



Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/169404/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Rowe, R., Maurice-Smith, M., Mahmood, M. et al. (2021) Understanding intentions to override intelligent speed assistance prior to widespread availability: An application of the theory of planned behaviour. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 151. 105975. ISSN: 0001-4575

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2021.105975>

Article available under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND licence
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

1 22 December 2020

2

3

4 Understanding intentions to override Intelligent Speed Assistance prior to widespread
5 availability: An application of the Theory of Planned Behaviour

6

7 Richard Rowe, PhD, Martha Maurice-Smith, BSc, Myra Mahmood, BSc, Alishba Shuja, BSc &

8 Daisy Gibson, BSc

9

10 Department of Psychology, University of Sheffield, UK

11

12 Address correspondence to: Professor Richard Rowe, Department of Psychology, University
13 of Sheffield, 1 Vicar Lane, Sheffield S1 2LT. Tel: (+44) (0)114 22 26606.

14 Email: r.rowe@sheffield.ac.uk

15

16

17 **Abstract**

18 Intelligent Speed Assistance (ISA) offers a technological solution to reduce speeding that will
19 become more common in vehicles in the short to medium term. Many implementations
20 allow drivers to override the system's speed control and minimising such interventions can
21 optimise safety benefits. This paper aims to inform behaviour change interventions to
22 reduce ISA overriding targeted to drivers as they obtain vehicles fitted with ISA. We explore
23 the beliefs underlying intentions to override ISA to exceed the speed limit in drivers with
24 limited ISA experience using the Theory of Planned Behaviour. In a sample of 121 drivers
25 (mean age 36 years), regression modelling showed that attitudes strongly predicted
26 intentions with an additional contribution from subjective norms but not perceived
27 behavioural control. Behavioural beliefs underlying attitudes addressed overriding ISA for
28 (1) responsibly controlling the car to minimise crash risk and (2) reducing journey times and
29 enjoying fast driving. Salient normative beliefs focussed on groups that would disapprove of
30 overriding ISA including emergency services and parents. We discuss how these beliefs
31 might be addressed in interventions to maximise the safe adoption of ISA.

32 Introduction

33 Road traffic crash (RTC) is the world's eighth most common cause of death overall, with
34 more than 1.35M fatalities annually (World Health Organisation, 2018). Speeding increases
35 the chances of crash involvement and crashes at higher speed have more serious
36 consequences (Aarts & van Schagen, 2006). As such speeding makes a strong contribution
37 to the RTC public health burden. For example, during 2017 in the US, speeding (including
38 driving too fast for conditions) was implicated in 9,717 RTC fatalities; 26% of the total
39 (National Centre for Statistics and Analysis, 2019). Identifying methods to maintain safe
40 driving speeds remains a challenge for researchers and policy-makers. Behaviour change
41 interventions are plausible tools, and some promising approaches have been demonstrated,
42 for example as based on the Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB; Elliott & Armitage, 2009),
43 but the overall public health impact of road safety education seems limited at best (Ker et al.,
44 2003). Speeding can be reduced via enforcement, for example through speed cameras
45 (Wilson, Willis, Hendrikz, Le Brocque, & Bellamy, 2010). The introduction of enforcement
46 must pass the test of public acceptability. It has been argued that an important but usually
47 unevaluated aspect of road safety education may be to increase the perceived legitimacy of
48 enforcement, therefore indirectly making behaviour safer through facilitating more effective
49 enforcement approaches (McKenna, 2010).

50 Advances in automobile technology offer alternative methods to control driving
51 speed. Full automation could remove the possibility of speeding altogether, although this
52 may itself present challenges to the acceptability of the technology. However, full automation
53 even in high income countries is a long-term goal. Other forms of assistive technology can
54 help to reduce speeding and therefore RTCs in the interim. Intelligent Speed Adaptation, or
55 more recently Intelligent Speed Assistance (ISA), refers to a range of in-car devices that can
56 support safe driving speeds. This technology can involve providing a warning or directly
57 intervene in car control when the speed limit is exceeded, for example by cutting fuel
58 transmission to the engine until vehicle speed returns to the speed limit. ISA devices have

59 been widely trialled across high income countries during this century, with the general
60 finding that they can substantially reduce speeding behaviour (Carsten, 2012). For example,
61 Lai, Carsten, and Tate (2012) showed that requiring ISA could reduce serious road traffic
62 injuries by up to 30%, with the extent of the benefit depending upon how strictly ISA control
63 is adhered to.

64 Many ISA systems that intervene to reduce vehicle speed provide an override option,
65 for example through the driver pressing hard on the accelerator; the precise format of ISA
66 that is most acceptable to drivers is the subject of current research (Carsten, Ezenwa,
67 Tomlison, & Horrobin, 2020). This allows drivers to choose to exceed the speed limit at their
68 discretion. The design intention may be to allow occasional overriding in emergency
69 situations, for example when overtaking on a single lane road and facing an unanticipated
70 oncoming vehicle. However, Lai and Carsten (2012) showed that, while their intervening ISA
71 system reduced vehicle speed in a 6 month 'A-B-A' field trial design, drivers chose to
72 override quite frequently; on 16% of distance travelled in 70 mile per hour (mph) roads, 13%
73 in 20 mph limits and 7-8% on 30, 40 and 50 mph roads. Overriding was most common in
74 young and male drivers for whom speed control might be most beneficial for safety, given
75 that more frequent road safety violations are reported in these groups (de Winter & Dodou,
76 2010).

