



Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/170024/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Duffy, J.M.N., Cairns, A.E., Magee, L.A. et al. (2020) Standardising definitions for the pre-eclampsia core outcome set: A consensus development study. *Pregnancy Hypertension*, 21. pp. 208-217. ISSN: 2210-7789

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.preghy.2020.06.005>

---

Article available under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND licence  
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

# 1 Standardizing definitions for the pre-eclampsia core 2 outcome set: A consensus development study

3

4 **Please carefully check your name, qualification, and affiliation.**

5 James M. N. Duffy MBChB<sup>1</sup>, Alexandra E. Cairns DPhil<sup>1</sup>, Laura A. Magee PhD<sup>2</sup>,  
6 Peter von Dadelszen DPhil<sup>2</sup>, Janneke van 't Hooft PhD<sup>3</sup>, Chris Gale PhD<sup>4</sup>, Mark Brown MD<sup>5</sup>,  
7 William A. Grobman MD<sup>6</sup>, Ray Fitzpatrick PhD<sup>7</sup>, S. Ananth Karumanchi MD<sup>8</sup>,  
8 Nuala Lucas FRCA<sup>9</sup>, Ben Mol MD<sup>10</sup>, Michael Stark PhD<sup>11</sup>, Shakila Thangaratinam PhD<sup>12</sup>,  
9 Mathew J Wilson MD<sup>13</sup>, Paula R. Williamson PhD<sup>14</sup>, Sue Ziebland MSc<sup>1</sup>,  
10 Richard J. McManus PhD<sup>1</sup> and the International Collaboration to Harmonize Outcomes for  
11 Pre-eclampsia (iHOPE)

12

13 <sup>1</sup> Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, United  
14 Kingdom.

15 <sup>2</sup> Department of Women and Children's Health, School of Life Course Sciences, King's  
16 College London, London, United Kingdom.

17 <sup>3</sup> Academic Medical Centre, Amsterdam, Netherlands.

18 <sup>4</sup> Academic Neonatal Medicine, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom.

19 <sup>5</sup> Renal Unit, St George and Sutherland Hospitals, Kogarah, Australia.

20 <sup>6</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern  
21 University, Chicago, United States.

22 <sup>7</sup> Health Services Research Unit, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of  
23 Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom.

24 <sup>8</sup> Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, United States.

25 <sup>9</sup> Obstetric Anaesthetists' Association, London, United Kingdom.

26 <sup>10</sup> Women's Health Care Research Group, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology,  
27 Monash University, Clayton, Australia.

28 <sup>11</sup> Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia.

29 <sup>12</sup> Women's Health Research Unit, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry,  
30 London, United Kingdom.

31 <sup>13</sup> School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United  
32 Kingdom.

33 <sup>14</sup> MRC North West Hub for Trials Methodology Research, Institute of Translation Medicine,  
34 University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom.

35

36 **Please update your declarations of interest**

37 Prof Mol is a consultant for ObsEva. Prof Karumanchi reports serving as a  
38 consultant to Roche, Siemens, and Thermofisher Scientific and has financial interest  
39 in Aggamin Pharmaceuticals. Prof McManus has received blood pressure monitors for  
40 research from Omron. The remaining authors declare no competing interests.

41

42 This study was funded by the Barts Charity, Elizabeth Garrett Anderson Hospital Charity,  
43 and National Institute for Health Research. Prof. Richard McManus received funding through  
44 the National Institute for Health Research Oxford Collaboration for Applied Health Research  
45 and Care. The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study, the collection,  
46 management, analysis, or interpretation of data, or manuscript preparation.

47

48 **Correspondence to:**

49 Dr James M. N. Duffy MBChB  
50 Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford  
51 Oxford OX1 3BJ  
52 United Kingdom  
53 james.duffy@balliol.ox.ac.uk  
54 @jamesmnduffy

55

56 **Manuscript word count**

57 3 386 words

58

59 **Condensation**

60 Using formal consensus methods, this study has developed standardized definitions for the  
61 pre-eclampsia core outcome set, implementation within future pre-eclampsia trials should  
62 standardize core outcome collection across future research.

63

64 **Short title**

65 Standardizing definitions for pre-eclampsia research

66

67

68

69 **AJOG at a glance**

70 A. Standardizing definitions for individual core outcomes presents an opportunity to develop  
71 additional harmony in future pre-eclampsia research and ensure secondary research can  
72 be undertaken prospectively, efficiently, and harmoniously.

73 B. Using formal consensus development methods healthcare professionals and  
74 researchers have developed standardized definitions for the pre-eclampsia core  
75 outcome set for use within future randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews.

76 C. Consensus on measurements for the pre-eclampsia core outcome set will help to ensure  
77 consistency across future randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews, making  
78 research evidence more accessible and facilitate the translation of research into clinical  
79 practice.

80

81 **Keywords**

82 Consensus development study, core outcome set, hypertension in pregnancy, outcome  
83 measure, pre-eclampsia, and randomized controlled trials.

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106  
107  
108  
109  
110

## 111 **Abstract**

### 112 **Background**

113 While a core outcome set for pre-eclampsia has been established, this is necessary but not  
114 sufficient. Different definitions exist for individual core outcomes. Such variation makes it  
115 difficult to synthesize the results of individual randomized controlled trials within secondary  
116 research. Standardizing definitions for individual core outcomes presents an opportunity to  
117 develop additional harmony across future pre-eclampsia research

### 118 **Objectives**

119 To develop consensus definitions for the core outcome set for pre-eclampsia.

### 120 **Study design**

121 Potential definitions for outcomes previously chosen in the core outcome set development  
122 process were identified across four formal definition development initiatives, nine national  
123 and international guidelines, 12 Cochrane systematic reviews, and 79 randomized controlled  
124 trials, and entered into a consensus development conference. These definitions were  
125 entered into a consensus development conference, including ten healthcare professionals  
126 and three researchers, plus six participants with experience of conducting research in low-  
127 and middle-income countries. A definition hierarchy structured the discussion for each core  
128 outcome. A prior consensus definition was unanimous agreement.

### 129 **Results**

130 Eighty-six definitions were entered into the consensus development conference. Consensus  
131 was reached for all core outcomes including 14 maternal, four fetal, and four neonatal. When  
132 considering stroke, pulmonary edema, and neonatal seizures, separate consensus  
133 definitions were developed for high-income countries and low- and middle-income countries.

134 **Conclusion(s)**

135 Consensus on measurements for the pre-eclampsia core outcome set will help to ensure  
136 consistency across future randomized controlled trials, systematic reviews, and clinical  
137 practice guidelines. Such standardization should make research evidence more accessible  
138 and facilitate the translation of research into clinical practice.

139 **Clinical trial registration**

140 Core Outcome Measures in Effectiveness Trials Initiative, [www.comet-  
142 initiative.org/studies/details/588](http://www.comet-<br/>141 initiative.org/studies/details/588), and registration number 588.

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

## 168 **Introduction**

169 Randomized controlled trials evaluating potential treatments for pre-eclampsia have reported  
170 many different outcomes.<sup>1-3</sup> Such variation contributes to challenges in comparing,  
171 contrasting, and combining individual pre-eclampsia trials, limiting the usefulness of research  
172 to inform clinical practice. The development, dissemination, and implementation of a core  
173 outcome set for pre-eclampsia should address the variation in outcome selection, collection,  
174 and reporting in randomized trials and ensure the future evidence base is meaningful to  
175 diverse stakeholders, including women with pre-eclampsia.<sup>4</sup>

176

177 While a core outcome set for pre-eclampsia has been established, this is necessary but not  
178 sufficient. Different definitions exist for individual core outcomes. For example, stillbirth has  
179 been defined using six different combinations of gestational ages, birth weights, and crown-  
180 heel heights (Table 1).<sup>5</sup> Such variation makes it difficult to synthesize the results of individual  
181 randomized controlled trials within secondary research, including individual pair-wise meta-  
182 analysis, patient data meta-analysis, and network meta-analysis.<sup>6</sup> Standardizing definitions  
183 for individual core outcomes presents an opportunity to develop additional harmony in future  
184 pre-eclampsia research and ensure secondary research can be undertaken prospectively,  
185 efficiently, and harmoniously.

