

This is a repository copy of *An integrated geophysical and GIS based approach improves estimation of peatland carbon stocks*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/173892/

Version: Accepted Version

## Article:

Carless, D, Kulessa, B, Booth, A orcid.org/0000-0002-8166-9608 et al. (4 more authors) (2021) An integrated geophysical and GIS based approach improves estimation of peatland carbon stocks. Geoderma, 402. 115176. ISSN 0016-7061

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2021.115176

© 2021, Elsevier. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

#### Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

# An integrated geophysical and GIS based approach improves estimation of peatland carbon stocks.

3

4	D. Carless <sup>1,2*</sup> , B	Kulessa <sup>1</sup> , A.	D. Booth <sup>1,3</sup> ,	Y. Drocourt <sup>1,4</sup>	, P. Sinnadurai <sup>5</sup> ,
---	--------------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------------

5	F. Alayne Street-Perrott <sup>1</sup> , P. Jansson	6
---	--	---

<sup>6</sup> <sup>1</sup>College of Science, Swansea University, Singleton Park, Swansea, SA2 8PP, UK

<sup>2\*</sup>Geography Department, University of Exeter, Rennes Drive, Exeter, Devon EX4 4RJ, UK

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Applied Geoscience, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds,

9 Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

<sup>4</sup> ACRI-ST, 260 Route du Pin Montard, BP 234, 06904 Sophia-Antipolis, France

<sup>5</sup> Brecon Beacons National Park Authority, Cambrian Way, Brecon LD3 7HP, UK

<sup>6</sup> Department of Physical Geography, Stockholm University, 106 91 Stockholm, Sweden.

13 \* Corresponding author: D.Carless@Exeter.ac.uk.

### 14 Abstract:

Estimations of peatland carbon stocks often use generalised values for peat thickness and 15 carbon content. Ground penetrating radar (GPR), a rapid technique for field data 16 collection, has been increasingly demonstrated as an appropriate method of mapping peat 17 thickness. Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) data as a method for understanding 18 peatland surface elevation are also becoming more widely available. Reliable mapping 19 and quantification of site-specific carbon stocks (e.g. upland raised bogs) is therefore, 20 21 becoming increasingly feasible, providing a valuable contribution to regional, national 22 and potentially global carbon stock assessments. This is particularly important because raised bogs, such as those found in South Wales are considerable carbon stores. They are, 23 24 however, susceptible to climate warming owing to their southerly location within the UK. Accurate estimates of peatland carbon stocks has broader importance because world-wide 25

peatland carbon stores are significant and threatened by climate change, posing a
substantial challenge not only due to climate feedbacks if this stored carbon is released
into the atmosphere, but also the impact on the other ecosystem services that they
provide.

30

Here, we assess the value of an integrated GPR, LiDAR and Geographic Information System (GIS) approach to improve estimation of regional carbon stocks. We apply the approach to three ombrotrophic raised bogs in South Wales, UK, selected for their conservation value and their topographically-confined raised bog form.

GPR and LiDAR are found to be well suited, respectively, to mapping peat thickness at
bog scale and surface elevation, thus allowing surface and basal topographies to be
evaluated using GIS. In turn, this allows peat volumes to be estimated. For the first time,
we record values between 55,200 m<sup>3</sup> and 163,000 m<sup>3</sup> for the sites considered here.

The greater confidence in these peat volume estimates results from the ability to calibrate 39 the GPR velocity using a depth-to-target calibration with peat cores extracted at locations 40 encompassing the deepest bog area. Peat thickness is mapped at the bog scale with near 41 centimetre precision, improving the robustness of subsequent volume calculations and our 42 43 understanding of the contribution of these small but numerous sites to regional carbon stocks. Our evaluation shows that GPR corresponds well with conventional manual 44 probing but is minimally invasive and therefore less disturbing of sensitive peatland sites, 45 while also offering improved coverage and spatial resolution with less time and cost. 46 47 In combination with measured bulk density and organic carbon contents, these peat volumes allow carbon stocks to be estimated with greater confidence compared to 48

- 49 conventional approaches, having values between  $2,181 \pm 122$  tonnes carbon and 6,305
- $\pm 351$  tonnes carbon at our three sites.
- 51 Keywords: ground-penetrating radar; peat; bog; carbon stock; LiDAR; GIS

#### 52 1. Introduction

Terrestrial carbon stores are considerable. Peatlands in particular, whilst only covering ~3% of the earth's surface, account for approximately one third of all soil carbon storage (Gorham, 1991; Yu et al., 2011). In the UK, peatlands in their various forms (blanket bog, raised bog and fens) account for almost 10% of the land area (approximately three million hectares) and store approximately 3.2 Gt of carbon (Bain et al., 2011). The UK BEIS Emissions Inventory for Peatlands project estimated that there are 90,000 ha of peatlands in Wales (Evans et al., 2017); however, roughly two thirds are thought to be in a degraded state.

60 Emissions from damaged peatlands are of global significance. Peatland landscapes that have been drained or experience fires are estimated to release 1.3 Gt of CO<sub>2</sub> annually, this 61 contributes 10% of greenhouse gas emissions from the land use sector (IUCN, 2017) and 62 constitutes a major part of national greenhouse gas emissions in many countries (Joosten et 63 64 al., 2012). The Kyoto Protocol of 2008; an agreement within the United Nations' (UN) 65 Framework Convention on Climate Change and the 2012 Doha Amendment, committed its 66 parties to internationally binding greenhouse gas emission reduction targets (United Nations, 2012). Accordingly, updated international carbon accounting rules mean that peatland soils, 67 and specifically changes in carbon stocks as a result of activities related to wetland drainage 68 and rewetting, can be voluntarily considered for reporting of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Blain and 69 70 Murdiyarso, 2013).

In addition to emissions, peatland carbon losses can also occur as dissolved organic carbon
and particulate organic carbon. Accurate assessment, including improved measuring,

reporting and verification of the global peat-carbon store is therefore necessary to support
governmental inventories and also for the purpose of informing global climate change
models, including for the prediction of potential positive climate feedbacks from degraded
peatlands (Gallego-Sala et al., n.d.; Gorham, 1991)

77 Sustainable management and restoration of peatlands is one of the most cost-effective ways 78 to mitigate climate change by reducing greenhouse gas emissions and minimizing carbon loss 79 from peat soils (Joosten et al., 2012). In recognition of this, the Welsh Government has prioritised an ambitious programme to ensure that all peatlands supporting semi natural 80 habitats are under active management by 2030 and are aiming for 95% of Wales' peatlands to 81 be in 'good' condition by 2040 (Welsh Government, 2019a, 2019b, 2019c). Peatland 82 83 restoration (involving the many techniques which aim to restore ecohydrological function such as blocking drainage ditches and sphagnum planting) is required in order to ensure the 84 85 future resilience of these habitats and the ecosystem services they provide including; the 86 provision of drinking water, surface water attenuation, carbon sequestration and storage, and the provision of a landscape of recreational and cultural value (Bain et al., 2011; Grand-87 Clement et al., 2013; Joosten and Clarke, 2002). 88

A significant challenge to peatland management and policy development, however, is that 89 90 regional carbon estimates for peatlands are often lacking and can contain inaccuracies due to 91 the inconsistent and wide ranging methodologies employed, as well as the sometimes 92 inappropriate use of published estimates rather than physically measured data (Parry et al., 2012; Parsekian et al., 2012; Petrokofsky et al., 2012; Yu, 2012). For example, over the last 93 94 50 years numerous estimates of UK peatland carbon storage have been published, ranging from around 3 Gt C to 7.8 Gt C (Billett et al., 2010; Bradley et al., 2005; Cannell et al., 1993; 95 96 Lindsay, 2010; Milne and Brown, 1997). These inconsistent figures are largely a result of the different definitions of peat soils and methodologies used across the different regions of the 97

98 UK and the use of generalised estimates for peat thickness, bulk density and carbon content
99 (Charman, 2002; Joosten and Clarke, 2002).

