

This is a repository copy of *Perceived impact of an online community care platform for Dutch older adults on local participation, informal caregiving, and feelings of connectedness: pretest-posttest observational study.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/169544/

Version: Published Version

Article:

Willard, S., van Rossum, E., Spreeuwenberg, M. et al. (1 more author) (2020) Perceived impact of an online community care platform for Dutch older adults on local participation, informal caregiving, and feelings of connectedness: pretest-posttest observational study. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 22 (12). e20304.

https://doi.org/10.2196/20304

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Original Paper

Perceived Impact of an Online Community Care Platform for Dutch Older Adults on Local Participation, Informal Caregiving, and Feelings of Connectedness: Pretest-Posttest Observational Study

Sarah Willard^{1,2}, MSc; Erik van Rossum^{1,2}, PhD; Marieke Spreeuwenberg^{1,2}, PhD; Luc de Witte^{1,3}, PhD

¹Centre of Innovative Care and Technology (EIZT), Zuyd University of Applied Sciences, Heerlen, Netherlands

²Care and Public Health Research Institute, Faculty of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences, Maastricht University, Maastricht, Netherlands

³Centre for Assistive Technology and Connected Healthcare , University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom

Corresponding Author:

Sarah Willard, MSc Centre of Innovative Care and Technology (EIZT) Zuyd University of Applied Sciences Henri Dunantstraat 2 Heerlen, 6419PB Netherlands Phone: 31 618212931 Email: <u>sarah.willard@zuyd.nl</u>

Abstract

Background: In a changing ageing society wherein older adults are increasingly expected to take care of themselves instead of relying on health care services, online community care platforms can help older adults to meet these expectations. A considerable number of these online community care platforms have been introduced in several European countries based on their potential. However, their actual impact is unclear.

Objective: The aim of this study was to investigate the self-reported use, expectations, and perceived impact of a Dutch online community care platform called Grubbenvorst-Online among Dutch older adults. The following 2 questions were studied: (1) What is the self-reported use of Grubbenvorst-Online among older adults? (2) What are their expectations and perceived impact of Grubbenvorst-Online regarding local participation, their social network, mutual informal caregiving, and feelings of connectedness?

Methods: An observational pretest-posttest study was conducted. Participants were recruited via a web-based message on the Grubbenvorst-Online platform and data were collected via postal questionnaires among older users at the start of the study and 4 months later. Data regarding the expectations and the perceived impact of Grubbenvorst-Online were compared and tested.

Results: Forty-seven Grubbenvorst-Online users with an average age of 74 years participated in this study. They were healthy, predominantly "internet-skilled," and they found the internet important for maintaining social contacts. In general, the use of the online community care platform decreased during the 4-month follow-up period. The perceived impact of Grubbenvorst-Online was significantly lower than that expected regarding information provision (P=.003), seeking help from fellow villagers (P<.001), giving help to fellow villagers (P<.001), and consulting care or welfare services (P<.001).

Conclusions: The findings of this study indicate that online community care platforms perhaps do not provide enough "added value" in their current form. We suggest a new direction in which online community care platforms primarily support existing offline initiatives aimed at stimulating local participation, informal caregiving, and feelings of connectedness.

(J Med Internet Res 2020;22(12):e20304) doi: 10.2196/20304

KEYWORDS

older adults; online community; online platform; social network; local participation; informal caregiving

RenderX

Introduction

In a changing ageing society wherein older adults are increasingly expected to take care of themselves instead of relying on health care services [1,2], online community care platforms can help older adults to meet these expectations. A considerable number of web-based platforms for older adults has been introduced in several European countries, for example, Germany [3], the United Kingdom [4], Belgium, and the Netherlands [5-8]. All these platforms target older adults and their care networks to facilitate and support aging-in-place.

In our scoping review, a typology of online care platforms for community-dwelling older adults was developed. This review was performed because little research had been conducted on the availability of web-based platforms for older adults and their characteristics, functionalities, and usability in order to guide older adults in choosing a suitable platform. The review resulted in an overview of 21 care platforms, which can be classified into the following 3 types: (1) Online Community Care Platforms, which attempt to enhance social cohesion by interlinking community-dwelling older adults with neighboring informal caregivers and by promoting local activities at the neighborhood level; (2) Online Care Network Platforms, which provide older adults and professional and informal caregivers tools to coordinate, plan, and communicate about (health) care; and (3) System Integrator Platforms, which interconnect a variety of functionalities. The latter platform type has the capability of integrating existing services and apps into its own software, that is, it operates as an "empty" information communication technology framework, which can be filled with any content [9]. This study focuses on a System Integrator Platform that was deployed as an online community care platform. In other words, all functionalities in this information communication technology framework were aimed at enhancing social cohesion or at promoting local activities at the neighborhood level. Thus, we choose to use the term "online community care platform" when referring to the platform in this study.