186

187 No guidelines have established recommendations regarding the selection of definitions for  
188 individual core outcomes.<sup>7</sup> Outside the context of core outcome set development, the World  
189 Health Organization's Working Group on Maternal Mortality and Morbidity Classifications has  
190 standardized and validated a range of definitions.<sup>8</sup> An international working group of experts  
191 undertook a systematic review of maternal mortality/morbidity definitions and assessed their  
192 feasibility in high-, middle-, and low-income countries. The systematic review supported the

193 development of standardized definitions for mortality and specific morbidities, including  
194 eclampsia, renal failure, and mechanical ventilation.<sup>9</sup> Standardized definitions were  
195 demonstrated to be feasible in a high-income country (Canada) and a middle-income  
196 country (Brazil).<sup>10,11</sup> Other initiatives, including the World Health Organization's ICD-11,  
197 Brighton Collaboration, and International Network of Obstetric Surveillance Systems, have  
198 standardized, but not validated, several maternal, fetal, and neonatal definitions.<sup>5,12-15</sup>

199

200 In this study, we used robust consensus development methods to generate agreement on  
201 definitions for the core outcome set for pre-eclampsia.

202

## 203 **Materials and methods**

204 An international steering group, including healthcare professionals, researchers, and patient  
205 representatives, was formed to guide the development of this core outcome measurement  
206 set. Members of the steering group represented various disciplines, geographical areas, and  
207 expertise.

208

### 209 **Sources of potential definitions for individual core outcomes**

210 Potential definitions were sourced from formal definition development initiatives, national and  
211 international guidelines, Cochrane systematic reviews, and randomized controlled trials  
212 (Figure 1). Specific methods have been published elsewhere, briefly:

- 213 ▪ A systematic review was undertaken searching the Core Outcome Measures in  
214 Effectiveness Trials (COMET) initiative register to identify definition development  
215 initiatives relevant to pregnancy and childbirth research from inception to January  
216 2017.<sup>16</sup>
- 217 ▪ A recently published systematic review of national and international pre-eclampsia  
218 guidelines was used to source definitions used within these guidelines.<sup>17</sup>

- 219   ▪ Cochrane systematic reviews evaluating potential treatments for pre-eclampsia were  
220    identified by searching the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (CDSR) from  
221    inception to August 2017, again aiming to identify standardized definitions.
- 222   ▪ Randomized controlled trials evaluating potential treatments for pre-eclampsia where  
223    outcomes may have been defined were identified by searching bibliographical  
224    databases, including the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, MEDLINE, and  
225    EMBASE, from inception to January 2016.

226

227   An inventory of potential definitions was developed. Using a pilot-tested and standardized  
228   data extraction form, definitions were extracted verbatim from all sources. When a definition  
229   was not explicitly stated in a published trial report, the corresponding researcher was  
230   contacted to seek further clarification. From these different sources, 86 potential definitions  
231   were identified for the 14 maternal, four fetal, and four neonatal core outcomes that had  
232   already been identified (Table 2).<sup>18</sup>

233

#### 234   **Consensus development conference.**

235   Healthcare professionals and researchers, who lived in the United Kingdom, and had  
236   participated in the development of a core outcome set for pre-eclampsia, were invited to  
237   participate in a consensus definition development conference.<sup>4</sup> There is no robust method  
238   for calculating the required number of participants which needs to be broad enough to  
239   include relevant stakeholders but small enough for adequate discussion to ensure that  
240   consensus is possible.<sup>19</sup> Following consultation with the study's steering group, we aimed to  
241   recruit between ten and 15 participants, as this number has yielded sufficient results and  
242   face validity in other settings.<sup>19</sup>

243

244   The consensus development method was delivered through a half day consensus  
245   development conference. The meeting was chaired by one of us who was an experienced

246 facilitator (RJM). Before starting the meeting, participants provided demographic details,  
247 including age, gender, and ethnic group, and made an explicit commitment to participate  
248 actively.

249

250 The group discussion followed an informal format with the chairperson providing direction.  
251 Each core outcome was discussed in turn. Potential definitions were displayed within the  
252 definition hierarchy. Participants were encouraged to voice their opinions on previously used  
253 definitions, to suggest new definitions if necessary and to reformulate individual definitions to  
254 improve clarity or comprehension. The *a priori* definition of consensus used within this study  
255 was unanimous agreement. Although the group was encouraged to reach consensus,  
256 members were able to express minority or alternative views when consensus could not be  
257 achieved.

258

## 259 **Results**

260 Eighty-six potential outcome definitions were drawn from four definition development  
261 initiatives,<sup>5,8,12,14,15,20,21</sup> nine national and international clinical practice guidelines,<sup>22-28</sup> 12  
262 Cochrane systematic reviews,<sup>29-39</sup> and 79 pre-eclampsia trials (Appendix S1).<sup>40-120</sup> Thirteen  
263 participants participated in the consensus development conference (Table S1) comprising  
264 ten healthcare professionals (77%) and three researchers (23%). Six (46%) had experience  
265 or working in or conducting research in low- and middle-income countries.

266

### 267 **Maternal core outcomes**

268 Maternal mortality: Participants noted consistency across definitions in terms of a limit of 42  
269 days after delivery, pregnant termination or miscarriage, a historical limit based upon the  
270 approximate timing of first menstrual period in non-lactating women (Table 3).<sup>121</sup> Participants  
271 discussed the possibility to extend the definition by including deaths attributable to

272 complications of pre-eclampsia later than 42 days, however, concerns were expressed  
273 regarding the feasibility of longer follow-up in low- and middle- income countries.

274

275 Eclampsia: Participants identified inconsistencies in terminology across different definitions  
276 of eclampsia. A unanimous decision was made to define eclampsia as “the onset of  
277 convulsions in a woman with pre-eclampsia, not attributable to other causes”. Participants  
278 discussed the importance of acknowledging the various terminology used in different  
279 settings related to convulsions including fits, generalized convulsions, tonic-clonic seizure,  
280 and seizure.

281

282 Stroke: Participants recognized pre-eclampsia as an important risk factor for both ischemic  
283 and hemorrhagic stroke.<sup>122</sup> Discussion focused upon the challenges of obtaining  
284 computerized tomography or magnetic resonance imaging in low- and middle-income  
285 countries, and as such separate definitions were agreed for high-income countries and low-  
286 and middle-income countries.

287

288 Cortical blindness: In the single potential definition identified, participants noted the  
289 requirement to measure visual acuity and the challenges of doing so. Such measurement is  
290 not a core competency for healthcare professionals in maternity settings, and the necessary  
291 equipment to measure visual acuity is often not readily available. Participants concluded a  
292 patient-reported symptom of visual impairment would be comparable and negate the  
293 requirement to undertake visual acuity measurement.

294

295 Retinal detachment: Participants appreciated the simplicity of the World Health  
296 Organization’s definition: “*a condition in which the retina peels away from its underlying layer*  
297 *of support tissue.*”<sup>14</sup> However, the importance of undertaking an ophthalmological  
298 examination to confirm the diagnosis was discussed and considered essential in securing a  
299 robust diagnosis.

300

301 Pulmonary edema: Participants agreed the clinical signs of pulmonary edema are relatively  
302 straightforward to elicit during respiratory system auscultation. The discussion focused upon  
303 chest x-ray confirmation. Concerns were expressed regarding the availability of X-ray  
304 facilities in low- and middle-income countries. To address these concerns, participants  
305 agreed to include the requirement for directive treatment and an oxygen saturation below  
306 95% when a chest x-ray is unavailable.

307

308 Acute kidney injury: Participants noted a diverse range of different definitions of acute kidney  
309 injury. A pragmatic decision was made to implement the National Institute for Health and  
310 Care Excellence standardized definition which shares a common definition with other recent  
311 national and international initiatives including Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss, End-stage (RIFLE)  
312 renal disease, Acute Kidney Injury Network, and Kidney Disease: Improving Global  
313 Outcomes.<sup>123-126</sup> The discussion focused upon the measurement of creatinine during routine  
314 antenatal care. A baseline creatinine is not routinely measured in lower risk women and may  
315 not have been measured before pregnancy.<sup>127</sup> Therefore, an additional criterion was added  
316 to the consensus definition: serum creatinine >150 micromol/liter in the absence of a  
317 baseline serum creatinine.

