



Low-Abundant Microorganisms: The Human Microbiome's Dark Matter, a Scoping Review

Jéssica Alves de Cena¹, Jianying Zhang^{2,3}, Dongmei Deng³, Nailê Damé-Teixeira^{1,4*} and Thuy Do⁴

¹ Department of Dentistry, School of Health Sciences, University of Brasilia, Brasilia, Brasil, ² Department of Preventive Dentistry, Academic Center for Dentistry Amsterdam (ACTA), University of Amsterdam and VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands, ³ Xiangya School of Stomatology, Xiangya Stomatological Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, China, ⁴ Division of Oral Biology, School of Dentistry, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Georgios N. Belibasakis, Karolinska Institutet (KI), Sweden

Reviewed by:

Maribasappa Karched, Kuwait University, Kuwait Ashu Sharma, University at Buffalo, United States

*Correspondence:

Nailê Damé-Teixeira nailedame@hotmail.com; nailedame@unb.br

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Microbiome in Health and Disease, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology

> Received: 31 March 2021 Accepted: 13 May 2021 Published: 31 May 2021

Citation:

Cena JA, Zhang J, Deng D, Damé-Teixeira N and Do T (2021) Low-Abundant Microorganisms: The Human Microbiome's Dark Matter, a Scoping Review. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 11:689197. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2021.689197 Research on the human microbiome has mainly been restricted to the identification of most abundant microbiota associated with health or disease. Their abundance may reflect their capacity to exploit their niche, however, metabolic functions exerted by lowabundant microrganisms can impact the dysbiotic signature of local microbial habitats. This scoping review aims to map the literature regarding the management of lowabundant microorganisms in studies investigating human microbiome samples. A systematic literature search was performed in 5 electronic databases, as well as grey literature. We selected clinical microbiome studies targeting human participants of any age, from any body site. We also included studies with secondary data which originated from human biofilm samples. All of the papers used next-generation sequencing (NGS) techniques in their methodology. A total of 826 manuscripts were retrieved, of which 42 were included in this review and 22 reported low-abundant bacteria (LB) in samples taken from 7 body sites (breast, gut, oral cavity, skin, stomach, upper respiratory tract (URT), and vagina). Four studies reported microbes at abundance levels between 5 and 20%, 8 studies reported between 1 and 5%, and 18 studies reported below 1%. Fifteen papers mentioned fungi and/or archaea, and from those only 4 (fungi) and 2 (archaea) produced data regarding the abundance of these domains. While most studies were directed towards describing the taxonomy, diversity and abundance of the highly abundant species, low-abundant species have largely been overlooked. Indeed, most studies select a cut-off value at <1% for low-abundant organisms to be excluded in their analyses. This practice may compromise the true diversity and influence of all members of the human microbiota. Despite their low abundance and signature in biofilms, they may generate important markers contributing to dysbiosis, in a sort of 'butterfly effect'. A detailed snapshot of the physiological, biological mechanisms at play, including virulence determinants in the context of a dysbiotic community, may help better understand the health-disease transition.

Keywords: next-generation sequencing, human microbiome, low-abundant microrganisms, scoping review, minority microbiota

INTRODUCTION

Advances in high-throughput sequencing approaches have revolutionised microbiology and enabled the characterization of the complex ecological contents of microbial communities, however, our understanding of the mechanisms impacting hostmicrobial homeostasis remains limited (Hajishengallis et al., 2012). Changes to the human gut microbial composition, for example, can influence host health and diseases, and may affect the microbiota at other body sites (Banerjee et al., 2018). A concept of pathogenicity influenced by both microorganisms and the host has been proposed in the damage-response framework (Casadevall and Pirofski, 2003).

Research on the human microbiome has mainly been restricted to comparisons of the most abundant organisms and the identification of a "core" microbiota associated with health or disease. Indeed, the core microbiome may reflect their capacity to exploit their niche, being favoured by nutrients, O_2 concentrations, etc. to allow surface colonisation. However, opportunistic pathogens may contribute to the compositional and or functional shift towards dysbiosis and could be among the minority taxa. Key species could therefore easily be overlooked in next generation sequencing (NGS) analyses (Turnbaugh et al., 2007; Zerón, 2014).

Furthermore, studies using a 16S rRNA metagenomic approach are limited to the identification of bacteria and archaeae (arguably accurately to the genus level), leaving the view of the richness and diversity of the whole microbiome incomplete and underestimated (Brooks et al., 2015). This is certainly true for *Methanobrevibacter smithii*, a member of the *Archaea* domain in a relatively minor constituent of the gut microbiome that contributes to bacterial metabolism in ways that promote host dysbiosis (Hajishengallis et al., 2012). This species and its methanogenic relatives, though in low abundance, have been demonstrated to be capable of providing conditions for the growth of pathogenic bacteria in periodontal sites, driving to periodontitis (Lepp et al., 2004). The composition of the microbial communities can be misinterpreted regarding the presence of virus, archaea, and fungi, making it a challenge to gain a holistic view.

Subsequently, low-abundant microrganisms could be considered the "dark matter" of the human microbiome. Recent studies (Hajishengallis and Lamont, 2016; Wang et al., 2017; Banerjee et al., 2018; Stobernack, 2019; Berg et al., 2020; Xiao et al., 2020) are paying more attention to these organisms, and increasingly taking into account the "keystone species" concept, corresponding to organisms which effect on the community is disproportionately large compared to their relative abundance (Power et al., 1996). A similar concept in macroecology suggests species in low abundance have a major role in their respective community (Hajishengallis et al., 2012). Abundance is the factor differentiating keystone microorganisms from those that are dominant. A dominant species might affect the environment exclusively by its sheer abundance, while a keystone microorganism may influence metabolic functions of the microbiome, despite its low abundance. Examples of keystone pathogens are: Porphyromonas gingivalis associated with periodontitis (Holt and Ebersole, 2005; Perez-Chaparro et al., 2014; Burmistrz et al., 2015; Camelo-Castillo et al., 2015; Ai et al., 2017; Stobernack, 2019), Klebsiella pneumonia, Proteus mirabilis (Garrett et al., 2010), and Citrobacter rodentium (Bry et al., 2006) associated with intestinal inflammatory diseases; and Fusobacterium nucleatum (Kostic et al., 2013; Rubinstein et al., 2013) associated with colon cancer (Banerjee et al., 2018). Furthermore, studies investigating Bacteroides fragilis, a pro-oncogenic bacterium, have found it to be a minor constituent of the colon microbiota in terms of relative abundance. Its unique virulence characteristics, such as secretion of a zinc-dependent metalloprotease toxin, alter colonic epithelial cells and mucosal immune function to promote oncogenic mucosal events, in which in addition to the intraluminal environment, enhance the oncogenic process. This gave rise to the concept of "alpha-bugs", due to its ability to be directly pro-oncogenic but also to be capable of remodeling the entire healthy microbiota (Sears and Pardoll, 2011; Hajishengallis et al., 2012). Thus, the identification of low-abundant organisms within a microbial population associated with disease could be crucial. Unless we have a more "complete" view of the microbiota, including an accurate detection of low-abundant species, our understanding of the microbiology remains limited, as well as our strategy to improve therapy designs/interventions in diseases with polymicrobial cause.

Studies of the minority microrganisms may reveal unique signatures, which could lead to diseases. Hence, a much deeper characterization of their presence in the microbiome in which they are involved is desirable. This scoping review aims to map the literature regarding the management of low-abundant organisms in studies investigating human samples. We aimed to determine: 1) How researchers classify organisms as low-abundant; 2) How they handled and processed NGS data of low-abundant organisms bioinformatically and 3) The distibution of low-abundant microorganisms among various body sites.

METHODS

Study Design

This is a scoping review to map the literature on low-abundant organisms in the human microbiome, conducted using the PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) checklist (Tricco et al., 2018).

Search Strategy

Systematic literature wide opened search was performed in electronic databases, also including the grey literature (**Figure 1**). General controlled vocabulary (MeSH Terms) and keywords were used and the searches had no language, year, or publication type restriction. The main terms included "microbiota", "microbiome", "human microbiota", "low abundant", "minority species", "keystone". The search strategy and the results retrieved in each electronic database are shown in **Appendix 1**. Duplicated references were removed by the reference manager EndNoteWeb (Clarivate Analytics, Mumbai) and then manually.

Eligibility Criteria

Studies were included if they satisfied all the following criteria: (1) clinical studies where the target population consisted of humans of any age who were donors of samples from any site; (2) the study design was either a observational study, case series, or any other type of clinical study or studies with secondary data originated from humans; and (3) studies with any term related to low-abundant organisms (e.g. keystones, minority species) in title or abstract.



Studies were excluded if: 1) Studies did not apply nextgeneration sequencing (NGS) methods to evaluate the microbiota; 2) They were designed as intervention studies; 3) They were literature review, conference abstracts, *in vitro* or animal studies, or any other kind of study carried out without human samples in a primary or secondary analysis; and 4) They were written in a non-Latin alphabet.

