

This is a repository copy of Mechanisms of weight regain..

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/170424/

Version: Accepted Version

#### Article:

Busetto, L, Bettini, S, Makaronidis, J et al. (3 more authors) (2021) Mechanisms of weight regain. European Journal of Internal Medicine, 93. pp. 3-7. ISSN 0953-6205

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2021.01.002

© 2021,Elsevier. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

#### Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

## Title: Mechanisms of weight regain.

Luca Busetto<sup>1</sup>, Silvia Bettini<sup>1</sup>, Janine Makaronidis<sup>2-4</sup>, Carl A. Roberts<sup>5</sup>, Jason C. G. Halford<sup>5,6</sup>, Rachel L. Batterham<sup>2-4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Medicine, University of Padova, Padova, Italy

<sup>2</sup> UCL Centre for Obesity Research, Division of Medicine, Rayne Building, University College London, 5 University Street, WC1E 6JF, London, UK

<sup>3</sup> Bariatric Centre for Weight Management and Metabolic Surgery, University College London Hospital (UCLH) Bariatric Centre for Weight Management and Metabolic Surgery, UCLH, London, UK

<sup>4</sup> National Institute of Health Research, UCLH Biomedical Research Centre, London, UK

<sup>5</sup> Department of Psychology, University of Liverpool, UK

<sup>6</sup> School of Psychology, University of Leeds, UK

Correspondence:

## Silvia Bettini

ORCID 0000-0001-8596-8237

Internal Medicine 3, Department of Medicine, University of Padova

Via Giustiniani 2, 35128 PADOVA (ITALY)

Telephone: +39 333 2046896

E-mail: d.ssa.silvia.bettini@gmail.com

Author Agreement: all authors have seen and approved the final version of the manuscript being submitted. They warrant that the article is the authors' original work, hasn't received prior publication and isn't under consideration for publication elsewhere.

**Declaration of interests:** Prof Busetto reports personal fees from Novo Nordisk , Bruno Farmaceutici, grants from Enzymmanagement, outside the submitted work; Dr. Roberts reports grants from Unilever, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, outside the submitted work. Prof Batterham reports personal fees from NovoNordisk, International Medical Press, ViiV, Pfizer, Boehringer Ingelheim, outside the submitted work.

#### Funding source declaration: none

## Abstract

Weight regain following weight loss is frequent problem that people with obesity face. This weight recidivism is often attributed to the lack of compliance with appropriate food habits and exercise. On the contrary, it is known that body weight and fat mass are regulated by numerous physiological mechanisms, far beyond voluntary food intake and physical exercise. Thus, the aim of this paper is to review the main peripheral and central mechanisms involved in weight regain.

Gut hormone secretion profiles impact upon predisposition to weight regain according to an individual variability, although it is recognised a usual pattern of compensatory changes: a reduction in anorectic hormones secretion and an increase in orexigenic hormone. These changes lead to both increased appetite and reward value of food leading to increased energye intake. In addition, resting energy expenditure after weight loss is lower than expected according to body composition changes. This gap between observed and predicted energy expenditure following weight loss is named metabolic adaptation, which has been suggested to explain partly weight regain.

This complicated scenario, beyond patient motivation, makes weight regain a challenge in long-term management interventions in patients with obesity.

Keywords: weight regain, gut hormones, metabolic adaptation, reward

## Introduction

Obesity is a chronic progressive disease with a high tendency to recidivism. Weight regain is frequent after any attempts of voluntary weight loss [1]. Weight regain is frequently attributed to the lack of compliance of the patients [2]. This simplistic interpretation is based on the false assumption that body weight is entirely under volitional control and that weight loss is only a matter of self-control [3]. Weight regain was indeed attributed to the lack of motivation and self-control of the patients, thus increasing the pervasive stigmatization of people with obesity as lazy and unreliable persons [3].

On the contrary, we now know that body weight and fat mass are regulated by numerous physiological mechanisms, far beyond voluntary food intake and physical exercise. Moreover, a large body of experimental evidence has shown that voluntary attempts to lose weight activated potent biologic mechanisms that tend to stop weight loss and restore previous body weight and fat mass levels [4]. In this paper, a brief overview will be provided on some of the peripheral and central mechanisms believed to be relevant in weight regain.

