UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *The relative importance of soil moisture in predicting bacterial wilt disease occurrence*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/172039/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

#### Article:

Jiang, Gaofei, Ningqi, Wang, Yaoyu, Zhang et al. (9 more authors) (2021) The relative importance of soil moisture in predicting bacterial wilt disease occurrence. Soil Ecology Letters. 356–366. ISSN 2662-2297

https://doi.org/10.1007/s42832-021-0086-2

#### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/



# The relative importance of soil moisture in predicting bacterial wilt disease occurrence

Journal:	Soil Ecology Letters
Manuscript ID	SEL-2020-0105.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Jiang, Gaofei; Nanjing Agricultural University, Key Laboratory of Plant immunity, Jiangsu Provincial Key Laboratory for Organic Solid Waste Utilization, Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center for Solid Organic Waste Resource Utilization, National Engineering Research Center for Organic-based Fertilizers Ningqi, Wang Yaoyu, Zhang Wang, Zhen; Nanjing Agricultural University, Key Laboratory of Plant immunity, Jiangsu Provincial Key Laboratory for Organic Solid Waste Utilization, Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center for Solid Organic Waste Resource Utilization, National Engineering Research Center for Organic-based Fertilizers Zhang, Yuling Yu, Jiabao Zhang, Yong; Southwest University, College of Resources and Environment, Key Laboratory of Efficient Utilization of Soil and Fertilizer Resources Wei, Zhong; Nanjing Agricultural University Xu, Yang-Chun; Nanjing Agricultural University, Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center for Solid Organic Waste Resource Utilization Geisen, Stefan; Wageningen Universiteit en Research Friman, Ville-Petri; University of York, Department of Biology Shen, Qirong; Nanjing Agricultural University
Keywords:	Bacterial wilt disease, Soil moisture, Soil physicochemical properties, Rhizosphere bacterial communities, Ralstonia solanacearum, Random forest algorithm
Speciality:	Soil microbial ecology, Soil-plant interactions, Response and adaptation of soil biota to environmental changes

2	
3	
4	
с С	
7	
8	SCHOLARONE
9	Manuscripts
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
∠ı 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31 20	
32	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41 42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52 53	
53	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	http://journal.hep.com.cn/sel

## Highlights

- Soil moisture is a key predictor of bacterial wilt disease (BWD) across China.
- Other soil properties have lesser role and are locally associated to BWD.
- Soil moisture can causally drive BWD in greenhouse experiment.
- Water management strategies could potentially be used in BWD control.

1		
2 3		
4	1	Title
5 6 7	2	The relative importance of soil moisture in predicting bacterial wilt disease occurrence
7 8 9	3	Running Title
10 11	4	Soil moisture predicts wilt disease
12 13 14	5	Authors
15 16 17	6	Gaofei Jiang <sup>a</sup> , Ningqi Wang <sup>a</sup> , Yaoyu Zhang <sup>a</sup> , Zhen Wang <sup>a</sup> , Yuling Zhang <sup>a</sup> , Jiabao Yu <sup>a</sup> , Yong
17 18 19	7	Zhang <sup>b</sup> , Zhong Wei <sup>a,*</sup> , Yangchun Xu <sup>a</sup> , Stefan Geisen <sup>c</sup> , Ville-Petri Friman <sup>d</sup> , Qirong Shen <sup>a</sup>
20 21	8	Gaofei Jiang: 0000-0002-5331-739X gjiang@njau.edu.cn
22 23 24	9	Ningqi Wang: 0000-0002-7788-4364 2019203023@njau.edu.cn
25 26	10	Yaoyu Zhang: 0000-0001-7562-1440 zhangyaoyu0203@126.com
27 28 20	11	Zhen Wang: 0000-0002-5394-9127 1475867813@qq.com
30 31	12	Yuling Zhang: 0000-0001-6352-570X  434404291@126.com
32 33	13	Jiabao Yu: 0000-0002-3685-461X yjb21123@sina.cn
34 35 36	14	Yong Zhang: 0000-0003-1820-0927 bioyongzhang@swu.edu.cn
37 38	15	Zhong Wei: 0000-0002-7967-4897 weizhong@njau.edu.cn
39 40 41	16	Yangchun Xu: 0000-0003-2740-5561 ycxu@njau.edu.cn
42 43	17	Stefan Geisen: 0000-0003-0734-727X stefan.geisen@wur.nl
44 45 46	18	Ville-Petri Friman: 0000-0002-1592-157X ville.friman@york.ac.uk
47 48	19	Qirong Shen: 0000-0002-5662-9620 shenqirong@njau.edu.cn
49 50 51	20	Author Affiliation
52 53	21	<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Plant immunity, Jiangsu Provincial Key Laboratory for Organic Solid
54 55 56	22	Waste Utilization, Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center for Solid Organic Waste Resource
57 58	23	Utilization, National Engineering Research Center for Organic-based Fertilizers, Nanjing
59 60	24	Agricultural University

Soil Ecology Letters

<sup>b</sup> College of Resources and Environment, Key Laboratory of Efficient Utilization of Soil and Fertilizer Resources, Southwest University, Chongqing, China <sup>c</sup> Laboratory of Nematology, Wageningen University, Wageningen, the Netherlands <sup>d</sup> Department of Biology, University of York, York, UK \*Corresponding Authors Email: weizhong@njau.edu.cn (Zhong Wei); Telephone number: 025-84396864 Summary Soil-borne plant diseases cause major economic losses globally. This is partly because their epidemiology is difficult to predict in agricultural fields, where multiple environmental factors could determine disease outcomes. Here we used a combination of field sampling and direct experimentation to identify key abiotic and biotic soil properties that can predict the occurrence of bacterial wilt caused by pathogenic *Ralstonia solanacearum*. By analysing 139 tomato rhizosphere soils samples isolated from six provinces in China, we first show a clear link between soil properties, pathogen density and plant health. Specifically, disease outcomes were positively associated with soil moisture, bacterial abundance and bacterial community composition. Based on soil properties alone, random forest machine learning algorithm could predict disease outcomes correctly in 75% of cases, with soil moisture being the most significant predictor. The importance of soil moisture was validated causally in a controlled greenhouse experiment, where the highest disease incidence was observed at 60% of maximum water holding capacity. Together, our results show that local soil properties can predict disease occurrence across a wider agricultural landscape, and that management of 

1 ว		
2 3 4	48	soil moisture could potentially offer a straightforward method for reducing crop losses to R.
5 6 7	49	solanacearum.
7 8 9	50	
10 11 12	51	Keywords
12 13 14	52	Bacterial wilt disease; Soil moisture; Soil physicochemical properties; Rhizosphere bacterial
15 16	53	communities; Ralstonia solanacearum; Random forest algorithm
17 18 19	54	
20 21 22	55	
23 24		
25 26 27		
28 29		
30 31 32		
33 34		
35 36 37		
38 39		
40 41 42		
43 44		
45 46 47		
48 49		
50 51 52		
53 54		
55 56 57		
58 59		
60		

#### 56 1 Introduction

Multiple physicochemical and biotic environmental factors have long been known to be important for plant disease outbreaks, as suggested by the conceptual Disease Triangle model (McNew, 1960). For example, soil moisture (Aung et al., 2018), pH (Rahman and Othman, 2020), nutrient availability (Berg and Koskella, 2018) and microbial communities (Trivedi et al., 2020) all influence the severity of soil-borne diseases. Furthermore, temperature can directly affect pathogen densities (Wei et al., 2015a; Pimentel and Ayres, 2018) or the strength of interactions between pathogen and its competitors (Wei et al., 2017; Velásquez et al., 2018) with important consequences for the disease occurrence. However, while the significance of environment has been long recognised (Cheng et al., 2019), we still poorly understand the relative importance of different factors, or their combinations, for the disease outcomes. Furthermore, the effects of soil properties are often studied locally within one specific agricultural area making it difficult to extrapolate results up to a level of a country or a continent (Janvier et al., 2007; Orr and Nelson, 2018). To study this, we used China-wide (area of 1.3 million Km<sup>2</sup>) sampling of tomato plant rhizosphere to identify key abiotic and biotic soil properties associated with bacterial wilt disease occurrence, and experimentally tested if one of the most important factors, soil moisture, could causally drive bacterial wilt disease incidence in a greenhouse experiment.

