



This is a repository copy of *Evolutionarily stable strategies are well studied in periodically fluctuating populations*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/173279/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Best, A. and Ashby, B. (2021) Evolutionarily stable strategies are well studied in periodically fluctuating populations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118 (18). ISSN 0027-8424

<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2102001118>

© 2021 The Authors. This is an author-produced version of a paper subsequently published in *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (PNAS)*. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Evolutionarily stable strategies are well-studied in periodically fluctuating populations

Alex Best, School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S3 7RH, UK

Ben Ashby, Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK

Grunert et al. [1] examine evolutionarily stable strategies (ESSs) of a predator-prey system where the population dynamics either tend to an equilibrium or fluctuate periodically. The authors claim to have “*extended the ESS concept to be applicable for periodically fluctuating ecological systems*”. However, the ESS concept is already well-established in periodically fluctuating populations, and more generally in variable (non-equilibrium) environments.

The groundwork for considering evolution in variable environments was laid by Metz et al. [2], who noted that the fitness of a mutant in a variable environment is given by its dominant Lyapunov exponent. Metz et al. [2] recognised that unlike the relatively simple case of a population at equilibrium (where invasion fitness is equal to the dominant eigenvalue associated with the rare mutant dynamics, and often simply its exponential growth rate), in non-equilibrium scenarios one must consider the dominant Lyapunov exponent to account for variation in growth rate over time. This can be achieved by calculating the Floquet exponents (either analytically or numerically) or through numerically simulating early-time invasion dynamics. An ESS in a fluctuating population is therefore defined as one where, if adopted, all nearby strategies have negative dominant Lyapunov exponents. This early work was expanded on by Ferriere and Gatto [3], among others. In particular, Rand et al. [4] showed the conditions for an ESS to exist when a system has a general attractor, regardless of whether it is stationary, periodic, quasi-periodic, or chaotic.

ESSs, and more generally evolutionary dynamics, in non-equilibrium environments have since been studied extensively across a wide range of topics. Among the many relevant studies in this area are works on predator-prey systems [5,6], host-parasite relationships [7,8], resource competition [9], and reproduction-survival trade-offs [10], as well as several others. Of particular relevance to Grunert et al.’s study, Kisdi & Liu [5] and Geritz et al. [6] examined evolution in predator-prey systems with periodically fluctuating populations, showing that an attracting ESS exists for predator handling-time [5], and that stable coexistence of two predators at an ESS is possible [6].

We note that Grunert et al.’s study is one of the few to derive fully analytic conditions for an ESS in a periodically fluctuating environment, which is a welcome contribution to the extensive literature on evolution in non-equilibrium populations. Alongside the previous studies summarised here, there is a strong foundation for future work in this area.

References

1. Grunert, K., Holden, H., Jakobsen, E.R. and Stenseth, N.C. (2021). Evolutionarily stable strategies in stable and periodically fluctuating populations: The Rosenzweig–MacArthur predator–prey model. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 118:e2017463118.
2. Metz, J.A.J., Nisbet, R.M. and Geritz, S.A.H. (1992). How should we define ‘fitness’ for general ecological scenarios? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 7:198-202.
3. Ferriere, R. and Gatto, M. (1995). Lyapunov exponents and the mathematics of invasion in oscillatory or chaotic populations. *Theor. Pop. Biol.* 48, 126:171.

4. Rand, D.A., Wilson, H.B. and McGlade, J.M. (1994) Dynamics and evolution: Evolutionarily stable attractors, invasion exponents and phenotype dynamics. *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond. B* 343, 261:283.
5. Kisdi, E. and Liu, S. (2006). Evolution of handling time can destroy the coexistence of cycling predators. *J. Evol. Biol.*, 19:49-58.
6. Geritz SA, Kisdi E, Yan P. (2007). Evolutionary branching and long-term coexistence of cycling predators: critical function analysis. *Theor Popul Biol.* 71:424-35.
7. Donnelly, R., Best, A., White, A. and Boots, M. (2013). Seasonality selects for more acutely virulent parasites when virulence is density dependent. *Proc. R Soc. B.* 280:20122464.
8. Ferris, C. and Best, A. (2018). The evolution of host defence to parasitism in fluctuating environments., *J. Theor. Biol.* 440: 58-65.
9. Kremer, C. and Klausmeier, C. (2017). Species packing in eco-evolutionary models of seasonally fluctuating environments. *Ecol. Lett.* 20:1158-1168.
10. White, A., Greenman, J.V., Benton, T.G. and Boots, M. (2006). Evolutionary behaviour in ecological systems with trade-offs and non-equilibrium population dynamics. *Evol. Ecol. Res.* 8:387-398.