Selection of the Manuscripts

Two reviewers, JAC and JYZ, independently screened the eligibility of all identified titles and abstracts for inclusion in the full-text review at the Rayyan QCRI[®] (Qatar Computer Research Institute, Qatar). Any conflict that arose were resolved by a third reviewer. The same reviewers evaluated full-text articles for inclusion using the same inclusion and exclusion criteria. The list of selected articles was analysed to identify manuscripts that could have been lost during searches in the electronic database.

Data Extraction

Data extraction was performed by the two reviewers independently, and included the following information: Author (year), country, design of the study, range of age of patients, sampling site, type of sample, the platform of sequencing; method of sequencing (16S rRNA or metagenomics or metranscriptomics), method of data analysis and bioinformatics; and abundance of species considered as low-abundant/minority microrganisms. All extracted data was checked by a third reviewer.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characteristics of the Selected Studies

The systematic literature search resulted in 826 manuscripts of which 67 were considered for full-text review after removing duplicates and applying the eligibility criteria. Following full text reading, 42 studies remained (**Figure 1**; **Table 1**). **Figure 2** shows

TABLE 1 | Qualitative Data Synthesis of the Included Studies (n = 42).

Reference	Sampling site	N	Platform of sequencing	Method of sequencing	Method of data analysis and bioinformatics	Proportion considered low abundant	Low-abundant microbiota
(Ai et al., 2017)	Oral	43	Illumina sequencing	Secondary data from metagenomics (Duran- Pinedo and Yost)	TagCleaner, PRINSEQ, Deconseq e FLASH, MetaPhIAn, GRAMMy, Network analysis	NA	Porphyromonas gingivalis, Haemophilus haemolyticus, Prevotella melaninogenica, and Capnocytophaga ochracea were considered potential keystones.
(Albert et al., 2015)	Vagina	310	454 GS Junior pyrosequencer	cpn60 PCR amplicon	Reads were mapped using Bowtie 2, microbial Profiling Using Metagenomic Assembly pipeline (mPUMA)	4 species represented less than 0.3% of the overall reads mapped.	Atopobium vaginae, Streptococcus devriesei, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Weissella viridescens.
(Al-hebshi et al., 2016)	Oral	12	454 GS FLX pyrosequencer	16S rRNA (V1-V3)	Uchime, SILVA-HOMD database, ChimeraSlayer, BLASTN identity ≥98%	Together making up 0.77%	Saccharibacteria (TM7) and SR1.
(Balan et al., 2018)	Oral	24	Illumina MiSeq	16s rRNA (V4)	UPARSE (97%), Uchime, RDP Classifier v.2.2 against the Greengenes database, alignment at SILVA 108, Identification of keystone species was done using the CytoHubba plugin.	>0.5%<5.28% (keystones identification)	Porphyromonas gingivalis (2.22%), Treponema denticola (1.10%) and Fretibacterium sp. OT 361 (0.67%) in supragingival plaque; Prevotella_intermedia (0.56%) in the saliva; Porphyromonas endodontalis (plaque 0.89%; saliva 0.91%).
(Brawner et al., 2017)	Stomach	86	Illumina platform	16S rRNA (V4)	UCLUST (100%), filter >10 reads, RDB classifier, Multiple sequence, alignment with PyNAST.	OTUs <1% were not analysed.	<i>H. pylori</i> -infected children harboured significantly reduced proportions of three bacterial classes (Actinobacteria, Bacilli, and Gammaproteobacteria), three orders (Pseudomonadales, Actinomycetales, and Lactobacillales) and four families (Streptococcaceae, Moraxellaceae, Actinomycetaceae, and Carneobacteriaceae) compared with fluids from non-infected children, but all with proportion >1%.
(Camelo-Castillo et al., 2015)	Oral	60	454 GS FLX pyrosequencer	16S rRNA	MG-RAST, Monthur, RDB classifier, BLASTN (>97%).	<1%	Desulfobulbus (especially D. propionicus) and Filifactor (F. alocis) with the periodontal inflammation severity, and a negative association of Anaeroglobus (especially A. geminatus) and TM7.
(Camelo-Castillo et al., 2019)	URT	56	454 GS FLX pyrosequencer	16S rRNA (V1-V4)	Prinseq, RDP database (80%), OUT>97% identify.	OTUs <0.1% were not analysed; Low abundance at <1%.	Corynebacterium, Neisseria, Actinomyces, or Rothia, among others, accounting for 9% of the reads.
(Claussen et al., 2017)	Gut	822	454 GS FLX pyrosequencer	16S rRNA (V1-V2)	Entropy Shifts of Abundance Vectors under Boolean Operations (ESABO).	0.1%-0.4%	At phylum level: Chlorobi, Chloroflexi, Deferribacteres, Deinococcus-Thermus, Gernmatimonadetes, OP10, Planctomvcetes, Thermodesulfobacteria, WS3.
(Dame-Teixeira et al., 2020)	Oral		Ion PGM	16S rRNA	Prinseq, USEARCH, UCLUST (97%); RDP, SILVA 132.	≤0.035%	Thaumarchaeota.
(Das et al., 2018)	Gut	84	454 GS FLX pyrosequencer	16S rRNA (V1-V5)	UCLUST (97%); RDP; SILVA.	0.01%-0.05% in at least 50% of the samples.	Phylum (Verrucomicrobia, Tenericutes and Fusobacteria); Class (Verrucomicrobia, Mollicutes and Fusobacteria); Order (Verrucomicrobiales, Bifidobacteriales, Desulfovibrionales, Anaeroplasmatales, Fusobacteriales, Rhizobiales, and Caulobacterales).
(de Goffau et al., 2013)	Gut	18	454 GS FLX pyrosequencer	16S rRNA (V1-V3)	RDP classifier, SILVA	OTUs <0.005% were not analysed; Low abundance at <12%.	Low abundance of Bifidobacteria and butyrate- producing species in children with β -cell autoimmunity.

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

Reference	Sampling site	N	Platform of sequencing	Method of sequencing	Method of data analysis and bioinformatics	Proportion considered low abundant	Low-abundant microbiota
(Dobbler et al., 2017)	Gut	132	PGM Ion Torrent;	16s rRNA (V4);	BMP Operating System (BMPOS), UPARSE, UCLUST (97%) method	>0.5 (16S rRNA)	Low abundance of <i>Lactobacillus</i> sp. in Necrotizing Enterocolitis (NEC);
			Oxford Nanopore MinION.	Metagenomics.	against the Greengenes 13.5 database	>0.38% (metagenomics)	4 day of life (without NEC) = Firmicutes (13.14%) and Actinobacteria (2.47%); 5-7 day of life (without NEC) = Bacteroidetes (13.47%) and Actinobacteria (0.54%); Fungi (<i>Saccharomyceta</i> class) = 0.38%, no virus or archaea detected.
(Feng et al., 2015)	Ascites	7	Illumina Miseq	16S rDNA (v3)	BLAST NCBI (98.5% similarity);	NA	Cyanothece, Bacillus, Streptococcus; Salmonella, Pantoea, Cupriavidus; Rothia, Faecalibacterium, Acinetobacter.
			Illumina Hiseq	Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS)	NCBI mega-blast (90% identity).		The WGS approach was better at identifying microbes with a low abundance.
(Fisher and Mehta, 2014)	Gut	NA	NA	Secondary data from metagenomics (Caporaso et al.)	Data were obtained from the MGRAST database; Learning Interactions from MIcrobial Time Series (LIMITS).	NA	Bacteroides fragilis and Bacteroides stercosis act as keystone species.
(Ghannoum et al., 2010)	Oral	20	454 GS FLX pyrosequencer	ITS1F-ITS4A (mycobiome)	BLAST Genbank (98%), Fungal ITS sequences were compared with the Assembling Fungal Tree of Life (AFTOL).	OTUs <1% were not analysed.	74 fungi genera detected (7 in high abundance); Authors declare that low-abundance genera may represent environmental fungi present in the oral cavity and could simply be spores inhaled from the air or material ingested with food.
(Hauser et al., 2015)	URT	54	454 GS FLX pyrosequencer	16S rRNA (V1-V3)	Uchime, BLAST SILVA, 111NR (95%)	OTUs <1% were not analysed.	20 minor bacterial species in one subject with completely negative culture; Low-abundance taxa were detected in 4.5% of cultures.
(Heisel et al., 2015)	Gut	11	Illumina MiSeq	ITS2 of the 18S rDNA fungal locus Validation with gPCR	UCLUST, USEARCH, alignment using MUSCLE, Mothur (hash.txt and fungalITSdatabaseID)	Present at <1.5% mean abundance across all samples.	Candida krusei and Candida parapsilosis.
(lebba et al., 2018)	Gut, blood	60	Illumina MiSeq	16S rRNA (V3-V4)	Python v.2.7.11, Mothur v.1.38.1, SILVA v.1.1961	≥0.5%	Gemmiger formicilis, Oscillibacter ruminantium, Roseburia faecis and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii were significantly higher in the controls than in cirrhotic patients, being classified as keystone species.
(Kang et al., 2017)	Gut	1463	Illumina MiSeq	16S rRNA (V3-V4)	USERCH 6.1 within the QIIME (97% similarity).	OTUs <0.005% were not analysed; Low abundance = 4.1%.	Unclassified Clostridiales (associated with the group with focal or intense FDG uptake in the intestine).
(Kowalska-Duplaga et al., 2019)	Gut	82	Illumina MiSeq	16S rRNA (V3-V4)	QIIME2, DADA2, Greengenes database (99% similarity).	Low abundance in Crohn's disease: 0.67%, 0.27%, 0.49%, 3.89%, 0.62%, and 0.35%, respectively.	Butyrate-producing bacteria, including Bifidobacterium (<i>B. adolescentis</i>), Roseburia (<i>R. faecis</i>), Faecalibacterium (<i>F. prausnitzii</i>), Gemmiger (<i>G. formicilis</i>), Ruminococcus (<i>R. bromii</i>) and Veillonellaceae (Dialister).
(Lau et al., 2016)	Gut	5	Illumina MiSeq	16S rRNA (v3)	Cutadapt, PANDAseq, AbundantOTU, QIIME, Greengenes database (97% similarity).	OTUs <0.01% were not analysed; Low abundance = <1%.	Uncultured OTUs were of low abundance (<0.8% relative abundance) in the culture-independent sequencing; 12 OTUs with relative abundances >0.1% were not cultured from the donor samples and included