Ralstonia solanacearum bacterium is a causative agent of notorious bacterial wilt disease that leads to a systemic wilting of plants (Hayward, 1991). It can infect multiple important crops belonging to the *Solanaceae* family (e.g. potato, tomato and tobacco) and has a global distribution (Mansfield et al., 2012). Previous studies have identified associations with multiple soil physicochemical factors and *R. solanacearum* infections both in the field and greenhouse experiments (Hayward, 1991; Jiang et al., 2017; Wei et al., 2018; Siregar et

Page 7 of 47

#### Soil Ecology Letters

al., 2020). For example, R. solanacearum-infected plants have previously been associated with increased soil moisture (Jiang, 2016), acidic pH (Li et al., 2017a) and high nitrogen availability (Dalsing et al., 2015; Y. Gu et al., 2020Gu et al., 2020a). These environmental factors could affect bacterial wilt occurrence directly by favouring the growth of the pathogen, as R. solanacearum needs to reach certain threshold density in the soil to express key virulence factors that are triggered by quorum sensing signalling (Genin and Denny, 2012; Peyraud et al., 2016, 2018). Alternatively, soil properties could have indirect effects on the pathogen via plants or associated plant rhizosphere microbiome. Plants have evolved sophisticated defence mechanisms against pathogens, and recent evidence suggests that environmental factors can directly affect plant immunity and defence hormone pathways (Velásquez et al., 2018). Rhizosphere microbiome also plays a crucial role in forming the first line of defence against invading pathogens, often considerably shaping the disease severity (Kwak et al., 2018; Wei et al., 2019, 2020). In general, diverse microbial communities can limit pathogen growth due to intense competition for nutrients, space and other resources (Wei et al., 2015b; S. Gu et al., 2020Gu et al., 2020b), or because they are likely to contain highly antagonistic species that can directly inhibit the pathogen for example by secreting antimicrobial molecules (Raza et al., 2016a, 2016b). Crucially, soil properties often determine the composition and diversity of rhizosphere microbiome and could hence indirectly affect the likelihood of *R. solanacearum* infections. 

99 Understanding the potential role of soil properties in *R. solanacearum* infections is 100 especially important because bacterial wilt dynamics often show high temporal and spatial 101 variability both between and within fields (Wei et al., 2017, 2018). Previous work has shown 102 that between-field variability could be driven by local fluctuations in temperature and 103 humidity (Wei et al., 2017), while within-field variation could be explained by spatial

#### Soil Ecology Letters

differences in soil physicochemical properties or the composition of microbial communities, which both have been associated with disease outcomes previously (Wei et al., 2018, 2019; Lee et al., 2021). However, it is unclear which soil properties are relatively more important than the others, and if the previously observed patterns hold across a wider geographical area with varying local environmental conditions. To study this, we focused on six geographically separated tomato fields in China (area of 1.3 million Km<sup>2</sup>) to explore the role of within- and between-field variation in abiotic and biotic soil properties for bacterial wilt disease occurrence. We first collected and analysed 139 rhizosphere soil samples originating from healthy and diseased plants at every field and identified significant associations between the disease outcome, pathogen densities and different soil properties. Second, machine learning algorithm was used to identify the relatively most important soil properties associated with the bacterial wilt disease, whose importance was directly tested in a greenhouse experiment. It was found that despite considerable between-field variation, healthy and diseased plants were consistently associated with certain soil properties, which could predict bacterial wilt disease occurrence with 75% accuracy. Soil moisture, bacterial community composition and bacterial abundances were the most important predictors of disease by incidence based ona random forest model, and. Furthermore, soil moisture content treatment at 60% of maximum water holding capacity led to the highest levels of disease incidence in a controlled greenhouse experiment. Together, our findings suggest that local variation in abiotic and biotic soil properties can reliably predict bacterial wilt disease outcomes across large agricultural area. 

2 3	
4	-
5 6	
7	
8	
9 10	
11	
12 13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19 20	
20	-
22	
23 24	-
25	
26 27	
27	
29	
30 31	
32	
33 24	•
35 35	ł
36	
37 38	
39	
40 41	
41 42	
43	•
44 45	
46	
47 49	
40 49	
50	
51 52	
53	-
54	
55 56	-
57	
58 50	
60	

126	2 Experimental Procedures
-----	---------------------------

127 2.1 Sampling sites and collection of plant soil samples

128 Soil samples were collected from tomato fields at six locations in Changsha of Hunan province 129 (112°58'E, 28°11'N), Ningbo of Zhejiang province (121°67'E, 29°91'N), Nanchang of Jiangxi 130 province (115°51'E, 28°41'N), Nanjing of Jiangsu province (18°57'E, 32°03'N), Nanning of 131 Guangxi province (108°21'E, 22°49'N) and Wuhan of Hubei province (114.31'E 30.52'N) during tomato bacterial wilt disease outbreaks in the summer 2015. The sampled fields in Central 132 133 (Hubei and Hunan), Eastern (Jiangsu, and Zhejiang) and Southern (Guangxi) China recurrently 134 experience *R. solanacearum* outbreaks (Jiang et al., 2017) and had suffered from bacterial wilt disease epidemics between 3 to 15 years based on communication with the local farmers. 135 136 Within each sampling site, common local tomato cultivars were used: Solanum lycopersicum 137 cv. "Hengkang #1" in Changsha, "CTX 201" in Nignbo, "Hezuo 906" in Nanachang, "Guihong 138 #1", "Jipin" in Nanjing and "Huafan #13" in Wuhan. At each sampling site, around 12 139 symptomatic (diseased) and 12 asymptomatic (healthy) tomato plants were chosen randomly 140 based on the presence and absence of visible disease symptoms and randomly sampled at the early fruiting stage resulting in(-a total of 139 rhizosphere samples). Excess root soil was 141 142 discarded by gently shaking and the remaining soil attached on the root surfaces was 143 collected and considered as the rhizosphere soil (Wei et al., 2011). Around 10 g of fresh 144 rhizosphere soil per plant was sampled and divided into two sealed 5 mL Eppendorf tubes to 145 retain natural soil properties. One tube was cryopreserved in 5 mL of 30% glycerol at -80 °C 146 to analyse biotic properties of rhizosphere samples (pathogen and total bacteria densities and 147 microbial community diversity and composition). Another tube was used for determining soil 148 physicochemical (abiotic) properties as described in the following section.

149

150 2.2 Determination of abiotic and biotic soil	properties
--	------------

#### *2.2.1 Abiotic properties*

Abiotic physiochemical properties included soil moisture content (Moisture, %), pH, available phosphorus (P, mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>), available potassium (K, mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>), water-soluble carbon (C, mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>) and total nitrogen (N, mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>). The difference in fresh and air-dried soil sample weight was used as a proxy of soil moisture for each rhizosphere sample. Soil pH was measured in a 20% water (w/w) suspension (Li et al., 2017a) using a pH meter (PB-10, Sartorius, Germany). Available P and K were extracted with hydrochloric acid and ammonium fluoride and measured using molybdenum blue method (Pansu and Gautheyrou, 2006). The water-soluble carbon and total N were determined by following a previous protocol (Pansu and Gautheyrou, 2006) using a multi C/N analyzer 3000 (Analytik Jena AG, Germany). 

) 161

#### 162 2.2.2 Biotic properties

The total DNA was exacted from ~0.25 g of cryopreserved rhizosphere soil using PowerSoil DNA Isolation Kit (Mobio Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. DNA quality and concentration were checked using a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Soil DNA was subjected to 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) Illumina amplicon sequencing to determine the diversity and composition of bacterial communities at Shanghai Biozeron Biological Technology Co. Ltd. The V4 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified with the primer pair 563F (5'-AYTGGGYDTAAAGVG-3') and 802R (5'-TACNVGGGTATCTAATCC-3'). All sequences were processed with QIIME (Caporaso et al., 2010). The OTU similarity cut-off was assigned at 97% identity level using USEARCH (Edgar, 2010). OTUs were assigned to corresponding bacterial taxa using the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) database with the online version of the RDP

#### Soil Ecology Letters

classifier (Cole et al., 2014). The microbial community diversity was determined as Shannon diversity index (Shannon) and Chao1 richness index (Shannon) using the vegan R package (Dixon, 2003) after removing *R. solanacearum* OTUs (Wei et al., 2018). Microbial community composition was quantified as a dissimilarity index (Bray-Curtis) based on average Bray-Curtis distance of each sample from each other at the OTU level. The pathogen and total bacterial densities were examined with qPCR using R. solanacearum-specific primer Rsol fliC (Schönfeld et al., 2003) and general bacterial primer pair Eub338/Eub518 (Fierer et al., 2005). SYBR Premix Ex Taq Kit (TaKaRa Biotech. Co, Japan) was used following the manufacturers' protocol, and each sample was measured in triplicate using a 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA).