## The role of gut hormones in weight regain following dietary weight loss

Ensuring an adequate energy intake to meet the body's needs is essential for survival. Gut hormones have been identified as key regulators of energy homeostasis acting on homeostatic and hedonic brain circuits to drive eating behaviour. These physiological circuits evolved in conditions where energy availability was sparse. Energy restriction activates powerful compensatory drives aimed at resisting weight loss and defending the higher body weight. Altered gut hormone secretion profiles are a key part of this physiological drive to restore energy reserves and contribute to weight regain following dietary weight loss.

A study by Sumithran et al. provided key insights into the role of gut hormones in driving weight regain. 50 participants with severe obesity were followed up for 52 weeks after a 10-week very low energy diet (VLED) [5]. Mean weight loss was 13.5±0.5kg at 10 weeks and 7.9±1.1kg after 52 weeks. Increased hunger levels, as well as desire and urge to eat were reported. At the end of the 10-week VLED circulating levels of the anorectic hormones, Peptide YY3-36 (PYY), cholecystokinin (CCK) and amylin, were significant reduced, together with decreased insulin and leptin levels. In contrast, circulating levels of the orexigenic hormone ghrelin and levels of glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) and pancreatic polypeptide (PP) increased. By 52 weeks, body weight had returned to pre-intervention level, however, unfavourable gut hormone changes combined with increased hunger levels persisted [5].

Compensatory changes in gut hormone profiles with reduction in anorectic hormone secretion (circulating GLP-1, PYY, CCK, amylin) and leptin levels, coupled with reduced satiety have also been reported in other studies [6-8]. Increased ghrelin levels, leading to increased hunger and an augmented desire to eat are also reported [9]. **Table 1** summarises the known effects of dietary weight loss on gut hormones secretion profiles.

Hormone	Overall effect of energy restriction on circulating levels [6]	Specific diets/circumstances
Ghrelin	$\uparrow$	$\leftrightarrow/\downarrow$ during ketosis [10]
GIP	$\uparrow$	
PP	↑	
GLP-1	$\downarrow$	$\leftrightarrow$ in low carbohydrate diet [13]
РҮҮ	$\downarrow/\leftrightarrow$	
CCK	$\downarrow$	
Neurotensin	$\downarrow$	
Amylin	$\downarrow$	

Table 1: Summary of effects of dietary weight loss on gut hormone secretion profiles.

Furthermore, the composition of diets used to achieve weight loss also impact upon gut hormones secretion profiles. For example, diets designed to induce ketogenesis, either by carbohydrate elimination and high protein consumption or through calorie restriction, may halt weight-loss related rises in ghrelin and suppress hunger, while ketosis is maintained [10]. Interestingly, administration of a ketone ester drink was shown to suppress ghrelin levels, as well as perceived hunger and desire to eat in normal weight adults [11]. However, even with ketogenic diets, increased ghrelin levels and hunger occur with re-introduction of a varied diet [12]. In a study in adults with obesity comparing GLP-1 secretion with a low-carbohydrate versus low-fat diet, GLP-1 remained stable in the low-carbohydrate group, but reduced in the low-fat diet, despite comparable weight loss [13].

However, gut hormone responses to energy restriction are variable and may be linked to weight loss maintenance outcomes. In a study using a 8-week VLED with a mean weight loss of 13% followed by a 52-week weight-maintenance programme, weight loss maintenance was associated with higher circulating postprandial PYY and GLP-1 levels [14]. Another study in adults with obesity demonstrated that weight regain was more likely with lower fasting GLP-1 and greater reduction in GLP-1 after weight loss [15]. Furthermore, a study using a 8-week VLED and a year-long weight maintenance follow-up programme in participants with obesity who maintained 17% weight loss at 1 year, compared gut hormone profiles to those of a normal weight individuals [16]. Results showed increases in ghrelin, along with increases in both hunger and fullness, which after weight loss were comparable to those in healthy weight controls. Postprandial concentrations of active GLP-1, total PYY, and CCK remained lower in individuals with obesity at all time points compared with controls. These findings suggest that that individual variability, likely driven by genetic variability, in gut hormone secretion profiles in response to weight loss impacts upon predisposition to weight regain. Moreover, functional brain MRI (fMRI) studies have demonstrated that reductions in body weight are associated with increased reward and reduced emotional and cognitive control with regard to food intake [17]. A brain fMRI study in adults with overweight and obesity undergoing a calorie-restricted diet, found that weight loss-induced increased ghrelin and reduced leptin levels correlated with increased brain activation of reward-related areas while viewing food cues [18]. Furthermore, the degree of food-cue reactivity assessed using fMRI brain imaging, has been shown to

