

This is a repository copy of The history of life at hydrothermal vents.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/172140/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Georgieva, MN, Little, CTS orcid.org/0000-0002-1917-4460, Maslennikov, VV et al. (3 more authors) (2021) The history of life at hydrothermal vents. Earth-Science Reviews, 217. 103602. ISSN 0012-8252

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2021.103602

© 2021, Elsevier. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

The history of life at hydrothermal vents

- 2
- Magdalena N. Georgieva¹, Crispin T. S. Little^{1,2}, Valeriy V. Maslennikov³, Adrian G. Glover¹,
- ⁴ Nuriya R. Ayupova³, Richard J. Herrington⁴
- 5
- ⁶ ¹Life Sciences Department, Natural History Museum, London, United Kingdom
- ⁷ ²School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom
- ⁸ ³South Urals Federal Research Center of Mineralogy and Geoecology, Urals Branch, Russian
- 9 Academy of Sciences, Miass, Russia
- ¹⁰ ⁴Earth Sciences Department, Natural History Museum, London, United Kingdom
- 11

12 Correspondence

- 13 Magdalena N. Georgieva
- 14 Email: magdalena.n.georgieva@gmail.com
- Address: Life Sciences Department, Natural History Museum, London, United Kingdom
- 16

17 ORCIDs

- 18 MNG, 0000-0002-1129-0571
- ¹⁹ CTSL, 0000-0002-1917-4460
- 20 VVM, 0000-0002-1999-2324
- 21 AGG, 0000-0002-9489-074X
- 22 NRA, 0000-0003-0792-1876
- 23 RJH, 0000-0003-1576-8242

24

- 25 Keywords
- ²⁶ evolution; chemosynthesis; deep sea; pyrite preservation; cold seep; volcanogenic massive sulfide

28 Abstract

Hydrothermal vents are among the most fascinating environments that exist within the modern 29 oceans, being home to highly productive communities of specially-adapted fauna, supported by 30 chemical energy emanating from the Earth's subsurface. As hydrothermal vents have been a feature 31 our planet since the Hadean, their history is intricately weaved into that of life on Earth. Despite an 32 overall scant fossil record due to the improbabilities of preservation of vent deposits and organisms, 33 recent fossil findings from ancient vent environments, accompanied by molecular data as well as 34 fossils from ecologically-similar environments, have yielded invaluable new insights into the history of 35 life at hydrothermal vents. Fossils from hydrothermal vents are among the earliest contenders for direct 36 evidence of life on Earth, while a range of additional fossil finds indicate that vent habitats were readily 37 exploited by microbes during the Precambrian. The first metazoans possibly appeared within vents 38 during the Cambrian, and by the Ordovician-Silurian, hydrothermal vents in the deep ocean were 39 colonised by mollusc, brachiopod and tubeworm taxa whose large abundances and sizes suggest 40 these early animals were well-adapted to this setting. A transition in vent community composition 41 occurred during the Mesozoic, as modern vent faunas began to occupy these environments and 42 replace Paleozoic taxa. Molecular evidence indicates that many additional taxa radiated within vents 43 during the Cenozoic, demonstrating that throughout Earth history, organisms were repeatedly able to 44 overcome the challenges of adapting to the harsh conditions at vents to exploit their productivity. 45 Targeting ancient vent deposits that have undergone low degrees of diagenetic or metamorphic 46 change during mining-related exposure has great potential to provide further insights into the vent 47 fossil record and fill existing gaps in knowledge. 48

49

50 1 Introduction

Hydrothermal vents are one of the earliest types of environment to have existed on Earth, having been
a feature of our planet and the world oceans since the Hadean, 4.6-4.0 billion years ago (Ga) (Russell
and Hall, 1997; Martin et al., 2008). They are characterized by the ejection of hot, mineral- and
chemical-rich fluids from the seafloor, typically at bathyal depths. As well as being important geological

structures that concentrate minerals of economic significance, they support remarkable biological 55 communities with rare and endemic species specially adapted to the conditions that vents present. 56 Vent environments are intimately connected to the history of life, as they are deemed a highly probable 57 setting for its origination (Reysenbach and Cady, 2001; Martin et al., 2008; Weiss et al., 2016), and 58 have a fossil history that possibly extends to the first direct evidence of life on Earth (Dodd et al., 2017), 59 demonstrating their importance as biological habitats throughout Earth history (Little et al., 1998). 60 Since the fossil record of hydrothermal vents was last reviewed (Little et al., 1998; Campbell, 2006), 61 numerous new fossil finds have been discovered, particularly from the Ural Mountains (Maslennikov 62 et al., 2016, 2017; Ayupova et al., 2017), while molecular phylogenetics has illuminated the 63 evolutionary histories of vent fauna absent from the fossil record. This review sets out to provide an 64 overview of the history of life within marine vent environments that weaves together data from diverse 65 sources, to document the role of hydrothermal vents in shaping biotic evolution on our planet. 66

67

68 1.1 Geology and chemistry of hydrothermal vents

Hydrothermal vents on the modern deep-seafloor were first discovered by deep towed cameras in 69 1976 and subsequently visited for the first time by submersible in 1977 (Lonsdale, 1977; Corliss et al., 70 1979), after having been predicted to exist through global heat budget calculations (Lalou, 1991). 71 While the vents themselves were expected, that they would be populated by lush biological 72 communities was not anticipated. The physico-chemical conditions that vents provide are central to 73 their ability to sustain highly productive ecosystems. Hydrothermal vents can develop wherever a heat 74 source comes into close contact with a crustal fluid system, resulting in the convective flow of fluid to 75 the seafloor. The term 'hydrothermal vents' is largely applied to marine hydrothermal systems, which 76 are the focus of this review, however analogous systems can also form in freshwater bodies (such as 77 Lake Baikal) or in the terrestrial realm, where they are known as hot springs. In the marine 78 environment, hydrothermal vents are predominantly associated with the deep ocean, where they arise 79 in relation to seafloor extension at a range of tectonic sites (Fig. 1A). However, hydrothermal vents 80 are also commonly found in association with active volcanoes, seamounts, and continental rifts, and 81

can thus occur at almost any depth. The majority of known hydrothermal vents occur along the axis
of mid-ocean ridges (65%), but vents are also common along volcanic arc (12%) as well as within
back-arc basins (22%) (Fig. 1A) (Hannington et al., 2011). Within extensional settings, spreading of
the seafloor results in the upwelling of magma below the crust, as well in cracks and fissures as
oceanic plates are stretched. This increased porosity enables seawater to percolate deep into oceanic
crust, where it is heated, reacts with rocks in the subsurface, and is subsequently convected upwards
towards the seafloor, erupting as a hydrothermal vent.

89

By the time hydrothermal fluids reach the seafloor, they can have temperatures of around 350-407°C 90 (Koschinsky et al., 2008), have interacted with rocks in the sub-seafloor, and represent near-neutral, 91 complex mixtures laden with chemically-reduced dissolved minerals (typically iron, zinc and copper 92 sulfides, silica, anhydrite, barite) and gases (hydrogen sulfide, hydrogen, methane). The interaction of 93 escaping hydrothermal fluids with cool seawater at the seabed usually results in mineral precipitation, 94 producing characteristic chimney structures (Fig. 2A, C) that exhibit zonation relating to the conditions 95 under which various mineral phases precipitate, particularly temperature. However, the morphology 96 of hydrothermal vent deposits can be highly variable depending on the rate of seafloor spreading, fluid 97 flow dynamics, and internal plumbing conditions and duration of venting. Therefore, hydrothermal vent 98 precipitates can also manifest as non-chimney structures, such as complex sulfide mounds (Van 99 Dover, 2000). 100



Figure 1. Locations of hydrothermal vents today (confirmed and inferred) categorised by type. Source: InterRidge Vent Database v.
 3.3.

105

102

Hydrothermal venting may also occur through sediments, whereby vent fluids mix with seawater below 106 the seabed, sometimes resulting in vent fluids rich in hydrocarbons and the deposition of minerals at 107 depth within the sediment (Von Damm et al., 1985). In addition, sedimentary-chemical deposits 108 variously termed metalliferous mudstones, exhalites, iron formations, jaspers (hematitic chert), 109 gossanites, or hydrothermal mudstones, form at the peripheries of hydrothermal vents as a result of 110 hydrothermal plume fallout, or the oxidation of existing chimney structures (Haymon and Kastner, 111 1981; Gurvich, 2006). Some of these are direct precipitates from low temperature, iron-rich, but 112 sulfide-poor vent fluids. These deposits can be layered, form small domes, and/or small chimney 113 structures, especially where there has been some associated silica precipitation (Sun et al., 2015). 114

115

Because of the action of hydrothermal vents to concentrate and precipitate minerals of economic importance such as copper, lead, silver, gold, and zinc (Galley et al., 2007), they are of interest for mineral exploitation. Hydrothermal vent deposits on the modern seafloor are also referred to as seafloor massive sulfides (SMS) especially in relation to mineral extraction activities (Hannington et al., 2011). The extraction of SMS from the modern seafloor is largely still in its infancy due to the challenges of mining operations at depths of over 2,000 m below sea level, and compared to ancient vent deposits, appear to contain much smaller mineral reserves (Petersen et al., 2016). Research into
 where large SMS reserves may be forming is the subject of intense scientific investigation (German et
 al., 2016).

125

1.2 Biology of modern hydrothermal vent environments

The highly unusual fauna that astounded the first explorers of deep-sea hydrothermal vents in 1977 127 prompted the establishment of a completely new branch of deep-sea biology. The deep sea is 128 generally a resource-poor environment sustained by the continuous sinking of nutrients from surface 129 waters, resulting in low-productivity but diverse benthic communities dominated by deposit- and filter-130 feeders. In contrast, hydrothermal vent communities are comprised of generally high abundances of 131 few animal species which are sustained by chemosynthesis, which is the harnessing of energy through 132 the use of reduced chemical compounds to fix carbon. Chemosynthesis at deep-sea vents is a process 133 carried out by microbes capable of chemolithotrophy, of which bacteria of the phyla Proteobacteria 134 and Campylobacterota have been shown to be particularly important (Dubilier et al., 2008; Sogin et 135 al., 2020). These bacteria use the reduced chemical species that are abundant in vent fluids, such as 136 hydrogen sulfide, as electron donors to convert carbon dioxide into organic compounds. The dominant 137 metazoans found within modern vent environments form symbiotic associations with 138 chemolithotrophic bacteria, which may be incorporated into animal tissues (endosymbiosis) or 139 attached to animal surfaces (ectosymbiosis). The establishment of such symbioses is deemed one of 140 the most important adaptations that enables metazoans to colonise hydrothermal vents, with these 141 intimate associations being crucial to the ability of vent-specialist taxa to sustain high abundances 142 within vent environments. 143

144

Annelid tubeworms of the family Siboglinidae, bathymodiolin mussels, vesicomyid clams, provannid gastropods, alvinocaridid shrimp, eolepadid barnacles and *Kiwa* anomuran crabs (Fig. 2A-C) are among the most prominent occupants of present-day vent environments (Desbruyères et al., 2006), while a host of heterotrophic taxa are usually found living among vent specialists. Some of the animals which are highly successful at vents can also be found within other deep-sea chemosynthetic
environments such as cold seeps and organic falls, and are deemed to have complex evolutionary
trajectories that likely involve several chemosynthetic habitat types (Hilário et al., 2011; Smith et al.,
2015; Kiel, 2016).

153



Figure 2. Modern and ancient vent fauna, and its preservation. **A**, siboglinid tubeworms (*Ridgeia piscesae*) at the Magic Mountain vent site, Explorer Ridge, NE Pacific. Image credit: NOAA. **B**, bathymodiolin mussels and alvinocaridid shrimps, Mid-Ocean Ridge hydrothermal vents. Image credit: MARUM. **C**, eolepadid barnacles at the Kawio Barat volcano vent site. Image credit: NOAA Okeanos Explorer. **D**, the lingulate brachiopod *Pyrodiscus lorrainae* from the Ordovician-Silurian Yaman Kasy deposit, scale bar is 20 mm. **E**, tubeworm fossil from the early Jurassic Figueroa deposit, scale bar is 1 mm. **F**, abyssochrysoid gastropod from the Upper Cretaceous Kambia deposit (Troodos ophiolite), Cyprus, scale bar is 1 mm. **G**, portion of a transverse section through the wall of a mineralised

Alvinella tube preserved by pyrite and silica, scale bar is 2 mm. H, transverse section of a mineralised *Ridgeia piscesae* tube preserved
 by pyrite, scale bar is 250 µm. I, filamentous microbes preserved by pyrite within the tube wall of a mineralised *Alvinella* tube, scale
 bar is 10 µm. Preparation: F, secondary electron scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of specimen extracted from rock matrix,
 G-I, backscatter SEM image of polished block preparations. Abbreviations: py, pyrite; si, silica.

165

166

2 Long-term preservation of hydrothermal vent deposits and fauna

Ancient hydrothermal vent deposits are distributed throughout the world's continents (Galley et al., 2007), but so far vent fossils (Fig. 2D-F) have been uncovered in only a subset of these (Fig. 3). For vent fossils to persist over geological time in a recognisable state, they must be incorporated into a deposit that escapes substantial metamorphic recrystallisation or destruction by subduction. Understanding the intricacies of vent deposit preservation provides important insights into the biases of the vent fossil record, as well as into where new fossil vent discoveries are most likely.

173



174

Figure 3. Ancient fossiliferous hydrothermal vent deposits categorised according to deposit age, box shows location of Fig. 4A. 175 Labelled ancient vent deposits are as in Table 1: 1, Barlo, Philippines; 2, Azema, New Caledonia; 3, Madneuli, Georgia. 4, Lahanos, 176 Killik, Çayeli, Kisilkaya, Turkey; 5, Kapedhes, Kinousa, Kambia, Memi, Sha, Peristerka, Cyprus; 6, Bayda, Oman; 7, Zengővárkony, 177 Hungary; 8, Coast Range Ophiolite, USA; 9, OPD Core 129-801C-4R, W. Pacific; 10, Figueroa, USA; 11, Tynagh, Ireland; 12, 178 Ballynoe, Ireland; 13, Red Dog, USA; 14, Canning Basin, Australia; 15, Nikolaevskoe, Kazakhstan; 16, Oktyabrskoe, Russia; 17, 179 Barsuchiy Log, Russia; 18, Gaiskoe, Russia; 19, Alexandrinka, Russia; 20, Molodezhnoe, Russia; 21, Priorskoe, Kazakhstan; 22, 180 Safyanovka, Russia; 23, Uzelga, Russia; 24, Talgan, Russia; 25, Babaryk, Russia; 26, XIX Parts'ezd, Russia; 27, Uchaly, Russia; 28, 181 182 Sultanovka, Russia; 29, Sibay, Russia; 30, Buribay, Russia; 31, Yubileynoe, Russia; 32, Novo-Shemur, Russia; 33, Shemur, Russia; 34, Komsomol'skoe, Russia; 35, Blyava, Russia; 36, Dergamysh, Russia; 37, Krasnogvardeyskoe, Russia; 38, Ljeviha, Russia; 39,
Valentorka, Russia; 40, Yaman Kasy, Russia; 41, Thalanga, Australia; 42, Løkken, Norway; 43, Tally Pond, Canada; 44, Niutitang
Formation, China; 45, Gaobanhe, China; 46, Lady Loretta, Mt. Isa, McArthur River, Australia; 47, Jerome, USA; 48, Sulphur Springs,
Australia; 49, Nuvvuagittuq belt, Canada.

187

Seafloor hydrothermal venting is one of the most important ore-forming processes on the Earth (Hannington et al., 2005). Ancient vent deposits contain mineral reserves that greatly exceed those calculated for SMS (Franklin et al., 2005), and thus have been mined for millennia (Laznicka, 2010). Ore deposits that formed in relation to ancient hydrothermal venting environments include both volcanic-associated massive sulfide (VMS) deposits and sedimentary-exhalative (SEDEX) deposits. There are recorded examples of fossil vent communities associated with both deposit types (Fig. 3; Table 1).

195

The geo-tectonic settings for SEDEX deposits are generally within rifted environments in continental 196 settings, and only in rare cases is seafloor exhalation both demonstrated and preserved in such 197 deposits (Leach et al., 2005). Many SEDEX deposits formed in restricted basins, often in highly 198 reduced sedimentary settings where seafloor conditions were likely to be unfavourable for eukaryotic 199 life (Leach et al., 2005). In contrast, as Franklin et al. (1981) pointed out, almost every tectonic setting 200 with submarine volcanic rocks has the potential to host VMS deposits and hence there is a much 201 broader range of ancient submarine settings where vent communities could develop in open seawater. 202 There is a spectrum of VMS deposit types including some that have largely formed by sulfide 203 replacement processes subsea-floor, whilst others have sulfides precipitated at or just below the sea 204 floor and thus associated with direct seafloor venting over the life of the hydrothermal system (Doyle 205 and Allen, 2003). Modern submarine oceanic settings where VMS deposits form include crustal 206 spreading at mid ocean ridges, ocean hot spots and volcanic arcs (Hannington et al., 2005). Even so, 207 not all modern systems have associated mega- or macro- vent faunal communities, particularly where 208 unfavourable seafloor conditions are indicated or where mineral formation is largely by replacement in 209 subseafloor sediments and volcanic rocks. 210

In the geological record, preservation of VMS deposits is largely restricted to deposits that formed in 212 collisional environments during periods of extension and rifting (Franklin et al., 2005). Such 213 environments, largely associated with supra-subduction settings, are the most likely seafloor 214 sequences to be preserved in the ancient geological record. Mid-ocean ridge spreading zones, the 215 most common site for modern hydrothermal vents, will become largely destroyed as seafloor crust is 216 subducted as oceans close again by convergence. The preserved geological record of VMS deposits 217 is therefore episodic, but includes important settings such as ophiolites as well as both oceanic and 218 continental arc systems, that become preserved through accretion or obduction (Huston et al., 2010). 219 Because preservation of VMS deposits is likely linked to orogenesis, many of the host sequences are 220 as a result metamorphosed, often above greenschist facies, where primary seafloor features will be 221 modified by dynamic recrystallisation. Systems where exceptional preservation is recorded are 222 normally characterised by the preservation of textures within sulfides that record the submarine setting 223 and show no evidence of overprinting (Herrington et al., 2005b). The exceptional case of Yaman Kasy, 224 the best studied example in Ordovician-Silurian rocks of the Urals, yields key features to be expected 225 in a well-preserved ancient vent system, including the sub-seafloor feeder stockwork system, massive 226 sulfide mound, vent chimney/conduit debris, including chimney fragments and reworked interlayered 227 sulfide, and oxide degraded mound sediments (Maslennikov, 1991; Herrington et al., 1998). 228

229

Devonian systems in the same tectonic domain of the southern Urals also show exceptional preservation resulting from the atypical evolution of the orogen (Brown et al., 2011). Comparative deposits in other tectonic units of the middle Urals are more highly deformed and to date have yielded no primary sulfide textures or fossils (Herrington et al., 2005b). Additionally, even in terranes where deposits have not experienced tectonic and metamorphic overprint, seafloor processes including halmyrolysis (the early diagenesis, modification, or decomposition of sediments on the sea floor) and diagenesis may have resulted in textural destruction of early features (Herrington et al., 2005c).