Cena et al.

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

Reference	Sampling site	Ν	Platform of sequencing	Method of sequencing	Method of data analysis and bioinformatics	Proportion considered low abundant	Low-abundant microbiota
							Cyanobacteria, Clostridia, Mollicutes, and Bacteroidetes.
(Li et al., 2013)	Oral, skin, distal gut, and vaginal	200	454 GS FLX pyrosequencer	16S rRNA	Taxonomic Variance, Binomial Distribution qualify Presence and Absence, Ubiquity vs. Abundance (Ub- Ab) Plots, Ubiquity-Ubiquity Plots (U-U Plots), HMP Consortium.	OTUS <0.01%/90% ubiquity were not analysed; Definition of low abundance <1% (minor core taxa).	Buccal mucosa (Coriobacteriaceae Atopobium, Prevotellaceae unclassified, Bacilli unclassified, Lachnospiraceae Catonella). Hard palate (Clostridiales Family XIII. Mogibacterium, Lachnospiraceae Catonella). Keratinized gingiva (Bacilli unclassified). Palatine tonsils (Clostridiales Family XIII. Mogibacterium, Firmicutes unclassified). Saliva (Actinomycetales unclassified, Porphyromonadaceae Tannerella, Neisseriaceae Kingella). Subgingival plaque (Firmicutes unclassified). Supragingival plaque (Betaproteobacteria unclassified). Throat (Clostridiales Family XIII. Mogibacterium, Firmicutes unclassified, Bocilli unclassified, Peptostreptococcaceae Peptostreptococcus). Anterior nares (Pseudomonadaceae Pseudomonas). Stool (Streptococcaceae Streptococcus).
(Li et al., 2019)	Oral	35	Illumina MiSeq	16 rRNA and ITS2	UPARSE (>97% identity), RDB classifier, UNITE database.	Core mycobiome: OTUs <0.1% were not analysed; Key oral fungal microbiota: OTUs with frequencies of at least 50% and relative abundances of ≥0.5% were analysed.	Keystone fungal genera (Bovista, Erysiphe, Psathyrella, etc.)
(Ling et al., 2010)	Vaginal	100	454 GS FLX pyrosequencer	16s rRNA (V3)	MOTHUR (versão 1.5.0), RDP Classifier (80%), MEGA.	0.1-1.0% of total sequences.	Chloroflexi, Tenericutes, Proteobacteria and candidate division TM7;
(Liu et al., 2019)	Gut	119	Illumina MiSeq	16S rRNA (V4-V5)	BIPES pipeline, AUCHIME, QIIME (1.9.1) USEARCH, PyNAST, Greengenes database. RDP Classifier.	OTUs with median in any group <0.3% were not analysed.	Collinsella aerofaciens and P. copri is a possible keystones for cardiac valve calcification and coronary artery disease.
(Nakayama et al., 2017)	Gut	43	454 GS FLX pyrosequencer	16S rRNA (V6-V8)	QIIME, USEARCH (97% identity), RDP classifier.	<1%.	Prevotellaceae in one of the groups of children.
(Nash et al., 2017)	Gut	147	Illumina MiSeq	16S rRNA (V3-V5) 18S rRNA (ITS2) Metagenomics(fungi)	USEARCH, UCHIME, NCBI GenBank Plant (including fungi) and Environmental databases, SILVA (bacteria), UPARSE, DIAMOND (metagenomics).	-	Mycobiome is relatively low abundant; ITS2 sequencing provided greater resolution of the relatively low abundance mycobiome constituents.
(Ozkan et al., 2019)	Skin ocular	104	Illumina MiSeq	16S rRNA (V4)	UNOISE, USEARCH, Silva 128.	OTUs <1% across all samples were not analysed.	Corynebacterium, Staphylococcus in some sites.
(Rocas et al., 2016)	Oral	10	Illumina MiSeq	16s rRNA (V4)	Mothur v.1.36.1, Silva, UCHIME, RDP classifier (80%)	<0.1% not shown	Phylum level: Tenericutes, Synergistes

Cena et al.

(Continued)

TABLE 1 | Continued

Reference	Sampling site	Ν	Platform of sequencing	Method of sequencing	Method of data analysis and bioinformatics	Proportion considered low abundant	Low-abundant microbiota
							Genus level: Megasphaera, Hawardela, Slakia, Filifactor, Parviromonas, Tannarella, Scardovia, others.
(Sakwinska et al., 2016)	Breast milk	90	Illumina MiSeq	16s rRNA (V4); Confirmation by qPCR.	Mothur, Silva, RDP classifier (80%).	0.03%-0.5%.	Bifidobacteria and lactobacilli in low abundance in few samples.
(Simón-Soro et al., 2014)	Oral	13	454 GS FLX pyrosequencer	16S rRNA	Uchime, assigned to Ribosomal Database Project with 97% identity; RDP pyrosequencing pipeline; BLASTN>99%	0.02%- 1%	Tannerella, Olsenella, Filifactor, and Treponema (dentin carious lesions); <i>Streptococcus mutans</i> (enamel and dentin carious lesions); <i>Parahiramanas</i> (enamel carious lesions)
(Singh and Manning, 2016)	Gut	200	454 GS FLX pyrosequencer	16S rRNA (V3-V5)	QUIIME, USEARCH, Greengenes database,	No cuttoff defined in the methods, but OTUs with 0.03% were described.	Provide and the group >70 years-old.
(Son et al., 2015)	Gut	59	Illumina MiSeq	16S rRNA (V1-V2 and V1- V3)	Uchime, Silva.	OTUs with a maximal relative abundance <0.0001 and with a prevalence <0.01 were culled; Low abundance (at the genera level) threshold of significance FDR<0.1	Cyanobacterial, Chloroplast, Firmicutes, Asteroleplasma, Proteobacterial, Thalassospira, Burkholderia, Comanonadaceae, Bacteroidetes, Prevolellaceae, Actinobacteria, Mobiluncus, Sutterella, Bacteriodetes, Prevotella, Fusobacteria, Fusobacteriales.
(Wan et al., 2018)	Gut	30	Illumina MiSeq	16S rRNA (V3-V4)	QIIME, Monthur.	No cuttoff defined in the methods, but OTUs with 0.12% were described; Low abundance described as 3.53% 0.12%	A high abundance of Proteobacteria and Fusobacteria was observed in most septic shock patients, whereas low abundance was observed in healthy subjects.
(Wang et al., 2017)	Oral	41	PacBio RS II	16S rRNA (V1-V9)	Pacbio circular consensus sequencing, Mothur v.1.36.1, UCHIME, QIIME (97% similarity).	OTUs with a median relative abundance <0.01% were not analysed.	Haemophilus spp., Neisseria spp., Rothia sp. A. aphrophilus, Bergeyella sp. clone oral AK152, and S. rubneri were in low abundance in both the caries group and the transitional group after the 6 month follow-up.
(Zhang et al., 2018)	URT	98	Illumina MiSeq	16S rRNA	QIIME.	No cuttoff defined in the methods, but OTUs with 0.42% were described.	Streptococcus and Rothia (0.68%) keep low abundance in orofarynx microbiota of children ≤1 year old; Oropharynx: Atopobium, Moraxella (0.42, 0.51%)
(Wu et al., 2019)	Gut	59	Illumina HiSeq	Paired-end metagenomic sequencing.	MetaPhIAn2.	Relative abundance lower than 5 in the centenarians;	A lower relative abundance for Faecalibacterium (<i>Faecalibacterium prausnitzii</i>), Ruminococcus (<i>Ruminococcus</i> sp_5_1_39BFAA), Corprococcus, <i>Eubacterium rectale</i> , and Dorea was observed in the centenarians;
						Low-abundant genera were summed into one group to plot.	Description of the <i>Archaea</i> domain; <i>Methanobrevibacter</i> was enriched.
(Zakrzewski et al., 2019)	Colon	73	Illumina MiSeq	16S rRNA (V3-V4)	QUIIME, Greengenes database (97% identity), UCLUST, UCHIME.	NA	On family level (Ruminococcaceae and Christensenellaceae abundance lower in ileal Crohn's
(Zeng et al., 2019)	Gut	141	Illumina HiSeq 2500	16S rRNA (V4)	QIIME, Greengenes database (97% identity), DADA2.	<0.1%	Low abundance of butyrate-producing bacteria (Lachnospiraceae, Ruminococcaceae,