100 Carbon accounting in particular is further hampered by limited data on the key variables required for peatland carbon stock assessments, including fine-spatial scale mapping of 101 102 peatland topography and accurate estimates of peat extent, thickness, peat bulk density and 103 carbon content (Carless et al., 2019; Gatis et al., 2019). Historically, national carbon 104 estimates for peat soils in the UK have been based on sparse field measurements and whilst studies such as Evans et al. (2016) provide data for these peat characteristics and carbon stock 105 values for peat profiles from a Welsh site, it remains that detailed carbon stock assessments 106 of Welsh peatlands are rare. Furthermore, the sites studied by Evans et al., (2016) were 107 108 lowland fen (minerotrophic) sites and the applicability of these values to raised bog (ombrotrophic) sites, as investigated in this study, is limited due to differences in peat 109 properties (e.g. bulk density) and ecohydrological functioning. The 2007 ECOSSE report 110 111 (Smith et al., 2007) provides an estimation of the total carbon stored in peat and organomineral soils across Wales, being almost 0.2 Gt C. This was higher than any previous 112 estimates (e.g. Bradley et al., 2005) due to the inclusion of peat greater than 1 metre in 113 thickness, modifications to bulk density values (predicted using regression equations) and the 114 methods used to calculate areas (soil map units). The inclusion of deeper peats was an 115 important recognition that peat thickness can be extremely variable. Raised bogs (an Annex 1 116 priority habitat listed in the EU Habitats Directive, (European Commission, 2007) for 117 example, often develop in basins and can contain peats 4-10 m thick. Studies that previously 118 119 only considered up to 1 metre peat thickness are therefore likely to have resulted in underestimations in carbon stock calculations (Holden and Connolly, 2011). 120

121 Understanding the carbon storage of organic soils is particularly important for South Wales

as it is home to some of the most climatically marginal (southerly) and most vulnerable

ombrotrophic raised bogs in the UK, which have also suffered from excessive grazing
pressure, fires and industrial pollution in the past. Accurate quantification of the extensive
Welsh peatland carbon store is further required as it may be amongst the first to be affected
by future climate warming (Gallego-Sala et al., 2010).

127

Only a small number of UK sites have been studied with the aim of quantifying carbon 128 129 storage (Charman et al., 2013; Evans et al., 2016; Lindsay, 2010; Loisel et al., 2014; Ostle et 130 al., 2009; Smith et al., 2007; Wellock et al., 2011). In this study, three raised bog sites in 131 South Wales were assessed to (1) assist in alleviating the paucity of UK carbon stock estimates; (2) evaluate the scope of ground penetrating radar (GPR) measurements integrated 132 with geographical information system (GIS) modelling in attaining peat thickness and 133 volume estimates for the purpose of estimating peat carbon stocks; and (3) identify the 134 suitability of this approach to regional upscaling of carbon stock estimates. This was achieved 135 136 through the use of geophysical techniques (GPR) and remote sensing data (Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) Digital Terrain Model (DTM)). These datasets were integrated within 137 a GIS (ArcGIS Pro) to extract peat volumes facilitating carbon stock estimates. 138

139 2. Material and Methods

#### 140

## 2.1. Research site locations

The Brecon Beacons National Park, South Wales, hosts one of the most spectacular upland areas in Britain. The topography is varied with much of the park having an elevation over 300 m a.s.l. The National Park experiences a maritime climate which is locally modified by altitude and topography with a recognised climatic gradient across the park from the west to the east, a distance of ~ 80 km. The average annual rainfall in the western extreme of the park is over 2400 mm, whilst in the east rainfall is only 1500 mm (George, 1990; Pratt-Heaton, 1999). The geology of the park varies from north to south. The northern two thirds of the

148	park is underlain by Devonian Old Red Sandstone. In the southern sector, a thin band of
149	(Dinantian) Carboniferous Limestone runs from west to east, separating the Old Red
150	Sandstone uplands from the southern Namurian Basal Grits. The southern limit of the park is
151	bounded by the northern edge of the South Wales coal measures formation.
152	Three raised bog sites totalling approximately 12 ha within the Brecon Beacons National
153	Park were studied (Figure 1). The study sites were chosen because of their particular
154	conservation value (Natura 2000 designated sites) or allocation for future improvements/
155	restoration (e.g. New LIFE for Welsh raised bogs Project) as identified by the Brecon
156	Beacons National Park Authority (BBNPA) and included 1) Mawnbwll du Mawr, 2) Gwaun
157	Nant Ddu and 3) Waun Ddu bog within the Craig y Cilau National Nature Reserve (Figure
158	1). Each are classified as an ombrotrophic raised bog, which have developed within
159	topographically confined basins and exhibit typical features of lagg, rand and shallow domes.
160	Surface vegetation is dominated by graminoid and Ericeae species with expanses of
161	sphagnum in wetter areas. All sites exhibit some degradation evidenced by areas of bare peat.



162

163 Figure 1. Location of study sites within BBNP, and the location of the park within Wales and UK (inset maps).

164

#### 165 **2.2. Background to methodological approach**

166 Carbon stock estimates are most often achieved by calculating the carbon stored per unit
167 volume of peat. This requires accurate figures for peat spatial extent, peat thickness and
168 carbon density.

169 Peat spatial extent and thickness are most often approximated from aerial images or soil maps

170 (Cannell et al., 1999; Cruickshank et al., 1998; Milne and Brown, 1997), meaning that

171 regional averages for carbon stocks are based on limited physical sampling, or a generic peat

thickness, such as 1 m, is applied (Petrokofsky et al., 2012; Yu, 2012).

173 The most common techniques for the collection of measured peat thickness data include

174 coring, probing and digging trial pits. These point measurements are costly, being both time

and person intensive (Gatis et al., 2019; Jol and Smith, 1995; Proulx-McInnis et al., 2013). 175 Authors have noted that peat thickness data from manual probing (inserting a thin metal rod 176 177 into the peat until resistance is felt) can also be prone to uncertainties leading to considerable over- and underestimation. Uncertainties are explained as caveats for the methods including 178 those associated with the obliquity of the probe and the strength and subjectivity of the probe 179 180 operator in identifying the peat-mineral soil interface. If the mineral substrate beneath the 181 peat is unconsolidated material (e.g. in peatlands formed by the terrestrialisation of a small 182 waterbody), then the probe can penetrate the soft lake sediments (gyttja) and a depth beyond 183 the base of the peat will be recorded. Measurements may also be affected by the presence of obstacles (e.g. buried wood) which prevent the probe reaching the base (Doolittle and Butnor, 184 2009; Jol and Smith, 1995; Parry et al., 2014; Proulx-McInnis et al., 2013; Sass et al., 2010; 185 Worsfold et al., 1986). Furthermore, these methods, which rely on interpolating between 186 limited, manually-measured points may fail to capture sufficiently the fine-scale spatial 187 188 variation in thickness, a result of variable underlying topography. Finally, being invasive methods they are unsuitable for many sensitive peatland sites, particularly if repeated 189 assessments are required (Holden et al., 2002; Lindsay et al., 2014; McClellan et al., 2017; 190 191 Parsekian et al., 2012; Plado et al., 2011).

In the 1980s, identifying the need to improve the speed and accuracy of peatland field survey, 192 193 the Geological Survey of Finland investigated the use of GPR technology. The peat thickness data obtained was found to achieve greater detail than that gained by traditional means 194 (drilling/coring methods) (Hänninen, 1992). Around the same time, Ulriksen (1982), also 195 suggested that peat thickness from GPR were substantially more accurate than those achieved 196 by drilling or probing (Jol and Smith, 1995). Since then, geophysical techniques (e.g. GPR, 2-197 D resistivity and electromagnetic induction) have increasingly been employed in peatland 198 199 studies across Canada, Ireland, Finland, Sweden, Russia, the United Kingdom and the United

States. GPR is particularly effective and numerous peatland investigations have successfully
employed it to gain detailed peat thickness data, as well as a greater understanding of peat
volumes and internal stratigraphy (Doolittle and Butnor, 2009; Jol and Smith, 1995;
McClellan et al., 2017; Parry et al., 2014, 2012; Parsekian et al., 2012; Ryazantsev and
Mironov, 2018; Sass et al., 2010; Warner et al., 1990). Other studies have used GPR to assess
gas accumulation and locate peat pipes (Comas et al., 2005; Holden et al., 2002; Sass et al.,
2010).

