UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *Efficacy and cost-effectiveness of a community-based smokefree-home intervention with or without indoor-air quality feedback in Bangladesh (MCLASS II): a three-arm, cluster-randomised, controlled trial.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/170269/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Mdege, Noreen Dadirai orcid.org/0000-0003-3189-3473, Fairhurst, Caroline Marie orcid.org/0000-0003-0547-462X, Wang, Han I orcid.org/0000-0002-3521-993X et al. (12 more authors) (2021) Efficacy and cost-effectiveness of a community-based smoke-free-home intervention with or without indoor-air quality feedback in Bangladesh (MCLASS II): a three-arm, cluster-randomised, controlled trial. The Lancet Global Health. e639-e650. ISSN 2214-109X

https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(21)00040-1

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

	Unit cost (\$USD)	Source
Intervention costs		
Training cost for IAQ		
Trainers (staff time)	39.4/day	Trial team
Trainees (staff time)	12.2/day	Trial team
Material	2.7/person	Trial team
Logistics	1.0/person	Trial team
Venue	48.8	Trial team
Training cost for SFH		
Trainers (staff time)	4.9/hour	Trial team
Trainees (staff time)	3.7/hour	Trial team
Food	3.1/person	Trial team
Travel	640	Trial team
Venue	48.8	Trial team
Delivery cost for IAQ		
Adaptor	30.75 each	Trial team
Battery	332.5 each	Trial team
Shipping cost	1046.3	Trial team
Tax at airport	9648.3	Trial team
Staff time	12.2/day	Trial team
Travel cost	1.2/visit	Trial team
Consumables (booklet, food, etc)	219.8	Trial team
Delivery cost for SFH		
Religious leaders (staff time)	3.7/hour	Trial team
Consumables	0.4/copy	Trial team
Booklets	4.9/copy	Trial team
Healthcare costs		
Inpatient stays	54·4/stay	World Health
		Organisation ²⁶
Outpatient visits	1·9/visit	World Health
		Organisation ²⁶
A&E visits	2·5/visit	Islam et al ²⁷
Home visits (doctor)*	13·5/visit	Bangladesh Bureau of
		Statistics ²⁵
Home visits (nurse)*	8·5/visit	Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics ²⁵

 Table 1: Cost breakdowns for interventions and unit costs

*The average time for a home visit was assumed to be 1 hour 40 minutes including travel time