211

Fossiliferous deposits are recorded in a range of orogenic belts including the Urals (Paleozoic),
Franciscan and Tethys (Mesozoic) and a number of more recent belts (Little et al., 1998). It follows
that all VMS deposits that still preserve structures and textures indicative of seafloor processes have
the potential to yield fossils. However, fossil preservation (taphonomy) plays a key role and the
absence of fossils in a VMS deposit may not mean that vent biota was not living at that site while it
was hydrothermally active. Overprinting geological processes will then serve to destroy any remaining
evidence.

245

246

3 Preservation of biogenic structures within modern vent environments

Understanding how organisms are preserved at hydrothermal vents is central to interpretations of the 247 fossil record of these environments, as well as providing remarkable insights into the discrepancies of 248 fossilisation processes in general. The mineralisation of biogenic structures by minerals typical of vent 249 settings constitutes a crucial first step in the formation of a vent fossil record. The precipitation of 250 minerals from vent fluids that results in chimney formation also occurs on biological structures, and 251 results in their mineralisation (the impregnation and/or replication of biological structures by typical 252 vent minerals), a process which is aided by the general proximity of vent fauna to zones of vent fluid 253 escape. Mineralisation of vent fauna can happen very rapidly, definitely within a year (Georgieva et al., 254 2015) and potentially within two weeks (Pradillon et al., 2009). Mineralised remains of vent fauna are 255 readily found within modern vent sites and are essentially fossils, which can also be generated 256 experimentally (Little, 2009). 257

258

3.1 Insights from the mineralisation of annelid dwelling tubes at high temperature vents

Fossilisation at hydrothermal vents is especially well documented for organic dwelling tubes produced
by annelids in the families Alvinellidae and Siboglinidae (Fig. 2G-I) (Cook and Stakes, 1995; Maginn
et al., 2002; Peng et al., 2008; Georgieva et al., 2015), but is not well understood for organisms which
construct calcareous shells and tubes, such as molluscs and serpulid annelids. Organic annelid tubes
are mineralised primarily by pyrite and silica within deep-sea hydrothermal vent environments. The

tubes of the vent siboglinid worm *Ridgeia piscesae*, which are originally comprised of a chitin-protein 265 complex, are replaced primarily by pyrite following mineralisation (Fig. 2G) (Cook and Stakes, 1995). 266 This mineralisation may be stimulated by microbes present within the tube wall (Peng et al., 2008, 267 2009). In the case of the multi-layered organic tubes produced by annelids of the genus Alvinella, 268 mineralisation templates the surfaces of the organic tube layers (Zbinden et al., 2001; Maginn et al., 269 2002), resulting in a mineral tube that is also comprised of many concentric layers of iron sulfide 270 minerals, predominantly pyrite (Fig. 2H) (Georgieva et al., 2015). Mineralisation of Alvinella tubes can 271 result in variable preservation given the same starting structure (Georgieva et al., 2015), and can also 272 be promoted by the presence of microbes within the tube wall (Maginn et al., 2002). 273

274

Studies of *Alvinella* tube fossilisation at hydrothermal vents have also revealed that preservation in this setting can be exquisitely fine-scale, with structures such as microbial cells, filaments, protein fibres and extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) also fossilised in remarkable detail by pyrite and silica (Fig. 2I) (Georgieva et al., 2015). The fine pyrite and/or silica templating that can occur at vents can also act to preserve details of fossil ornamentation, such as ridges on the surfaces of tube fossils, growth lines on gastropod and bivalve shells (Little et al., 2004a), or organic fibres that comprised the original walls of tube fossils (Georgieva et al., 2017).

282

283

3.2 Preservation within low temperature vent environments

At low temperature vent sites a proportion of the volume of iron oxyhydroxide precipitate is formed by 284 benthic Fe-oxidising bacteria, principally belonging to the Zetaproteobacteria (Emerson et al., 2007; 285 Davis et al., 2009; Chan et al., 2011, 2016a; McAllister et al., 2019). The best known of this group is 286 Mariprofundus ferrooxydans, which has a bean-shaped cell that secretes very distinctive stalks, 0.6 287 to 2.2 µm wide and very often twisted, of organic-encased ferrihydrite, which precipitates on an 288 organic template as a waste product from its metabolic activity. These stalks can then serve as a 289 substrate for further iron oxyhydroxide precipitation, increasing the overall Fe/C ratio of the aging 290 stalks (Chan et al., 2011). The stalks typically occur in parallel, a result of coordinated growth of cells 291

following a chemical gradient (Chan et al., 2016b). In addition to *M. ferrooxydans*, other 292 Zetaproteobacteria are present at low temperature vent sites, forming different structures. These 293 include unbranching cylindrical ferrihydrite-coated sheaths ca. 1 µm in diameter and hundreds of 294 microns long (Fleming et al., 2013) and Y-shaped ferrihydrite-coated tubes ca. 2 to 4 µm in diameter 295 and ca. 5 to 50 µm long (Emerson et al., 2007; Chan et al., 2016b). Zetaproteobacteria co-occur with 296 other microorganisms at low-temperature vents to collectively form mat-like structures on the seafloor 297 (e.g. Chan et al., 2016b; Johannessen et al., 2017; Vander Roost et al., 2017, 2018) that are partially 298 organic and partially mineralogical. However, the main framework of these mats is formed by the 299 Zetaproteobacteria. Whilst the micron-scale filaments formed by Zetaproteobacteria are not expected 300 to have much in the way of preservation potential, silica precipitation often additionally occurs at low 301 temperature vent sites (e.g. Rouxel et al., 2018), offering a taphonomic pathway for these highly 302 delicate structures into the fossil record as jasper deposits. 303

304

305 3.3 Comparison of vent mineralisation with sites of exceptional preservation

Because of the mineralization of soft tissues (annelid organic tubes) and preservation of micron-scale 306 morphological features and cells, fossilisation at hydrothermal vents can be compared with 307 sites of exceptional preservation and terrestrial hydrothermal systems (Georgieva et al., 2015). At hot 308 springs, silica can preserve microbes and plant tissues by direct replacement, templating or infilling 309 (Jones and Renaut, 2003; Akahane et al., 2004), producing silica-comprised fossils that retain a level 310 of detail similar to that observed within deep-sea vents. Exceptional preservation in soft sediment 311 environments can occur through the growth of pyrite as framboids, pyritohedra, and euhedral crystals 312 up to 20 µm in size that template or infill organic structures (Briggs et al., 1991, 1996). A variety of 313 pyrite texture types also appear to be involved in the formation of fossils at deep-sea hydrothermal 314 vents, notably colloform pyrite and framboids, but the pyrite that delineates hydrothermal vent fossils 315 can also be exceptionally fine-grained (nanocrystalline) and may not necessarily be induced to form 316 through the decomposition of organic matter (Georgieva et al., 2015). 317

319 4 The hydrothermal vent fossil record

Fossils were discovered within ancient hydrothermal vent deposits through mining activities long 320 before the first observations of hydrothermal vents on the modern seafloor (Ivanov, 1947). Prior the 321 late 1970s, the significance of fossils in VMS was not appreciated, but these have since been 322 interpreted as vent fauna. The fossil record of hydrothermal vents is in general sparse. As detailed 323 above, a fortuitous combination of circumstances are needed in order to preserve and locate fossils 324 of hydrothermal vent fauna (Little et al., 1998). At the time of their last comprehensive review, 325 hydrothermal vent macrofossils (Fig. 2D-F) were known from 19 deposits spanning the Ordovician-326 Silurian to the Eocene (Little et al., 1998). Additional deposits, as well as those containing only 327 microbial fossils, were reviewed by Little et al. (2004b) and Campbell (2006), and extended the fossil 328 record of vents into the Archean, 3.2 Ga. At this time, the vent fossil record was somewhat uneven, 329 with only two fossiliferous deposits known from the Cenozoic, several more sites from the Mesozoic, 330 a concentration of material from the Paleozoic primarily from the Ural Mountains region, and four 331 Precambrian deposits containing microbial fossils only (Campbell, 2006). 332

333

From the material discussed within the above reviews, it is clear that life has existed at hydrothermal 334 vents throughout much of Earth history, while metazoans are known to have exploited the high 335 productivity of vents since at least the Silurian (Ivanov, 1959; Kuznetsov et al., 1993; Little et al., 1997, 336 1999c), or possibly the Ordovician (Buschmann and Maslennikov, 2006), based on uncertain dating 337 of some of the oldest Urals fossil sites. As the vent fossil record exhibits strong bias towards organisms 338 that form hard structures that are more resistant to decay (see section 2), many of the fossil sites are 339 dominated by relatively few fossil types, usually including tubes considered to have been made by 340 annelid worms. 341

342

When last reviewed, it was clear that vent environments appeared to have undergone major faunal transitions over evolutionary time (Little and Vrijenhoek, 2003; Vrijenhoek, 2013), largely dispelling a theory that they acted as evolutionary refuges in which relict taxa persisted unperturbed by major world events such as mass extinctions (McLean, 1981; Newman, 1985). The Mesozoic appeared to
mark a transition between vent faunas of the Paleozoic and Cenozoic, while molecular data largely
demonstrated that many modern vent animals make their first appearances within chemosynthetic
environments during the Cenozoic, which is also reflected by the fossil record of vesicomyid clams
and bathymodiolin mussels (Kiel and Little, 2006; Vrijenhoek, 2013). The vent fossil record is
especially poor during the Cenozoic, therefore much of what is known about the history of vent faunas
from this time is inferred from the fossil record of cold seeps.

353

Since the reviews of Little et al. (1998) and Campbell (2006), fossils have been reported from 26 354 additional ancient hydrothermal deposits, spanning the Upper Cretaceous to the Eoarchean or 355 possibly Hadean (Table 1; Fig. 3). The majority of these new occurrences are from the Paleozoic and 356 are located within the Ural Mountains, revealing this to be particularly interesting and crucial region to 357 the understanding of ancient vent communities. At the time of the above reviews, this region had 358 already demonstrated considerable scientific significance having produced the most diverse ancient 359 vent community known (Little et al., 1999c), and encompassing an especially high number of 360 fossiliferous vent deposits (Fig. 3). The Ural Mountains region is given particular attention in Section 361 4.2.2. Evidence for the very early history of life within hydrothermal vents has also experienced major 362 developments, as microbial fossils that very likely represent the earliest known organisms on our planet 363 were recently discovered within an ancient marine vent environment (Dodd et al., 2017). Vent-364 associated deposits, such as sedimentary iron formations considered to have formed at the 365 peripheries of high-temperature hydrothermal activity, have proved especially important to providing 366 glimpses of early vent communities (Little et al., 2004b). In contrast, the fossil Mesozoic and Cenozoic 367 hydrothermal vent fossil record has seen relatively few new discoveries. However, insights into ancient 368 vent communities during this period may be gleaned from the fossil record of other chemosynthetic 369 environments, as well as from molecular clock age estimates for recent vent fauna. 370

371

372 4.1 Precambrian

Five fossiliferous vent deposits exist from this time, spanning the Eoarchean-Hadean to the Mesoproterozoic, all of which feature filamentous microbial fossils and, in some instances, additional microbial structures (Table 1).

376

4.1.1 The earliest glimpses of life within hydrothermal precipitates: Nuvvuagittuq belt, Canada

The oldest known fossiliferous vent deposit occurs within the Nuvvuagittug belt, Canada, and 378 constitutes ferruginous sedimentary rocks 3.7-4.2 Ga in age, considered to have formed at a seafloor 379 setting subjected to hydrothermal activity that produced vent-related precipitates (Dodd et al., 2017). 380 These fossils occur as micrometre-scale hematite tubes and filaments, that resemble similar structures 381 in jaspers associated with younger vent deposits (Grenne and Slack, 2003; Little et al., 2004b). In also 382 representing the oldest direct evidence of life on Earth, the Nuvvuagittuq fossils highlight the 383 importance of vent environments to the evolution of early life (Dodd et al., 2017), lending support to 384 the theory that life itself may well have originated within a submarine hydrothermal setting (Martin et 385 al., 2008; Deamer and Georgiou, 2015). 386

387

4.1.2 Paleoarchean to Mesoproterozoic vent fossils

The 3.2 Ga Sulphur Springs deposit records the first direct evidence of life within a high temperature vent environment, and contains filaments comprised of pyrite preserved within chert and coarsegrained quartz (Rasmussen, 2000). The biogenicity of these filaments has been questioned (Wacey et al., 2014), but considering that there is still a paucity of examples of nano-scale textures that may be generated from biogenic structures versus through abiotic processes, it remains possible that the Sulphur Springs filaments do indeed have a biogenic origin.

395

All other Precambrian instances of fossils at ancient vent environments date to the Mesoproterozoic, a period in Earth history when the first definitive eukaryotic fossils also emerge (Knoll et al., 2006; Butterfield, 2015). Filamentous microfossils 1.7 Ga in age observed within samples from the Jerome district of Arizona, USA, bear resemblance to those of Ordovician deposits such as Løkken, in both

morphology and preservation. They are also comprised of hematite and preserved within jasper 400 considered to have formed within a deep-water hydrothermal setting (Slack et al., 2007; Little et al., 401 2021). The microfossil assemblage of the Lady Loretta, Mt. Isa and McArthur River deposits, Australia, 402 is more diverse and includes both filaments and round cell morphologies (Oehler and Logan, 1977), 403 preserved within black chert. The formation depth of these deposits is less well constrained, while 404 biomarkers indicate the presence of sulfur-oxidising bacteria (Logan et al., 2001). A fairly diverse 405 microfossil assemblage also occurs within the more recent, 1.4 Ga-old Gaobanhe Massive Sulfide, 406 China, which features microfossils with filamentous, spherical, rod and coccus morphologies, and also 407 contains the oldest known fossil vent chimneys (Li and Kusky, 2007). 408

409

410 4.2 Paleozoic

The major diversification of metazoans occurred during the early part of the Paleozoic, with these changes also reflected to an extent in the fossil record of hydrothermal vent environments. There are 34 fossiliferous vent deposits from this time period, the majority of which are Devonian age (Table 1), while recent reports have potentially identified the first Cambrian vent communities.

415

416 4.2.1 Cambrian-Ordovician vent fossils

Given that a diversity of metazoans appear well-established within hydrothermal vent environments by 417 the early Silurian or late Ordovician (Little et al., 1999c; Buschmann and Maslennikov, 2006), it may 418 be supposed that complex life may have colonised vents before this, rather than it taking 100 million 419 years from the major diversification of animal life in the Cambrian to the eventual colonisation of vents 420 by metazoans during the late Ordovician-early Silurian. At present, there are only two reports of 421 metazoan fossils from hydrothermal vents that predate the early Silurian-late Ordovician: sponge 422 spicules and double-walled tube fossils (approximately 1 mm in diameter) from a barite deposit of the 423 Lower Cambrian Niutitang Formation, China (Yang et al., 2008), and smaller tube fossils (up to 80 µm 424 in diameter) from metalliferous mudstones of the Middle Cambrian Tally Pond belt, Canada (Lode et 425 al., 2016). Further investigations of material from Tally Pond have yielded a larger diversity of probable 426

⁴²⁷ metazoan structures, including a variety of tube fossils (15-125 µm in diameter), burrows (160 µm⁴²⁸ wide) and probable sponge spicules (Lode et al., 2020, *in prep.*). Together, these deposits provide⁴²⁹ intriguing indications that early metazoans from several metazoan phyla did venture into and⁴³⁰ potentially colonise vent sites. Although major Cambrian taxa such as trilobites are considered to have⁴³¹ had the adaptations necessary to colonise hydrothermal vents (Fortey, 2000), their remains are yet to⁴³² be found within ancient vent environments.

433

Ordovician vent fossils are represented by hematite filament networks that closely resemble structures
created by iron-oxidising bacteria such as Zetaproteobacteria (McAllister et al., 2019). These occur
in association with VMS deposits of the Løkken area of Norway (Grenne and Slack, 2003), and the
Thalanga deposit, north-east Australia (Duhig et al., 1992a, 1992b; Davison et al., 2001).

438

439 4.2.2 The Paleozoic vents of the Ural Mountains

The fact that the Ural Mountains have yielded a large number of fossiliferous ancient vent sites (Fig. 4A; Table 1) is very likely due to their environment of formation and subsequent preservation history. Vent fossils are known from 25 Urals sites to date, with metazoan fossils reported from six new sites spanning the late Ordovician to the Middle Devonian. In addition, gossanites associated with a multitude of Urals vent deposits have yielded further microfossil finds, providing insights into additional aspects of these Paleozoic vent communities.

