup>s</sup>7838 4 +62°05'52"549 7  $0.90 \pm 0.02$  $0.92 \pm 0.08$ Q6/K5 02h25m40s7074 9 +62°05'52''581 17  $0.33 \pm 0.02$  $0.35\pm0.07$ Q7 02h25m40s7608 2 +62°05'52''144 3  $2.14\pm0.02$  $3.38\pm0.11$ Q8 02h25m40s8616 2 +62°05'53".465 4  $2.03\pm0.02$  $3.45\pm0.12$ 02h25m40s8008 5 +62°05′52″872  $1.05\pm0.02$ K8 6  $1.51\pm0.10$ 02h25m40s7560 13 +62°05'51''144 15  $0.65\pm0.02$ Q9  $0.94 \pm 0.14$ 02h25m40s7262 Q10 +62°05'53'.'274  $0.13 \pm 0.02$ 41 41  $0.17 \pm 0.07$  $02^{h}25^{m}40^{s}.8263$ C1 40 +62°05′54″228 69  $0.49 \pm 0.02$  $2.99 \pm 0.42$ 02h25m41s2632 А 14 +62°05'45''603 14  $0.27 \pm 0.02$  $0.69 \pm 0.08$  $02^{h}25^{m}40^{s}.5017$ В 4 +62°06'07.''229 5  $0.52 \pm 0.02$  $0.54 \pm 0.04$ QE1 < 0.07 < 0.07 \_ QE2 < 0.07 < 0.07 02h29m01s9594 G134.2792+00.8561 А 17 +61°33'30'.'910 17  $0.06 \pm 0.01$  $0.08 \pm 0.01$ В 02h29m01s8486 13 +61°33'26'.'961 28  $0.05 \pm 0.01$  $0.06 \pm 0.01$ С 02h29m02s3535 1 +61°32'57.''947 2  $0.63 \pm 0.01$  $0.67 \pm 0.01$ 02h50m08s4898 G136.3833+02.2666 А 11 +61°59'52".065 18  $0.08 \pm 0.01$  $0.07 \pm 0.01$ +61°59′51″831 В 02<sup>h</sup>50<sup>m</sup>07<sup>s</sup>.6460 PP PP  $0.06 \pm 0.01$  $0.95 \pm 0.19$ С 02h50m03s4081 +62°00'05."510 7 6  $0.24 \pm 0.01$  $0.25 \pm 0.02$ D 02h50m10s8178 22  $+62^{\circ}00'47''_{099}$ 38  $0.07 \pm 0.01$  $0.11 \pm 0.03$ 02<sup>h</sup>50<sup>m</sup>12<sup>s</sup>0910 Е 5 +61°57'07."558 6  $0.33 \pm 0.01$  $0.33 \pm 0.02$ 03<sup>h</sup>01<sup>m</sup>31<sup>s</sup>2773 G138.2957+01.5552 А 10 +60°29'12"797 8  $0.13 \pm 0.01$  $0.14\pm0.02$ В 03<sup>h</sup>01<sup>m</sup>31<sup>s</sup>4400 53 +60°29'13".185  $0.04\pm0.01$ 43  $0.12 \pm 0.03$ HII 03h01m34s3510 PP PP +60°29'13".821  $0.09 \pm 0.01$  $3.45 \pm 0.17$ 03h01m33s.7136 С 10 +60°29'26"503 16  $0.07 \pm 0.01$  $0.08\pm0.01$ D 03h01m35s1685 53 +60°29'07."765 17  $0.04 \pm 0.01$  $0.06\pm0.02$ Е 03<sup>h</sup>01<sup>m</sup>30<sup>s</sup>0932 +60°29'55".465 5 6  $0.17\pm0.01$  $0.17 \pm 0.01$ 03h07m24s4921 G139.9091+00.1969A 3 2 А +58°30'42".821  $0.54 \pm 0.01$  $0.60\pm0.02$ 03h07m24s5258 Ab 42 +58°30'42".989 28  $0.07 \pm 0.01$  $0.24 \pm 0.04$ 03<sup>h</sup>07<sup>m</sup>24<sup>s</sup>.4105 148 Ac 63 +58°30'42''460  $0.03 \pm 0.01$  $0.10 \pm 0.03$ В 03h07m24s5659 34 +58°30'52''992 34  $0.06 \pm 0.01$  $0.07 \pm 0.02$ HII 03<sup>h</sup>07<sup>m</sup>23<sup>s</sup>7050 8 +58°30'49".965 8  $0.43 \pm 0.01$  $20.47 \pm 0.17$ 03<sup>h</sup>27<sup>m</sup>38<sup>s</sup>7946 5 +58°47'00''148 G141.9996+01.8202 А 3  $0.28 \pm 0.01$  $0.35 \pm 0.02$ 03<sup>h</sup>27<sup>m</sup>39<sup>s</sup>1272 В 16 +58°47'10"543 8  $0.08 \pm 0.01$  $0.08 \pm 0.01$ 03h27m37s0669 С +58°46'59",424  $0.13 \pm 0.01$  $0.13 \pm 0.01$ 10 6 03h24m50s9655 +54°57'32!'778 G143.8118-01.5699 А 18 12  $0.07 \pm 0.01$  $0.08 \pm 0.01$ 03h24m51s6329 В +54°57'34."119 41 36  $0.04 \pm 0.01$  $0.05 \pm 0.02$ 03h24m53s9752 С 20 +54°57'32."613 15  $0.05 \pm 0.01$  $0.04\pm0.01$ 03h56m15s3811 G148.1201+00.2928 А 17  $+53^{\circ}52'13''040$ 9  $0.06 \pm 0.01$  $0.06 \pm 0.01$ A2 < 0.02 < 0.02 03h56m13.9524 В 26 +53°52'13."480 10  $0.05 \pm 0.01$  $0.04\pm0.01$ С 03<sup>h</sup>56<sup>m</sup>16<sup>s</sup>4354 9 +53°51'50''878 5  $0.14 \pm 0.01$  $0.15 \pm 0.01$ +53°52′04″960 D 03h56m05s4740 3 2  $0.37\pm0.01$  $0.45\pm0.01$ 05h01m39s9173 9 G160.1452+03.1559 А 13 +47°07'21"585  $0.10 \pm 0.01$  $0.12\pm0.02$ В 05<sup>h</sup>01<sup>m</sup>39<sup>s</sup>9988 29 +47°07'18"805 20  $0.04 \pm 0.01$  $0.04 \pm 0.01$ G173.4839+02.4317 A1 05h39m09s9276 8 +35°45'17"214 5  $0.18 \pm 0.01$  $0.21 \pm 0.02$ 05h39m09s9926 23 +35°45'18"349 14  $0.04 \pm 0.01$ A2  $0.04 \pm 0.01$ 05h39m10s8015 19 В 40 +35°45'12"562  $0.04 \pm 0.01$  $0.06 \pm 0.02$ 05h39m12s4734 С 20 29 +35°45'38."587  $0.04 \pm 0.01$  $0.06 \pm 0.02$ 05h39m12s4835 D 26 +35°45'41"770 19  $0.06 \pm 0.01$  $0.10 \pm 0.02$  $05^{h}39^{m}13.0663$ E1 4 +35°45'51."145 3  $0.33 \pm 0.01$  $0.35 \pm 0.01$ 05h39m12s9114 E2 26 +35°45'50."458 26  $0.06 \pm 0.01$  $0.10 \pm 0.02$ 05h39m12.8395 E3 15 +35°45'50."764 15  $0.05 \pm 0.01$  $0.05 \pm 0.01$ 

05h39m12s8024

42

+35°45'51''006

16

 $0.06 \pm 0.01$ 

 $0.10\pm0.02$ 

E4

Table A2: Continued

## 26 S. J. D. Purser, S. L. Lumsden, M. G. Hoare and S. Kurtz

Object	Lobe	Right Ascension	$\delta$ R.A.	Declination	$\delta$ Dec.	S <sup>Peak</sup> 5.8	S <sub>5.8</sub>
		[J2000]	[mas]	[J2000]	[mas]	[mJy beam <sup>-1</sup> ]	[mJy]
	E5	05h39m13s2682	18	+35°45′50.′′282	10	$0.06 \pm 0.01$	$0.05 \pm 0.01$
	E6	05 <sup>h</sup> 39 <sup>m</sup> 13 <sup>s</sup> 3321	38	+35°45′49.′′330	15	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$
	F	05 <sup>h</sup> 39 <sup>m</sup> 10 <sup>s</sup> 0649	13	+35°46′07.′′721	9	$0.09 \pm 0.01$	$0.08 \pm 0.01$
	G	05h39m05s6736	11	+35°44′42″103	10	$0.08 \pm 0.01$	$0.07\pm0.01$
G174.1974-00.0763	А	05h30m46s0701	9	+33°47′54.′′225	6	$0.13 \pm 0.01$	$0.13 \pm 0.01$
	В	05 <sup>h</sup> 30 <sup>m</sup> 48.0185	4	+33°47′54.′′531	5	$0.36 \pm 0.01$	$0.73 \pm 0.02$
	С	05 <sup>h</sup> 30 <sup>m</sup> 42 <sup>s</sup> 3644	1	+33°48′15.′′140	1	$0.87\pm0.01$	$0.89\pm0.01$
G177.7291-00.3358	А	05 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 47 <sup>s</sup> 1493	26	+30°41′18.′′023	7	$0.08 \pm 0.01$	$0.11 \pm 0.02$
	В	05 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 45 <sup>s</sup> 2523	23	+30°41′15.′′647	17	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.07\pm0.01$
G183.3485-00.5751	А	05 <sup>h</sup> 51 <sup>m</sup> 10 <sup>s</sup> 9569	12	+25°46′16.′′919	7	$0.19\pm0.01$	$0.21\pm0.02$
	В	05 <sup>h</sup> 51 <sup>m</sup> 10 <sup>s</sup> 6830	36	+25°46′53.′′978	30	$0.07\pm0.01$	$0.08\pm0.02$
G188.9479+00.8871	А	06 <sup>h</sup> 08 <sup>m</sup> 53 <sup>s</sup> 3351	18	+21°38′28.′′996	8	$0.19\pm0.01$	$0.20 \pm 0.02$
	B1	06 <sup>h</sup> 08 <sup>m</sup> 53 <sup>s</sup> 3116	23	+21°38′13.′′631	16	$0.12\pm0.01$	$0.13 \pm 0.02$
	B2	06 <sup>h</sup> 08 <sup>m</sup> 53 <sup>s</sup> 4159	51	+21°38′13.′′732	94	$0.06 \pm 0.01$	$0.12 \pm 0.03$
	С	06 <sup>h</sup> 08 <sup>m</sup> 55 <sup>s</sup> 7136	20	+21°38′32.′′743	9	$0.14\pm0.01$	$0.15 \pm 0.02$
	D	06 <sup>h</sup> 08 <sup>m</sup> 55 <sup>s</sup> 1220	6	+21°37′55.′′441	3	$0.49\pm0.01$	$0.54\pm0.02$
G189.0307+00.7821	A1	06 <sup>h</sup> 08 <sup>m</sup> 40 <sup>s</sup> 4176	8	+21°31′01.′′199	4	$0.28\pm0.01$	$0.30 \pm 0.02$
	A2	06 <sup>h</sup> 08 <sup>m</sup> 40 <sup>s</sup> 3450	30	+21°31′01.′′432	15	$0.07\pm0.01$	$0.08 \pm 0.02$
	В	06 <sup>h</sup> 08 <sup>m</sup> 40 <sup>s</sup> 6137	23	+21°31′05.′′283	10	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.12 \pm 0.02$
	С	06 <sup>h</sup> 08 <sup>m</sup> 40 <sup>s</sup> 6675	6	+21°31′07.′′204	4	$0.37\pm0.01$	$0.47\pm0.02$
	D	06 <sup>h</sup> 08 <sup>m</sup> 43 <sup>s</sup> 0314	20	+21°30′54.′′808	10	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$
	E	06 <sup>h</sup> 08 <sup>m</sup> 38 <sup>s</sup> 2673	58	+21°30′39.′862	19	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.09\pm0.02$
	F	06 <sup>h</sup> 08 <sup>m</sup> 37 <sup>s</sup> 0129	33	+21°31′10.′′105	19	$0.05 \pm 0.01$	$0.05 \pm 0.01$
G192.6005-00.0479	А	06 <sup>h</sup> 12 <sup>m</sup> 54 <sup>s</sup> 0224	5	+17°59′23.′′136	3	$0.65\pm0.01$	$0.79\pm0.02$
	В	06 <sup>h</sup> 12 <sup>m</sup> 54 <sup>s</sup> .0404	21	+17°59′24.′′072	12	$0.11\pm0.01$	$0.09\pm0.01$
	С	06 <sup>h</sup> 12 <sup>m</sup> 54 <sup>s</sup> 1330	164	+17°59′23.′′775	60	$0.05\pm0.01$	$0.16 \pm 0.04$
	D	06 <sup>h</sup> 12 <sup>m</sup> 53 <sup>s</sup> .8464	7	+17°59′22.′′016	4	$0.46\pm0.01$	$0.69\pm0.02$
	E	06 <sup>h</sup> 12 <sup>m</sup> 54 <sup>s</sup> .0033	32	+17°59′26.′′170	14	$0.08\pm0.01$	$0.09\pm0.02$
	F	06 <sup>h</sup> 12 <sup>m</sup> 53 <sup>s</sup> .7403	36	+17°59′21.′′773	18	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	$0.15\pm0.02$
	G	06 <sup>h</sup> 12 <sup>m</sup> 54 <sup>s</sup> 3384	10	+17°59′24.′′419	7	$0.37\pm0.01$	$0.57 \pm 0.03$
	Н	06 <sup>h</sup> 12 <sup>m</sup> 54 <sup>s</sup> 2984	4	+17°59′33.′′836	2	$0.79\pm0.01$	$0.80 \pm 0.02$
	Ι	06 <sup>h</sup> 12 <sup>m</sup> 55 <sup>s</sup> 1043	42	+17°59′21.′′252	22	$0.07\pm0.01$	$0.07 \pm 0.02$
G196.4542-01.6777	A1	06 <sup>h</sup> 14 <sup>m</sup> 37 <sup>s</sup> 0870	8	+13°49′36.′′587	4	$0.56\pm0.01$	$0.72 \pm 0.02$
	A2	06 <sup>h</sup> 14 <sup>m</sup> 37 <sup>s</sup> .0074	106	+13°49′35.′′864	53	$0.06\pm0.01$	$0.15 \pm 0.03$
	В	06 <sup>h</sup> 14 <sup>m</sup> 36 <sup>s</sup> 9054	34	+13°49′28.′′121	26	$0.11\pm0.01$	$0.30 \pm 0.04$
	С	06 <sup>h</sup> 14 <sup>m</sup> 38 <sup>s</sup> 0374	39	+13°49′34.′′733	20	$0.08\pm0.01$	$0.07\pm0.02$
	D	06 <sup>h</sup> 14 <sup>m</sup> 38 <sup>s</sup> 9911	36	+13°49′43′′917	19	$0.09\pm0.01$	$0.07\pm0.01$

 Table A2: Continued

Table A3: Positions and fluxes at Q-band for all detected sources derived from IMFIT. Entries with no information at all are outside of the Q-band primary beam. Cases with flux upper-limits but no positional data show sources that were detected at C-band but were undetected within the primary beam at Q-band. Quoted errors do not include the uncertainty in the flux scale.

Object	Lobe	Right Ascension [J2000]	δ R.A. [mas]	Declination [J2000]	δ Dec. [mas]	$S_{44}^{\text{Peak}}$ [ mJy beam <sup>-1</sup> ]	S <sub>44</sub> [ mJy]			
IRDC Sample										
G018.82-00.28	Core	18 <sup>h</sup> 26 <sup>m</sup> 23 <sup>s</sup> .6570	5	-12°39′37.′′400	5	$2.37\pm0.06$	$51.00 \pm 1.10$			
G024.08+00.04	А	-	-	-	-	< 0.09	< 0.09			
	B1	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	B2	-	-	-	-	-	-			

Object	Lobe	Right Ascension [J2000]	δ R.A. [mas]	Declination [J2000]	δ Dec. [mas]	$S_{44}^{\text{Peak}}$ [ mJy beam <sup>-1</sup> ]	<i>S</i> <sub>44</sub> [ mJy]
	B3	-	-	-	-	-	-
	В	-	-	-	-	-	-
G024.33+00.11	А	18 <sup>h</sup> 35 <sup>m</sup> 08 <sup>s</sup> 1391	4	-07°35′04.′′122	3	$0.51 \pm 0.04$	$1.18\pm0.12$
	В	-	-	-	-	-	-
	С	18 <sup>h</sup> 35 <sup>m</sup> 07 <sup>s</sup> 9034	2	-07°35′01.′′104	4	$0.34\pm0.04$	$0.36\pm0.07$
	D	-	-	-	-	-	-
G024.60+00.08	А	-	-	-	-	-	-
	В	-	-	-	-	-	-
G028.28-00.34	HII	18 <sup>h</sup> 44 <sup>m</sup> 15 <sup>s</sup> 1103	5	-04°17′55″317	6	$0.71 \pm 0.04$	$5.17 \pm 0.48$
	А	-	-	-	-	-	-
G028.37+00.07	А	18 <sup>h</sup> 42 <sup>m</sup> 51 <sup>s</sup> 9768	22	-03°59′54.′′532	19	$0.22 \pm 0.03$	$0.67\pm0.19$
	A2	18 <sup>h</sup> 42 <sup>m</sup> 51 <sup>s</sup> 9833	3	-03°59′54.′′047	6	$0.24 \pm 0.03$	$0.26 \pm 0.06$
	A3	18 <sup>h</sup> 42 <sup>m</sup> 51 <sup>s</sup> 9878	5	-03°59′53′′914	9	$0.28 \pm 0.03$	$1.20 \pm 0.16$
	В	-	-	-	-	-	-
	С	-	-	-	-	-	-
	D	-	-	-	-	-	-
G028.67+00.13	HII	-	-	-	-	< 0.10	< 0.10
G033.69-00.01	А	-	-	-	-	< 0.11	< 0.11
			MYSO Sa	mple			
G033.6437-00.2277	А	18 <sup>h</sup> 53 <sup>m</sup> 32 <sup>s</sup> 5641	10	+00°31′39.′′188	6	$0.17 \pm 0.03$	$0.34 \pm 0.11$
	В	-	-	-	-	< 0.16	< 0.16
	C	_	-	-	-	-	-
	D	18 <sup>h</sup> 53 <sup>m</sup> 31 <sup>s</sup> 9983	10	+00°31′39.′′733	18	$0.17 \pm 0.03$	$0.37 \pm 0.12$
G035.1979-00.7427	5	18 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 12 <sup>s</sup> 9294	2	+01°40′39′′478	2	$0.17 \pm 0.03$ $0.29 \pm 0.04$	$0.37 \pm 0.12$ $0.22 \pm 0.05$
0033.1979-00.7427	6	18 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 12 <sup>s</sup> 8135	0	+01°40′36′′661	0	$0.29 \pm 0.04$ $1.88 \pm 0.04$	$0.22 \pm 0.03$ $2.12 \pm 0.07$
	0 7	18 <sup>5</sup> 8 <sup>m</sup> 13 <sup>s</sup> 0357		+01°40′35′′′990		$1.88 \pm 0.04$ $2.33 \pm 0.04$	$2.12 \pm 0.07$ $2.92 \pm 0.01$
		18 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 13 <sup>s</sup> 0434	0		1		
	8		1	+01°40′35′′337	1	$0.76 \pm 0.04$	$1.16 \pm 0.08$
	Core A	18 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 12 <sup>s</sup> 9520	1	+01°40′37.′′430	1	$0.97 \pm 0.04$	$1.12 \pm 0.07$
	14	-	-	-	-	< 0.11	< 0.11
	15	-	-	-	-	< 0.11	< 0.11
	13	-	-	-	-	< 0.11	< 0.11
	4	-	-	-	-	< 0.11	< 0.11
	EX-S	-	-	-	-	< 0.11	< 0.11
	EX-N	-	-	-	-	< 0.11	< 0.11
G035.1992-01.7424	HII	-	-	-	-	< 0.13	< 0.13
	В	19 <sup>h</sup> 01 <sup>m</sup> 45 <sup>s</sup> .1878	2	+01°13′35′′315	3	$0.30\pm0.04$	$0.29\pm0.06$
G037.4266+01.5183	А	-	-	-	-	< 0.12	< 0.12
	В	18 <sup>h</sup> 54 <sup>m</sup> 14 <sup>s</sup> 2435	1	+04°41′40.′′858	1	$0.51 \pm 0.04$	$0.54\pm0.07$
	С	-	-	-	-	< 0.15	< 0.15
G056.3694-00.6333	А	-	-	-	-	< 0.10	< 0.10
	В	-	-	-	-	< 0.10	< 0.10
	С	-	-	-	-	< 0.10	< 0.10
	D	-	-	-	-	< 0.10	< 0.10
G077.5671+03.6911		-	-	-	-	< 0.09	< 0.09
	А	-	-	-	-	< 0.14	< 0.14
G078.8699+02.7602	А	20 <sup>h</sup> 20 <sup>m</sup> 30 <sup>s</sup> 5963	1	+41°21′26.′′263	1	$1.17\pm0.03$	$2.21\pm0.09$
	В	20 <sup>h</sup> 20 <sup>m</sup> 30 <sup>s</sup> .5672	7	+41°21′31.′′282	8	$0.12 \pm 0.03$	$0.22 \pm 0.07$
	С	20h20m28s2386	1	+41°21′51′′́437	1	$1.62 \pm 0.08$	$1.59 \pm 0.13$
	D	-	-	-	-	-	-
	E	-	-	-	-	< 0.11	< 0.11
G079.8855+02.5517	Ċ	20 <sup>h</sup> 24 <sup>m</sup> 31 <sup>s</sup> 5511	1	+42°04′13′′493	1	$0.69 \pm 0.03$	$0.85 \pm 0.06$
	Ē	-	-	-	-	-	-
	B1	20 <sup>h</sup> 24 <sup>m</sup> 31 <sup>s</sup> 6664	4	+42°04′22′′328	3	$0.31 \pm 0.03$	$0.43 \pm 0.07$
	B1 B2	20 <sup>h</sup> 24 <sup>m</sup> 31 <sup>s</sup> .6716	4	+42°04′22.′328	3	$0.31 \pm 0.03$ $0.37 \pm 0.03$	$0.43 \pm 0.07$ $0.57 \pm 0.08$
	D B2	20 <sup>1</sup> 24 <sup>m</sup> 31 <sup>s</sup> 7096	4	+42°04′22. 500 +42°04′33.′′009	3		
	U	20-24-31:/090	4	+42 04 35, 009	3	$0.35 \pm 0.03$	$0.61 \pm 0.10$

Table A3: Continued

28	S. J. D. Purser, S.	L. Lumsden, M	I. G. Hoare and S. Kurtz
----	---------------------	---------------	--------------------------

Object	Lobe	Right Ascension [J2000]	δ R.A. [mas]	Declination [J2000]	δ Dec. [mas]	$S_{44}^{\text{Peak}}$ [ mJy beam <sup>-1</sup> ]	<i>S</i> <sub>44</sub> [ mJy]
G081.8652+00.7800	VLA1	20 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 36 <sup>s</sup> 4394	1	+42°37′34′′885	1	$1.49 \pm 0.04$	$4.01 \pm 0.20$
0001.0052100.7000	VLA2	20 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 36 <sup>s</sup> 4855	1	+42°37′34″096	1	$1.34 \pm 0.04$	$1.62 \pm 0.10$
	VLA2 VLA3	20 <sup>h</sup> 38 <sup>m</sup> 36 <sup>s</sup> 4841	0	+42°37′33′′403	0	$7.75 \pm 0.04$	$1.02 \pm 0.10$ 14.69 ± 0.1
	W75NBc	20 38 30:4841	0	+42 57 55. 405	0	< 0.12	$14.09 \pm 0.1$ < 0.12
		-	-	-	-		
	W75NBc2	-	-	-	-	< 0.12	< 0.12
	VLA4	-	-	-	-	< 0.12	< 0.12
	VLA5	-	-	-	-	< 0.12	< 0.12
	VLA6	-	-	-	-	< 0.12	< 0.12
	VLA7	- 	-	-	-	< 0.12	< 0.12
	А	20h38m35s3769	1	+42°37′13′′803	1	$1.07\pm0.05$	$1.29 \pm 0.10$
	HII	-	-	-	-	< 0.20	< 0.20
G083.7071+03.2817	А	20h33m36s5578	2	+45°35′43′′955	1	$0.55\pm0.03$	$0.68 \pm 0.00$
	В	-	-	-	-	< 0.09	< 0.09
G084.9505-00.6910	А	-	-	-	-	-	-
	В	20 <sup>h</sup> 55 <sup>m</sup> 32 <sup>s</sup> 5073	5	+44°06′10.′′259	2	$0.25 \pm 0.03$	$0.28 \pm 0.05$
G094.2615-00.4116	A1	21h32m30s6156	6	+51°02′15.′′950	9	$0.20 \pm 0.04$	$0.24 \pm 0.08$
	A2	-	_	-	_	< 0.12	< 0.12
	В	-	-	-	-	-	-
G094.3228-00.1671	A	21h31m45s0406	2	+51°15′37.′′019	1	$0.39 \pm 0.04$	$0.41 \pm 0.06$
G094.4637-00.8043	A	21 <sup>h</sup> 35 <sup>m</sup> 09 <sup>s</sup> 1301	2	+50°53′08′′984	1	$0.59 \pm 0.04$ $0.54 \pm 0.04$	$0.66 \pm 0.08$
0094.4037-00.8043	A A2	21 <sup>h</sup> 35 <sup>m</sup> 09 <sup>s</sup> 1176	2	+50°53′08.′′819	1 2	$0.34 \pm 0.04$ $0.45 \pm 0.04$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$ $0.47 \pm 0.07$
		21"35" 09:11/6		+50, 53, 08, 819	2		
	В	-	-	-	-	< 0.12	< 0.12
	С	21h35m09s0723	1	+50°53′09.′′795	1	$0.62 \pm 0.04$	$0.76 \pm 0.08$
	D	-	-	-	-	< 0.12	< 0.12
	E	-	-	-	-	< 0.12	< 0.12
G094.6028-01.7966	А	21 <sup>h</sup> 39 <sup>m</sup> 58 <sup>s</sup> 2830	2	+50°14′20′.′940	1	$0.60\pm0.04$	$0.62 \pm 0.07$
G100.3779-03.5784	А	22 <sup>h</sup> 16 <sup>m</sup> 10 <sup>s</sup> 3637	3	+52°21′34.′′127	2	$0.39 \pm 0.04$	$0.45 \pm 0.07$
	В	-	-	-	-	< 0.12	< 0.12
G102.8051-00.7184B		-	-	-	-	< 0.11	< 0.11
	А	-	-	-	-	< 0.24	< 0.24
G103.8744+01.8558	А	22 <sup>h</sup> 15 <sup>m</sup> 09 <sup>s</sup> 2335	30	+58°49′08.′′748	16	$0.20 \pm 0.04$	$1.56 \pm 0.34$
	В	-	-	-	-	< 0.11	< 0.11
	С	-	-	-	-	< 0.11	< 0.11
	D	-	-	-	_	< 0.11	< 0.11
	E	-	-	_	_	< 0.12	< 0.12
	F	-	-	_	_	< 0.12	< 0.12
G105.5072+00.2294		22 <sup>h</sup> 32 <sup>m</sup> 23 <sup>s</sup> .7715	3	+58°19′00.′′284	2	$0.43 \pm 0.04$	$0.51 \pm 0.07$
G107.6823-02.2423A	A	22 <sup>h</sup> 55 <sup>m</sup> 29 <sup>s</sup> 8219	26	+57°09′24′′814	24	$0.17 \pm 0.03$	$0.51 \pm 0.01$ $0.55 \pm 0.19$
0107.0625-02.2425A	A HII	22 33 29.0219	20	+37 09 24. 014	24	$< 0.17 \pm 0.03$	$0.55 \pm 0.15$ < 0.10
C100 1044 05 5107		-	-	-	-		
G108.1844+05.5187	A	22 <sup>h</sup> 28 <sup>m</sup> 51 <sup>s</sup> 4120	3	+64°13′41.″016	2	$0.75 \pm 0.04$	$1.39 \pm 0.13$
	В	-	-	-	-	-	-
G108.4714-02.8176	А	23 <sup>h</sup> 02 <sup>m</sup> 32 <sup>s</sup> 0739	7	+56°57′51.′′366	3	$0.26 \pm 0.04$	$0.34 \pm 0.10$
G108.5955+00.4935A	А	-	-	-	-	< 0.13	< 0.13
	С	-	-	-	-	< 0.13	< 0.13
	В	-	-	-	-	< 0.14	< 0.14
	D	-	-	-	-	-	-
G108.7575-00.9863	А	22 <sup>h</sup> 58 <sup>m</sup> 47 <sup>s</sup> 4166	2	+58°45′02.′′043	1	$0.69 \pm 0.04$	$0.84 \pm 0.09$
	В	-	-	-	-	< 0.14	< 0.14
	С	-	-	-	-	< 0.26	< 0.26
	D	-	-	-	-	< 0.31	< 0.31
	Ē	-	-	-	-	< 0.29	< 0.29
G110.0931-00.0641	A1	-	-	-	-	< 0.13	< 0.13
	A2	-	-	-	-	< 0.13	< 0.13
	B	23 <sup>h</sup> 05 <sup>m</sup> 25 <sup>s</sup> .0417	8	- +60°08′15″756	5	$0.27 \pm 0.04$	$0.61 \pm 0.10$
	Б С	23 <sup>h</sup> 05 <sup>m</sup> 24 <sup>s</sup> 9591					
			5	+60°08′16.′′028	6	$0.24 \pm 0.04$	$0.41 \pm 0.12$
	D	-	-	-	-	< 0.13	< 0.13