(Continued)

Reference	Sampling site	z	Platform of sequencing	Method of sequencing	Method of data analysis and bioinformatics	Proportion considered low abundant	Low-abundant microbiota
(Zhang et al., 2015)	Antrum, proximal body and fundus	27	2500 2500	Whole genome sequencing, confirmed by qPCR	PathSeq, Burrows-Wheeler Aligner, Bowtie2, PathoScope	No cuttoff defined in the methods, but OTUs with 0.001% were described.	Faecalibacterium, Roseburia, Lachnospira, and Oscillospira) with a higher risk of stroke. The pipeline from the authors and Kraken identified high levels of <i>H. influenzae</i> (82.9 % and 75.4 %, respectively) as well as <i>P. acnes</i> (17.1 % and 24.6 %, respectively), whereas no bacteria were identified by MetaPhIAn; Main advantage of the approach from the authors over MetaPhIAn and Kraken is in samples with low levels of bacteria where the abundance of human
(Zhang et al., 2019)	Gastric and esophageal	42	Illumina HiSeq 2500	Whole Genome Sequencing, confirmed by	Four different aligners: BWA, RepeatMasker, BLAST, MegaBlast.	NA	DNA confounds bacteria detection. <i>H. pytori</i> in homogenization method. <i>Bifdobacterium</i> sp. and <i>Pantoe</i> a sp. in lysis method.
(Zhu et al., 2018)	sites Gut	54	Illumina MiSeq	аРСК 16s ıRNA (V3-V4)	UPARSE, UCHIME, RDP classifier, Silva	53.36±21.44, 3.47±5.41, 1.93±2.71, 2.7±4.89, 1.04 ±1.92, respectively	Five bacterial families: Lachnospiraceae, Peptostreptococcaceae, Erysipelotrichaceae, Coriobacteriaceae, and Clostricliaceae_1 negatively associated with lipopolysaccharide level.
NA, Not available.							



the distribution of the papers by sampling site. Within them, the gastrointestinal tract and the oral cavity were the most studied ones. It may be due to the higher number of dysbiosis-related diseases or higher bacterial diversity in those sites, since only 10 out of the 42 articles exclusively analyzed samples from healthy individuals, and another 2 did not describe the status of health or disease, as they involved analysis of secondary data. The other sites included the vagina, respiratory system, skin, and blood. According to Hamady et al. (Hamady and Knight, 2009), the majority of microbiome studies describe the use of 16S rRNA gene sequencing for archaea and bacteria, and 18S rRNA gene sequencing for eukaryotes, which have limitations for the accurate identification to the species level.

Figure 3 shows the distribution of sequencing platforms used in the 42 selected articles. The most routinely used sequencing platforms were Illumina, followed by 454/Roche. Although these platforms are different in terms of biochemistry and in the way the matrix is generated, their workflows are conceptually similar (Shendure and Ji, 2008). A study of gut, mouth and skin samples from two subjects found that the composition of the gut and oral communities were not significantly dissimilar when either 454/Roche or Illumina



FABLE 1 | Continued

(**Figure 3**) were used, albeit the communities of the skin were significantly different. This difference was attributed to bias associated with the primers (Caporaso et al., 2011).

Low-Abundant Bacteria (LB)

Out of 42 articles, 20 were excluded from the summary of sample site-related low abundant bacterial species, because the data on microbial abundance were unavailable or no information on low abundance rate was provided. In the remaining 22 studies, low-abundant bacteria (LB) have been reported in the biofilm samples taken from 7 body sites (breast, gut, oral cavity, skin, stomach, upper respiratory tract (URT), and vagina). LB were determined and displayed as the relative abundance of a given operational taxonomic unit (OTU), relative to the total sequencing reads. In total, 4 studies reported LB at abundance levels between 5 and 20%, 6 studies reported between 1 and 5%, and 16 studies reported below 1%. Here we summarized the information of those LB detected at abundance levels below 1%. The information on bacterial phyla can be extracted from all 22 studies, hence it is possible to summarize the major phyla of LB per sample site.

Table 2 summarizes how frequent a phylum was reported as LB (<1%) per site in the 22 studies. The frequency is indicated by the number of studies which have reported LB. In total, 6 different phyla have been reported as LB in more than 2 different studies or in more than 2 different body sites. Gut and oral cavity are the most examined body sites. Out of 6 different phyla, 5 phyla were reported in gut and 6 were reported in oral cavity. Actinobacteria and Firmicutes were the most frequently reported LB among various body sites. Firmicutes and Proteobacteria were found as LB in 5 different body sites. Compared to the gut, the oral cavity contains a site-specific LB phyla, Spirochaetes.

Table 3 shows the bacterial taxa at the genus level within the major LB phyla (Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes and Proteobacteria) (<1% abundance). The oral cavity and gut were the most studied body sites, where a low-abundant genus was detected in more than two studies. The reported LB at the genus level in gut was generally different from those of the oral cavity. Only 3 LB genera have been found in both gut and oral cavity, namely, Bifidobacterium, Prevotella and Streptococcus. No LB genus can be reliably identified either in the gut or the oral cavity, since the listed genera were only reported by 1 or 2 studies, which may infer on the diversity of the LB in the human body, or could be biased by sequencing/analysis methods employed.

Actinobacteria were most often reported as a low-abundant phylum among all body sites. In the gut, Actinobacteria are relatively scarce, but have a high degree of ecological connection and are positively correlated with the diversity of the intestinal microbiome, playing an important role in the biodegradation of complex starch. It may be involved in the prevention of dysbiosis in patients with inflammatory bowel disease (Trosvik and de Muinck, 2015). When very abundant, Actinobacteria are associated with obesity (White et al., 2009). In the oral cavity, members of this phylum are part of the healthy microbiota and their abundance varies at each oral sites, however in dental plaque, for example, their abundance is less than 1% (Peterson et al., 2013; Palmer, 2014).

Low-Abundance of Other Organisms

Archaea and fungi (eukaryotes) are usually reported in low abundance, however, this detection should be viewed with caution and further studies are always encouraged to validate and confirm the data. From the 42 selected articles, only 15 mentioned fungi and/or archaea, and from those only 4 (fungi) and 2 (archaea) showed data regarding the abundance of these domains. Ghannoum et al. (2010) described that lowabundance genera may be transient, and represent environmental fungi present in the oral cavity and could simply be spores inhaled from the air or material ingested with food (Ghannoum et al., 2010). They have shown several species not described before in the oral cavity. Heisel et al. showed Candida krusei and Candida parapsilosis in >1.5% mean abundance in all analysed faecal samples (Heisel et al., 2015). Wu et al., 2019, using shotgun metagenomics, identified methanogenic archaea within the core microbiota, enriched in individuals aged >100 years old (Wu et al., 2019). This technique may therefore be preferrable to 16S rRNA to identify this domain of microrganisms.

The low abundance related to these domains in other studies may be linked to the sample collection method, detection probe, pair of primers used, sequencing technique, and low number of sequences registered in current databases (Ghannoum et al., 2010; Heisel et al., 2015; Dame-Teixeira et al., 2020). Furthermore, the study of the microbial community through the use of 16S rRNA sequencing and shotgun metagenomic methods allows analysis of the composition and genetic capabilities of the microbiota, but not the particularities of the role of low abundance in the microbial community, and of microbial community interactions (Centanni et al., 2018). Microbial communities are complex and constantly changing in response to their environment, influenced by various factors such as diet, use of antibiotics, exposure to transient microorganisms. In this case, other OMICS techniques can be used to understand how microbes react to the environment, including metatranscriptomics, proteomics and metabolomics. Those approaches give a holistic view of the sample content, and a clearer idea of inter-domain interactions within the human microbiome.

Bioinformatics and Data Analysis on Low-Abundant Organisms

Since 1977, DNA-sequencing technology has evolved at a fast pace, and is reshaping our understanding of biology (Srivastava, 2011). Next generation sequencing (NGS) was introduced for the first time in 2005, extending the previous advantages achieved by Sanger sequencing, and facilitated the increase in generated data, while decreasing the cost of sequencing (Buermans and Den Dunnen, 2014). NGS is marked by the construction of libraries, enabling massively parallel sequencing, which has been increasingly simplified, and a higher throughput compared to Sanger sequencing (Ekblom and Galindo, 2011; Muzzey et al., 2015).