446

447 4.2.2.1 Geology of the Ural Mountains

The Uralide orogen (hereafter Urals) extends nearly 2500 km from the Aral Sea to Novaya Zemlya and records the Paleozoic collision of at least two intra-oceanic arcs with the margin of Laurussia and a final continent-continent collision with the Kazakh and Siberian plates (Brown et al., 2006, 2011). The paleo-Uralian ocean basin developed during Late Cambrian to Early Ordovician rifting. Early rift-stage rocks are found preserved in the Sakmara Allochthon (Fig. 4), which includes arc-related volcanics of Silurian or possibly Ordovician age that host the earliest fossiliferous VMS deposits found to date in

the Urals (Little et al., 1997; Herrington et al., 2002; Buschmann and Maslennikov, 2006). These 454 allochthonous rocks have now been thrusted onto the continental margin rocks of Laurussia (Puchkov, 455 1997). The boundary between the continental margin of Laurussia and rocks of the Devonian 456 Magnitogorsk oceanic arc system is marked by the Main Urals Fault (Fig. 4A), a complex suture 457 containing a melange of serpentinites, high pressure rocks and thrusted sediments from the 458 continental margin and oceanic rocks from the palaeo-Uralian ocean (Brown et al., 2006). East of the 459 Main Uralian Fault lie the Magnitogorsk arc rocks of Mid-Devonian age, which are the main host to the 460 fossiliferous VMS deposits in the southern Urals. The oldest, fore-arc rocks pass tectono-461 stratigraphically and geographically eastwards successively into arc, back-arc and inter-arc basin 462 volcanic rocks that are host to a range of VMS deposits (Herrington et al., 2002, 2005a). 463



Figure 4. A, Fossiliferous vent deposits of the Ural Mountains region. Orange line indicates position of sections in B, labelled ancient 466 vent deposits are as in Table 1: 16, Oktyabrskoe, Russia; 17, Barsuchiy Log, Russia; 18, Gaiskoe, Russia; 19, Alexandrinka, Russia; 467

468 20, Molodezhnoe, Russia; 21, Priorskoe, Kazakhstan; 22, Safyanovka, Russia; 23, Uzelga, Russia; 24, Talgan, Russia; 25, Babaryk, Russia; 26, XIX Parts'ezd, Russia; 27, Uchaly, Russia; 28, Sultanovka, Russia; 29, Sibay, Russia; 30, Buribay, Russia; 31, Yubileynoe, 469 Russia; 32, Novo-Shemur, Russia; 33, Shemur, Russia; 34, Komsomol'skoe, Russia; 35, Blyava, Russia; 36, Dergamysh, Russia; 37, 470 Krasnogvardeyskoe, Russia; 38, Ljeviha, Russia; 39, Valentorka, Russia; 40, Yaman Kasy, Russia. B, Schematic sectional cartoon 471 showing time-slices illustrating tectonic evolution of the Urals. (i) Ordovician-Silurian, Sakmara arc developed marginal to the 472 supercontinent of Laurussia. The VMS deposits of Yaman Kasy and Blyava developed in the Sakmara arc; (ii) Devonian – Sakmara 473 zone (arc) obducted onto Laurussia margin, exceptional preservation of VMS deposits. Subduction skips to Magnitigorsk arc where 474 fossiliferous VMS deposits including Oktyabrskoe, Yubileynoe, Sibay and Molodezhnoe developed; (iii) Late Devonian - Magnitogorsk 475 arc collides with Laurussia margin as the margin becomes subducted, subduction skips east to East Uralian Zone and Turgai arc; (iv) 476 Carboniferous - Final collision of Kazak continent assemblage and Laurussia margin to form the Urals, in southern Urals, Magnitogorsk 477 arc rocks well preserved with little metamorphism. 478

479

VMS deposits of the Urals are classified into three-four types depending on the geological and 480 geodynamic conditions of formation: Cyprus-Besshi, Urals, Baymak, and/or Kuroko (Zaykov et al., 481 1996; Prokin and Buslaev, 1999; Gusev et al., 2000; Herrington et al., 2002, 2005b; Glasby et al., 482 2007; Seravkin, 2010). These classifications can be broadly compared to the classifications of Franklin 483 et al. (2005) where Cyprus is equivalent to Mafic, Besshi is related to Pelitic-mafic, Urals is considered 484 to be Bimodal-mafic and Baymak represents Bimodal-felsic types. Cyprus-type deposits are typically 485 hosted in tholeiitic basalts of Middle Devonian age formed in the Dombarovsk and West Mugodjar 486 back arc basins that developed behind the Magnitogorsk arc (Fig. 4B). The Buribay deposit is hosted 487 in boninitic basalt and gabbro of the lowermost part of Baymak-Buribay Formation, in the fore-arc 488 position of the Magnitogorsk arc (Herrington et al., 2005b). These deposits are all dominated by Cu 489 and consist mainly of chalcopyrite and pyrite with minor sphalerite. The Ural-type deposits are hosted 490 in bimodal basalt-rhyolite sequences and occur in the basal parts of Tagil arc (see Fig. 4A), West and 491 East Magnitogorsk island-arc and Sibay back-arc basins. An evaluation of the settings with which vent 492 fossils in the Urals are associated revealed that ancient vent fauna mainly occur in massive sulfide 493 mounds formed in jasper-associated basalt and basalt-rhyolite formations, but are less common in 494 serpentinite formations. The probability of finding fossils appeared to correlate with the relative 495 abundance of basalt versus felsic volcanic rocks that underlie the deposits (Maslennikov et al., 2017). 496

498 4.2.2.2 Urals vent fossils

Since the reviews of Little et al. (1998) and Campbell (2006), metazoan fossils have been additionally reported from the Blyava, Dergamysh, Molodezhnoe, Priorskoe, Sultanovka and Valentorka VMS deposits (Maslennikov et al., 2016, 2017) (Fig. 5), microbial fossils have been documented in detail from Yaman Kasy (Georgieva et al., 2018), and tube structures that could represent either small metazoans or large microbes have been discovered in hydrothermal sedimentary rocks associated with the Alexandrinka, Babaryk, Blyava, Molodezhnoe, Shemur, Novo-Shemur, Priorskoe, Sibay, Talgan, Uchaly, XIX Parts'ezd and Yaman Kasy VMS deposits (Ayupova et al., 2017) (Fig. 6).

506

The late Ordovician-early Silurian Yaman Kasy deposit hosts the oldest vent community that includes 507 definitive metazoans, which is also the most diverse ancient vent community known. This deposit 508 contains two fossil types of probable annelid tubeworms, two lingulid brachiopods, an ambonychiid 509 bivalve, a monoplacophoran, an indeterminate vetigastropod, and an indeterminate double-shelled 510 fossil (Little et al., 1999c; Buschmann and Maslennikov, 2006). The worm tubes contained within this 511 deposit (the fossil species Yamankasia rifeia and Eoalvinellodes annulatus) were initially likened to 512 those produced by modern vent lineages, notably vestimentiferans and Alvinellidae respectively (Little 513 et al., 1997, 1999c). Y. rifeia tubes (Fig. 5A) have size ranges of 3-39 mm in diameter (Little et al., 514 1999c), and hence are comparable in size to the modern siboglinid species *Riftia pachyptila*, or giant 515 tubeworm. E. annulatus tubes are smaller, typically 0.1-3.5 mm in diameter, and occur close to vent 516 chimney structures and have therefore been compared to present-day tubicolous alvinellid species. 517 However, ornamental characters present on annelid tubes can often exhibit convergence (Kiel and 518 Dando, 2009), while a Silurian or Ordovician fossil age for vestimentiferans and alvinellids appears to 519 be inconsistent with origination dates indicated for these lineages by molecular clocks (Vrijenhoek, 520 2013). A recent re-examination of the Yaman Kasy tube fossils suggested that they are unlikely to 521 have been built by annelid lineages that inhabit present-day vent environments (Georgieva et al., 522 2017). The Yaman Kasy brachiopods and monoplacophorans belong to extinct Paleozoic higher taxa. 523 The microbial fossils recently documented from this deposit are associated with the surfaces of worm 524

tubes (Little et al., 1997; Maslennikov, 1999; Georgieva et al., 2018), indicating that symbiotic
interactions between animals and microbes were similar to those that occur within present-day vent
environments, where annelid tubes provide important habitat for diverse microbial communities
(Lopez-Garcia et al., 2002; Campbell et al., 2003; Duperron et al., 2009). The Yaman Kasy microbial
fossils also demonstrate that sub-micron fossil textures can be retained over hundreds of millions of
years in VMS deposits not subjected to significant metamorphism, confirmed by preservation of
delicate sulfide textures in associated vent chimney material (Herrington et al., 1998).

532

Other Urals vent deposits dating to the Silurian (Blyava, Dergamysh, Krasnogvardeyskoe, 533 Komsomol'skoe, Ljeviha, Novo-Shemur, Shemur, and Valentorka) contain mainly worm tubes (Fig. 534 5B-D). These tubes are typically 1-3 mm in diameter, with some tube-like structures from Dergamysh 535 being potentially larger (Fig. 5E), while additional textures found in material from Dergamysh represent 536 collomorphic textures consistent with microbialites (Fig. 5F). The majority of tube fossils from the 537 Blyava, Valentorka and Dergamysh deposits are comparable to the size range of *Eoalvinellodes* 538 annulatus, however their mode of preservation renders it difficult to observe tube wall characteristics, 539 and hence to compare directly to better-preserved material from Yaman Kasy, or to present-day 540 tubicolous animals. The Lieviha deposit is also reported to contain brachiopods (Ivanov, 1959), but 541 unfortunately this material is no longer available for study (Little et al., 1998). 542

543

The majority of fossiliferous Urals vent deposits are Middle Devonian in age, with only Buribay, 544 Yubileynoe, and Sibay (Fig. 5G-I) dating to the Lower or Lower-Middle Devonian (Table 1). The Sibay 545 deposit has yielded the most diverse ancient vent community from the Devonian, containing metazoan 546 fossils of two types of worm tubes (Tevidestus serriformis (Fig. 5I) and indeterminate ?annelid worm 547 tubes), the modiomorphid bivalve species Sibaya ivanovi, as well as indeterminate bivalves or 548 brachiopods. The tubes of *T. serriformis* have also been likened to those made by vestimentiferans, 549 particularly the modern species Tevnia jerichonana in virtue of the presence of closely-spaced collars 550 or flanges present on the outer walls of both tube types. Recent reanalysis of *T. serriformis* tubes 551

revealed that they were also preserved with an astonishingly fine mesh of pyritised fibres present on 552 the outer tube wall (Fig. 5I), which cross at near right angles (Georgieva et al., 2017). A similar fibre 553 arrangement occurs on the tubes of modern chaetopterid worms (Annelida: Chaetopteridae) (Bhaud, 554 1998; Shah et al., 2015). Chaetopterids can also be common in modern vent environments 555 (Morineaux et al., 2010; Okumura et al., 2016) and are deemed to have ancient origins, having 556 diverged from other annelids during early stages of the diversification of this phylum (Weigert et al., 557 2014; Weigert and Bleidorn, 2016). It is therefore possible that *T. serriformis* fossils from Sibay may 558 represent the earliest known fossils of chaetopterids, but the additional presence of vestimentiferan-559 like characters render them difficult to place definitively (Georgieva et al., 2017). 560

561

The fossil tubes present within material from Yubileynoe are remarkable for the dense clusters they form in very close proximity to vent chimneys (Fig. 5H). These tubes are within the size range of the Yaman Kasy species *Eoalvinellodes annulatus*, but the outer tube wall details have not been observed and it is therefore not known if the Yubileynoe tubes are closely related. Worm tubes from Buribay are small, typically less than 1 mm in diameter (Fig. 5G), and are also difficult to identify in virtue of few distinguishing characters.

568

Nearly all Middle Devonian ancient vent deposits from the Urals contain worm tubes (Fig. 5J-L) (with 569 the exception of Babaryk and XIX Parts'ezd), while brachiopods have additionally been observed at 570 the Oktyabrskoe site (Table 1). Therefore, metazoans appear to have been prevalent within Devonian 571 vent sites, but their apparently low diversity is surprising, given the greater numbers of taxa uncovered 572 within the Yaman Kasy and Sibay deposits. This could be a result of biases in fossilisation as well as 573 fossil discovery, as the findings at Middle Devonian Urals sites largely represent opportunistic finds 574 rather than systematic palaeontological field surveys. Given the above in addition to the occurrence 575 of probable metazoan fossils at such a great number of Middle Devonian Urals ancient vent sites, it 576 appears that life at these vent sites was well-established, with most vent sites inhabited by abundant 577 tube-dwelling metazoans that were likely among the dominant taxa at these sites. 578



580

Figure 5. Fossils from Urals ancient vent deposits. **A**, the large tube of *Yamankasia rifeia*, Yaman Kasy, scale bar is 30 mm. **B**, tube fossils in transverse section, Blyava, scale bar is 1 mm. **C**, tube fossil in transverse section, Valentorka, scale bar is 1 mm. **D**, small tube fossils, Dergamysh, scale bar is 1 mm. **E**, large tube-like structure, Dergamysh, scale bar is 20 mm. **F**, microbialitic texture, Dergamysh, scale bar is 20 mm. **G**, tube fossils, Buribay, scale bar is 1 mm. **H**, tube fossils adjacent to vent fluid conduit, scale bar is 10 mm. **I**, detail of the outer wall of a *Tevidestus serriformis* tube, Sibay, arrow shows preserved tube wall fibres. Scale bar is 1 mm.

- J, tube fossils in transverse section, Molodezhnoe, scale bar is 1 mm. K, tube fossil in transverse section, Priorskoe, scale bar is 1
- mm. L, tube fossil in transverse section, Sultanovka, scale bar is 1 mm. Preparation: B-D, G, J-L, reflected light images of polished
- block preparations. E-F, H, photographs of polished slabs.
- 589
- 590





Figure 6. Fossils from gossanites associated with Urals Ordovician-Silurian (A-C) and Devonian (D-I) ancient vent deposits. A, tube/filament fossil, Yaman Kasy, scale bar is 60 µm. B, walled tube-like fossil from Shemur, scale bar is 60 µm. C, Tubular structure from Novo-Shemur with a thick Fe-oxide wall, scale bar is 120 µm. D, transverse section of tube fossil from Yubileynoe, scale bar is 120 µm. E, tube fossils from Sibay, scale bar is 30 µm. F, Fe-oxide filament network from the Sibay deposit, scale bar is 60 µm. G, transverse section of a tube fossil from Uchaly, scale bar is 60 µm. H, cluster of tube fossils in transverse section from Molodezhnoye, scale bar is 120 µm. I, cluster of spherical structures from Alexandrinka, scale bar is 120 µm. Preparation: all, transmitted light images of thin section preparations.

- 599
- 600

⁶⁰¹ The majority of fossils discovered within gossanites from the Urals are small, up to 550 μm in diameter ⁶⁰² in the case of tube fossils (Ayupova et al., 2017) (Fig. 6A-E, G-I). A subset of tube fossils exhibit

possible internal ornamentation (Fig. 6A), distinctive walls (Fig. 6B-D, H), and also appear to be 603 directly attached to others (Fig. 6H). These tube fossils are associated with a range of additional 604 textures such as filaments that resemble those of Fe-oxidising bacteria (Fig. 6F), and clusters of 605 spherical structures up to 120 µm in diameter that interlock with others (Fig. 6I). Smaller spheres ~15 606 µm in diameter were also found to inside tubes (Ayupova et al., 2017), and clusters of the above may 607 also fill tube cavities, and are considered to also have microbial origins. Between tube fossils, shorter 608 radial filaments 10 µm in diameter have been observed, that have been likened to fungal hyphae 609 (Ayupova et al., 2017). 610

611

4.2.3 Devonian and Carboniferous vent fossils beyond the Urals

Devonian and Carboniferous ancient vent sites beyond the Urals are again mostly dominated by 613 macro- to megafaunal-sized worm tube fossils. Tubes from the Carboniferous Ballynoe and Tynagh 614 deposits, Ireland, are 2.5 mm and up to 0.8 mm in diameter, respectively (Boyce et al., 2003). While 615 somewhat different in size, they both exhibit distinct tube wall ornamentation of closely-spaced 616 annulations, which led Boyce et al. (2003) to conclude that they are likely related. Tube fossils from 617 the Carboniferous Red Dog deposit are larger (3-9 mm in diameter), are not preserved with any 618 ornamentation, and are associated with pellets 1-2 mm in diameter that could represent fecal matter, 619 algal debris, or microbial clumps (Moore et al., 1986). No metazoan faunas have been discovered 620 within with the Canning Basin reefs of Western Australia, which comprise extensive stromatolites that 621 in association with inorganic deposits form mounds hundreds of metres long and tens of metres thick, 622 that are preserved within sedimentary exhalative deposits formed as a result of the ejection of relatively 623 cool fluids from the seafloor (Playford and Wallace, 2001). The stromatolites are comprised of 624 carbonate possibly formed through bacterial oxidation of organic matter, and are interpreted to have 625 formed within deep inter-reef basins, bounded by anoxic muds. 626

627

628 **4.3 Mesozoic**

A number of vent fossil sites are recorded from Mesozoic VMS deposits, mostly from the Upper
 Cretaceous Tethyan palaeo-oceanic sequences (Fig. 7). These include important, taxonomically
 diverse sites in the Troodos ophiolite in Cyprus (Little et al., 1999a) as well as sites of tubeworm fossils
 in Georgia, Turkey and Oman. A single Jurassic occurrence containing metazoan fossils is recorded
 in the Franciscan complex of western USA (Little et al., 1999b, 2004a).