Table A3: Continued

Object	Lobe	Right Ascension	$\delta$ R.A.	Declination	$\delta$ Dec.	$S_{44}^{\text{Peak}}$	$S_{44}$
		[J2000]	[mas]	[J2000]	[mas]	[mJy beam <sup>-1</sup> ]	[mJy]
	Е	-	-	-	-	-	-
	F	23 <sup>h</sup> 05 <sup>m</sup> 25 <sup>s</sup> 2319	5	+60°08′14″691	2	$0.23 \pm 0.04$	$0.20\pm0.06$
G111.2348-01.2385	А	23 <sup>h</sup> 17 <sup>m</sup> 20 <sup>s</sup> 8927	1	+59°28′47.′′606	1	$1.04\pm0.04$	$1.46\pm0.09$
G111.2552-00.7702	A1	23 <sup>h</sup> 16 <sup>m</sup> 10 <sup>s</sup> 3320	2	+59°55′28.′′611	2	$0.34 \pm 0.04$	$0.35\pm0.07$
	A2	-	-	-	-	< 0.11	< 0.11
	В	-	-	-	-	< 0.13	< 0.13
	С	-	-	-	-	< 0.18	< 0.18
	D	-	-	-	-	-	-
G111.5671+00.7517	А	23 <sup>h</sup> 14 <sup>m</sup> 01 <sup>s</sup> 7548	0	+61°27′19.′′788	1	$1.94 \pm 0.04$	$2.46\pm0.10$
	B1	-	-	-	-	< 0.12	< 0.12
	B2	-	-	-	-	< 0.12	< 0.12
	С	-	-	-	-	< 0.12	< 0.12
	D	-	-	-	-	< 0.23	< 0.23
G118.6172-01.3312	А	00 <sup>h</sup> 15 <sup>m</sup> 27 <sup>s</sup> .8538	4	+61°14′19.′′285	6	$0.27\pm0.04$	$0.38\pm0.10$
	В	00 <sup>h</sup> 15 <sup>m</sup> 27 <sup>s</sup> 8247	10	+61°14′19.′′039	8	$0.18 \pm 0.04$	$0.17\pm0.07$
G126.7144-00.8220	А	01 <sup>h</sup> 23 <sup>m</sup> 33 <sup>s</sup> 1088	0	+61°48′48.′′769	0	$4.00\pm0.05$	$5.50\pm0.18$
	A2	01h23m33s1053	1	+61°48′48.′′920	2	$1.13\pm0.05$	$1.36 \pm 0.16$
G133.7150+01.2155	Q1/K2	-	-	-	-	< 0.21	< 0.21
	Q2/K3	02h25m40s6731	2	+62°05′52.′′051	1	$1.14\pm0.07$	$1.18\pm0.12$
	Q3/K4	02 <sup>h</sup> 25 <sup>m</sup> 40 <sup>s</sup> .6778	1	+62°05′51.′′522	1	$2.06\pm0.07$	$2.96 \pm 0.17$
	Q4/K6	02h25m40s7274	1	+62°05′49′.′862	1	$1.45 \pm 0.07$	$1.46 \pm 0.12$
	Q4b	-	-	-	-	< 0.21	< 0.21
	Q5/K7	02 <sup>h</sup> 25 <sup>m</sup> 40 <sup>s</sup> 7810	0	+62°05′52.′′502	1	$3.61 \pm 0.07$	$5.16 \pm 0.17$
	Q6/K5	02h25m40s7101	10	+62°05′52.′′632	4	$0.49 \pm 0.07$	$1.14 \pm 0.25$
	Q7	02 <sup>h</sup> 25 <sup>m</sup> 40 <sup>s</sup> 7548	2	+62°05′52′′059	2	$1.27 \pm 0.07$	$2.41 \pm 0.22$
	Q8	02 <sup>h</sup> 25 <sup>m</sup> 40 <sup>s</sup> 8653	2	+62°05′53′′573	2	$1.03 \pm 0.07$	$1.96 \pm 0.21$
	K8	-	-	-	-	< 0.21	< 0.21
	Q9	-	-	-	-	< 0.21	< 0.21
	Q10	-	-	-	-	< 0.21	< 0.21
	C1	-	-	-	-	< 0.21	< 0.21
	A	-	-	-	-	< 0.22	< 0.22
	В	02 <sup>h</sup> 25 <sup>m</sup> 40 <sup>s</sup> 4985	3	+62°06′07.′′234	2	$0.47 \pm 0.07$	$0.41 \pm 0.10$
	QE1	02 <sup>h</sup> 25 <sup>m</sup> 42 <sup>s</sup> 6240	1	+62°05′43″028	0	$3.91 \pm 0.08$	$4.15 \pm 0.15$
	QE2	$02^{h}25^{m}40^{s}.3032$	1	+62°05′46′′383	1	$1.04 \pm 0.06$	$1.10 \pm 0.13$ $1.20 \pm 0.13$
G134.2792+00.8561	A A	-	-	-	-	< 0.15	< 0.15
0151.2792100.0501	В	_	_	-	-	< 0.16	< 0.15
	C	_	_	-	_	-	-
G136.3833+02.2666	A	02 <sup>h</sup> 50 <sup>m</sup> 08 <sup>s</sup> 4906	3	+61°59′52.′′067	2	$0.43 \pm 0.05$	$0.47 \pm 0.09$
0150.5055+02.2000	B	02 30 08.4900	5		-	< 0.15	< 0.15
	C	_	_	-	_	< 0.15	< 0.15
	D	_	_	-	_	_	-
	E	_	_	_	_	_	_
G138.2957+01.5552	A	- 03 <sup>h</sup> 01 <sup>m</sup> 31 <sup>s</sup> 2759	2	- +60°29′12′′790	- 1	$-0.66 \pm 0.06$	$-0.55 \pm 0.09$
5120.2727101.2222	B	-	-	-	-	< 0.18	< 0.18
	HII	_	-	-	-	< 0.18	< 0.18
	C	-	-	-	-	< 0.27	< 0.27
	D	-	-	-	-	< 0.27	< 0.27
	E	_	_	_	_	- 0.57	
G139.9091+00.1969A	A	- 03 <sup>h</sup> 07 <sup>m</sup> 24 <sup>s</sup> 4912	2	- +58°30′42′′803	1	$1.07 \pm 0.06$	$1.39 \pm 0.14$
G157.7071T00.1707A	Ab		-		1	< 0.19	$1.39 \pm 0.14$ < 0.19
	Ab Ac	-	-	-	-	< 0.19	< 0.19 < 0.19
		- 03 <sup>h</sup> 07 <sup>m</sup> 24 <sup>s</sup> 5713	- 4	- +58°30′52′′974	2	< 0.19 $0.60 \pm 0.07$	
	В	05 07 24:5/13	4	+30 30 32. 914	2		$0.70 \pm 0.14$
C141 0006 01 9000	HII	- Andramaesanaa	-	-	-	< 0.20	< 0.20
G141.9996+01.8202	A	03 <sup>h</sup> 27 <sup>m</sup> 38 <sup>s</sup> .7937	2	+58°47′00.′′144	2	$0.75 \pm 0.06$	$1.04 \pm 0.14$
	B	-	-	-	-	< 0.20	< 0.20
	С	-	-	-	-	< 0.21	< 0.21

Table A3: Continued

Object	Lobe	Right Ascension [J2000]	δ R.A. [mas]	Declination [J2000]	δ Dec. [mas]	$S_{44}^{\text{Peak}}$ [ mJy beam <sup>-1</sup> ]	<i>S</i> <sub>44</sub> [ mJy]
G143.8118-01.5699	А	-	-	-	-	< 0.16	< 0.16
	В	-	-	-	-	< 0.16	< 0.16
	С	-	-	-	-	< 0.27	< 0.27
G148.1201+00.2928	А	03 <sup>h</sup> 56 <sup>m</sup> 15 <sup>s</sup> 3893	12	+53°52′13″015	2	$0.28 \pm 0.05$	$0.39 \pm 0.11$
	A2	03 <sup>h</sup> 56 <sup>m</sup> 15 <sup>s</sup> 3749	9	+53°52′13.′′074	3	$0.23 \pm 0.05$	$0.24 \pm 0.08$
	В	-	-	-	-	< 0.17	< 0.17
	С	-	-	-	-	< 0.24	< 0.24
	D	-	-	-	-	-	-

 Table A3: Continued

Table A4: Deconvolved sizes and position angles at both C and Q-band for all detected sources. All values were derived using IMFIT.

Object	Lobe	$\theta_{\mathrm{maj}}^{5.8}$ [mas]	$\theta_{\min}^{5.8}$ [mas]	$ heta_{\mathrm{PA}}^{5.8}$ [°]	$\theta_{maj}^{44}$ [mas]	$\theta_{\min}^{44}$ [mas]	$ heta_{\mathrm{PA}}^{44}$ [°]
			RDC Sample		[]	[]	
G018.82-00.28	Core	$417 \pm 7$	$\frac{12.6 \text{ Sumple}}{324 \pm 3}$	$134 \pm 2$	577 ± 58	$417 \pm 42$	$116 \pm 10$
G018.82-00.28 G024.08+00.04	A	$417 \pm 7$ 817 ± 25	$324 \pm 3$ 742 ± 26	$134 \pm 2$ $102 \pm 16$	$311 \pm 38$	$41/\pm 42$	$110 \pm 10$
0024.08+00.04	B1	$641 \pm 35$	$742 \pm 20$ 367 ± 21	$102 \pm 10$ 137 ± 4	-	-	-
	B1 B2	$1496 \pm 22$	$307 \pm 21$ 219 ± 5	$137 \pm 4$ 144 ± 0	-	-	-
	В2 В3	$1490 \pm 22$ 1765 ± 97	$219 \pm 3$ 650 ± 51	$144 \pm 0$ 36 ± 2	-	-	-
	В	1/03 ± 9/	$030 \pm 31$	$50 \pm 2$	-	-	-
G024.33+00.11	Б А	$-179 \pm 36$	$-111 \pm 92$	$-122 \pm 29$	- 111 ± 14	$-26 \pm 13$	$-118 \pm 5$
0024.55+00.11					$111 \pm 14$	$20 \pm 13$	$118 \pm 3$
	B	-	-	-	-		150 2
	C		-		77 ± 11	$33 \pm 2$	$158 \pm 3$
<b>CO2</b> 4 (0,00,00	D	$296 \pm 9$	$187 \pm 14$	$111 \pm 4$	-	-	-
G024.60+00.08	A	$194 \pm 76$	$124 \pm 76$	$149 \pm 63$	-	-	-
G000 00 00 04	В	< 180	< 88	-	-	-	-
G028.28-00.34	HII	$2750 \pm 56$	$1171 \pm 25$	$99 \pm 1$	$162 \pm 18$	$139 \pm 11$	$22 \pm 15$
	A	< 170	< 56	-		-	-
G028.37+00.07	A	-	-	-	$220 \pm 70$	$63 \pm 28$	$129 \pm 10$
	A2	-	-	-	55 ± 29	$4 \pm 18$	$164 \pm 56$
	A3	< 740	< 180	-	$176 \pm 26$	$72 \pm 15$	$25 \pm 7$
	В	$99 \pm 22$	$53 \pm 37$	$167 \pm 36$	-	-	-
	С	-	-	-	-	-	-
	D	$315 \pm 12$	$139 \pm 23$	$71 \pm 3$	-	-	-
G028.67+00.13	HII	$4044 \pm 51$	$3173 \pm 40$	$151 \pm 2$	-	-	-
G033.69-00.01	А	$1070 \pm 48$	$1049 \pm 47$	$39 \pm 86$	-	-	-
		М	YSO Sample				
G033.6437-00.2277	А	$271 \pm 122$	$182 \pm 82$	$2 \pm 50$	$79 \pm 27$	$31 \pm 32$	$80 \pm 31$
	В	-	-	-	-	-	-
	С	$160 \pm 33$	$36 \pm 80$	$83 \pm 27$	-	-	-
	D	-	-	-	$135 \pm 56$	$39 \pm 28$	$153 \pm 13$
G035.1979-00.7427	5	< 66	< 38	-	-	-	-
	6	$89 \pm 70$	$16 \pm 69$	$136 \pm 38$	$20 \pm 4$	$12 \pm 4$	$158 \pm 30$
	7	$456 \pm 24$	$224 \pm 18$	$137 \pm 4$	$34 \pm 2$	$9 \pm 4$	$166 \pm 5$
	8	$314 \pm 10$	$134 \pm 15$	$24 \pm 3$	$40 \pm 6$	$29 \pm 5$	$161 \pm 35$
	Core A	-	-	-	< 27	< 15	-
	14	$382 \pm 12$	$207 \pm 12$	$16 \pm 3$	-	-	-
	15	$340 \pm 37$	$204 \pm 27$	$156 \pm 10$	-	-	-
	13	$327 \pm 63$	$64 \pm 129$	$52 \pm 16$	-	-	-
	4	$606 \pm 25$	$232 \pm 14$	$145 \pm 2$	-	-	-
	EX-S	$784 \pm 282$	$281 \pm 263$	$115 \pm 20$	-	_	-

Dbject	Lobe	$\theta_{\rm maj}^{5.8}$ [mas]	$\theta_{\min}^{5.8}$ [mas]	$ heta_{\mathrm{PA}}^{5.8}$ [°]	$\theta_{maj}^{44}$ [mas]	$\theta_{\min}^{44}$ [mas]	$ heta_{PA}^{44}$ [°]
	EX-N	$932 \pm 68$	$331 \pm 31$	$3 \pm 2$	_	_	_
G035.1992-01.7424	HII	$3860 \pm 79$	$3800 \pm 78$	$120 \pm 54$	-	-	-
	В	-	-	-	-	-	-
G037.4266+01.5183	Ă	_	-	-	-	_	-
3037.1200101.5105	В	_	_	_	< 22	< 19	_
	C	_	_	_	-	< 1 <i>)</i>	_
G056.3694-00.6333	A	$350 \pm 133$	$210 \pm 172$	$59 \pm 82$			
3030.3094-00.0333	B	$350 \pm 135$ $359 \pm 179$	$210 \pm 172$ $202 \pm 176$	$39 \pm 62$ 76 ± 67	-	-	-
	Б С	$339 \pm 179$ 1100 ± 360	$202 \pm 170$ $800 \pm 290$	$135 \pm 41$	-	-	-
	D				-	-	-
2077 5671 .02 6011	D	$450 \pm 237$	$202 \pm 188$	$165 \pm 34$	-	-	-
3077.5671+03.6911		-	-	-	-	-	-
	A	$80 \pm 145$	$60 \pm 66$	$106 \pm 74$			-
G078.8699+02.7602	A	< 210	< 130	-	$71 \pm 4$	$36 \pm 5$	$149 \pm 6$
	В	$361 \pm 137$	$112 \pm 112$	$36 \pm 64$	$57 \pm 20$	$33 \pm 35$	$179 \pm 119$
	С	< 160	< 39	-	-	-	-
	D	$96 \pm 22$	$60 \pm 50$	$95 \pm 38$	-	-	-
	E	-	-	-	-	-	-
G079.8855+02.5517	С	-	-	-	$31 \pm 8$	$20 \pm 18$	$168 \pm 89$
	Е	-	-	-	-	-	-
	B1	-	-	-	< 77	< 26	-
	B2	-	-	-	$67 \pm 15$	$20 \pm 14$	$131 \pm 15$
	D	-	-	-	$64 \pm 18$	$37 \pm 20$	$123 \pm 45$
6081.8652+00.7800	VLA1	$124 \pm 9$	$109 \pm 11$	$103 \pm 35$	$81 \pm 5$	$40 \pm 4$	$35 \pm 4$
	VLA2	$469 \pm 20$	$177 \pm 15$	$24 \pm 2$	$24 \pm 6$	$10 \pm 11$	$30 \pm 32$
	VLA3	$207 \pm 8$	$51 \pm 31$	$166 \pm 3$	$53 \pm 1$	$10 \pm 11$ 26 ± 1	$163 \pm 2$
	W75NBc	$207 \pm 0$ $238 \pm 11$	$223 \pm 10$	$35 \pm 69$	55 ± 1	20 ± 1	105 ± 2
	W75NBc2	$253 \pm 11$ $253 \pm 14$	$189 \pm 14$	$55 \pm 09$ 57 ± 10	-	-	-
					-	-	-
	VLA4	$211 \pm 30$	$154 \pm 36$	$88 \pm 28$	-	-	-
	VLA5	$330 \pm 231$	$159 \pm 216$	$113 \pm 63$	-	-	-
	VLA6	$274 \pm 132$	$230 \pm 134$	$70 \pm 88$	-	-	-
	VLA7	$747 \pm 126$	$507 \pm 94$	$173 \pm 21$	-	-	-
	А	-	-	-	< 36	< 11	-
	HII	$7529 \pm 114$	$5589 \pm 84$	$7 \pm 2$	-	-	-
G083.7071+03.2817	А	$237 \pm 29$	$45 \pm 61$	$118 \pm 9$	$44 \pm 10$	$12 \pm 10$	$111 \pm 18$
	В	-	-	-	-	-	-
G084.9505-00.6910	А	$173 \pm 46$	$103 \pm 84$	$51 \pm 81$	-	-	-
	В	-	-	-	-	-	-
G094.2615-00.4116	A1	$535 \pm 114$	$95 \pm 72$	$112 \pm 7$	-	-	-
	A2	$322 \pm 59$	$175 \pm 144$	$5 \pm 92$	-	-	-
	В	$302 \pm 111$	$101 \pm 91$	$81 \pm 68$	-	-	-
6094.3228-00.1671	А	-	-	-	< 26	< 9	-
G094.4637-00.8043	A	$413 \pm 34$	$144 \pm 52$	$54 \pm 6$	$28 \pm 11$	$15 \pm 16$	$148 \pm 78$
	A2	_	_	-	< 34	< 11	_
	В	< 290	< 150	-	-	_	-
	C	< 190	< 120	_	< 38	< 13	-
	D	$279 \pm 130$	$176 \pm 81$	$102 \pm 86$	-	< 15	_
	E D	$279 \pm 130$ < 420	$170 \pm 81$ < 100	$102 \pm 80$	-	_	-
2004 6028 01 7066					-	-	-
094.6028-01.7966	A	$149 \pm 44$	$92 \pm 53$	$91 \pm 71$	-	-	-
100.3779-03.5784	A	-	-	-	< 40	< 3	-
	В	$399 \pm 144$	$288 \pm 175$	$69 \pm 61$	-	-	-
G102.8051-00.7184B		-	-	-	-	-	-
	А	-	-	-	-	-	-
G103.8744+01.8558	А	$464 \pm 6$	$393 \pm 6$	$90 \pm 4$	$334 \pm 77$	$118 \pm 28$	$66 \pm 7$
	В	$291 \pm 40$	$140 \pm 61$	$114 \pm 13$	-	-	-
	С	$381 \pm 82$	$206 \pm 85$	$84 \pm 23$	-	-	-
	D	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table A4: Continued

# 32 S. J. D. Purser, S. L. Lumsden, M. G. Hoare and S. Kurtz

Object	Lobe	$ heta_{\mathrm{maj}}^{5.8}$ [mas]	$\theta_{\min}^{5.8}$ [mas]	$ heta_{\mathrm{PA}}^{5.8}$ [°]	$ heta_{ m maj}^{ m 44}$ [mas]	$\theta_{\min}^{44}$ [mas]	$ heta_{ extsf{PA}}^{44}$ [°]
	F	-	-	-	-	-	-
G105.5072+00.2294	Ā	$208 \pm 79$	$51 \pm 128$	$113 \pm 31$	$25 \pm 20$	$22 \pm 16$	$106 \pm 46$
G107.6823-02.2423A	А	< 650	< 240	_	$226 \pm 85$	$76 \pm 49$	$135 \pm 18$
	HII	$2680 \pm 280$	$2290 \pm 240$	$101 \pm 41$		-	-
G108.1844+05.5187	A	$1410 \pm 330$	$830 \pm 200$	$42 \pm 18$	$79 \pm 11$	$16 \pm 10$	$42 \pm 7$
	В	$576 \pm 103$	$410 \pm 91$	$24 \pm 68$	-	-	-
G108.4714-02.8176	Ā	< 190	< 120		$40 \pm 28$	$22 \pm 11$	$101 \pm 25$
G108.5955+00.4935A	A	-	-	_	-	-	-
0100107001001170011	C	$857 \pm 218$	$485 \pm 144$	$130 \pm 17$	-	-	-
	В	-	-	-	-	-	-
	D	< 170	< 100	_	_	_	_
G108.7575-00.9863	A	-	-	_	< 35	< 18	_
0100.7575 00.7005	В	-	_	_	-	-	-
	C	< 300	< 98	_	_	-	_
	D	< 500	-	_	_	_	_
	E	< 610	< 140				
G110.0931-00.0641	A1	< 010 195 ± 37	< 140 138 ± 50	$-49 \pm 82$	-	-	-
G110.0731-00.00 <del>4</del> 1	A1 A2	$195 \pm 37$ 287 ± 116	$138 \pm 30$ $136 \pm 110$	$49 \pm 82$ $68 \pm 31$	-	-	-
	B	$397 \pm 28$	$130 \pm 110$ 177 ± 28	$116 \pm 4$	$-76 \pm 32$	$42 \pm 31$	$123 \pm 52$
	C	$170 \pm 13$	$57 \pm 49$	$110 \pm 4$ $116 \pm 7$	$70 \pm 32$ 53 ± 15	$42 \pm 31$ 8 ± 41	$123 \pm 32$ $173 \pm 124$
	D	$170 \pm 13$ 857 ± 132	$37 \pm 49$ 379 ± 74	$110 \pm 7$ $107 \pm 7$	55 ± 15 -	0 ± 41 -	$173 \pm 124$
							-
	E	-	-	-	-	-	-
0111 0240 01 0205	F	-	-	-	-	-	-
G111.2348-01.2385	A	< 250	< 49	-	$35 \pm 4$	$16 \pm 5$	$173 \pm 9$
G111.2552-00.7702	A1	$298 \pm 47$	$123 \pm 54$	$128 \pm 14$	-	-	-
	A2	$539 \pm 265$	$196 \pm 182$	$106 \pm 70$	-	-	-
	B	-	-	-	-	-	-
	C	-	-	-	-	-	-
	D	$473 \pm 216$	$100 \pm 88$	$78 \pm 27$	-	-	-
G111.5671+00.7517	A	$145 \pm 24$	$67 \pm 38$	$49 \pm 21$	$28 \pm 3$	$16 \pm 4$	$16 \pm 16$
	B1	$644 \pm 107$	$445 \pm 81$	$50 \pm 26$	-	-	-
	B2	$773 \pm 152$	$365 \pm 88$	$36 \pm 10$	-	-	-
	С	$249 \pm 26$	$77 \pm 41$	$117 \pm 8$	-	-	-
	D	$249 \pm 91$	$74 \pm 114$	$168 \pm 30$	-	-	-
G114.0835+02.8568	A1	$258 \pm 50$	$41 \pm 90$	$117 \pm 12$		Observed at 9	-
	A2	-	-	-		Observed at g	
	В	$1020 \pm 210$	$440 \pm 110$	$116 \pm 9$		Observed at 9	-
	С	$215 \pm 15$	$104 \pm 27$	$131 \pm 7$		Observed at 9	
	D	-	-	-		Observed at 9	
G118.6172-01.3312	А	-	-	-	$48 \pm 24$	$21 \pm 21$	$15 \pm 57$
	В	-	-	-	-	-	-
G126.7144-00.8220	А	$252 \pm 16$	$14 \pm 43$	$170 \pm 4$	< 38	< 11	-
	A2	-	-	-	-	-	-
G133.7150+01.2155	Q1/K2	$202 \pm 44$	$31 \pm 36$	$155\pm10$	-	-	-
	Q2/K3	< 560	< 68	-	-	-	-
	Q3/K4	-	-	-	$40 \pm 5$	$13 \pm 10$	$161 \pm 15$
	Q4/K6	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Q4b	$145 \pm 42$	$63 \pm 60$	$51 \pm 57$	-	-	-
	Q5/K7	-	-	-	$39 \pm 3$	$19 \pm 6$	$3 \pm 8$
	Q6/K5	< 200	< 110	-	$106 \pm 27$	$13 \pm 19$	$112 \pm 8$
	Q7	$230 \pm 14$	$42 \pm 39$	$31 \pm 5$	$54 \pm 6$	$38 \pm 8$	$149 \pm 215$
	Q8	$260 \pm 10$ $261 \pm 16$	$128 \pm 26$	$24 \pm 6$	$48 \pm 10$	$37 \pm 15$	$118 \pm 54$
	K8	$184 \pm 25$	$97 \pm 71$	$55 \pm 26$	-	-	-
	Q9	$101 \pm 20$ $228 \pm 79$	$136 \pm 88$	$132 \pm 76$	_	-	-
	Q10	< 430	< 98	-	_	-	-
	×10	\$ 150					
	C1	$1250 \pm 181$	$506 \pm 84$	$25 \pm 6$	-	-	-

Table A4: Continued

Object	Lobe	$ heta_{ m maj}^{5.8}$ [mas]	$ heta_{\min}^{5.8}$ [mas]	$ heta_{\mathrm{PA}}^{5.8}$ [°]	$\theta_{\rm maj}^{44}$ [mas]	$\theta_{\min}^{44}$ [mas]	$ heta_{ extsf{PA}}^{44}$ [°]
	В	< 74	< 58	L J	[	[]	[]
	QE1	< /4	< 58	-	< 16	< 1	-
	QE1 QE2	_	_	_	< 34	< 1	_
G134.2792+00.8561	A A	$167 \pm 110$	$119 \pm 109$	$63 \pm 43$	-	-	-
	В	-	-	-	-	_	-
	Ċ	$82 \pm 26$	$38 \pm 30$	$169 \pm 36$	-	-	-
G136.3833+02.2666	A	-	-	-	-	-	-
	В	$2100 \pm 430$	$1730 \pm 350$	$23 \pm 42$	-	-	-
	С	< 140	< 88	-	-	-	-
	D	$366 \pm 157$	$58 \pm 115$	$161 \pm 30$	-	-	-
	Е	-	-	-	-	-	-
G138.2957+01.5552	А	< 180	< 120	-	-	-	-
	В	$565 \pm 169$	$310 \pm 153$	$57 \pm 24$	-	-	-
	HII	$2426 \pm 118$	$1891 \pm 93$	$18 \pm 8$	-	-	-
	С	-	-	-	-	-	-
	D	-	-	-	-	-	-
	E	-	-	-	-	-	-
G139.9091+00.1969A	А	$145 \pm 22$	$29 \pm 55$	$62 \pm 14$	$28 \pm 10$	$22 \pm 18$	$55 \pm 83$
	Ab	$686 \pm 128$	$336 \pm 105$	$61 \pm 14$	-	-	-
	Ac	$966 \pm 408$	$123 \pm 175$	$162 \pm 12$	-	-	-
	В	< 410	< 71	-	-	-	-
	HII	$2319\pm23$	$2256\pm22$	$74 \pm 19$	-	-	-
G141.9996+01.8202	А	$210 \pm 29$	$47 \pm 70$	$58 \pm 13$	< 53	< 2	-
	В	-	-	-	-	-	-
	С	-	-	-	-	-	-
G143.8118-01.5699	A	$112 \pm 124$	$21 \pm 116$	$157 \pm 75$	-	-	-
	В	$269 \pm 156$	$123 \pm 172$	$25 \pm 116$	-	-	-
<b>2</b> 4 4 9 4 9 9 4 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	С	-	-	-	-	-	-
G148.1201+00.2928	A	-	-	-	-	-	-
	A2	-	-	-	-	-	-
	B	-	-	-	-	-	-
	C	< 190	< 110	-	-	-	-
01(0,1452,02,1550	D	< 150	< 10	-	-	-	-
G160.1452+03.1559	A	$153 \pm 71$	$50 \pm 64$	$111 \pm 86$		Deserved at Q	
G173.4839+02.4317	B	-	-	-		Observed at Q	-
01/5.4659+02.4517	A1 A2	$187 \pm 46$	$95 \pm 68$	$87 \pm 34$		Observed at (	
	A2 B	- < 450	< 150	-		)bserved at ( )bserved at (	-
	Б С	< 450	< 150	-		-	-
	D	$-352 \pm 99$	$-72 \pm 118$	$-49 \pm 22$		)bserved at ( )bserved at (	
	E1	$552 \pm 99$ < 180	< 58	77 <u>5</u> 22		bserved at Q	
	E1 E2	< 180 318 ± 112	< 38 231 ± 219	$-165 \pm 86$		bserved at Q	-
	E2 E3	-	-	- 105 ± 00		bserved at Q	
	E4	< 600	< 140	_		bserved at Q	
	E5		-	_		bserved at Q	
	E6	_	_	_		bserved at Q	
	F	_	-	-		bserved at Q	
	G	-	-	-		bserved at Q	-
G174.1974-00.0763	A	< 110	< 64	-		bserved at Q	
	В	$487 \pm 18$	$124 \pm 30$	$153 \pm 2$		bserved at Q	
	C	< 48	< 32	-		bserved at Q	
G177.7291-00.3358	A	-	-	-		bserved at Q	
	В	$163 \pm 132$	$46 \pm 129$	$157 \pm 53$		bserved at Q	
G183.3485-00.5751	A	-	-	-		bserved at Q	
	В	< 510	< 11	-		bserved at Q	
G188.9479+00.8871	A	< 390	< 68	-		bserved at Q	
	B1	-	-	-		$\hat{Q}$ bserved at $\hat{Q}$	

Table A4: Continued

## 34 S. J. D. Purser, S. L. Lumsden, M. G. Hoare and S. Kurtz

Object	Lobe	$\theta_{maj}^{5.8}$ [mas]	$\theta_{\min}^{5.8}$ [mas]	$ heta_{\mathrm{PA}}^{5.8}$ [°]	$ heta_{ m maj}^{ m 44}$ [mas]	$\theta_{\min}^{44}$ [mas]	$ heta_{ extsf{PA}}^{44}$ [°]
	B2	< 1100	< 370	-		Observed at Q	
	C	$116 \pm 157$	$28 \pm 84$	$103 \pm 41$		Observed at Q	
	D	$184 \pm 59$	$100 \pm 54$	$53 \pm 30$		$\widetilde{O}$ bserved at $\widetilde{Q}$	
G189.0307+00.7821	A1	$120 \pm 72$	$112 \pm 18$	$163 \pm 83$		Observed at $\tilde{Q}$	
	A2	< 320	< 180	-	Not C	Observed at $\tilde{Q}$	eband
	В	$245 \pm 119$	$60 \pm 84$	$92 \pm 19$		Observed at $\tilde{Q}$	
	С	$221 \pm 45$	$180 \pm 40$	$46 \pm 63$	Not C	Observed at Q	eband
	D	< 290	< 100	-	Not C	Observed at Q	eband
	Е	$506 \pm 246$	$130 \pm 119$	$85 \pm 31$	Not C	Observed at Q	eband
	F	-	-	-	Not C	Observed at Q	eband
G192.6005-00.0479	А	< 410	< 24	-	Not C	Observed at Q	eband
	В	-	-	-	Not C	Observed at Q	eband
	С	$1300\pm460$	$400 \pm 150$	$75 \pm 12$	Not C	Observed at Q	eband
	D	$333 \pm 26$	$87 \pm 86$	$133 \pm 8$	Not C	Observed at Q	eband
	Е	-	-	-	Not C	Observed at Q	eband
	F	$444 \pm 178$	$156 \pm 72$	$67 \pm 24$	Not C	Observed at Q	eband
	G	$402 \pm 50$	$264 \pm 49$	$34 \pm 15$	Not C	Observed at Q	eband
	Н	-	-	-	Not C	Observed at Q	eband
	Ι	-	-	-	Not C	Observed at Q	eband
G196.4542-01.6777	A1	$435 \pm 40$	$134 \pm 11$	$64 \pm 2$	Not C	Observed at Q	e-band
	A2	$1191 \pm 333$	$152 \pm 79$	$64 \pm 3$	Not C	Observed at Q	eband
	В	$593 \pm 134$	$456 \pm 251$	$138 \pm 78$	Not C	Observed at Q	eband
	С	-	-	-	Not C	Observed at Q	eband
	D	-	-	-	Not C	Observed at Q	eband

 Table A4: Continued

Table A5: Classifications, derived spectral indices for flux ( $\alpha$ ) and major axis length ( $\gamma$ ) and calculated changes in position angles from C to Q-band.