GPR systems work by recording the two-way travel-time (TwTT) of electromagnetic (EM) 207 waves. Specifically, the time it takes (in nanoseconds) for a pulse of electromagnetic energy, 208 emitted from a transmitting antenna, to propagate into the subsurface and to be reflected back 209 210 to a receiving antenna from a subsurface interface. Thickness is calculated by converting the measured TwTT to distance, using a known EM wave velocity. The velocity of the EM wave 211 212 is directly dependent on relative dielectric permittivity ( $\varepsilon_r$ ), a geophysical property strongly 213 dependent on water content (Warner et al., 1990). The strength of the electromagnetic (EM) wave reflection depends on the contrast (reduction) in the volumetric moisture content 214 between the peat and the underlying mineral soil. It is also dependent on the concentration of 215 solutes in the pore water. Accordingly, GPR is generally more successful in investigations of 216 ombrogenous peat (e.g. raised bogs and blanket peat) rather than minerogeneous (fen) sites 217 218 because there are less inputs so a lower pH and basic cation content (Ca, Mg, Na, K) of pore water is found (Doolittle and Butnor, 2009; McClellan et al., 2017; Proulx-McInnis et al., 219 220 2013; Warner et al., 1990). Uncertainties in peat thickness achieved via the GPR method are 221 attributable to the accuracy of the EM wave velocity used for the time-depth conversion and to the potential spatial variability in depth-integrated radar velocities. For example, some 222 regions of the peatland might be drier than others and hence would likely have somewhat 223 224 higher radar velocities than the overall wetter areas. Even where the peatland has comparable

wetness, pore waters maybe more concentrated in ionic contents in some regions than others, 225 which would also cause local/regional-scale differences in peat thickness estimates. It is 226 227 therefore recommended that where possible, site specific velocity calibrations are completed through depth-to-target calibration via manual survey or common midpoint survey (CMP) 228 (Comas et al., 2005; Parry et al., 2014; Proulx-McInnis et al., 2013; Rosa et al., 2009). 229 230 Studies which have sought to compare GPR with probing or coring methods have confirmed that the technique produces accurate data sets (less subjective, higher vertical and horizontal 231 resolution, higher data density). GPR surveying is typically rapid and provides a continuous 232 sub-surface profile along the survey transect at a resolution unachievable by traditional 233 methods (Doolittle and Butnor, 2009; Jol and Smith, 1995; McClellan et al., 2017; Parry et 234 235 al., 2014; Parsekian et al., 2012; Proulx-McInnis et al., 2013).

Through geostatistical interpolation (e,g, the process of ordinary kriging) the GPR derived
peat thickness data is gridded (2m x 2m) and subsurface topographies plotted. When
calculated volumes are combined with estimates of peat carbon density, more robust
estimates of total carbon stock can be achieved (Fyfe et al., 2014; Parsekian et al., 2012; Rosa
et al., 2009).

Here we use a combination of GPR and LiDAR data to constrain GIS-based calculations ofcarbon stocks, as detailed in the following sections.

243

244

## 2.3. Peat bog delineation

The lagg (stream) of a raised bog is the transition zone where runoff collects from the
ombrotrophic (rain-fed) bog at its margin with the adjacent mineral soils (Howie and
Meerveld, 2011). In this study, a combination of aerial images and LiDAR DTM data were
analysed in ArcGIS. This allowed identification of the lagg stream at sites Gwaun Nant Ddu

and Craig y Cilau, which were subsequently digitised to create a bounding polygon. At site 249 Mawnbwll du Mawr the hydrology is more complex and the lagg stream was not easy to 250 define from the aerial imagery. The bog perimeter was therefore established by interpreting 251 bog to non-bog vegetation changes in aerial images and confirmed on site. Digitised 252 boundaries were further validated in the field and in interpretation of the base peat reflection 253 254 in the GPR data to ensure that in all cases the area bounded by the polygon and subsequently 255 used for peat thickness and volume extraction, included only peat with a minimum thickness 256 of 0.3 m as required for classification as a peat soil (Joosten and Clarke, 2002; Lindsay, 257 2010).

258

# 259 **2.4. GPR surveying for peat thickness measurements**

Peat thickness data for the three locations were collected by GPR survey. Data were acquired 260 using a 100 MHz MALÅ Rough Terrain Antenna (RTA), an 'in-line' system involving a 261 rugged, flexible cable, within which the transmitter and receiver electronics are separated by 262 2 m (see Figure 2). A single user can tow the cable behind them as they walk along the 263 survey transect. The advantage of the flexible cable system is that good ground contact can be 264 maintained even on rough, vegetated surfaces (Francke, 2012) and the cable slides 265 266 continuously through the vegetation with minimal disturbance to it or the bog surface. Furthermore, continuous, rapid and fine-scale sampling (<1m spacing, depending on walking 267 268 speed) can be collected.



270 Figure 2. Illustration of Malå Rough Terrain Antenna (adapted from Francke, 2012) and its use in the field.

269

Over 5 km of GPR profiles were collected across the three sites. GPR survey transects 271 following the long axis of the bogs were pre-marked with tapes and start and finish points 272 logged in a handheld GPS (Garmin eTrex handheld unit) (Figure 3; Gwaun Nant Ddu and 273 274 Craig y Cilau also having cross-transect surveys for validation). In a GPR survey the 275 antennae are moved along the survey line (transect) and a series of traces (a record of the measured EM wave reflection) are collected at specific points along the line. Successive, 276 277 multiple radar traces are taken at each sampling location which are automatically summed and averaged to produce one composite trace, reducing noise and improving signal 278 coherence. The spacing between traces was 0.5 m (manually triggered) and 16 stacks were 279 used for each trace, providing the best compromise between data quality and acquisition 280 speed for our purposes. A window length of 500 ns was used, giving an expected sampling of 281 282 5-6 m for typical electromagnetic wave velocities of 0.0330-0.0385 m/ns through peat (Comas et al., 2005; Parry et al., 2014; Parsekian et al., 2012; Proulx-McInnis et al., 2013; 283 Rosa et al., 2009; Sass et al., 2010). 284



Figure 3. GPR survey transect orientation and lengths at sites A) Mawnbwll du Mawr (MDM), B) Gwaun Nant Ddu (GND)
and C) Craig y Cilau (CYC).

GPR data processing was undertaken using ReflexW software (Sandeimer, 2013) and was
purposefully limited to application of a time-zero correction, a "dewow" filter and bandpass
frequency filter. This sequence minimised processing artefacts while allowing a reflection to
be identified in all GPR profiles (Figure 5), interpreted as the interface between the peat and

the mineral soil. Although generally prominent, the reflection's signal-to-noise ratio is somewhat degraded in the deepest regions of the bogs; signal attenuation may have been enhanced owing to a basal layer of electrically conductive limnic clay, and in small sections by in-wash of more mineral sediments at the edges of the bogs. Consequently, with the exception of some short sections of transects on Gwaun Nant Ddu and Craig y Cilau, the onset of the basal reflection could be manually picked throughout the data volume, with a typical precision of  $\pm 1.5$  ns (Gusmeroli et al., 2012).

301

302 Peat thickness was also evaluated using a manual probe at 74 locations (Mawnbwll du Mawr = 17, Gwaun Nant Ddu = 26, Craig y Cilau = 31), both to infill small gaps in the radar 303 coverage (e.g., as a result of signal attenuation) and to provide initial calibration of GPR 304 305 velocity estimates for conversion of TwTTs to peat thicknesses. Manual probing was completed following the standard method of inserting rods until resistance is felt. Resistance 306 307 is assumed to be the peat-mineral interface with the depth at which this is encountered being recorded as the peat thickness (Parsekian et al. 2012). The location of each measurement 308 point was recorded with a hand-held GPS. Assuming that the probe had reached the base of 309 310 the peat, comparing the measured thickness to the TwTT in the GPR profiles data implied a preliminary radar velocity of 0.0343 m/ns, later refined following comparison to core data 311 (see next section). An independent estimate of GPR velocity using, e.g., common midpoint 312 survey methods (Huisman et al., 2003) was not possible in this study, given the fixed offset 313 between the transmitter and receiver in the RTA system. 314

315

316

#### 2.5. Peat core selection, sampling and analysis

The thickest peat sections at each site were identified from our radargrams, allowing targetedcore sampling. A master core was extracted from each site for laboratory analysis of bulk

density and carbon content. Using a Livingstone piston corer with a 5 cm diameter, stainless
steel barrel, cores representing the total peat thickness were recovered from Gwaun Nant Ddu
and Craig y Cilau sites. At Mawnbwll du Mawr, however, the core failed to achieve the full
known thickness of peat due to resistant layers which could not be penetrated despite multiple
efforts.