	Usual SFH		SFH	Total	
	Services	intervention	intervention	(n=1.350)	
	(n=450)	(n=420)	plus IAO	())	
	()	()	feedback		
			(n=480)		
Age of lead adult, years					
Mean (SD)	40.8 (12.8)	40.7 (12.7)	40.3 (12.1)	40.6 (12.5)	
	$38 \cdot 2 (20 \cdot 1,$	37.3 (22.1,	$38 \cdot 1 (20 \cdot 2,$	$38 \cdot 1 (20 \cdot 1,$	
Median (min, max)	85.4)	85.5)	84.7)	85.5)	
Gender of lead adult					
(male), n (%)	429 (95.3)	387 (92.1)	461 (96.0)	1277 (94.6)	
Number of adult					
residents					
Mean (SD)	$2 \cdot 4 \ (0 \cdot 8)$	2.5(0.8)	$2 \cdot 3 (0 \cdot 7)$	$2 \cdot 4 \ (0 \cdot 8)$	
Median (min, max)	$2 \cdot 0 \ (1 \cdot 0, \ 6 \cdot 0)$	$2 \cdot 0 (1 \cdot 0, 6 \cdot 0)$	$2 \cdot 0 \ (1 \cdot 0, \ 5 \cdot 0)$	$2 \cdot 0 \ (1 \cdot 0, \ 6 \cdot 0)$	
Number of child					
residents					
Mean (SD)	$1 \cdot 3 (1 \cdot 1)$	$1 \cdot 4 (1 \cdot 1)$	1.5(1.1)	$1 \cdot 4 (1 \cdot 1)$	
Median (min, max)	1.0(0.0, 7.0)	1.0(0.0, 5.0)	1.0(0.0, 6.0)	1.0(0.0, 7.0)	
Home has outside					
space, n (%)	244 (54.2)	243 (57.9)	237 (49.4)	724 (53.6)	
Number of bedrooms					
Mean (SD)	1.5(0.7)	1.5(0.7)	1.3(0.6)	$1 \cdot 4 \ (0 \cdot 7)$	
Median (min, max)	1.0(0.0, 5.0)	1.0(1.0, 5.0)	1.0(1.0, 4.0)	1.0(0.0, 5.0)	
Type of fuel used for					
cooking, n (%)					
Electricity	41 (9.1)	71 (16.9)	11 (2.3)	123 (9.1)	
LPG/natural gas/biogas	401 (89.1)	362 (86.2)	479 (99.8)	1,242 (92.0)	
Kerosene	27 (6.0)	5 (1.2)	21 (4.4)	53 (3.9)	
Number of adult					
resident smokers					
Mean (SD)	$1 \cdot 1 \ (0 \cdot 3)$	$1 \cdot 1 \ (0 \cdot 3)$	$1 \cdot 1 \ (0 \cdot 3)$	$1 \cdot 1 \ (0 \cdot 3)$	
Median (min, max)	1.0(1.0, 3.0)	1.0(0.0, 3.0)	1.0(0.0, 3.0)	1.0(0.0, 3.0)	
Number of child					
resident smokers					
Mean (SD)	0.0(0.1)	0.0(0.1)	0.0(0.2)	0.0(0.1)	
Median (min, max)	0.0 (0.0, 1.0)	0.0(0.0, 1.0)	0.0 (0.0, 1.0)	0.0(0.0, 1.0)	
Residents allowed to					
smoke, n (%)					
Anywhere inside your					
home	197 (43.8)	180 (42.9)	262 (54.6)	639 (47.3)	
Only in some rooms in					
your home	5 (1.1)	6 (1.4)	1 (0.2)	12 (0.9)	
Only in one room in your					
home	14 (3.1)	36 (8.6)	15 (3.1)	65 (4.8)	
Only outside	233 (51.8)	196 (46.7)	199 (41.5)	628 (46.5)	
Don't know	1 (0.2)	2(0.5)	3 (0.6)	6 (0.4)	

 Table 2: Baseline household characteristics (as followed up)

Visitors are allowed to				
smoke, n (%)				
Anywhere inside your				
home	178 (39.6)	146 (34.8)	247 (51.5)	571 (42.3)
Only in some rooms in				
your home	4 (0.9)	6 (1.4)	1 (0.2)	11 (0.8)
Only in one room in your				
home	11 (2.4)	30 (7.1)	12 (2.5)	53 (3.9)
Only outside	250 (55.6)	229 (54.5)	213 (44.4)	692 (51.3)
Don't know	7 (1.6)	9 (2.1)	7 (1.5)	23 (1.7)
Are residents allowed to				
smoke in front of				
children in the home?,				
n (%)				
Yes	132 (29.3)	142 (33.8)	194 (40.4)	468 (34.7)
No	221 (49.1)	179 (42.6)	191 (39.8)	591 (43.8)
Don't know	6 (1.3)	3 (0.7)	$10(2 \cdot 1)$	19 (1.4)
No children live in this				
house	91 (20.2)	96 (22.9)	85 (17.7)	272 (20.1)
Are visitors allowed to				
smoke in front of				
children in the home?,				
n (%)				
Yes	118 (26.2)	112 (26.7)	196 (40.8)	426 (31.6)
No	234 (52.0)	201 (47.9)	185 (38.5)	620 (45.9)
Don't know	9 (2.0)	12 (2.9)	14 (2.9)	35 (2.6)
No children live in this				
house	89 (19.8)	95 (22.6)	85 (17.7)	269 (19.9)