Object	Lobe	Class.	α	γ	$\Delta \theta_{\mathrm{PA}}$ [°]
		IRDC S	Sample		
G018.82-00.28	Core	UCHII	$+0.16 \pm 0.06$	$+0.16 \pm 0.05$	$18 \pm 10$
G024.08+00.04	А	UCHII	$< -1.96 \pm 0.03$	-	-
	B1	EG	-	-	-
	B2	EG	-	-	-
	B3	EG	-	-	-
G024.33+00.11	А	Jet	$+0.70 \pm 0.08$	$-0.24 \pm 0.12$	$4 \pm 29$
	В	UK	-	-	-
	С	UK	$> +1.48 \pm 0.10$	-	-
	D	UK	-	-	-
G024.60+00.08	А	EG	-	-	-
	В	Star	-	-	-
G028.28-00.34	А	EG	-	-	-
	HII	CHII	$-1.86\pm0.07$	$-1.40\pm0.06$	$77 \pm 15$
G028.37+00.07	А	Jet(C)	$+1.38\pm0.19$	-	-
	A2	UK	$> +1.28 \pm 0.12$	-	-
	A3	Jet(C)	$+1.60\pm0.18$	$> -0.71 \pm 0.07$	-
	В	EG	-	-	-
	С	UK	-	-	-
	D	HCHII	-	-	-
G028.67+00.13	HII	CHII	$< -3.17 \pm 0.03$	-	-
G033.69-00.01	А	UCHII	$<-1.32\pm0.03$	-	-
		MYSO	Sample		

Object	Lobe	Class.	α	γ	$\Delta \theta_{\mathrm{PA}}$ [°]
G033.6437-00.2277	А	Jet(C)	$+0.90 \pm 0.21$	$-0.61 \pm 0.28$	78 ± 59
	В	Evolved	$< +0.53 \pm 0.14$	-	_
	Ċ	EG	-	-	-
	D	UK	$> +1.52 \pm 0.17$	-	-
G035.1979-00.7427	13	UK	$< -0.26 \pm 0.07$	_	_
0033.1777 00.7127	13	Lobe	$< -1.27 \pm 0.03$	_	_
	15	Lobe	$< -0.66 \pm 0.04$	_	
	4	Lobe	$< -0.00 \pm 0.04$ $< -1.12 \pm 0.03$	-	_
	5	HII	$< -1.12 \pm 0.03$ $-0.23 \pm 0.12$	-	-
	6	HII	$-0.23 \pm 0.12$ +1.08 ± 0.07	$-0.74 \pm 0.40$	$-22 \pm 48$
	0 7		$+0.64 \pm 0.06$	$-0.74 \pm 0.40$ $-1.29 \pm 0.05$	$22 \pm 40$ $29 \pm 6$
		Jet(L)			
	8	Lobe	$-0.11 \pm 0.07$	$-1.02 \pm 0.07$	$44 \pm 35$
	Core A	UK	$+1.15 \pm 0.10$	-	-
	EX-N	Lobe	$< -1.48 \pm 0.04$	-	-
G005 1000 01 540 (	EX-S	Lobe	$< -0.97 \pm 0.15$	-	-
G035.1992-01.7424	В	UK	$> -0.16 \pm 0.11$	-	-
	HII	HII	$< -4.75 \pm 0.03$	-	-
G037.4266+01.5183	А	Jet(C)	$< +0.59 \pm 0.11$	-	-
	В	Jet(C)	$+1.16 \pm 0.12$	-	-
	С	Jet(C)	$< +0.29 \pm 0.06$	-	-
G056.3694-00.6333	А	Lobe	$< +0.17 \pm 0.11$	-	-
	В	Lobe	$< +0.31 \pm 0.15$	-	-
	С	Jet(LC)	$< -0.14 \pm 0.15$	-	-
	D	Lobe	$< +0.41 \pm 0.19$	-	-
G077.5671+03.6911	А	EG	$< +0.25 \pm 0.11$	-	-
G078.8699+02.7602	А	Jet	$+1.41 \pm 0.08$	$> -0.54 \pm 0.03$	-
	В	Jet(C)	$+0.64 \pm 0.23$	$-0.91 \pm 0.26$	$37 \pm 135$
	С	Star	$+1.12 \pm 0.08$	-	_
	D	EG	-	-	-
	E	UK	$< +0.50 \pm 0.13$	_	_
G079.8855+02.5517	B1	UK	$> +1.47 \pm 0.10$	_	_
	B1 B2	UK	$> +1.61 \pm 0.08$	_	_
	C D2	Jet(C)	$+0.79 \pm 0.07$	-	_
	D	UK	$+0.79 \pm 0.07$ > +1.64 ± 0.09	-	-
	D E	EG	$> \pm 1.04 \pm 0.09$	-	-
G081.8652+00.7800			-	-	-
	A	Jet(C)	$+1.00 \pm 0.08$	-	-
	HII	HII	$< -3.27 \pm 0.03$	-	-
	VLA1	Jet(C)	$+0.19 \pm 0.06$	$-0.21 \pm 0.05$	$68 \pm 35$
	VLA2	Jet	$+0.11 \pm 0.07$	$-1.46 \pm 0.12$	$6 \pm 32$
	VLA3	Jet(L)	$+1.02 \pm 0.06$	$-0.67 \pm 0.02$	$4 \pm 3$
	VLA4	Lobe	$< -0.84 \pm 0.04$	-	-
	VLA5	Lobe	$< +0.29 \pm 0.29$	-	-
	VLA6	Lobe	$< +0.18 \pm 0.15$	-	-
	VLA7	Lobe	$< -0.51 \pm 0.08$	-	-
	W75NBc	Lobe	$< -1.35 \pm 0.03$	-	-
	W75NBc2	Lobe	$< -1.16 \pm 0.03$	-	-
G083.7071+03.2817	А	Jet	$+0.47\pm0.08$	$-0.83 \pm 0.13$	$7 \pm 20$
	В	UK	$< -0.43 \pm 0.04$	-	-
G084.9505-00.6910	А	UK	-	-	-
	В	Jet(C)	$> +1.25 \pm 0.11$	-	-
G094.2615-00.4116	A1	Jet(L)	$+0.47 \pm 0.19$	-	-
	A2	Lobe	$< +0.20 \pm 0.10$	_	-
	B	EG	-	_	_
G094.3228-00.1671	A		$+1.19 \pm 0.14$	-	-
		Jet(C)	$+1.19 \pm 0.14$ +0.39 ± 0.09	$-1.33 \pm 0.20$	- 86 ± 78
G094.4637-00.8043	А	Jet(L)	$\pm 0.09 \pm 0.09$	$-1.55 \pm 0.20$	$00 \pm 70$
0094.4037-00.8043	A2	Jet(C)	$> +1.61 \pm 0.09$		-

Table A5: Continued
Object	Lobe	Class.	α	γ	$\Delta  heta_{\mathrm{PA}}$ [°]
	С	Jet(C)	$+0.86 \pm 0.09$	-	-
	D	Lobe	$< +0.29 \pm 0.11$	-	-
	Е	Lobe	$< +0.37 \pm 0.11$	-	-
G094.6028-01.7966	А	Jet(C)	$+0.49 \pm 0.08$	-	-
G100.3779-03.5784	А	Jet	$+0.79\pm0.12$	-	-
	В	HII	$< +0.10 \pm 0.10$	-	-
	А	Evolved	$< +0.08 \pm 0.06$	-	-
G103.8744+01.8558	А	HII	$-0.42 \pm 0.12$	$-0.16\pm0.11$	$24 \pm 8$
	В	Jet(L)	$< -0.47 \pm 0.05$	-	-
	С	Jet(C)	$< -0.05 \pm 0.08$	-	-
	D	Lobe	$< +0.49 \pm 0.10$	-	-
	E	Lobe	$<-0.36\pm0.05$	-	-
	F	Lobe	$< +0.53 \pm 0.15$	-	-
G105.5072+00.2294	А	Jet(C)	$+1.02 \pm 0.13$	$-1.05 \pm 0.44$	$7 \pm 55$
G107.6823-02.2423A	А	Jet(C)	$+1.15 \pm 0.21$	$> -0.52 \pm 0.19$	-
	HII	HII	$< -1.06 \pm 0.05$	-	-
G108.1844+05.5187	А	Jet(C)	$+1.05 \pm 0.13$	$-1.42 \pm 0.13$	$0 \pm 19$
	В	EG	-	-	-
G108.4714-02.8176	А	DW	$+0.55 \pm 0.16$	$> -0.77 \pm 0.35$	-
G108.5955+00.4935A	В	Jet(C)	$< +0.73 \pm 0.14$	-	-
	С	HII	$< -0.05 \pm 0.12$	-	-
	D	Evolved	-	-	-
G108.7575-00.9863	А	Jet(C)	$+0.94 \pm 0.09$	-	-
	В	UK	$< +0.82 \pm 0.14$	-	-
	С	UK	$< +0.25 \pm 0.09$	-	-
	D	UK	$< +0.70 \pm 0.14$	-	-
	E	UK	$< +0.41 \pm 0.14$	-	-
G110.0931-00.0641	A1	Lobe	$< -0.15 \pm 0.04$	-	-
	A2	Lobe	$< +0.45 \pm 0.13$	-	
	В	Jet(L)	$+0.34 \pm 0.14$	$-0.82 \pm 0.21$	$7 \pm 52$
	С	Lobe	$-0.08 \pm 0.16$	$-0.58 \pm 0.14$	$57 \pm 124$
	D	HII	$< -0.23 \pm 0.08$	-	-
	E	UK	-	-	-
	F	UK	$> +1.21 \pm 0.16$	-	-
G111.2348-01.2385	A	Jet(C)	$+1.10 \pm 0.07$	$> -0.97 \pm 0.06$	-
G111.2552-00.7702	A1	Jet(L)	$+0.16 \pm 0.12$	-	-
	A2	Lobe	$< +0.35 \pm 0.18$	-	-
	В	UK	$< +0.78 \pm 0.12$	-	-
	C	UK	$< +0.48 \pm 0.11$	-	-
G111.5671+00.7517	D	UK	-	- 0.82 + 0.10	-
0111.30/1+00.7317	A	Jet(L) Lobe	$+0.87 \pm 0.06$ < $-0.23 \pm 0.08$	$-0.82 \pm 0.10$	$33 \pm 26$
	B1 D2	Lobe		-	-
	B2		$< -0.06 \pm 0.09$ $< -0.48 \pm 0.04$	-	-
	C	Lobe		-	-
G118.6172-01.3312	D A	UK Lot(C)	$< +0.26 \pm 0.11$ > +1.59 ± 0.14	-	-
0116.0172-01.5512	B	Jet(C)		-	-
G126.7144-00.8220	Б А	UK Jet(C)	$> +1.20 \pm 0.21$ +0.72 \pm 0.06	$-0.93 \pm 0.03$	-
0120./144-00.0220	A A2	Jet(C) Jet(C)	$+0.72 \pm 0.00$ > +1.54 ± 0.08	< =0.95 ± 0.05	-
G133.7150+01.2155	A2 A	HII	$< -0.56 \pm 0.06$	-	-
G133.7130T01.2133	B	HII	$< -0.13 \pm 0.14$	-	-
	Б C1	Lobe	$-0.13 \pm 0.14$ < $-1.30 \pm 0.07$	-	-
	K8	Lobe	$< -0.97 \pm 0.04$	-	-
	Q1/K2	Lobe	$< -0.97 \pm 0.04$ $< -0.68 \pm 0.05$	-	-
	Q1/K2 Q10	Lobe	$< +0.13 \pm 0.22$	-	-
	Q10 Q2/K3	Jet(C)	$< \pm 0.13 \pm 0.22$ $\pm 0.16 \pm 0.10$	-	-

Table A5: Continued

Object	Lobe	Class.	α	γ	$\Delta \theta_{\rm PA}$ [°]
	Q4/K6	Jet(C)	$+0.93 \pm 0.12$	-	-
	Q4b	Lobe	$< -0.40 \pm 0.06$	-	-
	Q5/K7	Jet(L)	$+0.85 \pm 0.07$	-	-
	Q6/K5	Jet(LC)	$+0.58 \pm 0.15$	$> -0.31 \pm 0.13$	-
	Q7	Lobe	$-0.17 \pm 0.07$	$-0.71 \pm 0.06$	$62 \pm 215$
	Q8	Lobe	$-0.28\pm0.08$	$-0.84\pm0.11$	$86 \pm 54$
	Q9	Lobe	$< -0.73 \pm 0.08$	-	-
	QE1	UK	$> +2.05 \pm 0.05$	-	-
	QE2	UK	$> +1.43 \pm 0.07$	-	-
G134.2792+00.8561	А	Jet(C)	$< +0.34 \pm 0.09$	-	-
	В	UK	$< +0.45 \pm 0.11$	-	-
	С	EG	-	-	-
G136.3833+02.2666	А	Jet(C)	$+0.92 \pm 0.14$	-	-
	В	HII	$< -0.93 \pm 0.10$	-	-
	С	UK	-	-	-
	D	UK	-	-	-
	Е	UK	-	-	-
G138.2957+01.5552	А	Jet(L)	$+0.69 \pm 0.11$	-	-
	В	Lobe	$< +0.19 \pm 0.13$	-	-
	С	Evolved	$< +0.41 \pm 0.08$	-	-
	D	Evolved	$< +0.57 \pm 0.14$	-	-
	Е	Evolved	-	-	-
	HII	HII	$< -1.45 \pm 0.03$	-	-
G139.9091+00.1969A	А	DW	$+0.42 \pm 0.08$	$-0.81 \pm 0.19$	$7 \pm 84$
	Ab	UK	$< -0.13 \pm 0.08$	-	-
	Ac	UK	$< +0.32 \pm 0.18$	-	-
	В	Jet(C)	$+1.13 \pm 0.16$	-	-
	HII	HII	$< -2.32 \pm 0.03$	-	-
G141.9996+01.8202	А	Jet(C)	$+0.54 \pm 0.09$	$< -0.68 \pm 0.07$	-
	В	UK	$< +0.41 \pm 0.08$	-	-
	С	UK	$< +0.17 \pm 0.05$	-	-
G143.8118-01.5699	А	Jet(C)	$< +0.33 \pm 0.08$	-	-
	В	UK	$< +0.58 \pm 0.18$	-	-
	С	UK	$< +0.70 \pm 0.12$	-	-
G148.1201+00.2928	А	Jet(C)	$+0.89\pm0.17$	-	-
	A2	UK	$> +1.22 \pm 0.17$	-	-
	В	UK	$< +0.63 \pm 0.12$	-	-
	С	UK	$< -0.01 \pm 0.05$	-	-
	D	UK	-	-	-

Table A5: Continued

Table A6: Classifications, opening angles and mass loss rates for all jet-like radio sources detected. Mass loss rates given are the weighted average of  $\dot{m}_{jet}$  calculated at each frequency available. Calculation of mass loss rates and their errors at each frequency is discussed in §C.

MYSO	Lobe	$ heta_{OA}^{C}$ [°]	$\theta_{OA}^{Q}$ [°]	$\stackrel{\dot{m}_{\rm jet}}{\left[\times 10^{-6}\rm M_\odotyr^{-1}\right]}$		
		IRDC Sar	mple			
G024.33+00.11	А	$64 \pm 44$	$26 \pm 13$	$25.3 \pm 13.8$		
G028.37+00.07	А	-	$32 \pm 17$	$15.1 \pm 5.7$		
	A3	-	$44 \pm 10$	$31.9 \pm 8.4$		
MYSO Sample						
G033.6437-00.2277	А	$68 \pm 34$	$43 \pm 42$	$6.5 \pm 3.7$		

## 38 S. J. D. Purser, S. L. Lumsden, M. G. Hoare and S. Kurtz

		C	0		
MYSO	Lobe	$\theta_{OA}^{C}$ [°]	$\theta_{OA}^{Q}$ [°]	$\dot{m}_{\rm jet} \ \left[ \times 10^{-6}  { m M}_{\odot}  { m yr}^{-1}  ight]$	
	7	$52 \pm 4$	31 ± 14	$12.3 \pm 4.0$	
G037.4266+01.5183	А	-	-	$0.7 \pm 0.5$	
	В	-	-	$3.0 \pm 0.9$	
	С	-	-	$1.4 \pm 0.9$	
	С	$72 \pm 27$	-	$22.7 \pm 15.1$	
G078.8699+02.7602	А	-	$54 \pm 7$	$8.4 \pm 1.7$	
	В	$34 \pm 35$	$60 \pm 56$	$0.9 \pm 0.6$	
G079.8855+02.5517	С	-	$64 \pm 49$	$2.7 \pm 1.2$	
G081.8652+00.7800	VLA1	$83 \pm 7$	$53 \pm 6$	$3.7 \pm 1.0$	
	VLA2	$41 \pm 4$	$44 \pm 44$	$1.1 \pm 0.4$	
	VLA3	$28 \pm 16$	$52 \pm 2$	$23.9 \pm 4.6$	
	А	-	-	$2.9 \pm 0.8$	
G083.7071+03.2817	А	$22 \pm 29$	$31 \pm 24$	$1.2 \pm 0.7$	
	В	-	-	$7.7 \pm 3.4$	
G094.2615-00.4116	A1	$20 \pm 16$	-	$4.5 \pm 2.5$	
G094.3228-00.1671	А	-	-	$10.3 \pm 3.6$	
G094.4637-00.8043	А	$38 \pm 13$	$56 \pm 54$	$9.3 \pm 3.9$	
	A2	-	-	$9.9 \pm 4.8$	
	С	-	-	$14.7 \pm 4.7$	
G094.6028-01.7966	А	$63 \pm 33$	-	$7.5 \pm 3.2$	
G100.3779-03.5784	А	-	-	$6.0 \pm 1.8$	
	В	$51 \pm 20$	-	$6.1 \pm 4.8$	
	С	$57 \pm 22$	-	$3.5 \pm 2.7$	
G105.5072+00.2294	A	$28 \pm 67$	$83 \pm 61$	$16.1 \pm 12.9$	
G107.6823-02.2423A	А	_	$37 \pm 26$	$13.4 \pm 6.2$	
G108.1844+05.5187	A	$61 \pm 17$	$23 \pm 14$	$1.3 \pm 0.8$	
	В	_	_	$1.0 \pm 0.7$	
G108.7575-00.9863	Ā	-	-	$13.1 \pm 4.4$	
	В	$48 \pm 7$	$58 \pm 41$	$7.8 \pm 3.4$	
G111.2348-01.2385	Ā	-	$50 \pm 14$	$16.2 \pm 6.9$	
G111.2552-00.7702	A1	$45 \pm 19$	-	$1.6 \pm 0.7$	
G111.5671+00.7517	A	$50 \pm 26$	$62 \pm 15$	$18.3 \pm 3.7$	
G118.6172-01.3312	A	-	$47 \pm 47$	$4.7 \pm 4.1$	
G126.7144-00.8220	A	$6 \pm 19$	-	$4.2 \pm 4.9$	
012001111 0000220	A2	-	_	$2.1 \pm 1.6$	
	Q2/K3	_	-	$1.6 \pm 0.6$	
	Q3/K4	_	$37 \pm 27$	$9.4 \pm 3.2$	
	Q4/K6	_	-	$5.1 \pm 1.5$	
	Q5/K7	_	$53 \pm 14$	$15.0 \pm 4.4$	
	Q6/K5	_	$14 \pm 21$	$2.8 \pm 2.0$	
G134.2792+00.8561	A	$71 \pm 61$	-	$2.5 \pm 2.0$ $2.5 \pm 2.1$	
G136.3833+02.2666	A	-	-	$5.5 \pm 2.1$	
G138.2957+01.5552	A	_	-	$5.6 \pm 2.1$	
0150.2557101.5552	В	_	_	$8.7 \pm 3.4$	
G141.9996+01.8202	A	$25 \pm 37$	-	$1.2 \pm 0.8$	
G143.8118-01.5699	A	$25 \pm 57$ $21 \pm 117$	-	$1.2 \pm 0.3$ $1.5 \pm 6.2$	
G148.1201+00.2928	A	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	$4.3 \pm 0.2$	
G160.1452+03.1559	A	$36 \pm 46$	Not observed at Q-band	$4.5 \pm 1.8$ $2.1 \pm 2.5$	
0100.1732703.1339	E1	JU I 40	Not observed at Q-band	$2.1 \pm 2.5$ $4.5 \pm 3.5$	
	B	- 29 ± 7	Not observed at Q-band	$4.3 \pm 3.3$ $7.3 \pm 4.1$	
G177.7291-00.3358	ь А	27 ± 1	Not observed at Q-band	$7.5 \pm 4.1$ $1.9 \pm 1.5$	
G183.3485-00.5751	A A	-		$1.9 \pm 1.3$ $3.0 \pm 2.4$	
	A A	-	Not observed at Q-band		
G188.9479+00.8871	A B1	-	Not observed at Q-band	$3.2 \pm 2.0$ 2.3 ± 1.5	
C180 0307 - 00 7921	A1	-	Not observed at Q-band	$2.3 \pm 1.5$ 7.9 ± 6.0	
G189.0307+00.7821	C AI	$86 \pm 36$ 78 ± 17	Not observed at Q-band	$7.9 \pm 6.0$ 10.1 + 7.3	
C102 6005 00 0470		/o±1/	Not observed at Q-band	$10.1 \pm 7.3$	
G192.6005-00.0479	А	-	Not observed at Q-band	$6.9 \pm 4.5$	

Table A6: Continued

# Ionised jets from MYSOs 39

MYSO	Lobe	$\theta_{OA}^{C}$ [°]	$ heta_{OA}^{Q}$ [°]	$\dot{m}_{\text{jet}}$ [×10 <sup>-6</sup> M <sub>☉</sub> yr <sup>-1</sup> ]
G196.4542-01.6777	A1	$34 \pm 4$	Not observed at Q-band	$32.3 \pm 17.7$

Table A6: Continued



**Figure B1. G018.82–00.28** - Mid-infrared (GLIMPSE 8.0, 4.5,  $3.6\mu$ m R, G, B image; left panel), sub-mm (ATLASGAL 870 $\mu$ m cyan contours; left panel) and radio maps of G018.82–00.28 at C-band (blue contours; middle) and Q-band (black contours; right). Restoring beams were  $0.558'' \times 0.283''$  at  $-33^{\circ}$  and  $0.072'' \times 0.038''$  at  $-26^{\circ}$ , while contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 6, 12, 44, 171) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 6, 11, 21, 40) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively and ATLASGAL contours are set at  $(-3, 3, 5, 9, 15) \times \sigma$  where  $\sigma = 82$  mJy/beam. Green crosses show 6.7 GHz methanol maser positions from our data. In the infrared images, a white ellipse is used to denote the approximate PSF of the relevant instrument. All other symbols/values have the usual meaning. If RMS noises are displayed in a subplot, that is because in the location of the source the RMS noise diverges from that quoted in Table A1.

#### **APPENDIX B: FIGURES**

All imaging results are presented in this section. Common symbols/representations used throughout this appendix are noted explicitly below:

- For the IRDC sample, cyan contours represent ATLASGAL (Urquhart et al. 2014) 870 $\mu$ m emission.
- Blue and black contours represent C-band and Q-band data, respectively.
- In infrared imagery, a white ellipse in the bottom left corner shows the relevant instrument's approximate PSF.
- In all panels, a green cross shows the position of 6.7 GHz methanol masers detected at C-band.

• Scalebars are illustrated in the bottom right of some panels. In panels where they are missing, the physical scale is the same as the one subplot of that band where the scalebar is present.

• If image noise levels are indicated in some panels, this is because they deviate from the values given in Table A1. This is either because a different part of the primary beam is shown, or a strong, nearby source is present.

#### **B1** IRDC Sample



**Figure B2. G024.08+00.04** - Mid-infrared (GLIMPSE 8.0, 4.5, 3.6 $\mu$ m R, G, B image; left panel), sub-mm (ATLASGAL 870 $\mu$ m cyan contours; left panel) and C-band (blue contours; right panels) images of G024.08+00.04. The C-band restoring beam was 0.551" × 0.313" at -25°. The right panels show enlarged C-band maps of components A, B1, B2 and B3. Contour levels are (-3, 3, 6, 10, 19) ×  $\sigma$  and ATLASGAL contours are set at (-3, 3, 5, 9, 15) ×  $\sigma$  where  $\sigma$  = 75 mJy/beam.



**Figure B3. G024.33+00.11** - Mid-infrared (GLIMPSE 8.0, 4.5, 3.6 $\mu$ m R, G, B image; top left panel), sub-mm (ATLASGAL 870 $\mu$ m cyan contours; left panel) and radio contour maps of the IRDC, G024.33+00.11, at C-band (top and right panels; blue contours) and Q-band (middle and right panels; black contours). Restoring beams were 0.386" × 0.297" at -7° and 0.064" × 0.038" at -26° while contour levels are (-3, 3, 7, 16, 36) ×  $\sigma$  and (-3, 3, 4, 6, 9, 13) ×  $\sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively and ATLASGAL contours are set at (-3, 3, 7, 15, 32, 70) ×  $\sigma$  where  $\sigma$  = 80 mJy/beam. An exception to the contour levels is for component D which was much brighter than the other C-band sources and so we set its contour levels to -4, 4, 12, 37, 111) ×  $\sigma$ . All other values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B4. G024.60+00.08** - Mid-infrared (GLIMPSE 8.0, 4.5,  $3.6\mu$ m R, G, B image; top left panel), near-infrared (top right panel; UKIDSS, K, H, J bands in R, G, B colour-scale), sub-mm (ATLASGAL 870 $\mu$ m cyan contours; top left panel) and C-band (blue contours; bottom panels) images of the IRDC, G024.60+00.08. The C-band restoring beam was  $0.382'' \times 0.297''$  at  $-4^{\circ}$ . C-band contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 5, 10, 18) \times \sigma$  and ATLASGAL contours are set at  $(-3, 3, 5, 8, 14, 24) \times \sigma$  where  $\sigma = 69$  mJy/beam. All other values have their usual meaning. Green crosses show 6.7 GHz methanol maser positions from our data.



**Figure B5. G028.28–00.34** - Mid-infrared (GLIMPSE 8.0, 4.5,  $3.6\mu$ m R, G, B image; top panel), sub-mm (ATLASGAL 870 $\mu$ m cyan contours; top panel) and radio maps of G028.28–00.34 at C-band (blue contours; middle) and Q-band (black contours; bottom). Restoring beams were  $0.364'' \times 0.303''$  at 3° and  $0.069'' \times 0.047''$  at  $-20^\circ$ , while contour levels are (-3, 3, 10, 33, 108)  $\times \sigma$  and (-3, 3, 5, 9, 17)  $\times \sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively. ATLASGAL contours are set at (-3, 3, 6, 11, 20, 39)  $\times \sigma$  where  $\sigma = 68$  mJy/beam. All other symbols/values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B6. G028.37+00.07** - Mid-infrared (GLIMPSE 8.0, 4.5,  $3.6\mu$ m R, G, B image; left panel), sub-mm (ATLASGAL 870 $\mu$ m cyan contours; left panel) and radio maps of G028.37+00.07 at C-band (blue contours; middle panels) and Q-band (black contours; right panel). Restoring beams were  $0.364'' \times 0.301''$  at  $-3^{\circ}$  and  $0.068'' \times 0.046''$  at  $-21^{\circ}$ , while contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 5, 7, 15, 34, 77) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 5, 7, 9) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively, while ATLASGAL contours are set at  $(-3, 3, 6, 13, 28, 59) \times \sigma$  where  $\sigma = 87$  mJy/beam. All other symbols/values have the usual meaning. Green crosses show 6.7 GHz methanol maser positions from our data.



**Figure B7. G028.67+00.13** - Mid-infrared (GLIMPSE 8.0, 4.5, 3.6 $\mu$ m R, G, B image; left panel), sub-mm (ATLASGAL 870 $\mu$ m cyan contours; left panel) and C-band radio map of G028.67+00.13 (right panel). The restoring beams used was 0.358"×0.303" at 1°, while contour levels are set to (-4, 4, 9, 20, 43, 96)× $\sigma$ . All other symbols/values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B8. G033.69–00.01** - Mid-infrared (GLIMPSE 8.0, 4.5, 3.6 $\mu$ m R, G, B image; left panel), sub-mm (ATLASGAL 870 $\mu$ m cyan contours; left panel) and C-band radio map of G033.69–00.01 MM2 (right panel). The restoring beams used was 0.445" × 0.364" at -53°, while contour levels are set to (-4, 4, 7, 13, 22) ×  $\sigma$ , while ATLASGAL contours are set at (-3, 3, 5, 9, 15, 25) ×  $\sigma$  where  $\sigma$  = 85 mJy/beam. All other symbols/values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B9. G033.6437–00.2277** - Mid-infrared (GLIMPSE 8.0, 4.5,  $3.6\mu$ m R, G, B image; left panel) and radio maps of G033.6437–00.2277 at C-band (blue contours; top and bottom left panels) and Q-band (black contours; bottom right and right panels). Restoring beams were  $0.346'' \times 0.311''$  at 9° and  $0.064'' \times 0.047''$  at  $-26^\circ$ , while contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 5, 10, 18, 33) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 4, 5) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively. All other symbols/values have the usual meaning. Green crosses show 6.7 GHz methanol maser positions from our data.

### B2 MYSO Sample



**Figure B10. G035.1979–00.7427** - Mid-infrared (GLIMPSE 8.0, 4.5, 3.6 $\mu$ m R, G, B image; left panel), ALMA 343 GHz (Sánchez-Monge et al. 2014, light blue contours; middle panel), C-band (dark blue contours; middle panel) and Q-band (black contours; middle/right panels) images of G035.1979–00.7427 (or IRAS 18556+0136). Restoring beams were 0.367" × 0.293" at -21° and 0.051" × 0.039" at -29° for the C and Q-band data respectively. The right panels show enlarged maps of components 5, 6, 7, 8 and Core A at Q-band. Contour levels are (-4, 4, 11, 29, 78) ×  $\sigma$  and (-3, 3, 6, 14, 29, 63) ×  $\sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively where  $\sigma$  = 35.1 µJy beam<sup>-1</sup> for the Q-band image. All other values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B11. G035.1992–01.7424** - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, top left panel; UKIDSS, K, H, J bands), C-band (blue contours; bottom panel) and Q-band (black contours; top and right panels) images of W48 (G035.1992–01.7424). The C-band restoring beam was  $0.364'' \times 0.289''$  at  $-21^{\circ}$  and  $0.051'' \times 0.039''$  at  $-31^{\circ}$ , while contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 11, 40, 147) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 6, 11, 20, 38) \times \sigma$  for the C and Q-band data respectively. All other values have their usual meaning.



**Figure B12. G037.4266+01.5183** - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands) and radio contour maps of G037.4266+01.5183 (IRAS 18517+0437) at C-band (bottom, blue contours) and Q-band (bottom, black contours). Restoring beams were  $0.344'' \times 0.307''$  at 8° and  $0.048'' \times 0.039''$  at  $-31^{\circ}$  for the C and Q-band data respectively. The bottom panels show enlarged maps of components A, B and C at both bands. Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 5, 9, 15) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 5, 8, 13) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively. All other values have the usual meaning. Pink '+' markers represent the methanol masers detected by Surcis et al. (2015). Green crosses show 6.7 GHz methanol maser positions from our data.



**Figure B13.** G056.3694–00.6333 - Near-infrared (left panel; UKIDSS, K, H, J bands in R, G, B colour-scale) and C-band radio map (robustness of 2) of G056.3694–00.6333 (right panel). The restoring beams used was  $0.445'' \times 0.364''$  at  $-53^{\circ}$ , while contour levels are set to  $(-3, 3, 5, 7) \times \sigma$ . All other symbols/values have the usual meaning. To highlight the slight offset between the reddened UKIDSS source and radio lobes, the radio  $3\sigma$  contour is overlayed upon the NIR image (cyan).



