

This is a repository copy of Cost-effectiveness of lenvatinib compared with sorafenib for the first-line treatment of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma in Australia.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/168918/

Version: Supplemental Material

Article:

Saiyed, M., Byrnes, J., Srivastava, T. orcid.org/0000-0002-5961-9348 et al. (2 more authors) (2020) Cost-effectiveness of lenvatinib compared with sorafenib for the first-line treatment of advanced hepatocellular carcinoma in Australia. Clinical Drug Investigation, 40 (12). pp. 1167-1176. ISSN 1173-2563

https://doi.org/10.1007/s40261-020-00983-7

This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in Clinical Drug Investigation. The final authenticated version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40261-020-00983-7.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/



Figure 1: Partitioned Survival Model with three health states.



Figure 2: One-way sensitivity analysis

PFS Progression-free survival, *OS* overall survival, *PF* Progression-free, PD Progressed disease, *LEN* Lenvatinib, *SOR* Sorafenib



Figure 3: Incremental cost and effectiveness plane