77 ISA systems are likely to become more common in many high income countries in
78 the near future. For example, the European Union will make an overridable ISA mandatory
79 in new vehicles, with legislation coming into force from 2022 (European Transport Safety
80 Council, 2020). If ISA is routinely overridden then the potential road safety benefits of such
81 legislation will not be fully realised. Road safety campaigns encouraging drivers not to
82 override ISA have a potential role here. Firstly, they can encourage drivers to change their
83 overriding behaviour. While the evidence is that educational interventions do not have large
84 effects on road safety behaviour, as noted above, the introduction of ISA provides a new
85 context in which to present behaviour change interventions that could make them more
86 powerful. This potential is demonstrated by Chorlton and Conner (2012) who found that

87 engaging in an ISA field trial had a carry-over effect on weakening intentions to speed
88 beyond the end of the trial. Second, behaviour change intervention may also increase the
89 perceived legitimacy of future legislation that might increase ISA compliance by requiring
90 fitted ISA systems to become more difficult to override.

91 The point of technology adoption may be a key stage for intervention. Lahrman,
92 Agerholm, Tradisauskas, Næss, et al. (2012) reported difficulties in recruiting a sample for
93 an incentivised ISA trial, highlighting that drivers may be initially sceptical about engaging
94 with the technology. Therefore, behaviour change activity aimed at current non-ISA users to
95 encourage them not to override ISA once they purchase cars fitted with ISA may be
96 particularly valuable. To inform such behaviour change activity, it is crucial to understand
97 beliefs and intentions about using ISA in drivers that do not currently have access to ISA.

98 The TPB (Ajzen, 1991) provides a useful basis on which to structure effective health
99 behavioural interventions (Webb, Joseph, Yardley, & Michie, 2010). The TPB models
100 intention to perform a behaviour (e.g., overriding ISA while driving) as the most proximal
101 predictor of that behaviour. Intention is modelled to depend upon three psychological
102 constructs; (a) attitudes, which represent positive/negative evaluations of the behaviour, are
103 in turn modelled to be predicted by a set of behavioural beliefs. Relevant beliefs here might
104 be that overriding ISA would facilitate short journey times (leading to positive attitude
105 towards the behaviour), or that overriding the ISA would increase crash risk (leading to more
106 negative attitude). (b) Subjective norms, which represent perceived social norms regarding
107 the behaviour, are modelled to be predicted by underlying normative beliefs regarding the
108 views of significant others on whether ISA should be overridden. (c) Perceived behavioural
109 control, which represents opinion on how easy/difficult it is to control the behaviour, is
110 modelled to be based on control beliefs regarding factors that facilitate/inhibit performing the
111 behaviour in question. Application of the TPB to intervention design involves identifying the
112 key behavioural, normative and control beliefs that underlie intentions. Interventions can
113 then strengthen beliefs underlying safe behaviour and challenge beliefs that promote unsafe
114 behaviour (Ajzen, 2011).

115 The TPB has usefully modelled the psychological processes underlying intentions to
116 speed and related risky driving violations in a number of studies (Chorlton, Conner, &
117 Jamson, 2012; Parker, Manstead, Stradling, Reason, & Baxter, 1992; Rowe et al., 2016)
118 and has formed the basis of effective interventions, as described below. Therefore, the TPB
119 might be expected to be useful in understanding and influencing ISA use as well. In general,
120 these studies support independent roles for attitudes, subject norms and perceived
121 behavioural control in understanding speeding intentions with minor variations in which
122 component was most strongly associated. For example, Rowe et al. (2016) found that
123 attitudes were the most strongly related to speeding intentions whereas Parker, Manstead,
124 Stradling, Reason, et al. (1992) found that PBC was most strongly related. A number of
125 studies have identified salient beliefs underlying the TPB components (e.g., Elliott, Armitage,
126 & Baughan, 2005; Parker, Manstead, Stradling, & Reason, 1992; Rowe et al., 2016). For
127 example, Rowe et al. (2016) identified behavioural beliefs that counter speeding including
128 increased risk of crash and citation whereas supporting beliefs included looking good and
129 shorter journey times. Important normative beliefs included disapproval of speeding by the
130 authorities and family members whereas control beliefs that inhibited speeding included
131 weather conditions, passengers and the presence of police enforcement. The TPB has
132 provided the basis for effective interventions to improve road safety behaviour (Elliott &
133 Armitage, 2009; Parker, Stradling, & Manstead, 1996). For example, Elliott and Armitage
134 (2009) found presenting messages addressing the beliefs underlying the components of the
135 TPB reduced self-reported speeding. This effect was mediated by changing salient control
136 beliefs that in turn influenced perceived behavioural control over speeding.