2.3 Establishing causality between soil moisture and bacterial wilt incidence in tomato A greenhouse experiment was conducted in Yixing of Jiangsu province to test whether soil moisture can predictably drive the development of bacterial wilt disease under controlled environmental conditions. Experimental soils that were free of *R. solanacearum* were collected from a riverside of Zhangzhu town in Yixing: no R. solanacearum growth was detected using semi-selective agar medium (Wei et al., 2018). Tomato seeds (S. lycopersicum cv. "Jipin") were surface-sterilized with NaClO (3%; v:v) and germinated on moist filter paper for 2 days before sowing in sterilized nursery substrate (Huaian Agricultural Technology Development Ltd). Tomato seedlings were transplanted into plastic pots with five kg of homogenized dry soils at four-leaf stage. The soil moisture content was manipulated using five treatments with 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, and 80% of maximum water holding capacity, and twelve replicate pots were used per treatment. This moisture range covered dry (40%) and flooded (80%) soils. Plants were acclimated in greenhouse conditions for three weeks before

pathogen inoculation and then grown in the same conditions until the end of the experiment (constant temperature of 30 °C ± 3 °C, relative air humidity of 80%, and 14 h of light and 10 h of dark daily cycle). Water content was kept constant in each treatment by adding sterile water to each pot-during acclimatization period before the infection and until the end of the experiment after the infectionaccordingly. After three weeks of acclimatization, R. solanacearum pathogen strain QL-Rs1115 (a strong virulent reference strain) was inoculated to all pots using soil drenching method with resulting in final concentration of  $5.0 \times 10^6$ CFU·g<sup>-1</sup> soil (Wei et al., 2011). The same amount of water (10 mL) was used with all the pots, which led to only momentary increase in water holding capacity in some of the low moisture treatments during the drenching. The disease development was monitored on a daily basis and quantified as a disease index on a scale ranging from 0 to 4 where one whole number change corresponds to 25% increase in the proportion of wilted leaves per plant (Schandry, 2017). 2.4 Data analyses 2.4.1 Comparing differences in abiotic and biotic properties of healthy and diseased plant 

213 2.4.1 Comparing differences in abiotic and biotic properties of nealthy and diseased p 2, 214 rhizosphere samples

All measured abiotic and biotic properties were normalized between the range 0 – 1 using min-max normalization before statistical analyses (Patro and Sahu, 2015). Nonparametric Wilcoxon rank sum test (Wilcoxon test) was used to compare differences between healthy and diseased plant rhizosphere soil samples (Cuzick, 1985). The microbial community composition was ordinated by principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) using Bray-Curtis distance and differences between healthy and diseased plant rhizosphere soil samples were compared using the nonparametric permutational multivariate analysis of variance

#### Soil Ecology Letters

(PERMANOVA, P < 0.05, 999 permutations) using Adonis function in R vegan package (Dixon, 2003). Principal component analysis (PCA), based on the Euclidean distance of the range normalized values for overall abiotic and biotic properties, was used to visualize differences between healthy and diseased plants (FactoMineR R package (Lê et al., 2008); statistical significance tested using nonparametric PERMANOVA (P < 0.05) with 999 permutations using Adonis function in R vegan package (Dixon, 2003)).

2.4.2 Identifying key abiotic and biotic predictors for pathogen abundance and plant health To identify key abiotic and biotic predictors for pathogen abundance, we build up a model using multiple linear regression function in R stats package (R Core Team, 2020) to predict R. solanacearum densities based on all measured soil properties. The relative importance of different predictors werewas estimated based on their significance for the model performance (% of R<sup>2</sup>) using Anova (R Core Team, 2020) and relweights functions in R (Kabacoff, 2015). To understand the relationship between environmental variables and disease incidence, we used Random forest approach using randomForest package in R as follows (Cutler and Wiener, 2018). We first randomly selected 80% of the entire 139 sample dataset as a training set (n = 111) to generate a classification model for predicting plant health status (healthy vs. diseased) based on soil abiotic (moisture, pH, P, K, C, N) and biotic properties including Chao1, Shannon and Bray-Curtis metrices of the bacterial community in tomato rhizosphere soils. Tenfold cross-validation was performed 10 times using the rfcv function to select appropriate number of predictor properties whose importance and cross-validation curves were visualized by using the R ggplot2 package (Wickham et al., 2020). Remaining 20% of samples (n = 28) were used as a test set to predict plant health based on the abiotic and biotic rhizosphere soil properties.

2 3 4	246	
5 6 7	247	2.4.3 Analysis of disease dynamics in a greenhouse experiment
7 8 9	248	The effect of soil moisture on disease dynamics was analysed based on temporal
10 11 12	249	changes in disease index values using a logistic growth curve (Schandry, 2017). The disease
12 13 14	250	dynamics curves were fitted individually for each plant using gcFitModel function in R grofit-
15 16	251	package (Kahm et al., 2010). As described previously (Wei et al., 2015b), this fit could be
17 18 19	252	divided into three variables describing different stages of disease development: 1) lag phase
20 21	253	referred to as the delay time of disease symptom onset after inoculation of the pathogen
22 23 24	254	(early infection stage); 2) disease rate referred to as the exponential increase of disease
25 26	255	progression (exponential infection stage); 3) area under progression of the disease dynamics
27 28 20	256	curve (AUDPC) referred to as the overall severity of wilt disease (late infection stage). Shapiro-
30 31	257	Wilk and Bartlett's tests were used to test the normality and homogeneity of the fitted
32 33	258	variables using the R stats-package. If the data matrix followed a normal distribution with
34 35 36	259	homogeneous variances, ANOVA and post hoc Tukey's HSD tests were used to compare
37 38	260	differences between different soil moisture groups ( $P < 0.05$ ) using R multcomp-package
39 40 41	261	(Hothorn et al., 2020). Otherwise, non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis and post hoc Dunn's tests
42 43	262	were used for statistical analyses using R agricolae package (Mendiburu, 2020).
44 45 46	263	

http://journal.hep.com.cn/sel

2	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
10	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
22	
33	
34	
35	
36	
20	
57	
38	
39	
40	
⊿1	
40	
42	
43	
44	
45	
75	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
50	
51	
52	
53	
55	
54	
55	
56	
57	
50	
20	
59	
60	

264	3 Results
265	3.1 Rhizosphere soil properties vary between healthy and diseased plants
266	We first compared the abiotic physiochemical and biotic rhizosphere soil properties (Table 1)
267	of diseased and healthy tomato plants across six sampled provinces in China (Fig. 1a, CS:
268	Changsha; NB: Ningbo; NC: Nanchang; NJ: Nanjing; NN: Nanning; WH: Wuhan). Pathogen
269	densities were on average 15.79 times higher in diseased compared to healthy plants ( $P <$
270	0.0001, Wilcoxon test, Supp. Fig. 1-2), and also the other rhizosphere soil properties differed
271	between diseased and healthy plants (Fig. 1, Supp. Fig. 1-2). Specifically, diseased plants were
272	characterized by 1.15 times higher soil moisture ( $P = 0.001$ ), and 10.53 times higher total
273	bacterial densities ( $P = 0.0002$ ) compared to the healthy plants (Wilcoxon test; Fig 1b and
274	Supp. Fig. 1-2). While other physiochemical soil properties, or microbial community diversity,
275	did not differ between the diseased and healthy plants ( <i>P</i> > 0.05; Wilcoxon test; Fig 1b, Supp.
276	Fig. 1-2), PCoA analysis revealed that microbial community composition varied depending on
277	the plant health status ( $R^2 = 0.10$ , $P = 0.002$ ) and between provinces ( $R^2 = 0.56$ , $P = 0.001$ ,
278	PERMANOVA; Fig. 1c and Supp. Fig. 3). Moreover, differences in microbial community
279	composition between the healthy and diseased plants were location-specific: significant
280	differences were found in CS, NB, NJ and NN ( $P > 0.05$ ) but not in NC or WH provinces ( $P < 0.05$ )
281	0.05, PERMANOVA; Supp. Fig. 3). Moreover, when analysed together using PCA, abiotic
282	physicochemical and biotic soil properties differed between provinces ( $R^2 = 0.62$ , $P = 0.001$ ),
283	and between healthy and diseased plants within each province ( $R^2 = 0.09$ , $P = 0.001$ ,
284	PERMANOVA; Fig. 1d and Supp. Fig. 4). Together, these results suggest that diseased and
285	healthy plants were associated with distinct soil properties despite clear between-province
286	variation in environmental conditions.

287

3.2 Soil moisture is the relatively most important factor distinguishing diseased and healthyplant samples

To compare the relative importance of different soil properties, we used correlation analysis and machine learning. We found statistically significant relationships between abiotic physicochemical and biotic parameters and *R. solanacearum* pathogen densities in case of all variables except for P and K availability (P > 0.05, Fig. 2a and Supp. Fig. 5). Specifically, pathogen densities correlated negatively with N availability ( $R^2 = 0.24$ , P = 0.005) and average Bray-Curtis dissimilarity (average Bray-Curtis distance of microbial community composition from other 139 samples,  $R^2 = 0.28$ , P = 0.001). In contrast, pathogen densities were positively associated with total bacterial densities ( $R^2 = 0.60$ , P < 0.0001), soil moisture ( $R^2 = 0.55$ , P < 0.0001) 0.0001), pH (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.28, P = 0.001), Shannon diversity (R<sup>2</sup> = 0.20, P = 0.017) and Chao1 richness  $(R^2 = 0.21, P = 0.014;$  Fig. 2a and Supp. Fig. 5). Of all predictor variables, soil moisture (relative weight = 40.36%), total bacterial density (relative weight = 22.77%) and soil pH (relative weight = 14.59%) were the most significant predictors of pathogen densities in the tomato rhizosphere (multiple regression model, AIC: 324.09;  $F_{10,128}$  = 10.6,  $R^2$  = 0.45, P < 0.0001, Supp. Table 1). 