**Figure B14. G077.5671+03.6911** - Near-infrared (left panel; UKIDSS, K, H, J bands in R, G, B colour-scale) and C-band radio map of G077.5671+03.6911 (right panel). The restoring beams used was  $0.323'' \times 0.295''$  at 66°. Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 5, 7) \times \sigma$ . All other symbols/values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B15. G078.8699+02.7602** - Near-infrared (middle row, left panel; UKIDSS, K, H, J R, G, B colour-scale) and radio maps of G078.8699+02.7602 at C-band (blue contours; middle row and top right panels) and Q-band (black contours; bottom panels). Restoring beams were  $0.319'' \times 0.295''$  at  $61^{\circ}$  and  $0.062'' \times 0.050''$  at  $-85^{\circ}$  for the C and Q-band data respectively. Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 6, 16, 35, 80) \times \sigma$  for C-band images,  $(-3, 3, 5, 10, 20, 37) \times \sigma$  for the Q-band image of A and  $(-3, 3, 5, 8, 12, 19) \times \sigma$  for the Q-band image of C (due to varying noise across the primary beam). All other symbols/values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B16. G079.8855+02.5517** - Near-infrared (bottom left panel; UKIDSS, K, H, J bands in R, G, B colour-scale) and radio maps of G079.8855+02.5517 at C-band (blue contours; top left, top middle, bottom left, bottom middle and middle right panels) and Q-band (black contours; top right/ bottom left panels). Restoring beams were  $0.316'' \times 0.293''$  at  $54^{\circ}$  and  $0.062'' \times 0.050''$  at  $-84^{\circ}$  for the C and Q-band data respectively. Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 6, 11, 22) \times \sigma$  for C-band and Q-band images of lobes A, B and C1/C2, whilst being  $(-3, 3, 4, 7, 10) \times \sigma$  for the Q-band image of D (due to varying noise across the primary beam). All other symbols/values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B17. G081.8652+00.7800** - Mid-infrared (middle, left panel; GLIMPSE, 8.0, 4.5,  $3.6\mu$ m R, G, B colour-scale), near-infrared (bottom left panel; UKIDSS, K, H, J bands in R, G, B colour-scale) and radio maps of G081.8652+00.7800 at C-band (blue contours; middle, central and bottom-middle) and Q-band (black contours; right and bottom right). Restoring beams were  $0.313'' \times 0.293''$  at  $43^{\circ}$  and  $0.051'' \times 0.039''$  at  $89^{\circ}$ , while contour levels are set at (-4, 4, 9, 21, 49, 112) and (-4, 4, 10, 25, 61, 153)  $\times \sigma$  for the C and Q-band data respectively. Varying noise levels are the result of dynamic range limitations leading to non-Gaussian noise as well as standard primary beam effects.



**Figure B18. G083.7071+03.2817** - Near-infrared (left panel; UKIDSS, K, H, J bands in R, G, B colour-scale) and radio maps of G083.7071+03.2817 at C-band (blue contours; middle) and Q-band (black contours; bottom). Restoring beams were  $0.319'' \times 0.290''$  at  $46^{\circ}$  and  $0.064'' \times 0.050''$  at  $-88^{\circ}$  for the C and Q-band data respectively. Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 6, 13, 27) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 5, 10, 18) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively. All other symbols/values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B19. G084.9505–00.6910** - Near-infrared (left panel; UKIDSS, K, H, J bands in R, G, B colour-scale) and radio maps of the G084.9505–00.6910 field at C-band (blue contours; bottom right) and Q-band (black contours; top right). Restoring beams were  $0.316'' \times 0.292''$  at  $45^{\circ}$  and  $0.067'' \times 0.050''$  at  $-83^{\circ}$  for the C and Q-band (robustness of 2) data respectively. Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 5, 9, 16) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 4, 6, 8) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively. All other symbols/values have the usual meaning. Green crosses show 6.7 GHz methanol maser positions from our data.



**Figure B20. G094.2615–00.4116** - Near-infrared (left panel; UKIDSS, K, H, J bands in R, G, B colour-scale) and radio maps of the G094.2615–00.4116 field at C-band (blue contours; middle) and Q-band (black contours; right). Restoring beams were  $0.387'' \times 0.308''$  at  $-80^{\circ}$  and  $0.064'' \times 0.051''$  at  $88^{\circ}$  for the C and Q-band data respectively. Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 4, 6, 9) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 4, 5) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band (robustness of 2) respectively. All other symbols/values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B21. G094.3228–00.1671** - Near-infrared (left panel; UKIDSS, K, H, J bands in R, G, B colour-scale) and radio maps of the G094.3228–00.1671 field at C-band (blue contours; middle) and Q-band (black contours; right). Restoring beams were  $0.377'' \times 0.309''$  at  $-77^{\circ}$  and  $0.054'' \times 0.041''$  at  $-85^{\circ}$  for the C and Q-band data respectively. Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 4, 6, 8) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 4, 7, 10) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively. All other symbols/values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B22. G094.4637–00.8043** - Near-infrared (left panel; UKIDSS, K, H, J bands in R, G, B colour-scale) and radio maps of the G094.4637–00.8043 field at C-band (blue contours; middle) and Q-band (black contours; right). Restoring beams were  $0.392'' \times 0.312''$  at  $-82^{\circ}$  and  $0.053'' \times 0.041''$  at  $87^{\circ}$  for the C and Q-band data respectively. Contour levels are  $(-4, 4, 8, 15, 29) \times \sigma$  and  $(-4, 4, 6, 8, 11, 15) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively. All other symbols/values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B23. G094.6028–01.7966** - Near-infrared (left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands in R, G, B colour-scale) and radio maps of G094.6028–01.7966 at C-band (blue contours; middle) and Q-band (black contours; bottom). Restoring beams were  $0.399'' \times 0.311''$  at  $-84^{\circ}$  and  $0.054'' \times 0.041''$  at  $88^{\circ}$  for the C and Q-band data respectively. Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 7, 15, 32) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 5, 9, 15) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively. All other symbols/values have the usual meaning. Green crosses show 6.7 GHz methanol maser positions from our data.



**Figure B24. G100.3779–03.5784** - Near-infrared (left panel; UKIDSS, K, H, J bands in R, G, B colour-scale) and radio maps of the G100.3779–03.5784 field at C-band (blue contours; middle) and Q-band (black contours; right). Restoring beams were  $0.381'' \times 0.310''$  at  $-76^{\circ}$  and  $0.054'' \times 0.041''$  at  $87^{\circ}$  for the C and Q-band data respectively. Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 5, 8, 13) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 4, 7, 10) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band (robustness of 2) respectively. All other symbols/values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B25.** G102.8051–00.7184 - Mid-infrared (left panel; GLIMPSE, 8.0, 4.5,  $3.6\mu$ m R, G, B colour-scale), near-infrared (middle panel; UKIDSS, K, H, J bands in R, G, B colour-scale) and C-band radio map of G102.8051–00.7184 (right panel). The restoring beams used was  $0.395'' \times 0.309''$  at  $-75^{\circ}$ . Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 5, 9, 14) \times \sigma$ . All other symbols/values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B26. G103.8744+01.8558** - Mid-infrared (top right panel; GLIMPSE, 8.0, 4.5,  $3.6\mu$ m R, G, B colour-scale), near-infrared (top left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J R, G, B colour-scale) and radio maps of G103.8744+01.8558 at C-band (blue contours; bottom-right) and Q-band (black contours; bottom left). Restoring beams were  $0.327'' \times 0.302''$  at 54° and  $0.065'' \times 0.050''$  at 80°, while contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 5, 9, 24, 70, 199) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 4, 5, 6) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band (robustness of 2) respectively. All other symbols have their usual meanings.



**Figure B27. G105.5072+00.2294** - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, left panel; UKIDSS, K, H, J bands) and radio maps of the G105.5072+00.2294 field at C-band (blue contours; middle) and Q-band (black contours; right). Restoring beams were  $0.330'' \times 0.312''$  at  $51^{\circ}$  and  $0.073'' \times 0.048''$  at  $83^{\circ}$ , while contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 4, 6, 9) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 5, 7, 12) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band (robustness of 2) respectively. All other symbols/values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B28.** G107.6823–02.2423A - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands) and radio contour maps of G107.6823–02.2423A at C-band (top and bottom panels; blue contours) and Q-band (right panel; black contours). Restoring beams were  $0.564'' \times 0.352''$  at  $-88^{\circ}$  and  $0.075'' \times 0.047''$  at  $-90^{\circ}$  while contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 4, 6, 8) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 4, 5) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively. A robustness of 2 was utilised for both sets of radio data. All other values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B29. G108.1844+05.5187** - Mid-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, top-left panel; WISE, 12.0, 4.6, 3.4 $\mu$ m), near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, bottom-left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands) and radio contour maps of G108.1844+05.5187 at C-band (top and bottom panels; blue contours) and Q-band (right panel; black contours). Restoring beams were 0.423" × 0.398" at 45° and 0.061" × 0.038" at 79° while contour levels are (-3, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9) ×  $\sigma$  and (-3, 3, 5, 7, 11, 16) ×  $\sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively. All other values have the usual meaning. Green crosses show 6.7 GHz methanol maser positions from our data.



**Figure B30.** G108.4714–02.8176 - Near-infrared (left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands R, G, B colour-scale) and radio maps of the G108.4714–02.8176 field at C-band (blue contours; middle) and Q-band (black contours; right). Restoring beams were  $0.445'' \times 0.282''$  at  $-90^{\circ}$  and  $0.063'' \times 0.038''$  at  $88^{\circ}$  for the C and Q-band data respectively. Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 5, 10, 17) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 4, 5, 7) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively. All other symbols/values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B31.** G108.5955+00.4935A - Mid-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, top panel; GLIMPSE 8.0, 4.5,  $3.6\mu$ m bands) and C-band (blue contours; bottom panels) images of G108.5955+00.4935B and G108.5955+00.4935C. The C-band restoring beam was  $0.337'' \times 0.311''$  at 35°. Contour levels are (-3, 3, 5, 9, 15, 26) ×  $\sigma$  and all other values have their usual meaning. It is important to note that the methanol maser indicated on the MIR plot (green cross) isn't represented on radio images due to non-detection of continuum emission.



**Figure B32.** G108.7575–00.9863 - Mid-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands R, G, B colour-scale) and radio maps of G108.7575–00.9863 at C-band (blue contours; top and middle-right panels) and Q-band (black contours; bottom right). Restoring beams were  $0.312'' \times 0.295''$  at 44° and  $0.063'' \times 0.038''$  at 88°, while contour levels were set at (-3, 3, 5, 8, 12, 19) and (-3, 3, 5, 9, 15) ×  $\sigma$  for the C and Q-band (robustness of 2) data respectively. Varying noise levels are the result of missing spatial scale information leading to non-Gaussian noise and primary beam effects. Green crosses show 6.7 GHz methanol maser positions from our data.



**Figure B33. G110.0931–00.0641** - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands ) and radio maps of the G110.0931–00.0641 field at C-band (blue contours; middle) and Q-band (black contours; right). Restoring beams were  $0.326'' \times 0.314''$  at  $36^{\circ}$  and  $0.063'' \times 0.038''$  at  $86^{\circ}$ , while contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 7, 14, 32, 69) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 4, 5, 6) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively. All other symbols/values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B34. G111.2348–01.2385** - Near-infrared (left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands R, G, B colour-scale) and radio maps of the G111.2348–01.2385 field at C-band (blue contours; middle) and Q-band (black contours; right). Restoring beams were  $0.443'' \times 0.284''$  at 90° and  $0.045'' \times 0.039''$  at  $-10^{\circ}$  while contour levels are set at  $(-3, 3, 6, 12, 24) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 6, 12, 25) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively. All other symbols/values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B35. G111.2552–00.7702** - Near-infrared (bottom left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J R, G, B colour-scale) and radio maps of G111.2552–00.7702 at C-band (blue contours; top left/middle and right middle/bottom panels) and Q-band (black contours; top right panel). Restoring beams were  $0.430'' \times 0.283''$  at  $87^{\circ}$  and  $0.045'' \times 0.038''$  at  $-11^{\circ}$  while contour levels are set at  $(-3, 3, 5, 9, 17, 30) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 4, 6, 8) \times \sigma$  for the C-band and Q-band images respectively. All other symbols/values have the usual meaning. Green crosses show 6.7 GHz methanol maser positions from our data.



**Figure B36. G111.5671+00.7517** - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands) and radio contour maps of G111.5671+00.7517 at C-band (middle and bottom left panels; blue contours) and Q-band (right panel; black contours). Restoring beams were  $0.324'' \times 0.308''$  at  $31^{\circ}$  and  $0.045'' \times 0.038''$  at  $-9^{\circ}$  while contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 6, 12, 23, 46) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively. All other values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B37. G114.0835+02.8568** - Near-infrared colour-scale (R, G, B colour-scale, left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands) and radio contour maps of G114.0835+02.8568 at C-band (blue contours). The restoring beam and contour levels used were  $0.337'' \times 0.317''$  at 13° and (-3, 3, 6, 14, 30, 64) ×  $\sigma$ . All other values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B38. G118.6172–01.3312** - Near-infrared colour-scale (R, G, B colour-scale, left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands) and radio contour map of G118.6172–01.3312 at Q-band (right panel). The restoring beam and contour levels used were  $0.064'' \times 0.051''$  at 48° and (-3, 3, 4, 5, 6) ×  $\sigma$  respectively. All other values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B39. G126.7144–00.8220** - Near-infrared (left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands R, G, B colour-scale) and radio maps of the G126.7144–00.8220 field at C-band (blue contours; middle) and Q-band (black contours; right). Restoring beams were  $0.295'' \times 0.200''$  at  $-44^{\circ}$  and  $0.053'' \times 0.040''$  at  $60^{\circ}$ , while contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 7, 18, 43) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 7, 16, 37, 86) \times \sigma$  for C (robustness of -1) and Q-band respectively. All other symbols/values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B40.** G133.7150+01.2155 - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, middle left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands) and radio contour maps of G133.7150+01.2155 at C-band (middle, blue contours) and Q-band (top left, bottom right and right panels; black contours). Restoring beams were  $0.287'' \times 0.197''$  at  $-31^{\circ}$  and  $0.054'' \times 0.038''$  at 59° with contour levels set to  $(-4, 4, 9, 19, 42, 93) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48) \times \sigma$  for C (robust= -1) and Q-bands respectively. All other values have the usual meaning.


**Figure B41. G133.7150+01.2155 (Other sources)** - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, middle left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands) and radio contour maps of the other detected sources in the G133.7150+01.2155 field. Restoring beams and contour colours are the same as in Figure B40, with contour levels set to  $(-3, 3, 5, 8, 14, 22) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 5, 12, 24, 47) \times \sigma$  for C (robust= -1) and Q-bands respectively.



**Figure B42. G134.2792+00.8561** - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands) and radio contour maps of G134.2792+00.8561 at C-band (right panels, blue contours). The restoring beam used was  $0.350'' \times 0.284''$  at  $-40^{\circ}$ , while contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 7, 17, 41, 97) \times \sigma$ . All other values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B43. G136.3833+02.2666** - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands) and radio contour maps of G136.3833+02.2666 at C-band (top left, bottom left and middle panels, blue contours) and Q-band (right panel, black contours). Restoring beams were  $0.349'' \times 0.279''$  at  $-40^{\circ}$  and  $0.070'' \times 0.050''$  at 69° utilising a robustness of 2 for the Q-band data. Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 5, 9, 13, 20) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 4, 6, 9) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively. Varying noises across the C-band primary beam are represented. All other values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B44. G138.2957+01.5552** - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, central panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands) and radio contour maps of G138.2957+01.5552 at C-band (top, bottom and top-right panels, blue contours) and Q-band (bottom-right panel, black contours). Restoring beams were  $0.342'' \times 0.273''$  at  $-42^{\circ}$  and  $0.056'' \times 0.039''$  at 69°. Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 5, 8, 13, 22) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 5, 7, 10) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively. All other values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B45. G139.9091+00.1969A** - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands) and radio contour maps of G139.9091+00.1969A at C-band (centre-column panels, blue contours) and Q-band (right panels, black contours). Restoring beams were  $0.379'' \times 0.278''$  at  $-69^{\circ}$  and  $0.056'' \times 0.039''$  at  $71^{\circ}$ . Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 7, 15, 32, 71) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 5, 7, 11, 16) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively. All other values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B46. G141.9996+01.8202** - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, left panel; UKIDSS, K, H, J bands) and radio contour maps of G141.9996+01.8202 at C-band (centre-column panels, blue contours) and Q-band (right panel, black contours). Restoring beams were  $0.379'' \times 0.277''$  at  $-66^{\circ}$  and  $0.055'' \times 0.039''$  at  $66^{\circ}$ . Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 6, 11, 20, 37) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 5, 7, 12) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band respectively. All other values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B47. G143.8118–01.5699** - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, top panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands) and C-band contour maps of G143.8118–01.5699 (bottom panels; blue contours). The restoring beams used was  $0.384'' \times 0.277''$  at  $-75^{\circ}$  and contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10) \times \sigma$ . All other values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B48. G148.1201+00.2928** - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands) and radio contour maps of G148.1201+00.2928 at C-band (centre-column panels, blue contours) and Q-band (right panel, black contours). Restoring beams were  $0.368'' \times 0.275''$  at  $-71^{\circ}$  and  $0.070'' \times 0.050''$  at  $80^{\circ}$ . Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 5, 8, 12, 20) \times \sigma$  and  $(-3, 3, 4, 5) \times \sigma$  for C and Q-band (robustness of 2) respectively. All other values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B49. G160.1452+03.1559** - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, left panel; UKIDSS, K, H, J bands) and radio contour maps of G160.1452+03.1559 at C-band (right panel, blue contours). The restoring beam for the C-band data was  $0.328'' \times 0.280''$  at  $-62^{\circ}$ , while contour levels are set at  $(-3, 3, 5, 8, 13) \times \sigma$ . All other values have the usual meaning.



**Figure B50.** G173.4839+02.4317 - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, central, large panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands), mid-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, top left panel; GLIMPSE 8.0, 4.5, 3.6 $\mu$ m bands) and radio contour maps of G173.4839+02.4317 at C-band (other panels, blue contours). The restoring beam for the C-band data was 0.347" × 0.286" at -84°, while contour levels are set at (-3, 3, 5, 8, 14, 24) ×  $\sigma$ . All other values have the usual meaning. Green crosses show 6.7 GHz methanol maser positions from our data.



**Figure B51.** G174.1974–00.0763 - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, central, large panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands) and radio contour maps of G174.1974–00.0763 at C-band (other panels, blue contours). The restoring beam for the C-band data was  $0.369'' \times 0.286''$  at  $88^\circ$ , while contour levels are set at (-3, 3, 7, 17, 46, 114) ×  $\sigma$ . All other values have the usual meaning. Green crosses show 6.7 GHz methanol maser positions from our data.



**Figure B52. G177.7291–00.3358** - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, central, large panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands) and C-band (blue contours; bottom panels) images of G177.7291–00.3358. The C-band restoring beam was  $0.374'' \times 0.288''$  at 84°. Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 4, 6, 8, 11) \times \sigma$  and all other values have their usual meaning.



**Figure B53. G183.3485–00.5751** - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, central, large panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands) and C-band (blue contours; bottom panels) images of G183.3485–00.5751. The C-band restoring beam was  $0.495'' \times 0.285''$  at 70°. Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 5, 8, 12, 19) \times \sigma$  and all other values have their usual meaning. Green crosses show 6.7 GHz methanol maser positions from our data.



**Figure B54. G188.9479+00.8871** - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, top left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands) and C-band (blue contours; bottom and top right panels) images of G188.9479+00.8871. The C-band restoring beam was  $0.558'' \times 0.290''$  at 69°. Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48) \times \sigma$  and all other values have their usual meaning. Green crosses show 6.7 GHz methanol maser positions from our data.



**Figure B55. G189.0307+00.7821** - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, top left panel; 2MASS, K, H, J bands) and C-band (blue contours; bottom and top right panels) images of G189.0307+00.7821. The C-band restoring beam was  $0.539'' \times 0.291''$  at 68°. Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 6, 10, 19, 34) \times \sigma$  and all other values have their usual meaning. Green crosses show 6.7 GHz methanol maser positions from our data.



**Figure B56.** G192.6005–00.0479 - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, bottom left panel; UKIDSS, K, H, J bands) and C-band (blue contours; other panels) images of G192.6005–00.0479. The C-band restoring beam was  $0.597'' \times 0.292''$  at 67°. Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 7, 16, 38, 87) \times \sigma$  and all other values have their usual meaning.



**Figure B57. G196.4542–01.6777** - Near-infrared (R, G, B colour-scale, top left panel; UKIDSS, K, H, J bands) and C-band (blue contours; other panels) images of G196.4542–01.6777. The C-band restoring beam was  $0.674'' \times 0.293''$  at  $63^{\circ}$ . Contour levels are  $(-3, 3, 5, 7, 13, 27, 55) \times \sigma$  and all other values have their usual meaning. Green crosses show 6.7 GHz methanol maser positions from our data.



Figure C1. Paradigm used for calculating mass loss rate, *m*<sub>jet</sub>, in ionised jets and disc-winds.

## APPENDIX C: CALCULATION OF MASS LOSS RATES

For calculating the mass loss rate of an ionised outflow, one of two approaches is used based upon the thermal emission's spectral index. These approaches are summarised in Figure C1 and discussed below.

In cases where  $\alpha \ge 0$ , we use the analytical work of Reynolds (1986). Mass loss rates are calculated using Equation C1 for which one of three physical jet-models is selected to calculate a value for  $F(\alpha, \epsilon, q_T)$  (Equation C2). Each model differs in its power-law describing jet-width and temperature as functions of distance along the jet's axis, which are  $w(r) \propto r^{\epsilon}$  and  $T(r) \propto r^{q_T}$ , respectively. In cases where  $0 \le \alpha < 0.4$  we adopt the 'standard, conical' model representing jets whose material is still undergoing significant collimation, with  $\epsilon = 2/3$  and  $q_T = 0$ . For  $0.4 \le \alpha < 0.8$  the 'standard, spherical' model is used with  $\epsilon = 1$  and  $q_T = 0$ , whereby jet material is ballistic with no significant collimation. Finally, for  $\alpha \ge 0.8$  the 'conical, recombining' model is used with  $\epsilon = 1$  and  $q_T = -1/2$  to approximate jets with some degree of recombination/cooling in their flow. Deconvolved dimensions (from CASA's imfit task) are used to calculate opening angle whereby  $\theta_0 = \tan^{-1}(\theta_{maj}/\theta_{min})$ . Assumed values for velocity, temperature, turnover frequency, mean atomic weight, ionisation fraction and inclination are v = 500 km s<sup>-1</sup> (typical of MYSO jets),  $T_e = 10000$  K (above which collisional cooling with metals is significant),  $v_m = 50$  GHz (a lower limit from observational consensus),  $\mu = 1.3 m_H$  (typical solar abundances; Lodders 2003),  $x_0 = 12\%$  (from Fedriani et al. 2019) and  $i = 57^\circ.3$  (average inclination of a uniformly-distributed, random sample), respectively. In cases where no deconvolved dimensions could be measured, the weighted average opening angle of all jets from P16 and this work is used,  $\theta_0 = 35 \pm 16^\circ$ .

# 90 S. J. D. Purser, S. L. Lumsden, M. G. Hoare and S. Kurtz



Figure C2. General schematic of an ionised jet, its dimensions and their relation to a clean radio image. Blue and red arrows indicate the jet moving towards and away from the observer, respectively.

$$\left[\frac{\dot{m}_{\text{jet}}}{M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}}\right] = 1.17 \times 10^{12} \frac{v_{\text{jet}} \,\mu \, S_{\nu}^{3/4} \, D^{3/2} \, v_{\text{m}}^{3\alpha/4 - 9/20} \, \theta_{0}^{3/4}}{x_{0} \, v^{3\alpha/4} \, T_{e}^{3/40} \, \sin\left(i\right)^{1/4} \, F\left(\alpha, \epsilon, q_{\text{T}}\right)^{3/4}} \tag{C1}$$

$$F(\alpha, \epsilon, q_{\rm T}) = \frac{4.41}{q_{\tau}(\alpha - 2)(\alpha + 0.1)}$$

$$q_{\tau} = \frac{2.1(1 + \epsilon + q_T)}{\alpha - 2}$$
(C2)

where all quantities are in SI units, unless explicitly stated.

For those jets with  $\alpha < 0$ , we take a second approach. Since optically thin, radio emission in this case is a measure of the full, ionised content of the emitting plasma. We approximate the jet as having biconical geometry with a total length,  $D \tan \left(\theta_{\text{maj}}\right) \sin (i)^{-1}$  and width,  $D \tan \left(\theta_{\text{min}}\right)$  (see Figure C2). This leads to solid angle and volume as defined in Equations C3 and C4. Since we are in the regime whereby  $h\nu \ll kT$  (assuming  $T_e = 10000$  K), the Rayleigh-Jeans approximation can be used in conjunction with  $T_b = T_e \left(1 - e^{-\tau_v}\right)$  to calculate the optical depth of the plasma (Equation C5). The amount of plasma along the line of sight, or emission measure, can be computed (in units of pc cm<sup>-6</sup>) using Equation C6 (Mezger & Henderson 1967) where the Gaunt factor is  $\langle g_{\text{ff}} \rangle = \ln(4.955 \times 10^7 v) + 1.5 \ln (T_e)$ . With a value for emission measure the average electron density,  $n_e$ , within the biconical jet can be calculated since  $EM = \int n_e^2 ds$ . Constant density is assumed and the average jet thickness,  $ds = D \tan \left(\theta_{\min}\right) \left[2 \sin (i)\right]^{-1}$ , is used. Mass loss rate is thereby computed using Equation C7 assuming a constant velocity over the timescale,  $t = D \tan \left(\theta_{\min}\right) \left(2 \sin (i) v_{jet}\right)^{-1}$ . For the other parameters the same values are used as with the alternate method detailed above. In the cases where no deconvolved dimensions could be measured, no mass loss rate is derived.

$$\Omega = \frac{\theta_{\text{maj}}\,\theta_{\text{min}}}{2} \tag{C3}$$

$$V = \frac{\pi D^3 \tan\left(\theta_{\text{maj}}\right) \tan^2\left(\theta_{\text{min}}\right)}{12 \sin\left(i\right)} \tag{C4}$$

$$\tau_{\nu} = -\ln\left(1 - \frac{S_{\nu}c^2}{2k_{\rm B}\nu^2\Omega T_{\rm e}}\right) \tag{C5}$$

$$\left[\frac{EM}{pc\,cm^{-6}}\right] = 3.318 \times 10^{-17} \,\tau_{\nu} \,T_{e}^{3/2} \,\nu^{2} \langle g_{\rm ff} \rangle^{-1} \tag{C6}$$

$$\left[\frac{m_{\text{jet}}}{M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}}\right] = 3.171 \times 10^{-23} \frac{v \, h_e \, \mu \, \sin\left(l\right) \, v_{\text{jet}}}{D \, \tan\left(\theta_{\text{maj}}\right) \, x_0} \tag{C7}$$

SI units are used unless explicitly stated in the relevant equations.

# APPENDIX D: INDIVIDUAL CLASSIFICATION/NOTES

Throughout this section we discuss each field with detected emission in both/either C-band or Q-band imagery. Subsequently the detected radio emission is then compared to previous observations in the literature across all wavelengths, to both decide upon the classification of each individual radio source and also to discern the overall star formation picture towards each core/clump.

#### D1 IRDC Sample

# D1.1 G018.82-00.28

Within the C-band primary beam, there are three, classified cores from Rathborne et al. (2010), MM2, MM4 and MM5. Another core, MM6 lies approximately halfway between MM4 and MM5, however it remain unclassified. Only MM2 is classified as containing a red MIR source, which is identified as IRAS 18236-1241 and also as G018.8330-00.3004 from the RMS survey where it is classified as a HII region. Follow up radio observations with the VLA as part of the CORNISH survey (Purcell et al. 2013) detect an extended HII region possessing an integrated flux of 131.4 mJy which is that of G018.8330-00.3004/IRAS 18236-1241. There is debate as to whether the near or far kinematic distance applies in this case. Many sources quote the far kinematic distance of 12.6 kpc, yet MIR images show that the HII region sits in front of the IRDC sitting at the near kinematic distance of 4.6 kpc (see left hand panel of Figure B1). In light of the mid-infrared images, we adopt the near kinematic distance.

Approximately 3" east of the pointing centre, coincident with the MM2 core, the mid-infrared source and G018.8330-00.3004, we detect a compact source with an extended 'tail' at C-band. Q-band imagery does not detect the tail (likely resolved out) but resolves the compact source into a cometary HII region approximately  $0.6'' \times 0.4''$  in size with a calculated physical size of  $\sim 0.013 \,\mathrm{pc} \times 0.010 \,\mathrm{pc}$ . Since the full HII region is much larger in extent than the compact core (see CORNISH imagery) it is therefore classified as an ultracompact HII region (UCHII). Methanol maser emission is detected at the head of the cometary morphology where flux is highest. Using our C and Q-band integrated fluxes of  $37 \pm 2$  mJy and  $51 \pm 5$  mJy we calculate an optically-thin spectral index of  $\alpha_{CO} = 0.16 \pm 0.06$  for the 'core'. A C-band flux of  $100 \pm 5$  mJy (integrated over the area enclosed by the  $3\sigma$  contours) is calculated for both the 'core' and 'tail' with the latter thereby possessing a flux of 63±5 mJy. However, comparing our C-band image and measured flux with that of the CORNISH survey, it is clear that some flux has been resolved out at C-band (~ 31 mJy). With  $L_{bol} = 11883^{+4249}_{-3953}$ (using the distance to MM2 of  $4.53^{+0.49}_{-0.39}$  kpc), we would expect the UCHII region to have a radio luminosity (from its inferred Lyman flux of  $2.6 \times 10^{46} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) of ~ 280 mJy kpc<sup>2</sup>. This is below its measured value of  $S_{5.8}D^2 \sim 2700 \text{ mJy kpc}^2$  by an order of magnitude. However, if we assume a distance of 12.6 kpc and therefore  $L_{\text{bol}} = 91938 \pm 29965 \,\text{L}_{\odot}$ , radio luminosity inferred from the Lyman flux is ~  $45200 \text{ mJy kpc}^2$ , which is only larger by a factor of two than the measured  $S_{5.8}D^2 \sim 20800 \text{ mJy kpc}^2$ . This lends support to the HII region being located at the far distance, rather than the near and since it is seen in front of the molecular core, the same can be said for the IRDC complex. Due to the strong flux and spatial scale range of the HCHII region, our images are dynamic range-limited and no other emission is detected.

## D1.2 G024.08+00.04

Rathborne et al. (2010) observed five, 1.2 mm cores (MM1 – 5) all of which are quiescent apart from MM1 which contains a reddened MIR source and is hosted by the brightest sub-mm clump of the complex. Simon et al. (2006) catalogued the IRDC complex at a distance of 3.8 kpc using a  $v_{\rm lsr}$  of  $52.5 \pm 5.1$  km s<sup>-1</sup>. Using that velocity we calculate near and far kinematic distances of  $3.53^{+0.41}_{-0.50}$  kpc, respectively. The RMS survey identifies the reddened MIR source as the HII region G024.1838+00.1198, which was allocated a kinematic distance of 7.7 kpc by the RMS survey ( $v_{\rm lsr} = 113.5$  km s<sup>-1</sup>) placing it behind MM1 with which it is coincident.

At C-band we detect a cometary HII region (designated A) 3" to the east of the pointing centre (coincident with MM1 and G024.1838+00.1198) and a bright, elongated source 45" to the NE comprised of at least 3 separate components (B1, B2 and B3). At Q-band we do not detect any significant flux in the primary beam. With a physical, FWHM size of 0.030 pc × 0.024 pc for the RMS distance of 7.7 kpc, A is a typical UCHII region. Collectively we term B1, B2 and B3 as 'B' which has upper-limits to its radio spectral indices of  $\alpha_{CQ} < -0.4$  (from a wide-field Q-band image). However this calculated upper limit may be affected by loss of flux at Q-band considering its extended nature in C-band images. Since B is not coincident with any IR source, or sub-mm, continuum emission, and is located away from MIR extinction patches, we classify it as extragalactic in origin. No radio emission was detected towards any of the other cores within the C-band primary beam.