137 With the intention to inform interventions to reduce the amount that drivers override
138 their ISA, this study tests how the components of the TPB relate to intention to override and
139 identifies the salient underlying behavioural, normative and control beliefs. First, drivers
140 identified potential beliefs that may underlie intention to override ISA in a qualitative study. In
141 order to understand which identified beliefs would be most usefully targeted in intervention
142 we conducted an online survey and quantitatively tested the extent to which the most

143 commonly identified beliefs were associated with components of the TPB, and which TPB
144 components were most strongly associated with intentions to override ISA. The sample was
145 predominantly comprised of drivers that did not currently have vehicles with ISA fitted,
146 reflecting the contemporary UK driving community.

147

148 **Method**

149

150 *Elicitation study*

151 A convenience sample of 22 participants took part in our qualitative belief elicitation study
152 (Ajzen, 2011) in autumn 2019. The inclusion criteria were being at least 18 years old and
153 holding a full UK driving licence. The sample was 64% male and 36% female, with mean
154 age 31 years (SD=13.56, range 18 – 60) and 45% reported their ethnic origin as White, 41%
155 Pakistani and 14% other ethnic origin. More than half (54.54%) had been driving for 5 years
156 or more. Participants gave informed consent and the elicitation study was approved by the
157 Research Ethics Committee of the Department of Psychology at the University of Sheffield.

158 Participants completed a paper questionnaire that described the ISA system that is
159 planned for implementation in the EU (Appendix A). Beyond the presented information,
160 participants may have already been familiar with plans to implement ISA in new UK cars
161 from 2022 as this had been covered in the national press during 2019 (e.g., Topham, 2019).
162 Participants' behavioural beliefs were measured by asking for (a) *advantages*, (b)
163 *disadvantages*, (c) What they would *like* or *enjoy* and (d) *dislike* or *hate* about overriding ISA
164 to exceed the speed limit. Normative beliefs were elicited by asking which individuals or
165 groups of people would (e) *approve*, (f) *disapprove* and (g) if there were any other
166 individuals or groups of people who would *approve* or *disapprove* of them overriding the ISA.
167 Control beliefs were elicited by asking what things would make them (h) *more likely*, (i) *less*
168 *likely* and (j) other reasons to make them *more or less likely* to override the ISA.

169 Table 1 shows the coding framework identifying the range of beliefs elicited which
170 was agreed by all authors following an initial reading of the responses. Using this coding

171 framework, MMS, AS, MM and DG independently coded the presence/absence of each
 172 beliefs in the participants' responses. For 81% of beliefs all four investigators agreed on the
 173 presence/absence of belief. In 16% of cases three investigators agreed, and the majority
 174 view was followed in coding presence or absence. In 3% agreement was split evenly
 175 between the 4 raters and beliefs were coded as present in these cases. Table 1 shows the
 176 frequency that each identified belief was mentioned.

177

178 Table 1. The frequency with which behavioural, normative and control beliefs were identified
 179 during the elicitation study.

Belief	Frequency
<i>Behavioural</i>	
Disagree with the speed limit*	1
To look cool*	1
To overtake slow drivers	4
Issues with the ISA tech	6
Wanting to drive fast	6
Getting fined	9
Being in a rush	9
Thinking the system is pointless	9
In an emergency	10
Control of the vehicle	12
Avoid accidents	13
Risk of creating an accident	15
<i>Normative</i>	
Other people overriding the ISA*	1
Cyclists*	1
Women*	1
Law abiding citizens*	2
Driving Instructors*	2
Commuters*	2
Friends*	2
Insurance companies	4
Inexperienced drivers	4
Pedestrians	4
Joyriders	5
Parents	8
Young drivers	12
Emergency services	15
Vulnerable people (e.g., the Elderly)	15
<i>Control</i>	
Smooth driving*	1
Mood*	2
Passengers*	3

School zones	4
Weather	4
Financial incentive/insurance	4
Clear roads + traffic	7
Speed cameras	10
Running late	14
Emergencies (e.g., medical)	15

180 *Beliefs endorsed less than 4 times were not included in the beliefs questions in the
181 full study.
182

183 *Main Study*

184 *Participants and Procedure*

185 Participants were required to be at least 18 years of age, to hold a full UK driving licence and
186 to complete an online questionnaire. Full data on the measures described below was
187 provided by 121 participants (after 3 missing values were replaced with scale means) who
188 were recruited via email invitation from the University of Sheffield volunteers list that is made
189 up of staff and students during autumn 2019. The sample was 63% female with mean age
190 35.90 years (SD =15.58, range = 18-76), 74% identified as White British and reported driving
191 for an average of 15.86 years (SD = 14.56, range 0-59). Only 6 participants (5%) reported
192 regularly driving a car with any sort of ISA device fitted. Participants provided informed
193 consent and study procedures were approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the
194 Department of Psychology, University of Sheffield.