318

319 Liver capsule hematoma: Participants unanimously recommended the definition previously  
320 reported in randomized trials adopted from the prediction of adverse maternal outcomes in  
321 pre-eclampsia study.<sup>128</sup>

322

323 Placental abruption: Participants unanimously agreed the definition developed as part of the  
324 Brighton Collaboration case definition study.<sup>20</sup>

325

326 Postpartum hemorrhage: Participants discussed the challenges of defining postpartum  
327 hemorrhage when considering the contribution of the mode of delivery, estimating blood

328 loss, and differences in thresholds when further medical or surgical intervention to manage  
329 postpartum hemorrhage is deemed necessary. Participants agreed a common starting point  
330 is the recognition of heavy abnormal bleeding following childbirth. A specific volume  
331 threshold was considered unhelpful as there is marked inter-observer variability in estimating  
332 blood loss.<sup>129</sup> Participants discussed the importance of demonstrating hypotension and/or  
333 the use of pharmacologic or surgical interventions to manage postpartum hemorrhage as  
334 important components of the consensus definition.

335

336 Raised liver enzymes: Participants recognized that the reference ranges for liver  
337 transaminases vary both during the three trimesters of pregnancy and between different  
338 laboratories. Participants unanimously recommended the consensus definition should not  
339 state a specific threshold but that aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine  
340 transaminase (ALT) should be elevated at least twice the upper limit of normal.

341

342 Low platelets: Participants discussed the different thresholds defining thrombocytopenia, in  
343 pregnancy thrombocytopenia is defined as a platelet count of less than  $150 \times 10^9/L$ ,  
344 however, counts below  $100 \times 10^9/L$  are more typical in HELLP syndrome and in severe  
345 cases, the platelet count may fall below  $30 \times 10^9/L$ .<sup>62,130</sup> Participants agreed platelet counts  
346 below  $100 \times 10^9/L$  should be used as the threshold for the consensus definition.

347

348 Maternal admission to intensive care unit required: Participants unanimously agreed on a  
349 consensus definition. The definition highlights the importance of collecting and reporting the  
350 requirement for intensive care unit admission even if women are unable to be admitted to an  
351 intensive care unit because of logistics or availability of such services. The lack of capacity  
352 will be particularly relevant to research conducted in low- and middle-income countries.<sup>131</sup>

353

354 Tracheal Intubation and mechanical ventilation not for purposes of operative delivery:

355 Participants unanimously agreed a consensus definition.

356

357 **Fetal core outcomes**

358 Stillbirth: Participants reviewed the different definitions which incorporated different  
359 quantifiable parameters, including clinical estimates of gestational age, birth weight, and  
360 crown-heel height.<sup>32</sup> Participants highlighted the World Health Organization's definition for  
361 stillbirth is the most widely used.<sup>132</sup> The inclusion of height and weight thresholds secures its  
362 feasibility in low- and middle-income countries.<sup>132</sup> Consensus was reached to select the  
363 World Health Organization's definition.<sup>14</sup>

364

365 Gestational age at delivery: Participants considered gestational age at delivery as a well-  
366 characterized outcome with an internationally accepted definition.<sup>21</sup> There was unanimous  
367 agreement to adopt this definition.

368

369 Birth weight: Participants agreed birth weight should be collected within 24 hours of birth.<sup>21</sup>  
370 Participants noted best practice recommendations regarding the measurement of birth  
371 weight should be adhered to in future pre-eclampsia research including weight assessed  
372 using a calibrated electronic scale with 10-gram resolution.<sup>21</sup> Participants noted in low- and  
373 middle-income countries calibrated electronic scales may not be readily available, and the  
374 calibration and type of scale should be clearly reported.

375

376 Small for gestational age: Participants discussed the importance of assessing small for  
377 gestational age using validated growth charts. A variety of different international, regional,  
378 and local growth charts are available.<sup>133</sup> Participants unanimously agreed a 10<sup>th</sup> percentile  
379 threshold was appropriate to identify small for gestational age newborn infants and any  
380 validated international, regional, or local customized growth chart could be used. Participants  
381 agreed small for gestational age infants should be reported for all births, including stillbirths.

382

**383 Neonatal core outcomes**

384 Neonatal mortality: Participants noted the consistent use of the World Health Organization  
385 definition for neonatal mortality, “*deaths among live births during the first 28 completed days*  
386 *of life*”, across definition development initiatives, international and national guidelines,  
387 Cochrane systematic reviews, and randomized controlled trials.<sup>14</sup> Participants unanimously  
388 recommended this definition.

389

390 Neonatal seizures: Participants noted World Health Organization guidelines described the  
391 most practical method of diagnosing neonatal seizures, based upon clinical recognition.<sup>134</sup>  
392 Neonatal seizures commonly present with focal clonic movements, however, they can  
393 present with more subtle signs which can be easily misinterpreted as either crying or cycling  
394 movements of the limbs.<sup>134</sup> Electroencephalogram (EEG) monitoring can support the  
395 diagnosis. However, its availability in low- and middle-income countries is limited.  
396 Participants agreed a common starting point is the recognition of neonatal seizures.  
397 Separate definitions were agreed for high-income countries and low- and middle-income  
398 countries.

399

400 Respiratory support: Participants agreed on a consensus definition which included  
401 continuous positive airway pressure, non-invasive positive pressure ventilation, or intubation  
402 and mechanical ventilation. Participants discussed the inclusion of supplemental oxygen;  
403 however concerns were expressed that this would represent an overly inclusive definition as  
404 supplemental oxygen is a commonly used non-specific intervention.<sup>135</sup>

405

406 Admission to special care baby unit or neonatal intensive care unit required: Participants  
407 discussed the lack of consensus regarding the local, regional, or national criteria used to  
408 assess the need for admission to a special care baby unit or neonatal intensive care unit.<sup>136</sup>  
409 Consensus was reached to recommend a broad definition to recognize this variation in

410 admission criteria. The definition highlights the importance of collecting and reporting the  
411 requirement for admission to a special care baby unit or neonatal intensive care unit even if  
412 the neonate cannot be admitted. The lack of capacity will be particularly relevant to research  
413 conducted in low- and middle-income countries.<sup>137</sup>

414

## 415 **Comment**

416 When pre-eclampsia trials, systematic reviews, and clinical practice guidelines have  
417 previously reported individual outcomes, they have been defined in many different ways. In  
418 developing a core outcome set it is therefore important to rigorously define the outcomes  
419 chosen. Using robust consensus science methods, ten healthcare professionals and three  
420 researchers systematically considered 86 definitions previously developed by formal  
421 definition development initiatives, randomized controlled trials, Cochrane systematic reviews,  
422 and/or clinical practice guidelines. Consensus was reached for all 14 maternal, four fetal,  
423 and four neonatal core outcomes. When considering stroke, pulmonary edema, birth weight,  
424 and neonatal seizures, separate consensus definitions were developed for high-income  
425 countries and low- and middle-income countries because of the key role of imaging in the  
426 high-quality diagnosis of these conditions that may not be available in lower income settings.

427

## 428 **Interpretation**

429 Differences in the definition of individual outcomes can be accommodated in a meta-  
430 analysis. However, there are limits to what can be combined in a meaningful way due to  
431 heterogeneity, making it preferable to have core outcomes and definitions.<sup>6</sup> Such  
432 standardized outcome definitions, should enable robust meta-analysis and facilitate more  
433 sophisticated secondary research, including individual patient data and network meta-  
434 analysis. Effective evidence synthesis supports in turn the translation of research into clinical  
435 practice.<sup>139</sup>

436

437 Having established consensus definitions, primary and secondary researchers should use  
438 them, and guideline developers should build their clinical practice guidelines around them.  
439 Standardized consensus definitions are not meant to stifle the development and use of other  
440 appropriate definitions. For example, researchers undertaking research in Australia may  
441 wish to define stillbirth as occurring after 20 weeks of gestation in line with local  
442 Epidemiology and Surveillance Branch recommendations.<sup>5</sup> Researchers wishing to collect  
443 data using other definitions in the context of their own randomized controlled trial would  
444 continue to be able to do so. However, selective reporting should be avoided by presenting  
445 findings for both the consensus definition and any other definition used. Researchers would  
446 need to carefully consider how these data would be collected to fulfill different definitions. In  
447 the example of stillbirth, the common components of all definitions, including gestational age,  
448 birth weight, and crown-heel height, should be recorded separately and combined to fulfill  
449 the consensus definition (gestational age, birth weight, and crown-heel height) and the local  
450 definition (gestational age and birth weight).