Average PM _{2.5}		Usual	SFH	SFH	Total
measurement (ug/m ³)		Services	intervention	intervention	(n=1,801)
		(n=601)	(n=560)	and IAQ	
				feedback	
				(n=640)	
	Ν	572	542	632	1,746
Baseline (as	Mean (SD)	41.9 (38.5)	39.5 (29.3)	44.6 (43.7)	42.2 (38.0)
randomised)	Median	29	30	30	30
	(min, max)	(2, 251)	(8, 166)	(1, 422)	(1, 422)
	Ν	447	419	480	1346
Baseline (as	Mean (SD)	44.2 (40.8)	42.1 (30.7)	46.6 (42.3)	44.4 (38.6)
analysed)	Median				
-	(min, max)	30 (2, 251)	34 (8, 166)	30 (5, 334)	31 (2, 334)
Month 3	Ν	450	420	480	1,350
	Mean (SD)	85.3 (86.8)	75.6 (83.7)	74.9 (76.9)	78.6 (82.5)
	Median	44.5	38	38	39
	(min, max)	(1, 417)	(6, 459)	(1, 353)	(1, 459)
Month 12	Ν	441	405	468	1314
	Mean (SD)	65.2(44.7)	68.9(49.5)	65.8 (39.6)	66.5 (44.6)
	Median	54	57	56	55
	(min, max)	(11, 340)	(13, 389)	(14, 244)	(11, 389)

Table 3: PM_{2.5} Dylos measurements by trial group over time

 Table 4: Primary analysis results (log-transformation of outcome data in sensitivity analysis)

Follow-up	Comparison	Adjusted mean	p-value	
		difference (95% CI)		
Month 3	SFH intervention plus IAQ feedback vs. Usual services	-12.6 (-26.3 to 1.0)	0.07	
	SFH intervention vs. Usual services	-9·3 (-24·0 to 5·4)	0.22	
	SFH intervention vs. SFH intervention plus IAQ feedback	3·4 (-10·7 to 17·4)	0.64	
Month 12	SFH intervention plus IAQ feedback vs. Usual services [primary comparison]	-1.0 (-12.8 to 10.9)	0.88	
	SFH intervention vs. Usual services	5.0 (-7.9 to 18.0)	0.45	
	SFH intervention vs. SFH intervention plus IAQ feedback	6.0 (-6.3 to 18.3)	0.34	
Log- transformed				
Month 3	SFH intervention plus IAQ feedback vs. Usual services	-0.13 (-0.32 to 0.05)	0.16	
	SFH intervention vs. Usual services	-0.11 (-0.30 to 0.09)	0.30	
	SFH intervention vs. SFH intervention plus IAQ feedback	0.03 (-0.16 to 0.22)	0.78	
Month 12	SFH intervention plus IAQ feedback vs. Usual services	0.02 (-0.15 to 0.19)	0.79	
	SFH intervention vs. Usual services	0.06 (-0.12 to 0.25)	0.50	
	SFH intervention vs. SFH intervention plus IAQ feedback	0.04 (-0.13 to 0.21)	0.64	

	No. of	Costs			QALYs (SD)	ICER
	households	Intervention \$USD	Healthcare \$USD (SD)	Total \$USD (SD)		
Trial results						
SFH intervention plus IAQ feedback	429	21.9	11.0 (22.0)	32.8 (22.0)	3.31 (1.20)	\$653/QALY gained
SFH intervention	383	2.9	23.0 (61.0)	25.8 (61.0)	3.25 (1.18)	Dominated
Usual services	425	-	13.2 (30.4)	13.2 (30.4)	3.28 (1.22)	-
				Incremental costs (\$)	Incremental QALYs	ICER
Results of bootstr	apped SUR model	\$				
SFH intervention plus IAQ feedback vs. usual services (mean, 95% CI)			19·5 (14·2 to 24·9)	-0.05 (-0.05) to $0.05)$	Dominated	
SFH intervention vs. usual services (mean, 95% CI)			12·1 (6·6 to 17·6)	-0.10 (-0.14 to -0.07)	Dominated	

Table 5: Total costs, QALYs and ICER per household by trial groups