195

196 *Measures*

197 Beliefs measured in the main study were based on the beliefs identified by four or more
198 participants in the elicitation study as shown in Table 1. Participants rated how likely they
199 thought ten statements (listed in Table 2) representing the behavioural beliefs (e.g.,
200 Overriding the ISA to exceed the speed limit would *allow me to overtake slow drivers*) were
201 to be true on a 7 point scale anchored Unlikely - Likely. Eight normative beliefs (listed in
202 Table 3) were presented as statements about different groups of people that might approve
203 or disapprove of overriding ISA (e.g., *Vulnerable people (e.g. the elderly)* think that I
204 should/should not override the ISA to exceed the speed limit) on a 7 point scale anchored

205 Think I should – Think I should not. Seven control beliefs (listed in Table 4) were presented
206 as statements about how situations might affect the likelihood of overriding ISA (e.g., *Being*
207 *in an emergency situation* would make my overriding the ISA to exceed the speed limit...).
208 Participants rated these on a seven point scale anchored Less likely – More likely.

209

210 Attitudes were measured as the mean of four semantic differential items that asked whether
211 overriding ISA would be (1) Pleasant – Unpleasant, (2) Beneficial-Harmful, (3) Enjoyable –
212 Unenjoyable, and (4) Wise – Foolish. Items were rated on 7-point scales, recoded so that
213 high scores implied more positive attitudes towards overriding ISA. Cronbach's alpha
214 reliability was .78 in this sample.

215

216 Subjective Norms regarding overriding ISA were measured as the mean of two items, rated
217 on 7-point scales; (1) People who are important to me think I should/should not... and (2)
218 People who are important to me would approve/disapprove of me.... These items recoded so
219 that high scores indicated greater approval of overriding ISA and the scale demonstrated
220 alpha reliability of .75.

221

222 Perceived Behavioural Control regarding overriding ISA was measured as the mean of four
223 items; (1) How much control would you have over whether or not you would...? (Complete
224 control – No control), (2) I would have complete control over whether or not... (Agree –
225 Disagree), (3) If I wanted to, overriding the ISA to exceed the speed limit would be... (Easy –
226 Difficult) and (4) If I wanted to, I could easily override the ISA to exceed the speed limit
227 (Likely – Unlikely). Alpha reliability was .82.

228

229 Intention to override ISA was measured as the mean of three items; (1) How likely is it that
230 you would...? (Likely – Unlikely) (2) I would be very likely / unlikely to... (Very likely – Very
231 unlikely) and (3) How willing would you be to...? (Very willing – Not at all willing). Items were

232 recoded so that higher scores indicated stronger intention to override ISA. Alpha reliability
233 was .89.

234

235 *Analyses*

236 It was expected that the belief measures would be inter-correlated. Therefore, we initially
237 conducted exploratory factor analyses within the behavioural, normative and control beliefs
238 to form correlated beliefs into scales using principal factor analysis in Stata 13 (StataCorp,
239 2013). We checked that the data were appropriate for factor analysis by assessing whether
240 the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) statistics were above .50 for each set of beliefs. Factors with
241 eigenvalues greater than 1 were retained. Varimax rotation was applied to aid factor
242 interpretation. The items loading onto each factor were analysed using Cronbach Alpha to
243 check internal reliability and were summed to produce scale totals for further analysis.

244 Ordinary least squares regression was used to (1) identify which behavioural belief
245 factors independently predicted attitudes, (2) which normative belief factors predicted
246 subjective norms, (3) which control belief factors predicted perceived behavioural control
247 with predictors added simultaneously to each model and (4) to assess the relative
248 contributions of attitudes, subjective norms and perceived behavioural control to predicting
249 intention to override ISA. In all cases multiple predictors were entered into models
250 simultaneously.

251

252 **Results**

253 *Exploratory factor analyses of beliefs*

254 Behavioural beliefs demonstrated an adequate KMO statistic of .66, supporting the
255 application of factor analysis. A two factor solution provided a simple structure following
256 varimax rotation, as shown in Table 2. The first factor (Eigenvalue=1.84) contained items
257 regarding responsible overriding of ISA including responding effectively in emergencies and
258 keeping control of the vehicle and formed a reliable scale (alpha = .71) with higher scores
259 more positive about overriding ISA following reverse coding of one item. The second factor

260 (Eigenvalue=1.59) was made up of items regarding overriding ISA to drive faster including
 261 facilitating overtaking and reaching the destination sooner and also formed a reliable scale
 262 (alpha=.69), with higher scores more positive about the benefits of overriding ISA in this
 263 regard.

264
 265 Table 2. Varimax rotated factor loadings (>.4) from the behavioural beliefs factor analysis.

Belief	Responsibility factor	Speed factor
Overriding the ISA to exceed the speed limit would...		
...allow me to respond effectively in emergencies.	.69	
...help me to avoid crashes.	.68	
...give me more control over my vehicle.	.58	
...increase my risk of being involved in a road traffic crash.*	-.50	
...allow me to overtake slow drivers.		.64
...help me to reach my destination substantially quicker.		.61
...allow me to enjoy driving fast.		.58
...be more likely because the ISA system allows me to do so with ease.		.49
...be useful when the ISA gets the speed limit wrong.		.41
...increase my risk of getting a speeding fine.**		

266 *Item reverse coded in scale construction
 267 **Item did not load at .4 or above on either factor
 268

269 Normative beliefs also demonstrated suitability for factor analysis (KMO=.71). Table 3 shows
 270 factor loadings from the 2 factors solution that was supported by inspection of eigenvalues.
 271 Social groups who would disapprove of overriding ISA were represented on factor 1
 272 (eigenvalue=2.31) and these items formed a reliable scale (alpha=.78). Factor 2

273 (eigenvalue=1.04) was made up of two social groups who would approve of overriding the
 274 ISA and these two items formed a scale that was reliable (alpha=.72).

