Smart cities built from smart materials

Sensor and actuators that respond locally avoid overburdening data analysis networks

By **Rebecca Napolitano[1], Wesley Reinhart[2], Juan Pablo Gevaudan[1,3]**

[1] Department of Architectural Engineering, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, 16802 USA

[2] Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, 16802 USA

[3] School of Civil Engineering, The University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9TJ, UK.

The Smart City Index (*1*) defines a smart city as "an urban setting that applies technology to enhance the benefits and diminish the shortcomings of urbanization for its citizens." The top-ranked city, Singapore, has addressed urban challenges with information technology since 2014 through its Smart Nation Initiative (*2*). The influence of technology is reflected in the city's open platform for sharing energy data, crowd-sourced location data for smart navigation, and even online forums for citizen participation in policymaking (*2*). The smart city concept requires acquisition of massive amounts of data in real time, but large networks of smart devices must spread the burden of communication and processing more evenly to prevent information overload at the center of the network. Opportunities to solve this challenge have recently emerged through the development of increasingly "smart materials" that can sense, process, and respond to environmental stimuli without involving centralized resources.

A recent market analysis predicted that the number of connected devices, sensors, and actuators comprising the Internet of Things (IoT) will reach more than 46 billion in 2021, driven largely by reduction in hardware costs to as low as $1 per device (*3*). Inexpensive connected sensing devices measuring strain, temperature, and humidity (*4*), as well as the enhancement of indirect sensing methods that use computer vision and crowd-sourcing (*5*), provide vast amounts of data to quantify the built environment (*6*). The ability to continuously monitor the physical state of infrastructure with high resolution in time and space has exciting implications for sustainability and equity. Quantitative, data-driven decision-making can enable predictive maintenance rather than relying on conventional intuition-based workflow, although such automated systems can also learn to replicate human biases (*7*).

However, efficient decision-making based on these data streams becomes limited by the burden of transmitting and processing the raw, unprioritized data. As the number of connected devices rises, smart cities have shifted from a hierarchical network architecture based on cloud computing to a more decentralized information ecosystem. In this so-called "fog computing" model, data processing is performed at the edge of the network to avoid costly communication with a central cloud server (*8*). Alternatively, "mist computing" represents an even more extreme paradigm in which data processing is handled by microprocessors attached directly to the sensors and actuators. One advantage of mist computing is a reduced burden on communications systems by constraining information to a "need-to-know basis." This approach has an added sustainability benefit because communication accounts for as much as five times the power consumption as the computation itself in IoT devices (*9*).

Orthogonal to these advances in IoT technology, multifunctional and responsive materials have been designed to substantially alter their shape or properties in response to external stimuli. When taken to the extreme, this concept results in "living materials," which may even use biological organisms (*10*) as highly efficient chemical machines for sensing and responding to their environment. Such materials are engineered to sense and regulate their state at the microscopic scale to affect macroscopic structural or functional changes. A common function of smart or living materials is self-healing to improve the service life of a larger structure in support of its sustainability. For example, bacteria-triggered self-healing represents one of the most popularized concepts in living cementitious materials. Significant research has been conducted on the use of extremophiles and engineered bacteria to imbue materials with the self-sensing capacity needed to trigger these self-healing properties (*10*).

In effect, these smart and living materials participate in an extreme version of the mist-computing model for structural health monitoring. Chemical gradients in the cement are detected, interpreted, and acted upon by using incredibly low-power sensing and response mechanisms without increasing the communication and processing burden on the built environment. This latter point is critical because the electronic sensing and transmission of millimeter-scale chemical gradients across an entire smart city would absolutely overwhelm digital data processing systems. Information at this small scale is also irrelevant to decisions being made for an entire city block, so restricting it to an appropriate level reduces cognitive load on stakeholders such as building managers and government policy makers. This approach is analogous to how the human nervous system coordinates the contraction of many millions of cells through a hierarchy of control structures rather than consciously addressing individual muscle fibers.

Moreover, smart materials can also process data without the assistance of active biological matter. A fascinating example

of computation in material substrates is the recent demonstration of photonic "metamaterials" (internally structured materials) that can solve complex mathematical equations (*11*). These devices exploit diffractive optics to leverage material microstructure into passive, all-optical transformations. A complementary idea is that of "mechanologic," in which a mechanical metamaterial deforms in a pre-programmed way to combine computation and actuation (*12*). Given the rapid advancements in design and fabrication of these extraordinary materials, a next-generation of smart materials may emerge with programmed thermal, optical, and mechanical responses acting as a self-sensing, self-actuating smart façade, or a solar tracker to improve efficiency of photovoltaic energy harvesting (*13*).