451

452 Standardized definitions should prevent misclassifications and reduce measurement error.<sup>140</sup>  
453 Such standardization ensures the consensus definitions can be applied symmetrically to the  
454 trial arms, avoiding bias in the measurements. Several consensus definitions, including  
455 abruption, postpartum hemorrhage, and neonatal seizures, require professional assessment.  
456 Any assessment should be determined by an observer with comprehensive training.  
457 Differential and biased misclassification of outcomes can occur in poorly designed  
458 randomized trials, for example, when outcome assessors are not blinded to the treatment  
459 allocation. Consider the diagnosis of postpartum hemorrhage: outcome assessors may  
460 perform laboratory investigations more regularly in participants allocated to the experimental  
461 treatment when compared to the control. Systematic evaluations of observer bias have  
462 demonstrated non-blinded outcome assessors consistently over diagnose clinical outcomes  
463 when compared with blinded outcome assessors.<sup>141</sup> Several strategies exist to increase the  
464 likelihood standardized definitions are applied to accurately classify clinical outcomes,

465 including standardized data collection tools, validation studies, and independent adjudication  
466 panels. This would increase the likelihood that core outcomes are classified accurately and  
467 without variation.<sup>142</sup>

468

469 A recent systematic review identified 33 core outcome sets relevant to pregnancy and  
470 childbirth currently under development.<sup>16</sup> Each set will contain a unique collection of core  
471 outcomes. Ideally, core outcomes which overlap several core outcome sets should utilize a  
472 consistent definition. The International Collaboration to Harmonize Outcomes for Pre-  
473 eclampsia (iHOPE) is establishing an inventory of outcome definitions relevant to pregnancy  
474 and childbirth research, which could facilitate the efficient development of definitions for  
475 different core outcome sets. The inventory catalogs available definitions within a regional,  
476 national, and international context and supporting validation studies. Maternal and newborn  
477 health is in a unique position to benefit from such an initiative as individual obstetric  
478 conditions, such as pre-eclampsia, gestational diabetes, and obstetric cholestasis, are likely  
479 to share common core outcomes, for example, maternal mortality, neonatal mortality, and  
480 neonatal seizures.

481

482 The Core Outcomes in Women's and Newborn Health (CROWN) initiative, supported by  
483 over 84 specialty journals, including the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group, has  
484 resolved to implement the core outcome set for pre-eclampsia.<sup>143</sup> Participating journals will  
485 require researchers to report the definition for individual core outcomes within published trial  
486 reports. When the consensus definition has not been used, the researchers will be asked to  
487 report this deficiency and its implications for their findings.<sup>143</sup> With time researchers will  
488 anticipate this scrutiny, which should support the implementation of the core outcome set for  
489 pre-eclampsia.

490

491 **Strengths and weaknesses**

492 This study has completed our overall objective of standardizing future pre-eclampsia  
493 research by identifying what outcomes to measure, when they should be measured, and  
494 how they should be measured. A comprehensive inventory of potential definitions was  
495 developed by a diverse range of researchers and healthcare professionals resulting in clear  
496 and objective definitions which could be used across settings.

497

498 This study was not without limitations. Pre-eclampsia is a disease with a global impact,  
499 especially in low- and middle-income countries, where the societal burden of the disease is  
500 high.<sup>138</sup> Participants in the consensus conference currently live in the United Kingdom,  
501 although six participants (46%) had lived, worked, or conducted research in a low- and  
502 middle-income country. This could have impacted on the generalisability of the consensus  
503 definitions prioritized but was a pragmatic choice in the light of limited resources which  
504 precluded inclusion of international participants. Use of the core outcome set in a variety of  
505 countries will ascertain the extent to which this is an issue and definitions may need further  
506 adjustment.

507

508 The *a priori* definition of consensus used within this study was unanimous agreement. Once  
509 a consensus definition was formally agreed, participants had the opportunity to comment  
510 further. A contingency for participants who did not agree with a consensus definition was  
511 available but not used. The study could have been adjusted to undertake formal and  
512 anonymous voting to assess the level of agreement for individual consensus definitions.  
513 Individual participants could have rated their agreement with an individual consensus  
514 definition using a Likert scale anchored between strongly disagree and strongly agree.  
515 Further methodological research is required to develop an appropriate definition of  
516 consensus in exercises similar to ours.

517

518 **Conclusion**

519 Ensuring core outcomes are consistently defined across future randomized controlled trials,  
520 systematic reviews, and clinical practice guidelines, will ensure evidence is more accessible  
521 and facilitate the translation of research into clinical practice.

522

### 523 **Acknowledgments**

524 This paper reports independent research arising from a doctoral fellowship (DRF-2014-07-  
525 051) supported by the National Institute for Health Research. The study was also supported  
526 by funding from the Barts Charity and Elizabeth Garrett Anderson Hospital Charity. Prof  
527 Richard McManus was supported by a National Institute for Health Research Professorship  
528 (NIHR-RP-R2-12-015) and the National Institute for Health Research Collaboration for  
529 Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care Oxford. Prof Richard McManus and Prof  
530 Sue Ziebland are supported by National Institute for Health Research Senior Investigator  
531 awards. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily  
532 those of the National Health Service, the National Institute for Health Research, or the  
533 Department of Health.

534

535 We would like to thank the study's participants. We would like to thank the Radcliffe  
536 Women's Health Patient Participation group, Action on Pre-eclampsia, and our patient and  
537 public representatives who assisted with study design, data interpretation, and planned  
538 dissemination. We would like to thank colleagues at the Nuffield Department of Primary Care  
539 Health Sciences, University of Oxford including Jacqui Belcher, Carla Betts, Lucy Curtin,  
540 Dawn Evans, Caroline Jordan, Sarah King, Sam Monaghan, Dan Richards-Doran, Nicola  
541 Small, and Clare Wickings for administrative, technical, and material support. We would like  
542 to thank colleagues at the Women's Health Research Unit, Queen Mary, University of  
543 London including Khalid Khan, Tracy Holtham, and Rehan Khan for administrative, technical  
544 support, and subject-specific expertise.

545

### 546 **References**

- 547 1. Duffy JMN, Hirsch M, Kawsar A, et al. Outcome reporting across randomised  
548 controlled trials evaluating therapeutic interventions for pre-eclampsia. *BJOG: An*  
549 *International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*. 2017;124(12):1829-1839.
- 550 2. Duffy JMN, Hirsch M, Gale C, et al. A systematic review of primary outcome and  
551 outcome measure reporting in randomized trials evaluating treatments for  
552 preeclampsia. *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics*. 2017;139(3):262-  
553 267.
- 554 3. Duffy JMN, Hirsch M, Pealing L, et al. Inadequate safety reporting in pre-eclampsia  
555 trials: A systematic evaluation. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and*  
556 *Gynaecology*. 2018;125(7):795-803.
- 557 4. Duffy JMN, van 't Hooft J, Gale C, et al. A protocol for developing, disseminating, and  
558 implementing a core outcome set for pre-eclampsia. *Pregnancy Hypertension: An*  
559 *International Journal of Women's Cardiovascular Health*. 2016;6(4):274-278.
- 560 5. Da Silva FT, Gonik B, McMillan M, et al. Stillbirth: Case definition and guidelines for  
561 data collection, analysis, and presentation of maternal immunization safety data.  
562 *Vaccine*. 2016;34(49):6057-6068.
- 563 6. Anderson NK, Jayaratne YS. Methodological challenges when performing a  
564 systematic review. *European Journal of Orthodontics*. 2015;37(3):248-250.
- 565 7. Williamson PR, Altman DG, Bagley H, et al. The COMET Handbook: Version 1.0.  
566 *Trials*. 2017;18(S3):280.
- 567 8. Say L, Souza JP, Pattinson RC. Maternal near miss - towards a standard tool for  
568 monitoring quality of maternal health care. *Best Practice and Research: Clinical*  
569 *Obstetrics and Gynaecology*. 2009;23(3):287-296.
- 570 9. WHO Working Group on Maternal Mortality and Morbidity Classifications. *Evaluating*  
571 *the quality of care for severe pregnancy complications. The WHO near-miss*  
572 *approach for maternal health*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization;  
573 2011.
- 574 10. Cecatti JG, Souza JP, Oliveira Neto AF, et al. Pre-validation of the WHO organ  
575 dysfunction based criteria for identification of maternal near miss. *Reproductive*  
576 *Health*. 2011;8:22.
- 577 11. Witteveen T, de Koning I, Bezstarosti H, van den Akker T, van Roosmalen J,  
578 Bloemenkamp KW. Validating the WHO maternal near miss tool in a high-income  
579 country. *Acta Obstetrica et Gynecologica Scandinavica*. 2016;95(1):106-111.
- 580 12. Patwardhan M, Eckert LO, Spiegel H, et al. Maternal death: Case definition and  
581 guidelines for data collection, analysis, and presentation of immunization safety data.  
582 *Vaccine*. 2016;34(49):6077-6083.