correlate with the amount of weight lost through lifestyle interventions and to predict the likelihood of weight regain [19].

Gut hormones exert their effects on multiple organs and tissues, forming a key part of a highly complicated network of physiological body weight regulations. Weight loss through dietary restriction therefore undoubtedly also impacts upon the other components of this highly complex system, including bile acid secretion, adipose tissue and the intestinal microbiome. Weight loss leads to adipocytes reducing in size with a consequent increase in the extracellular matrix (ECM). This results in mechanical stress, leading to inflammation and oxidative stress [20]. Conditions of ongoing energy restriction may not allow for ECM remodelling, inhibiting further reduction in adipocyte size and driving the cells to return to their original size, thereby contributing to weight regain [21]. Bile acids and intestinal microbiome are closely interlinked and both have been shown to respond to dietary changes leading to weight loss, such as a VLCD. However, the long-term impact of these changes on weight loss maintenance and regain are not yet established [22-24].

Understanding the genetic and physiological drivers that underlie variability in response to energy restriction interventions and their anticipated physiological sequelae will lead to the development of more effective strategies for weight loss and weight loss maintenance.

## **Metabolic adaptation**

Resting energy expenditure (REE) accounts for 60-70% of 24 hours energy expenditure in humans and it is mainly determined by body composition. By using population specific equations, REE can be predicted with a certain accuracy from fat-free mass (FFM) levels [25]. The loss of FFM that accompanied both voluntary and involuntary weight loss is therefore associated to an expected reduction of REE. Unfortunately, REE reduced after weight loss more than expected according to body composition changes. This gap between observed and predicted energy expenditure following weight loss is named metabolic adaptation [25].

Metabolic adaptation was firstly observed in voluntary starvation experiments [26] and it has been later better conceptualized by Leibel, Rosenbaum & Hirsch in 1995 [25]. These authors, repeatedly measured 24-hour total energy expenditure, resting and non-resting energy expenditure, and the thermic effect of feeding in 18 volunteers with obesity and 23 subjects who never had obesity. The subjects were studied at their usual body weight and after losing weight by underfeeding. Stabilization of body weight at a level 10% below the initial weight was associated with negative observed-minus-predicted values for total energy expenditure and non-resting energy expenditure. The magnitude of this metabolic adaptation was clinically significant (around 300 kcal/day for total energy expenditure) [25]. The existence of metabolic adaptation was confirmed in 16 people with class III obesity undergoing an intensive diet and exercise intervention as part of "The Biggest Loser" weight loss competition [27].

Metabolic adaptation has been observed also after bariatric and metabolic surgery. Knut et al. compared metabolic adaptation in 13 pairs of matched patients with obesity that underwent Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass (RYGB) surgery or participated in "The Biggest Loser" competition: in both groups, REE decreased significantly more than expected based on body

composition changes, with the gap being related to the degree of energy imbalance and changes in circulating leptin [28]. A 12-month persistent metabolic adaptation in response to RYGBinduced weight loss has been confirmed in 11 adolescents with extreme obesity [29]. Tam et al. analysed metabolic adaptation in 14 patients treated with RYGB and in 13 patients treated with sleeve gastrectomy and found a greater-than-expected reduction of REE not explained by changes in body composition at 6 weeks after surgery in both groups. The suppression in REE after Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy (LSG) and RYGB remained up to 2 years, even after weight loss plateaued [30]. Finally, the existence of metabolic adaptation 12 months after surgery was confirmed in a larger sample of 154 patients treated by LSG [31]. In this latter study, a weak but significant inverse correlation between the level of metabolic adaptation and the degree of weight or FM loss in the first year after sleeve gastrectomy was observed, supporting the hypothesis that a greater metabolic adaptation could be partly responsible for a lower weight loss after surgery [31].