Random forest modelling was further used to analyse associations between soil properties and plant health. By using all measured soil properties, we could predict bacterial wilt disease outcomes with 78.6% accuracy (AUC = 0.89; Supp. Fig. 6). To eliminate the obvious link between pathogen abundance and disease incidence, we re-ran the model without pathogen density data (Fig. 2b). The high predictability of the model was retained, and bacterial wilt disease outcomes could still be predicted with 75% accuracy (AUC = 0.75; Fig. 2c). Based on ten-fold cross-validation with 10 independent model simulations (inset of Fig. 2b), soil moisture was ranked as the most important individual predictor of plant health 

### Soil Ecology Letters

3 4	312	followed by the total bacterial abundances (Fig. 2b). Together, these results suggest that
5 6 7	313	abiotic and biotic soil properties can reliably predict bacterial wilt disease occurrence, with
, 8 9	314	soil moisture being the relatively most important factor.
10 11 12	315	
13 14	316	3.3 Variation in soil moisture can causally drive bacterial wilt disease occurrence
15 16 17	317	To directly test if soil moisture can drive variation in bacterial wilt disease incidence, we
17 18 19	318	performed a greenhouse experiment where tomato plants were exposed to R. solanacearum
20 21	319	type strain under different soil moisture treatments. We found that bacterial wilt disease
22 23 24	320	dynamics differed depending on soil moisture content and the stage of infection (Fig. 3). On
25 26	321	average, the highest disease incidence was observed in 60% followed by 70% soil moisture
27 28 29	322	content treatments, while no differences were observed between the other treatments (Fig.
30 31	323	3a-b). Specifically, soil moisture effects were visible during the early stages of infection in
32 33	324	terms of reduced lag-phase of disease onset ( $F_{4,21}$ = 7.48, $P < 0.0001$ , ANOVA; Fig. 3b) and as
34 35 36	325	overall differences in area under disease progression curve (AUDPC, $\chi^2$ = 13.73, P = 0.008,
37 38	326	AUDPC panel), while soil moisture content had no effect on the disease rate during the
39 40 41	327	exponential phase of infection ( $\chi^2$ = 4.07, P = 0.396, Kruskal-Wallis test; Fig. 3b). Together,
42 43	328	these results demonstrate that soil moisture alone can causally drive bacterial wilt disease
44 45 46	329	outcomes in otherwise homogenous tomato rhizosphere environments.
40 47 48	330	
49 50	331	

#### 332 4 Discussion

Here we studied if plant-level variation in bacterial wilt disease occurrence could be explained by local abiotic and biotic soil properties across six provinces in China. Our sampling data shows that healthy and diseased plant rhizosphere soils were associated with distinct abiotic and biotic properties which could predict bacterial wilt disease occurrence with 75% accuracy. Soil moisture was identified as the most important predictor, and its causal role was tested directly in a greenhouse experiment with tomato. It was found that variation in soil moisture alone, could considerably change the disease dynamics resulting in different levels of disease incidence. Our results are in line with previous studies that have identified a tight link between moisture and plant diseases (Huber and Gillespie, 1992) with Pseudomonas syringae (Xin et al., 2016) and *M. oryzae* pathogens in the plant phyllosphere (Li et al., 2014) and by expandings this association to crops and other soil-borne bacterial diseases.

Moisture could affect plant pathogens in several ways (Aung et al., 2018) ranging from effects on pathogen survival, movement and growth in the soil (Smilanick and Mansour, 2007; Kearns, 2010) to effects on pathogen invasiveness (Li et al., 2014) or indirect effects on the activation of plant defences (Panchal et al., 2016; Velásquez et al., 2018). While the relationship with moisture has previously been observed with other soil-borne pathogenic fungi and bacteria, including R. solanacearum (Chairman et al., 1981; van Elsas et al., 2000; Islam and Toyama, 2004; Satou et al., 2006; Mondal et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2018), we here show that moisture was the relatively most important factor predicting bacterial wilt occurrence across broad geographical scale spanning six Chinese provinces. Our sampling area covered various soil types, tomato cultivars and climate conditions. While clear geographical variation between locations was observed, the significance of soil moisture on plant health status was significant within each field. As a result, this difference could not be

#### Soil Ecology Letters

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/	
ð	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
10	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
20	
20	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
<u>4</u> 1	
71 12	
42	
4) 44	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
52	
55	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	

356 explained by local climate or agricultural practises, such as use of certain tomato cultivars. In 357 the future, it will be important to see if our findings can be extrapolated to other countries 358 and agricultural areas experiencing recurrent *R. solanacearum* outbreaks.

359 In addition to identifying an important country-wide link with the soil moisture, we 360 show that this association might not be an indirect consequence of R. solanacearum infection, 361 which typically leads to increased soil moisture via reduced water uptake and transpiration in the infected plants (Jiang, 2016). Instead, by using controlled greenhouse experiment, we 362 363 demonstrate that soil moisture alone can causally drive bacterial wilt disease outcomes in 364 otherwise identical soil environmental conditions. Highest levels of disease incidence were 365 observed at 60% and 70% maximum water holding capacity soil moisture treatments, and there are several potential reasons for this. First, it is possible that this moisture content level 366 367 was optimal for the plant growth (Kramer, 1983) leading to more efficient root exudation 368 (Larson and Funk, 2016) and improved growth and colonisation of the plant by the pathogen 369 (van Elsas et al., 2000; Islam and Toyama, 2004). Moreover, non-optimal soil moisture levels 370 have previously been shown to lead overexpression of plant resistance genes (Sinha et al., 371 2016; Jiang et al., 2018), which could have also affected the observed differences in disease 372 occurrence, as reported before (Mondal et al., 2014). Alternatively, it is possible that certain 373 moisture levels were directly beneficial to the pathogen, allowing more efficient growth, 374 movement and colonisation of the plant (Beattie, 2011; Aung et al., 2018; Velásquez et al., 375 2018). Finally, soil moisture is known to affect the availability of oxygen (Mainiero and Kazda, 376 2005) and nutrients (Cavagnaro, 2016), which could have affected the R. solanacearum growth (Dalsing et al., 2015) or the strength of microbiome-mediated pathogen suppression 377 378 (Chen et al., 2007; Brockett et al., 2012) in the rhizosphere. Further experiments are hence 379 however needed to directly test these explanations-directly. 60

#### Soil Ecology Letters

In addition to soil moisture, also some soil physiochemical properties, such as microbiome composition, pH and nitrogen availability, differed between healthy and diseased plants depending on the sampling sites. This is in line with previous findings showing a clear link between bacterial community composition and bacterial wilt disease outcomes (Wei et al., 2018, 2019), highlighting also the importance of microbial interactions for R. solanacearum infections (Wei et al., 2019; Wen et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2021). While differences in bacterial community richness and diversity of healthy and diseased plants were only significant in Nanjing, bacterial community composition was more consistently associated with plant health status indicative of its importance in predicting bacterial wilt disease occurrence (Wei et al., 2019). In the future, it would be interesting to test if the abundance and activity of certain R. solanacearum-suppressing bacteria, such Firmicutes and Actinobacteria (Lee et al., 2021), were positively or negatively affected by the soil moisture content. Furthermore, it has previously been shown that bacterial wilt disease is aggravated in acidic soils (Li et al., 2017a, 2017b; Wang et al., 2017) and by high nitrogen availability (Y. Gu et al., 2020Gu et al., 2020a), while high C, N, P and K availabilities have been linked with to healthy plant rhizosphere (Wang et al., 2017; Wei et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2020). However, we found that the physicochemical soil properties did not consistently differ between healthy and diseased plants machine learning algorithm. It is also possible that some of the healthy plants were latently infected by R. solanacearum, and hence, did not show visible disease symptoms despite being infected (Hayward, 1991; Genin and Denny, 2012). While certain healthy plants overlapped in their soil properties with the diseased plants, we did not see clear clustering of healthy plant samples at the field level. This suggests that the proportion of latently infected plants was low, or that their microbiome properties were more similar to healthy plants. In the future, it would be interesting to test if our algorithm can predict

#### Soil Ecology Letters

bacterial wilt disease occurrence using other unrelated datasets, and if its performance can be improved by taking latent infections into account. Furthermore, model predictions could potentially be improved by including temporally, locally and globally varying some other abiotic and biotic variables that vary temporally, such as temperature, humidity and humidityprecipitation.-\_Furthermore,As it is likely that certain\_these\_environmental factors will have interactive effects, which should their effects be further explored experimentally. 

Conclusions

We conclude that soil properties can be used as reliable predictors of bacterial wilt disease occurrence, with soil moisture being one of the most import single factors that consistently differed between healthy and diseased plants across all sampling locations. Moreover, while other soil properties played important roles, their effects were often sampling location-specific, indicative of their potential importance at the local scale. The causal role of soil moisture was directly validated in a greenhouse house experiments, which highlights the value of direct experimentation in separating causes from consequences in plant pathology studies. The obtained information will be helpful for developing predictive modelling to better understand the epidemiology of bacterial wilt disease outbreaks in spatially and temporally varying agricultural environments and should be validated in the future with unrelated datasets from other countries and agricultural areas. Finally, the importance of soil moisture suggest that relatively simple water management practises could potentially be effective way to control bacterial wilt disease occurrence.

### 426 Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Alexandre Jousset and Dr. Zhipeng Liu for helpful discussions. This research was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41922053, 42090060, 31972504 and 42007038 to Z.W., G.J. and Y.X. respectively), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (SBK20180527, SBK2020042856 and SBK2019040382), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2017YFD0200206 to Y.Z.), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2019M651848 to G.J.), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (KYXK202009-KYXK202012). S.G. is funded by the NWO-Veni grant (016.Veni.181.078 to S.G.). V.F. is funded by the Royal Society (RSG\R1\180213 and CHL\R1\180031) and jointly by a grant from UKRI, Defra, and the Scottish Government, under the Strategic Priorities Fund Plant Bacterial Diseases programme (BB/T010606/1) at the University of York. **Conflict of Interest** The authors declare that there are no relevant conflicts of interest. 