For peat analysis, cores were sampled at 4 cm resolution and bulk density estimated from
subsamples of known volume, dried at 105 °C. Loss-on-ignition was calculated for every
subsample using standard methods (Chambers et al., 2011) to estimate percentage organic
matter content, and calibrated by direct measurement (via elemental analysis) of total organic
carbon of a selection of samples (n=116).

329

330 In addition to providing material for bulk density and carbon analyses, LOI analysis of the core identified the depth at which the peat - mineral soil interface occurred, facilitating 331 improved velocity calibration for the depth conversion of base-peat travel-times identified in 332 the GPR data. The calibrated GPR velocity is  $0.0352 \pm 0.0005$  m/ns based on the two study 333 sites at which the full thickness of peat was achieved, where  $\pm 0.0005$  m/ns is the standard 334 error resulting from our assumption of a 5 cm nominal ambiguity in identifying a sharp 335 336 contact at the transition from organic peat to limnic clay. This represents a  $\sim 2.5\%$  increase 337 over the initial velocity estimated from the probing (0.0343 m/ns) (see section 2.4) and lies squarely within the range of typical GPR velocities for peat (0.0330-0.0385 m/ns) (Proulx-338 McInnis et al., 2013; Rosa et al., 2009; Theimer et al., 1994). This velocity (0.0352 ± 0.0005 339 340 m/ns) was therefore taken as the calibrated ground-truth throughout all subsequent volumetric 341 assessments.

342

#### 343 2.6. Peat thickness mapping and calculation of peat volumes in GIS

The 2m resolution LiDAR composite data from aerial surveys flown in November 2012 were provided free of charge under a non-commercial use licence, by the Geomatics Group (Environment Agency, 2013). Data pre-processed into Digital Terrain Models (DTM) were provided in 1 km<sup>2</sup> tiles with an average vertical accuracy of ±15 cm and average horizontal accuracy of ±40 cm.

Using a three-step workflow in ArcGIS (Figure 4), LiDAR DTM and GPR data were used to 349 350 generate (i) a peat surface topography layer, by extracting relevant surface elevation data (m above sea level) from the DTM data; (ii) a peat basal topography layer, by interpolating peat 351 352 thicknesses (established from our GPR data) to a 2 x 2 m grid using the Kriging Geostatistical Analysis tool in ArcGIS (Dallaire and Garneau, 2008; Goovaerts, 1997; Zeng and Huang, 353 2007) and subtracting the values from the peat surface layer (i) to produce a basal topography 354 layer as elevation (m above sea level); and (iii) a peat volume estimate by subtracting peat 355 basal topography from surface topography using the ArcGIS Cut/Fill tool (Price, 2002). This 356 357 was repeated for each of the three sites.

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

358

**359** Figure 4: 3-step workflow in GIS to achieve peat volume calculations

360

#### **2.7. Estimation of carbon stocks**

362 As figures for the total volume of peat in a peatland site are relatively rare, calculations of363 carbon stocks often ignore this parameter, preferring to calculate a carbon per unit volume

(e.g. per m<sup>3</sup>) and multiplying this by area and thickness (often limited to 1 m). In this study
however, LiDAR and GPR data were combined to model the peat basin volume. It was
therefore possible to calculate carbon stocks from the mass of organic matter within each site.
The mass of organic matter (kg) was established from peat volume (m<sup>3</sup>) and bulk density (g
cm<sup>3</sup>), using equation (1):

369

$$Mass_{om} = V_{i \times \rho_i} \tag{1}$$

Where  $Mass_{om}$  is the mass of organic matter (peat);  $V_i$  is the volume of peat for site i and  $\rho_i$  is the measured bulk density for the site.

372 Carbon stock ( $C_{stock}$ ) (kg C) for each site is then calculated from the product of the organic 373 matter mass ( $Mass_{om}$ ) and the fraction of organic matter that is carbon ( $OM_c$ ) established 374 from the calibrated LOI data, following equation (2):

$$C_{stock} = Mass_{om} \times OM_c \tag{2}$$

376

375

## **2.8.** Explanation of estimation of uncertainties

In order to estimate the uncertainty in the calculation of carbon stock, the method of error propagation for multiplication of measured properties was applied (Bevington and Robinson, 2003). The uncertainties in the measured variables; volume ( $m^3$ ) (calculated using the ± 0.0005 m/ns standard error in depth) and density (g cm<sup>3</sup>) were carried over to determine the uncertainty in the dependent variable; mass (kg).

To establish the effect that the uncertainties in both volume and density have on the calculated mass, the following equation (3) is applied:

385 
$$\delta m = M \times \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta v}{V}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\delta d}{D}\right)^2}$$
(3)

386 Where, *M* is mass; *V* is volume; *D* is density;  $\delta m$  is the uncertainty in mass;  $\delta v$  is the 387 uncertainty (±1 $\sigma$ ) in volume and  $\delta d$  is the uncertainty (±1 $\sigma$ ) in density. The uncertainty in the peat mass  $(\delta m)$  is then carried through and combined with the uncertainty in the

389 measurement of organic carbon in order to present an error estimate for the site specific

390 carbon stock value.

391

#### 392 **3. Results and discussion**

**393 3.1. Geophysical results - peat thickness** 

Basin depth, and therefore peat thickness, was found to vary both within and between sites. A
summary of the maximum and mean depth to the peat-mineral soil interface recorded for
each site are reported in Table 1. The maximum thickness of peat was 5.48 m, recorded at
Gwaun Nant Ddu.

**398** Table 1 Maximum and mean peat thickness recorded, assuming a GPR velocity of 0.0352 m/ns.

Site	Area	Max thickness	Mean thickness
	(ha)	(m)	(m)
Mawnbwll du Mawr	3.0	3.91	1.81
Gwaun Nant Ddu	4.8	5.48	3.41
Craig Y Cilau	3.8	5.39	2.66

<sup>399</sup> 

400	The shallowest mean peat thickness was measured at Mawnbwll du Mawr. Here, the peat
401	surface showed a subtle raised or domed area, as often seen with well-developed
402	ombrotrophic bogs. The raised area was not central however, instead located in the
403	northwestern region of the bog (Figure 7). Analysis of the GPR depth data confirmed that the
404	dome was located above a shallow basal depression where the thickest peat was recorded.
405	Gwaun Nant Ddu demonstrated a more typical raised bog profile, with an obvious and well-
406	defined lagg, rand and dome. The GPR data illustrated that the peat had developed in a
407	topographically confined hollow with the dome located relatively centrally over it. Peat
408	thicknesses were highly variable and some of the thickest of all sites were recorded here.

The GPR data from Craig y Cilau exhibited considerable small-scale variation in basal topography, illustrated by undulations in the basal reflection. A possible explanation for this is debris and boulders from the limestone escarpment which bounds its northern and western edges, falling into the basin prior to peat formation. Even so, a depression was recorded in the basal topography and a distinct dome formed the surface, confirmed by achieving greatest peat thickness measurements in this location.

415

## **3.2. Validation of peat thickness from GPR using peat core data**

At Gwaun Nant Ddu and Craig Y Cilau, cores of 489 cm and 444 cm length were collected, respectively. The measured depth of peat from these two cores were found to be close to the peat depths measured by the GPR (Figure 5) and suggests that the assumption of using the same GPR velocity for both sites is acceptable.