- 583 13. Pathirana J, Muñoz FM, Abbing-Karahagopian V, et al. Neonatal death: Case  
584 definition and guidelines for data collection, analysis, and presentation of  
585 immunization safety data. *Vaccine*. 2016;34(49):6027-6037.
- 586 14. World Health Organization. *International statistical classification of diseases and  
587 related health problems*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2004.
- 588 15. Schaap T, Bloemenkamp K, Deneux-Tharaux C, et al. Defining definitions: A Delphi  
589 study to develop a core outcome set for conditions of severe maternal morbidity.  
590 *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*. 2017; Published  
591 ahead of print.
- 592 16. Duffy JMN, Rolph R, Gale C, et al. Core outcome sets in women's and newborn  
593 health: A systematic review. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and  
594 Gynaecology*. 2017;124(10):1481-1489.
- 595 17. Gillon TE, Pels A, von Dadelszen P, MacDonell K, Magee LA. Hypertensive  
596 disorders of pregnancy: A systematic review of international clinical practice  
597 guidelines. *PLOS One*. 2014;9(12):e113715.
- 598 18. Duffy JMN, Cairns AE, Richards-Doran D, et al. *American Journal of Obstetrics &  
599 Gynecology*. Submitted for publication.
- 600 19. Murphy M, Sanderson C, Black N, et al. Consensus development methods, and their  
601 use in clinical guideline development. *Health Technology Assessment*. 1998;2(3):1-  
602 88.
- 603 20. Kerr R, Eckert LO, Winikoff B, et al. Postpartum haemorrhage: Case definition and  
604 guidelines for data collection, analysis, and presentation of immunization safety data.  
605 *Vaccine*. 2016;34(49):6102-6109.
- 606 21. Schlaudecker EP, Munoz FM, Bardají A, et al. Small for gestational age: Case  
607 definition and guidelines for data collection, analysis, and presentation of maternal  
608 immunisation safety data. *Vaccine*. 2017;35(48):6518-6528.
- 609 22. National Collaborating Centre for Women's and Children's Health. *Hypertension in  
610 pregnancy: The management of hypertensive disorders during pregnancy*. London,  
611 United Kingdom: Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists Press; 2010.
- 612 23. Task Force on Hypertension in Pregnancy. *Hypertension in pregnancy*. Washington,  
613 United States: American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; 2013.
- 614 24. Tranquilli AL, Dekker G, Magee L, et al. The classification, diagnosis, and  
615 management of the hypertensive disorders of pregnancy: A revised statement from  
616 the ISSHP. *Pregnancy Hypertension: An International Journal of Women's  
617 Cardiovascular Health*. 2014;4(2):97-104.

- 618 25. Magee LA, Pels A, Helewa M, Rey E, von Dadelszen P. Diagnosis, evaluation, and  
619 management of the hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. *Journal of Obstetrics and*  
620 *Gynaecology Canada*. 2014;36(5):416-441.
- 621 26. World Health Organization Department of Reproductive Health and Research. *World*  
622 *Health Organization recommendations for prevention and treatment of preeclampsia*  
623 *and eclampsia*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2011.
- 624 27. Deutschen Gesellschaft für Gynäkologie und Geburtshilfe. *Diagnostik und Therapie*  
625 *hypertensiver Schwangerschaftserkrankungen*. Frankfurt, Germany:  
626 Arbeitsgemeinschaft der Wissenschaftlichen Medizinischen Fachgesellschaften;  
627 2013.
- 628 28. Nederlandse Vereniging voor Obstetrie en Gynaecologie. *Hypertensieve*  
629 *aandoeningen in de zwangerschap*. Utrecht, The Netherlands: Nederlandse  
630 Vereniging voor Obstetrie en Gynaecologie; 2011.
- 631 29. Abalos E, Duley L, Steyn DW. Antihypertensive drug therapy for mild to moderate  
632 hypertension during pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*.  
633 2014;2:CD002252.
- 634 30. Churchill D, Duley L, Thornton JG, Jones L. Interventionist versus expectant care for  
635 severe pre-eclampsia between 24 and 34 weeks' gestation. *Cochrane Database of*  
636 *Systematic Reviews*. 2013;7:CD003106.
- 637 31. Cluver C, Novikova N, Koopmans CM, West HM. Planned early delivery versus  
638 expectant management for hypertensive disorders from 34 weeks gestation to term.  
639 *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2017;1:CD009273.
- 640 32. Dutta D, Sule M, Ray A. Epidural therapy for the treatment of severe pre-eclampsia  
641 in non labouring women. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*.  
642 2012;1:CD009540.
- 643 33. Duley L, Williams J, Henderson-Smart DJ. Plasma volume expansion for treatment of  
644 women with pre-eclampsia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*.  
645 2000;2:CD001805.
- 646 34. Duley L, Gülmezoglu AM, Henderson-Smart DJ, Chou D. Magnesium sulphate and  
647 other anticonvulsants for women with pre-eclampsia. *Cochrane Database of*  
648 *Systematic Reviews*. 2010;11:CD000025.
- 649 35. Duley L, Meher S, Jones L. Drugs for treatment of very high blood pressure during  
650 pregnancy. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2013;7:CD001449.
- 651 36. Hobson SR, Mockler JC, Lim R, Alers NO, Miller SL, Wallace EM. Melatonin for  
652 treating pre-eclampsia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*.  
653 2016;3:CD012109.

- 654 37. Hofmeyr GJ. Abdominal decompression for suspected fetal compromise / pre-  
655 eclampsia. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2012;6:CD000004.
- 656 38. Magee L, von Dadelszen P. Prevention and treatment of postpartum hypertension.  
657 *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2013;4:CD004351.
- 658 39. Steyn DW, Steyn P. Low-dose dopamine for women with severe pre-eclampsia.  
659 *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2007;1:CD003515.
- 660 40. Aali BS, Nejad SS. Nifedipine or Hydralazine as a First-Line Agent to Control  
661 Hypertension in Severe Pre-eclampsia. *Acta Obstetrica et Gynecologica*  
662 *Scandinavica*. 2002;81(1):25-30.
- 663 41. Adair CD, Luper A, Rose JC, Russell G, Veille JC, Buckalew VM. The hemodynamic  
664 effects of intravenous digoxin-binding fab immunoglobulin in severe preeclampsia: A  
665 double-blind, randomized, clinical trial. *Journal of Perinatology*. 2009;29(4):284-289.
- 666 42. Adair CD, Buckalew VM, Graves SW, et al. Digoxin immune fab treatment for severe  
667 preeclampsia. *American Journal of Perinatology*. 2010;27(8):655-662.
- 668 43. Altman D, Carroli G, Duley L, et al. Do women with pre-eclampsia, and their babies,  
669 benefit from magnesium sulphate? The Magpie trial: a randomised placebo-  
670 controlled trial. *The Lancet*. 2002;359(9321):1877-1890.
- 671 44. Magpie Trial Follow-Up Study Collaborative Group. The Magpie Trial: A randomised  
672 trial comparing magnesium sulphate with placebo for pre-eclampsia. Outcome for  
673 children at 18 months. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and*  
674 *Gynaecology*. 2007;114(3):289-299.
- 675 45. Amorim MM, Santos LC, Faundes A. Corticosteroid therapy for prevention of  
676 respiratory distress syndrome in severe preeclampsia. *American Journal of*  
677 *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 1999;180(5):1283-1288.
- 678 46. Ascarelli MH, Johnson V, McCreary H, Cushman J, May WL, Martin JN. Postpartum  
679 preeclampsia management with furosemide: A randomized clinical trial. *Obstetrics*  
680 *and Gynecology*. 2005;105(1):29-33.
- 681 47. Atkinson MW, Guinn D, Owen J, Hauth JC. Does magnesium sulfate affect the length  
682 of labour induction in women with pregnancy-associated hypertension? *American*  
683 *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 1995;173(4):1219-1222.
- 684 48. Barton JR, Hiatt AK, Conover WB. The use of nifedipine during the postpartum  
685 period in patients with severe preeclampsia. *American Journal of Obstetrics and*  
686 *Gynecology*. 1990;162(3):788-792.
- 687 49. Belfort MA, Moise KJ, Jr. Effect of magnesium sulfate on maternal brain blood flow in  
688 preeclampsia: A randomized, placebo-controlled study. *American Journal of*  
689 *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 1992;167(3):661-666.

