

This is a repository copy of *The COVID-19 pandemic: Stay Home policy and exposure to risks of infection among Nigerians*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <a href="https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/173012/">https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/173012/</a>

Version: Accepted Version

## Article:

Akeju, DO, Adejoh, SO, Fakunmoju, AJ et al. (4 more authors) (2023) The COVID-19 pandemic: Stay Home policy and exposure to risks of infection among Nigerians. World Medical and Health Policy, 15 (3). ISSN 1948-4682

https://doi.org/10.1002/wmh3.445

© 2021 Policy Studies Organization. This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Akeju, D. O., Adejoh, S. O., Fakunmoju, A. J., Allsop, M. J., Ebenso, B., Tade, T., & Okusanya, B. O. (2021). The COVID-19 pandemic: Stay Home policy and exposure to risks of infection among Nigerians. World Medical and Health Policy, 15 (3), which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1002/wmh3.445. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

## Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

## **Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Table 1: A contingency table showing compliance with Stay Home Policy and exposure to risks by nature of lockdown

Compliance and exposure to risk	Nature o	Total		
	Partial	Complete		
	lockdown	lockdown		
Compliance with stay at home policy				
Proportion that complied fully	217	281 (72.4%)	498 (56.7%)	
Proportion that complied partially	(44.2%)	75 (19.3%)	263 (29.9%	
Proportion that did not complied	188	32 (8.2%)	118 (13.4%	
•	(38.3%)			
	86 (17.5%)			
Total	491	388 (100.0%)	879 (100.0%)	
	(100.0%)		, , , , ,	
$\chi^2$ =70.385; df =2; p<0.05; Likelihood = 71.974	_			
Perception of the effectiveness of staying				
home	440	336 (86.6%)	776 (88.3%	
Effective in curtailing COVID-19	(89.6%)	52 (13.4%)	103 (11.7%	
Not effective in curtailing COVID-19	51 (10.4%)			
Total	491	388 (100.0%)	879 (100.0%	
	(100.0%)			
$\chi^2$ =1.905; df =1; p>0.05; Likelihood = 1.893		<u> </u>		
Movement during lockdown/curfew				
Proportion who went out	439	272 (70.1%)	711 (80.9%	
Proportion who did not go out	(89.4%)	116 (29.9%)	168 (19.1%	
	52 (10.6%)			
Total	(100.0%)	388 (100.0%)	879 (100.0%	
$\chi^2 = 52.254$ ; df =1; p<0.05; Likelihood = 52.505	(100.0 %)			
Receiving guest during the lockdown/curfew				
Proportion who received guests	178	114 (29.4%)	292 (33.2%	
Proportion who did not receive guests	(36.3%)	274 (70.6%)	587 (66.8%	
Troportion who did not receive guests	313	274 (70.070)	367 (00.670	
	(63.7%)			
Total	491	388 (100.0%)	879 (100.0%	
1000	(100.0%)	200 (10010 /0)	075 (100070	
$\chi^2$ =4.612; df =1; p<0.05; Likelihood = 4.638	1			
Places visited				
Market/shopping	320	185 (68.0%)	505 (71.0%	
Friends and families	(72.9%)	44 (16.2%)	81 (11.4%	
Religious houses	37 (8.4%)	10 (3.7%)	48 (6.8%	
Work	38 (8.7%)	15 (5.5%)	43 (6.0%	
Hospital/clinic	28 (6.4%)	7 (2.6%)	12 (1.7%	
Exercise	5 (1.1%)	11 (4.0%)	17 (2.4%	
Party	6 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (0.7%	
	5 (1.1%)	(100 0 2)	=11 (100 0 0	
Total	(100.0%)	272 (100.0%)	711 (100.0%	
$\chi^2 = 26.969$ ; df =6; p<0.05; Likelihood = 27.710	(100.0 /0)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Risks Zone				
Visited high risks zone	396	217 (79.8%)	613 (86.2%	
Visited low risk zone	(90.2%)	55 (20.2%)	98 (13.8%	
. 1010-0-10 (1 11011 20110	43 (9.8%)	25 (20.270)	70 (13.070	

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Table 2: A regression analysis of socioeconomic factors influencing compliance to Stay Home \\ \textbf{Policy and movement within risk zone} \end{tabular}$ 

Model	Unstandardized		Standardized	t	Sig.		
	Coeffic		Coefficients				
	В	Std. Error	Beta				
(Constant)	.469	.022		20.945	.000		
Female*	.209	.033	.210	6.372	.000		
(Constant)	.580	.024		24.344	.000		
Married	037	.034	037	-1.080	.280		
Separated	080	.177	015	454	.650		
Divorced	.134	.188	.024	.712	.477		
Widowed*	.420	.177	.080	2.379	.018		
(Constant)	.566	.017		33.239	.000		
Secondary	.045	.118	.013	.383	.702		
No education	020	.151	005	136	.892		
(Constant)	.519	.020		25.426	.000		
Unemployed*	.178	.043	.140	4.108	.000		
Student	.064	.049	.045	1.306	.192		
Housewife*	.293	.124	.079	2.359	.019		
(Constant)	.505	.023		22.092	.000		
Random Income*	.138	.038	.128	3.649	.000		
Daily Income*	.145	.066	.076	2.199	.028		
No Income	.090	.058	.053	1.539	.124		
Exposure to risk							
(Constant)	.712	.021		33.554	.000		
Female	032	.031	034	-1.019	.309		
(Constant)	.659	.022		29.865	.000		
Married*	.085	.031	.092	2.702	.007		
Separated	034	.163	007	208	.836		
Divorced	230	.175	045	-1.320	.187		
Widowed	034	.163	007	208	.836		
(Constant)	.706	.016		44.948	.000		
Secondary *	261	.109	081	-2.397	.017		
No education	251	.139	061	-1.809	.071		
(Constant)	.763	.019		40.671	.000		
Unemployed*	218	.040	185	-5.464	.000		
Student*	163	.045	122	-3.602	.000		
Housewife	138	.114	040	-1.207	.228		
(Constant)	.747	.021		35.310	.000		
Random Income*	086	.035	086	-2.452	.014		
Daily Income	033	.061	019	540	.589		
No Income*	223	.054	143	-4.138	.000		

<sup>\*</sup> p<.05