#### 

## References

- Aung, K., Jiang, Y., He, S.Y., 2018. The role of water in plant-microbe interactions. The Plant Journal 93, 771–780. doi:10.1111/tpj.13795
- Beattie, G.A., 2011. Water relations in the interaction of foliar bacterial pathogens with plants. Annual Review of Phytopathology 49, 533–555. doi:10.1146/annurev-phyto-073009-114436
- Berg, M., Koskella, B., 2018. Nutrient- and dose-dependent microbiome-mediated protection against a plant pathogen. Current Biology 28, 2487-2492.e3. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.05.085
- Brockett, B.F.T., Prescott, C.E., Grayston, S.J., 2012. Soil moisture is the major factor influencing microbial community structure and enzyme activities across seven biogeoclimatic zones in western Canada. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 44, 9–20. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.09.003
- Caporaso, J.G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F.D., Costello, E.K.,
  Fierer, N., Peña, A.G., Goodrich, J.K., Gordon, J.I., Huttley, G.A., Kelley, S.T., Knights,
  D., Koenig, J.E., Ley, R.E., Lozupone, C.A., McDonald, D., Muegge, B.D., Pirrung, M.,
  Reeder, J., Sevinsky, J.R., Turnbaugh, P.J., Walters, W.A., Widmann, J., Yatsunenko,
  T., Zaneveld, J., Knight, R., 2010. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput
  community sequencing data. Nature Methods 7, 335–336. doi:10.1038/nmeth.f.303
- Cavagnaro, T.R., 2016. Soil moisture legacy effects: Impacts on soil nutrients, plants and mycorrhizal responsiveness. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 95, 173–179. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.12.016
- Chairman, J.F.P., Gardner, W.R., Elliott, L.F. (Eds.), 1981. Water potential relations in soil microbiology, SSSA Special Publications. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Chen, M.M., Zhu, Y.G., Su, Y.H., Chen, B.D., Fu, B.J., Marschner, P., 2007. Effects of soil moisture and plant interactions on the soil microbial community structure. European Journal of Soil Biology 43, 31–38. doi:10.1016/j.ejsobi.2006.05.001
- Cheng, Y.T., Zhang, L., He, S.Y., 2019. Plant-microbe interactions facing environmental challenge. Cell Host & Microbe 26, 183–192. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2019.07.009
- Cole, J.R., Wang, Q., Fish, J.A., Chai, B., McGarrell, D.M., Sun, Y., Brown, C.T., Porras-Alfaro, A., Kuske, C.R., Tiedje, J.M., 2014. Ribosomal Database Project: data and tools for high throughput rRNA analysis. Nucleic Acids Research 42, D633-642. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1244
- Cutler, F. original by L.B. and A., Wiener, R. port by A.L. and M., 2018. randomForest: Breiman and cutler's random forests for classification and regression.
- Cuzick, J., 1985. A Wilcoxon-type test for trend. Statistics in Medicine 4, 87–90. doi:10.1002/sim.4780040112
- Dalsing, B.L., Truchon, A.N., Gonzalez-Orta, E.T., Milling, A.S., Allen, C., 2015. *Ralstonia solanacearum* uses inorganic nitrogen metabolism for virulence, ATP production, and detoxification in the oxygen-limited host xylem environment. MBio 6, e02471. doi:10.1128/mBio.02471-14
- Dixon, P., 2003. VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. Journal of Vegetation Science 14, 927–930. doi:10.1111/j.1654-1103.2003.tb02228.x
- Edgar, R.C., 2010. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatics 26, 2460–2461. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461

- Fierer, N., Jackson, J.A., Vilgalys, R., Jackson, R.B., 2005. Assessment of soil microbial community structure by use of taxon-specific quantitative PCR assays. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 71, 4117–4120. doi:10.1128/AEM.71.7.4117-4120.2005
- Genin, S., Denny, T.P., 2012. Pathogenomics of the Ralstonia solanacearum species complex. Annual Review of Phytopathology 50, 67–89. doi:10.1146/annurev-phyto-081211-173000
- Gu, Y., Wang, X., Yang, T., Friman, V.P., Geisen, S., Wei, Z., Xu, Y., Jousset, A., Shen, Q.,
   2020a. Chemical structure predicts the effect of plant-derived low-molecular weight compounds on soil microbiome structure and pathogen suppression. Functional Ecology 34, 2158–2169. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13624
- Gu, S., Wei, Z., Shao, Z., Friman, V.P., Cao, K., Yang, T., Kramer, J., Wang, X., Li, M., Mei, X., Xu, Y., Shen, Q., Kümmerli, R., Jousset, A., 2020b. Competition for iron drives phytopathogen control by natural rhizosphere microbiomes. Nature Microbiology 5, 1002–1010. doi:10.1038/s41564-020-0719-8
- Hayward, A.C., 1991. Biology and epidemiology of bacterial wilt caused by <u>Pp</u>seudomonas solanacearum. Annual Review of Phytopathology 29, 65–87. doi:10.1146/annurev.py.29.090191.000433
- Hothorn, T., Bretz, F., Westfall, P., Heiberger, R.M., Schuetzenmeister, A., Scheibe, S., 2020. multcomp: Simultaneous inference in general parametric models.
- Huber, L., Gillespie, T.J., 1992. Modeling leaf wetness in relation to plant disease epidemiology. Annual Review of Phytopathology 30, 553–577. doi:10.1146/annurev.py.30.090192.003005
- Islam, T., Toyama, K., 2004. Effect of moisture conditions and pre-incubation at low temperature on bacterial wilt of tomato caused by *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Microbes and Environments 19, 244–247. doi:10.1264/jsme2.19.244
- Janvier, C., Villeneuve, F., Alabouvette, C., Edel-Hermann, V., Mateille, T., Steinberg, C., 2007. Soil health through soil disease suppression: Which strategy from descriptors to indicators? Soil Biology and Biochemistry 39, 1–23. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.07.001
- Jiang, G., 2016. Pathogenesis and modelling of infection dynamics in *Ralstonia* solanacearum (thesis). Http://Www.Theses.Fr. Toulouse 3.
- Jiang, G., Wei, Z., Xu, J., Chen, H., Zhang, Y., She, X., Macho, A.P., Ding, W., Liao, B., 2017. Bacterial wilt in China: <u>H</u>history, current status, and future perspectives. Frontiers in Plant Science 8, 1549. doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.01549
- Jiang, Y., Huang, M., Zhang, M., Lan, J., Wang, W., Tao, X., Liu, Y., 2018. Transcriptome analysis provides novel insights into high-soil-moisture-elevated susceptibility to *Ralstonia solanacearum* infection in ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe cv. Southwest). Plant Physiology and Biochemistry 132, 547–556. doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.10.005
- Kabacoff, R. (Ed.), 2015. R in Action, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. ed. Manning Publications Co., CTUnited States.
- Kahm, M., Hasenbrink, G., Lichtenberg-Fraté, H., Ludwig, J., Kschischo, M., 2010. grofit: Fitting biological growth curves with R. Journal of Statistical Software 33, 1–21. doi:10.18637/jss.v033.i07
- Kearns, D.B., 2010. A field guide to bacterial swarming motility. Nature Reviews. Microbiology 8, 634–644. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2405
- Kramer, P.J., 1983. Water relations of plants. Elsevier Science, Oxford.

59

60

3
4
5
6
0
/
8
9
10
11
12
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
10
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
21
32
33
34
35
36
37
20
20
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
75 76
40
47
48
49
50
51
52
52
55
54
55
56
57
58
59
59

60

Kwak, M.J., Kong, H.G., Choi, K., Kwon, S.K., Song, J.Y., Lee, J., Lee, P.A., Choi, S.Y., Seo, M., Lee, H.J., Jung, E.J., Park, H., Roy, N., Kim, H., Lee, M.M., Rubin, E.M., Lee, S.-W., Kim, J.F., 2018. Rhizosphere microbiome structure alters to enable wilt resistance in tomato. Nature Biotechnology 36, 1100–1109. doi:10.1038/nbt.4232

Larson, J.E., Funk, J.L., 2016. Seedling root responses to soil moisture and the identification of a belowground trait spectrum across three growth forms. New Phytologist 210, 827–838. doi:10.1111/nph.13829

Lê, S., Josse, J., Husson, F., 2008. FactoMineR: an R package for multivariate analysis. Journal of Statistical Software 25, 1–18.