Online community care platforms offer older adults various apps aimed at supporting their independent living (eg, products and services) and civic and social participation (eg, contacts, messages, a matching tool for informal care). Studies have shown that more common web-based communities for older adults, which are known under different names such as "social networking sites" (eg, Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn), "online social networks," or "online social communities" can potentially have a positive impact on civic participation and help to develop and maintain social relationships and social support [10-13].

It seems that online community care platforms are implemented in several European countries based on the assumption that they can support older adults to age-in-place, to participate locally, and that they can help to develop and maintain social relationships and to arrange social support. As governments call for increased autonomy at local levels [1], these platforms seem perfectly suited tools for older adults to actually remain or even become more autonomous. However, their *actual* (perceived) impact is unclear [9]. The majority of previous research has focused on the usability and acceptability of these web-based communities or platforms and thus on the preimplementation phase. Hardly any studies report the factors that contribute to the continued use or to the desertion of web-based communities once they have been implemented [14]. It is important for both users and policy makers to discover to what extent these platforms actually help older adults to participate locally and socially.

This study therefore primarily focusses on the perceived impact in the postimplementation phase of an online community care platform that was implemented in 2015, called as "Grubbenvorst-Online" (abbreviated as GO, Grubbenvorst refers to the town in which the platform was implemented). Grubbenvorst-Online was the initiative of an active group of local older citizens ("the initiators") who, through the platform, aimed to help both older adults and vulnerable inhabitants of Grubbenvorst to socially participate locally. Grubbenvorst-Online was founded by the initiators in close collaboration with local entrepreneurs, associations, and social and health care organizations. Arestoco, a Belgian enterprise, provided the information communication technology framework for the Grubbenvorst-Online platform. Their platform entitled "Cubigo" was selected, as its software could be modified based on the wishes and needs of potential users [15]. The content of Grubbenvorst-Online (Figure 1) was determined and kept up-to-date by the initiators.

A few examples of the platform's apps are (1) a matching tool for informal care, called as "Help each other," in which users can exchange informal help (Figure 2), (2) a local calendar where information can be found on local events and activities (Figure 3), and (c) social services in which users can find information about available care services and organizations.

The following 2 questions were studied.

- 1. What is the self-reported use of Grubbenvorst-Online among older adults?
- 2. What are the expectations and perceived impact of Grubbenvorst-Online among older adults regarding local participation, their social network, mutual informal caregiving, and feelings of connectedness?

We wanted to determine what users expected from Grubbenvorst-Online when they started using it, what did they think the platform was intended for and had to offer in general, and then to investigate to what extent the platform met these expectations.



Willard et al

Figure 1. Screenshot of the online community care platform Grubbenvorst-Online.

Contacts	Messages	MyNeighborhood	Calendar	Products & Services	Help each other
You Tube	Logout	? cubigo Questions	Grubbenvorst-Online	Columns & Interviews	Local Calender
Photo & Video	Dialect Dictionary	Social Services	Local entrepeneurs	Wreitigingen Local associations	Lealthcare services
Who What Where	Offers	Recipes	Horstaan deMaas voorelkaar	Buy and sell	Rain radar
Weather	News	Local broadcast	Radio	Games	Links and websites

Figure 2. Screenshot of the functionality "Help each other".





Figure 3. Screenshot of the functionality "Calendar".



Methods

Design

This study had an observational pretest-posttest design. Data on the use, expectations, and perceived impact regarding the online community care platform Grubbenvorst-Online was collected via 2 postal questionnaires completed by older users. Our aim was to perform an exploratory study among approximately 50 participants.

Setting

Grubbenvorst is a sparsely populated village in Limburg, which is the southernmost province of the Netherlands. Approximately 1200 of the 4800 inhabitants (25.0%) are 65 years or older [16]. Grubbenvorst-Online was made available for all inhabitants of Grubbenvorst in August 2015. Several activities were undertaken to communicate and promote the existence and availability of the platform, such as (1) public launch of Grubbenvorst-Online in the central square in Grubbenvorst in the presence of the alderman; (2) publication of advertisements in "Announcements" (a local newspaper); (3) distribution of flyers, brochures, and other public relations material; (4) placement of a large billboard at the main entrance of the village; (5) various informative presentations for organizations such as associations for older adults, care organizations, and an elementary school; and (6) taking part in a TV interview at the local broadcaster (TV Reindonk). At the start of the study in early 2018, Grubbenvorst-Online had approximately 725 users.