TABLE 2 | Number of studies^a reported low abundant taxa (relative abundance <1%) at the level of phylum.

Phylum		N	umber o	of stud	ies per site	e (n)		Total	References	
	Breast	Gut	Oral cavity	Skin	Stomach	URT	Vagina	(n)		
Actinobacteria	1	3	3	0	1	1	1	10	(Li et al., 2013; Simón-Soro et al., 2014; Albert et al., 2015; Son et al., 2015; Rocas et al., 2016; Sakwinska et al., 2016; Brawner et al., 2017; Das et al., 2018; Camelo-Castillo et al., 2019; Kowalska-Duplaga et al., 2019)	
Bacteroidetes	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	6	(Li et al., 2013; Simón-Soro et al., 2014; Son et al., 2015; Rocas et al., 2016; Nakayama et al., 2017; Balan et al., 2018)	
Firmicutes	1	4	3	0	1	0	1	10	(Li et al., 2013; Simón-Soro et al., 2014; Albert et al., 2015; Son et al., 2015; Rocas et al., 2016; Sakwinska et al., 2016; Brawner et al., 2017; Kowalska- Duplaga et al., 2019; Zeng et al., 2019)	
Fusobacteria	0	З	1	0	0	0	0	4	(Son et al., 2015; Rocas et al., 2016; Das et al., 2018; Wan et al., 2018)	
Proteobacteria	0	2	2	1	1	1	0	7	(Li et al., 2013; Son et al., 2015; Rocas et al., 2016; Brawner et al., 2017; Das et al., 2018; Wan et al., 2018; Camelo-Castillo et al., 2019)	
Spirochaetes	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	(Simón-Soro et al., 2014; Rocas et al., 2016)	

^athe phylum reported by at least 2 different studies or found in at least 2 different body sites was included.

TABLE 3 | Number of studies which reported low abundant taxa (relative abundance <1%) collected from gut and oral cavity.

Таха	identified	Number	r of studies per site (n)	References
Phylum	Genus	Gut	Oral cavity	
Actinobacteria	Actinomyces	_	1	(Simón-Soro et al., 2014)
	Atopobium	_	1	(Li et al., 2013)
	Bifidobacterium	1	2	(Simón-Soro et al., 2014; Rocas et al., 2016; Sakwinska et al., 2016; Kowalska-Duplaga et al., 2019)
	Mobiluncus	1	_	(Son et al., 2015)
	Olsenella	_	1	(Simón-Soro et al., 2014)
	Unclassified	1	1	(Li et al., 2013; Das et al., 2018)
Bacteroidetes	Prevotella	2	2	(Son et al., 2015; Rocas et al., 2016; Nakayama et al., 2017; Balan et al., 2018)
	Tannerella	_	2	(Li et al., 2013; Simón-Soro et al., 2014)
	Unclassified	1	1	(Li et al., 2013; Son et al., 2015)
Firmicutes	Catonella	_	1	(Li et al., 2013)
	Dialister	1	_	(Kowalska-Duplaga et al., 2019)
	Faecalibacterium	2	_	(Kowalska-Duplaga et al., 2019; Zeng et al., 2019)
	Filifactor	_	1	(Simón-Soro et al., 2014)
	Lachnospira	1	_	(Zeng et al., 2019)
	Oscillospira	1	_	(Zeng et al., 2019)
	Peptostreptococcus	_	1	(Li et al., 2013)
	Roseburia	2	_	(Kowalska-Duplaga et al., 2019; Zeng et al., 2019)
	Ruminococcus	1	_	(Kowalska-Duplaga et al., 2019)
	Staphylococcus	_	1	(Rocas et al., 2016)
	Streptococcus	1	1	(Li et al., 2013; Simón-Soro et al., 2014)
	Unclassified	2	1	(Li et al., 2013; Son et al., 2015; Zeng et al., 2019)
Proteobacteria	Burkholderia	1	_	(Son et al., 2015)
	Kingella	_	1	(Li et al., 2013)
	Ochrobactrum	_	1	(Rocas et al., 2016)
	Pseudomonas	-	1	(Rocas et al., 2016)
	Sutterella	1	_	(Son et al., 2015)
	Thalassospira	1	_	(Son et al., 2015)
	Unclassified	2	1	(Li et al., 2013; Son et al., 2015; Das et al., 2018)

 $-, \ensuremath{\textit{indicates}}$ that the genus was not reported in this body site.

The genera in bold are those identified in both gut and oral sites.

Nevertheless, NGS has some limitations including issues with alignment of short read sequences, detection of artifacts and microbial contaminants present in samples, in addition to the presence of human nucleic acids in clinical samples, thus limiting the analytical sensitivity of microbial detection (Davis et al., 2018). One solution to this limitation was presented as the use of targeted sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene. This gene is now considered as a reference in microbial ecology studies. However, the use of 16S rRNA-based molecular methods do not allow for a high resolution of microbiota identification, because there are biases introduced into molecular community analysis by many factors, such as sample handling, DNA extraction, PCR and

partial sequence of the 16S rRNA gene (ranging between the V1 and V4 regions) (Case et al., 2007). To reduce contamination with sequence artifacts or low accuracy of read alignment, some studies remove sequence reads attributed to low-abundance operational taxonomic units (OTUs) obtained by amplicon sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene. However, it is necessary to perform the analyses with caution, because sequence data associated with these low-abundant taxa may be biologically significant. Therefore, it may not be recommended to exclude these data even if the distinction between expected and unexpected sequences is not always straightforward (Lazarevic et al., 2016).

While microbiome studies generally describe the taxonomy, diversity and abundance of the highly abundant microbes, low-abundant species have been overlooked. Most studies included in this scoping review select a cut-off value at <1% for an organism to be considered low abundant, although some studies have reported OTUs representing 0.003% of the relative abundance (Table 3). The choice of such cut-off value were attributed to low read count and or other considerations such as technical artefacts, contaminations, and the presence of transient species. However, by excluding these OTUs from the analysis, the full richness and diversity of the microbiota is underestimated. Camelo-Castillo et al. (2019) stated that only the OTUs representing over 0.1% of the total sequences of each sample were considered for their analysis, as low-frequency reads, including singletons, are more likely to represent sequencing errors, contaminants, or transient organisms without a biological role at the niche under study. Although artifacts and errors are expected, important signals from lowabundant members of microbial community, including keystone organisms, may be lost due to the current technical limitations provided by this strategy. As affirmed before, lowabundant species can be responsible for major functions on the microbial community such as processing certain secondary metabolites. An example comprises organisms from the Archaea domain, that can be detected with 16S rRNA deep sequencing but in very low abundance. Those microrganisms, particularly the methanogens, play a unique role by using hydrogen to produce methane, modulating the environment and were previously described as keystone pathogens associated with periodontal diseases (Camelo-Castillo et al., 2019).

To overcome this limitation, an interesting approach was applied by Li et al (2019), that defined a core microbiome based on high ubiquity taxa in conjunction with a characteristic of high abundance such that the significance of both measurements can be made with a sufficient degree of confidence across and within samples. Using this approach, they were able to classify OTUs with low abundance (<1%) that were highly prevalent across the samples. The authors proposed that larger sample size and sequencing depth are necessary, so that the detection of low abundant taxa may be considered nonspurious across the donors (Li et al., 2019). We believe that defining the ubiquity of the low-abundant microrganisms is a good strategy that should be better explored. A clearer cut-off point to confirm the presence and importance of such species should urgently be defined (minimum values of the sample size, as well as the ubiquity).

Another approach was recommended by Liu et al. (2013), and based on single-read-based, instead of assembly-based classification which has a higher resolution for the characterization of the composition and structure of microbiota, especially for species in low abundance. Their composition and phylogeny-based algorithm uses the strategy of composition comparison, and is capable of classifying millions of very short reads relatively quickly (Liu et al., 2013). Zhang et al (2019) also described two DNA extraction methods (using prolonged lysis and homogenizing methods) which presented marked differences specifically to the low abundance genera (Zhang et al., 2019), and might represent an important improvement in the field.

Metagenomic studies produce high-throughput sequence data that attempt to classify the taxonomy and function of all microbial communities and are greatly affected by the presence of sequencing errors that may influence the estimation of taxonomic diversity (Keegan et al., 2012). There are noise and errors in the sequencing data that can be influenced by the type of platform used. In the studies included in this review, the most commonly used platform was Illumina. With this platform, when errors occur, they are predominantly substitution-type and the error percentage for most Illumina sequence reads is approximately 0.5% (1 error in 200 bases) (Mardis, 2013). The Ion Torrent PGM and 454 GS Junior platforms produced a higher error rate associated with homopolymers around 1.5 and 0.38 errors per 100 bases, respectively (Loman et al., 2012). All platforms are considered suitable for metagenomic sequencing, but no instrument can generate completely accurate data sets, each technology has advantages and disadvantages (Luo et al., 2012). The length of reads generated, sequencing depth and error rates may be taken into account when choosing the most appropriate platform to use. For example, longer reads as those provided by MiSeq (Illumina), Ion Torrent, PacBio and Oxford Nanopore Technologies, are important to consider when carrying out 16S rRNA metagenomics, or genome sequencing (Winand et al., 2020).