- 690 50. Belfort MA, Anthony J, Saade GR, Allen JC. A comparison of magnesium sulfate  
691 and nimodipine for the prevention of eclampsia. *New England Journal of Medicine*.  
692 2003;348(4):304-311.
- 693 51. Bolte AC, van Eyck J, Kanhai HH, Bruinse HW, van Geijn HP, Dekker GA.  
694 Ketanserin versus dihydralazine in the management of severe early-onset  
695 preeclampsia: Maternal outcome. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*.  
696 1999;180(2):371-377.
- 697 52. Broekhuijsen K, van Baaren GJ, van Pampus MG, et al. Immediate Delivery versus  
698 Expectant Monitoring for Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy Between 34 and 37  
699 Weeks of Gestation (HYPITAT-II): an Open-label, Randomised Controlled Trial. *The*  
700 *Lancet*. 2015;385(9986):2492-2501.
- 701 53. Charoenvidhya D, Manotaya S. Magnesium sulfate maintenance infusion in women  
702 with preeclampsia: A randomized comparison between 2 gram per hour and 1 gram  
703 per hour. *Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand*. 2013;96(4):395-398.
- 704 54. Chen FP, Chang SD, Chu KK. Expectant management in severe preeclampsia: Does  
705 magnesium sulfate prevent the development of eclampsia? *Acta Obstetrica et*  
706 *Gynecologica Scandinavica*. 1995;74(3):181-185.
- 707 55. Chissell S, Botha JH, Moodley J, McFadyen L. Intravenous and intramuscular  
708 magnesium sulphate regimens in severe pre-eclampsia. *South African Medical*  
709 *Journal*. 1994;84(9):607-610.
- 710 56. Collaborative Low-dose Aspirin Study in Pregnancy Collaborative (CLASP) Group. A  
711 Randomised Trial of Low-dose Aspirin for the Prevention and Treatment of Pre-  
712 eclampsia Among 9364 Pregnant Women. *The Lancet*. 1994;343(8898):619-629.
- 713 57. Collaborative Low-dose Aspirin Study in Pregnancy Collaborative (CLASP) Group.  
714 Low dose aspirin in pregnancy and early childhood development: follow up of the  
715 Collaborative Low Dose Aspirin Study in Pregnancy. *British Journal of Obstetrics and*  
716 *Gynaecology*. 1995;102(11):861-868.
- 717 58. Darngawn L, Jose R, Regi A, Bansal R, Jeyaseelan L. A shortened postpartum  
718 magnesium sulfate prophylaxis regime in preeclamptic women at low risk of  
719 eclampsia. *International Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics*. 2012;116(3):237-  
720 239.
- 721 59. Dasgupta S, Ghosh D, Seal SL, Kamilya G, Karmakar M, Saha D. Randomized  
722 controlled study comparing effect of magnesium sulfate with placebo on fetal  
723 umbilical artery and middle cerebral artery blood flow in mild preeclampsia at 34  
724 weeks gestational age. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research*.  
725 2012;38(5):763-771.

- 726 60. The Eclampsia Trial Collaborative Group. Which anticonvulsant for women with  
727 eclampsia? Evidence from the Collaborative Eclampsia Trial. *The Lancet*.  
728 1995;345(8963):1455-1463.
- 729 61. Ehrenberg HM, Mercer BM. Abbreviated postpartum magnesium sulfate therapy for  
730 women with mild preeclampsia: A randomized controlled trial. *Obstetrics and*  
731 *Gynecology*. 2006;108(4):833-838.
- 732 62. Elatrous S, Nouria S, Ouanes Besbes L, et al. Short-term treatment of severe  
733 hypertension of pregnancy: prospective comparison of nicardipine and labetalol.  
734 *Intensive Care Med*. 2002;28(9):1281-1286.
- 735 63. Elhassan EM, Mirghani OA, Habour AB, Adam I. Methyldopa versus no drug  
736 treatment in the management of mild pre-eclampsia. *East African Medical Journal*.  
737 2002;79(4):172-175.
- 738 64. el-Qarmalawi AM, Morsy AH, al-Fadly A, Obeid A, Hashem M. Labetalol vs.  
739 methyldopa in the treatment of pregnancy-induced hypertension. *International*  
740 *Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics*. 1995;49(2):125-130.
- 741 65. Facchinetti F, Saade GR, Neri I, Pizzi C, Longo M, Volpe A. L-arginine  
742 supplementation in patients with gestational hypertension: a pilot study. *Hypertension*  
743 *in Pregnancy*. 2007;26(1):121-130.
- 744 66. Fontenot MT, Lewis DF, Frederick JB, et al. A prospective randomized trial of  
745 magnesium sulfate in severe preeclampsia: Use of diuresis as a clinical parameter to  
746 determine the duration of postpartum therapy. *American Journal of Obstetrics and*  
747 *Gynecology*. 2005;192(6):1788-1793.
- 748 67. Friedman SA, Lim KH, Baker CA, Repke JT. Phenytoin versus magnesium sulfate in  
749 preeclampsia: a pilot study. *American Journal of Perinatology*. 1993;10(3):233-238.
- 750 68. Ganzevoort W, Rep A, Bonsel GJ, et al. A randomised controlled trial comparing two  
751 temporising management strategies, one with and one without plasma volume  
752 expansion, for severe and early onset pre-eclampsia. *BJOG: An International Journal*  
753 *of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*. 2005;112(10):1358-1368.
- 754 69. Ginosar Y, Nadjari M, Hoffman A, et al. Antepartum continuous epidural ropivacaine  
755 therapy reduces uterine artery vascular resistance in pre-eclampsia: a randomised,  
756 dose-ranging, placebo-controlled study. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*.  
757 2009;102(3):369-378.
- 758 70. Gulmezoglu AM, Hofmeyr GJ, Oosthuisen MM. Antioxidants in the treatment of  
759 severe pre-eclampsia: an explanatory randomised controlled trial. *British Journal of*  
760 *Obstetrics and Gynaecology*. 1997;104(6):689-696.
- 761 71. Hall DR, Odendaal HJ, Steyn DW, Smith M. Nifedipine or prazosin as a second  
762 agent to control early severe hypertension in pregnancy: A randomised controlled

- 763 trial. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*.  
 764 2000;107(6):759-765.
- 765 72. Hennessey MH, Rayburn WF, Stewart JD, Liles EC. Preeclampsia and induction of  
 766 labor: A randomized comparison of prostaglandin E2 as an intracervical gel, with  
 767 oxytocin immediately, or as a sustained-release vaginal insert. *American Journal of*  
 768 *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 1998;179(5):1204-1209.
- 769 73. Hjertberg R, Faxelius G, Belfrage P. Comparison of outcome of labetalol or  
 770 hydralazine therapy during hypertension in pregnancy in very low birth weight infants.  
 771 *Acta Obstetrica et Gynecologica Scandinavica*. 1993;72(8):611-615.
- 772 74. Hladunewich MA, Derby GC, Lafayette RA, Blouch KL, Druzin ML, Myers BD. Effect  
 773 of L-arginine therapy on the glomerular injury of preeclampsia: A randomized  
 774 controlled trial. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2006;107(4):886-895.
- 775 75. Ismail AA, Medhat I, Tawfic TA, Kholeif A. Evaluation of calcium-antagonist  
 776 (Nifedipine) in the treatment of pre-eclampsia. *International Journal of Gynaecology*  
 777 *and Obstetrics*. 1993;40(1):39-43.
- 778 76. Jannet D, Carbonne B, Sebban E, Milliez J. Nicardipine versus metoprolol in the  
 779 treatment of hypertension during pregnancy: A randomized comparative trial.  
 780 *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 1994;84(3):354-359.
- 781 77. Keiseb J, Moodley J, Connolly CA. Comparison of the efficacy of continuous  
 782 furosemide and low-dose dopamine infusion in preeclampsia / eclampsia-related  
 783 oliguria in the immediate postpartum period. *Hypertension in Pregnancy*.  
 784 2002;21(3):225-234.
- 785 78. Kobayashi T, Terao T, Ikenoue T, et al. Treatment of severe preeclampsia with  
 786 antithrombin concentrate: results of a prospective feasibility study. *Seminars in*  
 787 *Thrombosis and Hemostasis*. 2003;29(6):645-652.
- 788 79. Koopmans CM, Bijlenga D, Groen H, et al. Induction of labour versus expectant  
 789 monitoring for gestational hypertension or mild pre-eclampsia after 36 weeks'  
 790 gestation (HYPITAT): a multicentre, open-label randomised controlled trial. *The*  
 791 *Lancet*. 2009;374(9694):979-988.
- 792 80. Laivuori H, Hovatta O, Viinikka L, Ylikorkala O. Dietary supplementation with  
 793 primrose oil or fish oil does not change urinary excretion of prostacyclin and  
 794 thromboxane metabolites in pre-eclamptic women. *Prostaglandins, Leukotrienes and*  
 795 *Essential Fatty Acids*. 1993;49(3):691-694.
- 796 81. Livingston JC, Livingston LW, Ramsey R, Mabie BC, Sibai BM. Magnesium sulfate in  
 797 women with mild preeclampsia: A randomized controlled trial. *Obstetrics and*  
 798 *Gynecology*. 2003;101(2):217-220.