Participants

Participants were recruited among the users in January 2018 via a web-based message on the Grubbenvorst-Online platform. This message described the purpose of the study, that is, to gather information regarding the way in which older adults used the platform and how they perceived its impact. Older adults were asked, if interested, to register within 1 month by leaving their contact details with the initiators. Applicants were suitable for inclusion if they were 65 years or older and if they were a user of the online community care platform. This means that every potential participant was an existing user, had already registered on the online community care platform, and was

```
https://www.jmir.org/2020/12/e20304
```

RenderX

therefore more or less familiar with the functionalities and operation of the platform. Furthermore, the length of time that the participants used the platform was *not* an inclusion criterion; hence, both "old" and "new" users were suitable for inclusion. Recruitment stopped by the time 51 participants had registered.

Statement of Ethical Approval

The ethical principles that are outlined in the Dutch "Medical Research Involving Human Subjects Act" were followed throughout the entire study. The ethical approval for this study was given by METC-Z (16-N-213).

Data Collection

Two postal questionnaires were used to collect reports of older adults regarding the use, expectations, and perceived impact of the Grubbenvorst-Online platform. Potential participants received an invitation letter, informed consent form, and the first (baseline) postal questionnaire (T0) in March 2018. Four months later, in July 2018, they received the second postal questionnaire (T1). Table 1 illustrates the themes and topics of the questionnaire and their operationalization. The baseline questionnaire (T0) was primarily designed to collect information on the expectations of older adults regarding the online community care platform: did participants expect the platform to have positive effects on local participation, informal caregiving, their social network, and feelings of connectedness? The follow-up questionnaire (T1) aimed to discover to what extent the expectations as formulated in T0 were met (ie, perceived impact). As there were no validated scales available to measure the expectations and perceived impact of online community care platforms, the scale was developed by us.

General characteristics of the participants were collected as well as details of their use of the internet and technology and use of informal care and their community involvement and related needs. Regarding the aforementioned characteristics, validated scales or parts of existing questionnaires were used. For topics 2-4 (Table 1), parts of the validated questionnaire "Senior Monitor Heerlen" [17] were used, that is, "Civic participation and social network" and "Living and environment." Additionally, for topic 4, the validated scale "Involvement with neighbors" [18] was adopted. This scale incorporates 3 domains

of social cohesion: (1) collaboration to stimulate local well-being; (2) solidarity: the extent to which neighbors help each other, and (3) feelings of connectedness: the extent to which neighbors feel connected to each other.

Concerning the topic of informal care, participants could answer yes or no to whether they gave help, whether they were willing to give help, whether they already received help, and finally, whether they would accept help from someone living in their close vicinity. If participants indicated that they helped someone, they did not have to answer the question whether they were prepared to offer help. The topic was introduced as follows: "the following questions are about your ability to help others or to receive help yourself. This "help" can, for example, consist of doing chores around the house or doing groceries together with family, friends, neighbors, or acquaintances. Thus, the questions were not related to physical care tasks.

Table 1. Questionnaire themes and their operationalization.

Theme	T0/T1 ^a	Items (n)	Topics	Adopted propositions and questions
Participant characteristics	ТО	27	(1) General characteristics (eg, gen- der, date of birth), (2) Use of internet and technology, (3) Informal care, (4) Community involvement and related needs	Examples of questions for topics 2-4: (2) How impor- tant is the internet for you to keep in touch with other people? (nominal 5-point scale from "very important" to "unimportant"), (3) Would you accept help from a neighbor? (Yes/No), (4) Do you feel involved with the people living in your immediate vicinity? (nomi- nal 5-point scale: "With almost none," "With most not," "With some," "With most," "With almost ev- eryone").
Self-reported use of Grubbenvorst-Online	T0-T1	14-17 ^b	Use of platform in general and of specific functionalities ^c	Examples of questions (nominal 4-point scale: "Never," "Occasionally," "Regularly," and "Daily"): (1) How often do you currently use the Grubbenvorst- Online platform? (T0 + T1); (2) How often do you currently use the "Grubbenvorst Village Calendar"? (T0+T1); (3) Have you used Grubbenvorst-Online less, more often, or to the same extent compared to 4 months ago? (T1)
Expectations of Grubben- vorst-Online	ТО	7	Expectations regarding the platform's added value regarding local participa- tion, informal caregiving, social net- working, and feelings of connected- ness	Examples of propositions (3-point scale: "[Strongly] Agree," "Neither agree nor disagree," "[Strongly] Disagree"): (1) I expect I will partake more in village activities because of Grubbenvorst-Online, (2) I ex- pect that I will use Grubbenvorst-Online to ask my fellow villagers for help (eg, with a job around the house or grocery shopping)
Perceived impact of Grubbenvorst-Online	Τ1	7	Perceived impact of the platform re- garding local participation, informal caregiving, social network, and feel- ings of connectedness	Examples of propositions (3-point scale: "[Strongly] Agree," "Neither agree nor disagree," "[Strongly] Disagree"): (1) Because of Grubbenvorst-Online, I partake more often in village activities. (2) I asked for the help of a fellow villagers via Grubbenvorst- Online (eg, for a job in the house or grocery shop- ping)

^aT0: baseline questionnaire, T1: questionnaire after 4 months of the study.