### D1.3 G024.33+00.11

VLA, 3.6 cm observations showed a 0.33 mJy radio source (VLA1) at  $\alpha$ (J2000) =  $18^{h}35^{m}08.1^{s}$ ,  $\delta$ (J2000) =  $-07^{\circ}35'04''$  and a 0.29 mJy source (VLA2) at  $\alpha$ (J2000) =  $18^{h}35^{m}24.0^{s}$ ,  $\delta$ (J2000) =  $-07^{\circ}37'38''$  (Battersby et al. 2010). VLA1 and VLA2 are coincident with the mm-cores, MM1 (15'' in diameter) and MM8 (40'' in diameter) respectively, observed by Rathborne et al. (2006). Unusually, MM1 is determined to be at the kinematic far-distance (6.3 kpc) unlike the other mm-cores of Rathborne et al. (2010) (which are at distances of 3.7 kpc). Near-infrared H<sub>2</sub>, 2.122 µm images show two lobes of shock H<sub>2</sub> emission (B and C) aligned along a position angle of 125° and separated by ~ 6'', (described as a bipolar H<sub>2</sub> outflow by Lee et al. 2013). López-Sepulcre et al. (2010) detect a wide angle, bipolar outflow centred on MM1 whose outflow axis is not well defined in channel intensity maps.

At C-band we detect three radio sources, A, B and D. A (VLA 1 of Battersby et al. 2010) is located at the centre of MM1 coincident with an MIR 'yellowball', whilst B is distinctly positioned away from the patch of mid-infrared extinction. No mid-infrared source is seen coincident with B, however in UKIDSS imagery it is possibly co-located with a faint red source in close proximity/confusion with a bright blue star. Source D (VLA 2 of Battersby et al. 2010) is located within the MM8 core (18" SE of its peak position), has a relatively large flux  $(3.4 \pm 0.18 \text{ mJy})$  and may be coincident with a blue, GLIMPSE source. D is also variable over the 4 years between the observations of Battersby et al. (2010) and those here, increasing in flux by  $\sim 3 \text{ mJy}$  in that period. Comparing our C-band flux for A with the 8.3 GHz flux obtained by Battersby et al. (2010) of 0.33 mJy (their VLA1), we derive  $\alpha = -0.2 \pm 0.3$  making its emission mechanism ambiguous. Only source A is detected at Qband, however another compact Q-band source, C, is detected 4.6" to the NW of A. Source C does not have a C-band counterpart,

giving a lower limit on its spectral index (assuming no variability) of  $\alpha > 1.2$ . Both A and C are resolved at Q-band, while only A is resolved at C-band. As mentioned previously, a bipolar H<sub>2</sub> outflow is present which is associated to our source A and aligned with A's major axis. In combination with its spectral index of  $\alpha = 0.70 \pm 0.11$ , we determine this to be an ionised jet, especially given its elongated radio morphology, with C and Q-band major axes that are parallel, showing minimal dust contributions at Q-band. Interestingly A seems to have a western extension to its Q-band emission, whose exact nature remains undetermined. Source B, C and D remain unclassified.

# D1.4 G024.60+00.08

Rathborne et al. (2010) detect four mm-cores in total towards this IRDC (MM1–4) of which MM4 remains unclassified. An earlier work (Rathborne et al. 2006) determined that the complex itself was comprised of two separate complexes at different distances from us. The furthest distance, 6.3 kpc, was obtained for MM2 while for the rest of the cores, a distance of 3.7 kpc was adopted. Interestingly, the same work used PdBI observations at 1.2 and 3 mm to show that MM1 and MM2 are actually composed of 3 and 5 separate condensations respectively. Towards both MM1 and MM2 López-Sepulcre et al. (2010) detect bipolar outflows in HCO<sup>+</sup>(1 – 0) whose red lobes are oriented at position angles of ~ 280° and ~ 10° respectively. Previous radio observations (Battersby et al. 2010) at 8.3 GHz revealed two point-like sources, one distinctly offset from the IRDC (~ 4' to the south of MM1) and the other closer but still outside the obvious mid-infrared extinction.

Two C-band continuum sources are detected within the primary beam. Source A is not coincident with any near, or mid, infrared source and is distinctly located away from the mid-infrared extinction of the IRDC, and therefore we believe it to be extragalactic. In contrast, Source B is located on a western filament of the cloud and is coincident with a reddened, UKIDSS source and white, GLIMPSE source. It is determined that B is a star which has evolved past the YSO stage and is located on the near-side of the IRDC on account of its mid-infrared colours. At Q-band no significant radio emission was detected across the primary beam. A methanol maser was also observed and located within the NW armature of the IRDC's extinction coincident with both a GLIMPSE point source, the mm-core MM2 and one of the bipolar outflows, but with no associated, C-band, continuum emission ( $3\sigma$  upper limit of 18 µJy).

# D1.5 G028.28-00.34

A deep radio survey by Cyganowski et al. (2011) detected a  $4\sigma$ , unresolved, radio-continuum source (EGO G28.28–0.36–CM1) with a peak flux at 8.3 GHz of 0.21 mJy, centred on the EGO at  $18^{h}44^{m}13.33^{s}$ ,  $-04^{\circ}18'04.3''$ . Three other sources were detected (F G28.28–0.36–CM1, CM2 and CM3) in their field of view, two of which (CM1 and CM3) were coincident with extended, reddened, GLIMPSE sources and the other isolated from any infrared emission. No evidence for a molecular outflow (López-Sepulcre et al. 2010) or H<sub>2</sub> line emission (Lee et al. 2013) was found in the region however, suggesting minimal outflow or jet activity. At 1.2 mm Rathborne et al. (2010) detected 4 cores, of which 3 have classifications (all 'R'), which are contained within 2 ATLASGAL clumps at 870 µm. Core MM1 harbours the RMS survey's HII region, G028.2875–00.3639 which was determined to lie at the far, kinematic distance of 11.6 kpc.

An obvious HII region (G028.2875-00.3639) is detected at C-band and Q-band with an extent of  $\sim 0.4$  pc which is therefore classified as a compact HII (CHII region). In the higher frequency image much of the extended emission is resolved out, leaving a compact source with an IMFIT derived size of  $1900 \text{ au} \times 1600 \text{ au}$ . Since the extended emission was not resolved out in the C-band images, the Q-band data was split in to two sub-bands (with central frequencies of 42 GHz and 46 GHz), reimaged and fluxes for the compact source recorded. Using the peak fluxes in both sub-bands derives a spectral index of  $\alpha \sim -0.1$ , which likely indicates optically thin free-free emission, therefore ruling out a stellar or disc wind. Integrated fluxes (over  $3\sigma$  contours) of ~ 440 mJy are measured at 5.8 GHz. We believe this to be an underestimate of the optically-thin flux considering the value of  $\alpha = 0.6 \pm 0.2$  between 5 and 9 GHz (from the data of Kurtz et al. 1994, Purcell et al. 2013). Comparing the near and far distance estimates, with which we derive values for  $L_{\rm bol}$  of 23700 L<sub> $\odot$ </sub> and 340000 L<sub> $\odot$ </sub> (from the RMS survey's values), we believe the near distance to be incorrect since the radio luminosity of the HII region is too large for  $L_{\text{bol}} = 23700 \,\text{L}_{\odot}$ . Therefore, the core may also lie at the far kinematic-distance considering the HII region lies in front of it.

Another C-band source (outside the Q-band primary beam) was detected (Source A) coincident with G28.28–0.36–CM2 from Cyganowski et al. (2011), but has no near, or mid-infrared counterpart and lies outside the IRDC's extinction and ATLASGAL, sub-mm, continuum emission. Deconvolved dimensions at 8.3 GHz show A to be resolved with a size of  $0.69'' \times 0.54''$ , whereas in our C-band data only upper limits on A's physical size could be determined. This tends to suggest that our A-configuration observations have likely resolved out any extended flux detected in Cyganowski et al. (2011). Therefore, comparing their peak flux to our peak flux to determine a rough spectral index yields  $\alpha = -0.2 \pm 0.2$ , supporting our extragalactic classification. At a robustness of 0.5 without removing short baselines, no source is detected coincident with the EGO, however this is most likely due to the anticipated, weak cm-emission and dynamic range-limited image.

### D1.6 G028.37+00.07

Within our C-band primary beam Rathborne et al. (2010) identifies 11 cores with a wide range of evolutionary classes (Q, I and A). Their MM1 and MM2 were determined to possess luminosities of ~  $25000 L_{\odot}$  with both being members of clumps containing EGOs and HII regions from inspection of GLIMPSE imagery. At 870 µm MM1's parental clump is the most luminous, has the widest  $NH_3(1, 1)$  line widths (4.3 km s<sup>-1</sup>) and highest rotational temperatures of the entire complex (clump P2 of Wang et al. 2008), likely a consequence of internal heating. No previous cm-emission, excluding the HII regions detected by Battersby et al. (2010), has been detected towards MM1. Towards MM2, however, a point-like (with a 2.4" C-configuration, VLA, synthesised beam) radio source with an 8.3 GHz flux of  $1.7 \pm 0.2$  mJy was observed to be coincident with a possibly extended, reddened, GLIMPSE source (VLA4 of Battersby et al. 2010). No sub-mm, line observations are found in the literature targeting molecular outflow activity and therefore no comment can be made on the presence of large-scale outflows.

Radio images in Figure B6 show the detection of four C-band sources, designated A, B, C and D, and three Q-band sources, A (colocated with the C-band source), A2 and A3. A CH<sub>3</sub>OH maser is detected and coincident with A, which possesses a spectral index of  $\alpha = 1.38 \pm 0.19$ . Source B is resolved in C-band and coincident with a ~ 2.6 mJy source from archival, 20 cm, GPS images, indicating a non-thermal spectral index of  $\alpha \simeq -1$  (assuming minimal resolving out effects). Comparison with the observations of Battersby et al. (2010), show that B is registered as their point-like VLA6 with a 3.6 cm flux of 380  $\pm$  40  $\mu$ Jy yielding a value for  $\alpha$  of  $-1.0 \pm 0.5$ , agreeing with the GPS comparison. In conjunction with its nondetection at Q-band ( $4\sigma$  upper limit of  $360 \mu$ Jy), location away from cold-dust, sub-mm emission (ATLASGAL 870 µm) and lack of a MIR-counterpart, this confirms its extragalactic nature. From our data alone, component C's nature can not be determined due to its high upper limit for spectral index ( $\alpha < 1.1$ ) and ambiguous positioning on the edge of sub-mm continuum emission. Source A3 from Q-band is coincident with a  $3\sigma$ , C-band source which looks slightly extended at a position angle of  $\sim -45^{\circ}$ . Using an IMFIT derived flux of  $47 \pm 15 \,\mu$ Jy for the C-band,  $3\sigma$  emission, a spectral index of  $\alpha = 1.60 \pm 0.18$  is calculated. A Q-band, IMFITderived position angle for A3 show it to be elongated at an angle of  $25 \pm 7^{\circ}$ , while the C-band, IMFIT derived centroid of emission is offset to the Q-band's at a position angle of  $\sim -55^{\circ}$  (i.e. roughly perpendicular to the Q-band elongation axis). This suggests that A3 may be dominated by thermal, free-free emission from an ionised jet at C-band (ejection axis at  $\theta_{PA} \sim -50^{\circ}$ ), but by dust from an accretion disc (~ 800 au in diameter) at Q-band. Source A2, which is offset by 750 au at a position angle of  $-154^{\circ}$  from A3, is likely to be the dust emission from a close-by, coeval YSO/core. We therefore believe A, A2 and A3 represent a triple system of YSOs. Component D is the same source as VLA4 from Battersby et al. (2010) and in conjunction with their flux at X-band we derive a spectral index of  $1.7 \pm 0.5$  indicating optically thick, free-free emission. From its MIR colour/morphology, deconvolved size  $(0.007 \text{ pc} \times 0.003 \text{ pc})$ and radio spectral index, D is likely a HCH11 for which we calculate a powering star of ZAMS type B2, corresponding to a mass of  $11\,M_{\odot}$  and luminosity of  $6600\,L_{\odot}.$  This estimate is based upon the assumption that the emission is optically thin at 3.6 cm however it does provide a lower limit for the bolometric luminosity which is in agreement with that derived by Rathborne et al. (2010) of 23329  $L_{\odot}$ .

### D1.7 G028.67+00.13

At C-band we detect one source, being the HII region coincident with the mm-core MM1 from Rathborne et al. (2010). We measure an integrated flux of 62 mJy and deconvolved size of  $4.0'' \times 3.2''$ (or 0.09 pc×0.07 pc at the distance of 4.8 kpc), typical of a compact HII region. From the flux, and assuming optically thin emission at 5.8 GHz, we infer a powering star of ZAMS type B1 equivalent to a mass of 15 M<sub>☉</sub> or luminosity of 20000 L<sub>☉</sub> (Davies et al. 2011), in rough agreement with 12000 L<sub>☉</sub> derived for MM1. We establish a  $3\sigma$  upper flux limit for C-band emission towards MM2 of 24 µJy.

# D1.8 G033.69-0.01

Mid-IR images show a filamentary structure extending along a rough N-S axis along which Rathborne et al. (2010) classify 10 cores with a wide range in evolutionary status. The most luminous of these cores are MM2 and MM5, which possess red and active classifications respectively. From inspection of GLIMPSE images, the reddened MIR source associated to MM2 is likely an HII region on account of its extended morphology. Comparison with ATLASGAL images show that MM2 lies approximately halfway between two 870  $\mu$ m emission peaks, both of which are likely associated to extended HII regions. The northern part of the overall filamentary complex appears less evolved and quiescent, but harbours the highest mass core (MM1 at 750 M<sub>☉</sub>) which itself harbours a reddened MIR source.

Only a cometary UCHII region (A) 1.8'' (0.05 pc) across is detected 18'' to NW of MM2's given position with a flux of ~ 1.5 mJy at C-band, indicative of a ZAMS B1 powering star with a mass between  $12 - 15 \text{ M}_{\odot}$  and equivalent to a luminosity of  $9-18 \times 10^3 \text{ L}_{\odot}$  (Davies et al. 2011). No Q-band emission is detected across any of the 3 pointings towards this complex. Source A is also located 8'' to the north and 37'' to the NW of more expanded, resolved out HII regions which show prominently in the GLIMPSE, RGB images of Figure B8. Core MM2 is offset from A by 17'' to the SE but still encompasses the detected HII region due to its large diameter (41'' Rathborne et al. 2007). Considering its radio-derived bolometric luminosity, it is likely to be the major contributor to the IR derived luminosity of 22373 L<sub>☉</sub>.

#### D2 MYSO Sample

#### D2.1 G033.6437-00.2277

Classified as a diffuse HII region in the RMS survey, from inspection of GLIMPSE imagery a 'yellowball' coincides with the diffuse PAH, 8  $\mu$ m emission from the extended HII region (G033.6437–00.2277 in the RMS survey). Towards the MYSO, methanol maser emission is detected (Bartkiewicz et al. 2009) in an arc along a rough position angle of 70°. These masers are known to periodically flare (Fujisawa et al. 2012) on short timescales of ~ 1 day.

At C-band we detect 3 sources names A, B and C of which only A has a corresponding Q-band detection associated to it. Approximately 8.5" to the west of A, a Q-band only radio object is detected (D) to a  $5\sigma$  level. Methanol maser emission is also detected at A's position, which itself is situated at the centre of the HII region's diffuse 8 µm emission with a mid-infrared 'yellowball' (see RGB image of Figure B9). Without any further information in terms of previously detected outflows or other indicators of jet activity, we classify A to be a jet candidate. Source B is coincident with a blue source in UKIDSS, with an upper limit to its radio spectral index of  $< 0.53 \pm 0.14$ . We believe it to be more evolved than the YSO stage on account of its non-reddened NIR profile and therefore classify it with an evolved status. Due to its isolated nature and absence of a mid-infrared detection, C is classified to be extragalactic. Unusually D is only detected at Q-band, and a spectral index lower limit of  $\alpha > 1.52 \pm 0.17$  is deduced. We believe this to be a YSO in the vicinity of G033.6437-00.2277, and due to its high spectral index, may display variability or be dominated by dust emission at 44 GHz.

#### D2.2 G035.1979-00.7427

Better known as G35.20-0.74N, Sánchez-Monge et al. (2014) used ALMA observations at 350 GHz (light blue contours of Figure B10) to detect 6 evenly-spaced, dense cores, labelled A through F, aligned along a position angle of  $\sim 140^{\circ}$  over an extent of  $\sim 15''$ , or 0.15 pc at 2.19 kpc. Cores A and B showed coherent velocity structures indicative of Keplerian discs in rotation around central objects of mass 4 - 18 M<sub>o</sub>, as well as harbouring precessing jets perpendicular to the suspected discs. Previous to that work, Gibb et al. (2003) analysed 5 and 8.5 GHz VLA observations, detecting 11 radio sources at either/both frequencies. Of these 11 sources, those named 1 - 4 and 7 - 11 appeared to be aligned along a N-S axis, with 7 (or G35.2N) and 8 coincident with core B from Sánchez-Monge et al. (2014). Another radio work by Beltrán et al. (2016) analysed VLA, B-configuration observations at 15 GHz, 23 GHz and 43 GHz and concluded that their radio source 8 (named 7 by Gibb et al. 2003) was in fact a hypercompact HII region. As a note, it is the naming convention of Gibb et al. (2003) that we follow in this work. Fits to the SED yielded ranges in bolometric luminosity from  $(0.7 - 2.2) \times 10^5 L_{\odot}$ , dependent upon cavity opening angle and inclination (Zhang et al. 2013). Most recently, Fedriani et al. (2019) used the C-band observations presented here to derive the ionisation fraction of the ionised jet launched from core B at various distances from the core. From their results, we calculate that the ionisation fraction drops with distance from the launching point as  $x_i \propto r^{-0.5\pm0.3}$ .

Q-band images show 5 compact radio sources in the field of view, all of which coincide with, and maintain the naming of, the previously detected sources in Gibb et al. (2003) apart from the source we refer to as 'Core A' (see Figure B10) which inherits its name from the sub-mm source by the same name (Sánchez-Monge et al. 2014). Sources 7 ('Core B' of Sánchez-Monge et al. 2014) and 8 both show lobes of faint radio emission ~ 0.09'' (or 200 au) to the west of their peaks, which are recorded as 7b and 8b respectively. At C-band we detect all Q-band sources, and five more designated 4, 13, 14, 15, EX–S and EX–N (i.e. extended south/north). Lobes 13, 14 and 15 are new detections, while EX–S and EX–N are comprised of sources 9, 10, 11 and 1, 2, 3 from Gibb et al. (2003) respectively.

Comparison with the ALMA image of Sánchez-Monge et al. (2014) (central panel of Figure B10) show 4, 5, 14, 15, EX-S and EX-N are not associated with any sub-mm continuum sources, but are with the diffuse emission from cavity walls in both near and midinfrared images. From UKIDSS imagery, 5 is possibly associated with a NIR source and, like 6, is probably more evolved than the radio sources associated to strong sub-mm emission. Considering their flat spectral indices and non-association with sub-mm emission, 5 and 6 are likely small, compact HII regions. Due to its jet-like spectral index of  $0.64 \pm 0.06$  and perfect alignment with 14 and 15, we determine that 7 is the driving jet behind the precessing axis defined by 4, 7, 8, 14, 15, EX-S and EX-N. This disagrees with the interpretation of Beltrán et al. (2016) that source 7 (their source 8) is an HCHII region. We attribute this discrepancy between the two works to our better resolution, and thus measurement of spectral index, allowing us to be sure that source 7 is elongated and jetlike. Assuming a velocity of 500 km s<sup>-1</sup> for the jet, we determine a rough precession period of  $150 \pm 50$  yr from fitting a simple jet model to the lobe positions (Figure D1). Assuming this motion has a simple relation to the period of a possible, binary companion, we determine (for a  $19\,M_{\odot}$  central object) that the companion should orbit at a distance of  $80 \pm 20$  au. Although the nature of 8 may appear ambiguous as a HII region, jet or optically thin lobe of shocked emission ( $\alpha = -0.11 \pm 0.09$ ), its position relative to 7 changes significantly (~ 0.24'') in comparison with the results of Gibb et al. (2003), indicating a more transient phenomena. Therefore, we split the bolometric luminosity of  $150000 L_{\odot}$  evenly between Core A and 7 for any further analysis.

### D2.3 G035.1992-01.7424

Our RMS source is also known as the UCHII region, W48A, located in the W48 massive-star forming complex. Rygl et al. (2014) detected 3 FIR clumps towards the W48 region, one (H1) centred on the UCHII region and the other two, H2 and H3, located 16" and 63" to the west respectively. Both H1 and H2 have one 2.3 mm-core each, named H1a and H2a respectively, while H3 has two 2.3 mmcores (H3a and H3b). Clump H2 was associated with methanol, hydroxyl and water maser activity, while clump H3 displayed a roughly north-east to south-west outflow traced by the CO (3 – 2)



**Figure D1.** A radio contour map of G35.20–0.74N with a fitted jet model overlaid (light blue line), with the derived, minimum jet opening angle defined by the grey, dotted lines. Contour levels are the same as in Figure B10.

transition. Ultimately this work concluded that sequential star formation from (in order of most to least evolved) H1, to H2 and lastly to H3 explained their observations, with H2 bordering the UCHn's photo-dissociation region (PDR) and therefore being pressured into collapse by the expanding HII region. Most recently, 22 GHz radio images employing *uv*-cuts of > 320k $\lambda$  by Masqué et al. (2017) resolved out much of the emission and detected a compact source at  $\alpha$  (J2000) = 19<sup>8</sup>01<sup>m</sup>46.46<sup>s</sup>,  $\delta$  (J2000) = 01°13′23.6″ (centre of W48A) with a flux of 5.24 ± 0.14 mJy and deconvolved size of (67 ± 3 mas) × (39 ± 3 mas), at a position angle of 131 ± 5°.

At C-band we detect a cometary UCHII, W48A, which limits the image in dynamic range due to its extended, bright emission. When moving to Q-band, the lack of short *uv*-spacings filtered out much of the extended structure and we detect partially resolved out emission at the location of the cometary HII region's bow shock. Further to this, we detect a compact source roughly at the HII region's geometric centre (i.e. at the location of the compact source detected by Masqué et al. 2017). From the K-band, radio flux previously recorded by Masqué et al. (2017), we derive a spectral index of  $-0.11 \pm 0.17$  with no change in deconvolved position angle or size (which makes the hypothesis of an ionized jet unlikely). From the models of a spherical, ionized wind (Panagia & Felli 1975), this looks like an unlikely candidate for an ionized, stellar wind. To investigate this possibility however, from the measured flux at 44 GHz of  $4.84 \pm 0.19$  mJy, we infer a mass loss rate of (assuming  $v = 3000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) of  $5.6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  (Wright & Barlow 1975). From the models of Vink et al. (2001), we can infer a mass loss rate expected for a source of given luminosity/mass. For W48's luminosity of  $1.5 \times 10^5 L_{\odot}$  we expect a mass loss rate of  $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{-6}\,M_{\odot}\,yr^{-1}$  at best, which is around 20 times too small, compared to our measured flux at 44 GHz, which consequently rules out the stellar wind picture. With the calculated, opticallythin, spectral index, we can accurately calculate an emission measure of  $(1.32 \pm 0.14) \times 10^9$  pc cm<sup>-6</sup>, an average electron density of  $(1.24 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{6} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and a B1 type  $(7600 \text{ L}_{\odot}, 11 \text{ M}_{\odot})$  powering star, assuming it is a small HII region. Considering W48A is powered by a star (or cluster) with a bolometric luminosity of  $170000 L_{\odot}$ , we can say that A is not powering the overall region. Therefore we deduce A to be a candidate, gravitationally-trapped HII region, since half the major axis size  $(106 \pm 20 \text{ au})$  is smaller than the gravitational radius ( $r_g = 122$  au for  $M_{\star} = 11.3 \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ ).

Source B has no obvious K-band, or WISE  $3/4 \,\mu\text{m}$  counterpart though we can not pass comment for longer wavelengths due to saturation of the WISE images at 12  $\mu\text{m}$  and 22  $\mu\text{m}$ . Although not detected at C-band, we establish a dynamic range limited,  $4\sigma$ , upper limit on its flux of 147  $\mu\text{m}$  and therefore a lower limit on its spectral index of  $\alpha > -0.16 \pm 0.11$ . Assuming no variability in the source, this would suggest a thermal object, likely a deeply embedded, extremely young YSO. With the limitations to radio interferometric imaging from W48A, K or Ku-band observations would likely be needed to adequately establish the spectral index of B and therefore provide a firmer classification.

A methanol maser was detected which is co-located with a reddened source in UKIDSS NIR imagery, however no continuum emission is detected in C (dynamic range limited) or Q-band (with  $4\sigma$  upper flux limits of 158 µJy and 112 µJy respectively) images. This methanol maser is located within clump H2/core H2a from Rygl et al. (2014) and likely points to a young MYSO on account of its non-detection at radio wavelengths.

## D2.4 G037.4266+01.5183

López-Sepulcre et al. (2010) detect a N-S oriented molecular outflow in  $C^{18}O(2-1)$ . Subsequently, Surcis et al. (2015) used VLBI to identify 19 CH<sub>3</sub>OH maser spots divided into two groups, A and B, to the NW and SE of G37.43+1.51's position. No clear velocity gradients were observed in either group. However, group A shared their velocity with the outflow's blue lobe, thereby associating the maser emission with the N-S molecular outflow of López-Sepulcre et al. (2010).

We detect one Q-band, ~ 0.5 mJy, point-like source offset ~ 11" to the north east of the pointing centre, which coincides with both a mid-infrared (in WISE imagery) source and B at C-band. A CH<sub>3</sub>OH maser spot is detected ~ 0."3 NW of B, itself coincident maser group A from Surcis et al. (2015). Both maser groups from Surcis et al. (2015) are plotted (pink crosses) in Figure B12 for reference. Two more C-band sources are detected, A and C, which both have red, NIR counterparts. While B possesses a thermal spectral index of 1.16 ± 0.12, A and C were not detected at 44 GHz and we derive upper limits of  $\alpha < 0.59 \pm 0.11$  and  $\alpha < 0.29 \pm 0.06$ , respectively. No physical dimensions could be deconvolved using IMFIT and therefore we can only classify these three sources as jet candidates.

### D2.5 G056.3694-00.6333

Near-infrared UKIDSS imagery shows a reddened point source at the centre of some nebulosity towards the south west and north of the point source.

At C-band we see a string-like morphology slightly offset to the reddened, UKIDSS point source. Considering this morphology, this is likely an ionized jet with lobes, however a lack of results from other wavelengths in the literature means we must classify this as a candidate. Due to its proximity to the near-infrared source, C is assumed to be the YSO where the base of the jet is located, while A, B and D are shock-ionized lobes associated to the jet's flow. Ideally high-resolution mm-observations would help to establish which components are affiliated with cores to clarify the picture further. In the case that C is indeed a jet, while A, B and D are shock-ionized radio lobes, mm sources should only be associated to C.

### D2.6 G077.5671+03.6911

Near infrared 2MASS images show this object to be at the centre of a possible cluster. Previous ammonia and water maser observations failed to detect significant emission (Urquhart et al. 2011), unusual for our sample of MYSOs.

One source, unrelated to the MYSO, was detected 23" to the SE of the pointing centre in the C-band clean images, however nothing was detected at Q-band. Considering that it has no associated near or mid-infrared source, it is most likely extragalactic in origin though its non-thermal or thermal nature can not be constrained ( $\alpha < 0.25 \pm 0.11$ ).

#### D2.7 G078.8699+02.7602

Another well studied region in our sample containing 3 Herbig Ae/Be stars named BD+40°4124, V1686 Cygni and V1318 Cygni. Observed in both optical and NIR regimes, it was determined that V1318 Cygni was composed of 3 separate optical sources dubbed north, middle and south (Aspin et al. 1994), of which 'south' was concluded to be a very young, intermediate-mass, Herbig Ae/Be star with a low-mass binary companion, 'north', separated by ~ 5000 au and connected via the diffuse optical source 'middle'. At sub-mm wavelengths, V1318 Cygni is by far the dominant source (see Figure 22 of Sandell et al. 2011). Navarete et al. (2015) observed this region in both K-band continuum  $(2.2 \,\mu\text{m})$  and H<sub>2</sub> line  $(2.122 \,\mu\text{m})$ NIR filters. They detected a bipolar outflow in shocked H<sub>2</sub> emission consisting of 4 lobes (sources 1a, 1b, 1c and 1d) roughly aligned at a position angle of  $\sim 150^{\circ}$  and extending over a length of 0.78 pc. The approximate midpoint of these lobes is located at  $\alpha$ (J2000) =  $20^{h}20^{m}30.6^{s}$ ,  $\delta(J2000) = 41^{\circ}22'06''$ . Two more lobes (2 and 3) of shock emission were detected ~ 2' from their pointing centre (which coincides that of our observations) at a position angle of 10°.

UKIDSS imagery shows 4 bright sources within 1' of our field centre (Figure B15), the most easterly two being separated from eachother by ~ 5", displaying red NIR colours and coincident with V1318 Cygni's 'north' and 'south' source from Aspin et al. (1994) respectively. A less reddened source can be seen ~ 14" to their east (V1686 Cygni) and the brightest source, blue in colour, is located ~ 40" to the NE (BD+40°4124). At C-band we detect radio emission from all of these NIR sources (A, B, C and E), as well as from a NIR dark source located 47" NNW of the pointing centre

with profiterole-like morphology (D). Only sources A, B and C are detected at Q-band.

Source A is clearly resolved at Q-band with dimensions of  $(99\pm6\times50\pm7)$  au for the listed distance of 1.4 kpc, while possessing values for  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  of 1.41 ± 0.08 and > -0.54 ± 0.03 respectively. Its deconvolved position angle of  $-31\pm6^{\circ}$  aligns it with the shocked emission lobes, 1c and 1d, of Navarete et al. (2015). Source B is extremely low signal to noise ( $3\sigma$  level at Q-band) and is listed as a Q-band detection on the basis of its coincidence with the corresponding C-band source but does remain consistent with the standard jet model of Reynolds (1986) whereby  $\alpha = 0.64 \pm 0.23$ and  $\gamma = -0.91 \pm 0.26$ , which also describes a stellar wind. Without more supporting evidence however, we must classify is as a jet candidate. Source C remains unresolved at both frequencies and we calculate a spectral index of  $\alpha = 1.12 \pm 0.08$  for it, but given its bright, blue NIR colours it has clearly evolved past the MYSO stage. For D we determine it to be extragalactic on account of its IR dark nature mixed with a limit to its spectral index of  $\alpha < 0.41 \pm 0.19$ . Our radio object E is not detected at Q-band and we establish an upper limit on  $\alpha$  of  $< 0.50 \pm 0.13$  and although definitely galactic, its more specific nature can not be determined. Considering the fact that optical counterparts exist to these objects (apart from D), it is highly likely that some are Herbig Ae/Be stars. As for the bolometric luminosities of each object, based on correction for the more accurate distance of Rygl et al. (2012), we adopt  $5972 L_{\odot}$  and  $528 L_{\odot}$  for A and B respectively (from Aspin et al. 1994), while for C we adopt a luminosity of  $1080 L_{\odot}$  (Lumsden et al. 2012).

# D2.8 G079.8855+02.5517

Previous  ${}^{12}$ CO (3 – 2) maps detect an outflow with red and blue lobes along a position angle of ~ 120° and roughly centred on the RMS source G079.8855+02.5517B (Gottschalk et al. 2012).

Within the RMS database, our C-band and Q-band detected source C is designated as G079.8855+02.5517C, while our Q-band-only source B1/B2 corresponds to RMS source G079.8855+02.5517B (the more luminous of the two by an order of magnitude). None of our sources are detected in UKIDSS, but C and B1/B2 are strongly saturated in GLIMPSE images apart from at 3.5  $\mu$ m. With a separation of just 97 ± 8 au (69 ± 4 mas at a distance of 1400 ± 80 pc), B1 and B2 are likely a young binary system. Considering that B2 is elongated along a position angle of 131 ± 15°, parallel with the molecular outflow observed by Gottschalk et al. (2012), we believe that it is an ionized jet whose flux is contributed to by dust emission (hence the steep spectral index of  $\alpha > 1.6 \pm 0.1$ ).