275
 276 Table 3. Varimax rotated factor loadings (>.4) from the normative beliefs factor analysis.

Belief	Disapprovers factor	Approvers factor
<i>... think that I should/should not override the ISA to exceed the speed limit.</i>		
Insurance companies...	.79	
Pedestrians...	.73	
Vulnerable people (e.g., the elderly)...	.68	
My parents...	.59	
The emergency services...	.52	
Young drivers...		.73
Joyriders...		.64
Inexperienced drivers...*		

277 *Item did not load at .4 or above on either factor

278

279 Control beliefs were also suitable for factor analysis (KMO=.67). A 2 factor solution was
 280 once again supported by the eigenvalues; rotated factor loadings are displayed in Table 4.
 281 These show that the first factor (eigenvalue=1.72) represented situational factors that would
 282 inhibit overriding ISA, including road conditions and insurance incentives which formed a
 283 reliable scale (alpha=.72). Factor two (eigenvalue=1.12) involved situations that would
 284 facilitate overriding ISA, including running late which also formed a reliable scale
 285 (alpha=.68). Both scales were naturally scored in the direction that higher scores implied
 286 greater likelihood of overriding ISA.

287

288 Table 4. Varimax rotated factor loadings (>.4) from the control beliefs factor analysis.

Belief	Inhibitors factor	Facilitators factor
--------	-------------------	---------------------

...would make my overriding the ISA to exceed the speed limit less/more likely.

Hazardous weather conditions...	.72
Driving in a school zone...	.66
Speed cameras...	.59
Financial incentives from my insurance company...	.53
Being late...	.74
Clear roads...	.71
Being in an emergency situation...	.41

289

290 *Beliefs underlying Attitude, Subjective Norm and Perceived Behavioural Control*

291 Table 5 shows that stronger behavioural beliefs regarding responsibly overriding ISA and
 292 those about overriding ISA to travel faster were independently significant predictors of a
 293 more positive attitude towards overriding ISA. Stronger perceived approval for overriding the
 294 ISA from groups that would usually be thought of as disapprovers (e.g., insurance
 295 companies) was a significant independent predictor of subjective norms. There was no
 296 independent contribution of perceptions of approval from groups that might support
 297 overriding, such as joyriders. Only the strength of beliefs about situations that might inhibit
 298 overriding the ISA predicted perceived behavioural control, there was no independent
 299 contribution from strength of beliefs regarding situations that might facilitate.

300

301 Table 5. Prediction of attitudes, subjective norms and perceived behavioural control from the
 302 belief factors.

<i>Behavioural beliefs</i>	Attitude	<i>Normative beliefs</i>	Subjective norm	<i>Control beliefs</i>	Perceived behavioural control
	Beta (95% CI)		Beta (95% CI)		Beta (95% CI)
Responsibility	.41* .26, .56	Disapprovers	.41* .24, .57	Inhibitors	.34* .17, .51

Speed	.39* -.24, .54	Approvers	.09 -.07, .26	Facilitators	-.13 -.30, .05
R ²	.35		.17		.12

303 CI = Confidence Interval *p<.001

304

305 *Predicting intention to override ISA*

306 Intentions were significantly correlated with attitudes (r=.76, p<.001), subjective norms
307 (r=.49, p<.001) and perceived behavioural control (r=-.28, p=.002). A multiple regression
308 model predicting intention to override ISA from the TPB constructs (R²=.61) showed that
309 positive attitudes towards overriding ISA was the strongest predictor of intention to override
310 (beta=.67, 95% Confidence Interval (CI): .54, .80, p<.001). Subjective norm also made a
311 significant independent contribution (beta=.16, 95% CI: .03, .29, p=.015). There was no
312 independent contribution from perceived behavioural control (beta=-.06, 95% CI: -.18, .06;
313 p=.323).

314

315 **Discussion**

316 This study explored the beliefs underlying intention to override ISA in a sample of UK
317 drivers. This sample reported minimal ISA experience, likely reflecting the experiences of
318 large sections of the current global motoring population. Therefore, the results are well
319 placed to identify the beliefs that could be the focus of safety campaigns targeted to the
320 currently large proportion of motorists, in Europe at least, who are likely to be buying their
321 first cars fitted with ISA in the short to medium term, as the technology becomes more
322 prevalent. The TPB guides identification of key salient beliefs; the 2 stage analysis identifies
323 the relative contribution of attitudes, subjective norms and perceived behavioural control
324 make to intention, and the beliefs that underlie each of those constructs. In combination the
325 TPB constructs accounted for 61% of the variance in intention to override ISA, comparing to
326 a mean of 39% in Armitage and Connor's (2001) meta-analysis of 154 studies testing how
327 well TPB constructs explain variance in intention regarding a range of health behaviours.
328 Attitudes were the strongest predictor of intentions. There was a smaller but significant

329 contribution from subjective norms while perceived behavioural control did not make a
330 significant independent contribution to predicting intentions. This highlights the potential
331 importance of targeting the behavioural beliefs that underlie attitudes in interventions to
332 promote ISA use and also suggests there might be some utility in addressing the normative
333 beliefs underlying subjective norms.