Additionally, a comparison of the GPR data with the loss-on-ignition data from peat core
analysis gave further confidence that GPR is effective at recording the base of peat. At both
these sites the coring had sampled fully the ombrotrophic peat and extended into the

423 underlying mineral layer of the bog. This is evidenced by a significant drop in % organic

424 matter in the LOI data. Accordingly, the depth at which a strong reflection was recorded in

the radargram was shown to correspond well with the depth at which an increase in the

426 mineral content of the peat was seen.

427 We were able to conclude therefore that GPR provides an appropriate method for identifying

428 the base of the peat and the location of the greatest thickness of peat for core extraction.

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 5. Location and depth of peat core plotted against radargram for a) Mawnbwll du Mawr, b) Gwaun Nant Ddu and c)
Craig y Cilau.

Peat thicknesses from manual probing and GPR correlated well with each other (N=74, rvalue = 0.85, p value = <0.001). Notwithstanding, manual probing was found to both overand underestimate peat depths, when compared to GPR (Figure 6), likely due to difficulties identifying the peat-mineral boundary and being subjective to the probe user. Manual probing is also time consuming in achieving large sample sizes (for example to complete sampling at high spatial resolution (0.5 m – 1 m spacing) along multiple 100+ m transects), compared to GPR.

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 6. Comparison of probing depths (red dashes) plotted against GPR peat base depths (blue line) for selected GPR
transects. A) Mawnbwll du Mawr, Line 3, B) Gwaun Nant Ddu Line 2 and C) Craig y Cilau Line 4. Note the different vertical
scales in the panes.

## 442 **3.3.** Peat thickness maps (kriging) and calculation of peat volumes in GIS

Figure 7 shows contour plots of peat thickness at each site, subsequently used to evaluate
volumes (Table 2). The peat volume was calculated by converting GPR TwTT to thickness
using a velocity of 0.0352 ± 0.0005 m/ns. The volume of Gwaun Nant Ddu bog is estimated
at 163,000 (±2285) m<sup>3</sup>, with Mawnbwll du Mawr and Craig y Cilau showing smaller volumes
of 55,000 (±773) m<sup>3</sup> and 101,000 (±1411) m<sup>3</sup>, respectively.

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 7. Example surface elevation contour maps (Upper images) and sub-surface elevation contour map (Lower images)
for sites A) Mawnbwll du Mawr, B) Gwaun Nant Ddu and C) Craig y Cilau. Background image: Google Maps Hybrid.

## **3.4.** Laboratory analysis – bulk density and carbon content

453	Bulk density and total organic carbon are presented in Table 2. Our measured mean bulk
454	densities (~ $0.07 - 0.08 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ) are within the range reported for ombrotrophic peats of
455	northern peatlands (0.07 - 0.15 g cm <sup>-3</sup> , Lindsay, 2010). They also compare well to figures
456	presented for basin peat >1m in Scotland (0.09 g cm <sup>-3</sup> , Chapman et al., 2009; Milne and
457	Brown, 1997) and a Welsh upland site (0.08 g cm <sup>-3</sup> at Plynlimon-Hafren, Smith et al., 2007).
458	Measured values for organic carbon content at our sites (~ $51.0 - 51.5\%$ ) are towards the
459	upper end of typical values for northern peatlands ( $47 \pm 6\%$ , Loisel et al., 2014) and within
460	the range quoted for basin peats in Scotland (48.6 $\pm$ 1.1 % for 0.3 – 1 m peat depths and 60.8
461	$\pm$ 3.4 % for >1 m peat depths, Chapman et al., 2009).

#### 462 **3.5. Carbon stock estimation**

463 Site Gwaun Nant Ddu returned the largest total peat (organic matter) mass with 12,200

- tonnes which, when converted using carbon content, was calculated to represent a total
- 465 carbon stock of 6310 tonnes of carbon. Craig y Cilau was calculated to contain 8,070 tonnes
- 466 of organic matter, equating to a mean carbon stock of 4,110 tonnes of carbon. The smallest
- site of the study, Mawnbwll Du Mawr, had an organic matter mass of 4,250 tonnes,
- 468 equivalent to 2,180 tonnes of carbon.

<sup>Table 2. Summary table of peat analysis for each site – bulk density (g cm<sup>-3</sup>), organic matter (%), carbon content (%) and
carbon density (g C cm<sup>-3</sup>) and calculated peat volume (m<sup>3</sup>) and carbon stocks (t C). Values in parenthesis are standard errors
for all but Carbon Stock which are errors based on propagation of uncertainty (see section 2.8).</sup> 

	Bulk Density g cm <sup>-3</sup>	Mean organic content (%)	Mean carbon content (%)	Mean carbon density (g c cm <sup>-3</sup> )	Mean peat volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Peat mass (t)	Carbon stock (t C)
Mawnbwll Du Mawr	0.077 (±0.002)	97.8 (±0.220)	51.3 (±0.210)	0.04 (±0.001)	55,202	4,250	2180 (±122)
Gwaun Nant Ddu	0.074 (±0.001)	96.5 (±0.347)	51.5 (±0.160)	0.04 (±0.001)	163,249	12,200	6310 (±351)
Craig y Cilau	0.080 (±0.001)	96.1 (±0.302)	51.0 (±0.131)	0.04 (±0.001)	100,811	8,070	4110 (±231)

472

#### 473 **4. Discussion and conclusions**

We have demonstrated that combining peat thickness data from GPR survey and surface 474 elevation data from LiDAR in a GIS can improve characterisation of peatland sites and peat 475 476 volumes and therefore carbon stock estimations in a UK region that may respond particularly rapidly to climate warming. GPR has at least three critical advantages compared to more 477 conventional manual probing in that it is (i) non-invasive and thus avoids disturbing the 478 479 sensitive bog vegetation or peat surface; (ii) rapid so that entire bogs can be surveyed in a 480 fraction of the time required for probing at the bog scale; and (iii) relatively reliable in mapping peat thickness as a continuous lateral reflection across the sites, facilitating peat-481 volume estimates with lower uncertainty than those calculated from lower spatial resolution 482

data interpolated from probing measurements, which are known to both over- andunderestimate peat thickness.

GPR data analysis identified the thickest areas of peat from which cores were subsequently 485 extracted. Laboratory analysis of the peat cores (LOI) allowed accurate identification of the 486 depth of the peat-mineral soil interface, which served to ground-truth the base-peat GPR 487 reflection. The radar propagation velocity through peat was subsequently calibrated, yielding 488 a value of  $0.0352 \pm 0.0005$  m ns<sup>-1</sup> that falls squarely within the cumulative velocity range 489 reported in other extensive, worldwide peatland studies (Comas et al., 2005; Parry et al., 490 2012; Parsekian et al., 2012; Proulx-McInnis et al., 2013; Sass et al., 2010; Theimer et al., 491 1994). Once calibrated, GPR surveying facilitates peat thickness mapping with centimetre-492 scale precision (McClellan et al., 2017; Parry et al., 2014; Theimer et al., 1994). 493

GPR-derived peat thicknesses facilitated kriging of peat basal surfaces in GIS. These basal
surfaces were then combined with a surface topography layer (LiDAR DTM) in ArcGIS to
extract a peat volume, using the Cut/Fill analysis tool. This provided a uniquely detailed
picture of peat basin morphology and volume of peat for these sites, from which we can
calculate carbon content.

Bulk density and organic carbon content analyses were carried out for all three sites reported

here, respectively yielding values of ~ 0.074 - 0.080 g cm<sup>3</sup> and ~ 51.0 - 51.5% that agree

with other published data for UK peatlands (Chapman et al., 2009; Charman et al., 2013;

502 Lindsay, 2010; Loisel et al., 2014; Smith et al., 2007; Wellock et al., 2011).

The overall carbon stock values for our three sites were calculated from the volume estimates and carbon analysis, yielding values of  $6,310 \pm 351$  t C for Gwaun Nant Ddu,  $4,110 \pm 231$  t C for Craig y Cilau and  $2,180 \pm 122$  t C for Mawnbwll du Mawr.