Most of the previous studies evaluated and detected metabolic adaptation shortly after weight loss. The fade of metabolic adaptation in the long-term and its importance as a mechanism favouring weight regain is still matter of debate. Fothergill et al. re-evaluated body composition and REE in 14 of the 16 subjects participating in "The Biggest Loser" competition 6 years after the original study [32]. In this group, weight loss at the end of the competition was 58.3±24.9 kg and REE decreased by 610±483 kcal/day. After 6 years, 41.0±31.3 kg of the lost weight was regained, while REE still was 704±427 kcal/day below baseline, with a metabolic adaptation of 499±207 kcal/day [32]. These data suggest that metabolic adaptation could persist over time and after substantial weigh regain. Weight regain was not significantly correlated with metabolic adaptation at the competition's end, but those subjects maintaining greater weight loss at 6 years also experienced greater concurrent metabolic slowing [32]. Authors concluded that, even if the magnitude of short-term metabolic adaptation was not associated to weight regain, maintaining long-term weight loss requires vigilant combat against persistent metabolic adaptation that acts to proportionally counter ongoing efforts to reduce body weight [32]. More data about the persistence of metabolic adaptation in the long-term after weight loss are required.

#### Reward

Hunger, food craving, and the anticipation and enjoyment of eating are all psychological experiences that are underpinned by interactions between peripheral (outlined above) and central/neuro-biology. Appetite regulation involves a complex interplay between hunger/satiety, reward processes and cognitive control processes [33]. In the brain these processes are ascribed to interacting hypothalamic and mesocorticolimbic neuro-circuitry [34].

Due to food being essential for survival, we have evolved efficient motivational processes, which direct our attention and desire for food, and enjoyment of eating, which in turn can drive consumption beyond our metabolic requirement [35]. Our food reward system can override satiety signalling allowing us to eat when we do not feel hunger and undermine the ability to exert control overeating. This becomes problematic in modern westernised "obesogenic"

environments whereby energy-dense foods are easily accessed, and palatable foods are heavily marketed [36].

Berridge and colleagues have described what is regularly referred to as 'wanting' and 'liking' of foods [37-40]. These are separable psychological processes with overlapping, but distinct, neuro-circuitry. 'Wanting' refers to increased incentive salience of food, which is triggered by a food cue (e.g. the sight or smell of food) and generates a craving, or behavioural urge for food [41]. Preclinical studies have identified the neuro-architecture of 'wanting' as being located primarily in the mesocorticolimbic reward pathway comprising the nucleus accumbens shells, dorsal striatum and amygdala [34]. Neuro-chemically 'wanting' is understood to be dopamine, opioid underpinned primarily by glutamate, and endocannabinoid neurotransmission [42]. 'Liking' (pleasure) on the other hand is primarily generated in a subregion of the mesocorticolimbic reward pathway (nucleus accumbens). Notably, dopamine does not appear to have a primary role in liking, instead 'liking' is primarily underpinned neurochemically by opioid and endocannabinoid activity [43].

In human behavioural research, increased cravings for food have been associated with increased BMI [44]. Furthermore, cravings for food and responsivity to food cues increase in people who are adhering to an energy restricted diet, and this effect is more pronounced in people living with obesity [45,46]. Taken together this suggests that people living with obesity may find greater difficulty in coping with consequences of dieting. This suggests a biological vulnerability for weight gain in people living with obesity which results in eating behaviours that lead to energy overconsumption, such as preference for high fat foods (liking) and strong hedonic attraction to palatable foods [47].

Food cue reactivity paradigms using fMRI have suggested that people living with obesity show neurobiological vulnerabilities, which may increase risk of overconsumption [48]. For example, Dimitropoulos et al. observe increased mesocorticolimbic (lateral orbitofrontal cortex, caudate, anterior cingulate) activity in people with obesity relative to controls whilst viewing high-calorie and low-calorie foods [49]. However, many of these cross-sectional studies with small sample sizes report inconsistent results. Due to this, meta-analyses of passive viewing studies suggest that there is little consistent evidence for simple activation differences between people with obesity and controls in passive viewing paradigms [50].