Lee, S.M., Kong, H.G., Song, G.C., Ryu, C.M., 2021. Disruption of Firmicutes and Actinobacteria abundance in tomato rhizosphere causes the incidence of bacterial wilt disease. The ISME Journal 15, 330–347. doi:10.1038/s41396-020-00785-x

Li, S., Liu, Y., Wang, J., Yang, L., Zhang, S., Xu, C., Ding, W., 2017a. Soil acidification aggravates the occurrence of bacterial wilt in south China. Frontiers in Microbiology 8, 703. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.00703

Li, S., Xu, C., Wang, J., Guo, B., Yang, L., Chen, J., Ding, W., 2017b. Cinnamic, myristic and fumaric acids in tobacco root exudates induce the infection of plants by *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Plant and Soil 412, 381–395. doi:10.1007/s11104-016-3060-5

Li, Y., Uddin, W., Kaminski, J.E., 2014. Effects of relative humidity on infection, colonization and conidiation of *Magnaporthe orzyae* on perennial ryegrass. Plant Pathology 63, 590–597. doi:10.1111/ppa.12127

Mainiero, R., Kazda, M., 2005. Effects of *Carex rostrata* on soil oxygen in relation to soil moisture. Plant and Soil 270, 311–320. doi:10.1007/s11104-004-1724-z

Mansfield, J., Genin, S., Magori, S., Citovsky, V., Sriariyanum, M., Ronald, P., Dow, M., Verdier, V., Beer, S.V., Machado, M.A., Toth, I., Salmond, G., Foster, G.D., 2012. Top 10 plant pathogenic bacteria in molecular plant pathology. Molecular Plant Pathology 13, 614–629. doi:10.1111/j.1364-3703.2012.00804.x

Mendiburu, F. de, 2020. agricolae: Statistical procedures for agricultural research.

Mondal, B., Bhattacharya, I., Khatua, D.C., 2014. Incidence of bacterial wilt disease in West Bengal, India. Academia Journal of Agricultural Research 2, 139–146.

Orr, R., Nelson, P.N., 2018. Impacts of soil abiotic attributes on *Fusarium* wilt, focusing on bananas. Applied Soil Ecology 132, 20–33. doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2018.06.019

Panchal, S., Chitrakar, R., Thompson, B.K., Obulareddy, N., Roy, D., Hambright, W.S.,
 Melotto, M., 2016. Regulation of stomatal defense by air relative humidity. Plant
 Physiology 172, 2021–2032. doi:10.1104/pp.16.00696

Pansu, M., Gautheyrou, J. (Eds.), 2006. Handbook of soil analysis: Mineralogical, organic and inorganic methods. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-31211-6\_29

Patro, S.G.K., Sahu, K.K., 2015. Normalization: <u>Aa</u> preprocessing stage. ArXiv 1503.06462.

Peyraud, R., Cottret, L., Marmiesse, L., Genin, S., 2018. Control of primary metabolism by a virulence regulatory network promotes robustness in a plant pathogen. Nature Communications 9, 418. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02660-4

Peyraud, R., Cottret, L., Marmiesse, L., Gouzy, J., Genin, S., 2016. A resource allocation trade-off between virulence and proliferation drives metabolic versatility in the plant pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum*. PLoS Pathogens 12, e1005939. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005939 Pimentel, C.S., Ayres, M.P., 2018. Latitudinal patterns in temperature-dependent growth rates of a forest pathogen. Journal of Thermal Biology 72, 39–43. doi:10.1016/j.jtherbio.2017.11.018

R Core Team, 2020. The R Stats Package.

1 2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

51 52

53

54

55

56 57

58

59

- Rahman, K.A., Othman, R., 2020. Influence of pH levels on disease development in oil palm seedling roots infected with *Ganoderma boninensis*. Rhizosphere 13, 100181. doi:10.1016/j.rhisph.2019.100181
- Raza, W., Wang, J., Wu, Y., Ling, N., Wei, Z., Huang, Q., Shen, Q., 2016a. Effects of volatile organic compounds produced by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* on the growth and virulence traits of tomato bacterial wilt pathogen *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 100, 7639–7650. doi:10.1007/s00253-016-7584-7
- Raza, W., Ling, N., Liu, D., Wei, Z., Huang, Q., Shen, Q., 2016b. Volatile organic compounds produced by Pseudomonas fluorescens WR-1 restrict the growth and virulence traits of Ralstonia solanacearum. Microbiological Research 192, 103–113. doi:10.1016/j.micres.2016.05.014
- Satou, M., Kubota, M., Nishi, K., 2006. Measurement of horizontal and vertical movement of *Ralstonia solanacearum* in soil. Journal of Phytopathology 154, 592–597. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0434.2006.01152.x
- Schandry, N., 2017. A practical guide to visualization and statistical analysis of *R. solanacearum* infection data using R. Frontiers in Plant Science 8, 623. doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.00623
- Schönfeld, J., Heuer, H., van Elsas, J.D., Smalla, K., 2003. Specific and sensitive detection of *Ralstonia solanacearum* in soil on the basis of PCR amplification of *fliC* fragments. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 69, 7248–7256. doi:10.1128/AEM.69.12.7248-7256.2003
- Sinha, R., Gupta, A., Senthil-Kumar, M., 2016. Understanding the impact of drought on foliar and xylem invading bacterial pathogen stress in chickpea. Frontiers in Plant Science 7, 902. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.00902
- Siregar, B.A., Giyanto, Hidayat, S.H., Siregar, I.Z., Tjahjono, B., 2020. Epidemiology of bacterial wilt disease on *Eucalyptus pellita* F. Muell. in Indonesia. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 468, 012033. doi:10.1088/1755-1315/468/1/012033
- Smilanick, J.L., Mansour, M.F., 2007. Influence of temperature and humidity on survival of *Penicillium digitatum* and *Geotrichum citri-aurantii*. Plant Disease 91, 990–996. doi:10.1094/PDIS-91-8-0990
- Trivedi, P., Leach, J.E., Tringe, S.G., Sa, T., Singh, B.K., 2020. Plant-microbiome interactions: from community assembly to plant health. Nature Reviews Microbiology 18, 607– 621. doi:10.1038/s41579-020-0412-1
- van Elsas, J.D., Kastelein, P., van Bekkum, P., van der Wolf, J.M., de Vries, P.M., van Overbeek, L.S., 2000. Survival of *Ralstonia solanacearum* Biovar 2, the causative agent of potato brown rot, in field and microcosm soils in temperate climates. Phytopathology 90, 1358–1366. doi:10.1094/PHYTO.2000.90.12.1358
- Velásquez, A.C., Castroverde, C.D.M., He, S.Y., 2018. Plant-pathogen warfare under changing climate conditions. Current Biology 28, R619–R634. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.03.054
- Wang, R., Zhang, H., Sun, L., Qi, G., Chen, S., Zhao, X., 2017. Microbial community composition is related to soil biological and chemical properties and bacterial wilt outbreak. Scientific Reports 7, 343. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-00472-6

- Wei, Z., Friman, V.P., Pommier, T., Geisen, S., Jousset, A., Shen, Q., 2020. Rhizosphere immunity: targeting the underground for sustainable plant health management. Frontiers of Agricultural Science and Engineering 7, 317–328.
- Wei, Z., Gu, Y., Friman, V.P., Kowalchuk, G.A., Xu, Y., Shen, Q., Jousset, A., 2019. Initial soil microbiome composition and functioning predetermine future plant health. Science Advances 5, eaaw0759. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aaw0759
- Wei, Z., Hu, J., Gu, Y., Yin, S., Xu, Y., Jousset, A., Shen, Q., Friman, V.P., 2018. Ralstonia solanacearum pathogen disrupts bacterial rhizosphere microbiome during an invasion. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 118, 8–17. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.11.012
- Wei, Z., Huang, J., Yang, T., Jousset, A., Xu, Y., Shen, Q., Friman, V.P., 2017. Seasonal variation in the biocontrol efficiency of bacterial wilt is driven by temperaturemediated changes in bacterial competitive interactions. Journal of Applied Ecology 5, 1440–1448. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12873
- Wei, Z., Huang, J.F., Hu, J., Gu, Y.A., Yang, C.L., Mei, X.L., Shen, Q.R., Xu, Y.C., Friman, V.P., 2015a. Altering transplantation time to avoid periods of high temperature can efficiently reduce bacterial wilt disease incidence with tomato. PloS One 10, e0139313. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0139313
- Wei, Z., Yang, T., Friman, V.P., Xu, Y., Shen, Q., Jousset, A., 2015b. Trophic network architecture of root-associated bacterial communities determines pathogen invasion and plant health. Nature Communications 6, 8413. doi:10.1038/ncomms9413
- Wei, Z., Yang, X., Yin, S., Shen, Q., Ran, W., Xu, Y., 2011. Efficacy of *Bacillus*-fortified organic fertiliser in controlling bacterial wilt of tomato in the field. Applied Soil Ecology 48, 152–159. doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2011.03.013
- Wen, T., Zhao, M., Liu, T., Huang, Q., Yuan, J., Shen, Q., 2020. High abundance of *Ralstonia* solanacearum changed tomato rhizosphere microbiome and metabolome. BMC Plant Biology 20, 166. doi:10.1186/s12870-020-02365-9
- Wickham, H., Chang, W., Henry, L., Pedersen, T.L., Takahashi, K., Wilke, C., Woo, K., Yutani,
  H., Dunnington, D., RStudio, 2020. ggplot2: Create elegant data visualisations using the grammar of graphics.
- Wu, X., Li, H., Wang, Y., Zhang, X., 2020. Effects of bio-organic fertiliser fortified by *Bacillus cereus* QJ-1 on tobacco bacterial wilt control and soil quality improvement. Biocontrol Science and Technology 30, 351–369. doi:10.1080/09583157.2020.1711870
- Xin, X.F., Nomura, K., Aung, K., Velásquez, A.C., Yao, J., Boutrot, F., Chang, J.H., Zipfel, C., He, S.Y., 2016. Bacteria establish an aqueous living space in plants crucial for virulence. Nature 539, 524–529. doi:10.1038/nature20166