506

507 It is suggested that this novel combination of techniques could facilitate investigations of 508 ombrogenous peatland sites, such as raised bogs or blanket peatlands, previously overlooked but which contain significant stocks of carbon when considered across wider regions. These 509 510 sites are often sensitive and the subject of management plans but demonstrate degraded conditions. It is understood that healthy, actively peat-forming habitats function as carbon 511 sinks, sequestering CO<sub>2</sub> via photosynthesis and due to limited decomposition of organic 512 513 matter, transfer it into the soil carbon pool (Lal, 2008). Functioning peatlands can have a net long-term 'cooling' effect on the climate (Limpens et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2011). Accordingly, 514 515 peatlands are increasingly recognised for their importance in the global carbon cycle and due to becoming ever more threatened ecosystems. Our findings have provided a better 516 understanding of carbon stored in specific sites and their contribution to national carbon 517 518 stocks. This could provide additional knowledge for national management strategies and for safeguarding against future carbon losses to the atmosphere. 519

520 In conclusion, peat thickness, volume and carbon stocks have been modelled to a new level of detail useful for regional planning and management of these sensitive sites. Our new 521 approach could be widely adopted to allow inclusion of raised bogs in regional scale peat 522 523 carbon stock assessments. We recognise that the use of GPR may incur costs for purchase or rental of equipment, but these are outweighed by the potential reduction in costs from savings 524 in time and person hours for detailed surveys. Furthermore, the increasing availability of 1m 525 526 spatial resolution LiDAR data (through the UK Environment Agency National LIDAR Programme), for mapping of peat bogs and free, open-source GIS software mean this 527 methodology can easily be applied to other UK sites. Many European countries also now 528 529 have LiDAR DTMs openly available (e.g. Estonia, Finland, Norway, Sweden). However, in areas where LIDAR data is not available, we suggest that GNSS receivers in conjunction 530 with the GPR survey (i.e. mount a roving GNSS antenna on the radar system and then post-531

process those data relative to a base station), could provide surface elevation data of equally adequate vertical and planimetric precision. GNSS instruments are widely available on a global scale and hence this approach should almost always be feasible. In the rare case that it is not possible then a constant topographic value (e.g. 0 m) could be assigned to the peat surface but it must be borne in mind that this would only be appropriate for peat deposits with a flat surface topography at the survey site scale and will give a less accurate estimate of peat thickness and associated volumes.

539

540

541 Acknowledgements:

542 The authors are grateful to the Brecon Beacons National Park Authority and Natural

543 Resources Wales who granted access to sites. We would also like to thank Professor Siwan

544 Davies, for co-supervision of the PhD from which this work has developed and to those who

545 assisted with fieldwork.

546 This research was funded by KESS (Knowledge Economy Skills Scholarships) which is

supported by the European Social Fund through the Welsh Government.

## 548 **References**

549	Bain, C.G., Bonn, A., Stoneman, R., Chapman, S., Coupar, A., Evans, M., Gearey, B.,
550	Howat, M., Joosten, H., Keenleyside, C., Labadz, J., Lindsay, R., Littlewood, N., Lunt,
551	P., Miller, C.J., Moxey, A., Orr, H., Reed, M., Smith, P., Swales, V., Thompson,
552	D.B.A., Thompson, P.S., Van de Noort, R., Wilson, J.D., Worrall, F., 2011. IUCN UK
553	Commission of Inquiry on Peatlands. IUCN UK Peatland Programme.
554	Bevington, P.R., Robinson, D.K., 2003. Data Reduction and Error Analysis for the Physical
555	Science. McGraw-Hill, New York. Scientific Research Publishing.
556	Billett, M., Charman, D., Clark, J., Evans, C., Evans, M., Ostle, N., Worrall, F., Burden, A.,
557	Dinsmore, K., Jones, T., McNamara, N., Parry, L., Rowson, J., Rose, R., 2010. Carbon
558	balance of UK peatlands: current state of knowledge and future research challenges.
559	Clim. Res. 45, 13–29. https://doi.org/10.3354/cr00903
560	Blain, D., Murdiyarso, D., 2013. Rewetted Organic Soils, in: Leifeld, J., Sanz Sanchez, M.J.
561	(Eds.), 2013 Supplement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas
562	Inventories: Wetlands. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Switzerland, pp. 1-
563	42.
564	Bradley, R.I., Milne, R., Bell, J., Lilly, A., Jordan, C., Higgins, A., 2005. A soil carbon and
565	land use database for the United Kingdom. Soil Use Manag. 21, 363–369.
566	https://doi.org/10.1079/SUM2005351
567	Cannell, M.G.R., Dewar, R.C., Pyatt, D.G., 1993. Conifer plantations on drained peatlands in
568	Britain: A net gain or loss of carbon? Forestry 66, 353–369.
569	https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/66.4.353

- 570 Cannell, M.G.R., Milne, R., Hargreaves, K.J., Brown, T.A.W., Cruickshank, M.M., Bradley,
- 571 R.I., Spencer, T., Hope, D., Billett, M.F., Adger, W.N., Subak, S., 1999. National

572 inventories of terrestrial carbon sources and sinks: The U.K. Experience. Clim. Change

573 42, 505–530. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005425807434

574 Carless, D., Luscombe, D.J., Gatis, N., Anderson, K., Brazier, R.E., 2019. Mapping

575 landscape-scale peatland degradation using airborne lidar and multispectral data.

576 Landsc. Ecol. 34, 1329–1345. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-019-00844-5

577 Chambers, F.M., Beilman, D.W., Yu, Z., 2011. Methods for determining peat humification
578 and for quantifying peat bulk density, organic matter and carbon content for
579 palaeostudies of climate and peatland carbon dynamics. Mires Peat 7, 1–10.

580 Chapman, S.J., Bell, J., Donnelly, D., Lilly, A., 2009. Carbon stocks in Scottish peatlands.

581 Soil Use Manag. 25, 105–112. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-2743.2009.00219.x

- 582 Charman, D.J., 2002. Peatlands and environmental change, Peatlands and environmental
  583 change. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- 584 Charman, D.J., Beilman, D.W., Blaauw, M., Booth, R.K., Brewer, S., Chambers, F.M.,
- 585 Christen, J.A., Gallego-Sala, A., Harrison, S.P., Hughes, P.D.M., Jackson, S.T.,
- 586 Korhola, A., Mauquoy, D., Mitchell, F.J.G., Prentice, I.C., Van Der Linden, M., De
- 587 Vleeschouwer, F., Yu, Z.C., Alm, J., Bauer, I.E., Corish, Y.M.C., Garneau, M., Hohl,
- 588 V., Huang, Y., Karofeld, E., Le Roux, G., Loisel, J., Moschen, R., Nichols, J.E.,
- 589 Nieminen, T.M., MacDonald, G.M., Phadtare, N.R., Rausch, N., Sillasoo, U., Swindles,
- 590 G.T., Tuittila, E.S., Ukonmaanaho, L., Valiranta, M., Van Bellen, S., Van Geel, B., Vitt,
- 591 D.H., Zhao, Y., 2013. Climate-related changes in peatland carbon accumulation during
- the last millennium. Biogeosciences 10, 929–944. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-929-
- 593 2013
- 594 Comas, X., Slater, L., Reeve, A., 2005. Stratigraphic controls on pool formation in a domed
- bog inferred from ground penetrating radar (GPR). J. Hydrol. 315, 40–51.