- 799 82. Lucas MJ, Leveno KJ, Cunningham FG. A comparison of magnesium sulfate with  
800 phenytoin for the prevention of eclampsia. *New England Journal of Medicine*.  
801 1995;333(4):201-205.
- 802 83. Mabie WC, Gonzalez AR, Sibai BM, Amon E. A comparative trial of labetalol and  
803 hydralazine in the acute management of severe hypertension complicating  
804 pregnancy. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 1987;70(3):328-333.
- 805 84. Magann EF, Martin JN, Jr., Isaacs JD, Perry KG, Jr., Martin RW, Meydrech EF.  
806 Immediate postpartum curettage: Accelerated recovery from severe preeclampsia.  
807 *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 1993;81(4):502-506.
- 808 85. Magann EF, Bass JD, Chauhan SP, Perry KG, Jr., Morrison JC, Martin JN, Jr.  
809 Accelerated recovery from severe preeclampsia: uterine curettage versus nifedipine.  
810 *Journal of the Society for Gynaecologic Investigation*. 1994;1(3):210-214.
- 811 86. Maia SB, Katz L, Neto CN, Caiado BV, Azevedo AP, Amorim MM. Abbreviated (12-  
812 hour) versus traditional (24-hour) postpartum magnesium sulfate therapy in severe  
813 pre-eclampsia. *International Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics*.  
814 2014;126(3):260-264.
- 815 87. Maki M, Kobayashi T, Terao T, et al. Antithrombin therapy for severe preeclampsia:  
816 results of a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Thrombosis and*  
817 *Haemostasis*. 2000;84(4):583-590.
- 818 88. Manorot M, Tongsong T, Khetglang T. A comparison of serum magnesium sulfate  
819 levels in pregnant women with severe preeclampsia between intravenous and  
820 intramuscular magnesium sulfate regimens: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of*  
821 *the Medical Association of Thailand*. 1996;79(2):76-82.
- 822 89. Mantel GD, Makin JD. Low dose dopamine in postpartum pre-eclamptic women with  
823 oliguria: a double-blind, placebo controlled, randomised trial. *British Journal of*  
824 *Obstetrics and Gynaecology*. 1997;104(10):1180-1183.
- 825 90. Manzur-Verastegui S, Mandeville PB, Gordillo-Moscoso A, Hernandez-Sierra JF,  
826 Rodriguez-Martinez M. Efficacy of nitroglycerine infusion versus sublingual nifedipine  
827 in severe pre-eclampsia: a randomized, triple-blind, controlled trial. *Clinical and*  
828 *Experimental Pharmacology and Physiology*. 2008;35(5-6):580-585.
- 829 91. Martinez-Abundis E, Gonzalez-Ortiz M, Hernandez-Salazar F, Huerta-J-Lucas MT.  
830 Sublingual isosorbide dinitrate in the acute control of hypertension in patients with  
831 severe preeclampsia. *Gynaecologic and Obstetric Investigation*. 2000;50(1):39-42.
- 832 92. Matthews G, Gornall R, Saunders NJ. A randomised placebo controlled trial of loop  
833 diuretics in moderate / severe pre-eclampsia, following delivery. *Journal of Obstetrics*  
834 *and Gynaecology*. 1997;17(1):30-32.

- 835 93. Meizner I, Paran E, Katz M, Holcberg G, Insler V. Flow velocity analysis of umbilical  
836 and uterine artery flow in pre-eclampsia treated with propranolol or pindolol. *Journal*  
837 *of Clinical Ultrasound*. 1992;20(2):115-119.
- 838 94. Moodley J, Norman RJ. Attempts at dietary alteration of prostaglandin pathways in  
839 the management of pre-eclampsia. *Prostaglandins, Leukotrienes and Essential Fatty*  
840 *Acids*. 1989;37(3):145-147.
- 841 95. Odendaal HJ, Pattinson RC, Bam R, Grove D, Kotze TJ. Aggressive or expectant  
842 management for patients with severe preeclampsia between 28-34 weeks' gestation:  
843 A randomized controlled trial. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 1990;76(6):1070-1075.
- 844 96. Owens MY, Thigpen B, Parrish MR, et al. Management of preeclampsia when  
845 diagnosed between 34-37 weeks gestation: deliver now or deliberate until 37 weeks?  
846 *Journal of the Mississippi State Medical Association*. 2014;55(7):208-211.
- 847 97. Ragab A, Goda H, Raghieb M, Barakat R, El-Samanoudy A, Badawy A. Does  
848 immediate postpartum curettage of the endometrium accelerate recovery from  
849 preeclampsia-eclampsia? A randomized controlled trial. *Archives of Gynaecology*  
850 *and Obstetrics*. 2013;288(5):1035-1038.
- 851 98. Roes EM, Raijmakers MT, Boo TM, et al. Oral N-acetylcysteine administration does  
852 not stabilise the process of established severe preeclampsia. *European Journal of*  
853 *Obstetrics and Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*. 2006;127(1):61-67.
- 854 99. Rossouw HJ, Howarth G, Odendaal HJ. Ketanserin and hydralazine in hypertension  
855 in pregnancy - a randomised double-blind trial. *South African Medical Journal*.  
856 1995;85(6):525-528.
- 857 100. Rytlewski K, Olszanecki R, Lauterbach R, Grzyb A, Basta A. Effects of oral L-  
858 arginine on the foetal condition and neonatal outcome in preeclampsia: a preliminary  
859 report. *Basic and Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology*. 2006;99(2):146-152.
- 860 101. Sahin HG, Sahin HA, Kocer M. Induction of labor in toxemia with misoprostol. *Acta*  
861 *Obstetrica et Gynecologica Scandinavica*. 2002;81(3):252-257.
- 862 102. Samangaya RA, Mires G, Shennan A, et al. A randomized, double-blinded, placebo-  
863 controlled study of the phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor sildenafil for the treatment  
864 of preeclampsia. *Hypertension in Pregnancy*. 2009;28(4):369-382.
- 865 103. Sanchez-Ramos L, Adair CD, Kaunitz AM, Briones DK, Del Valle GO, Delke I.  
866 Calcium supplementation in mild preeclampsia remote from term: A randomized  
867 double-blind clinical trial. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 1995;85(6):915-918.
- 868 104. Scardo JA, Vermillion ST, Newman RB, Chauhan SP, Hogg BB. A randomized,  
869 double-blind, hemodynamic evaluation of nifedipine and labetalol in preeclamptic  
870 hypertensive emergencies. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*.  
871 1999;181(4):862-866.