http://journal.hep.com.cn/sel

Factor		Statistical	Diseased vs healthy plants ( <i>P</i> -values)*					
	Name (Units)	method	CS	NB	NC	NJ	NN	WH
Moisture	Soil moisture content (%)	Wilcoxon test	<0.001	0.026	0.115	0.009	0.006	0.922
рН	Soil pH value	Wilcoxon test	0.312	0.729	0.025	0.016	0.954	0.431
Phosphorus	Available phosphorus (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Wilcoxon test	0.514	0.63	0.606	0.079	0.862	0.224
Potassium	Available potassium (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Wilcoxon test	0.114	0.319	0.001	0.928	0.012	0.699
Carbon	Water-soluble carbon (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Wilcoxon test	0.799	0.378	0.599	0.009	0.008	0.047
Nitrogen	Water-soluble nitrogen (mg·kg⁻¹)	Wilcoxon test	0.887	0.143	0.028	0.211	0.419	0.401
Pathogen	<i>R. solanacearum</i> density (log <sub>10</sub> <i>fliC</i> gene copies g <sup>-</sup>							
density	<sup>1</sup> soil)	Wilcoxon test	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Bacterial	Total bacterial density (log <sub>10</sub> 16S rRNA gene		0.002	0.04	0.645	0.005	0.014	0.001
density	copies g <sup>-1</sup> soil)	Wilcoxon test	0.002	0.04	0.645	0.005	0.014	0.081
	Shannon index for bacterial community diversity			0.400	0 5 1 0	0.002	0.201	0 1 2 2
Shannon	(OUT level)	Wilcoxon test	>0.999	0.198	0.519	0.002	0.291	0.133
	Chao1 index for bacterial community richness		0.755	0.077	0 1 2 2	0.002	0 100	0 404
Chao1	(OTU level)	Wilcoxon test	0.755	0.977	0.133	0.002	0.198	0.401
	Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index for bacterial	PERMANOVA						
Bray-Curtis	community composition	test	0.034	0.023	0.298	0.001	0.003	0.067

# Table 1: Differences in abiotic physicochemical and biotic soil properties between healthy and diseased plants

 Soil Ecology Letters

\*Sampling locations are abbreviated as follows: CS = Changsha, NB = Ningbo, NC = Nanchang, NJ = Nanjing, NN = Nanning and WH = Wuhan. Pvalues less than 0.05 are shown in red colour. Details of the analysis are listed in Supplementary Figures 2 – 4.

For Review Only

## 442 Figure legends

Figure 1. Differences in abiotic physicochemical and biotic soil properties between healthy and diseased plants. (a) Map of China showing sampling locations and provinces (CS = Changsha, NB = Ningbo, NC = Nanchang, NJ = Nanjing, NN = Nanning and WH = Wuhan; numbers in parentheses show the number of samples included in each location). (b) Comparison of the normalised physicochemical (blue) and biotic (black) parameters between healthy (green) and diseased (red) tomato plant rhizosphere samples (ns denote for non-significant correlation (P > 0.05) and stars (\*\*, \*\*\*, \*\*\*\*) denote significant correlation at levels *P* < 0.01, *P* < 0.001 and *P* < 0.0001, respectively). Pathogen and total bacterial abundances are abbreviated as 'Pathogen' and 'Bacteria', respectively. (c) Comparison of microbial community composition (PCoA) between healthy and diseased tomato plant rhizosphere samples (status) at each sampling location (site). (d) Comparison of abiotic soil physicochemical properties and biotic soil properties (PCA) between healthy and diseased tomato plant rhizosphere samples (status) at each sampling location (site). 

Figure 2. The relative importance of abiotic physicochemical and biotic soil properties in predicting bacterial wilt disease occurrence. (a) Correlation coefficients (ranging from negative (purple) to positive (cyan)) between *R. solanacearum* pathogen densities and abiotic physicochemical (blue) and biotic (black) soil properties across all tomato rhizosphere samples (ns denote for non-significant correlation (P > 0.05) and stars (\*\*, \*\*\*, \*\*\*\*) denote significant correlation at levels P < 0.01, P < 0.001 and P < 0.0001, respectively). (b) Relative importance rank of abiotic physicochemical (blue) and biotic (black) soil properties and ten-fold cross-validation of random forest model (inset in b) based on the training set (80% of randomly selected rhizosphere samples). Total bacterial abundances are abbreviated as

'Bacteria'. (c) Validation of random-forest model with a test set (20% of remaining samples)

predicting plant disease outcomes based on soil properties: green and red filled cells denote

Figure 3. Causal validation of the role of soil moisture driving bacterial wilt disease dynamics

in a greenhouse experiment. (a) Mean disease progression curves in different soil moisture

treatments based on logistic curve fitting (left inset shows goodness-of-fit and significance for

each treatment). (b) Comparison of disease dynamics between different treatments in terms

of lag-phase before disease onset (early stage), disease rate (exponential stage) and area

under progression of disease curve (AUDPC, late stage). Different small letters above violin

iez ony

plots denote for significant differences between treatment groups (P < 0.05).

for correct predictions and filled cells with white crosses denote for false predictions.

3 4	466
5 6 7	467
7 8 9	468
10 11	469
12 13 14	470
15 16	471
17 18 10	472
20 21	473
22 23	474
24 25 26	475
27 28	476
29 30 31	
32 33	
34 35	
36 37	
38 30	
40	
41 42	
43 44	
45 46	
47	
40 49	
50 51	
52 53	
54 55	
56	
57 58	

#### Dear Dr. Jiang:

Manuscript ID SEL-2020-0105 entitled "The relative importance of soil moisture in predicting bacterial wilt disease occurrence" which you submitted to the Soil Ecology Letters, has been reviewed. The comments of the reviewer(s) are included at the bottom of this letter.

The reviewer(s) have recommended publication, but also suggest some minor revisions to your manuscript. Therefore, I invite you to respond to the reviewer(s)' comments and revise your manuscript.

To revise your manuscript, log into https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/selett and enter your Author Center, where you will find your manuscript title listed under "Manuscripts with Decisions." Under "Actions," click on "Create a Revision." Your manuscript number has been appended to denote a revision.

You may also click the below link to start the revision process (or continue the process if you have already started your revision) for your manuscript. If you use the below link you will not be required to login to ScholarOne Manuscripts.

\*\*\* PLEASE NOTE: This is a two-step process. After clicking on the link, you will be directed to a webpage to confirm. \*\*\*

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/selett?URL\_MASK=ac36874ccbfa4e449d36f64e151a619 b

You will be unable to make your revisions on the originally submitted version of the manuscript. Instead, revise your manuscript using a word processing program and save it on your computer. Please also highlight the changes to your manuscript within the document by using the track changes mode in MS Word or by using bold or colored text.

Once the revised manuscript is prepared, you can upload it and submit it through your Author Center.

When submitting your revised manuscript, you will be able to respond to the comments made by the reviewer(s) in the space provided. You can use this space to document any changes you make to the original manuscript. In order to expedite the processing of the revised manuscript, please be as specific as possible in your response to the reviewer(s).

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redundant files before completing the submission.

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to the Soil Ecology Letters, your revised manuscript should be uploaded in the next two weeks. If it is not possible for you to submit your revision in this time, you should contact with us as soon as possible, or we may have to consider your paper as a new submission.

Once again, thank you for submitting your manuscript to the Soil Ecology Letters and I look forward to receiving your revision.

## Sincerely, Editorial Office, Soil Ecology Letters

**Response to Editor:** Thanks for handling our manuscript and your encouraging decision of 'minor revision'. We have carefully revised the manuscript following the constructive comments and suggestions from reviewers, and our point by point answers can be found below.

## Reviewer(s)' Comments to Author:

Reviewer: 1

## Comments to the Author

This paper deals with defining the soil properties to predict bacterial wilt occurrence in fields. Based on field evaluation of bacterial wilt occurrence in tomato, soil properties from various fields in China, microbiome/statistical analysis, and validation experiments in green house, authors propose that soil moisture is the most important predictor for bacterial wilt in field-growing tomato. Both biotic and abiotic factors differed among sites and between diseased and healthy plants, and this was the same in soil microbial composition. Among the soil properties, soil moisture was the most important predictor of pathogen density and disease outcome in fields. Contribution of soil moisture content to bacterial wilt was further validated in a greenhouse.

The main conclusion of this paper is acceptable based on the analysis and interpretation of the result, however, I found a couple of points to be clarified in detail in methods or to be discussed in discussion.

# **Response 1 to first reviewer:** Thank you very much for your time to revise our manuscript. Please find our responses to your comments below.

1) Selection of diseased or healthy plants from fields: what was the exact criteria to differentiate the plants "diseased" or "healthy"? Was it solely based on wilting symptoms? If this is the case, authors should consider latent infection status of bacterial wilt in fields. Please discuss this.