CONCLUSION

There is currently no consensus in the literature on the classification of low-abundant organisms. Some studies have described such organisms being detected at less than 1% relative abundance, however, most studies use the same cutoff point (i.e. <1%) to exclude them, due to the risk of contamination or artifacts. This practice may compromise the identification of the true diversity of human microbiota. Domains other than *Bacteria* are neglected due to the cut-off, excluding OTUs with relative abundance lower than 0.1% or 1%. Representatives of Archaea, Fungi or Viruses are little explored. There is growing interest in developing new bioinformatics tools, such as single-read-based, instead of assembly-based, classification to obtain a

higher resolution of the taxonomic analysis. Also, the ubiquity classification associated with the abundance could be a good strategy to identify the low-abundant microbiota. To achieve this, higher sequencing depths should be used in future microbiome investigations, as well as more holistic approaches including shotgun metagenomics should be employed to have a better view of the richness and diversity at play in health, disease and dysbiotic stages.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work, and approved it for publication.

REFERENCES

- Ai, D. M., Huang, R. C., Wen, J., Li, C., Zhu, J. P., and Xia, L. C. (2017). Integrated Metagenomic Data Analysis Demonstrates That a Loss of Diversity in Oral Microbiota Is Associated With Periodontitis. *BMC Genomics* 18 (1), 1–15. doi: 10.1186/s12864-016-3254-5
- Albert, A. Y., Chaban, B., Wagner, E. C., Schellenberg, J. J., Links, M. G., Van Schalkwyk, J., et al. (2015). A Study of the Vaginal Microbiome in Healthy Canadian Women Utilizing Cpn60-Based Molecular Profiling Reveals Distinct Gardnerella Subgroup Community State Types. *PloS One* 10 (8), e0135620. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0135620
- Al-hebshi, N. N., Abdulhaq, A., Albarrag, A., Basode, V. K., and Chen, T. (2016). Species-Level Core Oral Bacteriome Identified by 16S Rrna Pyrosequencing in a Healthy Young Arab Population. J. Oral. Microbiol. 8 (1), 31444. doi: 10.3402/jom.v8.31444
- Balan, P., Chong, Y. S., Umashankar, S., Swarup, S., Loke, W. M., Lopez, V., et al. (2018). Keystone Species in Pregnancy Gingivitis: A Snapshot of Oral Microbiome During Pregnancy and Postpartum Period. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 2360. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02360
- Banerjee, S., Schlaeppi, K., and van der Heijden, M. G. (2018). Keystone Taxa as Drivers of Microbiome Structure and Functioning. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 16 (9), 567–576. doi: 10.1038/s41579-018-0024-1
- Berg, G., Rybakova, D., Fischer, D., Cernava, T., Vergès, M.-C. C., Charles, T., et al. (2020). Microbiome Definition Re-Visited: Old Concepts and New Challenges. *Microbiome* 8 (1), 1–22. doi: 10.1186/s40168-020-00875-0
- Brawner, K., Kumar, R., Serrano, C., Ptacek, T., Lefkowitz, E., Morrow, C., et al. (2017). Helicobacter Pylori Infection Is Associated With an Altered Gastric Microbiota in Children. *Mucosal Immunol.* 10 (5), 1169–1177. doi: 10.1038/ mi.2016.131
- Brooks, J. P., Edwards, D. J., Harwich, M. D., Rivera, M. C., Fettweis, J. M., Serrano, M. G., et al. (2015). The Truth About Metagenomics: Quantifying and Counteracting Bias in 16S rRNA Studies. *BMC Microbiol.* 15 (1), 66. doi: 10.1186/s12866-015-0351-6
- Bry, L., Brigl, M., and Brenner, M. B. (2006). Cd4+-T-Cell Effector Functions and Costimulatory Requirements Essential for Surviving Mucosal Infection With Citrobacter Rodentium. *Infect. Immun.* 74 (1), 673–681. doi: 10.1128/ IAI.74.1.673-681.2006
- Buermans, H., and Den Dunnen, J. (2014). Next Generation Sequencing Technology: Advances and Applications. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta (BBA)-Mol. Basis Dis.* 1842 (10), 1932–1941. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2014.06.015
- Burmistrz, M., Dudek, B., Staniec, D., Rodriguez Martinez, J. I., Bochtler, M., Potempa, J., et al. (2015). Functional Analysis of Porphyromonas Gingivalis W83 Crispr-Cas Systems. J. Bacteriol. 197 (16), 2631–2641. doi: 10.1128/ jb.00261-15
- Burne, R., Zeng, L., Ahn, S., Palmer, S., Liu, Y., Lefebure, T., et al. (2012). Progress Dissecting the Oral Microbiome in Caries and Health. *Adv. Dental Res.* 24 (2), 77–80. doi: 10.1177/0022034512449462
- Camelo-Castillo, A., Henares, D., Brotons, P., Galiana, A., Rodríguez, J. C., Mira, A., et al. (2019). Nasopharyngeal Microbiota in Children With Invasive

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to the Scientific Initiation Program from the Brazilian National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq), and to the UK's Academy of Medical Sciences, Newton International Fellowship (Grant no. NIF/R5/ 242) for their support.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcimb.2021.689197/full#supplementary-material

Pneumococcal Disease: Identification of Bacteria With Potential Disease-Promoting and Protective Effects. *Front. Microbiol.* 10, 11. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2019.00011

- Camelo-Castillo, A., Novoa, L., Balsa-Castro, C., Blanco, J., Mira, A., and Tomás, I. (2015). Relationship Between Periodontitis-Associated Subgingival Microbiota and Clinical Inflammation by 16S Pyrosequencing. J. Clin. Periodontol. 42 (12), 1074–1082. doi: 10.1111/jcpe.12470
- Caporaso, J. G., Lauber, C. L., Costello, E. K., Berg-Lyons, D., Gonzalez, A., Stombaugh, J., et al. (2011). Moving Pictures of the Human Microbiome. *Genome Biol.* 12 (5), 1–8. doi: 10.1186/gb-2011-12-5-r50
- Casadevall, A., and Pirofski, X.-a. (2003). The Damage-Response Framework of Microbial Pathogenesis. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 1 (1), 17–24. doi: 10.1038/ nrmicro732
- Case, R. J., Boucher, Y., Dahllöf, I., Holmström, C., Doolittle, W. F., and Kjelleberg, S. (2007). Use of 16S rRNA and Rpob Genes as Molecular Markers for Microbial Ecology Studies. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 73 (1), 278–288. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01177-06
- Centanni, M., Lawley, B., Butts, C. A., Roy, N. C., Lee, J., Kelly, W. J., et al. (2018). Bifidobacterium Pseudolongum in the Ceca of Rats Fed Hi-Maize Starch Has Characteristics of a Keystone Species in Bifidobacterial Blooms. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 84 (15), 11. doi: 10.1128/aem.00547-18
- Claussen, J. C., Skiecevičienė, J., Wang, J., Rausch, P., Karlsen, T. H., Lieb, W., et al. (2017). Boolean Analysis Reveals Systematic Interactions Among Low-Abundance Species in the Human Gut Microbiome. *PloS Comput. Biol.* 13 (6), e1005361. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005361
- Dame-Teixeira, N., de Cena, J. A., Côrtes, D. A., Belmok, A., dos Anjos Borges, L. G., Marconatto, L., et al. (2020). Presence of Archaea in Dental Caries Biofilms. Arch. Oral. Biol. 110, 104606. doi: 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2019.104606
- Das, B., Ghosh, T. S., Kedia, S., Rampal, R., Saxena, S., Bag, S., et al. (2018). Analysis of the Gut Microbiome of Rural and Urban Healthy Indians Living in Sea Level and High Altitude Areas. Sci. Rep. 8 (1), 1–15. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-28550-3
- Davis, N. M., Proctor, D. M., Holmes, S. P., Relman, D. A., and Callahan, B. J. (2018). Simple Statistical Identification and Removal of Contaminant Sequences in Marker-Gene and Metagenomics Data. *Microbiome* 6 (1), 226. doi: 10.1186/s40168-018-0605-2
- de Goffau, M. C., Luopajärvi, K., Knip, M., Ilonen, J., Ruohtula, T., Härkönen, T., et al. (2013). Fecal Microbiota Composition Differs Between Children With β -Cell Autoimmunity and Those Without. *Diabetes* 62 (4), 1238–1244. doi: 10.2337/db12-0526
- Dobbler, P. T., Procianoy, R. S., Mai, V., Silveira, R. C., Corso, A. L., Rojas, B. S., et al. (2017). Low Microbial Diversity and Abnormal Microbial Succession Is Associated With Necrotizing Enterocolitis in Preterm Infants. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 2243. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02243
- Ekblom, R., and Galindo, J. (2011). Applications of Next Generation Sequencing in Molecular Ecology of Non-Model Organisms. *Heredity* 107 (1), 1–15. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2010.152
- Feng, Y., Chen, C.-L., Chen, T.-H., Liang, Y.-H., Chen, H.-L., Lin, C.-Y., et al. (2015). Application of Next-Generation Sequencing to Study Ascitic