334 We identified two separate behavioural beliefs factors, addressing overriding ISA for
335 (1) responsible purposes to control the car safely and (2) to drive faster. These two factors
336 made approximately equal contributions to the prediction of attitudes, accounting for 35% of
337 the total variance. It is noteworthy that these beliefs form different factors as this supports
338 intervening separately with the two underlying reasons for overriding, rather than focussing
339 on a simple message that overriding is dangerous. Of the two factors, the factor focussed on
340 overriding ISA to drive more safely, may need careful consideration in treatment in
341 interventions. Situations in which overriding ISA (i.e., breaking the speed limit) can reduce
342 the risk of crash are likely to be very rare; the weight of evidence is that breaking the speed
343 limit increases crash risk (Aarts & van Schagen, 2006). However, a driving simulation study
344 indicated that a non-overridable ISA could impair overtaking slow vehicles in certain
345 scenarios (Jamson, Chorlton, & Carsten, 2012). The opportunity to override ISA may also be
346 important in providing confidence in adopting ISA initially. Therefore, initial education might
347 usefully emphasise that situations where overriding ISA for safety benefit are likely to be rare
348 and that exposure to such situations should be minimised, for example by deciding against
349 an overtaking manoeuvre that might involve breaking the speed limit. Further qualitative and
350 quantitative research on how this message should be nuanced may also be useful, involving
351 drivers who are familiar with using ISA.

352 The behavioural beliefs factor regarding overriding ISA to allow fast driving offers a
353 much simpler intervention target; desire to overtake other drivers, reach destinations quicker
354 and enjoy driving fast are similar to the sorts of beliefs identified in other studies addressing
355 beliefs underlying speeding intentions (e.g., Rowe et al., 2016). Interventions to address
356 these beliefs might focus on counter-examples to combat these positions, showing that

357 breaking the speed limit will usually lead to trivial changes in journey time and can lead to a
358 lot of unenjoyable consequences such as crashes and traffic citations, accompanied by the
359 humiliation of damage to the car and embarrassing road-side encounters with police. As
360 noted in the introduction, combating beliefs that are positive to speeding in general may be
361 more effective in the context of ISA. Reminders are one way of increasing the effectiveness
362 of intervention (e.g., Pirolli et al., 2017). Interventions on breaking the speed limit can set up
363 warnings and interventions from ISA as cues for behaviour change plans such as
364 implementation intentions that have been found to be effective is moderating speeding
365 elsewhere (Elliott & Armitage, 2006).

366 Wanting to override ISA when it applies an incorrectly low speed limit loaded onto the
367 factor regarding overriding for the purposes of driving fast. While experience with using ISA
368 might demonstrate ISA accuracy, the perception of potential inaccuracy in those who are
369 unfamiliar with ISA remains important in terms of targeting information to motorists before
370 they purchase their first car fitted with ISA and when they first start to use the system. It is
371 noteworthy that this item loaded onto the driving fast factor rather than the responsible
372 overriding factor, indicating that the belief may be more about an inaccurate ISA causing
373 slower journeys rather than presenting a safety risk.

374 Our results also identified a role for subjective norms in the prediction of intentions to
375 override ISA and that beliefs regarding the perceptions of groups that might disapprove of
376 overriding contributed to this. The role of subjective norms was less prominent than attitudes
377 indicating that addressing normative beliefs should have a lower priority than behavioural
378 beliefs in intervention design. However, inclusion of material bolstering the belief that
379 vulnerable groups such as pedestrians, significant others, such as parents and emergency
380 services all disapprove of overriding ISA may have some additional benefit to intervention
381 effectiveness. Insurance companies were also included here, and the message that
382 insurance companies are supportive of ISA compliance may be best communicated via
383 insurance premium discounts as have been trialled elsewhere (Lahrmann, Agerholm,
384 Tradisauskas, Berthelsen, & Harms, 2012).

385 Perceived behavioural control was not a significant predictor of intentions to override
386 ISA. The TPB allows perceived behavioural control to directly influence behaviour without
387 mediation via intentions. However, behaviour could not be measured in this study due to the
388 infrequency of ISA access in the population. Perceived behavioural control has been
389 identified as related to intentions to speed elsewhere (e.g., Rowe et al., 2016) and therefore
390 might have been expected to relate to intentions to override ISA in this study. It is possible
391 that the role of situational influences on intention to override ISA cannot be imagined by a
392 population that is inexperienced with ISA.