**Response 2 to first reviewer:** Yes, the diseased and healthy plants were chosen solely based on visible wilting symptoms as described on lines 138-139. We fully agree that some of the healthy plants could have been latently infected by *Ralstonia* and now consider this possibility in the text. It is possible that some of the healthy plants were latently infected by *R. solanacearum*, and hence, did not show visible disease symptoms despite being infected. While certain healthy plants overlapped in their soil properties with the diseased plants, we did not see clear clustering of healthy plant samples at the field level. This suggests that the proportion of latently infected plants was low, or that their microbiome properties were more similar to healthy plants. This is now discussed on lines 394-399.

2) Methodology to validate disease severity depending on soil moisture; the Ralstonia challenge to tomato plants was done by a soil drenching method which will change the soil moisture content. Authors described a way to maintain the constant soil moisture before pathogen inoculation. But with soil drenching inoculation, how did they control the soil moisture? Did authors only focus on the water content during acclimatization period?

**Response 2 to first reviewer:** We agree that soil drenching infections will increase the soil moisture content levels. However, this effect was kept consistent to all moisture treatments and limited to soil drenching application period (one day): soil moisture contents were otherwise carefully manipulated between treatments before and after pathogen inoculation. We have now described this in the manuscript on lines 233-239.

3) Some of the references in text were not indicated with the proper citation format. Authors need to double check this.

**Response 2 to first reviewer:** We have now corrected the format of referencing throughout the manuscript (on lines 81, 93, 95 and 391 and in the 'References' list).

Reviewer: 2

Comments to the Author

Considering the poor understanding of the relative importance of different factors, or their combinations, for plant disease, this study is timing to show a clear link between soil properties, pathogen density and plant health, by analysing 139 tomato rhizosphere soils samples isolated from six provinces in China. The authors found disease outcomes were positively associated with soil moisture that determined bacterial community, especially abundance of some bacterial groups. The exquisite experimental design together with advanced algorithm allows quantify the relative importance of soil properties in predicting bacterial wilt disease occurrence. The study certainly has sufficient novelty and updated our knowledge of what edaphic variables promote pathogenic Ralstonia solanacearum thus caused occurrence of bacterial wilt. I like the overall story and don't have much criticism with the research. Before considering acceptance, I have a few concerns as below.

**Response 1 to second reviewer:** We thank reviewer for positive comments. Please, find our detailed responses to your comments below.

1. The valid experiment was conducted to test the causality though, I have one major question about the collected 139 samples based on which "water" was attributed to the biggest predictor to wilt. As water content depend on the weather of the sampling day (rain or not), the local climatic information, i.e. average precipitation per year or during growth, thus, might be better used as variable.

**Response 2 to second reviewer:** This is a very good point, and we fully agree that local weather data could potentially be very useful for predicting bacterial wilt disease incidence

across wider geographical areas. This would be especially useful in the face of global climate change. We now briefly discuss about this on lines 402-404.

2. Line 68, maybe move this sentence to the end of introduction

**Response 3 to second reviewer:** Thank you for your suggestion. We would prefer to give readers an overview of our research question already at the beginning of the introduction. We believe this is helpful for communicating our research questions clearly and setting everything in the relevant context.

3. Fig. 1c and d, any chance to give the legend of abiotic variables, I might miss it.

**Response 4 to second reviewer:** Panels C and D describe overall differences in bacterial community composition and abiotic soil properties using multivariate analysis (Principal component analysis, *i.e.*, PCA). Individual samples are further separated along with the health status of the plant (healthy vs. diseased) and field of isolation in both panels. As a result, each observation (individual dots) represents an overall value based on multiple variables.







. .







1 2	Supplementary files						
3	The relative importance of soil moisture in predicting bacterial wilt disease occurrence						
4	Gaofei Jiang, Ningqi Wang, Yaoyu Zhang, Zhen Wang, Yuling Zhang, Jiabao Yu, Yong						
5	Zhang, Zhong Wei, Yangchun Xu, Stefan Geisen, Ville-Petri Friman, Qirong Shen						
6							
7	The supplementary information contains six files. The table file includes statistical information						
8	about multiple regression analysis, and figure files provide further detail on the variation of						
9	physicochemical properties and bacterial communities in tomato rhizosphere and how it was						
10	linked to pathogen density and plant healthy in tomato rhizosphere microbiomes.						
11 12							

rhizosphere samples.

13	Supplementary 7	Table 1. ANOVA	table summarizing	the relative im	portance of abiotic
----	-----------------	----------------	-------------------	-----------------	---------------------

14 physicochemical and biotic soil properties in predicting pathogen densities in tomato

Predictor		Sum	Mean			Relative
variable	Df	Square	Square	<i>F</i> -value	<i>P</i> -value	weight
pН	1	10.29	10.29	18.68	<0.0001↓	14.59%
Moisture	1	28.45	28.45	51.66	<0.0001↑	40.36%
Phosphorus	1	0.14	0.14	0.25	0.6170↑	0.20%
Potassium	1	0.14	0.14	0.26	0.6141↑	0.20%
Carbon	1	0.07	0.07	0.12	0.7310↓	0.09%
Nitrogen	1	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.8271↓	0.04%
Bacterial						
abundance	1	16.05	16.05	29.15	<0.0001↑	22.77%
Shannon	1	1.78	1.78	3.24	0.0744↑	2.53%
Chao1	1	1.33	1.33	2.42	0.1226↓	1.89%
Bray-Curtis	1	0.11	0.11	0.21	0.6499↑	0.16%
Residuals	128	70.50	0.55			
Model Sumr	nary		AIC: 324.09	; $F_{10,128} = 10$	$0.6, R^2 = 0.45$	, <i>P</i> < 0.0001

16 Note: The significant effects (P < 0.05) are shown in red colour and the 'up' and 'down' arrows

17 denote for positive and negative effects, respectively, based on multiple regression model.

http://journal.hep.com.cn/sel



Supplementary Figure 1. Differences in abiotic physicochemical and biotic soil
properties between diseased and healthy plants.

All data is pooled over sampling locations and the numbers in the parentheses denote the sample size in each group. The 'ns' denotes for non-significant difference (P > 0.05) and stars (\*, \*\*, \*\*\* and \*\*\*\*) show significant differences at levels P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.001 and P < 0.0001, respectively. Pathogen and total bacterial abundances are abbreviated as 'Pathogen' and 'Bacteria', respectively. Each violin plot shows the distribution of 69 and 70 rhizosphere soil samples in diseased and healthy plants, respectively.





## 29 Supplementary Figure 2. Differences in abiotic physicochemical and biotic soil

## 30 properties of diseased and healthy plants in different sampling locations (provinces).

Numbers in the parentheses show sample size in each group. The 'ns' denotes for nonsignificant difference (P > 0.05) and stars (\*, \*\*, \*\*\* and \*\*\*\*) show significant differences at levels P < 0.05, P < 0.01, P < 0.001 and P < 0.0001, respectively. Pathogen and total bacterial abundances are abbreviated as 'Pathogen' and 'Bacteria', respectively. Each violin plot shows the distribution of rhizosphere soils in each province. Sampling locations are abbreviated as follows: CS = Changsha, NB = Ningbo, NC = Nanchang, NJ = Nanjing, NN = Nanning and

WH = Wuhan.



41 sampling locations (a-f).

PERMANOVA was used to identify microbial composition difference between the rhizosphere
soil of diseased and healthy plants in each location based on Bray–Curtis distance matrices. *P*values are indicated in each panel. Green triangles and red circles denote healthy and diseased
plants, respectively. Sampling locations are abbreviated as follows: CS = Changsha, NB =
Ningbo, NC = Nanchang, NJ = Nanjing, NN = Nanning and WH = Wuhan.

 


48 Supplementary Figure 4. Differences in physicochemical and biotic parameters (PCA)
49 between healthy (green) and diseased (red) rhizosphere soil samples in different
50 sampling locations (a-f).

PERMANOVA was used to for identify the environmental difference between the rhizosphere
soil of diseased and healthy plants in each location based on Euclidean distance matrices. *P*values are indicated in each panel. Green triangles and red circles denote healthy and diseased
plants, respectively. Sampling locations are abbreviated as follows: CS = Changsha, NB =
Ningbo, NC = Nanchang, NJ = Nanjing, NN = Nanning and WH = Wuhan.





Supplementary Figure 5. Linear correlations between the normalised pathogen
densities and abiotic physicochemical and biotic soil properties (averaged over heathy
and diseased plant samples).

60 Blue lines indices the linear fitting of curves, while  $R^2$  indicates the Spearman correlation

61 coefficient of the linear regression and *P*-values the significance of each correlation.

62



64 Supplementary Figure 6. Comparing the relative importance of all soil parameters in
65 predicting plant health status.

(a) Relative importance rank of overall abiotic physicochemical (blue) and biotic (black) soil properties and ten-fold cross-validation of random forest model (inset in a) based on the training set (80% of randomly selected rhizosphere samples). Pathogen and total bacterial abundances are abbreviated as 'Pathogen' and 'Bacteria', respectively. (b) Validation of random-forest model with a test set (20% of remaining samples) predicting plant disease outcomes based on soil properties: green and red filled cells denote for correct predictions, while filled cells with white crosses denote for false predictions. The overall model gained an average accuracy (78.6%) in classifying plant status, with 84.6% accuracy for diseased and 73.3% accuracy for health plants (AUC = 0.89).