634

4.3.1 Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous vent faunas

All three known Jurassic-age ancient vent localities contain microbial filaments preserved within 636 jasper, while only the Figueroa site hosts metazoan fossils (Table 1). The Figueroa site is the oldest 637 known ancient vent locality from the Mesozoic, and hosts a specimen-rich but low diversity 638 assemblage of worm tubes 0.3 to 6.8 mm in diameter (Fig. 2E), rhynchonellid brachiopods 639 (Anarhynchia cf. gabbi), and trochoidean gastropods (Francisciconcha maslennikovi) (Little et al., 640 1999b, 2004a). Worm tubes preserved within the Figueroa deposit have also been likened to those of 641 vestimentiferans (Little et al., 1999b, 2004a). In this instance, recent re-examination has affirmed that 642 vestimentiferans are the most likely candidates to have constructed the Figueroa tubes (Georgieva et 643 al., 2017). This would suggest a 190 Ma (million year) history of vestimentiferans in the Pacific Ocean 644 (Little et al., 2004a), however this still precedes the oldest molecular age estimates for this lineage by 645 approximately 70 Ma (Vrijenhoek, 2013). The Figueroa rhynchonellid brachiopod species belongs to 646 the now extinct Dimerellidae family, while Francisciconcha maslennikovi is the first known fossil 647 trochoidean from chemosynthetic environments. Trochoidea also occur within present-day vent 648 communities, therefore the Figueroa fossil vent site appears to illustrate a transition between Paleozoic 649 and Mesozoic vent communities. 650

651

Brachiopods are also abundant within the iron ore deposit of Zengővárkony, Hungary, which is deemed to have formed in association with hydrothermal activity in a continental rift setting (Bujtor and Vörös, 2020). At least eight brachiopod species are reported from this site (Table 1), with the sizes of two of these (*Lacunosella hoheneggeri* and *Nucleata veronica*) being on average 30 to 70% larger than specimens from their respective type localities (Bujtor, 2006, 2007). This size increase has
 been inferred to result from the above brachiopod species having benefitted from hydrothermal
 productivity. As certain modern vent animals (e.g. the giant tubeworm *Riftia pachyptila*, the giant clam
 Calyptogena magnifica) achieve large body sizes through efficiently harnessing the high productivity
 at hydrothermal vents, large body sizes of fossil vent and seep animals has been suggested as a proxy
 for a potential chemosymbiotic lifestyle (Sandy, 1995).

662

4.3.2 The Upper Cretaceous Tethyan realm

Fossiliferous deposits, so far discovered, in the Neotethys realm (which separated Gondwana from 664 the Cimmerian microcontinents during the Mesozoic) formed in two distinct tectonic settings (Fig. 7). 665 The Troodos and Samail ophiolites are both developed in comparable settings at the supra-subduction 666 fore-arc of the south Neotethys (Fig. 7). In the case of Samail, the 96 Ma ophiolite-hosted VMS deposit 667 formed in a fore-arc setting and then was rapidly obducted onto the Arabian plate within a few million 668 years (ca. 92 Ma) where it is now preserved and the deposits exposed (Searle et al., 2015). The 669 deposits in Troodos, Cyprus, likely formed in an analogous geo-tectonic position to Samail, Oman, 670 around 94 Ma (Chen et al., 2020; Morag et al., 2020), with the island of Cyprus still sitting over the 671 subducted continental margin of the African plate with uplift and exposure of the ophiolite sequence 672 only occurring in Miocene times (Robertson et al., 2012). 673

674

The Madneuli and Turkish VMS localities developed in supra-subduction arc settings along the northern margin of the Neotethys. Here, arc volcanics developed on continental crust in a string of VMS deposits that includes the fossil localities of Lahanos, Killik, Çayeli and Kisilkaya in Turkey and Madneuli in Georgia (Fig. 7). Deposits are all believed to be Upper Cretaceous in age, ±94-88 Ma (Little et al., 2007; Revan et al., 2017), being preserved when the northern branch of the Neotethys closed at the end of the Cretaceous (Robertson et al., 2012).



682

Figure 7. Geo-tectonic setting of the Tethyan fossiliferous VMS deposits Modified from Little et al., (2007). Killik and Kizilkaya are
 both located near Lahanos. A, Antalya; AES, Ankara-Erzincan suture; AP, Apulia; BS, Black Sea; CO, Carpathian ocean; KC,
 Kirsehir continent; ITO, Inner Tauride ocean; P, Pelagonian microcontinent; PO, Pindos ocean; SC, Sakarya continent.

686

Only worm tube fossils have been found so far from the Bayda, Madneuli and Turkish sites, while 687 localities from Cyprus have yielded more diverse assemblages that include at least three types of 688 worm tubes (Georgieva et al., 2017), eight new species of abyssochrysoid gastropods (Fig. 2F) 689 (Andrzej Kaim, 2020, pers. comm.), as well as microbial filaments in jasper (Little et al., 1999a). One 690 of the worm tube types, described as 'wrinkled' in Georgieva et al. (2017), also shows close similarities 691 with the tubes of vestimentiferans, while the other two tube types demonstrate characteristics that are 692 consistent with both vestimentiferan and serpulid annelids. The abyssochrysoid gastropods comprise 693 the families Provannidae and Abyssochrysidae, along with the extinct families Hokkaidoconchidae and 694 Paskentanidae (Sasaki et al., 2010; Kaim et al., 2014), and the Cyprus specimens represent the first 695 record of this lineage within a vent deposit. Worm tubes from Bayda are 1-5 mm in diameter, and can 696 exhibit annulations that are very closely-spaced in some specimens (Haymon and Koski, 1985). Tubes 697 from the Turkish sites and Madneuli do not have discernible ornamentation, and are larger than those 698 from Bayda having diameters of up to 25 mm and 6.5 to 13.1 mm respectively (Little et al., 2007; 699 Revan et al., 2010). 700

702 **4.4 Cenozoic**

The two known Cenozoic examples of fossiliferous VMS deposits are both located in the western 703 Pacific in preserved dominantly mafic volcanic packages, and both contain only worm tube fossils. 704 The Azema fossil locality in New Caledonia comprises a small copper-barite bearing VMS deposit 705 developed in Senonian to Paleocene basalts that are part of the Poya terrane. The Poya terrane likely 706 represents rocks scraped off the ocean floor of the western Pacific onto the basement terranes of New 707 Caledonia as the lower plate of the Loyalty Basin was subducted westwards under the island (Ulrich 708 et al., 2010). The Poya terrane has since been overthrust by older Eocene peridotite 'massifs' that 709 have later become deeply weathered to form the extensive nickeliferous laterites of the island. The 710 tectonic position of the deposit and its host sequence at time of formation is unclear, although the 711 volcanics show mid-ocean ridge, back-arc basin and ocean island affinities (Ulrich et al., 2010). The 712 Azema worm tubes are small with diameters of 0.2-0.3 mm, with some specimens also exhibiting 713 transverse annulations (Oudin et al., 1985). 714

715

The Barlo VMS copper-zinc deposit, is hosted in the middle Eocene (ca. 44 Ma) Zambales ophiolite 716 sequence in western Luzon, Philippines. The mine sequence is dominated by basaltic lavas and 717 contains units of boninitic affinity, indicative of a supra-subduction zone fore-arc setting (Perez et al., 718 2018). These volcanic rocks have similar chemistries to units hosting the VMS occurrences in the 719 Oman and Troodos ophiolites, consistent with them all sharing an analogous geo-tectonic setting and 720 thus may be classified as a Cyprus-type deposit ss. These tubes are approximately 5 mm in diameter 721 and have thick walls (150 µm) (Boirat and Fouquet, 1986), but have been observed mainly in section 722 therefore details of any wall ornamentation and thus their identity are unknown. 723

724

725 5 Insights from phylogenetics

Molecular tools are widely employed to assess the evolutionary history of vent fauna, and are
 especially useful in the case of taxa that do not normally leave a fossil record (Kumar, 2005). Molecular
 clock analyses, which comprise the application of an approximately uniform evolutionary rate over

time to infer the age of lineages, can however suffer from limitations such as assumptions of the rate
and stability of genetic evolutionary change. They are best applied when they can be calibrated with
direct fossil evidence, but even then are not altogether reliable (Louca and Pennell, 2020).
Nevertheless, patterns among molecular clock estimates for different taxa along with consistencies
with the fossil record can provide further insights into the evolutionary history of vent environments.





Figure 8. Fossil and molecular origination range estimates for taxa encountered within vent environments. Figure adapted from Little
and Vrijenhoek (2003) and Georgieva (2016) with updated data (Vrijenhoek, 2013; Lorion et al., 2013; Vinn et al., 2013; Herrera et
al., 2015; Georgieva et al., 2017; Sanfilippo et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2017, 2018; Roterman et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019; Breusing et
al., 2020). PETM, Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum.

740

735

When last reviewed by Vrijenhoek (2013), molecular age estimates for taxa that dominate modern vent sites suggested largely Cenozoic radiations, occurring especially after the Paleocene-Eocene thermal maximum (PETM), a period during which anoxic/dysoxic conditions are considered to have prevailed in much of the world's deep ocean basins (Kennett and Stott, 1991). Cenozoic radiations for vent taxa are still apparent when more recent studies on symbiotic deep-sea mussels, kiwaid anomurans, and alvinocaridid shrimp are taken into consideration (Fig. 8) (Lorion et al., 2013;

Roterman et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2018). However, other lineages such as abyssochrysoid gastropods 747 appear to have originated and diversified during the Mesozoic. Vestimentiferans, alvinellids, as well as 748 some vent limpets and barnacles also seem to have their origins within the Mesozoic, which in the 749 case of Neomphalina is confirmed by their fossil record at seeps. None of the lineages occupying 750 present-day vents are considered to have originated in the Paleozoic. Molecular age estimates 751 therefore largely confirm the pattern indicated by the fossil record of vent environments having 752 undergone a major faunal transition following the Paleozoic, and that modern vent communities are 753 occupied by lineages which originated either during the Mesozoic or Cenozoic. Molecular age 754 estimates can however demonstrate large ranges of uncertainty, for example spanning 75 Ma for the 755 vestimentiferans (Li et al., 2019), and thus it may be unsubstantiated to attribute Cenozoic radiations 756 to a discrete event such as the PETM. For some vent lineages, molecular age estimates also suggest 757 that origination dates greatly preceded diversification events, for example by up to 80 Ma in the case 758 of alvinellid annelids (Vrijenhoek, 2013), which in combination with the paucity of the fossil record 759 makes it difficult to speculate on what may have happened in between. 760

761

762 6 Perspectives and future directions

The fossil record of ancient vent environments, in combination with molecular data from modern vent 763 animals, demonstrates that hydrothermal vents have been important habitats for life, possibly since its 764 origination, as well as for complex multi-cellular life soon after its diversification during the Cambrian. 765 Whether life itself began at hydrothermal vents remains a debated question, that since the discoveries 766 of the Nuvvuagittug fossils by Dodd et al. (2017) is also weaved into the discussion of what constitutes 767 the oldest fossil evidence of life on our planet (Benton and Harper, 2020). Contenders for the oldest 768 fossil in the world come from a range of palaeo-environments (Lepot, 2020), while molecular evidence 769 suggests that the last universal common ancestor (LUCA) of all cells was likely thermophilic and lived 770 in an environment rich in sulfur (Weiss et al., 2018). Biosignatures have been reported from a range 771 of Paleo- to Mesoarchean environments that experienced hydrothermal activity, demonstrating that 772 life was well-adapted to such settings from very early on in its history (Sugitani et al., 2015). 773

Hydrothermal vents likely constituted important habitats for early life on Earth, with fossil insights from
the Precambrian indicating that they were readily exploited by microbes. The microbial communities
of vents, within both vent-peripheral and high-temperature vent settings, were therefore likely wellestablished billions of years before the onset of animal life.

778

The Cambrian Tally Pond fossil faunas (Lode et al., 2020, in prep.) hint that metazoans probably did 779 begin to move into vent environments during the Cambrian, with metalliferous mudstones forming on 780 the peripheries of vent fields potentially providing underexploited food sources in the form of microbial 781 mats, as well as ideal conditions for early animals to acclimatise to the harsher conditions that prevail 782 in closer proximity to chimneys. The Urals faunas remain the best-preserved examples of Paleozoic 783 vent communities, in which both vent environments and faunas were fossilised in remarkable detail, 784 and demonstrate that by the late Ordovician or early Silurian, certain animals had adapted to vent 785 conditions so effectively as to be able to colonise the walls of active vent chimneys, as observed for 786 the fossil tubeworm *Eoalvinellodes annulatus* (Little et al., 1999c). It therefore appears that Paleozoic 787 vent faunas were exploiting vent niches effectively, rather than opportunistically straying into vent 788 environments. These ancient vent animals may have also developed symbioses with microbes capable 789 of chemosynthesis, due to their large sizes and high abundances. However, this is very difficult to 790 prove definitively. The extraordinary fine-scale preservation at Yaman Kasy has revealed that microbes 791 were intimately associated with the surfaces of vent animals (Georgieva et al., 2018), thus potentially 792 paving the way for more highly-integrated relationships. The sheer abundance of both microbial and 793 metazoan life, as indicated by the multitude of fossils from the Urals, reveals the critical importance of 794 vents as habitats for Paleozoic marine life. The Urals represent an oceanic arc system analogous to 795 the western Pacific today, in which faunal distributions are spatially delimited by oceanographic 796 barriers (Mitarai et al., 2016; Breusing et al., 2020). It is possible that similar factors also controlled 797 the distributions of Urals ancient vent faunas, whereby highly-connected vent fields shared tubeworm 798 species, while more isolated vents provided suitable conditions for taxa not already adapted to vents 799 to move into these environments. 800

The number of known fossiliferous ancient vent sites tails off rapidly following the Carboniferous, which 802 brings about the question of whether this indicates an absence of evidence of vent faunas, or evidence 803 of absence. The preservation of VMS deposits is intimately tied to cycles of continental rearrangement, 804 of which there have been three major peaks during Earth history: 2.74-2.68 Ga (Archean), 1.9-1.76 805 Ga (Proterozoic) and 520-300 Ma (Paleozoic) (Huston et al., 2010, 2015). In simple terms based on 806 the volume of metal sulfides so-far found in VMS deposits, the Mesozoic is significantly less productive 807 for VMS deposits than the Paleozoic, with the Cenozoic being particularly less productive. This is likely 808 as a result of reduced tectonic activity in the later Eras leading to fewer deposits than the more 809 dynamic preceding periods of Earth history. Given how few ancient vent deposits are sufficiently well-810 preserved to yield fossils, it is reasonable to expect fewer vent fossil sites during the Mesozoic and 811 Cenozoic. 812

813

While some animal types, notably brachiopods, appear to have continued to colonise vents from the 814 Paleozoic and into the Mesozoic, it is increasingly clear that modern vent lineages began to move into 815 vent environments during the Mesozoic or Cenozoic. This is a pattern which is apparent from fossil 816 evidence of both hydrothermal vent and cold seep environments, as well as that of molecular clocks 817 (Fig. 8). The end-Permian mass extinction likely had profound impacts on life in the deep ocean 818 (Brennecka et al., 2011; Chen and Benton, 2012) from which it took several million years to recover 819 (Chen and Benton, 2012), and it is plausible that this may have instigated a shift in vent faunas. 820 However, a paucity of Triassic fossils from chemosynthetic sites make it difficult to assess the impacts 821 of this directly. Although comprising different tubeworm and mollusc taxa, Mesozoic vent communities 822 largely mirror the diversity of Paleozoic vents (such as when comparing Yaman Kasy and Sibay with 823 Troodos and Figueroa), as well as the observation of the majority of deposits containing only 824 tubeworms. The putative absence of bivalves at Mesozoic vent sites is somewhat puzzling, and may 825 be a result of vent bivalves having occupied habitats away from zones of active mineralisation. 826

827

Gastropods and possibly vestimentiferan tubeworms seem to be among the first taxa to colonise vents 828 during the Jurassic, followed by additional gastropod lineages, vent barnacles and alvinellid annelids 829 (from molecular evidence). Vestimentiferans capable of colonising more than one chemosynthetic 830 habitat type appear to have evolved first (Li et al., 2015), suggesting that vestimentiferans may have 831 moved from seeps into vents. Alvinellids do not occupy other chemosynthetic environments such as 832 seeps, and thus may have adapted directly to vent conditions. Throughout the Mesozoic and 833 Cenozoic, a variety of metazoan lineages previously not adapted to vents colonised these 834 environments, indicating that the pull factors of high productivity greatly exceed the costs to adapting 835 to unstable thermal and chemical regimes. The ages of vents within particular geological settings also 836 vary, with back-arc basins being much shorter-lived in comparison to mid-ocean ridges (Woodcock, 837 2004), and thus new vent habitat generated in association with oceanic arcs may also act to promote 838 vent colonisation by previously non-vent lineages. 839

840

Despite a large increase of known vent fossil sites since the last reviews (Little et al., 1998; Campbell, 841 2006), large knowledge gaps in the understanding of ancient vent communities remain, and 842 uncovering new fossil evidence to fill these is essential. The challenge will be to find ancient examples 843 that are both prospective in terms of palaeo-environment and in terms of preservation. Targeting 844 ancient VMS and SEDEX deposits that have undergone limited metamorphosis is a good starting point. 845 Drillcore archives of mining operations provide samples of inaccessible deposits, that can be used to 846 firstly assess palaeo-oceanographic conditions and their potential to host vent fossils. Projects that 847 focus on finding new VMS-sized mineral resources on the modern ocean floor may also provide 848 drillcore material of Cenozoic-Mesozoic vents, which could also be carefully examined for fossils. In 849 addition to preservation biases at the vent-deposit scale, it is also vital to consider that the vent fossil 850 record is biased towards taxa that possess hard protective structures. Fossilisation studies within 851 modern vent settings can prove very helpful in this respect, as these can be used to quantify how 852 much of the diversity within modern vent sites is not captured within the fossil record. Molecular 853 phylogenetics also forms an important complement to the examination of fossils, and highlights the 854
interdisciplinary nature of research into ancient vent communities, that provides opportunity for
 collaboration between mining companies, ore geologists, mineralogists, palaeontologists, molecular
 and deep-sea biologists.