596

#### https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHYDROL.2005.04.020

- 597 Cruickshank, M., Tomlinson, R., Devine, P., Milne, R., 1998. Carbon in the vegetation and
  598 soils of Northern Ireland. Biol. Environ. 98.
- 599 Dallaire, P.-L., Garneau, M., 2008. The use of a ground-penetrating radar (GPR) to
- 600 characterize peat stratigraphy and estimate the carbon pool in a boreal peatland,
- Eastmain region, James Bay, Québec, Canada. 12th Int. Conf. Gr. Penetrating Radar.
- 602 Doolittle, J., Butnor, J., 2009. Soils, peatlands, and biomonitoring, in: Ground Penetrating
- 603 Radar. Elsevier, pp. 177–202. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53348-7.00006-5
- 604 Environment Agency, 2013. Geomatics Group. Rivers House, Lower Bristol Road, Bath.
- https://doi.org/https://environment.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MapJournal/index.html?appid
   =c6cef6cc642a48838d38e722ea8ccfee#
- 607 European Commission. 2007. Interpretation Manual of European Union Habitats, 2007.
- Evans, C., Artz, R., Moxley, J., Smyth, M.-A., Taylor, E., Archer, N., Burden, A.,
- 609 Williamson, J., Donnelly, D., Thomson, A., Buys, G., Malcolm, H., Wilson, D., Renou-
- 610 Wilson, F., Potts, J., Chris Evans, P., 2017. Implementation of an Emissions Inventory
- 611 for UK Peatlands. Report to the Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy.
- Evans, C., Morrison, R., Burden, A., Williamson, J., Baird, A., Brown, E., Callaghan, N.,
- 613 Chapman, P., Cumming, A., Dean, H., Dixon, S., Dooling, G., Evans, J., Gauci, V.,
- Grayson, R., Haddaway, N., He, Y., Heppel, K., Holden, J., Hughes, S., Kaduk, J.,
- Jones, D., Matthews, R., Menichino, N., Misselbrook, T., Page, S., Pan, G., Peakock,
- 616 M., Rayment, M., Ridley, L., Robinson, I., Rylett, D., Scowen, M., Stanley, K., Worrall,
- 617 F., 2016. Lowland peat systems in England and Wales evaluating greenhouse gas
- fluxes and carbon balances (DEFRA Project SP1210).

- 619 Francke, J., 2012. A review of selected ground penetrating radar applications to mineral
- 620 resource evaluations. J. Appl. Geophys. 81, 29–37.
- 621 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jappgeo.2011.09.020
- 622 Fyfe, R.M., Coombe, R., Davies, H., Parry, L., 2014. The importance of sub-peat carbon
- storage as shown by data from Dartmoor, UK. Soil Use Manag. 30, 23–31.
- 624 https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12091
- Gallego-Sala, A. V., Clark, J.M., House, J.I., Orr, H.G., Prentice, I.C., Smith, P., Farewell, T.,
- 626 Chapman, S.J., 2010. Bioclimatic envelope model of climate change impacts on blanket
- 627 peatland distribution in Great Britain. Clim. Res. 45, 151–162.
- 628 https://doi.org/10.3354/cr00911
- 629 Gallego-Sala, A. V, Charman, D.J., Brewer, S., Page, S.E., Prentice, I.C., Friedlingstein, P.,
- 630 Moreton, S., Amesbury, M.J., Beilman, D.W., Björck, S., Blyakharchuk, T., Bochicchio,
- 631 C., Booth, R.K., Bunbury, J., Camill, P., Carless, D., Chimner, R.A., Clifford, M.,
- 632 Cressey, E., Courtney-Mustaphi, C., De Vleeschouwer, F., De Jong, R., Fialkiewicz-
- 633 Koziel, B., Finkelstein, S.A., Garneau, M., Githumbi, E., Hribjlan, J., Holmquist, J.,
- Hughes, P.D.M., Jones, C., Jones, M.C., Karofeld, E., Klein, E.S., Kokfelt, U., Korhola,
- A., Lacourse, T., Le Roux, G., Lamentowicz, M., Large, D., Lavoie, M., Loisel, J.,
- 636 Mackay, H., Macdonald, G.M., Makila, M., Magnan, G., Marchant, R., Marcisz, K.,
- 637 Cortizas, A.M., Massa, C., Mathijssen, P., Mauquoy, D., Mighall, T., Mitchell, F.J.G.,
- 638 Moss, P., Nichols, J., Oksanen, P.O., Orme, L., Packalen, M.S., Robinson, S., Roland,
- 639 T.P., Sanderson, N.K., Britta, A., Sannel, K., Silva-Sánchez, N., Steinberg, N.,
- 640 Swindles, G.T., Turner, T.E., Uglow, J., Väliranta, M., Van Bellen, S., Van Der Linden,
- 641 M., Van Geel, B., Wang, G., Yu, Z., Zaragoza-Castells, J., Zhao, Y., n.d. Latitudinal
- 642 limits to the predicted increase of the peatland carbon sink with warming
- 643 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0271-1

- Gatis, N., Luscombe, D.J., Carless, D., Parry, L.E., Fyfe, R.M.M., Harrod, T.R., Brazier,
- R.E., Anderson, K., 2019. Mapping upland peat depth using airborne radiometric and
  lidar survey data. Geoderma 335, 78–87.
- 647 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2018.07.041
- 648 George, D., 1990. The Brecon Beacons National Park its Climate and Mountain Weather,
- 649 Cardiff Weather Centre Information Booklet. Met Office. https://doi.org/ISBN 0-86180650 281- 0.
- 651 Goovaerts, P., 1997. Geostatistics for Natural Resources Evaluation, in: Mathematical
- 652 Geology. Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, The University of653 Michigan.
- Gorham, E., 1991. Northern Peatlands : Role in the Carbon Cycle and Probable Responses to
  Climatic Warming. Ecol. Appl. 1, 182–195.
- 656 Grand-Clement, E., Anderson, K., Smith, D., Luscombe, D., Gatis, N., Ross, M., Brazier,
- 657 R.E., 2013. Evaluating ecosystem goods and services after restoration of marginal
- upland peatlands in South-West England. J. Appl. Ecol. 50, 324–334.
- 659 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12039
- Gusmeroli, A., Jansson, P., Pettersson, R., Murray, T., 2012. Twenty years of cold surface
- layer thinning at Storglaciären, sub-Arctic Sweden, 1989-2009. J. Glaciol.
- 662 https://doi.org/10.3189/2012JoG11J018
- Hänninen, P., 1992. Geological Survey of Finland, Bulletin 361 Application of ground
- 664 penetrating radar and radio wave moisture probe techniques to peatland investigations.
- Holden, J., Burt, T.P., Vilas, M., 2002. Application of ground-penetrating radar to the
- identification of subsurface piping in blanket peat. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms 27,
- 667 235–249. https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.316

668	Holden, N.M., Connolly, J., 2011. Estimating the carbon stock of a blanket peat region using
669	a peat depth inference model. Catena 86, 75–85.
670	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2011.02.002
671	Howie, S.A., Meerveld, I.T. Van, 2011. The essential role of the lagg in raised bog function
672	and restoration: A review. Wetlands 31, 613-622. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13157-011-
673	0168-5
674	Huisman, J.A., Hubbard, S.S., Redman, J.D., Annan, A.P., 2003. Measuring soil water
675	content with ground penetrating radar: A review. Vadose Zo. J. 2, 476-491.
676	https://doi.org/10.2113/2.4.476
677	
678	
679	
680	IUCN, 2017. IUCN Issue Brief: Peatlands and Climate Change. IUCN.Org.
681	Jol, H.M., Smith, D.G., 1995. Ground penetrating radar surveys of peatlands for oilfield
682	pipelines in Canada. J. Appl. Geophys. 34, 109–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/0926-
683	9851(95)00018-6
684	Joosten, H., Clarke, D., 2002. Wise Use of Mires and Peatlands - Background and Principles
685	Including Framework for Decision-Making.
686	Joosten, H., Tapio-Biström, ML., Tol, S., 2012. Peatlands - guidance for climate change:
687	mitigation through conservation, rehabilitation and sustainable use, Mitigation of
688	Climate Change in Agriculture (MICCA) Programme series 5.
689	Lal, R., 2008. Sequestration of atmospheric CO2 in global carbon pools. Energy Environ. Sci.
690	1, 86. https://doi.org/10.1039/b809492f
	33