Other detected emission in the field is the C-band source E (outside the Q-band primary beam) and Q-band source D. Since E has no near or mid-infrared counterpart, its most likely classification is extragalactic, while source D, with its steep ( $\alpha > 1.6 \pm 0.1$ ) thermal spectral index and mid IR-dark appearance, is most probably a YSO at the beginning of its evolution.

### D2.9 G081.8652+00.7800 (W75N)

W75N is arguably the most well-studied region of our sample. Our C-band field of view encompasses 3 significant objects, one being an HII region (RMS designation G081.8789+00.7822), another being the string of radio lobes (Carrasco-González et al. 2010) with confusing outflow morphology (Shepherd et al. 2003) and the last being a reddened MIR source (RMS designation G081.8652+00.7800) to the SW of the well studied radio object. The string of 5 radio lobes

is oriented at a position angle of ~  $170^{\circ}$  from the clean maps of Carrasco-González et al. (2010) who determined their source VLA3 to be a thermal jet ( $\alpha = 0.6 \pm 0.1$ ) powering the Herbig-Haro object, Bc, with the natures of VLA1, VLA2 and VLA4 uncertain. Significant radio flux variability was detected towards Bc over the period encapsulating all of their studied, archival observations (~ 13 yr).

At both C and Q-bands we detect an unresolved source dubbed 'A' which is coincident with the RMS source G081.8652+00.7800 and possesses a spectral index of  $\alpha = 1.00 \pm 0.08$  indicative of thermal free-free emission. Upper limits on A's physical dimensions of (< 50× < 24) au are established from the higher frequency images indicating an extremely compact source. As a result, we can only classify this object to be a jet candidate as both its spectral index and extremely small dimensions lend themselves to interpretation as a small HCHII.

Approximately 24" to the NE of A we detect at least 9 sources in the C-band maps and 3 in the Q-band images. These form the well-studied jet/lobes of the W75N region and we report VLA5, VLA6 and VLA7 to be new sources previously undetected. VLA 1 is elongated at a position angle of ~ 80°, which is parallel with the large CO outflow reported in Shepherd et al. (2003), however IM-FIT requires a two-component model at C-band, one for the central 'core' and the other for the more elongated emission to the northeast. VLA1's 'core' is also detected at Q-band and has a spectral index of  $\alpha = 0.19 \pm 0.06$ . For the elongated emission we calculate  $\alpha < -1.21 \pm 0.07$ . The accuracy of this result is debatable considering resolving out effect at Q-band and accurate deconvolution of VLA1 into two sources at C-band. Due to its strong alignment with an outflow, but uncertain spectral indices we assign in the classification of jet candidate.

VLA2 possesses values for  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  of 0.11 ± 0.07 and -1.46 ± 0.12 respectively, with deconvolved position angles of ~ 20° at both frequencies. From our analysis alone, and given the confusing picture of outflow and low signal to noise, we would classify VLA2 as a jet candidate. However considering the work by Carrasco-González et al. (2015), who observed the 'onset of collimation' for the thermal jet associated to VLA2, this radio object is definitively classified as a jet.

VLA4 is seen to possibly be another lobe of shocked emission by Carrasco-González et al. (2010) who required longer time baselines to see if proper motions were significant or not. Taking advantage of the larger time baselines between our 5.8 GHz and their 2006, 8.46 GHz observations we derive proper motions of  $0.13 \pm 0.04''$ , or  $126 \pm 42$  km s<sup>-1</sup>, at a position angle of  $-178 \pm 24^{\circ}$ . We believe that due to the >  $3\sigma$  detection of this proper motion, this source is another radio lobe induced by jet shocks.

VLA5, VLA6 and VLA7 all fall well below the detection thresholds of previous radio observations and are both unresolved (VLA5) and distinctly extended (VLA6 and VLA7) in their morphology. Considering the overall morphology of the region, we assume these sources to be radio lobes, much like VLA4, Bc and Bc2, as the result of jet shocks.

The source W75NBc was detected to have proper motions of ~  $220 \pm 70 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  by Carrasco-González et al. (2010), however the positions they catalogue for source Bc assume that our sources W75NBc and W75NBc2 (see Figure B17) are one and the same. Therefore to recalculate proper motions using our data, we used a deconvolved position derived from IMFIT assuming Bc and Bc2 to be the same source. It is important to note that we use the same phase calibrator (J2007 + 4029) as that of Carrasco-González et al. (2010). At 5.8 GHz, this gives a 2012 epoch position of  $\alpha$ (J2000) : 20<sup>h</sup>38<sup>m</sup>36.5525<sup>s</sup>,  $\delta$ (J2000) : 42°37'31.4585'' (with uncertainties in position of 24.9 and 9.8 mas in  $\alpha$  (J2000) and  $\delta$ (J2000) respectively) translating to a proper motion of 0.12±0.05" ( $\theta_{PA} = 161 \pm 27^{\circ}$ ) or  $114 \pm 46 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  for the more accurate distance of  $1.32 \pm 0.11$  kpc from Rygl et al. (2010) (173 ± 69 km s<sup>-1</sup> using the distance of 2 kpc adopted by Carrasco-González et al. (2010)). Considering this evidence for proper motions in both current and previous data, Bc2/Bc are classified as lobes representing the shocked surfaces of an ionized jet impinging upon its surroundings.

We also detect (C-band only) the HII region (RMS designation G081.8789 +00.7822) whose material stretches across a region approximately  $9.5 \times 8.6''$  in angular size, or  $0.064 \times 0.058$  pc, classifying it as an ultracompact HII region. Considering its flux, assuming optically thin emission and using the models of Davies et al. (2011) we estimate it to have a bolometric luminosity (from its derived Lyman flux) of  $6600 L_{\odot}$ , mass of  $11 M_{\odot}$  and therefore equivalent ZAMS type of B1. However, it must be noted that this is a lower-limit on both its flux and spatial extent due to potential spatial-filtering on these scales.

## D2.10 G083.7071+03.2817

Apart from galactic plane surveys, no previous significant observations targeting this MYSO exist in the literature. UKIDSS NIR images show extended nebulosity in a bipolar configuration, characteristic of cavities, at a position angle of  $\sim 120^{\circ}$  and more diffuse emission to the south west.

Towards this source we detect two C-band radio objects, A and B, and one Q-band source coincident with A. Source A is resolved with dimensions of  $(0.237 \pm 0.029 \times 0.045 \pm 0.061)''$  at  $118 \pm 9^{\circ}$  and  $(0.044 \pm 0.01 \times 0.012 \pm 0.01)''$  at  $111 \pm 18^{\circ}$  at C and Q-bands respectively. In turn we calculate spectral index values of  $\alpha = 0.47 \pm 0.07$  and  $\gamma = -0.83 \pm 0.13$ , in line with the models of Reynolds (1986) and A is therefore a thermal jet, especially given its alignment with NIR reflection nebulae in UKIDSS images (see left panel of Figure B18). Source B has an upper limit to its spectral index of  $\alpha < -0.43 \pm 0.04$  and, considering its unresolved dimensions at C-band and position angle with respect to A of  $\sim -140^{\circ}$  (i.e. perpendicular to A's major axis), is most likely extragalactic in origin.

#### D2.11 G084.9505-00.6910

Near infrared RGB images from UKIDSS show a well defined example of a bipolar reflection nebulae at a position angle of  $\sim$  120°, centred on the MYSO, however no other previous targeted observations of this object are present in the literature.

At Q-band we detect one source, B, which is not resolved using IMFIT but does appear slightly elongated along a position angle of  $\sim 120^{\circ}$ , parallel to what appears to be reflection nebulae (likely cavity walls) in UKIDSS imagery (left panel, Figure B19). At C-band no continuum source is detected coincident with the B, but a methanol maser is detected whose IMFIT derived position is offset to B's Q-band peak by  $\sim 0.8''$ . Without further information we classify this to be a jet candidate, especially given its unusually steep (but still consistent with the models of Reynolds 1986) spectral index of  $\alpha > 1.25$  suggesting flux contribution from dust emission. One source is detected only at C-band, A, which is outside of the Q-band primary beam and so no further analysis can be conducted leaving it with an unknown classification. However, it does not have either a UKIDSS (NIR) or WISE (MIR) counterpart meaning it is likely extragalactic.

## D2.12 G094.2615-00.4116

A knot of  $H_2 2.122 \,\mu m$  emission is detected to the SE of our source A1 (source 1 of A from Varricatt et al. 2010) and associated to a molecular outflow oriented NW to SE at an angle of 141° (Fontani et al. 2004).

We detect 3 sources within 50" of the pointing centre, A1, A2 and B. Source B is only detected at C-band, does not have an NIR counterpart and, from WISE imagery, probably does not have a MIR counterpart either (its position is on the limit of source confusion). Therefore B is classified as extragalactic in origin. A1 has both a C-band and Q-band detection inferring a spectral index of  $\alpha = 0.47 \pm 0.19$ , however no value for  $\gamma$  could be obtained due to the relatively low  $(5\sigma)$  SNR at Q-band. Considering A1's obvious elongation at C-band, apparent NIR cavities oriented at the same position angle and a parallel outflow, we classify it as a thermal jet. A2 is likely to be a lobe associated to A1's jet, produced via shock emission. However its extended morphology  $(1800 \pm 400 \text{ au})$ by 1000±800 au) and unknown MIR status make it a possibility that it is a small H11 region of a B3, ZAMS-type star (mass of  $\sim 8 M_{\odot}$ , for the calculated value  $\log(N_i) = 44.23 \pm 0.09$ , or  $L_{\star} = 2300 \pm 180 L_{\odot}$ , from the models of Davies et al. 2011).

#### D2.13 G094.3228-00.1671

Knots of H<sub>2</sub>, 2.122  $\mu$ m emission were detected by Navarete et al. (2015) and classified to be bipolar in distribution. Their orientation with respect to the RMS source are at position angles of 355°, 185°, 290° and 165° for H<sub>2</sub> lobes 1a, 1b, 1c and 1d respectively.

At both frequencies we detect an unresolved radio source designated as A ~ 2" to the NW of the pointing centre and coincident with the reddened NIR source apparent in the left panel of Figure B21. At Q-band, A's position is separated from that at C-band by 0.18" at a position angle of 107°, however the positional accuracy code of the Q-band phase calibrator is 'C' and therefore we can not say if this offset is real. We derive a spectral index of  $\alpha = 1.19 \pm 0.14$  between C and Q-bands, with an upper limit on the physical size of the emission at Q-band of < (114 × 40) au. Due to the unresolved nature of the radio source, it can not be determined if the emission is parallel, or perpendicular, to the previously recorded outflow axes from Navarete et al. (2015). We therefore classify A as a jet candidate.

### D2.14 G094.4637-00.8043

Smith & Fischer (1992) used near-infrared line and continuum observations to show that activity from multiple jets is likely present in this region considering the wide range in outflow axes seen in their H<sub>2</sub>, 2.12 µm images. More recent H<sub>2</sub> line observations (Navarete et al. 2015) showed 5 distinct regions of emission, with what appears to be 2 bipolar outflows at position angles of  $355/180^{\circ}$  (1a, 1b) and  $210/30^{\circ}$  (2a, 2b), and a monopolar outflow (3a) at a position angle of  $-60^{\circ}$ . The monopolar outflow is also aligned with the obvious NE/SW bipolar reflection nebula in NIR images, and the 2a/2b outflow was aligned with another, fainter, NIR reflection nebula (see left panel of Figure B22).

In standard images with a robustness of 0.5 (Figure B22), source A at C-band is coincident with A and A2 at Q-band, with an average spectral index (combining the two Q-band fluxes) of  $\bar{\alpha} = 0.65 \pm 0.10$ , typical of ionised jets. However considering their separation of 1090 ± 170 au (202 ± 2 mas at 5.36 ± 0.84 kpc) and current theoretical ranges of jet launching radii between 10–100 au,

we believe that A1 and A2 are close binaries. Imaging the C-band data with a more uniform robustness of -2 (increasing the effective resolution) shows that C-band source A is most likely the same source as Q-band source A, while A2 appears more separate from the C-band lobe. Using this approach, A's spectral index is derived to be  $0.39 \pm 0.09$ , while for A2 it is calculated to be  $\alpha > 1.61 \pm 0.09$  (calculated with C-band flux's  $3\sigma$  upper-limit). Both A and A2 are roughly aligned with components B, D and E at a position angle of  $\sim 45^{\circ}$ , for which we calculate spectral index upper limits of < 0.0, < 0.4 and < 0.5 respectively. Component C is detected at both bands and has a jet-like spectral index of  $0.86 \pm 0.09$ , and although IMFIT was unable to deconvolve any sizes, at Q-band the emission looks slightly elongated along a position angle of  $\sim 10^{\circ}$ .

Comparing our results to those of Navarete et al. (2015) who detect three distinct directions of collimated outflow, we detect 3 heavily thermal components (A, A2 and C). Although no definitive spectral indices could be deduced for B, D or E, we believe that due to their alignment with A, they represent shocked surfaces of optically thin and/or non-thermal emission from a thermal jet ejected at a position angle of ~  $45^{\circ}$  from A. The H<sub>2</sub> bipolar outflow components 2a and 2b are aligned along this axis and therefore likely more distant jet-shock features. A2 is likely a close binary to A, though its possible association with an outflow is unknown. The thermal jet at C also has a  $4\sigma$  component to its WNW, in line with the monopolar outflow 3a, however without more information, we can not be sure as to C's exact nature. We therefore classify A to be a jet with lobes and both A2 and C as jet candidates.

### D2.15 G094.6028-01.7966

More popularly known as V645 Cygni, this object has a rich observational history. Notably Murakawa et al. (2013) detected Br $\gamma$ emission with a P-Cygni profile and a blue absorption feature in HeI strongly shifted in velocity by  $-800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . This implies fast moving gas in the line of sight towards us. Modelling CO bandhead emission detected during their K-band spectroscopic analysis showed that the accretion disc in the system was almost pole-on, reinforcing the theory that a stellar wind/ionized jet was oriented towards the observer. Previous to this, a bipolar CO J = 3 - 2 outflow was detected by Schulz et al. (1989), centred on V645 Cygni.

At both C-band and Q-band we detect a compact source, 'A', at the pointing centre. Methanol maser emission is also seen coincident with A. Although no physical dimensions could be deconvolved, we derive a spectral index for the emission of  $0.49 \pm 0.08$ . Considering the observational history and compatibility with either a disc-wind, or a thermal jet, we classify this object as a jet candidate.

### D2.16 G100.3779-03.5784

This YSO was observed by Moscadelli et al. (2016) at frequencies of 6.2, 13.1 and 21.7 GHz with the VLA in its A-configuration. They detect a compact source which is slightly resolved at the upper two frequency bands with a spectral index of  $\alpha = 0.84 \pm 0.25$ . Previously Anglada & Rodríguez (2002) also observed the same object (their VLA2, which also had a NW extension to its emission), as well as another radio lobe ~ 10" to the south (VLA1). In UKIDSS imagery, a red source is coincident with the previous radio detection, which appears extended in the N-S direction.

At C-band we detect two sources, which we designate A and B. Source A coincides with VLA2 from Anglada & Rodríguez (2002) and therefore the same radio source from Moscadelli et al. (2016), for which we derive a spectral index of  $\alpha = 0.79 \pm 0.12$ , in line with that estimated previously. Combining our data with that from Moscadelli et al. (2016), we derive a more accurate spectral index of  $\alpha = 0.80 \pm 0.10$  (neglecting their C-band result due to poor image quality). Although no deconvolved dimensions could be established, source A looks extended in an E-W direction in both C and Q-band images in agreement with 3.6 cm morphology seen by Anglada & Rodríguez (2002). Source B, which is extended at C-band, is not detected likely owing to an optically thin spectral index and/or the loss of flux with the smaller synthesised beam. Due to the near IR colours presented in UKIDSS RGB images, we classify B as an HII region. Although jet-like, without morphological information source A is classified as a jet candidate.

### D2.17 G102.8051-00.7184

The RMS survey catalogues three red MSX sources, G102.8051–00.7184A, B and C, within 15" of eachother, with bolometric luminosities of  $2300 L_{\odot}$ ,  $2300 L_{\odot}$  and  $1300 L_{\odot}$  respectively. Four millimetric sources were found by Palau et al. (2013), with their MM2 being the only possibly massive (2–10 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>) core in the region (22<sup>h</sup>19<sup>m</sup>08.974<sup>s</sup>, 56°05'02.97") and the only one driving a CO(2–1) bipolar outflow (position angle of –20°). Fontani et al. (2004) detected a CO(1–0) outflow at a position angle of ~ 10° with the NE blue lobe coincident with both [FeII] and H<sub>2</sub> line emission indicative of shocks from protostellar outflows. GLIMPSE imagery shows a reddened, extended source with all the typical characteristics of an H<sub>II</sub> region approximately 15" NE of G102.8051–00.7184B and with a luminosity of 660 L<sub> $\odot$ </sub> (from the RMS survey).

At C-band we detect one source, however it is not coincident with any reddened, MIR source in GLIMPSE, with colours (in near and mid-infrared images) more attributable to a more evolved phase. Further to this, no corresponding source was detected at Q-band, establishing an upper limit to the spectral index of  $< 0.61 \pm 0.15$ .

# D2.18 G103.8744+01.8558

Previous PdBI (2mm) and VLA observations (multiple frequencies) detect 6 mm-cores associated to the IRAS source (22134+5834), one of which (MM2) is coincident with a detected UCHII region, VLA1 (Wang et al. 2016) which was calculated to be powered by a B1 ZAMS type star. Of the 6 detected cores, MM1 was both the brightest and heaviest with a 2mm flux of  $9.3 \pm 2.0$  mJy and inferred mass of  $6.1 \pm 1.3$  M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>.

At C-band, we detect 5 sources which we label A, B, C, D and E, of which only A is detected at Q-band. In terms of C-band radio flux, A is the brightest and a spectral index of  $\alpha = -0.42 \pm 0.12$  is derived for A, however we believe that this is due to resolving out effects at Q-band. This is supported by the decrease in deconvolved major axis length with frequency (HII regions should not change in size). From the optically thin (verified by the previous observations of Wang et al. 2016) 5.8 GHz emission, the models of Davies et al. (2011) predict a bolometric luminosity of  $4200 L_{\odot}$ . In comparison to the infrared derived bolometric luminosity of  $6800 L_{\odot}$ , we believe that the difference of  $2600\,L_{\odot}$  is supplied by the other 5 cores in the vicinity, most of which comes from MM1, which is coincident with our source B. Our only other detected radio source with a corresponding mm-core is C which is associated to MM4 of Wang et al. (2016). From the general morphology, we believe D, E and F (which have no IR or mm counterparts) are shock sites whereby

ejected material from B is impacting the surrounding dust/gas. We classify B as a jet with lobes on the basis of its elongated morphology along a position angle of  $114^{\circ}$  which is aligned with the string of lobes, D, E and F. Although source C may be a YSO, however its exact classification is unknown and we therefore classify it as a jet candidate.

## D2.19 G105.5072+00.2294

Molinari et al. (2002) detected a  $0.12\pm0.03$  mJy 3.6 cm radio source (VLA1) coincident with a 'ring' of HCO<sup>+</sup>(1 – 0) line emission towards the MSX source. A CO J = 2 - 1 molecular outflow has also been observed whose emission peaks ~ 10" to the NW of the MSX position (Zhang et al. 2005). A definitive position angle for the outflow is difficult to establish with the red lobe elongated at a position angle of ~ 90° and the blue lobe at an angle of  $-160^{\circ}$ . H<sub>2</sub> 2.122 µm observations by Varricatt et al. (2010) showed three distinct patches of shock emission aligned along a position angle of ~ 15° and separated from the infrared source by 20" to the NNW.

One, elongated radio source is detected at both frequencies (A) with values for  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  of  $1.02 \pm 0.13$  and  $-1.05 \pm 0.44$  respectively. Deconvolved position angles for the major axis agree at both frequencies with a value of ~  $110^{\circ}$  parallel with the NIR reflection nebulae of the outflow cavities apparent in the left panel of Figure B27. Confusingly this position angle does not align well with the H<sub>2</sub> emission or blue CO J = 2 - 1 lobe, but is parallel with the elongated red CO J = 2 - 1 emission. Assuming the radio source is a jet, it is possible that the outflow axis has precessed towards the west considering the trail of H<sub>2</sub> emission and the elongation of the radio source at  $110^{\circ}$ . However, further observations will be needed to clarify this picture and A is assigned a classification of jet candidate.

#### D2.20 G107.6823-02.2423A

Previous near-infrared spectroscopic observations detected both Br $\gamma$  and [FeII] emission (Cooper et al. 2013) with a slit positioned over both G107.6823–02.2423A and its neighbouring HII region G107.6823–02.2423B. While the strong Br $\gamma$  is attributable to the HII region, the 1.64 µm [FeII] emission is a consequence of shocked material, possibly attributable to jet activity. Diffuse 2.122 µm H<sub>2</sub> emission is detected by Navarete et al. (2015) over ranges in position angle from the central source of 210 – 240° and 250 – 360°.

Coincident with two NIR 2MASS sources are two C-band detections, one of which is extended and resolved out (HII, coincident with G107.6823–02.2423B) and the other (A) which is located at the pointing centre and is also detected at Q-band. For the latter we derive a spectral index of  $\alpha = 1.15 \pm 0.21$ , however the source is point-like at all frequencies (which may be due to the low SNR or it possessing a true, unresolved nature). Due to the lack of further information, this source is classified as a jet candidate.

### D2.21 G108.1844+05.5187

One of the nearest objects in our sample at a distance of  $0.776^{+0.104}_{-0.083}$  pc (Rygl et al. 2010), Beltrán et al. (2006) detected a CO outflow at a position angle of 140° centred on their source, OVRO 2 (mass of 14.2 M<sub>☉</sub>), with the (weak) red lobe towards the SE and (strong) blue to the NW. Surcis et al. (2013) used polarimetric, VLBI observations to detect 29 methanol masers aligned along the same position angle (145 ± 11°) as the molecular outflow and

derived a magnetic field position angle of  $9\pm15^{\circ}$ . For this source we adopt a bolometric luminosity of  $873 L_{\odot}$  based on the luminosity found by Sugitani et al. (1989) of  $1100 L_{\odot}$ , corrected for the more recent distance found by maser parallax (Rygl et al. 2010).

Towards the mm-emission of previous observations, we detect source A to be coincident with OVRO 2 from Beltrán et al. (2006). The emission is elongated along a position angle of  $42 \pm 18^{\circ}$  and  $42 \pm 7^{\circ}$  at C (which is embedded in diffuse emission) and Q-band respectively, giving an offset to the magnetic field position angles of  $33 \pm 18^{\circ}$ . Considering that the radio emission is almost perpendicular ( $82 \pm 18^{\circ}$ ) to the outflow, which itself is significantly offset to the magnetic field direction, a confusing picture is established. However, given the overwhelming evidence for an outflow from source A (and the elongation of a reddened 2MASS source along the outflow axis), we believe that the Q-band emission is tracing a disc of dimensions ( $61 \pm 12$ ) × ( $12 \pm 8$ ) au. We therefore conclude that source A traces an ionized jet (candidate) at C-band, but is dominated by disc emission at Q.

Source B is not coincident with any near or mid-infrared emission, was not detected at Q-band (though was located far out in the primary beam) and does not display any mm-emission at all. Considering these facts, we conclude it to be extragalactic in origin.

#### D2.22 G108.4714-02.8176

Navarete et al. (2015) detect a bipolar  $H_2$  outflow at a position angle of 15° centred on a reddened 2MASS point source (left panel of Figure B30).

We detect one component at both bands, centred on the red 2MASS source, with a spectral index of  $\alpha = 0.55 \pm 0.16$ . While not at C-band, it is resolved at Q-band ( $\gamma > -0.77 \pm 0.35$ ), with a major axis aligned along a position angle of  $\theta_{PA} = 101 \pm 25^{\circ}$ , perpendicular to the H<sub>2</sub> outflow's direction. With the spectral index indicative of a typical ionised jet or disc wind, we classify this as a disc wind on the basis of its Q-band elongation perpendicular to the established outflow. It is worth noting that the C-band image shows a slight elongation at a position angle parallel with the H<sub>2</sub> bipolar outflow.

### D2.23 G108.5955+00.4925A

Associated to the infrared source, IRAS 22506+5944, the RMS survey lists two more MYSOs within 60" of G108.5955+00.4925A, being G108.5955+00.4925B and G108.5955+00.4925C, owing to this being a cluster of at least 15 members (Kumar et al. 2006). GLIMPSE imagery shows diffuse 8  $\mu$ m emission centred on G108.5955+00.4925C, characteristic of a HII region, however it is categorised as an MYSO based on NIR spectral features (Cooper et al. 2013). G108.5955+00.4925A itself is centred on a green (but not extended) MIR object and G108.5955+00.4925B is centred on a reddened MIR compact source. Previous mm observations (Su et al. 2004) detected a 3 mm core, which appeared to be driving a bipolar CO outflow at a position angle of ~ 90° but was not positioned over any of the three RMS MYSOs.

Three C-band radio sources were identified from the radio clean maps, however we did not detect any at Q-band. In light of the RMS survey's naming schemes, we dub them B, C (G108.5955+00.4925B and G108.5955+00.4925C respectively) and D (not in the RMS database). All are coincident with midinfrared sources, however D's source is not reddened and therefore is likely of a more evolved evolutionary status. Our source B has a very low flux ( $30 \pm 8 \mu Jy$ ), and therefore a non-restrictive upper limit to its spectral index of  $\alpha < 0.73 \pm 0.14$ . Without more information, we classify B to be a jet candidate. On the other hand, C is classified as a HII region due to its extended morphology, coincidence with a diffuse MIR source and radio flux which matches that expected of a HII region with a bolometric luminosity of  $2700 L_{\odot}$  (Davies et al. 2011), which agrees with the  $3000 L_{\odot}$ derived from infrared SED fitting. A CH<sub>3</sub>OH maser was detected at  $\alpha$  (J2000) = 22<sup>h</sup>52<sup>m</sup>38.3110<sup>s</sup>,  $\delta$  (J2000) = 60°00′51.885″ (with positional uncertainties of 7 and 9 mas in  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$  respectively) but was not coincident with any RMS source, or infrared/radio continuum source. However, it was coincident with the 3 mm core/CO outflow detected by Su et al. (2004). It is therefore likely that this maser reveals the position of a deeply embedded, relatively unevolved MYSO, considering the already clustered environment towards this source.

## D2.24 G108.7575-00.9863

While GLIMPSE images are completely saturated, 2MASS (left panel of Figure B32) shows a reflection nebula centred on G108.7575–00.9863 with its diffuse emission extending over a position angle range of  $225 - 280^{\circ}$ , with respect to the MYSO which lies at the heart of a cluster of 38 members (Chen et al. 2009). NIR observations (Cooper et al. 2013) show a relatively featureless spectra, with only a weak Br $\gamma$  line present. Approximately 130" to the NNW is the classical HII region, Sh2-152, which harbours a cluster and is a well studied object. Navarete et al. (2015) detect H<sub>2</sub> 2.122 µm emission dispersed widely over a wide area, including diffuse/knotted emission towards Sh2-152 and bipolar outflows centred on G108.7575–00.9863 and to the W and ESE of it. No obvious driving force can be determined for the source of the shocking material, though the obvious candidate is G108.7575–00.9863, especially due to its coincidence with the bipolar H<sub>2</sub> emission, BP1.

We report the detection of 5, compact C-band sources within 1' of the pointing centre (i.e. G108.7575-00.9863). These are labelled A→E, of which A and B have near-infrared counterparts (NIRS 172 and NIRS 182 from Chen et al. 2009, respectively). Source A is also situated on a heavily saturated GLIMPSE source, is the only detection at Q-band within the primary beam, possesses a spectral index of  $0.94 \pm 0.09$  and remains unresolved at all frequencies. Without further information, we consequently classify it to be a jet candidate. Due to B's reddened near infrared colours, it is determined to be a cluster member though its evolutionary status remains ambiguous without further information. Source C through E all suffer from the same classification issues of non-restrictive, thermal upper limits to spectral indices and lack of information at other wavelengths and we therefore classify them all to be of unknown nature. A methanol maser coincident with small  $3\sigma$  source 1.5" to the north of E is also detected suggestive of a well-embedded YSO.

#### D2.25 G110.0931-00.0641

K-band images from 2MASS show diffuse emission to the southeast, east and north-east of a bright point source centred on G110.0931–00.0641's position. A bipolar H<sub>2</sub> 2.122  $\mu$ m outflow is detected at a position angle of 125° and centred on the MYSO (Navarete et al. 2015). Radio observations conducted in 2007 at 3.6 cm (8.33 GHz) using the VLA in its A-configuration detected 3 lobes of emission arranged along an axis at a position angle of ~ 110° (Rodríguez et al. 2012). López-Sepulcre et al. (2010) detect a HCO<sup>+</sup>(1–0) outflow at a rough position angle of  $45^{\circ}$  and elongated emission in C<sup>18</sup>O(2 – 1) (tracing dense material) perpendicular to it. Both types of emission were centred on G110.0931–00.0641.

At C-band we detect 5 radio components named A1 (VLA3 from Rodríguez et al. 2012), A2, B (VLA2 from Rodríguez et al. 2012), C (VLA1 from Rodríguez et al. 2012) and D, all of which are within 3" of the pointing centre. Both B and C are detected at Q-band and B's morphology is elongated in the direction of C. Spectral indices were therefore only established for B and C, and were calculated to be  $0.34 \pm 0.14$  and  $-0.08 \pm 0.16$  respectively, indicative of optically thin free-free emission. Components A1, A2, B and C are all approximately aligned on a position angle of  $110^{\circ}$ , while D is located 2.5" to the NE of A1.

Under the assumption that A1, A2, B and C are all HII regions, the sum of the radio flux-inferred bolometric luminosities of  $(1.2 \pm$  $(0.1) \times 10^4 L_{\odot}$ , is slightly under-luminous for that derived from SED fits of infrared data  $(1.7 \times 10^4 L_{\odot})$ . However, B is optically thick and therefore under-luminosity is expected, meaning that a quadruple system of neighbouring HII regions is still possible at this point. Considering the alignment with previously established outflows, proper motions and changes in physical size from C to Q-bands, an alternative explanation is that of a radio jet/lobe system. Considering the high-positional accuracy quality code of the phase calibrators used at C-band (J2230 + 6946) and Q-band (J2250 + 5550), for the optically thin radio lobe, C, any positional change between frequencies should be solely due to proper motions (which we do not expect to see in the HII region case). For C, an angular shift of 67  $\pm$  3 mas, at a position angle of 283  $\pm$  5° (along the axis joining the lobes), is deduced from C to Q-bands corresponding to a proper motion of  $1092 \pm 226 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  (using a distance of  $4.70 \pm 0.82$  kpc and  $\Delta t = 508 \pm 52$  days). This is typical of observed velocities for radio lobes detected towards MYSOs and serves to strengthen the case that C is an optically-thin, shock-ionized lobe. On the basis of the excellent agreement of spectral indices with the models of Reynolds (1986), proper motions observed towards C and the general alignment of the radio lobes with outflows, we classify B as a radio jet with lobes (A1, A2 and C). As for D, in light of the HII region-like infrared K-band morphology, we believe it is an extended HII region whereby resolving out is starting to affect recovered flux/morphology at C-band and resulted in a nondetection at O.