- 872 105. Sharma R, Mir, S, Rizvi, M, Akthar, S. Efficacy of magnesium sulphate versus  
873 phentoin in seizure control and prophylaxis in patients of eclampsia and severe pre-  
874 eclampsia. *JK Science*. 2008;10(4):181-185.
- 875 106. Sibai BM, Gonzalez AR, Mabie WC, Moretti M. A comparison of labetalol plus  
876 hospitalization versus hospitalization alone in the management of preeclampsia  
877 remote from term. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 1987;70(3):323-327.
- 878 107. Sibai BM, Barton JR, Akl S, Sarinoglu C, Mercer BM. A randomized prospective  
879 comparison of nifedipine and bed rest versus bed rest alone in the management of  
880 preeclampsia remote from term. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*.  
881 1992;167(4):879-884.
- 882 108. Sibai BM, Mercer BM, Schiff E, Friedman SA. Aggressive versus expectant  
883 management of severe preeclampsia at 28 to 32 weeks' gestation: A randomized  
884 controlled trial. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 1994;171(3):818-  
885 822.
- 886 109. Staff AC, Berge L, Haugen G, Lorentzen B, Mikkelsen B, Henriksen T. Dietary  
887 supplementation with L-arginine or placebo in women with pre-eclampsia. *Acta*  
888 *Obstetrica et Gynecologica Scandinavica*. 2004;83(1):103-107.
- 889 110. Topozada T, Barakat S, Shaala S, Ismail AA. Management of severe pre-eclampsia  
890 with prostaglandin A, a useful therapeutic approach. *Journal of Obstetrics and*  
891 *Gynaecology*. 1989;9(3):184-188.
- 892 111. van Schie DL, de Jeu RM, Steyn DW, Odendaal HJ, van Geijn HP. The optimal  
893 dosage of ketanserin for patients with severe hypertension in pregnancy. *European*  
894 *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*. 2002;102(2):161-  
895 166.
- 896 112. Verma R, Lahon K, Tonpay S, Kale VJ, Jain DK. A comparative randomized  
897 controlled parallel group study of efficacy and tolerability of labetalol versus  
898 methyldopa in the treatment of new onset hypertension during pregnancy.  
899 *International Journal of Life Science and Pharma Research*. 2012;2(1):23-31.
- 900 113. Vigil-De Gracia P, Lasso M, Ruiz E, Vega-Malek JC, de Mena FT, Lopez JC. Severe  
901 hypertension in pregnancy: hydralazine or labetalol. A randomized clinical trial.  
902 *European Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*.  
903 2006;128(1):157-162.
- 904 114. Vigil-De Gracia P, Reyes Tejada O, Calle Minaca A, et al. Expectant management of  
905 severe preeclampsia remote from term: the MEXPRE Latin Study, a randomized,  
906 multicenter clinical trial. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*.  
907 2013;209(5):e1-8.

- 908 115. Wacker JR, Wagner BK, Briese V, et al. Anti-hypertensive therapy in patients with  
909 preeclampsia: A prospective randomized multicentre study comparing dihydralazine  
910 with urapidil. *European Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology and Reproductive*  
911 *Biology*. 2006;127(2):160-165.
- 912 116. Walss Rodriguez RJ, Reyes Levario A. Tratamiento anticonvulsivante de la  
913 preeclampsia severa. Comparacion entre diazepam y sulfato de magnesio.  
914 *Ginecologia Y Obstetricia De Mexico*. 1992;60:331-335.
- 915 117. Walss Rodriguez RJ, Flores Padilla LM. Manejo de la preeclampsia severa /  
916 eclampsia. Comparacion entre Nifedipina e Hidralazina como medicamentos  
917 antihipertensivos. *Ginecologia Y Obstetricia De Mexico*. 1993;61:76-79.
- 918 118. Wichmana K, Karlberga BE, Rydén G. Metoprolol in the treatment of mild to  
919 moderate hypertension in pregnancy - effects on the mother. *Hypertension in*  
920 *Pregnancy*. 1985;4(2-3).
- 921 119. Wide-Svensson DH, Ingemarsson I, Lunell NO, et al. Calcium channel blockade  
922 (isradipine) in treatment of hypertension in pregnancy: A randomized placebo-  
923 controlled study. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 1995;173(3):872-  
924 878.
- 925 120. Witlin AG, Friedman SA, Sibai BM. The effect of magnesium sulfate therapy on the  
926 duration of labor in women with mild preeclampsia at term: a randomized, double-  
927 blind, placebo-controlled trial. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*.  
928 1997;176(3):623-627.
- 929 121. Hoj L, Da Silva D, Hedegaard K, Sandstrom A, Aaby P. Maternal mortality: Only 42  
930 days? *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*.  
931 2003;110(11):995-1000.
- 932 122. Bushnell C, Chireau M. Preeclampsia and stroke: Risks during and after pregnancy.  
933 *Stroke Research and Treatment*. 2011;1:1-9.
- 934 123. Ftouh S, Thomas M. Acute kidney injury: Summary of NICE guidance. *BMJ*.  
935 2013;347:f4930.
- 936 124. Bellomo R, Ronco C, Kellum JA, Mehta RL, Palevsky P, the Aw. Acute renal failure –  
937 definition, outcome measures, animal models, fluid therapy and information  
938 technology needs: the Second International Consensus Conference of the Acute  
939 Dialysis Quality Initiative (ADQI) Group. *Critical Care*. 2004;8(4):204-212.
- 940 125. Mehta RL, Kellum JA, Shah SV, et al. Acute Kidney Injury Network: report of an  
941 initiative to improve outcomes in acute kidney injury. *Critical Care*. 2007;11(2):R31.
- 942 126. Khwaja A. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) clinical practice  
943 guidelines for acute kidney injury. *Nephron Clinical Practice*. 2012;120(4):c179-184.

- 944 127. Carroli G, Villar J, Piaggio G, et al. WHO systematic review of randomised controlled  
945 trials of routine antenatal care. *The Lancet*. 2001;357(9268):1565-1570.
- 946 128. von Dadelszen P, Payne B, Li J, et al. Prediction of adverse maternal outcomes in  
947 pre-eclampsia: Development and validation of the fullPIERS model. *The Lancet*.  
948 2011;377(9761):219-227.
- 949 129. Lertbunnaphong T, Lapthanapat N, Leetheeragul J, Hakularb P, Ownon A.  
950 Postpartum blood loss: visual estimation versus objective quantification with a novel  
951 birthing drape. *Singapore Medical Journal*. 2016;57(6):325-328.
- 952 130. Glanville T, Walker J. HELLP syndrome. *The Obstetrician and Gynaecologist*.  
953 2003;5(3):149-154.
- 954 131. Murthy S, Leligdowicz A, Adhikari NKJ. Intensive Care Unit Capacity in Low-Income  
955 Countries: A Systematic Review. *PLOS One*. 2015;10(1):e0116949.
- 956 132. Rubens CE, Gravett MG, Victora CG, Nunes TM. Global report on preterm birth and  
957 stillbirth: mobilizing resources to accelerate innovative solutions. *BMC Pregnancy  
958 and Childbirth*. 2010;10(1):S7.
- 959 133. Gardosi J, Francis A, Turner S, Williams M. Customized growth charts: Rationale,  
960 validation and clinical benefits. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*.  
961 2018;218(2):S609-618.
- 962 134. World Health Organization. *Guidelines on neonatal seizures*. Geneva, Switzerland:  
963 World Health Organization; 2011.
- 964 135. Bancalari E, Claire N. Advances in respiratory support for high risk newborn infants.  
965 *Maternal Health, Neonatology and Perinatology*. 2015;1:13.
- 966 136. Practice ACoF, Newborn, Obstetric ACo. *Guidelines for Perinatal Care, 8th Edition*.  
967 2017.
- 968 137. Moxon SG, Lawn JE, Dickson KE, et al. Inpatient care of small and sick newborns: A  
969 multi-country analysis of health system bottlenecks and potential solutions. *BMC  
970 Pregnancy and Childbirth*. 2015;15( 2):S7.
- 971 138. Duley L. The global impact of preeclampsia and eclampsia. *Seminars in  
972 Perinatology*. 2009;33(3):130-137.
- 973 139. Duffy JMN, Bhattacharya S, Herman M, et al. Reducing research waste in benign  
974 gynaecology and fertility research. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and  
975 Gynaecology*. 2017;124(3):366-369.
- 976 140. Demitrack MA, Faries D, Herrera JM, DeBrotta D, Potter WZ. The problem of  
977 measurement error in multisite clinical trials. *Psychopharmacology bulletin*.  
978 1998;34(1):19-24.

- 979 141. Hrobjartsson A, Thomsen AS, Emanuelsson F, et al. Observer bias in randomised  
980 clinical trials with binary outcomes: systematic review of trials with both blinded and  
981 non-blinded outcome assessors. *BMJ*. 2012;344:e1119.
- 982 142. Kochhar S, Bonhoeffer J, Jones CE, et al. Immunization in pregnancy clinical  
983 research in low- and middle-income countries: Study design, regulatory and safety  
984 considerations. *Vaccine*. 2017;35(48):6575-6581.
- 985 143. Khan K. The CROWN Initiative: Journal editors invite researchers to develop core  
986 outcomes in women's health. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and*  
987 *Gynaecology*. 2014;121(10):1181-1182.

988