^bApart from a few additional questions in T1 (such as example 3), the questions were identical in T0 and T1.

^cNot all functionalities of Grubbenvorst-Online (see Figure 1) were assessed. Only functionalities identified by the initiators as most important were included in the questionnaire.

Data Analysis

The baseline characteristics of the participants who filled out both questionnaires (T0 and T1) were expressed in mean (SD) or n (% of the participants). The comparison of the expectations and perceived impact of Grubbenvorst-Online was tested with the McNemar test. All *P* values were two-sided and were considered to be statistically significant if less than .05. SPSS 25 (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, IBM Corp) was used for data entry and statistical analysis.

Results

Participant Characteristics

Of the 51 initial participants, 47 were included in the data analysis as they filled out both questionnaires (T0 and T1). This group consisted of 25 men and 22 women with a mean (SD) age of 74 (6.2) years. All participants were of Dutch nationality and had a relatively high level of education: 18 out of 47 (38%) had a university degree or a higher professional education qualification and 19 participants (40%) had a secondary vocational education qualification. The majority of the participants (32/47, 68%) lived with a partner, either married or unmarried. The average grade that participants gave for their

RenderX

own health was 7.6 (range 0-10, higher scores indicating better health). Only 14 participants (30%) indicated that they felt slightly hindered in their daily activities due to a long-term illness or disability.

Use of Technology and Internet

All 47 participants were asked to indicate the extent to which they adopted certain devices. A smartphone was used "regularly" by 11 participants (23%) and "daily" by 18 participants (38%). An iPad or tablet was used "regularly" or "daily" by 26 participants (55%), and a laptop was used "daily" by 26 participants (55%). All participants indicated that they used the internet. Furthermore, 31 out of the 47 participants (66%)

 Table 2. Participants' views on informal care (n=47).

considered its use to be "(very) easy," 12 (25%) participants found the easiness of internet use as neutral, and only 4 participants (9%) found the use of internet "difficult." In addition, 30 participants (65%) considered the internet to be "(very) important for keeping in touch with others."

Informal Care

Out of the 47 participants, 13 (28%) indicated that they helped someone living in their immediate vicinity. A considerably larger proportion (31/47) was prepared to offer help and the majority (43/47) was willing to accept help. However, 39 (83%) participants indicated that they did not need any help from people living in their immediate vicinity (see Table 2).

Variables	Value
Gave help to someone, n (%)	
Yes	13 (28)
No	33 (70)
Missing (no answer)	1 (2)
Prepared to offer help, n (%)	
Yes	31 (66)
No	6 (13)
Missing (no answer)	10 (21)
Willing to accept help, n (%)	
Yes	43 (92)
No	3 (6)
Missing (no answer)	1 (2)
Need help, n (%)	
Yes	7 (15)
No	39 (83)
Missing (no answer)	1 (2)

Community Involvement and Related Needs

The extent to which participants felt connected to people in their immediate vicinity was high; 20 out of 47 participants (43%) felt connected with most people and 13 participants (28%) with almost everyone. Only 5 participants (11%) indicated a need for more contact with people from their immediate vicinity. The vast majority, that is, 33 out of 47 participants (70%) specified that they felt no need for more contacts as they were satisfied with the number of contacts they already had. These results show that, in general, the participants already had a relatively large social network and felt no need to expand it further.

Use of the Grubbenvorst-Online Community Care Platform

The mean (SD) score (range 0-10, higher scores indicating greater valuation) that the participants gave to the online

community care platform as a whole was 7.3 (1.0) at T0 and 7.1 (1.3) at T1. Table 3 gives an overview of the use of the Grubbenvorst-Online platform as a whole (see row and per functionality at baseline, T0, and at follow-up, T1). The functionalities "Grubbenvorst-Online," "Columns and interviews," "Photo and Video," and "Messages" were the most frequently used at both T0 and T1. However, only a minority of the participants indicated that they used the aforementioned functionalities on a regular or daily basis. The functionalities "Dialect Dictionary," "Social Services," "Local entrepreneurs," "Local associations," "Health care services," and "Contacts" were the least used.

In general, a minor decrease was reported in the use at the level of specific functionalities: at T1, the "regular" use of functionalities decreased or remained stable while the "daily" use of functionalities did not occur (with the exception of 2 participants who consulted the Grubbenvorst-Online feature).

```
https://www.jmir.org/2020/12/e20304
```

Table 3. Self-reported use of the online community care platform Grubbenvorst-Online as a whole and per functionality (n=47).

