



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Religion, parochialism and intuitive cooperation*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/170665/>

Version: Supplemental Material

Article:

Isler, O, Yilmaz, O and Maule, AJ orcid.org/0000-0003-3083-5458 (2021) Religion, parochialism and intuitive cooperation. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 5. pp. 512-521. ISSN 2397-3374

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-020-01014-3>

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2021. This is an author produced version of an article, published in *Nature Human Behaviour*. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Religion, Parochialism and Intuitive Cooperation

Ozan Isler,^{1,2*} Onurcan Yilmaz,³ A John Maule⁴

¹ School of Economics and Finance, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia

² Centre for Behavioural Economics, Society and Technology, Brisbane, Australia

³ Department of Psychology, Kadir Has University, Istanbul, Turkey

⁴ Leeds University Business School, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

* Corresponding author: Ozan Isler (ozan.isler@qut.edu.au; ORCID linked to account on Manuscript Tracking System)

Contents

1. Supplementary Table

p. 1

1. Supplementary Table

Supplementary Table 1. Demographic composition of religious believers

Condition	<i>n</i>	Age	Female	Comprehension	Experience	Current
Out-Group-TD	215	35.83	51.2%	56.3%	21.4%	80.0%
Out-Group-TP	231	35.12	58.0%	61.0%	28.6%	81.4%
In-Group-TD	214	36.00	51.9%	60.8%	31.8%	83.6%
In-Group-TP	204	34.75	56.9%	51.0%	27.5%	80.4%
No-Group-TD	189	35.88	54.0%	51.3%	28.0%	84.1%
No-Group-TP	227	36.03	53.7%	52.9%	18.9%	82.8%
<i>P</i>-value*	0.250	0.713	0.666	0.111	0.020	0.839

Note. The table reports, across the six experimental conditions, the number of religious believers, their mean age, gender, PD game comprehension, PD game experience, and current status as practicing Christians at the time of the study. * *P*-values indicate $\chi^2(5)$ test results of difference in distributions across conditions, except for age, which was tested using Kruskal Wallis. The experimental groups were similar in main demographic features, except for experience. Experience with PD significantly differed across the conditions, $\chi^2(5) = 13.401$, $P = .020$, but it was not correlated with cooperation behaviour and controlling for experience did not change our findings (see Exploratory Analysis).