Similarly, behavioural studies of attentional bias (selective capture and holding of attention) posit that incentive salience of foods are reflected by an attentional bias for food cues over non-food cues. Many studies in this area have been conducted, however the totality of the data, as recently meta-analysed [51], suggest that whilst craving for food, hunger, and food intake are associated with increased attentional bias, BMI is not. Thus, attentional bias reflects state changes in motivational value of food, rather than this being a trait of people living with obesity.

Given the complexity of obesity, it is not surprising that cross sectional studies have showed inconsistencies in neurobiological vulnerabilities for weight gain. There is clearly much individual variability in reward-related weight gain. More complex prospective studies using fMRI paradigms have been used to start to identify neurobiological markers for weight gain in individuals who are at greater risk of weight gain – i.e. predictors of weight gain. Such studies suggest that individuals at risk of obesity show a hyper-responsivity of reward neurocircuitry to high-calorie food tastes [48]. For example, Geha et al. showed that elevated responses to

high-calorie milkshake taste in nucleus accumbens, ventral pallidum, hypothalamus and thalamus predicted increased weight gain over a 12-month period [52]. Similarly, future weight-gain has been predicted by reward-related (nucleus accumbens, orbitofrontal cortex) activation in response to food cues and anticipation of foods [53]. However, equally there are studies which do not replicate these results [48]. In terms of weight-regain, novel neuroimaging designs are now beginning to be used to assess how differences in reward processing predicts successful/unsuccessful weight maintenance following weight-loss. For example, Simon et al. [54] shows that weight-maintainers and weight-regainers both show similar reward reactivity to expectation and receipt of food rewards. However, this activation remained in the satiated state in weight-regainers, but not weight-maintainers. This suggests a role for sustained reward system activity in weight-regain. However much more research is required in this area to elucidate specific mechanisms.

Research on the predictive markers for weight-gain and weight-regain based on reward pathway responsivity is still in its relative infancy, and there are studies that show equivocal findings. It becomes clear that reward processes are powerful motivators of food intake. However, these are not necessarily associated with changes in trait reward function in all people with obesity. There is a high likelihood that many people living with obesity have a rewardrelated neurobiological vulnerability to overconsumption, and this must be understood if we are to reduce blame and stigma around heavier body weight. Studies into reward reactivity in humans serve to highlight the complexities of obesity, and so identifying individual barriers to weight loss and specific individual problems must be identified in personalised weight management plans in order to have the best chances of achieving and maintaining weight loss.

#### Conclusion

The evidence presented in this review confirms that the inexorable trend to weight regain observed after weight loss is not simplistically attributable to the loss of patients motivation or compliance, but it is driven by potent biological mechanisms that tend to stimulate food intake (gut hormones) and depress energy expenditure (metabolic adaptation). The combined action of these peripheral mechanisms provides a pressure to overeating that open the way to the effects driven by the central mechanisms linked to the pleasure and the reward for food (**Figure 1**). This picture needs to be taken into account in designing long-term management interventions in patients with obesity. These compensatory mechanisms that we have described potentially contribute to weight regain after bariatric surgery. However, additional longitudinal studies are required in order to delineate the underlying mechanisms. A better insight of the molecular mechanisms sustaining weight regain could suggest new potential targets for pharmacologic interventions.



**Figure 1.** When body weight reduction occurs, secretion of orexigenic hormones in the gastrointestinal tract tends to increase, whereas anorexigenic hormones prduction slows down. The loss of fat mass si signaled by leptine levels reduction. The combined effects of these peripheral signals at the level of the hypothalamic neurons controlling weight and energy balance cause an increase in hunger and a decrese in energy expenditure, creating a more favourable substrate for the action of the central mechanisms linked to the pleasure and the reward for food.