393 The results presented here must be considered in the context of a number of
394 limitations. First, it is possible that results would be different if the study were repeated using
395 drivers who were experienced in using ISA. For example, experience with ISA might identify
396 other situational factors that influence ISA overriding, such as traffic density and the
397 speeding behaviour of other drivers. Such findings would be useful in developing
398 interventions to increase ISA usage in experienced ISA users and would also provide the
399 opportunity to test how well ISA intentions predict behaviour. Future studies addressing
400 these issues in populations with ISA experience will be valuable. However, as noted in the
401 introduction, the driving population that is largely inexperienced in using ISA is a crucial one
402 to focus on at this time, as ISA is likely to be introduced to this population in the short- to
403 medium-term. It is therefore important to understand the beliefs underlying their current
404 intentions for using ISA to inform road safety campaigns aiming to promote ISA compliance
405 at the point of entry.

406 Our sample size was adequate to identify relationships across all participants and
407 therefore provide evidence on the beliefs underlying intention to override ISA across the
408 population. We did not have sufficient power to test whether particular TPB constructs or
409 belief sets varied in importance for specific driver groups. For example, ISA compliance may
410 be particularly important for high risk driver groups, such as young drivers or those high in
411 impulsivity, and the importance of some beliefs may vary in those groups. While such
412 nuanced research might provide an effective basis for interventions targeted to high risk

413 groups, the findings from the full population presented here should be well-placed to inform
414 road safety campaigns that can usefully be presented to all motorists as ISA is introduced to
415 the full driving community. ISA has the potential to substantially reduce speeding and
416 therefore reduce road traffic crashes. Introducing ISA in the context of evidence-based road
417 safety campaigns maximises the chances of realising that potential.

418

419 **References**

- 420 Aarts, L., & van Schagen, I. (2006). Driving Speed and the Risk of Road Crashes: A Review.
421 *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 38(2), 215-224.
- 422 Armitage, C. J., & Conner, M. (2001). Efficacy of the theory of planned behaviour: A meta-
423 analytic review. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 40, 471-499.
424 doi:10.1348/014466601164939
- 425 Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behaviour. *Organizational Behaviour and Human*
426 *Decision Processes*, 50, 179-211.
- 427 Ajzen, I. (2011). Behavioral interventions: Design and evaluation guided by the theory of
428 planned behavior. In M. M. Mark, S. I. Donaldson, & B. C. Campbell (Eds.), *Social*
429 *psychology for program and policy evaluation* (pp. 74-100). New York: Guildford.
- 430 Carsten, O. (2012). Is intelligent speed adaptation ready for deployment? *Accident Analysis*
431 *& Prevention*, 48, 1-3. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2012.05.012>
- 432 Carsten, O., Ezenwa, A., Tomlison, A., & Horrobin, A. (2020). *ISA Interface Study*. Retrieved
433 from Institute for Transport Studies, University of Leeds:
434 [https://environment.leeds.ac.uk/download/downloads/id/5102/isa_interface_study](https://environment.leeds.ac.uk/download/downloads/id/5102/isa_interface_study_accessible.pdf)
435 [accessible.pdf](https://environment.leeds.ac.uk/download/downloads/id/5102/isa_interface_study_accessible.pdf)
- 436 Chorlton, K., & Conner, M. (2012). Can enforced behaviour change attitudes: Exploring the
437 influence of Intelligent Speed Adaptation. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 48, 49-
438 56. doi:10.1016/j.aap.2010.06.007
- 439 Chorlton, K., Conner, M., & Jamson, S. (2012). Identifying the psychological determinants of
440 risky riding: An application of an extended Theory of Planned Behaviour. *Accident*
441 *Analysis and Prevention*, 49, 142-153. doi:10.1016/j.aap.2011.07.003
- 442 de Winter, J. C. F., & Dodou, D. (2010). The Driver Behaviour Questionnaire as a predictor of
443 accidents: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Safety Research*, 41(6), 463-470.
444 doi:10.1016/j.jsr.2010.10.007
- 445 Elliott, M. A., & Armitage, C. J. (2006). Effects of implementation intentions on the self-
446 reported frequency of drivers' compliance with speed limits. *Journal of experimental*
447 *psychology. Applied*, 12 2, 108-117.
- 448 Elliott, M. A., & Armitage, C. J. (2009). Promoting drivers' compliance with speed limits:
449 Testing an intervention based on the theory of planned behaviour. *British Journal of*
450 *Psychology*, 100, 111-132. doi:10.1348/000712608x318626
- 451 Elliott, M. A., Armitage, C. J., & Baughan, C. J. (2005). Exploring the beliefs underpinning
452 drivers' intentions to comply with speed limits. *Transportation Research Part F-*
453 *Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 8(6), 459-479.
- 454 European Transport Safety Council. (2020). Intelligent Speed Assistance (ISA). Retrieved
455 from <https://etsc.eu/intelligent-speed-assistance-isa/>
- 456 Jamson, S., Chorlton, K., & Carsten, O. (2012). Could Intelligent Speed Adaptation make
457 overtaking unsafe? *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 48, 29-36.
458 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2010.11.011>
- 459 Ker, K., Roberts, I. G., Collier, T., Beyer, F. R., Bunn, F., & Frost, C. (2003). Strong evidence
460 that advanced and remedial driver education does not reduce road traffic crashes or
461 injuries. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*.
- 462 Lahrmann, H., Agerholm, N., Tradisauskas, N., Berthelsen, K. K., & Harms, L. (2012). Pay as
463 You Speed, ISA with incentives for not speeding: Results and interpretation of speed

