

This is a repository copy of *The COVID-19 pandemic: Stay Home policy and exposure to risks of infection among Nigerians*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/173012/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Akeju, DO, Adejoh, SO, Fakunmoju, AJ et al. (4 more authors) (2023) The COVID-19 pandemic: Stay Home policy and exposure to risks of infection among Nigerians. World Medical and Health Policy, 15 (3). ISSN 2153-2028

https://doi.org/10.1002/wmh3.445

© 2021 Policy Studies Organization. This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Akeju, D. O., Adejoh, S. O., Fakunmoju, A. J., Allsop, M. J., Ebenso, B., Tade, T., & Okusanya, B. O. (2021). The COVID-19 pandemic: Stay Home policy and exposure to risks of infection among Nigerians. World Medical and Health Policy, 15 (3), which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1002/wmh3.445. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Table 1: A contingency table showing compliance with Stay Home Policy and exposure to risks by nature of lockdown

Compliance and exposure to risk	Nature o	Total		
	Partial	Complete		
	lockdown	lockdown		
Compliance with stay at home policy				
Proportion that complied fully	217	281 (72.4%)	498 (56.7%)	
Proportion that complied partially	(44.2%)	75 (19.3%)	263 (29.9%	
Proportion that did not complied	188	32 (8.2%)	118 (13.4%	
•	(38.3%)			
	86 (17.5%)			
Total	491	388 (100.0%)	879 (100.0%)	
	(100.0%)		, , , , ,	
χ^2 =70.385; df =2; p<0.05; Likelihood = 71.974	_			
Perception of the effectiveness of staying				
home	440	336 (86.6%)	776 (88.3%	
Effective in curtailing COVID-19	(89.6%)	52 (13.4%)	103 (11.7%	
Not effective in curtailing COVID-19	51 (10.4%)			
Total	491	388 (100.0%)	879 (100.0%	
	(100.0%)			
χ^2 =1.905; df =1; p>0.05; Likelihood = 1.893		<u> </u>		
Movement during lockdown/curfew				
Proportion who went out	439	272 (70.1%)	711 (80.9%	
Proportion who did not go out	(89.4%)	116 (29.9%)	168 (19.1%	
	52 (10.6%)			
Total	(100.0%)	388 (100.0%)	879 (100.0%	
$\chi^2 = 52.254$; df =1; p<0.05; Likelihood = 52.505	(100.0 %)			
Receiving guest during the lockdown/curfew				
Proportion who received guests	178	114 (29.4%)	292 (33.2%	
Proportion who did not receive guests	(36.3%)	274 (70.6%)	587 (66.8%	
Troportion who did not receive guests	313	274 (70.070)	367 (00.670	
	(63.7%)			
Total	491	388 (100.0%)	879 (100.0%	
1000	(100.0%)	200 (10010 /0)	075 (100070	
χ^2 =4.612; df =1; p<0.05; Likelihood = 4.638	1			
Places visited				
Market/shopping	320	185 (68.0%)	505 (71.0%	
Friends and families	(72.9%)	44 (16.2%)	81 (11.4%	
Religious houses	37 (8.4%)	10 (3.7%)	48 (6.8%	
Work	38 (8.7%)	15 (5.5%)	43 (6.0%	
Hospital/clinic	28 (6.4%)	7 (2.6%)	12 (1.7%	
Exercise	5 (1.1%)	11 (4.0%)	17 (2.4%	
Party	6 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (0.7%	
	5 (1.1%)	(100 0 2)	=11 (100 0 0	
Total	(100.0%)	272 (100.0%)	711 (100.0%	
$\chi^2 = 26.969$; df =6; p<0.05; Likelihood = 27.710	(100.0 /0)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Risks Zone				
Visited high risks zone	396	217 (79.8%)	613 (86.2%	
Visited low risk zone	(90.2%)	55 (20.2%)	98 (13.8%	
. 1010-0-10 (1 11011 20110	43 (9.8%)	25 (20.270)	70 (13.070	

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Table 2: A regression analysis of socioeconomic factors influencing compliance to Stay Home \\ \textbf{Policy and movement within risk zone} \end{tabular}$

Model	Unstandardized		Standardized	t	Sig.		
	Coeffic		Coefficients				
	В	Std. Error	Beta				
(Constant)	.469	.022		20.945	.000		
Female*	.209	.033	.210	6.372	.000		
(Constant)	.580	.024		24.344	.000		
Married	037	.034	037	-1.080	.280		
Separated	080	.177	015	454	.650		
Divorced	.134	.188	.024	.712	.477		
Widowed*	.420	.177	.080	2.379	.018		
(Constant)	.566	.017		33.239	.000		
Secondary	.045	.118	.013	.383	.702		
No education	020	.151	005	136	.892		
(Constant)	.519	.020		25.426	.000		
Unemployed*	.178	.043	.140	4.108	.000		
Student	.064	.049	.045	1.306	.192		
Housewife*	.293	.124	.079	2.359	.019		
(Constant)	.505	.023		22.092	.000		
Random Income*	.138	.038	.128	3.649	.000		
Daily Income*	.145	.066	.076	2.199	.028		
No Income	.090	.058	.053	1.539	.124		
Exposure to risk							
(Constant)	.712	.021		33.554	.000		
Female	032	.031	034	-1.019	.309		
(Constant)	.659	.022		29.865	.000		
Married*	.085	.031	.092	2.702	.007		
Separated	034	.163	007	208	.836		
Divorced	230	.175	045	-1.320	.187		
Widowed	034	.163	007	208	.836		
(Constant)	.706	.016		44.948	.000		
Secondary *	261	.109	081	-2.397	.017		
No education	251	.139	061	-1.809	.071		
(Constant)	.763	.019		40.671	.000		
Unemployed*	218	.040	185	-5.464	.000		
Student*	163	.045	122	-3.602	.000		
Housewife	138	.114	040	-1.207	.228		
(Constant)	.747	.021		35.310	.000		
Random Income*	086	.035	086	-2.452	.014		
Daily Income	033	.061	019	540	.589		
No Income*	223	.054	143	-4.138	.000		

^{*} p<.05