858

859 7 Conclusions

Given the improbability of the mineralisation of animal structures at vent sites, their incorporation into 860 a vent deposit, the preservation of a specific vent deposit into the rock record (rather than it being 861 subducted), as well as that particular vent deposit escaping geological overprinting and later evading 862 destruction during mining activity, it is a wonder that vent fossils exist at all. Vent fossils are relatively 863 rare in comparison to fossils from more typical depositional settings, but despite their scarcity have 864 yielded invaluable insights into the history of life within some of the most fascinating environments on 865 Earth. Hydrothermal vent environments have clearly played an important part in shaping the evolution 866 of life on Earth, acting as incubators of evolutionary novelty that promoted the development of 867 innovative adaptations in deep-sea fauna, to a life fuelled by chemosynthesis in a habitat subjected to 868 unstable chemical and thermal regimes. The unique pathways that evolution has followed under vent 869 conditions presents a strong case for the conservation of modern vent communities, and continued 870 exploration of their evolutionary history. 871

872

873 Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the United Kingdom Natural Environment Research Council (grant to 874 Adrian Glover, number NE/R000670/1), and partly by the South Urals Federal Research Center of 875 Mineralogy and Geoecology UB RAS (state contract AAAA-A19-119061790049-3). We are grateful 876 to everyone who helped in our search for ancient vent fossils, and especially to: Igor G. Zhukov and 877 Alexandr Tseluyko, South Urals Federal Research Center of Mineralogy and Geoecology; Steve 878 Richardson and Andrew McNeill, Mineral Resources Tasmania; Ross Large, University of Tasmania; 879 Tor Grenne, Norges Geologiske Undersøkelse; Steve Piercey, Memorial University; and Stefanie 880 Lode, Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland. 881

References

- Akahane, H., Furuno, T., Miyajima, H., Yoshikawa, T., Yamamoto, S., 2004. Rapid wood silicification in
 hot spring water: an explanation of silicification of wood during the Earth's history. Sediment. Geol.
 169, 219–228.
- Alt, J.C., France-Lanord, C., Floyd, P.A., Castillo, P., Galy, A., 1992. Low temperature hydrothermal
 alteration of Jurassic ocean crust, site 801. Proc. Ocean Drill. Program, Sci. Results 129, 415–427.
- Avdonin, V.V., Sergeeva, N.E., 2020. The relics of hydrothermal fauna in the massive sulfide ores of the
- VMS deposits of Leninogorsk ore region (Rudny Altai), in: Proceedings of the International Scientific
- and Practical Conference "Interdisciplinarity of Scientific Research as a Factor of Innovative
- ⁸⁹² Development" Vol. 1. OMEGA Science, Yekaterinburg, pp. 28-32 (in Russian).
- Avdonin, V.V., Sergeeva, N.E., 2017. The relics of the hydrothermal fauna in the massive sulfide ores of
 the Gayskoe deposit, in: Proceedings of the International Scientific and Practical Conference "The
 Interaction of Science and Society: Problems and Prospects" Vol. 3. OMEGA Science, Ufa, pp. 3-5
 (in Russian).
- Ayupova, N.R., Maslennikov, V. V., 2013. Biomorphic textures in the ferruginous-siliceous rocks of
- massive sulfide-bearing paleohydrothermal fields in the urals. Lithol. Miner. Resour. 48, 438–455.
- ⁸⁹⁹ https://doi.org/10.1134/S0024490213030024
- Ayupova, N.R., Maslennikov, V.V., Tessalina, S.G., Shilovsky, O.P., Sadykov, S.A., Hollis, S.P.,
- Danyushevsky, L.V., Safina, N.P., Statsenko, E.O., 2017. Tube fossils from gossanites of the Urals
- ⁹⁰² VHMS deposits, Russia: Authigenic mineral assemblages and trace element distributions. Ore Geol.

⁹⁰³ Rev. 85, 107–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2016.08.003

- Banks, D.A., 1985. A fossil hydrothermal worm assemblage from the Tynagh lead–zinc deposit in Ireland.
 Nature 313, 128–131.
- Benton, M.J., Harper, D.A., 2020. Introduction to paleobiology and the fossil record. John Wiley & Sons.
- ⁹⁰⁷ Bhaud, M.R., 1998. Species of Spiochaetopterus (Polychaeta, Chaetopteridae) in the Atlantic-

⁹⁰⁸ Mediterranean biogeographic area. Sarsia 83, 243–263.

⁹⁰⁹ https://doi.org/10.1080/00364827.1998.10413685

- Blumenberg, M., Seifert, R., Buschmann, B., Kiel, S., Thiel, V., 2012. Biomarkers reveal diverse microbial 910 communities in black smoker sulfides from turtle pits (Mid-Atlantic Ridge, Recent) and Yaman Kasy 911 (Russia, Silurian). Geomicrobiol. J. 29, 66-75. 912
- Boirat, J.M., Fouquet, Y., 1986. Decouverte de tubes de vers hydrothermaux fossils dans un amas sulfure 913
- de l'Eocene superieur (Barlo, ophiolite de Zambales, Philippines). Comptes Rendue, Ser. II 302, 914 941-946. 915
- Boyce, A.J., Little, C.T.S., Russel, M.J., 2003. A new fossil vent biota in the Ballynoe barite deposit, 916
- Silvermines, Ireland: evidence for intracratpnic sea-floor hydrothermal activity about 352 Ma. Econ. 917 Geol. 98, 649-656. 918
- Brennecka, G.A., Herrmann, A.D., Algeo, T.J., Anbar, A.D., 2011. Rapid expansion of oceanic anoxia 919
- immediately before the end-Permian mass extinction. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108, 17631–17634. 920
- https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1106039108 921

- Breusing, C., Johnson, S.B., Tunnicliffe, V., Clague, D.A., Vrijenhoek, R.C., Beinart, R.A., 2020. Allopatric 922 and sympatric drivers of speciation in Alviniconcha hydrothermal vent snails. Mol. Biol. Evol. 923 https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa177 924
- Briggs, D.E.G., Bottrell, S.H., Raiswell, R., 1991. Pyritization of soft-bodied fossils: Beecher's Trilobite Bed, Upper Ordovician, New York State. Geology 19, 1221–1224. https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-926 7613(1991)019<1221 927
- Briggs, D.E.G., Raiswell, R., Bottrell, S.H., Hatfield, D.T., Bartels, C., 1996. Controls on the pyritization of 928 exceptionally preserved fossils; an analysis of the Lower Devonian Hunsrueck Slate of Germany. 929 Am. J. Sci. 296, 633-663. 930
- Brown, D., Herrington, R.J., Alvarez-Marron, J., 2011. Processes of Arc-Continent Collision in the 931 Uralides. pp. 311-340. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-88558-0 11 932
- Brown, D., Spadea, P., Puchkov, V., Alvarez-Marron, J., Herrington, R., Willner, A.P., Hetzel, R., 933
- Gorozhanina, Y., Juhlin, C., 2006. Arc–continent collision in the Southern Urals. Earth-Science Rev. 934
- 79, 261-287. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2006.08.003 935
- Bujtor, L., 2007. A unique Valanginian paleoenvironment at an iron ore deposit near Zengõvárkony 936
- (Mecsek Mts, South Hungary), and a possible genetic model. Cent. Eur. Geol. 50, 183–198. 937
- https://doi.org/10.1556/CEuGeol.50.2007.3.1 938

- Bujtor, L., 2006. Early Valanginian brachiopods from the Mecsek Mts. (southern Hungary) and their
- palaeobiogeographical significance. Neues Jahrb. für Geol. und Paläontologie Abhandlungen 241,
- 941 111–152. https://doi.org/10.1127/njgpa/241/2006/111
- Bujtor, L., Vörös, A., 2020. New kingenoid (Terebratellidina) brachiopods with larger body sizes from the
- Early Cretaceous of Zengővárkony (Mecsek Mountains, Hungary). J. Paleontol. 94, 475–488.
- ⁹⁴⁴ https://doi.org/10.1017/jpa.2019.94
- Buschmann, B., Maslennikov, V.V., 2006. The late Ordovican or earliest Silurian hydrothermal vent fauna
- ⁹⁴⁶ from Yaman Kasy VMS deposit (South Uralides, Russia). Freib. Forschungshefte 14, 139–172.
- Butterfield, N.J., 2015. Early evolution of the Eukaryota. Palaeontology 58, 5–17.
- 948 https://doi.org/10.1111/pala.12139
- ⁹⁴⁹ Campbell, B., Stein, J., Cary, S., 2003. Evidence of chemolithoautotrophy in the baterial community
- associated with Alvinella pompejana, a hydrothermal vent polychaete. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69,
 5070–5078.
- ⁹⁵² Campbell, K.A., 2006. Hydrocarbon seep and hydrothermal vent paleoenvironments and paleontology:
- Past developments and future research directions. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol. 232,

⁹⁵⁴ 362–407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2005.06.018

- ⁹⁵⁵ Chan, C.S., Emerson, D., Luther, G.W., 2016a. The role of microaerophilic Fe-oxidizing micro-organisms
- in producing banded iron formations. Geobiology 14, 509–528. https://doi.org/10.1111/gbi.12192
- ⁹⁵⁷ Chan, C.S., Fakra, S.C., Emerson, D., Fleming, E.J., Edwards, K.J., 2011. Lithotrophic iron-oxidizing
- ⁹⁵⁸ bacteria produce organic stalks to control mineral growth: implications for biosignature formation.
- ⁹⁵⁹ ISME J. 5, 717–727. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2010.173
- Chan, C.S., McAllister, S.M., Leavitt, A.H., Glazer, B.T., Krepski, S.T., Emerson, D., 2016b. The
- architecture of iron microbial mats reflects the adaptation of chemolithotrophic iron oxidation in
- ⁹⁶² freshwater and marine environments. Front. Microbiol. 7, 796.
- 963 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00796
- ⁹⁶⁴ Chen, Y., Niu, Y., Shen, F., Gao, Y., Wang, X., 2020. New U-Pb zircon age and petrogenesis of the ⁹⁶⁵ plagiogranite, Troodos ophiolite, Cyprus. Lithos 362–363, 105472.
- ⁹⁶⁶ https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2020.105472
- ⁹⁶⁷ Chen, Z.-Q., Benton, M.J., 2012. The timing and pattern of biotic recovery following the end-Permian

- mass extinction. Nat. Geosci. 5, 375–383. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1475
- Cook, T., Stakes, D., 1995. Biogeological mineralization in deep-sea hydrothermal deposits. Science (80 .). 267, 1975–1979.
- Corliss, J., Dymond, J., Gordon, L., Edmond, J., von Herzen, R., Ballard, R., Green, K., Williams, D.,
- Bainbridge, A., Crane, K., van Andel, T., 1979. Submarine thermal springs on the Galapagos Rift.
- ⁹⁷³ Science (80-.). 203, 1073–1083.
- Davis, R.E., Stakes, D.S., Wheat, C.G., Moyer, C.L., 2009. Bacterial variability within an iron-silica-
- ⁹⁷⁵ manganese-rich hydrothermal mound located off-axis at the Cleft Segment, Juan de Fuca Ridge.

976 Geomicrobiol. J. 26, 570–580. https://doi.org/10.1080/01490450902889080

- Davison, G.J., Stolz, A.J., Eggins, S.M., 2001. Geochemical anatomy of silica iron exhalites: evidence for
- hydrothermal oxyanion cycling in response to vent fluid redox and thermal evolution (Mt. Windsor
- subprovince, Australia). Econ. Geol. 96, 1201–1226.
- Deamer, D.W., Georgiou, C.D., 2015. Hydrothermal conditions and the origin of cellular life. Astrobiology
 15, 1091–1095. https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2015.1338
- Desbruyères, D., Segonzac, M., Bright, M., 2006. Handbook of deep-sea hydrothermal vent fauna.
 Ifremer.
- Dodd, M.S., Papineau, D., Grenne, T., Slack, J.F., Rittner, M., Pirajno, F., O'Neil, J., Little, C.T.S., 2017.
- ⁹⁸⁵ Evidence for early life in Earth's oldest hydrothermal vent precipitates. Nature 543, 60–64.
- 986 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21377
- ⁹⁸⁷ Doyle, M.G., Allen, R.L., 2003. Subsea-floor replacement in volcanic-hosted massive sulfide deposits.

988 Ore Geol. Rev. 23, 183–222. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-1368(03)00035-0

- Dubilier, N., Bergin, C., Lott, C., 2008. Symbiotic diversity in marine animals: the art of harnessing chemosynthesis. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 6, 725–740. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1992
- ⁹⁹¹ Duhig, N.C., Davidson, G.J., Stolz, J., 1992a. Microbial involvement in the formation of Cambrian sea-⁹⁹² floor silica–iron oxide deposits, Australia. Geology 20, 511–514.
- Duhig, N.C., Stolz, J., Davidson, G.J., Large, R.R., 1992b. Cambrian microbial and silica gel textures in
 silica iron exhalites from the Mount Windsor volcanic belt, Australia: their petrography, chemistry,
 and origin. Econ. Geol. 87, 764–784.
- Duperron, S., De Beer, D., Zbinden, M., Boetius, A., Schipani, V., Kahil, N., Gaill, F., 2009. Molecular

- characterization of bacteria associated with the trophosome and the tube of Lamellibrachia sp., a 997
- siboglinid annelid from cold seeps in the eastern Mediterranean. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 69, 395-998

409. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2009.00724.x 999

- Emerson, D., Rentz, J.A., Lilburn, T.G., Davis, R.E., Aldrich, H., Chan, C., Moyer, C.L., 2007. A novel 1000 lineage of Proteobacteria involved in formation of marine Fe-oxidizing microbial mat communities.
- PLoS One 2, e667. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000667 1002
- Fleming, E.J., Davis, R.E., McAllister, S.M., Chan, C.S., Moyer, C.L., Tebo, B.M., Emerson, D., 2013. 1003
- Hidden in plain sight: discovery of sheath-forming, iron-oxidizing Zetaproteobacteria at Loihi 1004

Seamount, Hawaii, USA. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 85, 116–127. https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-1005

6941.12104 1006

1001

- Fortey, R., 2000. Olenid trilobites: the oldest known chemoautotrophic symbionts? Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 1007 97, 6574-6578. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.97.12.6574 1008
- Franklin, J.M., Gibson, H.L., Jonasson, I.R., Galley, A.G., 2005. Volcanogenic massive sulfide deposits, 1009
- in: Hedenquist, J.W., Thompson, J.F.H., Goldfarb, R.J., Richards, J.P. (Eds.), Economic Geology 1010 100th Anniversary Volume. Society of Economic Geologists, pp. 523–560. 1011
- Franklin, J.M., Lydon, J.W., Sangster, D.F., 1981. Volcanic-associated massive sulfide deposits. Econ. 1012 Geol. 75th Anniv. Vol. 485-627. 1013
- Galley, A.G., Hannington, M.D., Jonasson, I.R., 2007. Volcanogenic massive sulphide deposits, in: 1014
- Goodfellow, W.D. (Ed.), Mineral Deposits of Canada: A Synthesis of Major Deposit-Types, District 1015
- Metallogeny, the Evolution of Geological Provinces, and Exploration Methods. Geological 1016

Association of Canada, Mineral Deposits Division, Special Publication No. 5, pp. 141–161. 1017

Georgieva, M.N., 2016. Tube-building annelids from hydrothermal vents and cold seeps: Tube 1018 morphology, fossilisation, and evolutionary history. University of Leeds. 1019

Georgieva, M.N., Little, C.T.S., Bailey, R.J., Ball, A.D., Glover, A.G., 2018. Microbial-tubeworm 1020

- associations in a 440 million year old hydrothermal vent community. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 285, 1021 20182004. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.2004 1022
- Georgieva, M.N., Little, C.T.S., Ball, A.D., Glover, A.G., 2015. Mineralization of Alvinella polychaete tubes 1023 at hydrothermal vents. Geobiology 13, 152–169. https://doi.org/10.1111/gbi.12123 1024
- Georgieva, M.N., Little, C.T.S., Watson, J.S., Sephton, M.A., Ball, A.D., Glover, A.G., 2017. Identification 1025

- ¹⁰²⁶ of fossil worm tubes from Phanerozoic hydrothermal vents and cold seeps. J. Syst. Palaeontol. 1–
- 43. https://doi.org/10.1080/14772019.2017.1412362
- German, C.R., Petersen, S., Hannington, M.D., 2016. Hydrothermal exploration of mid-ocean ridges:
 Where might the largest sulfide deposits be forming? Chem. Geol. 420, 114–126.
- 1030 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2015.11.006
- Glasby, G.P., Prozherova, I.A., Maslennikov, V. V., Petukhov, S.I., 2007. Jusa and Barsuchi Log
- volcanogenic massive sulfide deposits from the Southern Urals of Russia: tectonic setting, structure
- and mode of formation. Resour. Geol. 57, 24–36. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-
- 1034 3928.2006.00002.x
- ¹⁰³⁵ Grenne, T., Slack, J.F., 2003. Bedded jaspers of the Ordovician Løkken ophiolite, Norway: seafloor
- deposition and diagenetic maturation of hydrothermal plume-derived silica-iron gels. Miner. Depos.

1037 38, 625–639. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00126-003-0346-3

- Gurvich, E.G., 2006. Metalliferous Sediments of the World Ocean: fundamental theory of deep sea hydrothermal sedimentation. Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-30969-1
- Gusev, G.S., Gushchin, A., V., Zaykov, V.V., Maslennikov, V.V., Mezhelovsky, N.V., Perevozchikov, B.V.,

¹⁰⁴¹ Surin, T.N., Filatov, E.I., Shirai, E.P., 2000. Geology and metallogeny of island arcs, in:

- ¹⁰⁴² Mezhelovsky, N.V., Morozov, A.F., Gusev, G.S., Popov, V.S. (Eds.), Geodynamics and Metallogeny:
- ¹⁰⁴³ Theory and Implications for Applied Geology. Inter-Regional Center for Geological Cartography
- (GEOKART), Ministry of Natural Resources of the Russian Federation, Moscow, pp. 315–337.
- Hannington, M., Jamieson, J., Monecke, T., Petersen, S., Beaulieu, S., 2011. The abundance of seafloor
 massive sulfide deposits. Geology 39, 1155–1158. https://doi.org/10.1130/G32468.1
- Hannington, M.D., de Ronde, C.D., Petersen, S., 2005. Sea-floor tectonics and submarine hydrothermal
 systems. Econ. Geol. 100th Anniv. Vol. 111–141.
- Haymon, R., Koski, R., 1985. Evidence of an ancient hydrothermal vent community: fossil worm tubes in
 Cretaceous sulfide deposits of the Samail Ophiolite, Oman. Bull. Biol. Soc. Washingt. 6, 57–65.
- Haymon, R.M., Kastner, M., 1981. Hot spring deposits on the East Pacific Rise at 21°N: preliminary
 description of mineralogy and genesis. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 53, 363–381.
- Haymon, R.M., Koski, R.A., Sinclair, C., 1984. Fossils of hydrothermal vent worms from Cretaceous
- sulfide ores of the Samail Ophiolite, Oman. Science (80-.). 223, 1407–1409.