# References

- 1. Frühbeck G, Busetto L, Dicker D, Yumuk V, Goossens GH, Hebebrand J, et al. The ABCD of Obesity: An EASO position statement on a diagnostic term with clinical and scientific implications. Obesity Facts 2019;12:131-6. doi: 10.1159/000497124.
- 2. Caterson ID, Alfadda AA, Auerbach P, Coutinho W, Cuevas A, Dicker D, et al. Gaps to bridge: misalignment between perception, reality and actions in obesity. Diabetes Obes Metab 2019;21:1914–24. https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.13752.
- 3. Rubino F, Puhl RM, Cummings DE, Eckel RH, Ryan DH, Mechanick JI, et al. Joint international consensus statement for ending stigma of obesity. Nature Medicine 2020;26:485-97. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0803-x.
- 4. Foster-Schubert KE, Cummings DE. Emerging therapeutic strategies for obesity. Endocr Rev 2006;27:779-93. doi: 10.1210/er.2006-0041.
- Sumithran P, Prendergast LA, Delbridge E, Purcell K, Shulkes A, Kriketos A, et al. Long-term persistence of hormonal adaptations to weight loss. N Engl J Med. 2011;365:1597-604.
- 6. Zhao X, Han Q, Gang X, Lv Y, Liu Y, Sun C, et al. The Role of Gut Hormones in Diet-Induced Weight Change: A Systematic Review. Horm Metab Res 2017;49:816-25.
- Cummings DE, Weigle DS, Frayo RS, Breen PA, Ma MK, Dellinger EP, et al. Plasma ghrelin levels after diet-induced weight loss or gastric bypass surgery. N Engl J Med. 2002;346:1623-30. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa012908.
- 8. Crujeiras AB, Goyenechea E, Abete I, Lage M, Carreira MC, Martínez JA, et al. Weight regain after a diet-induced loss is predicted by higher baseline leptin and lower ghrelin plasma levels. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2010;95:5037-44. doi: 10.1210/jc.2009-2566.
- 9. Nymo S, Coutinho SR, Eknes PH, Vestbostad I, Rehfeld JF, Truby H, et al. Investigation of the long-term sustainability of changes in appetite after weight loss. Int J Obes 2018;42:1489-99. doi.org/10.1038/s41366-018-0119-9.
- Gibson AA, Seimon RV, Lee CMY, Ayre J, Franklin J, Markovic TP, et al. Do ketogenic diets really suppress appetite? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Obes Rev. 2015;16:64-76. doi: 10.1111/obr.12230.
- Stubbs BJ, Cox PJ, Evans RD, Cyranka M, Clarke K, de Wet H. A Ketone Ester Drink Lowers Human Ghrelin and Appetite. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2018;26:269-73. https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.22051
- Nymo S, Coutinho SR, Eknes PH, Vestbostad I, Rehfeld JF, Truby H, et al. Investigation of the long-term sustainability of changes in appetite after weight loss. Int J Obes. 2018;42:1489-99. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41366-018-0119-9.
- de Luis DA, Gonzalez Sagrado M, Conde R, Aller R, Izaola O. The effects of two different hypocaloric diets on glucagon-like peptide 1 in obese adults, relation with insulin response after weight loss. J Diabetes Complications. 2009;23:239-43. doi: 10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2007.12.006.
- Iepsen EW, Lundgren J, Holst JJ, Madsbad S, Torekov SS. Successful weight loss maintenance includes long-term increased meal responses of GLP-1 and PYY3–36. Eur J Endocrinol. 2016;174:775-84. doi: 10.1530/EJE-15-1116.
- 15. Thom G, Dombrowski SU, Brosnahan N, Algindan YY, Rosario Lopez-Gonzalez M, Roditi G, et al. The role of appetite-related hormones, adaptive thermogenesis, perceived hunger and stress in long-term weight-loss maintenance: a mixed-methods study. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2020;74:622-32. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41430-020-0568-9</u>.