Online community care platform/functionality	Regular use	Daily use
Grubbenvorst-Online (platform), n (%)		
$T0^{a}$	17 (36)	4 (9)
T1 ^b	11 (23)	3 (6)
Grubbenvorst-Online (functionality), n (%)		
ТО	14 (30)	1 (2)
T1	12 (26)	2 (4)
Columns and interviews, n (%)		
то	12 (26)	0 (0)
T1	7 (15)	0 (0)
Calendar Grubbenvorst, n (%)		
то	8 (17)	0 (0)
ТІ	8 (17)	0 (0)
Photo and video, n (%)		
ТО	11 (23)	0 (0)
T1	11 (23)	0 (0)
Dialect dictionary, n (%)		
ТО	3 (6)	0 (0)
T1	2 (4)	0 (0)
Social services, n (%)		
ТО	2 (4)	0 (0)
T1	2 (4)	0 (0)
Local entrepreneurs, n (%)		
ТО	2 (4)	0 (0)
T1	0 (0)	0 (0)
Local associations, n (%)		
ТО	4 (9)	0 (0)
T1	4 (9)	0 (0)
Health care services, n (%)		
ТО	4 (9)	0 (0)
T1	2 (4)	0 (0)
Contacts, n (%)		
то	6 (13)	0 (0)
T1	2 (4)	0 (0)
Messages, n (%)		
то	15 (33)	2 (4)
T1	12 (26)	0 (0)
Help each other, n (%)		
то	6 (13)	0 (0)
T1	6 (13)	0 (0)

^aT0: baseline questionnaire.

^bT1: questionnaire after 4 months of the study.

https://www.jmir.org/2020/12/e20304

Impact of the Grubbenvorst-Online Platform: Expectations and Experiences

Table 4 shows the number of participants who (fully) agreed at T0 with propositions about various potential effects of their use of Grubbenvorst-Online; in other words, these correspond to the participants' expectations of the platform. Table 4 also shows the number of participants who (fully) agreed with the same propositions about Grubbenvorst-Online at T1: this is the participants' perception of the impact of the platform after having used it. In general, the participants' expectations of Grubbenvorst-Online were not fully met. At T1, the participants'

overall perceived impact of Grubbenvorst-Online was significantly lower than they had expected with respect to "information provision about Grubbenvorst" (P=.003), "seeking help from fellow villagers" (P<.001), "giving help to fellow villagers" (P<.001), and "consulting care or welfare services" (P<.001). Their expectations of Grubbenvorst-Online and its perceived impact differed least regarding "participating in local activities," "feeling connected to Grubbenvorst," and "expansion of social network." Overall, participants perceived the highest impact of Grubbenvorst-Online regarding "information provision about Grubbenvorst," "feeling connected to Grubbenvorst," and "participating in local activities."

Table 4. Expectations of Grubbenvorst-Online (T0) and the perceived impact of Grubbenvorst-Online (T1) regarding various indicators.

Variables	Value (n=47)	P value
Information provision about Grubbenvorst, n ($\%^a$)	.003 ^b	
Expectation	36 (77)	
Perceived impact	24 (51)	
Participating in local activities, n (%)	.23	
Expectation	14 (30)	
Perceived impact	9 (19)	
Feeling connected to Grubbenvorst, n (%)	.18	
Expectation	19 (40)	
Perceived impact	14 (30)	
Expansion of social network, n (%)	.11	
Expectation	13 (28)	
Perceived impact	6 (13)	
Seeking help from fellow villagers, n (%)	<.001 ^b	
Expectation	17 (36)	
Perceived impact	2 (4)	
Giving help to fellow villagers, n (%)	<.001 ^b	
Expectation	17 (36)	
Perceived impact	3 (6)	
Consulting care or welfare services, n (%)	<.001 ^b	
Expectation	22 (47)	
Perceived impact	1 (2)	

^aStrongly agree with proposition.

^bThis value was significant at P<.05 in the McNemar test (two-sided).

Discussion

Major Findings

We explored the self-reported use, expectations, and perceived impact among older adults of a Dutch online community care platform. The study involved 47 healthy and predominantly "internet-skilled" older users (average age, 74 years). The vast majority of these users indicated that they were willing to help people living in their immediate vicinity; however, they did not necessarily feel a "help need" themselves. Furthermore, only a

https://www.jmir.org/2020/12/e20304

RenderX

small proportion indicated a need for more social contact. The online community care platform was graded by the participants with an overall "more than sufficient" grade of 7.2. In general, the use of the online community care platform decreased during the 4-month follow-up period. The functionalities "Grubbenvorst-Online," "Messages," "Photo and Video," and "Columns and interviews" were the most frequently used. At follow-up, participants' perceived impact of Grubbenvorst-Online was significantly lower than their initial expectations of the impact the platform would provide regarding "information provision about Grubbenvorst," "seeking help from fellow

villagers," "giving help to fellow villagers," and "consulting care or welfare services."