1055	Herrera, S., Watanabe, H., Shank, T.M., 2015. Evolutionary and biogeographical patterns of barnacles
1056	from deep-sea hydrothermal vents. Mol. Ecol. 24, 673–689. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13054

- Herrington, R.J., Armstrong, R.N., Zaykov, V. V., Maslennikov, V. V., Tessalina, S.G., Orgeval, J.-J.,
- Taylor, R.N.A., 2002. Massive sulfide deposits in the south Urals: Geological setting within the
- framework of the Uralide orogen, in: Brown, D., Juhlin, C., Puchkov, V. (Eds.), Mountain Building in
- the Uralides: Pangea to the Present. American Geophysical Union, Washington, D. C., pp. 155–
- 1061 182. https://doi.org/10.1029/132GM09
- Herrington, R.J., Maslennikov, V. V., Brown, D., Puchkov, V.N., 2005a. Mineral deposits of the Urals and
 links to geodynamic evolution. Econ. Geol. 100th Anniv. Vol. 1069–1095.
- Herrington, R.J., Maslennikov, V. V., Spiro, B., Zaykov, V. V., Little, C.T.S., 1998. Ancient vent chimney

1065 structures in the Silurian massive sulphides of the Urals., in: Mills, R.A., Harrison, K. (Eds.), Modern

- Ocean Floor Processes and the Geological Record. Special Publication of the Geological Society,
- London, pp. 241–258.
- Herrington, R.J., Maslennikov, V. V., Zaykov, V., Seravkin, I., Kosarev, A., Buschmann, B., Orgeval, J.-J.,
 Holland, N., Tesalina, S., Nimis, P., Armstrong, R., 2005b. 6: Classification of VMS deposits: lessons
 from the South Uralides. Ore Geol. Rev. 27, 203–237.

1071 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2005.07.014

- Herrington, R.J., Puchkov, V.N., Yakubchuk, A.S., 2005c. A reassessment of the tectonic zonation of the
 Uralides: implications for metallogeny. Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ. 248, 153–166.
- 1074 https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2005.248.01.08

Hilário, A., Capa, M., Dahlgren, T.G., Halanych, K.M., Little, C.T.S., Thornhill, D.J., Verna, C., Glover,

A.G., 2011. New perspectives on the ecology and evolution of siboglinid tubeworms. PLoS One 6,
 e16309. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016309

- Huston, D.L., Eglington, B.M., Pehrsson, S., Piercey, S.J., 2015. The metallogeny of zinc through time:
- links to secular changes in the atmosphere, hydrosphere, and the supercontinent cycle, in:
- Archibald, S.M., Piercey, S.J. (Eds.), Current Perspectives on Zinc Deposits. Irish Association for
 Economic Geology Special Publication on Zinc Deposits, pp. 1–16.
- Huston, D.L., Pehrsson, S., Eglington, B.M., Zaw, K., 2010. The geology and metallogeny of volcanic-
- hosted massive sulfide deposits: variations through geologic time and with tectonic setting. Econ.

- Geol. 105, 571–591. https://doi.org/10.2113/gsecongeo.105.3.571
- Ivanov, S.N., 1959. Discussion of some modern question of the genesis of the Uralian massive sulphide
 deposits. Publ. Mining-Geology Inst. 43, 7–78.
- Ivanov, S.N., 1947. Study experience of geology and mineralogy of the Sibay massive sulphide deposit.
 Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ural. Fil. 2, 1-109 (in Russian).
- Johannessen, K.C., Vander Roost, J., Dahle, H., Dundas, S.H., Pedersen, R.B., Thorseth, I.H., 2017.
- 1090 Environmental controls on biomineralization and Fe-mound formation in a low-temperature
- hydrothermal system at the Jan Mayen Vent Fields. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 202, 101–123.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.12.016
- Jones, B., Renaut, R.W., 2003. Hot spring and geyser sinters: the integrated product of precipitation,
- replacement, and deposition. Can. J. Earth Sci. 40, 1549–1569. https://doi.org/10.1139/e03-078
- Juniper, S.K., Fouquet, Y., 1988. Filamentous iron-silica deposits from modern and ancient hydrothermal sites. Can. Mineral. 26, 859–869.
- Kaim, A., Jenkins, R.G., Tanabe, K., Kiel, S., 2014. Mollusks from late Mesozoic seep deposits, chiefly in
 California. Zootaxa 3861, 401–440.
- Kennett, J.P., Stott, L.D., 1991. Abrupt deep-sea warming, palaeoceanographic changes and benthic
 extinctions at the end of the Palaeocene. Nature 353, 225–229. https://doi.org/10.1038/353225a0
- Kiel, S., 2016. A biogeographic network reveals evolutionary links between deep-sea hydrothermal vent
- and methane seep faunas. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 283, 20162337.
- 1103 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.2337
- Kiel, S., Dando, P.R., 2009. Chaetopterid tubes from vent and seep sites: implications for fossil record
 and evolutionary history of vent and seep annelids. Acta Palaeontol. Pol. 54, 443–448.
- 1106 https://doi.org/10.4202/app.2009.0022
- Kiel, S., Little, C.T.S., 2006. Cold-seep mollusks are older than the general marine mollusk fauna.
- 1108 Science (80-.). 313, 1429–1431. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1126286
- Knoll, A., Javaux, E., Hewitt, D., Cohen, P., 2006. Eukaryotic organisms in Proterozoic oceans. Philos.
- Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 361, 1023–1038. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2006.1843
- Koschinsky, A., Garbe-Schönberg, D., Sander, S., Schmidt, K., Gennerich, H.-H., Strauss, H., 2008.
- Hydrothermal venting at pressure-temperature conditions above the critical point of seawater, 5°S

- on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Geology 36, 615. https://doi.org/10.1130/G24726A.1
- Kumar, S., 2005. Molecular clocks: four decades of evolution. Nat. Rev. Genet. 6, 654–662.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg1659
- Kuznetsov, A.P., Maslennikov, V. V., Zaikov, V. V., 1993. The near-hydrothermal fauna of the Silurian
 paleoocean in the south Ural. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Seriya Biol. 4, 525–534.
- Kuznetsov, A.P., Maslennikov, V. V., Zaikov, V. V., Sobetskii, V.A., 1988. Fossil fauna in the sulfide
 hydrothermal hills from the middle Devonian paleo-ocean of the Ural area. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR
 303, 1477–1481.
- Kuznetsov, A.P., Maslennikov, V. V., Zaikov, V. V., Zonenshain, L.P., 1991a. Fossil hydrothermal vent
 fauna in Devonian sulfide deposits of the Uralian ophiolites. Deep Sea Newsl. 17, 9–11.

Kuznetsov, A.P., Zaikov, V. V., Maslennikov, V. V., 1991b. Ophiolites, the "chronicle" of volcanic,

- tectonic, physical, chemical and biotic earth crust formation events on the paleoocean bottom. Izv.
 Akad. Nauk SSSR, Seriya Biol. 2.
- Lalou, C., 1991. Deep-sea hydrothermal venting: a recently discovered marine system. J. Mar. Syst. 1,
 403–440. https://doi.org/10.1016/0924-7963(91)90007-H
- Laznicka, P., 2010. Oceans and young island arc systems, in: Giant Metallic Deposits. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 81–108. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-12405-1_5

Leach, D., Marsh, E., Bradley, D., Gardoll, S., Huston, D., 2005. The distribution of SEDEX Pb-Zn

deposits through Earth history, in: Mineral Deposit Research: Meeting the Global Challenge.

¹¹³² Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 145–148. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-27946-

1133 6_38

- Lepot, K., 2020. Signatures of early microbial life from the Archean (4 to 2.5 Ga) eon. Earth-Science Rev.
 209, 103296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103296
- Li, J., Kusky, T.M., 2007. World's largest known Precambrian fossil black smoker chimneys and
- associated microbial vent communities, North China: Implications for early life. Gondwana Res. 12,
- 1138 84–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2006.10.024
- Li, Y., Kocot, K.M., Schander, C., Santos, S.R., Thornhill, D.J., Halanych, K.M., 2015. Mitogenomics
- reveals phylogeny and repeated motifs in control regions of the deep-sea family Siboglinidae
- (Annelida). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 85, 221–229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2015.02.008

- Li, Y., Tassia, M.G., Waits, D.S., Bogantes, V.E., David, K.T., Halanych, K.M., 2019. Genomic adaptations 1142 to chemosymbiosis in the deep-sea seep-dwelling tubeworm Lamellibrachia luymesi. BMC Biol. 17, 1143
- 91. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-019-0713-x 1144
- Little, C.T.S., 2009. Hot stuff in the deep sea. Planet Earth. 1145
- Little, C.T.S., Cann, J.R., Herrington, R.J., Morisseau, M., 1999a. Late Cretaceous hydrothermal vent 1146 communities from the Troodos ophiolite, Cyprus. Geology 27, 1027–1030. 1147
- Little, C.T.S., Danelian, T., Herrington, R., Haymon, R., 2004a. Early Jurassic hydrothermal vent 1148 community from the Franciscan Complex, California. J. Paleontol. 78, 542–559.
- Little, C.T.S., Glynn, S.E.J., Mills, R.A., 2004b. Four-hundred-and-ninety-million-year record of 1150
- bacteriogenic iron oxide precipitation at sea-floor hydrothermal vents. Geomicrobiol. J. 21, 415-1151
- 429. https://doi.org/10.1080/01490450490485845 1152
- Little, C.T.S., Herrington, R.J., Haymon, R.M., Danelian, T., 1999b. Early Jurassic hydrothermal vent 1153
- community from the Franciscan Complex, San Rafael Mountains, California. Geology 27, 167–170. 1154
- Little, C.T.S., Herrington, R.J., Maslennikov, V. V., Morris, N.J., Zaykov, V. V., 1997. Silurian 1155
- hydrothermal-vent community from the southern Urals, Russia. Nature 385, 146–148. 1156
- https://doi.org/10.1038/385146a0 1157

- Little, C.T.S., Herrington, R.J., Maslennikov, V.V., Zaykov, V.V., 1998. The fossil record of hydrothermal 1158
- vent communities. Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ. 148, 259-270. 1159
- https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1998.148.01.14 1160
- Little, C.T.S., Johannessen, K.C., Bengtson, S., Chan, C.S., Ivarsson, M., Slack, J.F., Broman, C., 1161
- Thorseth, I.H., Grenne, T., Rouxel, O.J., Bekker, A., 2021. A late Paleoproterozoic (1.74 Ga) deep-1162
- sea, low-temperature, iron-oxidizing microbial hydrothermal vent community from Arizona, USA. 1163
- Geobiology gbi.12434. https://doi.org/10.1111/gbi.12434 1164
- Little, C.T.S., Magalashvili, A., Banks, D., 2007. Neotethyan Late Cretaceous volcanic arc hydrothermal 1165 vent fauna. Geology 35, 835–838. 1166
- Little, C.T.S., Maslennikov, V. V, Morris, N.J., Gubanov, A.P., 1999c. Two Palaeozoic hydrothermal vent 1167 communities from the southern Ural mountains, Russia. Palaeontology 42, 1043–1078. 1168
- Little, C.T.S., Vrijenhoek, R.C., 2003. Are hydrothermal vent animals living fossils? Trends Ecol. Evol. 18, 1169
- 582-588. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2003.08.009 1170

- Lode, S., Georgieva, M.N., Little, C.T.S., Herrington, R.J., Piercey, S.J., 2020. A glimpse into ~500-
- million-year-old hydrothermal vent biology: Insights from biogenic textures recorded within

1173 Cambrian hydrothermal mudstones of central Newfoundland, Canada. in prep.

- Lode, S., Piercey, S.J., Layne, G.D., Piercey, G., Cloutier, J., 2016. Multiple sulphur and lead sources
- 1175 recorded in hydrothermal exhalites associated with the Lemarchant volcanogenic massive sulphide
- deposit, central Newfoundland, Canada. Miner. Depos. 52, 105–128.
- 1177 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00126-016-0652-1
- Logan, G.A., Hinman, M.C., Walter, M.R., Summons, R.E., 2001. Biogeochemistry of the 1640 Ma

¹¹⁷⁹ McArthur River (HYC) lead-zinc ore and host sediments, Northern Territory, Australia. Geochim.

- ¹¹⁸⁰ Cosmochim. Acta 65, 2317–2336. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(01)00599-3
- Lonsdale, P., 1977. Clustering of suspension-feeding macrobenthos near abyssal hydrothermal vents at
 oceanic spreading centers. Deep Sea Res. 24, 857–863.
- Lopez-Garcia, P., Gaill, F., Moreira, D., 2002. Wide bacterial diversity associated with tubes of the vent worm Riftia pachyptila. Environ. Microbiol. 4, 204–215. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-
- 1185 2920.2002.00286.x
- Lorion, J., Kiel, S., Faure, B., Kawato, M., Ho, S.Y.W., Marshall, B., Tsuchida, S., Miyazaki, J.-I., Fujiwara,
- Y., 2013. Adaptive radiation of chemosymbiotic deep-sea mussels. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 280,
- 1243. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.1243
- Louca, S., Pennell, M.W., 2020. Extant timetrees are consistent with a myriad of diversification histories.
 Nature 580, 502–505. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2176-1
- Maginn, E.J., Little, C.T.S., Herrington, R.J., Mills, R.A., 2002. Sulphide mineralisation in the deep sea
 hydrothermal vent polychaete, Alvinella pompejana: implications for fossil preservation. Mar. Geol.
 181, 337–356.
- Martin, W., Baross, J., Kelley, D., Russell, M.J., 2008. Hydrothermal vents and the origin of life. Nat. Rev.
 Microbiol. 6, 805–814. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1991
- Maslennikov, V. V., 1999. Sedimentohenesis, hamyrolysis and ecology of the massive sulfide-bearing
 paleohydrothermal fields (South Urals example) (in Russian). Geotur, Miass.
- Maslennikov, V. V., 1991. The Lithological Control of Copper-Pyritic Ores (by Example of Sibay and
- ¹¹⁹⁹ Oko'abrsk Deposits of Ural). Urals Branch of the Science Academy of USSR, Sverdlovsk (in

Russian). 1200

- Maslennikov, V. V., Ayupova, N.R., Maslennikova, S.P., Lein, A.Y., Tseluiko, A.S., Danyushevsky, L. V., 1201
- Large, R.R., Simonov, V.A., 2017. Criteria for the detection of hydrothermal ecosystem faunas in 1202 ores of massive sulfide deposits in the Urals. Lithol. Miner. Resour. 52, 173–191. 1203
- https://doi.org/10.1134/S002449021703004X 1204
- Maslennikov, V.V., Ayupova, N.R., Maslennikova, S.P., Tselyiko, A.S., 2016. Hydrothermal biomorphoses 1205
- of massive sulfide deposits: biomineralization, trace elements, and bio-productivity criteria. RIO UB 1206 RAS, Yekaterinburg (in Russian).
- McAllister, S.M., Moore, R.M., Gartman, A., Luther, G.W., Emerson, D., Chan, C.S., 2019. The Fe(II)-1208
- oxidizing Zetaproteobacteria: historical, ecological and genomic perspectives. FEMS Microbiol. 1209
- Ecol. 95, fiz015. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiz015 1210
- McGoldrick, P.J., 1999. Northern Australian "SEDEX" Zn-Pb deposits: microbial oases in Proterozoic 1211
- seas, in: Stanley, C.J. (Ed.), Mineral Deposits: Processes to Processing: Proceedings of the 5th 1212 Biennial SGA Meeting. Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 885–888. 1213
- McLean, J.H., 1981. The Galapagos Rift limpet Neomphalus: relevance to understanding the evolution of 1214 a major Paleozoic–Mesozoic radiation. Malacologia 11, 291–336. 1215
- Mitarai, S., Watanabe, H., Nakajima, Y., Shchepetkin, A.F., McWilliams, J.C., 2016. Quantifying dispersal 1216 from hydrothermal vent fields in the western Pacific Ocean. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 113, 2976–2981. 1217 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1518395113 1218
- Moore, D.W., Young, L.E., Modene, J.S., Plahuta, J.T., 1986. Geologic setting and genesis of the Red 1219 Dog zinc-lead-silver deposit, western Brooks Range, Alaska. Econ. Geol. 81, 1696–1727. 1220
- Morag, N., Golan, T., Katzir, Y., Coble, M.A., Kitajima, K., Valley, J.W., 2020. The origin of plagiogranites: 1221
- coupled SIMS O isotope ratios, U-Pb dating and trace element composition of zircon from the 1222
- Troodos Ophiolite, Cyprus. J. Petrol. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/egaa057 1223
- Morineaux, M., Nishi, E., Ormos, A., Mouchel, O., 2010. A new species of Phyllochaetopterus (Annelida: 1224
- Chaetopteridae) from deep-sea hydrothermal Ashadze-1 vent field, Mid-Atlantic Ridge: taxonomical 1225 description and partial COI DNA sequence. Cah. Biol. Mar. 51, 239-248. 1226
- Newman, W.A., 1985. The abyssal hydrothermal vent invertebrate fauna. A glimpse of antiquity? Bull. 1227
- Biol. Soc. Washingt. 6, 231-242. 1228

- Oehler, J.H., Logan, R.G., 1977. Microfossils, cherts, and associated mineralisation in the Proterozoic
 McArthur (H.Y.C.) lead-zinc-silver deposit. Econ. Geol. 72, 1393–1409.
- Okumura, T., Ohara, Y., Stern, R.J., Yamanaka, T., Onishi, Y., Watanabe, H., Chen, C., Bloomer, S.H.,
- Pujana, I., Sakai, S., Ishii, T., Takai, K., 2016. Brucite chimney formation and carbonate alteration at

the Shinkai Seep Field, a serpentinite-hosted vent system in the southern Mariana forearc.