With connected sensors being deployed to provide real-time structural health monitoring of critical infrastructure like bridges and dams, residential and commercial buildings, and even temporary structures (*14*), managing the flood of data is more important than ever to prevent smart cities from suffering "analysis paralysis." Smart and living materials may push data processing to previously unimagined extremes, with the literal foundations of the built environment acting as analog-computing substrates. This approach should offer pronounced advantages for sustainability, including increased longevity of infrastructure, reduced waste from the proliferation of electronic sensors, and reduced power consumption from communications. Moreover, the current challenge to implementation of mist-computing infrastructures is tied to their complexity and size, which are too great to manage by centralized systems (*15*). Thus, autonomous smart materials present a compelling tool in achieving robust and sustainable structural health monitoring in smart cities of the future.

REFERENCES

1. IMD, SMART CITY INDEX 2020 - A TOOL FOR ACTION, AN INSTRUMENT FOR BETTER LIVES FOR ALL CITIZENS., 123 (2020).

2. J. J. WOO, TECHNOLOGY AND GOVERNANCE IN SINGAPORE'S SMART NATION INITIATIVE, 1–17 (2018).

3. S. BARKER, M. ROTHMULLER, "THE INTERNET OF THINGS: CONSUMER, INDUSTRIAL & PUBLIC SERVICES 2020-2024" (2020).

4. V. MOUSTAKA, A. VAKALI, L. G. ANTHOPOULOS, ACM COMPUT. SURV. 51 (2019), DOI:10.1145/3239566.

5. C. Z. DONG, F. N. CATBAS, STRUCT. HEAL. MONIT. (2020), DOI:10.1177/1475921720935585.

6. 0A. SALAZAR MIRANDA, Z. FAN, F. DUARTE, C. RATTI, COMPUT. ENVIRON. URBAN SYST. (2020) (AVAILABLE AT HTTP://EES.ELSEVIER.COM).

7. F. DUARTE, P. DESOUZA, HARVARD DATA SCI. REV. (2020), DOI:10.1162/99608F92.B3FC5CC8.

8. J. SANTOS, T. WAUTERS, B. VOLCKAERT, F. DE TURCK, ENTROPY. 20 (2018), DOI:10.3390/E20010004.

9. E. M. DOGO, A. F. SALAMI, C. O. AIGBAVBOA, T. NKONYANA, IN EDGE COMPUTING: FROM HYPE TO REALITY (SPRINGER, 2019), PP. 107–132.

10. P. Q. NGUYEN, N. M. D. COURCHESNE, A. DURAJ-THATTE, P. PRAVESCHOTINUNT, N. S. JOSHI, ADV. MATER. 30, 1 (2018).

11. N. M. ESTAKHRI, B. EDWARDS, N. ENGHETA, SCIENCE 363, 1333 (2019).

12. B. TREML, A. GILLMAN, P. BUSKOHL, R. VAIA, PROC. NATL. ACAD. SCI. U. S. A. 115, 6916 (2018).

13. F. M. HOFFMANN, R. F. MOLZ, J. V. KOTHE, E. O. B. NARA, L. P. C. TEDESCO, RENEW. ENERGY 115, 750 (2018).

14. M. FLAH, I. NUNEZ, W. BEN CHAABENE, M. L. NEHDI, MACHINE LEARNING ALGORITHMS IN CIVIL STRUCTURAL HEALTH MONITORING: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW. ARCH. COMPUT. METHODS ENG. (2020), DOI:10.1007/S11831-020-09471-9.

15. J. S. PREDEN, K. TAMMEMAE, A. JANTSCH, M. LEIER, A. RIID, E. CALIS, THE BENEFITS OF SELF-AWARENESS AND ATTENTION IN FOG AND MIST COMPUTING. COMPUTER (LONG. BEACH. CALIF). 48, 37–45 (2015).

Figure legend
Smart cities are enabled by miniature sensors which continuously gather data and send them over wireless networks to remote computing infrastructure for processing. As the number of connected devices climbs, decentralized edge computing becomes more critical to keep communication traffic to a manageable level. A new paradigm of edge computing with materials as physical computing substrates is now possible thanks to advances in manufacturing and computer-aided design. This scheme makes structural health data available on a 'need-to-know' basis and prevents information overload at the top of the decision-making hierarchy.