- De Benedictis JN, Nymo S, Ollestad KH, Boyesen GA, Rehfeld JF, Holst JJ, et al. Changes in the Homeostatic Appetite System After Weight Loss Reflect a Normalization Toward a Lower Body Weight. JCEM. 2020;105(7), e2538–e2546. doi.org/10.1210/clinem/dgaa202
- 17. Rosenbaum M, Leibel RL. Brain Reorganization following Weight Loss. Nestle Nutr Inst Workshop Ser 2012;73:1-20. doi: 10.1159/000341282.
- 18. Neseliler S, Hu W, Larcher K, Zacchia M, Dadar M, Scala SG, et al. Neurocognitive and Hormonal Correlates of Voluntary Weight Loss in Humans. Cell metab. 2019;29:39-49.
- 19. Hermann P, Gál V, Kóbor I, Kirwan CB, Kovács P, Kitka T, et al. Efficacy of weight loss intervention can be predicted based on early alterations of fMRI food cue reactivity in the striatum. Neuroimage Clin. 2019;23:101803.
- MacLean PS, Higgins JA, Giles ED, Sherk VD, Jackman MR. The role for adipose tissue in weight regain after weight loss. Obes Rev. 2015;16 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):45-54. doi: 10.1111/obr.12255.
- van Baak MA, Mariman ECM. Mechanisms of weight regain after weight loss the role of adipose tissue. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2019;15(5):274-287. doi: 10.1038/s41574-018-0148-4.
- Alemán JO, Bokulich NA, Swann JR, Walker JM, De Rosa JC, Battaglia T, et al. Fecal microbiota and bile acid interactions with systemic and adipose tissue metabolism in diet-induced weight loss of obese postmenopausal women. J Transl Med. 2018;16(1):244. doi:10.1186/s12967-018-1619-z.
- 23. Cotillard A, Kennedy SP, Kong LC, Prifti E, Pons N, Le Chatelier E, et al. Dietary intervention impact on gut microbial gene richness. Nature. 2013;500:585–588. doi: 10.1038/nature12480.
- van Nierop FS, Kulik W, Endert E, Schaap FG, Olde Damink SW, Romijn JA, et al. Effects of acute dietary weight loss on postprandial plasma bile acid responses in obese insulin resistant subjects. Clin Nutr. 2017;36(6):1615-1620. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2016.10.006.
- 25. Leibel RL, RosenbaumM, Hirsch J. Changes in energy expenditure resulting from altered body weight. N Engl J Med. 1995;332:621–8. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199503093321001
- Müller MJ, Enderle J, Pourhassan M, Braun W, Eggeling B, Lagerpusch M, et al. Metabolic adaptation to caloric restriction and subsequent refeeding: the Minnesota Starvation Experiment revisited. Am J Clin Nutr. 2015;102:807–21. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.115.109173.
- 27. Johanssen DL, Knuth ND, Huizenga R, Rood J, Ravussin E, Hall KD. Metabolic slowing with massive weight loss despite preservation of fat-free mass. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2012;97:2489-96. doi: 10.1210/jc.2012-1444.
- 28. Knuth ND, Johannsen DL, Tamboli RA, Marks-Shulman PA, Huizenga R, Chen KY1, et al. Metabolic adaptation following massive weight loss is related to the degree of energy imbalance and changes in circulating leptin. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2014;22:2563. doi: 10.1002/oby.20900.
- 29. Butte NF, Brandt ML, Wong WW, Liu Y, Mehta NR, Wilson TA, et al. Energetic adaptations persist after bariatric surgery in severely obese adolescents. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2015; 23: 591–601. doi: 10.1002/oby.20994.