464 data. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 48, 17-28.
465 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2011.03.015>

466 Lahrmann, H., Agerholm, N., Tradisauskas, N., Næss, T., Juhl, J., & Harms, L. (2012). Pay as
467 You Speed, ISA with incentives for not speeding: A case of test driver recruitment.
468 *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 48, 10-16.
469 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2011.03.014>

470 Lai, F., & Carsten, O. (2012). What benefit does Intelligent Speed Adaptation deliver: A close
471 examination of its effect on vehicle speeds. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 48, 4-9.
472 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2010.01.002>

473 Lai, F., Carsten, O., & Tate, F. (2012). How much benefit does Intelligent Speed Adaptation
474 deliver: An analysis of its potential contribution to safety and environment. *Accident*
475 *Analysis & Prevention*, 48, 63-72. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2011.04.011>

476 McKenna, F. P. (2010). *Education in Road Safety: Are we getting it right?* (10/113). Retrieved
477 from [https://www.racfoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/education-in-](https://www.racfoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/education-in-road-safety-mckenna-080910-report.pdf)
478 [road-safety-mckenna-080910-report.pdf](https://www.racfoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/education-in-road-safety-mckenna-080910-report.pdf)

479 National Centre for Statistics and Analysis. (2019). *Speeding 2017 data* (DOT HS 812 687).
480 Retrieved from Washington, DC:
481 <https://crashstats.nhtsa.dot.gov/Api/Public/ViewPublication/812687>

482 Parker, D., Manstead, A. S. R., Stradling, S. G., & Reason, J. T. (1992). Determinants of
483 intentions to commit driving violations. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 24(2), 117-
484 131. doi:10.1016/0001-4575(92)90028-h

485 Parker, D., Manstead, A. S. R., Stradling, S. G., Reason, J. T., & Baxter, J. S. (1992). Intention
486 to committ driving violations - An application of the theory of planned behavior.
487 *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 77(1), 94-101. doi:10.1037//0021-9010.77.1.94

488 Parker, D., Stradling, S. G., & Manstead, A. S. R. (1996). Modifying Beliefs and Attitudes to
489 Exceeding the Speed Limit: An Intervention Study Based on the Theory of Planned
490 Behavior. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 26(1), 1-19. doi:10.1111/j.1559-
491 1816.1996.tb01835.x

492 Pirolli, P., Mohan, S., Venkatakrishnan, A., Nelson, L., Silva, M., & Springer, A. (2017).
493 Implementation Intention and Reminder Effects on Behavior Change in a Mobile
494 Health System: A Predictive Cognitive Model. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*,
495 19(11), e397-e397. doi:10.2196/jmir.8217

496 Rowe, R., Andrews, E., Harris, P. R., Armitage, C. J., McKenna, F. P., & Norman, P. (2016).
497 Identifying beliefs underlying pre-drivers' intentions to take risks: An application of
498 the Theory of Planned Behaviour. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 89, 49-56.
499 doi:10.1016/j.aap.2015.12.024

500 StataCorp. (2013). *Stata Statistical Software: Release 13*. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP.

501 Topham, G. (2019). All new UK cars to have speed limiters by 2022 under EU plans. *The*
502 *Guardian*. Retrieved from [https://www.theguardian.com/world/2019/mar/27/all-](https://www.theguardian.com/world/2019/mar/27/all-new-uk-cars-to-have-speed-limiters-by-2022-under-eu-plans)
503 [new-uk-cars-to-have-speed-limiters-by-2022-under-eu-plans](https://www.theguardian.com/world/2019/mar/27/all-new-uk-cars-to-have-speed-limiters-by-2022-under-eu-plans)

504 Webb, T. L., Joseph, J., Yardley, L., & Michie, S. (2010). Using the Internet to Promote Health
505 Behavior Change: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of the Impact of
506 Theoretical Basis, Use of Behavior Change Techniques, and Mode of Delivery on
507 Efficacy. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 12(1), 18. doi:10.2196/jmir.1376

508 Wilson, C., Willis, C., Hendrikz, J. K., Le Brocque, R., & Bellamy, N. (2010). Speed cameras for
509 the prevention of road traffic injuries and deaths. *Cochrane Database of Systematic*
510 *Reviews*(10). doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004607.pub3

511 World Health Organisation. (2018). *Global status report on road safety 2018*. Retrieved from
512 <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241565684>
513

514 **Appendix A** – ISA description

515 **Intelligent Speed Assistance (ISA)** helps drivers to stay within the speed limit while driving.

516 ISA utilises a camera in the vehicle and a satellite navigation system to assess the current

517 speed limit. If the vehicle exceeds the speed limit the fuel reaching the engine is cut, gently

518 slowing the vehicle until it is travelling within the speed limit. **The driver can override the**

519 **system by applying additional pressure to the accelerator to make the vehicle exceed the**

520 **speed limit.**

521

522 Please imagine that you drive a car fitted with ISA.

523