When the participants' perceived impact of Grubbenvorst-Online is viewed in isolation (ie, without comparing it to their expectations), it can be ascertained that participants perceived the highest impact with respect to "information provision about Grubbenvorst," "feeling connected to Grubbenvorst," and "participating in local activities." This indicates that an online community care platform can play a role in stimulating positive feelings toward communities and encouraging its members to undertake activities locally.

It is noteworthy that participants perceived little-to-no impact regarding (mutual) informal caregiving (ie, asking for or giving help to fellow villagers), although there was a high degree of willingness on the part of these participants to care for or accept help from people living in their vicinity. However, the participating older adults did indicate that they felt no need to expand their networks and did not express any great need for care from people living in their vicinity. Therefore, perhaps this limited perceived impact regarding (mutual) informal caregiving is related to the limited need for support or network expansion. This finding is remarkable as these web-based communities or platforms aim to support (and stimulate) older adults to exchange various forms of social support as it is increasingly expected that they must rely on their social network. This finding implies that online community care platforms are not suited for every older adult as such platforms have goals that not every older adult will share; not all older adults need web-based support or require help to find offline support or to request the assistance of others.

Based on our findings, we can conclude that older adults who are still healthy, self-reliant, and internet-skilled seem to be able to find their own means of solving problems and arranging informal care. This is not new because if governments or other organizations that bear responsibility for the welfare of citizens fail to provide people with a sense of security (eg, when state provision is reduced and as a result, older adults are increasingly expected to take care of themselves instead of relying on health care services), people will feel compelled to organize this security themselves. In this scenario, they will look for and find solidarity in small-scale physical groups [19]. It may therefore not be desirable at all to "formally" stimulate or organize local participation or mutual informal care; perhaps, platforms should merely try to provide another means by which citizens themselves can organize local participation and informal care.

Strengths and Limitations of This Study

The strength of this study is that it contributes to knowledge about the (perceived) impact of a web-based community and about its actual use after the implementation. However, we did not intend to demonstrate actual causality between the functionalities of the web-based platform and their intended outcomes (eg, an increase in local participation or feelings of local connectedness) but instead aimed to illustrate how older users perceive the use and impact of an online community care platform. Furthermore, the results should be interpreted with some caution considering the modest sample size and the possible "biased sample:" participants were mainly healthy,

```
https://www.jmir.org/2020/12/e20304
```

highly educated, and internet-skilled. Since the primary target groups of the platform were older adults and vulnerable inhabitants of Grubbenvorst, we did not include the target group of the platform in its entirety, as vulnerable people were not included in the sample. Moreover, as the purpose of this study was to understand the expectations and perceived impact of a relatively small group of users regarding a web-based platform, it might have been of added value to additionally adopt qualitative methods to answer our research questions.

Additionally, it is possible that the "first-come-first-served principle" as adopted in the recruitment process resulted in a biased sample in which users who used Grubbenvorst-Online more than others registered to participate in the study. Perhaps, because of this, the depiction we now have of the use of Grubbenvorst-Online is more positive than actually is the case. Furthermore, the strength of our study would have been improved if we had asked participants the length of time they had been using Grubbenvorst-Online since expectations of new users would possibly be different from the expectations of a long-term user. Finally, in the questionnaire, we did not ask the types of help participants were willing to offer or accept. Thus, we cannot be certain whether older adults do not need support or informal care or whether they have simply already arranged it themselves without the help of a web-based platform or other tool.

Implications for Practice and Research

Previous research demonstrates that various organizations and governments implement online community care platforms with the aim of supporting (vulnerable) citizens [3,5-9]. Based on our findings, we must ask ourselves whether such platforms provide enough "added value" in their current form. Perhaps another direction is desirable, one in which online community care platforms primarily support existing offline initiatives to stimulate local participation, informal caregiving, and feelings of connectedness. An online community care platform would thus never be considered an end in itself, but as a means to achieve certain goals. We believe that online community care platforms can add value when they encompass the following characteristics: (1) the platform's primary objective is to provide access to offline services in a neighborhood, (2) the platform mainly has a facilitating and intermediary role and, for example, connects "help requests" of older adults or (vulnerable) citizens with available local resources (eg, other citizens, volunteers, and professionals from health care organizations), and (3) human representatives of the platform are physically present in the neighborhood during set times, and offline communication (eg, by telephone or face-to-face interactions) is the primary form by which older adults may voice their help requests. In this model, the idea of a "one-stop shop" becomes the guiding principle underlying an online community care platform, that is, all local help requests and local resources from a neighborhood come together in one place.