1234 Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems 17, 3775–3796. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GC006449

- ¹²³⁵ Oudin, E., Bouladon, J., Paris, J.P., 1985. Vers hydrothermaux fossils dans une mineralisation sulfuree
- des ophiolites de Nouvelle–Calédonie. Acad. des Sci. Compte Rendus, Ser. II 301, 157–162.
- Oudin, E., Constantinou, G., 1984. Black smoker chimney fragments in Cyprus sulphide deposits. Nature
 308, 349–353. https://doi.org/10.1038/308349a0
- Peng, X., Zhou, H., Yao, H., Li, J., Wu, Z., 2009. Ultrastructural evidence for iron accumulation within the
 tube of Vestimentiferan Ridgeia piscesae. Biometals 22, 723–732.
- Peng, X., Zhou, H.H., Tang, S., Yao, H., Jiang, L., Wu, Z., 2008. Early-stage mineralization of
- hydrothermal tubeworms: New insights into the role of microorganisms in the process of
- mineralization. Chinese Sci. Bull. 53, 251–261. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11434-007-0517-1
- Perez, A., Umino, S., Yumul Jr., G.P., Ishizuka, O., 2018. Boninite and boninite-series volcanics in
- northern Zambales ophiolite: doubly vergent subduction initiation along Philippine Sea plate
- margins. Solid Earth 9, 713–733. https://doi.org/10.5194/se-9-713-2018
- 1247 Petersen, S., Krätschell, A., Augustin, N., Jamieson, J., Hein, J.R., Hannington, M.D., 2016. News from
- the seabed geological characteristics and resource potential of deep-sea mineral resources. Mar.

Policy 70, 175–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2016.03.012

- Playford, P.E., Wallace, M.W., 2001. Exhalative mineralization in Devonian reef complexes of the Canning
 Basin, Western Australia. Econ. Geol. 96, 1595–1610.
- Pradillon, F., Zbinden, M., Le Bris, N., Hourdez, S., Barnay, a.-S., Gaill, F., 2009. Development of
- assemblages associated with alvinellid colonies on the walls of high-temperature vents at the East
- Pacific Rise. Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr. 56, 1622–1631.
- 1255 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2009.05.009
- Prokin, V.A., Buslaev, F.P., 1999. Massive copper-zinc sulphide deposits in the Urals. Ore Geol. Rev. 14,
- 1257 1–69.

- Pshenichniy, G.N., 1984. Ore structures and textures from the deposits of the massive sulphide formation 1258 of the South Urals. Nauka, Moscow. 1259
- Puchkov, V.N., 1997. Structure and geodynamics of the Uralian orogen. Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ. 1260 121, 201-236. https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1997.121.01.09 1261
- Rasmussen, B., 2000. Filamentous microfossils in a 3,235-million-year-old volcanogenic massive sulphide 1262 deposit. Nature 405, 676-679. 1263
- Revan, M.K., Hisatani, K., Miyamoto, H., Delibaş, O., Hanilçi, N., Aysal, N., Özkan, M., Çolak, T., Karslı, 1264
- Ş., Peytcheva, I., 2017. Geology, U-Pb geochronology, and stable isotope geochemistry of the 1265

Tunca semi-massive sulfide mineralization, Black Sea region, NE Turkey: implications for ore 1266

- genesis. Ore Geol. Rev. 89, 369–389. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2017.06.024 1267
- Revan, M.K., Unlu, T., Genc, Y., 2010. Preliminary findings on the fossil traces in the massive sulphite 1268
- deposits of eastern Black Sea region (Lahanos, Killik and Cayeli). Miner. Resour. Exploit. Bull. 140, 1269 73-79. 1270
- Reysenbach, A.L., Cady, S.L., 2001. Microbiology of ancient and modern hydrothermal systems. Trends 1271 Microbiol. 9, 79-86. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-842X(00)01921-1 1272
- Robertson, A.H.F., Parlak, O., Ustaömer, T., 2012. Overview of the Palaeozoic-Neogene evolution of 1273
- Neotethys in the Eastern Mediterranean region (southern Turkey, Cyprus, Syria). Pet. Geosci. 18, 1274
- 381-404. https://doi.org/10.1144/petgeo2011-091 1275
- Roterman, C.N., Lee, W.-K., Liu, X., Lin, R., Li, X., Won, Y.-J., 2018. A new yeti crab phylogeny: vent 1276
- origins with indications of regional extinction in the East Pacific. PLoS One 13, e0194696. 1277
- https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194696 1278
- Rouxel, O., Toner, B., Germain, Y., Glazer, B., 2018. Geochemical and iron isotopic insights into 1279
- hydrothermal iron oxyhydroxide deposit formation at Loihi Seamount. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 1280
- 220, 449-482. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.09.050 1281
- Russell, M.J., Hall, A.J., 1997. The emergence of life from iron monosulphide bubbles at a submarine 1282 hydrothermal redox and pH front. J. Geol. Soc. London. 154, 377-402.
- https://doi.org/10.1144/gsjgs.154.3.0377 1284

- Sandy, M.R., 1995. A review of some Palaeozoic and Mesozoic brachiopods as members of cold seep 1285
- chemosynthetic communities: "unusual" palaeoecology and anomalous palaeobiogeographic 1286

- pattern explained. Földtani Közlöny 125, 241–258.
- Sanfilippo, R., Rosso, A., Reitano, A., Insacco, G., 2017. First record of sabellid and serpulid polychaetes
 from the Permian of Sicily. Acta Palaeontol. Pol. 62, 25–38.

https://doi.org/10.4202/app.00288.2016

- Sasaki, T., Warén, A., Kano, Y., Okutani, T., Fujikura, K., 2010. Gastropods from recent hot vents and
 cold seeps: systematics, diversity and life strategies, in: Kiel, S. (Ed.), The Vent and Seep Biota.
 Springer, pp. 169–254. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9572-5_7
- Searle, M.P., Waters, D.J., Garber, J.M., Rioux, M., Cherry, A.G., Ambrose, T.K., 2015. Structure and
 metamorphism beneath the obducting Oman ophiolite: Evidence from the Bani Hamid granulites,
- northern Oman mountains. Geosphere 11, 1812–1836. https://doi.org/10.1130/GES01199.1
- Seravkin, I.B., 2010. Metallogeniya Yuzhnogo Urala i Tsentral'nogo Kazakhstana (Metallogeny of the
 South Urals and Central Kazakhstan). AN RB, Gilem, Ufa.
- Shah, D.U., Vollrath, F., Stires, J., Deheyn, D.D., 2015. The biocomposite tube of a chaetopterid marine
- worm constructed with highly-controlled orientation of nanofilaments. Mater. Sci. Eng. C 48, 408–
 415. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2014.12.015
- Shpanskaya, A.Y., Maslennikov, V. V., Little, C.T.S., 1999. Vestimnetiferan tubes from the Early Silurian
 and Middle Devonian hydrothermal biota of the Uralian palaeobasin. Paleontol. Zhurnal 33, 222–
 228.
- Slack, J.F., Grenne, T., Bekker, A., Rouxel, O.J., Lindberg, P.A., 2007. Suboxic deep seawater in the late
 Paleoproterozoic: evidence from hematitic chert and iron formation related to seafloor-hydrothermal
- sulfide deposits, central Arizona, USA. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 255, 243–256.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.12.018
- Smith, C.R., Glover, A.G., Treude, T., Higgs, N.D., Amon, D.J., 2015. Whale-fall ecosystems: recent
- insights into ecology, paleoecology, and evolution. Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci. 7, 571–596.
- 1311 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010213-135144
- Sogin, E.M., Leisch, N., Dubilier, N., 2020. Chemosynthetic symbioses. Curr. Biol. 30, R1137–R1142.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.07.050
- ¹³¹⁴ Sugitani, K., Mimura, K., Takeuchi, M., Yamaguchi, T., Suzuki, K., Senda, R., Asahara, Y., Wallis, S., Van
- Kranendonk, M.J., 2015. A Paleoarchean coastal hydrothermal field inhabited by diverse microbial

- communities: the Strelley Pool Formation, Pilbara Craton, Western Australia. Geobiology 13, 522–
- ¹³¹⁷ 545. https://doi.org/10.1111/gbi.12150
- Sun, J., Zhang, Yu, Xu, T., Zhang, Yang, Mu, H., Zhang, Yanjie, Lan, Y., Fields, C.J., Hui, J.H.L., Zhang,
- ¹³¹⁹ W., Li, R., Nong, W., Cheung, F.K.M., Qiu, J.-W., Qian, P.-Y., 2017. Adaptation to deep-sea
- chemosynthetic environments as revealed by mussel genomes. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 1, 0121.
- https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0121
- ¹³²² Sun, S., Sha, Z., Wang, Y., 2018. Phylogenetic position of Alvinocarididae (Crustacea: Decapoda:
- Caridea): new insights into the origin and evolutionary history of the hydrothermal vent alvinocarid
 shrimps. Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap. 141, 93–105.
- 1325 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2018.10.001
- Sun, Z., Li, Jun, Huang, W., Dong, H., Little, C.T.S., Li, Jiwei, 2015. Generation of hydrothermal Fe-Si
- ¹³²⁷ oxyhydroxide deposit on the Southwest Indian Ridge and its implication for the origin of ancient
- banded iron formations. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences 120, 187–203.
- 1329 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JG002764
- ¹³³⁰ Ulrich, M., Picard, C., Guillot, S., Chauvel, C., Cluzel, D., Meffre, S., 2010. Multiple melting stages and
- refertilization as indicators for ridge to subduction formation: The New Caledonia ophiolite. Lithos
 115, 223–236.
- ¹³³³ Van Dover, C., 2000. The ecology of deep-sea hydrothermal vents. Princeton University Press.
- Vander Roost, J., Daae, F.L., Steen, I.H., Thorseth, I.H., Dahle, H., 2018. Distribution patterns of iron-
- oxidizing Zeta- and Beta-proteobacteria from different environmental settings at the Jan Mayen Vent
- 1336 Fields. Front. Microbiol. 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.03008
- ¹³³⁷ Vander Roost, J., Thorseth, I.H., Dahle, H., 2017. Microbial analysis of Zetaproteobacteria and co-
- colonizers of iron mats in the Troll Wall Vent Field, Arctic Mid-Ocean Ridge. PLoS One 12,
- e0185008. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0185008
- ¹³⁴⁰ Vinn, O., Kupriyanova, E.K., Kiel, S., 2013. Serpulids (Annelida, Polychaeta) at Cretaceous to modern
- hydrocarbon seeps: Ecological and evolutionary patterns. Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.
- ¹³⁴² 390, 35–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2012.08.003
- ¹³⁴³ Von Damm, K.L., Edmond, J.M., Measures, C.I., Grant, B., 1985. Chemistry of submarine hydrothermal
- solutions at Guaymas Basin, Gulf of California. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 49, 2221–2237.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(85)90223-6

- Vrijenhoek, R.C., 2013. On the instability and evolutionary age of deep-sea chemosynthetic communities.
 Deep Sea Res. II 92, 189–200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2012.12.004
- ¹³⁴⁸ Wacey, D., Saunders, M., Cliff, J., Kilburn, M.R., Kong, C., Barley, M.E., Brasier, M.D., 2014.
- 1349 Geochemistry and nano-structure of a putative ~3240 million-year-old black smoker biota, Sulphur
- ¹³⁵⁰ Springs Group, Western Australia. Precambrian Res. 249, 1–12.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precamres.2014.04.016
- Weigert, A., Bleidorn, C., 2016. Current status of annelid phylogeny. Org. Divers. Evol. 16, 345–362.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13127-016-0265-7
- Weigert, A., Helm, C., Meyer, M., Nickel, B., Arendt, D., Hausdorf, B., Santos, S.R., Halanych, K.M.,
- ¹³⁵⁵ Purschke, G., Bleidorn, C., Struck, T.H., 2014. Illuminating the base of the annelid tree using
- transcriptomics. Mol. Biol. Evol. 31, 1391–1401. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu080
- Weiss, M.C., Preiner, M., Xavier, J.C., Zimorski, V., Martin, W.F., 2018. The last universal common
- ancestor between ancient Earth chemistry and the onset of genetics. PLOS Genet. 14, e1007518.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007518
- Weiss, M.C., Sousa, F.L., Mrnjavac, N., Neukirchen, S., Roettger, M., Nelson-Sathi, S., Martin, W.F.,
- 2016. The physiology and habitat of the last universal common ancestor. Nat. Microbiol. 1, 16116.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.116
- 1363 Woodcock, N.H., 2004. Life span and fate of basins. Geology 32, 685–688.
- 1364 https://doi.org/10.1130/G20598.1
- Yang, R., Wei, H., Bao, M., Wang, W., Wang, Q., Zhang, X., Liu, L., 2008. Discovery of hydrothermal
 venting community at the base of Cambrian barite in Guizhou Province, Western China: Implication
 for the Cambrian biological explosion. Prog. Nat. Sci. 18, 65–70.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnsc.2007.07.006
- ¹³⁸⁹ Zaykov, V. V., Maslennikov, V. V., Zaykova, E. V., Herrington, R.J., 1996. Hydrothermal activity and
- 1370 segmentation in the Magnitogorsk-West Mugodjarian zone on the margins of the Urals palaeo-
- ocean. Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ. 118, 199–210.
- 1372 https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1996.118.01.12
- 1373 Zaykov, V.V., 2006. Vulkanizm i sul'fidnye kholmy paleookeanicheskikh okrain (Volcanism and Sulfide

- 1374 Mounds of Paleooceanic Margins). Nauka, Moscow.
- Zbinden, M., Martinez, I., Guyot, F., Cambon-Bonavita, M.-A., Gaill, F., 2001. Zinc-iron sulphide
- mineralization in tubes of hydrothermal vent worms. Eur. J. Mineral. 13, 653–658.
- 1377 https://doi.org/10.1127/0935-1221/2001/0013-0653
- 1378
- 1379

1380 Tables

1381

Table 1. Reported fossils from ancient hydrothermal vent deposits. Updated from Little et al. (1998) and Campbell (2006), with fossiliferous vent deposits reported since these reviews highlighted.

# Deposit name/location	Geo-	Age	Deposit type	Fauna	Inferred paleo-	References
	tectonic/stratigraphic				depth/setting	
	context					
1 Barlo, Luzon, Philippines	Supra-subduction zone	Upper Eocene, Zambales	VMS deposit	Vestimentiferan? worm tubes, filaments in	Deep offshore	(Boirat and Fouquet, 1986; Little
		Ophiolite		jasper		et al., 1998; Campbell, 2006)
2 Azema, New Caledonia	Oceanic spreading	Paleocene or Upper Cretaceou	s;VMS deposit	Worm tubes	Deep offshore	(Oudin et al., 1985; Little et al.,
	centre	ophiolite				1998; Campbell, 2006)
3 Madneuli, Georgia	Supra-subduction arc	Late Cretaceous	VMS deposit	Worm tubes	Shallow marine	(Little et al., 2007)
	setting					
4 Lahanos, Killik, Çayeli,	Supra-subduction arc	Upper Cretaceous	VMS deposits	Worm tubes	-	(Revan et al., 2010; Maslennikov
Kisilkaya sites, eastern Bla	cksetting					et al., 2016)
Sea, Turkey						
5 Kapedhes, Kinousa, Kamb	ia, Supra-subduction fore-	Upper Cretaceous, Turonian,	VMS deposits	Vestimentiferan worm tubes, serpulid? worr	n Deep offshore	(Oudin and Constantinou, 1984;
Memi, Sha, Peristerka sites	s, arc setting	Troodos Ophiolite		tubes, cerithids or provannids, epitoniids,		Little et al., 1998, 1999a)
Cyprus				filaments in jasper		
6 Bayda, Oman	Supra-subduction fore-	Upper Cretaceous, Cenomania	n,VMS deposit	Vestimentiferan? worm tubes	Deep offshore	(Haymon et al., 1984; Haymon
	arc setting	Samail Ophiolite				and Koski, 1985; Little et al.,
						1998; Campbell, 2006)

7 Zengővárkony, Mecsek	Rift basin, iron-ore	Lower Cretaceous	Iron ore deposit	Brachiopods:	-	(Bujtor, 2006, 2007; Bujtor and
Mountains, southern	depositional setting			Lacunosella hoheneggeri, Nucleata		Vörös, 2020)
Hungary	linked to former			veronica, Moutonithyris aff. M. moutoniana,		
	hydrothermal activity on	1		Karadagithyris sp., Zittelina pinguicula,		
	the seafloor			Dictyothyropsis vogli, Zittelina hofmanni,		
				Smirnovina ferraria		
8 Coast Range Ophiolite,	Supra-subduction zone	Late Jurassic	Ophiolite	Filaments in jasper	-	(Juniper and Fouquet, 1988;
California, USA	fore-arc basin					Little et al., 2004b)
9 OPD Core 129-801C-4R,	Mid-ocean ridge and	Mid Jurassic	-	Filaments in jasper	-	(Alt et al., 1992; Little et al.,
Pigafetta Basin, W. Pacific	ocean island					2004b)
10 Figueroa, San Rafael	Mid-ocean ridge or	Lower Jurassic, Pleinsbachian,	VMS deposit	Vestimentiferan worm tubes, Anarhynchia c	f.Deep offshore	(Little et al., 1999b, 2004a;
Mountains, southern	seamount	Franciscan Complex		gabbi, Francisciconcha maslennikovi,		Campbell, 2006)
California, USA				filaments in jasper		
11 Tynagh lead-zinc deposit,	Intracontinental basin	Lower Carboniferous, Upper	Sediment-hosted	Pyritised worm tubes	<100 m	(Banks, 1985; Little et al., 1998)
Ireland	adjacent to active	Tournaisian-Lower Viscean	Pb-Zn-barite			
	fault/exhalative		deposit; pyrite			
			chimneys			
12 Ballynoe barite deposit,	Intracontinental basin	Lower Carboniferous, late	Massive barite	Worm tubes, hematitised filaments	-	(Boyce et al., 2003)
Silvermines, Ireland	adjacent to active	Tournaisian	deposit (Sediment	-		
	fault/exhalative		hosted Pb-Zn			
			associated)			