### D2.26 G111.2348-01.2385

Near-infared (2MASS) images show a point source embedded in a reflection nebula extending to the east of it. Beuther et al. (2002a) detected a CO (2-1) molecular outflow oriented east to west. Previously, this source was observed by the VLA at both 8.44 GHz and 43.4 GHz by Garay et al. (2007), who observed a compact source centred on the MYSO's location, with a spectral index of  $1.1 \pm 0.2$ , and a large, cometary HII region whose peak is located 15" to the north west. That work concluded the compact source to be a HCHII region around the MYSO, which was still undergoing accretion. Later 3.6 cm (8.33 GHz) radio observations using the VLA in its A-configuration detected one radio component elongated at a position angle of 97° with a line of 8 H<sub>2</sub>O maser spots roughly perpendicular to it (Rodríguez-Esnard et al. 2014). Sub-mm observations show two cores in the continuum (875 µm), one centred on G111.2348-01.2385 and the other located ~ 2''to its south west, with an east-west SiO(8 - 7) outflow centred on G111.2348-01.2385 (Beuther et al. 2007) and another in the north east-south west direction, presumably from the other core.

At both frequencies we detect one radio lobe coincident with the NIR point source. A spectral index of  $1.10 \pm 0.07$  (in agreement with that calculated by Garay et al. 2007) is calculated, and interestingly the deconvolved dimensions of the emission show it to be elongated at a position angle of  $173 \pm 9^{\circ}$  at Q-band (unresolved at C-band). This position angle is perpendicular to that derived by previous 8.33 GHz observations of 97° (Rodríguez-Esnard et al. 2014) which, coupled with the steep spectral index, suggests that at Q-band, dust emission starts to dominate over the (perpendicular) ionized component. However, contrary to this, if we take fluxes from the variety of frequencies present in the literature, the spectral index remains steady across all bands. Considering the history of previous observations, an ionized jet may be located at the single radio component we detect, however it is equally likely the emission stems from a HCH11 region. Without further radio information, we can not establish the dust's flux contribution and therefore can not elucidate the nature of the radio object further. Consequently, we assign the classification of jet candidate to this object.

### D2.27 G111.2552-00.7702

Radio observations at 8.66 GHz (Tofani et al. 1995, Sridharan et al. 2002) imaged a compact source at the position of G111.2552–00.7702 with time-variable flux. Later, higher resolution observations (Trinidad et al. 2006) showed the previous compact source (labelled I23139) and an unresolved 3.5 cm source 0.5''to its SSW. I23139 was deduced to be an ionized jet on the basis of its spectral index ( $0.64 \pm 0.36$ ) and masers tracing an outflow (Goddi et al. 2005, who derived maser proper motions along a position angle of ~  $-70^{\circ}$ ). Varricatt et al. (2010) showed that the MYSO is centred on a K-band source which is resolved as a binary (separation of 0.4'') in their images. Further to this they also detected a H<sub>2</sub> 2.122 µm knot at a position angle of 65° from the MYSO. A molecular outflow was detected in CO (2 – 1) but with no discernible outflow axis since the red and blue lobes lay on top of eachother (Beuther et al. 2002a), suggesting a head on orientation.

Within 1' of the pointing centre, we detect 5 compact, C-band radio objects, which we label A1, A2, B, C and D. Component A1 has a Q-band counterpart with a spectral index, between the two frequencies, of  $\alpha = 0.16 \pm 0.12$ . The spectral index may be steeper however considering A2's close proximity at C-band which, when using IMFIT, can lead to flux being wrongly allocated from A2 to A1. Considering the spectral index previously found ( $\alpha = 0.64 \pm 0.36$ , Trinidad et al. 2006), this may indeed be the case. Because A1's major axis and A2's  $\theta_{PA}$ , with respect to A1, is oriented at a position angle parallel to that of maser proper motions (Goddi et al. 2005) resulting from an outflow, we suggest A2 is a shock-excited lobe of emission, with the jet source located at A1. We therefore classify A1 as a thermal jet with lobes.

A CH<sub>3</sub>OH maser is also detected offset from the continuum at C-band by 0.13" at a position angle of  $-48^{\circ}$ . Components B and C appear to have near-infrared counterparts from 2MASS images, while D does not. However, because the spectral indices of these three components is not constrained, we must assign them an unknown classification. Further to those sources reported above, a  $4\sigma$  source is detected 0.6" SW of A1 and coincident with I23139S (indicated to the south west of A1 by a  $3\sigma$  contour in Figure B35). With an IMFIT derived flux of  $15.5 \pm 7.6 \,\mu$ Jy and in combination with the flux at 8.6 GHz derived by Trinidad et al. (2006), we calculate a spectral index of  $6.8 \pm 1.5$ , which is clearly not realistic. We therefore propose that I23139S is another time variable (over the 11 yr period between their observations and ours) object.

### D2.28 G111.5671+00.7517

One of the best examples of a NIR reflection nebulae is seen in 2MASS images of this source (also known as NGC7548-IRS9), which extends towards the south west of the redenned MYSO. Previous 3.6 and 1.3 cm radio observations by Sánchez-Monge et al. (2008) detected two compact radio components at 1.3 cm associated to NGC7548-IRS9. While their VLA3 is coincident with G111.5671+00.7517, VLA2 was offset approximately 5" to the west, yet both sources were coincident with K-band NIR (2MASS) point sources. At 3.6 cm, their images were affected by a bright, extended HII region's sibelobes from the NW resulting in a nondetection. Navarete et al. (2015) resolved a bipolar H<sub>2</sub>, 2.122 µm outflow at a position angle of  $\sim 155^{\circ}$  with respect to the MYSO, and another in an east-west direction. Sub-mm observations of HCO+ and CO (1-0) have shown two outflows, one coincident with the H<sub>2</sub> jet and driven by VLA2 (Sánchez-Monge et al. 2008) and the other associated to VLA3 and driven along a position angle of  $\sim 70^{\circ}$ .

In our C-band images, we detect 5 radio components within 60" of G111.5671+00.7517, labelled, in order of distance from the centre, A (coincident with VLA3), C, B2, B1 and D. Of these 5 components, only A has a NIR or Q-band counterpart. The Qband counterpart of A is distinctly elongated at a position angle of 19°, pointing towards C, and has a spectral index of 0.87  $\pm$  0.06. Since there are no NIR source associated with C, B1 or B2 by inspection of the high-resolution ( $\theta = 0.4''$ ) RGB, J, H and K-band images of Mallick et al. (2014), we believe them to be shock-ionized lobes, especially given their optically thin spectral indices. From the morphology of the emission, we believe the jet is launched and collimated at A. On this basis we therefore classify A to be a jet with lobes. Also, considering the position angles of lobes B1, B2 and C with respect to A (in order of separation) of 214°, 205° and 21° respectively, in conjunction with the wide angle reflection nebula at K-band, the molecular outflow at  $70^{\circ}$  and the current jet's major axis defined by the Q-band data (19°), we believe A's jet axis to be undergoing rapid, clockwise (on the plane of the sky) precession. Assuming a jet velocity of 500 km s<sup>-1</sup> and inclination of  $90^{\circ}$  (i.e. jet lies in the plane of the sky) this indicates a shift of  $12.5^{\circ}$  over 23.2 yr, or a precession rate of ~  $0.5^{\circ}$  yr<sup>-1</sup>. This would also explain why the molecular outflow being driven from A is outflowing at a position angle of 70°. As for source D, because of its non-detection and location on the edge of the Q-band's primary beam, a non-restrictive upper limit of the spectral index was deduced  $(\alpha < 0.26 \pm 0.11)$  and therefore we classify as unknown in nature.

# D2.29 G114.0835+02.8568

Being a relatively unstudied object within our sample, the only relevant observations are those of Navarete et al. (2015) who observed a bipolar  $H_2$  outflow along a rough north-south axis and centred on the MYSO's position. In near infrared RGB images, the MYSO is centred on an extended (in the north west-south east direction), reddened source.

Only C-band observations of this field were observed in which we detect 5 radio sources, labelled A1, A2, B, C and D, of which A1 and A2 are spatially coincident with G114.0835+02.8568 and the only sources associated to a NIR point source. Component B looks spatially extended/resolved out and lies within the K-band nebulosity seen in 2MASS images, whereas C (the strongest source in the field) and D are located distinctly away from the central MYSO. For A1/A2, because of the lack of Q-band images, spectral indices can not be established and therefore either component could be a YSO, or a lobe of shocked emission. Without further information, we can not constrain the natures of the radio objects and therefore assign them all with an unknown classification.

# D2.30 G118.6172-01.3312

Aside from the usual surveys of the whole galactic plane, no observations towards this source are present in the literature. In 2MASS near-infrared images, the MYSO presents itself as a red source in a cluster of other, slightly less reddened, point sources. GLIMPSE images are verging on saturation at 8  $\mu$ m over the MYSO's position, with diffuse 8  $\mu$ m (presumably PAH emission) to the north and north east spread across a wide area.

Unusually we only detect one source at Q-band which is not detected at C-band, therefore we establish a lower limit to the spectral index of >  $1.59 \pm 0.14$ , which is unusually high for the sample in general. The radio source is just resolved with dimensions of  $48 \times 21$  mas, corresponding to  $134 \times 56$  au, with the major axis oriented at a position angle of  $15^{\circ}$ . However, the errors on these derived quantities are large due to the low SNR of this source. Considering the lack of any data at other wavelength regimes, as well as at C-band, we classify this as a jet candidate.

#### D2.31 G126.7144-00.8220

G126.7144–00.8220 is situated at the centre of a bipolar, nearinfrared nebula aligned at a rough position angle of  $-10^{\circ}$ , typical of outflow cavities. A 2.122 µm H<sub>2</sub> bipolar outflow centred on the MYSO and driven along a north-south axis  $\theta_{PA} \sim -10^{\circ}$  was observed by Navarete et al. (2015). The same work also detected knots of H<sub>2</sub> emission to the west and north west. No other relevant, highresolution observations exist in the literature for this object.

Centred on the reddened 2MASS object, we detect an elongated source at C-band, which splits into two Q-band sources (A and A2) separated by 0.15" (~ 110 au at the RMS survey's distance of 0.7 pc). We calculate an overall spectral index of  $0.83 \pm 0.05$  using the C-band flux for A and the combined fluxes of both sources at Q-band. For this object, two scenarios fit the radio data we have obtained. The first scenario is that the Q-band sources are a close binary of two YSOs, one of which is driving the previously detected 2.122 µm H<sub>2</sub> outflow. On the other hand, due to their combined, jetlike spectral index and small separation, this could in fact be a biconical jet, whereby we are seeing the direct emission from the launching site of the jet and counter-jet, with a launching radius of 55 au (assuming symmetry). This second scenario is supported by the alignment of the two sources with the H<sub>2</sub> outflow, however it must be conceded that this may be a chance alignment. With the equal likelihood of both scenarios, we classify both objects as jet candidates.

## D2.32 G133.7150+01.2155

More commonly associated with the alias W3 IRS5, this is an extremely well studied object. Previous radio observations at K and Q-bands by van der Tak et al. (2005) detected 5 sources (labelled Q1  $\rightarrow$ Q5) at Q-band and 8 sources (labelled K1  $\rightarrow$ K8) at K-band. Of these, Q1/K2, Q2/K3, Q3/K4, Q4/K6, Q5/K7 and Q6/K5 were determined to be the same Q-band/K-band sources, with ranges in thermal spectral indices of  $0.5 \le \alpha \le 1.4$  determining them to by YSOs and therefore highlighting the tight clustered environment. Using speckle MIR imaging, the same work determined Q3/K4,



**Figure D2.** A plot of the radii and position angles of lobes Q4b, Q7, Q8, Q9, K8 and C1, with respect to Q5/K7, for the MYSO G133.7150+01.2155. Least squares fitting of these points is shown in red, which represents a simple precession model.

Q4/K6 and Q5/K7 to have mid-infrared counterparts. In comparison with previous radio observations (Tieftrunk et al. 1997, Wilson et al. 2003, the latter of which also detected proper motions of  $\sim~130~km\,s^{-1}$  for K8 and Q2/K3), Q2/K3, Q3/K4, Q5/K7 and K8 showed flux variability over time. PdBI 1.3/3.4 mm imaging by Rodón et al. (2008) detected 6 mm-cores towards W3 IRS5, separated by < 2'', MM1 $\rightarrow$ 6, of which MM1 was coincident with Q5/K7, MM2 with Q3/K4, MM3 with Q1/K2 (or possibly Q2/K3) and MM6 with Q4/K6. Simultaneous SiO (2 - 1) and (5 - 4) line imaging was conducted identifying 5 outflows, one driven by MM1 (SIO-a) in a north-east/south-west direction, one by MM2 (SIO-b) which is head on and one by MM4 (SIO-c) aligned east to west, with the other outflows not having any identified driving source. Line emission from SO<sub>2</sub>  $(22_{2,20} - 22_{1,21})$  showed overall rotation with a velocity gradient over the whole cluster at a position angle of ~  $130^{\circ}$ , with the blue shifted gas towards the south-east. Diffuse 2.122 µm H<sub>2</sub> emission containing knots was also detected towards this region (Navarete et al. 2015), though no specific position angle for this emission was given.

Imaging at C-band was dominated by 4 large, extended and bright HII regions to the West, East and South of the pointing centre. Consequently a uv-range of >  $60k\lambda$  and robustness of - 1 were employed to minimise the detrimental effects of bright, extended emission close to the science target.

At C-band we detect emission (left panel of Figure B40) from 7 previously observed lobes (van der Tak et al. 2005) labelled Q1/K2, Q2/K3, Q3/K4, Q4/K6, Q5/K7 (MYSO), Q6/K5 and K8, as well as 5 new lobes labelled, Q4b, Q8, Q9, Q10 and C1 (in accordance with previous naming systems) in the immediate vicinity (within 3") of Q5/K7. At Q-band (right panel of Figure B40) we detect emission coincident with C-band positions for Q2/K3, Q3/K4, Q4, Q5/K7, Q6/K5, Q7 and Q8.

Due to its elongated morphology, jet-like spectral index ( $\alpha = 0.85 \pm 0.07$ ) and positioning relative to its associated lobes parallel

to the SIO-a outflow, Q5/K7 is determined to be a thermal jet with lobes. Shock emission as a result of the jet from Q5/K7 impacting on surrounding material are present in lobes Q4b, Q7, Q8, Q9, K8 and C1. Due to a range in position angle with respect to Q5/K7 we estimate the precession angle, and period, using least squares fitting of a simple, sinusoidal, precession model to the positions (with respect to Q5/K7) for Q4b, Q7, Q8, Q9, K8 and C1 (Figure D2). The inclination and velocity were assumed to be  $90^{\circ}$  (i.e. jet is perpendicular to the line of sight) and 500 km s<sup>-1</sup> respectively. This resulted in a derived precession period of  $43 \pm 6$  yr and precession angle of  $37 \pm 15^{\circ}$ , around an axis oriented at a position angle of  $16 \pm 5^{\circ}$ . Our derived period should be taken as a lower limit if an inclination of the precession axis towards the observer exists. Interestingly the IMFIT-derived positions for both Q7 and Q8 change from C to Q band, which is likely due to proper motions if both lobes are optically thin shocks as discussed above. Considering that the C and Q-band observations were taken  $\sim 2.7$  yr apart and assuming these to be shock surfaces of the jet (from Q5/K7) upon ambient material, proper motions (in the plane of the sky) are derived to be  $303 \pm 26 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  at  $154 \pm 1^{\circ}$  and  $353 \pm 30 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  at  $14 \pm 1^{\circ}$ for Q7 and Q8 respectively (adopting a distance of  $1.83 \pm 0.14$  kpc, Imai et al. 2000), consistent with the derived precession model. These velocity magnitudes are often seen in ionised jets towards MYSOs adding to the case that these changes are proper motion based. It must be conceded that due to the extended nature of these lobes at both C and Q-bands, theses motions may in fact be due to resolving-out effects, however the facts that the two proper motions are equal in magnitude but opposite in direction, the ionized gas is optically thin and that the precession model is consistent with these positional changes adds weight to the proper motion interpretation.

From the spectral index of Q3/K4 (0.76 ± 0.08), and the position angle of its major axis at  $\theta_{PA}^{44} = 161 \pm 15^{\circ}$  which points at the non-thermal lobe Q1/K2, we classify it as a jet with lobes. As for its northern neighbours, Q2/K3 and Q6/K5, we believe one of them to be driving a jet which is powering lobe Q10 due to positional alignment of the C-band emission. From the C-band images, emission appears to be present between Q2/K3 and Q6/K5, which was associated to Q2/K3 by IMFIT. We therefore believe Q6/K5 to be another jet candidate in the vicinity, while Q2/K3 is classified as a candidate jet with associated lobes, Q10 and the emission between Q2/K3 and Q6/K5. Q4/K6 possesses a steep spectral index ( $\alpha = 0.93 \pm 0.12$ ) and is coincident with MM6 from Rodón et al. (2008), and we therefore classify it as a jet candidate, due to its ambiguous properties as either a jet or small HCH11 region.

With the exception of Q6/K5, all the Q-band sources are within a beam-width (~ 0."04) of their Q-band counterparts from Van der Tak et al. (2005), with a mean separation of 0."026. Q6/K5 displays a proper motion of  $185 \pm 7$  mas, or  $100 \pm 9$  km s<sup>-1</sup>, along a position angle of  $-5\pm2^{\circ}$ . However, this latter position comparison is between K and Q-bands. This adds to the complexity of the classification for this source, reinforcing the candidate status of Q6/K5.

Outside the immediate vicinity of G133.7150+01.2155, we also detect two more C-band sources, A and B (the latter of which is also detected at Q), and two sources solely detected at Q-band, QE1 and QE2. These 4 sources have been illustrated separately in Figure B41. Both A and B have near-infrared counterparts in 2MASS imagery, and we determine spectral indices of  $-0.56\pm0.06$  and  $-0.13\pm0.14$  respectively. Considering the IMFIT derived C-band dimensions of A, this spectral index results from the loss of extended flux at Q-band. Due to its extended nature, we classify it as a UCHII region powered by a B3 ZAMS type star ( $L_{bol} = 2500 L_{\odot}$ , EM= ( $5.5 \pm 3.1$ ) × 10<sup>6</sup> pc cm<sup>-3</sup>). Source B remains point-like at

all frequencies, and due to its optically thin spectral index and unreddened NIR colour we classify it as a small HCH<sub>II</sub> region powered by a B3 type ZAMS star ( $L_{bol} = 2300 L_{\odot}$ , EM> 7.4 ×  $10^7 \text{ pc cm}^{-3}$ ) or later. As for the Q-band only sources, QE1 and QE2, we derived steep, thermal spectral indices of > 2.05±0.05 and > 1.43±0.07 respectively. We believe them to be deeply embedded YSOs on account of their non-detection at near-infrared wavelengths and therefore members of the cluster associated to W3 IRS5.

#### D2.33 G134.2792+00.8561

In the RGB, 2MASS images of Figure B42, G134.2792+00.8561 is centred on a reddened, elongated (along a position angle of ~ 110°) source, which itself is spatially confused with a bright, white source. At 11.6 µm, MICHELLE images show the 2MASS source to break up into 2, possibly 3, sources oriented east to west. Ogura et al. (2002) detect a HH object (HH 586) in images of H $\alpha$  emission at coordinates,  $\alpha$  (J2000) = 02<sup>h</sup>29<sup>m</sup>01.1<sup>s</sup>,  $\delta$  (J2000) = 61°33'33'' offset from the MYSO's coordinates by 6.3'' at a position angle,  $\theta_{PA} = 103^{\circ}$ . A bipolar CO molecular outflow is also detected, centred on G134.2792+00.8561, with an outflow position angle and dynamical timescale of  $-30^{\circ}$  and 15000 yr respectively (Lefloch et al. 1997). Images of continuum-subtracted, 2.122 µm, H<sub>2</sub> emission, show diffuse, knotted and bipolar morphologies predominantly to the west and south-east of the central source (Navarete et al. 2015).

At the MYSO's position, we detect a barely resolved source  $(167 \times 119 \text{ mas at } \theta_{PA} = 109 \pm 63^{\circ})$ , labelled as A, with no corresponding detection at Q-band thereby giving an upper limit to its spectral index of  $< 0.34 \pm 0.09$ . Due to the alignment of A's major axis with the Herbig-Haro object, HH 586, it is most likely a thermal jet, however without further information we classify it to be a jet candidate. Approximately 4" to the south of A is an unresolved,  $7\sigma$ source at C-band whose established upper limit of  $\alpha < 0.45 \pm 0.11$ fails to constrain it nature. Similarly, the brightest C-band radio source is located 33" south of the MYSO, named C, which has an upper limit to its spectral index of  $< -0.12 \pm 0.13$ . Considering the fact that C does not have a NIR or MIR counterpart, we deduce it to be extragalactic in nature. As for B however, mid-infrared saturation of GLIMPSE images by G134.2792+00.8561 prohibits us from ascertaining its MIR profile and therefore we classify it as unknown in nature. No source was detected over the primary beam in the Q-band image.

#### D2.34 G136.3833+02.2666

Relevant previous observations towards this source are limited in the literature, with only millimetric and low-resolution outflow studies being prevalent. Saito et al. (2006) used high resolution mm-studies at 100 GHz to resolve three mm-cores within 20" of eachother. The most massive core, core A, is associated to the MYSO, with the other two cores located 15" and 20" to its north east. In the near-infrared, an elongated reflection nebula emanating from the central, reddened source is seen at K-band along an approximate east-west axis. A non-reddened 2MASS source is located  $\sim 6$ " to the west of the MYSO.

At C-band we detect four sources, A, B, C and D, of which only D is not associated to a near-infrared source. Q-band images only detect one source at the same position as the C-band source A, yielding a spectral index of  $\alpha = 0.92 \pm 0.14$ . Unfortunately A remains unresolved at both frequencies and without further studies, is classified as a jet candidate. Due to B's coincidence with a unreddened 2MASS source, and absence of mm-emission (Saito et al. 2006) we classify it to be a partially resolved out HII region. For both C and D we can not make a definite classification and therefore assign them an unknown status.

### D2.35 G138.2957+01.5552

Also known as AFGL 4029-IRS1, this MYSO's parental clump harbours a dense cluster of at least 30 B-type stars (Deharveng et al. 1997). Remarkably, a previous optical study of this object exists and established velocities of 500 km s<sup>-1</sup> for the highly-inclined [SII] optical jet emanating from this MYSO (Ray et al. 1990). Previous A-configuration, VLA, 3.6 cm observations by Zapata et al. (2001) detected a cometary UCHII region (AFGL 4029-IRS2) to the south of G138.2957+01.5552, two compact sources (S and N) separated by 0.6'' in the north-south direction (at the MSX source position) and elongated/extended emission along a position angle of  $80^{\circ}$  relative to S, which they concluded to be an ionized jet. The radio source N was determined to be a time-variable, low-mass T-Tauri star close to S. A bipolar 2.122 µm outflow has previously been detected, comprised of arc-like eastern ( $\theta_{PA} = 90^\circ$ ) and more compact western emission ( $\theta_{PA} = 270^\circ$ ), both of which are separated by ~ 12'' (0.24 pc) from the MSX source (Navarete et al. 2015)

Our C-band radio images shown in Figure B44 detect 6 objects within a 1' field of view. Near-infrared, 2MASS, reddened point sources are associated to C, D and E, meaning they are likely YSOs/T-tauri stars which are members of the cluster established by Deharveng et al. (1997). A resolved out HII region is detected, associated to a bright 2MASS source, which is the previously detected cometary UCH11 region. Source A is centred at the pointing centre and is the only radio object also detected in our Q-band images. It is separated from B, which lies at a position angle of 72° relative to A, by 1.3". Considering the alignment with the established outflow axis we determine B (which appears partially resolved out) to be a lobe of shock emission, especially given its lack of an infrared counterpart. Being located at the MYSO's position and with its spectral index typical of an ionized jet of  $0.69 \pm 0.11$ , A is classified as a thermal jet with lobes. In our images however, we detect no emission from the position of source N from Zapata et al. (2001), which is not due to resolving out effects (our beam is practically identical to theirs) or sensitivity issues (image RMS noise is  $\sim 2$  times lower). We believe that the previously established time-variability is the reason for our non-detection of N.

Considering the absence of previously detected emission (N) and apparent resolving out effects towards A2, the C-band data was re-imaged with a robustness of 2, the results of which are shown in Figure D3. The new, more sensitive robustness shows how B was indeed resolved out in the images of Figure B44, but also that significant (>  $5\sigma$ ), arc-like emission is also detected at a position angle of  $-100^{\circ}$  from A with an IMFIT derived flux of  $128 \pm 21 \,\mu$ Jy. With this measurement, the flux of A decreases to  $71 \pm 10 \,\mu$ Jy, giving a spectral index of  $\alpha = 1.0 \pm 0.1$ . For consistency however, we use the value for flux and spectral index from the clean maps with a robustness of 0.5 in the analysis within the main body of this work. A  $4\sigma$  component is also detected 4'' to the ENE of A, in line with the jet's outflow axis which, if real, is likely to be another shock surface interacting with the jet.

## D2.36 G139.9091+00.1969A

Associated to the cluster AFGL437 of at least 60 members, this is a well studied object. At the centre of the cluster, three near-infrared

sources dominate in terms of flux with both diffuse, knotted and bipolar 2.122 µm, H<sub>2</sub> emission detected at a variety of position angles (Navarete et al. 2015) with respect to the MYSO. A relatively uncollimated, CO, molecular outflow was detected oriented roughly on a north (red) - south (blue) axis (Gomez et al. 1992), whose material was hypothesised to be sourced from laminar flow of dragged gas from outflow cavity walls. Weintraub & Kastner (1996) resolved the northern-most of the three NIR sources into two, dubbed WK34 and WK35, of which WK34 was determined to be a low-luminosity protostar driving the north-south molecular outflow and located at the centre of a similarly oriented reflection nebula (Meakin et al. 2005). From those works, the other NIR sources were dubbed S (our target) and W for the southern and western bright NIR sources respectively. NIR speckle imagery by Alvarez et al. (2004) showed a monopolar reflection nebula centred on S and extended towards the SE ( $\theta_{PA} = 135 \pm 10^{\circ}$ ), which highlights the position of an outflow cavity.

We detect three C-band sources, all of which are associated with near-infrared objects in 2MASS imagery and only one of which (the HII region) is not detected at Q-band. The radio object A (the southern-most NIR source, S, from Weintraub & Kastner 1996) is extended at a position angle of 62° in the direction of Ab, which is located 0.3" from A at a position angle of 58°. To the southwest of A is the low-SNR source, Ac, separated by 0.7'' (at  $\theta_{PA}$  = 241°). Calculated values of  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ , for A, are 0.42 ± 0.08 and  $-0.81 \pm 0.19$  respectively, which agree well with the models of Reynolds (1986). However, due to the extended emission being perpendicular to the defined outflow cavities, we believe this source is a photo-evaporative disc wind. The discrepancy from the expected value of  $\alpha \sim 0.6$  is likely due to resolving out effects moving from C to Q-bands. At Q-band the source seems roughly quadrupolar, and in light of the disc wind classification, is likely tracing the NE portion of the wind.

Approximately 9" north-west of A is a spherical HII region (the NIR source, W), with a C-band flux of  $20.5 \pm 1.2$  mJy which is therefore (assuming it is optically thin at C-band) powered by a B1 type star with a bolometric luminosity of  $11000 L_{\odot}$  (Davies et al. 2011). This agrees well with the  $10000 L_{\odot}$  (Lumsden et al. 2013) calculated from the infrared SED of its alias in the RMS survey.

Located 10" north of A is the weakest C/Q-band source, B (also known as WK34), which is unresolved at both frequencies. Derived to have a spectral index of  $\alpha = 1.13 \pm 0.16$ , it is classified as a nearby YSO, which may be powering a small HCHII region, or an ionized jet.

# D2.37 G141.9996+01.8202

Near and mid-infrared surveys show a bright source which saturates in 2MASS, UKIDSS and GLIMPSE images. Mitchell et al. (1992) observed a CO  $J = 2 \rightarrow 1$  outflow, with G141.9996+01.8202 (alias AFGL490) at the centre of the red and blue lobes. The molecular outflow's red lobe peaked ~ 20" to the north-west but extended in an arc round to the north east of AFGL490, with the blue lobe situated at a position angle of 225° (i.e. anti-parallel to the arc of red emission). A cold envelope elongated at a position angle of ~ -45° was observed in the sub-mm continuum, while simultaneous observations of CS  $J = 2 \rightarrow 1$  showed evidence for multiple outflows driven by low-mass sources in the envelope (Schreyer et al. 2002). Further PdBI observations of C<sup>17</sup>O (2 - 1) revealed a rotating, clumpy, molecular disc with an inclination of 35° and major axis oriented at a position angle of 105° (Schreyer et al. 2006).

In our C-band images, we detect 3 compact sources labelled



Figure D3. C-band image of G138.2957+01.5552, utilising a robustness of 2 and a restoring beam of  $0.421'' \times 0.340''$  at  $-50^{\circ}$ . Contour levels are set to  $(-3, 3, 4, 7, 12, 18) \times \sigma$ .

A (the MYSO), B and C, all of which are coincident with a NIR source. Only A was detected at Q-band, the images of which show an interesting morphology with a compact radio 'core' embedded in (partially) resolved-out emission. Initially it was thought that the extended component was the dominant emission detected at Cband, however A's C-band dimensions  $(0.21'' \times 0.06'' \text{ at } 58^\circ)$  do not coincide with the patches of extended emission at Q-band. Values derived for  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  closely agree to those of a spherical, stellar wind (Panagia & Felli 1975), thermal jet or disc wind, all of which are supported by the presence of the shock tracer [FeII] at 1.64 µm in NIR spectra (Cooper et al. 2013). Since the derived position angle of the C-band component is as equally aligned with the inferred disc's major axis, as it is with the molecular outflow, we classify this as a jet candidate. As a further note, considering its relatively evolved status in the literature (in comparison to this sample), it is likely that the stellar wind (especially given IR recombination line profiles seen by Bunn et al. 1995), or photo-evaporative disc wind picture is correct, however more observations are required to distinguish between all three possibilities. As for the nature of the extended emission, under the assumption that the central object has evolved past the MYSO stage and is beginning to produce appreciable Lyman fluxes, it could be sources from ionized cavity walls, disc surfaces or an optically thick HII region. Both B and C are almost certainly members of the same cluster, however their classification, although almost certainly not extragalactic, is unknown.

### D2.38 G143.8118-01.5699

Near-infrared images show a reddened source at the MYSO position which is embedded in a cluster  $1.8' \times 1.4'$  in size (Bica et al. 2003). Navarete et al. (2015) detect no emission in 2.122 µm H<sub>2</sub> towards this object.

Although we do not detect anything at 44 GHz, we see three, low-flux, compact sources in C-band images, A (G143.8118–01.5699), B and C, of which all have near-infrared counterparts. Although A and B are resolved according to IMFIT routines, their signal to noise is low and consequently the errors on deconvolved position angles/dimensions are large. Considering

the relatively unconstrained nature of the emission detected towards all C-band sources, we therefore classify A to be a jet candidate, while B and C, although certainly no extragalactic, are of unknown classification.

### D2.39 G148.1201+00.2928

Another object with a small observation history, the most relevant of which were the H<sub>2</sub>, 2.122 µm observations by Navarete et al. (2015) which detected diffuse, knotted and bipolar, shock emission at position angles of 160 – 215° and separations between 0.27 and 1.08 pc. In 2MASS images, the MYSO is situated in a cluster, with a diffuse reflection nebula emanating from it towards the north-west ( $\theta_{PA} \sim 110^\circ$ ).