Additionally, previous research indicates that many (eHealth) apps (such as certain functionalities of the online community care platform) lack a clear theoretical basis and do not provide any evidence concerning their effectiveness and usability [20]. In the further development of online community care platforms,

```
XSL•FO
RenderX
```

it is advisable to pay more attention to the theoretical "rationale" of the platform and its functionalities. Another important recommendation regarding the future development and implementation of online community care platforms is to involve potential end users. Previous research stresses the importance of including users to constitute web-based communities that will be functional, usable, and accessible [11,21,22]. Finally, regarding the future development of online community care platforms, it is advisable that developers continually rethink the platforms' features, make adjustments, and evaluate whether the functionalities are actually effective.

Further research is required to establish if online community care platforms have an impact on older adults' local participation, informal caregiving, and feelings of connectedness, and if so, the ways in which this impact is felt. Research should focus on the impact of different online community care platforms and on their impact on the group of older adults in all its variety: youngest-old, middle-old, and oldest-old; frail and healthy; highly and poorly educated; and internet-skilled and unskilled. Finally, since platforms often focus on all inhabitants of a neighborhood to create an interdependent support system where old and young people are facilitated to help each other, further work should also focus on researching the impact of these platforms on user groups other than older adults.

Finally, it would be interesting to study the impact of the current coronavirus pandemic on the adoption and use of web-based platforms. Perhaps the pandemic may lead to an increased use of these platforms, as it has led to a striking adaptation of various eHealth services in community care (which used to have a history of strenuous and slow adoption and implementation).

Acknowledgments

The funding for this study (project number 102.515) was provided by the NutsOhra Fund (FNO) and Zuyd University of Applied Sciences. Neither funding body had a role in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, nor in writing the manuscript. The authors thank the members of the project group "Meedoen in Grubbenvorst" (Peter Jacobs, John Rietman, Willemien van Gardingen, Ruud Meijers, Mieke Cruijsberg, and Kees Cligge) for their help in recruiting participants and for providing feedback on the questionnaire. Finally, we thank Stephen Potter for checking the manuscript as a native English speaker.

Authors' Contributions

All authors were involved in drafting and revising the manuscript and gave their final approval of the version to be published. They also agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

- 1. Smits CHM, van den Beld HK, Aartsen MJ, Schroots JJF. Aging in the Netherlands: state of the art and science. Gerontologist 2014 Jun;54(3):335-343. [doi: 10.1093/geront/gnt096] [Medline: 24000267]
- 2. van Hees SV. Chapter 1. Introduction. In: The making of ageing-in-place: perspectives on a Dutch social policy towards lifecycle-robust neighbourhoods. Maastricht University: Creating Value-Based Health Care; Promovendi PHPC; Health Services Research; 2014:7-22.
- 3. Boll F, Brune P. Online Support for the Elderly Why Service and Social Network Platforms should be Integrated. Procedia Computer Science 2016;98:395-400. [doi: 10.1016/j.procs.2016.09.060]
- 4. Grandcare Systems. Home Monitoring System For Elderly: Technology Enabled Senior Care Solutions For Better Home Health Care Computer For Seniors. URL: <u>https://www.grandcare.com/</u> [accessed 2020-05-10]
- De Jong Y. Overzicht Digitale Communicatieplatforms om te communiceren binnen de zorg en de zorg te delen. Zorg voor Beter. 2019 Mar. URL: <u>https://www.zorgvoorbeter.nl/zorgvoorbeter/media/documents/thema/familieparticipatie/</u> <u>digitale-platforms-zorgverleners-familie.pdf</u> [accessed 2019-10-23]
- Krijgsman J, Eertink H, Leeuw J, Zondervan R. Whitepaper Praktisch model voor ICT-platformen bij welzijn en zorg op afstand. Nictiz. 2012 Jun 19. URL: <u>https://www.nictiz.nl/wp-content/uploads/2012/06/</u> <u>Praktisch-model-voor-ICT-platformen-voor-welzijn-en-zorg-op-afstand-1.0.pdf</u> [accessed 2019-10-23]
- Makai P, Perry M, Robben SHM, Schers H, Heinen M, Olde Rikkert MGM, et al. Which frail older patients use online health communities and why? A mixed methods process evaluation of use of the Health and Welfare portal. J Med Internet Res 2014 Dec 17;16(12):e278 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.3609] [Medline: 25519769]
- Makai P, Perry M, Robben SHM, Schers HJ, Heinen MM, Olde Rikkert MGM, et al. Evaluation of an eHealth intervention in chronic care for frail older people: why adherence is the first target. J Med Internet Res 2014 Jun 23;16(6):e156 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.3057] [Medline: 24966146]
- Willard S, van Rossum E, Spreeuwenberg M, de Witte L. A typology of online care platforms for community-dwelling older adults in the Netherlands: A scoping review. Gerontechnology 2019 Sep 28;18(3):122-141. [doi: 10.4017/gt.2019.18.3.001.00]