Microbiome in Cirrhotic Patients With or Without Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis. J. Microbiol. Immunol. Infect. 48 (5), 504–509. doi: 10.1016/ j.jmii.2014.07.005

- Fisher, C. K., and Mehta, P. (2014). Identifying Keystone Species in the Human Gut Microbiome From Metagenomic Timeseries Using Sparse Linear Regression. *PloS One* 9 (7), 10. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0102451
- Garrett, W. S., Gallini, C. A., Yatsunenko, T., Michaud, M., DuBois, A., Delaney, M. L., et al. (2010). Enterobacteriaceae Act in Concert With the Gut Microbiota to Induce Spontaneous and Maternally Transmitted Colitis. *Cell Host Microbe* 8 (3), 292–300. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2010.08.004
- Ghannoum, M. A., Jurevic, R. J., Mukherjee, P. K., Cui, F., Sikaroodi, M., Naqvi, A., et al. (2010). Characterization of the Oral Fungal Microbiome (Mycobiome) in Healthy Individuals. *PloS Pathog.* 6 (1), e1000713. doi: 10.1371/ journal.ppat.1000713
- Hajishengallis, G., Darveau, R. P., and Curtis, M. A. (2012). The Keystone-Pathogen Hypothesis. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 10 (10), 717–725. doi: 10.1038/ nrmicro2873
- Hajishengallis, G., and Lamont, R. J. (2016). Dancing With the Stars: How Choreographed Bacterial Interactions Dictate Nososymbiocity and Give Rise to Keystone Pathogens, Accessory Pathogens, and Pathobionts. *Trends Microbiol.* 24 (6), 477–489. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2016.02.010
- Hamady, M., and Knight, R. (2009). Microbial Community Profiling for Human Microbiome Projects: Tools, Techniques, and Challenges. *Genome Res.* 19 (7), 1141–1152. doi: 10.1101/gr.085464.108
- Hauser, L. J., Feazel, L. M., Ir, D., Fang, R., Wagner, B. D., Robertson, C. E, et al. (2015). Sinus Culture Poorly Predicts Resident Microbiota. *Int. Forum Allergy Rhinol*. Wiley Online Library. 5, 3–9. doi: 10.1002/alr.21428
- Heisel, T., Podgorski, H., Staley, C. M., Knights, D., Sadowsky, M. J., and Gale, C. A. (2015). Complementary Amplicon-Based Genomic Approaches for the Study of Fungal Communities in Humans. *PloS One* 10 (2), e0116705. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0116705
- Holt, S. C., and Ebersole, J. L. (2005). Porphyromonas Gingivalis, Treponema Denticola, and Tannerella Forsythia: The 'Red Complex', a Prototype Polybacterial Pathogenic Consortium in Periodontitis. *Periodontol. 2000* 38 (1), 72–122. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0757.2005.00113.x
- Iebba, V., Guerrieri, F., Di Gregorio, V., Levrero, M., Gagliardi, A., Santangelo, F., et al. (2018). Combining Amplicon Sequencing and Metabolomics in Cirrhotic Patients Highlights Distinctive Microbiota Features Involved in Bacterial Translocation, Systemic Inflammation and Hepatic Encephalopathy. *Sci. Rep.* 8 (1), 1–14. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-26509-y
- Kang, J. Y., Kim, H.-N., Chang, Y., Yun, Y., Ryu, S., Shin, H., et al. (2017). Gut Microbiota and Physiologic Bowel 18 F-FDG Uptake. *EJNMMI Res.* 7 (1), 72. doi: 10.1186/s13550-017-0318-8
- Keegan, K. P., Trimble, W. L., Wilkening, J., Wilke, A., Harrison, T., D'Souza, M., et al. (2012). A Platform-Independent Method for Detecting Errors in Metagenomic Sequencing Data: Drisee. *PloS Comput. Biol.* 8 (6), e1002541. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002541
- Kostic, A. D., Chun, E., Robertson, L., Glickman, J. N., Gallini, C. A., Michaud, M., et al. (2013). Fusobacterium Nucleatum Potentiates Intestinal Tumorigenesis and Modulates the Tumor-Immune Microenvironment. *Cell Host Microbe* 14 (2), 207–215. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2013.07.007
- Kowalska-Duplaga, K., Gosiewski, T., Kapusta, P., Sroka-Oleksiak, A., Wędrychowicz, A., Pieczarkowski, S., et al. (2019). Differences in the Intestinal Microbiome of Healthy Children and Patients With Newly Diagnosed Crohn's Disease. Sci. Rep. 9 (1), 1–11. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-55290-9
- Lau, J. T., Whelan, F. J., Herath, I., Lee, C. H., Collins, S. M., Bercik, P., et al. (2016). Capturing the Diversity of the Human Gut Microbiota Through Culture-Enriched Molecular Profiling. *Genome Med.* 8 (1), 72. doi: 10.1186/s13073-016-0327-7
- Lazarevic, V., Gaïa, N., Girard, M., and Schrenzel, J. (2016). Decontamination of 16S Rrna Gene Amplicon Sequence Datasets Based on Bacterial Load Assessment by Qpcr. *BMC Microbiol.* 16 (1), 73. doi: 10.1186/s12866-016-0689-4
- Lepp, P. W., Brinig, M. M., Ouverney, C. C., Palm, K., Armitage, G. C., and Relman, D. A. (2004). Methanogenic Archaea and Human Periodontal Disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 101 (16), 6176–6181. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0308766101
- Li, K., Bihan, M., and Methé, B. A. (2013). Analyses of the Stability and Core Taxonomic Memberships of the Human Microbiome. *PloS One* 8 (5), e63139. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0063139