13 Red Dog Zn-Pb- Ag deposit	, Active horst & graben/	Carboniferous, Kuna Formation	Barites and	Worm tubes, peloids	Offshore	(Moore et al., 1986; Campbell,
western Brooks Range,	long-lived starved		sulfides hosted in			2006)
Alaska, USA	sedimentary basin		siliceous black			
			shale and chert;			
			seep-related			
14 Canning Basin reefs,	Platform margin to	Upper Devonian, Frasnian,	Cool, early	Intergrown stromatolites and barite	Deep basin	(Playford and Wallace, 2001)
Western Australia	basinal/ post-	basinal facies, Gogo Fm.	exhalative phase,			
	depositional		stromatolite barite			
	compaction, faulting		sulfide build-ups			
15 Nikolaevskoe, Rudniy	Ensialic island arc	Middle Devonian, Frasnian	VMS deposit	Worm tubes	-	(Avdonin and Sergeeva, 2020)
Altai, Kazakhstan						
16 Oktyabrskoe, southern	West Magnitogorsk	Middle Devonian, Givetian,	VMS deposit	Worm tubes, brachiopods	>1400 m	(Maslennikov, 1991; Little et al.,
Ural Mountains, Russia	island arc	Karamalytash formation				1998; Maslennikov et al., 2017)
17 Barsuchiy Log, southern	East Magnitogorsk	Middle Devonian, Eifelian,	VMS deposit	Worm tubes	>1400 m	(Pshenichniy, 1984)
Ural Mountains, Russia	island arc	Karamalytash formation				
18 Gaiskoe, southern Ural	West Magnitogorsk	Middle Devonian, Eifelian,	VMS deposit	Worm tubes	>1400 m	(Avdonin and Sergeeva, 2017)
Mountains, Russia	island arc	Karamalytash formation				
19 Alexandrinka, southern	East Magnitogorsk	Middle Devonian, Givetian,	VMS deposit	Worm tubes in sulfide-barite layers;	>1400 m	(Ayupova and Maslennikov,
Ural Mountains, Russia	island arc	Karamalytash formation		hematitised worm microtubes and filaments		2013; Ayupova et al., 2017;
				in gossanites		Maslennikov et al., 2017)

20 Molodezhnoe, southern Ura	al East Magnitogorsk	Middle Devonian, Givetian,	VMS deposit	Worm tubes, hematitised worm microtubes	>1400 m	(Ayupova and Maslennikov,
Mountains, Russia	island arc	Karamalytash formation		and filaments in gossanites		2013; Maslennikov et al., 2016,
						2017; Ayupova et al., 2017)
21 Priorskoe, southern Ural	Dombarovka back-arc	Middle Devonian, Givetian,	VMS deposit	Worm tubes, hematitised worm microtubes	-	(Maslennikov et al., 2016, 2017;
Mountains, Kazakhstan	basin	Karamalytash formation		in gossanites		Ayupova et al., 2017)
22 Safyanovka, southern Ural	East Uralian Uplift	Middle Devonian, Givetian	VMS deposit	Worm tubes, hematitised worm	>500 m	(Little et al., 1998; Ayupova and
Mountains, Russia	(ensialic island arc)			microtubes in jasper		Maslennikov, 2013; Maslennikov
						et al., 2016, 2017; Ayupova et
						al., 2017)
23 Uzelga, southern Ural	East Magnitogorsk	Middle Devonian, Givetian,	VMS deposit	Worm tubes, hematitised worm	>1400 m	(Little et al., 1998; Ayupova et
Mountains, Russia	island arc	Karamalytash formation		microtubes in gossanites		al., 2017; Maslennikov et al.,
						0047
						2017)
24 Talgan, southern Ural	East Magnitogorsk	Middle Devonian, Givetian,	VMS deposit	Worm tubes; hematitised worm microtubes	>1400 m	2017) (Ayupova and Maslennikov,
24 Talgan, southern Ural Mountains, Russia	East Magnitogorsk	Middle Devonian, Givetian, Karamalytash formation	VMS deposit	Worm tubes; hematitised worm microtubes filaments and spherical bacterimorphic	>1400 m	
			VMS deposit		>1400 m	(Ayupova and Maslennikov,
			VMS deposit	filaments and spherical bacterimorphic	>1400 m	(Ayupova and Maslennikov, 2013; Ayupova et al., 2017;
			VMS deposit	filaments and spherical bacterimorphic texture, hematite-quartz stromatolites in		(Ayupova and Maslennikov, 2013; Ayupova et al., 2017;
Mountains, Russia	island arc	Karamalytash formation		filaments and spherical bacterimorphic texture, hematite-quartz stromatolites in gossanites		(Ayupova and Maslennikov, 2013; Ayupova et al., 2017; Maslennikov et al., 2017)
Mountains, Russia 25 Babaryk, southern Ural	island arc East Magnitogorsk island arc	Karamalytash formation Middle Devonian, Givetian,		filaments and spherical bacterimorphic texture, hematite-quartz stromatolites in gossanites Hematitised worm microtubes and filaments	- >1400 m	(Ayupova and Maslennikov, 2013; Ayupova et al., 2017; Maslennikov et al., 2017)
Mountains, Russia 25 Babaryk, southern Ural Mountains, Russia	island arc East Magnitogorsk island arc	Karamalytash formation Middle Devonian, Givetian, Karamalytash formation	VMS deposit	filaments and spherical bacterimorphic texture, hematite-quartz stromatolites in gossanites Hematitised worm microtubes and filaments in gossanites	- >1400 m	(Ayupova and Maslennikov, 2013; Ayupova et al., 2017; Maslennikov et al., 2017) (Ayupova et al., 2017)
Mountains, Russia 25 Babaryk, southern Ural Mountains, Russia 26 XIX Parts'ezd, southern Ura	island arc East Magnitogorsk island arc I East Magnitogorsk	Karamalytash formation Middle Devonian, Givetian, Karamalytash formation Middle Devonian, Givetian,	VMS deposit	filaments and spherical bacterimorphic texture, hematite-quartz stromatolites in gossanites Hematitised worm microtubes and filaments in gossanites Hematitised worm microtubes and filaments	a >1400 m a >1400 m	(Ayupova and Maslennikov, 2013; Ayupova et al., 2017; Maslennikov et al., 2017) (Ayupova et al., 2017)
Mountains, Russia 25 Babaryk, southern Ural Mountains, Russia 26 XIX Parts'ezd, southern Ura Mountains, Russia	island arc East Magnitogorsk island arc I East Magnitogorsk island arc	Karamalytash formation Middle Devonian, Givetian, Karamalytash formation Middle Devonian, Givetian, Karamalytash formation	VMS deposit VMS deposit	filaments and spherical bacterimorphic texture, hematite-quartz stromatolites in gossanites Hematitised worm microtubes and filaments in gossanites Hematitised worm microtubes and filaments in gossanites	a >1400 m a >1400 m	(Ayupova and Maslennikov, 2013; Ayupova et al., 2017; Maslennikov et al., 2017) (Ayupova et al., 2017) (Ayupova et al., 2017)

28 Sultanovka, southern Ural	East Uralian uplift	Middle Devonian, Givetian,	VMS deposit	Worm tubes	-	(Maslennikov et al., 2016, 2017)
Mountains, Russia	(allochthonous	Kasarga formation				
	fragments of evolved					
	oceanic and					
	epicontinental back-are	c				
	basin)					
29 Sibay, southern Ural	Sibay intra-arc basin	Middle-Lower Devonian, Emsia	n-VMS deposit	Annelid? worm tubes, Tevidestus serriformis	Deep offshore,	(Pshenichniy, 1984; Kuznetsov
Mountains, Russia		Eifelian, Karamalytash formation	n	(annelid worm tubes), <i>Sibaya ivanovi</i>	>1400 m	et al., 1988, 1991b, 1991a;
				(modiomorphid), indeterminate bivalves or		Maslennikov, 1991; Little et al.,
				brachiopods, hematitised worm microtubes		1997, 1999c; Shpanskaya et al.,
				in gossanites		1999; Campbell, 2006;
						Maslennikov et al., 2017, 2016;
						Ayupova et al., 2017; Georgieva
						et al., 2017)
30 Buribay, southern Ural	West Magnitogorsk	Lower Devonian, Eifelian,	VMS deposit	Worm tubes	>1400 m	(Little et al., 1998; Maslennikov
Mountains, Russia	island arc	Baimak-Buribay formation				et al., 2016, 2017)
31 Yubileynoe, southern Ural	West Magnitogorsk	Lower Devonian, Eifelian,	VMS deposit	Worm tubes, hematitised worm microtubes	>1400 m	(Little et al., 1998; Maslennikov
Mountains, Russia	island arc	Baymak-Buribay formation		and filaments		et al., 2016, 2017; Ayupova et
						al., 2017)
32 Novo-Shemur, northern Ur	al Tagil island arc	Silurian, Llandovery, Shemur	VMS deposit	Worm tubes, hematitised worm microtubes	1400 ± 400 m	(Ayupova et al., 2017)
Mountains, Russia		formation		and filaments in gossanites		

33 Shemur, northern Ural	Tagil island arc	Silurian, Llandovery, Shemur	VMS deposit	Hematitised microtubes and filaments in	1400 ± 400 m	(Ayupova and Maslennikov,
Mountains, Russia		formation		gossanites		2013; Ayupova et al., 2017)
34 Komsomol'skoe, southern	Sakmara marginal sea	Silurian, Llandovery, Blyava	VMS deposit	Worm tubes	1400 ± 400 m	(Pshenichniy, 1984)
Ural Mountains, Russia	(Zaykov, 2006) or back	- formation				
	arc basin (Herrington et	t				
	al., 2005c)					
35 Blyava, southern Ural	Sakmara marginal sea	Silurian, Llandovery, Blyava	VMS deposit	Worm tubes, hematitised microtubes and	1400 ± 400 m	(Ayupova and Maslennikov,
Mountains, Russia	(Zaykov, 2006) or back	- formation		filaments in gossanites		2013; Maslennikov et al., 2017)
	arc basin (Herrington et	t				
	al., 2005c)					
36 Dergamysh, southern Ural	Main Uralian fault	Silurian	Co-Ni-bearing	Worm tubes	-	(Maslennikov et al., 2016, 2017)
Mountains, Russia	(supra-subduction zone	?)	VMS deposit			
Mountains, Russia 37 Krasnogvardeyskoe, centra		e) Silurian, Llandovery,	VMS deposit	Worm tubes	1400 ± 400 m	(Ivanov, 1959; Little et al., 1998)
		,		Worm tubes	1400 ± 400 m	(Ivanov, 1959; Little et al., 1998)
37 Krasnogvardeyskoe, centra		Silurian, Llandovery,		Worm tubes ?Worm tubes, ?brachiopods	1400 ± 400 m 1400 ± 400 m	(Ivanov, 1959; Little et al., 1998) (Ivanov, 1959; Little et al., 1998)
37 Krasnogvardeyskoe, centra Ural Mountains, Russia	I Tagil island arc	Silurian, Llandovery, Krasnouralsk formation	VMS deposit			
 37 Krasnogvardeyskoe, centra Ural Mountains, Russia 38 Ljeviha, central Ural 	I Tagil island arc	Silurian, Llandovery, Krasnouralsk formation	VMS deposit			
 37 Krasnogvardeyskoe, centra Ural Mountains, Russia 38 Ljeviha, central Ural Mountains, Russia 	I Tagil island arc Tagil island arc	Silurian, Llandovery, Krasnouralsk formation Silurian, Llandovery	VMS deposit	?Worm tubes, ?brachiopods	1400 ± 400 m	(Ivanov, 1959; Little et al., 1998)
 37 Krasnogvardeyskoe, centra Ural Mountains, Russia 38 Ljeviha, central Ural Mountains, Russia 39 Valentorka, central Ural Mountains, Russia 	I Tagil island arc Tagil island arc Tagil island arc	Silurian, Llandovery, Krasnouralsk formation Silurian, Llandovery	VMS deposit VMS deposit VMS deposit	?Worm tubes, ?brachiopods	1400 ± 400 m	(Ivanov, 1959; Little et al., 1998)
 37 Krasnogvardeyskoe, centra Ural Mountains, Russia 38 Ljeviha, central Ural Mountains, Russia 39 Valentorka, central Ural Mountains, Russia 	I Tagil island arc Tagil island arc Tagil island arc Sakmara marginal sea	Silurian, Llandovery, Krasnouralsk formation Silurian, Llandovery Silurian (or possibly Ordovician)	VMS deposit VMS deposit VMS deposit	?Worm tubes, ?brachiopods Worm tubes	1400 ± 400 m 1400 ± 400 m	(Ivanov, 1959; Little et al., 1998) (Maslennikov et al., 2016, 2017)
 37 Krasnogvardeyskoe, centra Ural Mountains, Russia 38 Ljeviha, central Ural Mountains, Russia 39 Valentorka, central Ural Mountains, Russia 40 Yaman Kasy, southern Ural 	I Tagil island arc Tagil island arc Tagil island arc Sakmara marginal sea	Silurian, Llandovery, Krasnouralsk formation Silurian, Llandovery Silurian (or possibly Ordovician) Silurian, Llandovery (or possibly - Ordovician), Blyava formation	VMS deposit VMS deposit VMS deposit	?Worm tubes, ?brachiopodsWorm tubes<i>Eoalvinellodes annulatus</i> (indeterminate	1400 ± 400 m 1400 ± 400 m	(Ivanov, 1959; Little et al., 1998) (Maslennikov et al., 2016, 2017) (Kuznetsov et al., 1993; Little et

	lingulid brachiopod, <i>Mytilarca</i> (ambonychiid 2006; Blumenberg et al., 2012;
	bivalve), <i>Thermoconus shadlunae</i> Maslennikov et al., 2017, 2016;
	(monoplacophoran), indeterminate Ayupova et al., 2017; Georgieva
	vetigastropod, indeterminate double-shelled et al., 2017, 2018)
	fossil, hematitised worm microtubes,
	microbes and microbial biomarkers
41 Thalanga, Mt Windsor Back-arc basin/fault- Ordovician, Trooper Creek Fm. Sicila-iron ex	nalites Hematitic filament networks Deep offshore, (Duhig et al., 1992b, 1992a;
volcanic belt, northern associated brine-rich in quartz-ma	gnetite 1000+ m Davison et al., 2001)
Queensland, Australia fluid flow or hematite p	ods
42 Løkken, area, Trondheim Back-arc basin Lower Ordovician Jaspers asso	ciated Hematitic filament networks Deep offshore, (Grenne and Slack, 2003; Little
region, Norway with VMS de	posits 1000+ m et al., 2004b)
43 Tally Pond belt, central Rifted arc Middle Cambrian Metalliferous	Worm tubes, sponge spicules - (Lode et al., 2016)
Newfoundland, Canada mudstones	
associated w	ith
VMS deposit	5
44 Niutitang Formation, - Lower Cambrian Barite	Worm tubes, sponge spicules, algae - (Yang et al., 2008)
Guizhou Province, western hydrotherma	
China sedimentary	
deposit (SEE	EX?)
45 Gaobanhe Massive Sulfide, - Mesoproterozoic, 1.43 Ga VMS deposit	Microbial fossils - (Li and Kusky, 2007)
north China	

46 Lady Loretta, Mt. Isa,	Intracratonic basin/syn-	Paleoproterozoic, 1.64 Ga	SEDEX Zn-Pb-Ag	Stromatolitic textures in pyrite, crinckly	Shallow to deep	(Oehler and Logan, 1977;
McArthur River (HYC),	depositional faulting		deposits	laminated filaments; biomarkers; b-Alkanes,	water	McGoldrick, 1999; Logan et al.,
northern Australia				squalane, isoprenoids		2001)
47 Jerome district, Central	-	Paleoproterozoic, 1.71-1.74	VMS-associated	Hematitic filament networks	Deep offshore,	(Slack et al., 2007; Little et al.,
Arizona, USA		Ga	iron formation		850+ m	2021)
48 Sulphur Springs, Pilbara	Oceanic spreading	Paleoarchean, 3.23 Ga	VMS deposit	Pyritic filaments	Deep offshore,	(Rasmussen, 2000; Wacey et al.,
Craton, Australia	centre				1000+ m	2014)
49 Nuvvuagittuq belt, Quebec	, –	Eoarchean-Hadean, 3.77-4.28	Iron formation	Hematitic filament networks	-	(Dodd et al., 2017)
Canada		Ga				

1385 Supplementary Materials



1386

Figure S1. Searching for vent fossils in the field. A, open pit sulfide mine at Kambia, Cyprus, a location where vent fossils have been discovered. White areas on pit wall formed of gypsum, where exposed, potentially fossiliferous sulfides are currently being weathered.
B, sulfide mine waste at Høydal, Norway, showing evidence of well-preserved sulfide textures considered highly prospective for fossil preservation. C, ore-horizon equivalent jaspers from Åmot Mine, Norway, along strike from Høydal that have yielded bacterial fossils (camera lens cap included for scale). D, sulfide block from Yaman Kasy mine, Russia, showing exceptionally well-preserved 'worm tube' fossils (pen included for scale).