RenderX

- Farkas P, Schrenk M, Hlauschek W. Senior Social Platform. An application aimed to reduce the social and digital isolation of seniors. In: REAL CORP. 2010 May 18 Presented at: REAL CORP 2010: CITIES FOR EVERYONE? Liveable, Healthy, Prosperous Promising vision or unrealistic fantasy?; May 18 2010; Vienna p. 1247-1252 URL: <u>https://conference.corp.at/</u> archive/CORP2010_223.pdf
- 11. Jaeger PT, Bo Xie. Developing Online Community Accessibility Guidelines for Persons With Disabilities and Older Adults. Journal of Disability Policy Studies 2008 Oct 17;20(1):55-63. [doi: 10.1177/1044207308325997]
- Koene P, Köbler F, Esch S, Leimeister J, Krcmar H. Design and evaluation of a service-oriented collaborative consumption platform for the elderly. : Association for Computing Machinery New York NY United States; 2012 May Presented at: CHI '12: CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems; May 2012; Austin, Texas, USA p. 2537-2542. [doi: 10.1145/2212776.2223832]
- Marcelino I, Laza R, Pereira A. SSN: Senior Social Network for Improving Quality of Life. International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks 2016 Jul 22;12(7):2150734. [doi: 10.1177/155014772150734]
- Peek STM, Wouters EJM, van Hoof J, Luijkx KG, Boeije HR, Vrijhoef HJM. Factors influencing acceptance of technology for aging in place: a systematic review. Int J Med Inform 2014 Apr;83(4):235-248 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2014.01.004] [Medline: 24529817]
- Willard S, Cremers G, Man YP, van Rossum E, Spreeuwenberg M, de Witte L. Development and testing of an online community care platform for frail older adults in the Netherlands: a user-centred design. BMC Geriatr 2018 Apr 07;18(1):87 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s12877-018-0774-7] [Medline: 29625562]
- 16. Kerncijfers wijken en buurten 2017. CBS Statline. 2017. URL: <u>https://opendata.cbs.nl/statline/#/CBS/nl/dataset/83765ned/</u> <u>table?fromstatweb</u> [accessed 2019-06-10]
- Cools-Tummers G, Van Zundert P. Seniorenmonitor 2015: De behoeften van Heerlense senioren in kaart gebracht. Municipality of Heerlen. Heerlen; 2015. URL: <u>http://www.bgwheerlen.nl/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/Seniorenmonitor_2015.</u> pdf [accessed 2019-08-22]
- 18. Frieling M. Een goede buur: 'Joint production' als motor voor actief burgerschap in de buurt. In: Rijksuniversiteit Groningen. Groningen: Rijksuniversiteit Groningen; 2018.
- 19. Aslander M, Witteveen E. Een Beetje Nooit Af. Amsterdam/Antwerpen: Business Contact; 2016.
- 20. Alessa T, Hawley MS, Hock ES, de Witte L. Smartphone Apps to Support Self-Management of Hypertension: Review and Content Analysis. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth 2019 May 28;7(5):e13645 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/13645] [Medline: 31140434]
- Nef T, Ganea RL, Müri RM, Mosimann UP. Social networking sites and older users a systematic review. Int Psychogeriatr 2013 Jul;25(7):1041-1053. [doi: <u>10.1017/S1041610213000355</u>] [Medline: <u>23552297</u>]
- 22. Peek STM, Wouters EJM, Luijkx KG, Vrijhoef HJM. What it Takes to Successfully Implement Technology for Aging in Place: Focus Groups With Stakeholders. J Med Internet Res 2016 May 03;18(5):e98 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/jmir.5253] [Medline: 27143097]

Edited by G Eysenbach; submitted 15.05.20; peer-reviewed by J Kim, R Cruz Martínez, D Carvalho; comments to author 08.07.20; revised version received 04.09.20; accepted 26.10.20; published 01.12.20

<u>Please cite as:</u>

Willard S, van Rossum E, Spreeuwenberg M, de Witte L

Perceived Impact of an Online Community Care Platform for Dutch Older Adults on Local Participation, Informal Caregiving, and Feelings of Connectedness: Pretest-Posttest Observational Study J Med Internet Res 2020;22(12):e20304 URL: https://www.jmir.org/2020/12/e20304

doi: <u>10.2196/20304</u> PMID:

©Sarah Willard, Erik van Rossum, Marieke Spreeuwenberg, Luc de Witte. Originally published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research (http://www.jmir.org), 01.12.2020. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on http://www.jmir.org/, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.