691	Limpens, J., Berendse, F., Blodau, C., Canadell, J.G., Freeman, C., Holden, J., Roulet, N.,
692	Rydin, H., Schaepman-Strub, G., 2008. Peatlands and the carbon cycle: from local
693	processes to global implications-a synthesis, Biogeosciences.
694	Lindsay, R., 2010. Peatbogs and Carbon. A critical synthesis to inform policy development in
695	oceanic peat bog conservation and restoration in the context of climate change. RSPB.
696	Lindsay, R., Birnie, R., Clough, J., 2014. IUCN UK Committee Peatland Programme,
697	Briefing Note 7, Grazing and trampling, IUCN UK Committee Peatland Programme.
698	Loisel, J., Yu, Z., Beilman, D.W., Camill, P., Alm, J., Amesbury, M.J., Anderson, D.,
699	Andersson, S., Bochicchio, C., Barber, K., Belyea, L.R., Bunbury, J., Chambers, F.M.,
700	Charman, D.J., De Vleeschouwer, F., Fiałkiewicz-Kozieł, B., Finkelstein, S.A., Gałka,
701	M., Garneau, M., Hammarlund, D., Hinchcliffe, W., Holmquist, J., Hughes, P., Jones,
702	M.C., Klein, E.S., Kokfelt, U., Korhola, A., Kuhry, P., Lamarre, A., Lamentowicz, M.,
703	Large, D., Lavoie, M., MacDonald, G., Magnan, G., Mäkilä, M., Mallon, G.,
704	Mathijssen, P., Mauquoy, D., McCarroll, J., Moore, T.R., Nichols, J., O'Reilly, B.,
705	Oksanen, P., Packalen, M., Peteet, D., Richard, P.J., Robinson, S., Ronkainen, T.,
706	Rundgren, M., Sannel, A.B.K., Tarnocai, C., Thom, T., Tuittila, ES., Turetsky, M.,
707	Väliranta, M., van der Linden, M., van Geel, B., van Bellen, S., Vitt, D., Zhao, Y., Zhou,
708	W., 2014. A database and synthesis of northern peatland soil properties and Holocene
709	carbon and nitrogen accumulation. The Holocene 24, 1028–1042.
710	https://doi.org/10.1177/0959683614538073
711	McClellan, M., Comas, X., Benscoter, B., Hinkle, R., Sumner, D., 2017. Estimating
712	Belowground Carbon Stocks in Isolated Wetlands of the Northern Everglades
713	Watershed, Central Florida, Using Ground Penetrating Radar and Aerial Imagery. J.
714	Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences 122, 2804–2816. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JG003573

- Milne, R., Brown, T.A., 1997. Carbon in the Vegetation and Soils of Great Britain, Journal of
  Environmental Management.
- 717 Ostle, N.J., Levy, P.E., Evans, C.D., Smith, P., 2009. UK land use and soil carbon
- sequestration. Land use policy. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2009.08.006
- Parry, L.E., Charman, D.J., Noades, J.P.W., 2012. A method for modelling peat depth in
  blanket peatlands. Soil Use Manag. 28, 614–624. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.14752743.2012.00447.x
- Parry, L.E., West, L.J., Holden, J., Chapman, P.J., 2014. Evaluating approaches for
- estimating peat depth. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences 119, 567–576.
- 724 https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JG002411
- 725 Parsekian, A.D., Slater, L., Ntarlagiannis, D., Nolan, J., Sebesteyen, S.D., Kolka, R.K.,
- Hanson, P.J., 2012. Uncertainty in Peat Volume and Soil Carbon Estimated Using
- Ground-Penetrating Radar and Probing. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 76, 1911.
- 728 https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2012.0040
- 729 Petrokofsky, G., Kanamaru, H., Achard, F., Goetz, S.J., Joosten, H., Holmgren, P., Lehtonen,
- A., Menton, M.C., Pullin, A.S., Wattenbach, M., 2012. Comparison of methods for
- 731 measuring and assessing carbon stocks and carbon stock changes in terrestrial carbon
- pools. How do the accuracy and precision of current methods compare? A systematic
- review protocol. Environ. Evid. 1, 6. https://doi.org/10.1186/2047-2382-1-6
- Plado, J., Sibul, I., Mustasaar, M., Jõeleht, A., 2011. Ground-penetrating radar study of the
- Rahivere peat bog, eastern Estonia. Est. J. Earth Sci. 60, 31–42.
- 736 https://doi.org/10.3176/earth.2011.1.03
- 737 Pratt-Heaton, C., 1999. Visitors and Visitor Pressure in the Brecon Beacons National Park
- 738 SCOPE: Tourism and effects of pressure from tourism.

- 739 Price, M., 2002. Deriving Volumes With ArcGIS. ArcUser 52–56.
- 740 https://doi.org/10.1177/004728758502400106
- 741 Proulx-McInnis, S., St-Hilaire, A., Rousseau, A.N., Jutras, S., 2013. A review of ground-
- 742 penetrating radar studies related to peatland stratigraphy with a case study on the
- 743 determination of peat thickness in a northern boreal fen in Quebec, Canada. Prog. Phys.
- 744 Geogr. https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133313501106
- Rosa, E., Larocque, M., Pellerin, S., Gagné, S., Fournier, B., 2009. Determining the number
- of manual measurements required to improve peat thickness estimations by ground
- penetrating radar. Earth Surf. Process. Landforms 34, 377–383.
- 748 https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1741
- 749 Ryazantsev, P., Mironov, V., 2018. Study of peatland internal structure by the Ground
- Penetrating Radar, in: 2018 17th International Conference on Ground Penetrating Radar,
- 751 GPR 2018. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc.
- 752 https://doi.org/10.1109/ICGPR.2018.8441680
- 753 Sandeimer, K., 2013. Reflex-Win version 7.0.3.
- 754 Sass, O., Friedmann, A., Haselwanter, G., Wetzel, K.F., 2010. Investigating thickness and
- internal structure of alpine mires using conventional and geophysical techniques. Catena

756 80, 195–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2009.11.006

- 757 Smith, P., Smith, J., Flynn, H., Killham, K., Rangel-Castro, Ignacio Foereid, B., Aitkenhead,
- 758 M., Chapman, S., Towers, W., Bell, J., Lumsdon, D., Milne, R., Thomson, A.,
- Simmons, I., Skiba, U., Reynolds, B., Evans, C., Frogbrook, Z., Bradley, I., Whitmore,
- A., Falloon, P., 2007. Estimating carbon in organic soils sequestration and emission,
- 761 ECOSSE.
- 762 Theimer, B.D., Nobes, D.C., Warner, B.G., 1994. A study of the geoelectrical properties of

- peatlands and their influence on ground-penetrating radar surveying. Geophys. Prospect.
  42, 179–209.
- 765 Ulriksen, C.P., 1982. Application of Impulse Radar to civil engineering: [Thesis]. Lund
  766 University of Technology.
- 767 Warner, B.G., Nobes, D.C., Theimer, B.D., 1990. An application of ground penetrating radar
- to peat stratigraphy of Ellice Swamp, southwestern Ontario. Can. J. Earth Sci. 27, 932–
  938. https://doi.org/10.1139/e90-096
- 770 Wellock, M.L., Reidy, B., Laperle, C.M., Bolger, T., Kiely, G., 2011. Soil organic carbon
- stocks of afforested peatlands in Ireland. Forestry 84, 441–451.
- https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/cpr046
- Welsh Government, 2019a. Prosperity for all: A climate conscious Wales. A climate changeadaptation plan for Wales.
- Welsh Government, 2019b. Achieving our low carbon pathway to 2030. Welsh GovernmentResponse.
- 777 Welsh Government, 2019c. Decision reports: 2019 [WWW Document]. URL
- https://gov.wales/decision-reports-2019 (accessed 1.24.21).
- 779 Worsfold, R.D., Parashar, S.K., Perrott, T., 1986. Depth profiling of peat deposits with
- 780 impulse radar. Can. Geotech. J. 23, 142–154. https://doi.org/10.1139/t86-024
- Yu, Z., Beilman, D.W., Frolking, S., MacDonald, G.M., Roulet, N.T., Camill, P., Charman,
- 782 D.J., 2011. Peatlands and Their Role in the Global Carbon Cycle. Eos, Trans. Am.
- 783 Geophys. Union 92, 97. https://doi.org/10.1029/2011EO120001
- Yu, Z.C., 2012. Northern peatland carbon stocks and dynamics: a review. Biogeosciences 9,
- 785 4071–4085. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-4071-2012

- Zeng, H., Huang, S., 2007. Research on spatial data interpolation based on Kriging
- 787 interpolation. Eng. Surv. Mapp. 16, 5–8.