- Ling, Z., Kong, J., Liu, F., Zhu, H., Chen, X., Wang, Y., et al. (2010). Molecular Analysis of the Diversity of Vaginal Microbiota Associated With Bacterial Vaginosis. BMC Genomics 11 (1), 488. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-11-488
- Liu, Z., Li, J., Liu, H., Tang, Y., Zhan, Q., Lai, W., et al. (2019). The Intestinal Microbiota Associated With Cardiac Valve Calcification Differs From That of Coronary Artery Disease. *Atherosclerosis* 284, 121–128. doi: 10.1016/ j.atherosclerosis.2018.11.038
- Liu, J., Wang, H., Yang, H., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., Zhao, F., et al. (2013). Composition-Based Classification of Short Metagenomic Sequences Elucidates the Landscapes of Taxonomic and Functional Enrichment of Microorganisms. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41 (1), e3–e3. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks828
- Li, Y., Wang, K., Zhang, B., Tu, Q., Yao, Y., Cui, B., et al. (2019). Salivary Mycobiome Dysbiosis and its Potential Impact on Bacteriome Shifts and Host Immunity in Oral Lichen Planus. *Int. J. Oral. Sci.* 11 (2), 1–10. doi: 10.1038/ s41368-019-0045-2
- Loman, N. J., Misra, R. V., Dallman, T. J., Constantinidou, C., Gharbia, S. E., Wain, J., et al. (2012). Performance Comparison of Benchtop High-Throughput Sequencing Platforms. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 30 (5), 434–439. doi: 10.1038/nbt.2198
- Luo, C., Tsementzi, D., Kyrpides, N., Read, T., and Konstantinidis, K. T. (2012). Direct Comparisons of Illumina vs. Roche 454 Sequencing Technologies on the Same Microbial Community Dna Sample. *PloS One* 7 (2), e30087. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0030087
- Mardis, E. R. (2013). Next-Generation Sequencing Platforms. Annu. Rev. Anal. Chem. 6, 287–303. doi: 10.1146/annurev-anchem-062012-092628
- Muzzey, D., Evans, E. A., and Lieber, C. (2015). Understanding the Basics of NGS: From Mechanism to Variant Calling. *Curr. Genet. Med. Rep.* 3 (4), 158–165. doi: 10.1007/s40142-015-0076-8
- Nakayama, J., Yamamoto, A., Palermo-Conde, L. A., Higashi, K., Sonomoto, K., Tan, J., et al. (2017). Impact of Westernized Diet on Gut Microbiota in Children on Leyte Island. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 197. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00197
- Nash, A. K., Auchtung, T. A., Wong, M. C., Smith, D. P., Gesell, J. R., Ross, M. C., et al. (2017). The Gut Mycobiome of the Human Microbiome Project Healthy Cohort. *Microbiome* 5 (1), 153. doi: 10.1186/s40168-017-0373-4
- Ozkan, J., Willcox, M., Wemheuer, B., Wilcsek, G., Coroneo, M., and Thomas, T. (2019). Biogeography of the Human Ocular Microbiota. *Ocul. Surf.* 17 (1), 111–118. doi: 10.1016/j.jtos.2018.11.005
- Palmer, R. J.Jr (2014). Composition and Development of Oral Bacterial Communities. Periodontol. 2000 64 (1), 20–39. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0757.2012.00453.x
- Perez-Chaparro, P. J., Goncalves, C., Figueiredo, L. C., Faveri, M., Lobao, E., Tamashiro, N., et al. (2014). Newly Identified Pathogens Associated With Periodontitis: A Systematic Review. J. Dent. Res. 93 (9), 846–858. doi: 10.1177/ 0022034514542468
- Peterson, S. N., Snesrud, E., Liu, J., Ong, A. C., Kilian, M., Schork, N. J., et al. (2013). The Dental Plaque Microbiome in Health and Disease. *PloS One* 8 (3), e58487. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0058487
- Power, M. E., Tilman, D., Estes, J. A., Menge, B. A., Bond, W. J., Mills, L. S., et al. (1996). Challenges in the Quest for Keystones: Identifying Keystone Species is Difficult—But Essential to Understanding How Loss of Species Will Affect Ecosystems. *BioScience* 46 (8), 609–620. doi: 10.2307/1312990
- Rocas, I. N., Alves, F. R., Rachid, C. T., Lima, K. C., Assuncao, I. V., Gomes, P. N., et al. (2016). Microbiome of Deep Dentinal Caries Lesions in Teeth With Symptomatic Irreversible Pulpitis. *PloS One* 11 (5), e0154653. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0154653
- Rubinstein, M. R., Wang, X., Liu, W., Hao, Y., Cai, G., and Han, Y. W. (2013). Fusobacterium Nucleatum Promotes Colorectal Carcinogenesis by Modulating E-Cadherin/β-Catenin Signaling Via Its FadA Adhesin. *Cell Host Microbe* 14 (2), 195–206. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2013.07.012
- Sakwinska, O., Moine, D., Delley, M., Combremont, S., Rezzonico, E., Descombes, P., et al. (2016). Microbiota in Breast Milk of Chinese Lactating Mothers. *PloS One* 11 (8), e0160856. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0160856
- Sears, C. L., and Pardoll, D. M. (2011). Perspective: Alpha-Bugs, Their Microbial Partners, and the Link to Colon Cancer. J. Infect. Dis. 203 (3), 306–311. doi: 10.1093/jinfdis/jiq061
- Shendure, J., and Ji, H. (2008). Next-Generation DNA Sequencing. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 26 (10), 1135. doi: 10.1038/nbt1486
- Simón-Soro, A., Guillen-Navarro, M., and Mira, A. (2014). Metatranscriptomics Reveals Overall Active Bacterial Composition in Caries Lesions. J. Oral. Microbiol. 6 (1), 25443. doi: 10.3402/jom.v6.25443

- Singh, P., and Manning, S. D. (2016). Impact of Age and Sex on the Composition and Abundance of the Intestinal Microbiota in Individuals With and Without Enteric Infections. Ann. Epidemiol. 26 (5), 380–385. doi: 10.1016/ j.annepidem.2016.03.007
- Son, J. S., Zheng, L. J., Rowehl, L. M., Tian, X., Zhang, Y., Zhu, W., et al. (2015). Comparison of Fecal Microbiota in Children With Autism Spectrum Disorders and Neurotypical Siblings in the Simons Simplex Collection. *PloS One* 10 (10), e0137725. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0137725
- Srivastava, A. (2011). Evolution & Detection of non-Coding RNA, and Transcriptome Analyses of Two non-Model Systems (University of Georgia).
- Stobernack, T. (2019). Porphyromonas Gingivalis-an Oral Keystone Pathogen Challenging the Human Immune System (University of Groningen).
- Tricco, A. C., Lillie, E., Zarin, W., O'Brien, K. K., Colquhoun, H., Levac, D., et al. (2018). Prisma Extension for Scoping Reviews (Prisma-Scr): Checklist and Explanation. Ann. Intern. Med. 169 (7), 467–473. doi: 10.7326/M18-0850
- Trosvik, P., and de Muinck, E. J. (2015). Ecology of Bacteria in the Human Gastrointestinal Tract-Identification of Keystone and Foundation Taxa. *Microbiome* 3, 1–12. doi: 10.1186/s40168-015-0107-4
- Turnbaugh, P. J., Ley, R. E., Hamady, M., Fraser-Liggett, C. M., Knight, R., and Gordon, J. I. (2007). The Human Microbiome Project. *Nature* 449 (7164), 804–810. doi: 10.1038/nature06244
- Wang, B., Yao, M., Lv, L., Ling, Z., and Li, L. (2017). The Human Microbiota in Health and Disease. *Engineering* 3 (1), 71–82. doi: 10.1016/J.ENG.2017.01.008
- Wang, Y., Zhang, J., Chen, X., Jiang, W., Wang, S., Xu, L., et al. (2017). Profiling of Oral Microbiota in Early Childhood Caries Using Single-Molecule Real-Time Sequencing. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 2244. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02244
- Wan, Y.-D., Zhu, R.-X., Wu, Z.-Q., Lyu, S.-Y., Zhao, L.-X., Du, Z.-J., et al. (2018).
 Gut Microbiota Disruption in Septic Shock Patients: A Pilot Study. *Med. Sci. Monit.: Int. Med. J. Exp. Clin. Res.* 24, 8639. doi: 10.12659/MSM.911768
- White, J. R., Nagarajan, N., and Pop, M. (2009). Statistical Methods for Detecting Differentially Abundant Features in Clinical Metagenomic Samples. *PloS Comput. Biol.* 5 (4), e1000352. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000352
- Winand, R., Bogaerts, B., Hoffman, S., Lefevre, L., Delvoye, M., Van Braekel, J., et al. (2020). Targeting the 16s Rrna Gene for Bacterial Identification in Complex Mixed Samples: Comparative Evaluation of Second (Illumina) and Third (Oxford Nanopore Technologies) Generation Sequencing Technologies. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21 (1), 298. doi: 10.3390/ijms21010298
- Wu, L., Zeng, T., Zinellu, A., Rubino, S., Kelvin, D. J., and Carru, C. (2019). A Cross-Sectional Study of Compositional and Functional Profiles of Gut

Microbiota in Sardinian Centenarians. *MSystems* 4 (4), e00325–e00319. doi: 10.1128/mSystems.00325-19

- Xiao, J., Fiscella, K. A., and Gill, S. R. (2020). Oral Microbiome: Possible Harbinger for Children's Health. Int. J. Oral. Sci. 12 (1), 1–13. doi: 10.1038/s41368-020-0082-x
- Zakrzewski, M., Simms, L. A., Brown, A., Appleyard, M., Irwin, J., Waddell, N., et al. (2019). Il23r-Protective Coding Variant Promotes Beneficial Bacteria and Diversity in the Ileal Microbiome in Healthy Individuals Without Inflammatory Bowel Disease. J. Crohn's Colitis 13 (4), 451–461. doi: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjy188
- Zeng, X., Gao, X., Peng, Y., Wu, Q., Zhu, J., Tan, C., et al. (2019). Higher Risk of Stroke Is Correlated With Increased Opportunistic Pathogen Load and Reduced Levels of Butyrate-Producing Bacteria in the Gut. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 9, 4. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2019.00004
- Zerón, A. (2014). Genoma, Microbioma Y Epigenoma Humano. Una Visión Contemporánea De La Tríada Ecológica. *Rev. ADM* 71 (4), 161–172.
- Zhang, C., Cleveland, K., Schnoll-Sussman, F., McClure, B., Bigg, M., Thakkar, P., et al. (2015). Identification of Low Abundance Microbiome in Clinical Samples Using Whole Genome Sequencing. *Genome Biol.* 16 (1), 265. doi: 10.1186/ s13059-015-0821-z
- Zhang, C., Thakkar, P. V., Powell, S. E., Sharma, P., Vennelaganti, S., Betel, D., et al. (2019). A Comparison of Homogenization vs. Enzymatic Lysis for Microbiome Profiling in Clinical Endoscopic Biopsy Tissue Samples. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 3246. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.03246
- Zhang, Y., Wang, X., Li, H., Ni, C., Du, Z., and Yan, F. (2018). Human Oral Microbiota and its Modulation for Oral Health. *BioMed. Pharmacother*. 99, 883–893. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2018.01.146
- Zhu, H., Liu, Y., Li, S., Jin, Y., Zhao, L., Zhao, F., et al. (2018). Altered Gut Microbiota After Traumatic Splenectomy Is Associated With Endotoxemia. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 7 (1), 1–10. doi: 10.1038/s41426-018-0202-2

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Cena, Zhang, Deng, Damé-Teixeira and Do. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.