At 5.8 GHz, we detect three sources within the inner 60" of the field of view. One of these sources (A) is coincident with the MYSO from the RMS survey, while the other two are coincident with other NIR objects in the field. The C-band radio source A breaks up into two Q-band sources whose peaks are separated by 0.1'' (320 au), with the weaker lobe (A2) located at a position angle of 295° from the other (A), roughly aligned with the NIR reflection nebula. Because both Q-band sources (A and A2) are coincident with the C-band image of A, we combine the Q-band fluxes of A and A2 and find a spectral index of  $\alpha = 1.13 \pm 0.14$  for the MYSO. From this information, A and A2 may be a jet/counter-jet system, or a close binary system. If a jet/counterjet, from the models of Reynolds (1986) the derived spectral index would suggest some degree of recombination or acceleration in the flow which, if the separation represents the collimation radius (i.e. where the toroidal become dominant over the poloidal component in the launching magnetic field), would be more likely from acceleration. A piece of evidence against the biconical jet scenario would be the position angles of shocked H<sub>2</sub> emission observed by Navarete et al. (2015), which are misaligned with the apparent jet's axis. Due to these considerations, we define A/A2 to be a jet candidate. As for B and C, upper limits on the spectral indices of  $< 0.63 \pm 0.12$  and  $< -0.01 \pm 0.05$  do not constrain their natures much and therefore, although not extragalactic, are assigned the unknown classification.



**Figure D4.** Plot of flux against frequency for source 'A' of G160.1452+03.1559, utilising data at 8 GHz, 23 GHz, 43 GHz and 250 GHz from Sánchez-Monge et al. (2008). The least squares fit is plotted as the purple line for a double power law, with each power law component plotted as a dotted red (dust) and blue (ionized) line. Derived power laws for the dust and ionized contributions are indicated in the top left corner.

# D2.40 G160.1452+03.1559

Near-infrared UKIDSS images (colourscale in Figure B49 respectively) show that the reddened MYSO is extended in the NIR (especially UFTI imagery), highlighting the possibility of cavities at a position angle of ~ 100°, an interpretation backed up by the detection of a parallel <sup>12</sup>CO (2 – 1) outflow by Xu et al. (2012). Radio observations by Sánchez-Monge et al. (2008) detect a compact radio source centred on the MYSO at 3.6 cm, 1.3 cm, 7 mm and 1.2 mm (IRAM) with a spectral index between 3.6 cm and 1.3 cm of  $\alpha = 1.1 \pm 0.4$ . Targeted, near-infrared observations showed a H<sub>2</sub>, 2.122 µm, collimated outflow parallel to both the molecular outflow's, and radio source's major, axes at a position angle of 126° which extended over a length of > 0.35 pc (Varricatt et al. 2010).

This source was only observed at C-band, the images of which show two compact sources in the field of view, one situated on the MYSO (A) and the other (B) offset  $\sim 3''$  to the SSE. Although B was too low in terms of signal to noise for its dimensions to be derived by IMFIT, A has a major axis aligned along a position angle of  $\sim 110^{\circ}$ , parallel with the apparent outflow cavities seen in NIR images. In order to try to discern A's nature, the data from Sánchez-Monge et al. (2008) was combined with our C-band result and fitted with a power law consisting of a dust and an ionized component (assuming no flux variability between the two datasets). The resulting fit has been plotted in Figure D4, deriving a spectral index for the ionized component of  $\alpha = 0.74 \pm 0.48$ , expected of a typical ionized jet. Using the derived power law we calculate a flux contribution from the ionized component, at 43 GHz, of 500 µJy which is equivalent to an optically thin HII region powered by a ZAMS type B3 star with a luminosity of  $\sim~2500\pm2000\,L_{\odot}.$  Comparing this to the IR derived flux of  $2100 L_{\odot}$ , it appears that the radio flux is also compatible with a HCHII region with an average electron density of  $8 \pm 7 \times 10^4$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. However, because of its extensive observational history, with collimated,  $H_2$ , shock features, molecular outflows and a full sampling of its radio spectrum, the ionized jet scenario is extremely likely. We therefore have classified A as an ionized jet. Component B, being associated with a reddened near-infrared source is likely a coeval low-mass YSO, however more observations are needed to clarify this.

# D2.41 G173.4839+02.4317

Our field of view contains two MYSOs from the RMS database, G173.4839+02.4317 and G173.4815+02.4459. In 2MASS images (middle panel of Figure B50), G173.4839+02.4317 displays as a relatively unreddened, bright source surrounded by a small, young cluster (Ginsburg et al. 2009), while the reddened, near-infrared source, G173.4815+02.4459 shows significant, EGO emission at 4.5 µm (see GLIMPSE inset of Figure B50) whose diffusivity extends along a rough north-west to south-east axis. The EGO emission is embedded in a protocluster according to Ginsburg et al. (2009) who also inferred that G173.4815+02.4459 was, in fact, a massive binary system with a separation of 400 au.  $H_2$ , 2.122 µm observations show an outflow at a position angle of  $\sim 150^{\circ}$  centred on G173.4839+02.4317 (components 7/8 and 1a/b/c from Varricatt et al. 2010, Navarete et al. 2015, respectively). Most other observations concentrate on G173.4815+02.4459, for example Beuther et al. (2002b) detect 3 condensations in  $H^{13}CO^+$  (1 – 0), condensation '1' being centred on G173.4815+02.4459, and condensations '2' and '3' located 16" and 25" to the west of condensation '1' respectively. A highly collimated outflow in CO (1 - 0), at a position angle of ~ 165°, which terminates in  $H_2$  bow-shocks, is detected centred on condensation '1' which is also at the centre of a highvelocity CO (1 - 0) outflow at a position angle of ~ 130°, parallel to the EGO's diffuse emission. Core '2' powers an SiO (2 - 1)outflow at a position angle of ~  $15^{\circ}$ . Simultaneous 2.6 mm observations showed condensation '1' to break into 3 mm-cores, with the brightest core, mm1, being centred on the MYSO and the other two mm-cores, mm2 and mm3, positioned 4" to the east and 8" to the north-west of mm1 respectively. Further sub-mm observations (Beuther et al. 2007) resolved mm1 into two further sub-cores (one of which was detected by the VLA at X-band), and mm2 into 4 further sub-cores, creating a complicated picture of this particular massive star-forming site. From the spectral energy distribution across cm, mm and sub-mm wavelengths, mm2 was also hypothesised to be emission from an outflow/jet. At other wavelengths, both the collimated, and high-velocity, molecular outflows are also seen in line emission in the near-infrared (Varricatt et al. 2010, Navarete et al. 2015). Perpendicular to the established H<sub>2</sub>, and high-velocity molecular, outflows, a line of CH<sub>3</sub>OH masers ( $\theta_{PA} \sim 35^{\circ}$ ), along a velocity gradient suggestive of Keplerian rotation in an accretion disc, were detected by VLBI observations (taken in 1997, Minier et al. 2000).

Within 1' of the two MYSOs in our field of view, we detect a total of 12 different C-band radio sources. Component  $E1\rightarrow E5$ are associated with G173.4815+02.4459, while A1 and A2 are associated to G173.4839+02.4317. Components B, C, D, E and F are not associated to any infrared source, while G is coincident with a bright, unreddened 2MASS source. We detect a methanol maser located at E1's position which is coincident with the EGO from GLIMPSE images (top left panel in Figure B50). High-resolution NIR images show that E2, E3, E4 and E5 are not associated with any near-infrared point sources, only diffuse emission (Figure 1c of Yan et al. 2010). Those same NIR images were however saturated around our component A1 and therefore we are unable to discern





**Figure D5.** Sub-mm continuum image of G173.4815+02.4459 at 1.2 mm (PdBI, greyscale) with 8.4 GHz VLA data overlayed (green contours). The 'x' markers show the position of the 5 cores from Beuther et al. (2007) and the blue 'o' markers show the positions of the 5 sources, E1, E2, E3, E4 and E5, that we detected at C-band. Grey-scale increases by 10% from 10% to 90% of the peak flux (58.9 mJy beam<sup>-1</sup>), while green contours are set at -3, 3, 4, 5, 10 and  $20\sigma$  ( $\sigma = 16.4 \mu$ Jy beam<sup>-1</sup>). Both the 8.4 GHz VLA and 1.2 mm PdBI data are from Beuther et al. (2007).

if A2 has its own infrared source. In order to better understand the natures of  $E1 \rightarrow E5$ , we plotted their positions on top of previous mm and radio observations in Figure D5 from Beuther et al. (2007). E1 is located at the position of core mm1a from Beuther et al. (2007) and is also detected at X-band (we calculate  $\alpha = 1.5 \pm 0.4$  between C and X-band), while E2 and E5 are not co-located with any 1.2 mm emission. There is also diffuse, low-SNR, X-band emission coincident with E2, E3 and E4 with a rough spectral index of  $\sim 0$ . E3 and E4 are located towards the mm-core, mm2b, which was the core with an inferred emission contribution from a jet/outflow. Considering the wealth of previous observations, it is clear that E1 is driving a collimated, outflow and therefore harbours an ionized jet with associated lobes. We believe that E5 is a lobe as a result of jet-shocks on surrounding material, considering its position angle from the MYSO (along which there is another  $3\sigma$  lobe ~ 1.1" ESE of E5). As for E2, E3 and E4, there is a variety in position angle offsets from E1. If the massive binary scenario from Ginsburg et al. (2009) is accurate, large precessional shifts may be affecting the north-western side of the jet, providing a mechanism to alter the jet's outflow axis and we tentatively classify E2, E3 and E4 as shock-excited lobes on this basis. Interestingly our source F is also located along a similar axis from E1 as E5 and therefore may be another lobe, however this is uncertain. Sources A2, B, C and D are not easy to classify considering their absence of previous detections and are therefore of unknown classification. From its near-infrared profile and evolved status in the literature, G173.4839+02.4317 is almost certainly a HII region, especially considering both its strong  $Br\gamma$  emission in the near-infrared (Cooper et al. 2013) and C-band, radio-inferred bolometric luminosity of  $2450\,L_{\odot}$  which agrees with that derived from IR studies (2900  $L_{\odot}$ ). As for G, due to its bright, main sequence near-infrared profile, it is likely to be a main-sequence star.

**Figure D6.** Re-imaged C-band data of source B (AFGL 5172) from the G174.1974–00.0763 field, utilising a robustness of -1. Contours are set at -3, 3, 5, 7, 10, 15 and  $20\sigma$  where  $\sigma = 14.1 \,\mu$ Jy beam<sup>-1</sup>. The restoring beam's dimensions were  $0.299'' \times 0.218''$  at  $\theta_{PA} = 104^\circ$ .

#### D2.42 G174.1974-00.0763

Located in an active star formation region with a rich observational history, the MSX source G174.1974-00.0763 is a relatively unreddened, bright near-infrared 2MASS source, with a saturated midinfrared profile surrounded by diffuse 8 µm PAH emission, typical of HII regions. Carral et al. (1999) detect a faint radio source at 8.4 GHz with a flux of  $0.30 \pm 0.06$  mJy. Within 60" of the pointing centre is another MYSO, at an earlier stage in evolution, referred to in the literature as AFGL 5142 ( $\alpha$  (J2000) = 05<sup>h</sup>30<sup>m</sup>48.02<sup>s</sup>,  $\delta$  (J2000) = 33°47′54.5″). In GLIMPSE images, it is at the heart of a dense cluster, while at near-infrared, dust extinction gives it a faint, reddened profile in 2MASS images. AFGL 5142 is listed as possessing a bolometric luminosity of  $2300\,L_{\odot}$  (Palau et al. 2011), which is revised to  $3300\,L_{\odot}$  in light of the more accurate distance estimate of  $2.14^{+0.051}_{-0.049}$  kpc by Burns et al. (2017). AFGL 5142 is positioned at the centre of collimated  $HCO^+$  (1-0) and SiO(v = 0, 2-1) outflows, along a position angle of  $\sim 5^{\circ}$  (Hunter et al. 1999), as well as co-located with a compact radio source  $(0.83 \pm 0.15 \text{ mJy} \text{ at } 8.4 \text{ GHz})$ using the VLA in A-configuration, Hunter et al. 1995). However, it appears this source may be prone to resolving out effects and/or variability at radio wavelengths (see Figure 2 of Goddi & Moscadelli (2006) for a summary), for example a higher flux of 1 mJy was reported by Carpenter et al. (1990) for D-configuration 4.86 GHz observations (performed 1989), and  $1.5 \pm 0.3$  mJy reported by Hunter et al. (1995) at 8.6 GHz which used B-configuration observations (taken 1998). Later studies at 8.4 GHz show that the continuum source breaks down in to 2 separate sources named, CM-1A and CM-1B, and also appears to power a further two molecular outflows at position angles of  $35^{\circ}$  and  $-60^{\circ}$  (Zhang et al. 2007). PdBI, 1.3 mm observations by Palau et al. (2011) showed that two mmcores were located with the MYSO. Of these, MM1 is attributed to the previous radio detections of the literature, and is elongated at a position angle of  $94^{\circ}$  (perpendicular to the north-south outflow), whereas MM2 is situated  $\sim 1''$  to its south and may have been previously detected at 8.4 GHz (with a peak flux of  $0.35 \pm 0.09$  mJy, Zhang et al. 2007).

From the C-band data, we report the detection of 3 radio sources, A, B and C, of which A is located at the same position as G174.1974-00.0763, and both B and C are colocated with nearinfared/mid-infrared sources, 24" to the east and 51" to the WNW respectively. Using the radio flux at 8.4 GHz of  $0.30 \pm 0.06$  mJy from Carral et al. (1999), we calculate a spectral index for A of  $\alpha = 2.3 \pm 0.7$ , typical of optically thick emission from a HII region. It must be conceded that the flux from the literature used observations from 1994 with the VLA in its most compact configuration, therefore the resolving out of flux and/or variability may affect this calculated value. However, considering its near and mid-infrared appearance, likely optically thick radio spectrum and diffuse 8 µm emission, it is determined that A is a HCHII region. As for B, in combination with our C-band flux of  $726 \pm 22 \,\mu$ Jy, we calculate a spectral index for B of  $0.4 \pm 0.5$ . However, as previously discussed, this derived spectral index is not very useful in trying to establish whether or not the emission stems from an ionized jet on account of resolving out/variability issues apparent in the literature. Since Zhang et al. (2007) resolve B into two sources, we reimaged the field using a robustness of -1, in order to increase the effective resolution. The results are plotted in Figure D6 which more reliably shows two components, one elongated at a position angle of  $\sim 0^{\circ}$  from the peak emission, and the other elongated at a position angle of  $\sim -50^{\circ}$ . Zhang et al. (2007) recorded almost equal fluxes at 8.4 GHz for both of these sources, whereas our 5.8 GHz data shows the most southern to be the strongest. This may be due to spectral index effects, or variability, however further observations are required to clarify this. It is believed however that the northern elongation is an ionized jet driving the well establish north-south outflows and we therefore classify B to be an ionized jet. Our detected source C has no previous detections present in the literature, however considering its coincidence with a bright main-sequence star in the near-infrared, it is likely a stellar wind, however more radio observations will be required to determine this.

# D2.43 G177.7291-00.3358

K-band, near-infrared, continuum images show a bright point source at the centre of a quadrupolar patch of extended emission with a UIB feature indicative of UV excitation (see Figure 1y of Ishii et al. 2002, who associated the morphology to tracing cavity walls). Lowresolution, near-infrared spectra taken with a slit alignment of  $\theta_{PA} =$  $-70^{\circ}$  (i.e. along the NW-SE, K-band diffusivity) shows shocked, [FeII] emission, a weak Br $\gamma$  line and CO (v = 2 - 0) bandhead emission (Cooper et al. 2013), presumably from an accretion disc (Ilee et al. 2013). Non-detection of both methanol (where  $3\sigma =$ 150 mJy), and water, masers towards this source has been recorded by Fontani et al. (2010).

Two C-band sources are detected in the inner 60" of our field of view, labelled A (the MYSO) and B (located 25" west of A). Component A is completely unresolved at C-band, whereas B has dimensions of  $0.16'' \times 0.13''$  with large errors on account of low signal to noise and barely extended structure. Assuming an optically thin HII region, from the C-band flux for A we infer a bolometric luminosity of  $1300 L_{\odot}$  (Davies et al. 2011), close to that derived from the infrared  $(2300 L_{\odot})$ , especially considering the radio emission is likely still partially optically thick. Therefore neither jet, nor compact HII, gains precedence and therefore we classify A as a jet candidate. As for B, it is aligned with the outflow cavities' central axis seen at near-infrared wavelengths yet lacks an (mid or near) infrared counterpart, and therefore could be a shocked, Herbig-Haro type object (especially given its resolved dimensions) from a jet. However without more spectral information we must determine it to be of an unknown nature due to its similarity to extragalactic phenomena.

## D2.44 G183.3485-00.5751

Near-infrared, K-band images show diffuse emission elongated along a NW-SE axis, with the MYSO's reddened source at its centre. From C<sup>18</sup>O observations, a clump 0.76 pc (75") in diameter was detected with a mass of  $250 M_{\odot}$  (Wu et al. 2011), whose peak is offset to the MYSO's position by 14" to the south-west. No other relevant observations are present in the literature.

Our observations detect two, point-like, C-band sources, one (A) is roughly positioned at the MYSO's location, while the second (B) is co-located with a main sequence (from its near-infrared colours) star. We also detect two methanol maser spots separated from A's location by 0.22" and 0.32", at position angles of 189° and 341° respectively. The positioning of the methanol masers, along the edges of the diffuse emission, would suggest them to reside in the cavity walls, rather than in the disc (which would need to be at least 1000 au in diameter). From the sparsity of information on this source, it is impossible to definitively classify A and we assign it candidacy as a jet. Source B's positioning with a main sequence star means it is likely a stellar wind, though further radio data, at a variety of frequencies, are needed to clarify this.

# D2.45 G188.9479+00.8871

Located in the star formation region AFGL 5180, G188.9479+00.8871 is commonly referred to as NIRS1 (following the work of Tamura et al. 1991, who identified 11 K-band point sources in its proximity) and has an established, bipolar, CO outflow at a P.A. of ~  $130^{\circ}$  detected by Snell et al. (1988). The RMS source is at the centre of a bipolar,  $2.122 \,\mu m$ , H<sub>2</sub> outflow at a position angle of 110° (1a/1b from Navarete et al. 2015) as well as two diffuse, bipolar, K-band, reflection nebula at position angles of 90° and 110° (Tamura et al. 1991). Saito et al. (2006) detect 11 cores in C<sup>1</sup>8O, with core F associated to NIRS1, and two continuum sources at 98 GHz, of which core F is coincident with one (MCS B) and core E with the other (MCS A, the brightest), which in turn is located with the NIR source, NIRS5. Both of the continuum sources were previously detected as 1.2 mm cores by Minier et al. (2005), with bolometric luminosities of  $7.0 \times 10^3 L_{\odot}$ and  $2.4\times 10^4\,L_\odot$  for NIRS1 and NIRS5 respectively (reduced following the more accurate distance estimate of  $1.76 \pm 0.11$  kpc by Oh et al. 2010). VLBI observations of CH<sub>3</sub>OH masers show a linear arrangement of maser spots along a position angle of  $78 \pm 7^{\circ}$ , with an inferred magnetic field direction parallel to the CO outflow (Surcis et al. 2013).

At C-band we detect 5 distinct radio sources. Sources A and B1/B2 are unresolved and coincident with the  $C^{18}O$  cores F and E, from Saito et al. (2006), respectively, while C and D are resolved and have no mm-counterparts in the literature but are associated to near-infrared sources. A methanol maser is also detected to be coincident with continuum source A. Considering the wide variety of outflow phenomena seen, we believe A to be a jet, however without more information at a range of frequencies we can only classify it as a candidate. As for B1 and B2, interestingly the axis running through them in a direction parallel to extended 98 GHz emission from Saito et al. (2006), which may include contribution from an ionised jet assuming B1/B2 are a jet/lobe pair. As with A, more information is

required, and consequently B1 is determined to be a candidate jet with lobes (B2). Component C's coincidence with a near-infrared source classifies it as a cluster member, but the origin of its radio emission is unclear. Due to its extended nature, presence of resolved out emission and mid-infrared appearance in GLIMPSE images, we classify D to be a HII region.

### D2.46 G189.0307+00.7821

Also known as AFGL 6366S, this source is located with a K-band, reflection nebula, indicative of outflow cavities, along a rough northwest to south-east axis. Approximately 100" to the ENE another YSO, G189.0323+00.8092 (alias AFGL 6366N) from the RMS database ( $L_{bol} = 1.1 \times 10^4 L_{\odot}$ ), is within our C-band field of view and is another YSO which has diffuse NIR emission towards its east. Kurtz et al. (1994) detect a  $0.6 \pm 0.06$  mJy, unresolved, 8.4 GHz source at  $\alpha$  (J2000) = 06<sup>h</sup>08<sup>m</sup>40.66<sup>s</sup>,  $\delta$  (J2000) = 21°31′07.3″ (slightly offset to G189.0307+00.7821's position), with an unlisted ~ 0.25 mJy source ~ 2" south west of it. Observations at 98 and 110 GHz show that there are two mm-cores (also detected in C<sup>18</sup>O), one centred on G189.0307+00.7821's coordinates (MCS A) and the other (MCS B) located approximately 8" to it northeast (Saito et al. 2008). Two molecular clumps, one coincident with G189.0307+00.7821 (clump 3a) and the other located ~ 140''to its ENE (clump 3b, positioned with G189.0323+00.8092) were detected in single dish <sup>13</sup>CO (J = 1 - 0) observations by Shimoikura et al. (2013). Along a position angle of  $\sim 100^\circ,$  Wu et al. (2010) detected a bipolar,  $^{12}$ CO (1 – 0) outflow, centred on G189.0307+00.7821, with the blue lobe towards the west, along the same position angle that Navarete et al. (2015) detect a knot of H<sub>2</sub> emission, as well as a bipolar H<sub>2</sub> outflow at a position angle of  $\sim 130^{\circ}$ .

Within 60" of the pointing centre we detect 7 radio sources in our C-band image. Four of these are located within 8" of the reddened 2MASS source shown in the top left panel of Figure B55, named A1, A2, B and C, the last of which we also detect a methanol maser towards. Comparing to NIR, UIST images, A1 is associated to the bright K-band source, while A2, B and C do not have a NIR counterpart. In 12 µm MICHELLE images however, it can be seen that both A1 and C have mid-infrared counterparts, suggesting that C's YSO is more deeply embedded in the natal clump (clump 3a from Shimoikura et al. 2013). It is also relevant to note that component C was previously detected by Kurtz et al. (1994) (see above) and, assuming no variability, therefore has a spectral index of  $\alpha = 0.4 \pm 0.4$  between 5.8 and 8.4 GHz. As for the natures of these central components, given the CO ( $\theta_{PA} \sim 100^{\circ}$ ) and H<sub>2</sub> outflows centred on A1, a strong case could be made for A2 being a shocked lobe along the jets path. We therefore (through lack of more radio information), classify A1 to be a candidate jet with lobes. Due to C's coincidence with both a methanol maser and mid-infrared source, it is almost certainly another MYSO in the vicinity of G189.0307+00.7821, however the nature of its radio emission is unconstrained. Due to C's deconvolved dimensions, the major axis of which is aligned along a position angle parallel to the axis through B and C, B may be a shocked lobe of emission related to a jet at C. However more information is again needed and we therefore designate C as a candidate jet with lobes. As for the other three sources, both D and F have no near, or mid, infrared counterparts and are located away from the clump's submm emission, but are aligned along the same position angle as A2, relative to A1. Therefore it is guite possible that these are also lobes of shocked emission significantly more separated from the jet's



**Figure D7.** Near-infrared (top panel; 2MASS, K, H, J R, G, B colourscale) and C-band radio map (bottom) of G189.0323+00.8092. Restoring beams are the same as in Figure B55, while contour levels are set at  $(-3, 3, 6, 11, 21) \times \sigma$ .

launching site than A2. However, considering the speculative nature of this and that a serendipitous alignment of background sources is a possibility, we therefore classify them as unknown sources. Due to its clear near-infrared profile as a blue, main-sequence star, we classify E as a likely stellar wind.

MYSO As previously mentioned, another (G189.0323+00.8092) is registered in the RMS database 100" to the ENE of G189.0307+00.7821, and coincident with another molecular clump. Inspection of the C-band data outside the central 1', shows a radio source coincident with the second YSO's position (VLA1) and a  $6\sigma$  source ~ 7" to its east (VLA2). In Figure D7 these sources are presented in conjunction with a 2MASS, NIR image. Using IMFIT, we derive VLA1's right ascension to be  $06^{h}08^{m}46.7192^{s} \pm 0.014''$ , declination to be  $+21^{\circ}31'44.164'' \pm 0.007''$ , with a flux of  $212 \pm 16 \,\mu$ Jy and dimensions (287 ± 84 mas) × (76 ± 67 mas) at  $\theta_{PA} = 69 \pm 13^{\circ}$ . Those same properties derived for the unresolved source VLA2 are  $\alpha$  (J2000) = 06<sup>h</sup>08<sup>m</sup>46.2528<sup>s</sup> ± 0.054",  $\delta$  (J2000) = +21°31′45.383″ ± 0.015″ and a flux of 43 ± 13 µJy. For VLA1, the radio flux is equivalent to an optically thin HII region powered by a central object with a bolometric luminosity of 1700 L<sub>o</sub>. Considering the emission is likely still optically thick, this under-luminosity is expected should VLA1 be a HII region. However it is elongated towards VLA2, and therefore a jet/lobe pair in VLA1/VLA2 is also a plausible scenario. The presence of [FeII] and relatively weak Br $\gamma$  in the NIR spectrum (Cooper et al. 2013) would favour this. We therefore classify VLA1 to be a candidate jet with lobes (VLA2), with a better determination of it radio spectral characteristics required for a more definitive conclusion about the radio emission's origin.

# D2.47 G192.6005-00.0479

Also referred to as S255IR-IRS3, this is another of our sample with a relatively rich observational history and lies in the massive star forming clump, S255IR, itself sandwiched between two, large, classical HII regions, S255 and S257. Previously, a  $1.97 \pm 0.32$  mJy source was detected at 15 GHz with VLA, B-configuration data taken in 1984 (Rengarajan & Ho 1996) on the MYSO's position, though remained unresolved. From near-infrared speckle imaging, two YSOs were apparent, IRS3 and IRS1 (2.4" to the WNW of IRS1), which powered a bipolar reflection nebulas, IRN 1 (along a NE-SW axis) and IRN 2 (along a north-south axis) respectively. While Heyer et al. (1989) observed a north-south,  ${}^{12}$ CO (J = 1 - 0) outflow, Zinchenko et al. (2015) detected an extremely collimated, bipolar, CO (J = 3 - 2) outflow, centred on G192.6005-00.0479, at a position angle of 67°. Concurrent continuum observations at 1.3 mm, showed three cores, SMA1 (G192.6005-00.0479), SMA2 and SMA3, the latter two of which are separated from the MYSO by 2" and 5" respectively, to the north-west. Multi-epoch VLBI observations by Burns et al. (2016) both refined distance estimates for this object ( $D = 1.78^{+0.12}_{-0.11}$  kpc) and observed a jet-driven bowshock of water maser spots moving in a direction parallel to the overall outflow. Further to this, both episodic accretion and ejection events have been seen in the infrared and radio (Caratti o Garatti et al. 2017, Cesaroni et al. 2018, respectively). The NIR, H<sub>2</sub>, 2.122 µm survey of Navarete et al. (2015) showed a large amount of diffuse emission associated to the two neighbouring, classical HII regions, however a collimated, bipolar outflow was seen centred on G192.6005–00.0479 at a position angle of  $70^{\circ}$  (their lobes 1a, 1b and 1c). A north/south alignment of H<sub>2</sub> shock features was also observed, but their direct association to S255IR-SMA1 is less certain.

Our C-band images show the presence of 7 radio sources within 5" of the MYSO, denoted as  $A \rightarrow G$ , whereby A is positioned at the MYSO's coordinates and was also detected to have a methanol maser. Lobes C, D, F and G are all aligned along an average position angle of  $70^{\circ}$  with A, whilst B and E are positioned north of A by 1" and 3" respectively. From the overwhelming evidence for a collimated jet from studies in the literature, A is classified as a jet with lobes. Subsequently, least squares fitting of a simple sinusoid to the separations/position angles of C, D, F and G with respect to A, derives an approximate precession period and angle of 140 yr and  $21^{\circ}$  respectively (assuming a jet velocity of 660 km s<sup>-1</sup>, Cesaroni et al. 2018). As for the natures of B and E, it is possible, given the north-south outflow detected by Heyer et al. (1989), that this may be tracing a second jet, possibly from a close binary near A's location. However, higher resolution observations are required to establish this and B and E are therefore unknown in nature.

Away from the central object, we detect 2 more compact sources, H and I, the latter of which is separated by  $\sim 2''$  from a large extended HII region  $\sim 3''$  in diameter. While I has a near infrared counterpart, H does not, and neither does it have one in mid-infrared images therefore we can not determine its nature. Because component I has its own UKIDSS source ahead of a bright NIR source which is clearly the extended HII region, it is possible that it is a wind from an main-sequence cluster member, and therefore we classify it as stellar in origin.

### D2.48 G196.4542-01.6777

More commonly referred to as S269 IRS2, the reddened, nearinfrared emission is comprised of two sources separated by 4.1", designated as IRS 2e and IRS 2w (G196.4542–01.6777) by Eiroa et al. (1994). The same work also discovered a HH object (HH 191 at  $\alpha$  (J2000) = 06<sup>h</sup>14<sup>m</sup>37.8<sup>s</sup>,  $\delta$  (J2000) = +13°49'38") separated from IRS 2w, its powering source, by 10" at a position angle of  $82^{\circ}$ , with an inferred shock velocity of 570 km s<sup>-1</sup>. Jiang et al. (2003) detect one, possibly two, H<sub>2</sub>, 2.122 µm outflows along a south-east/north-west direction from IRS 2e, IRS 2w, or both. Near infrared spectroscopy of IRS 2w reveal H<sub>2</sub> and [FeII] shock emission, as well as relatively weak (in comparison to typical UCH<sub>II</sub> regions) Bry emission (Cooper et al. 2013) from the MYSO.

Our C-band maps of flux show 5 distinct components labelled A1, A2,  $B \rightarrow D$ , with A1 and A2 centred on the MYSO's (IRS 2w) position. Methanol maser emission is also detected  $\sim 0.0000$  to the south-west of A1. Both A1 and A2 are aligned and elongated at a position angle of 64°, with relatively small errors on deconvolved dimensions. However, considering the fact that the restoring beam itself is oriented at a position angle of 64°, this may be an effect of elongated beams and imperfect cleaning/calibration. That being said, A2 looks unquestionably extended along this position angle and inspection of images show them to be noise-limited rather than dynamic range limited by the presence of residual side lobes. It is worth noting that this alignment is also roughly aligned with the Herbig-Haro object HH 191, but not the  $H_2$  outflows. Without significantly more spectral information, we classify A1 to be a candidate jet with lobes (A2). The detected component B is at first glance, coincident with source 47 from Eiroa & Casali (1995), however it is in fact offset by 2" to its south-east and likely associated with a nearby cluster member. By its extended morphology (~  $0.015 \text{ pc} \times 0.012 \text{ pc}$ ) B is likely to be a small HII region powered by a  $4000 L_{\odot}$  B2 type star (assuming optically thin emission, Davies et al. 2011). Source C is separated from A1 by 14" to the east and is coincident with the near infrared source 63 from Eiroa & Casali (1995), which looks like a typical, more-evolved cluster member. As for source D, it is coincident with a bright NIR source and is likely to be a stellar wind, or other relatively evolved phenomena.

This paper has been typeset from a  $T_EX/LAT_EX$  file prepared by the author.