- Tam CS, Rigas G, Heilbronn LK, Matisan T, Probst Y, Talbot M. Energy adaptations persist 2 years after sleeve gastrectomy and gastric bypass. Obes Surg. 2016;26:459–63. DOI 10.1007/s11695-015-1972-4.
- Bettini S, Bordigato E, Fabris R, Serra R, Dal Pra' C, Belligoli A, et al. Modifications of Resting Energy Expenditure After Sleeve Gastrectomy. Obes Surg. 2018;28:2481–6. doi.org/10.1007/s11695-018-3190-3.
- 32. Fothergill E, Guo J, Howard L, Kerns JC, Knuth ND, Brychta R, et al. Persistent metabolic adaptation 6 years after "The Biggest Loser" competition. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2016;24:1612–9. doi:10.1002/oby.21538.
- 33. Roberts CA, Christiansen P, Halford JCG. Tailoring pharmacotherapy to specific eating behaviours in obesity: Can recommendations for personalised therapy be made from the current data? Acta Diabetol. 2017;54:715-25.
- 34. Morales I, Berridge KC. 'Liking'and 'wanting'in eating and food reward: Brain mechanisms and clinical implications. PhysiolBehav. 2020;227:113152.
- 35. Johnson AW. Eating beyond metabolic need: how environmental cues influence feeding behavior. Trends Neurosci. 2013;36: 101-9. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2013.01.002.
- 36. Hall KD. Did the food environment cause the obesity epidemic? Obesity (Silver Spring) 2018;26:11-3. doi: 10.1002/oby.22073.
- 37. Berridge KC. Food reward: brain substrates of wanting and liking. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 1996;20:1-25. doi.org/10.1016/0149-7634(95)00033-B
- 38. Berridge KC, Kringelbach ML. Affective neuroscience of pleasure: reward in humans and animals. Psychopharmacol 2008;199:457-80. doi.org/10.1007/s00213-008-1099-6.
- 39. Berridge KC. 'Liking' and 'wanting' food rewards: brain substrates and roles in eating disorders. Physiol Behavior 2009;97:537-50. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2009.02.044.
- 40. Berridge KC, Kringelbach ML. Pleasure systems in the brain. Neuron 2015;86:646-64.
- 41. Rogers PJ. Eating habits and appetite control: a psychobiological perspective. Proc Nutr Soc. 1999;58:59-67. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2015.02.018.
- 42. Berridge KC, Ho CY, Richard JM, DiFeliceantonio AG. The tempted brain eats: pleasure and desire circuits in obesity and eating disorders. Brain Res. 2010;1350:43-64. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2010.04.003
- 43. Kirkham TC. Cannabinoids and appetite: food craving and food pleasure. Int Rev Psychiatr. 2009;21: 63-71. doi: 10.1080/09540260902782810
- Chao A, Grilo CM, White MA, Sinha R. Food cravings, food intake, and weight status in a community-based sample. Eat Behav. 2014;15:478-82. doi: 10.1016/j.eatbeh.2014.06.003.
- 45. Nijs IM, Muris P, Euser AS, Franken IH. Differences in attention to food and food intake between overweight/obese and normal-weight females under conditions of hunger and satiety. Appetite 2010;54:243-54.
- 46. Massey A, Hill AJ. Dieting and food craving. A descriptive, quasi-prospective study. Appetite 2012;58:781-5. doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2012.01.020.
- 47. Blundell JE, Cooling J. Routes to obesity: phenotypes, food choices and activity. Brit J Nutr 2000;83:S33-S38. doi: 10.1017/S0007114500000933
- 48. Stice E, Burger K. Neural vulnerability factors for obesity. Clin Psychol Rev. 2019;68:38-53. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2018.12.002.

- 49. Dimitropoulos A, Tkach J, Ho A, Kennedy J. Greater corticolimbic activation to highcalorie food cues after eating in obese vs. normal-weight adults. Appetite 2012;58:303-12. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2011.10.014.
- 50. Morys F, Garcia-Garcia I, Dagher A. Is obesity related to enhanced neural reactivity to visual food cues? A review and meta-analysis. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci. 2020 Aug 12 [Epub ahead of print].
- 51. Hardman C, Jones A, Burton S, Duckworth J, McGale L, Mead B, et al. Food-related attentional bias and its associations with appetitive motivation and body weight: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Appetite 2020. In Press.
- Geha PY, Aschenbrenner K, Felsted J, O'Malley SS, Small DM. Altered hypothalamic response to food in smokers. Am J Clin Nutr 2013;97:15-22. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.112.043307.
- 53. Demos KE, Heatherton TF, Kelley WM. Individual differences in nucleus accumbens activity to food and sexual images predict weight gain and sexual behavior. J Neurosci. 2012;32:5549-52. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5958-11.2012.
- 54. Simon, JJ, Becker A, Sinno MH, Skunde M, Bendszus M, Preissl H, et al. Neural food reward processing in successful and unsuccessful weight maintenance. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2018;26:895-902. doi: 10.1002/oby.22165.