



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *c-Rel orchestrates energy-dependent epithelial and macrophage reprogramming in fibrosis*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/168369/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Leslie, J, Macia, MG, Luli, S et al. (39 more authors) (2020) c-Rel orchestrates energy-dependent epithelial and macrophage reprogramming in fibrosis. *Nature Metabolism*, 2 (11). pp. 1350-1367. ISSN 2522-5812

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s42255-020-00306-2>

Copyright © 2020, The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited. This is an author produced version of a paper published in *Nature Metabolism*. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

1 **c-Rel orchestrates energy-dependant epithelial and macrophage reprogramming in**
2 **fibrosis**

3
4 **Jack Leslie^{1*}**, Marina García Macia¹, Saimir Luli¹, Julie C. Worrell¹, William J Reilly¹,
5 Hannah L Paish¹, Amber Knox¹, Ben S Barksby¹, Lucy M Gee¹, Marco Y.W. Zaki^{1,6}, Amy
6 Collins¹, Rachel A Burgoyne¹, Rainie Cameron¹, Charlotte Bragg¹, Xin Xu¹, Git W Chung²,
7 Colin DA Brown², Andrew D Blanchard³, Carmel B Nanthakumar³, Morten Karsdal⁴, Stuart
8 M Robinson⁵, Derek M Manas⁵, Gourab Sen⁵, Jeremy French⁵, Steven A White⁵, Sandra
9 Murphy¹, Matthias Trost¹, Johannes L Zakrzewski⁷, Ulf Klein⁸, Robert F Schwabe⁹, Ingmar
10 Mederacke¹⁰, Colin Nixon¹¹, Tom Bird^{11,12,13}, Laure-Anne Teuwen^{14,15}, Luc
11 Schoonjans^{14,15}, Peter Carmeliet^{14,15}, Jelena Mann^{1,16}, Andrew J Fisher^{1,17}, Neil S
12 Sheerin¹, Lee A Borthwick^{1,16}, Derek A Mann^{1,16} and Fiona Oakley^{1,16*}.

13
14 ¹ Newcastle Fibrosis Research Group, Bioscience Institute, Faculty of Medical Sciences,
15 Newcastle University, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK.

16 ² Newcells Biotech, The Biosphere, Draymans Lane, Newcastle Helix, Newcastle upon
17 Tyne, NE5 5BX, UK .

18 ³ Fibrosis Discovery Performance Unit, Respiratory Therapy Area, Medicines Research
19 Centre, GlaxoSmithKline R&D, Gunnels Wood Road, Stevenage, SG1 2NY, UK.

20 ⁴ Nordic Bioscience A/S, Biomarkers & Research, Herlev, Denmark.

21 ⁵ Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation
22 Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK.

23 ⁶ Biochemistry Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Minia University, Minia, Egypt.

- 1 ⁷ Center for Discovery and Innovation and John Theurer Cancer Center, Hackensack
2 University Medical Center, Hackensack NJ, USA.
- 3 ⁸ Division of Haematology & Immunology, Leeds Institute of Medical Research at St.
4 James's, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK.
- 5 ⁹ Department of Medicine, Columbia University, New York, NY 10032, USA.
- 6 ¹⁰ Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Endocrinology, Hannover Medical
7 School, Hannover, Germany.
- 8 ¹¹ Cancer Research UK Beatson Institute, Garscube Estate, Switchback Road, Glasgow,
9 G61 1BD, UK.
- 10 ¹² Institute of Cancer Sciences, University of Glasgow, Garscube Estate, Switchback
11 Road, Glasgow, G61 1QH, UK.
- 12 ¹³ MRC Centre for Inflammation Research, The Queen's Medical Research Institute,
13 University of Edinburgh, EH164TJ, UK
- 14 ¹⁴ Laboratory of Angiogenesis and Vascular Metabolism, Center for Cancer Biology, VIB,
15 Leuven, Belgium.
- 16 ¹⁵ Laboratory of Angiogenesis and Vascular Metabolism, Center for Cancer Biology,
17 Department of Oncology and Leuven Cancer Institute (LKI), KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium
- 18 ¹⁶ Fibrofind Ltd, William Leech Building, Medical School, Newcastle University, Newcastle-
19 upon-Tyne, UK.
- 20 ¹⁷ Institute of Transplantation, The Freeman Hospital, High Heaton, Newcastle upon Tyne
21 Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE7 7DN, UK.

22

23 **Corresponding authors: Jack Leslie and Fiona Oakley**

1 **Address for correspondence:** Newcastle Fibrosis Research Group, Bioscience Institute,
2 Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE2 4HH, UK.

3 **Tel** +441912083852 **e-mail** fiona.oakley@newcastle.ac.uk

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1 **Abstract**

2 Fibrosis is a common pathological feature of chronic disease. Deletion of the NF- κ B
3 subunit c-Rel limits fibrosis in multiple organs, although the mechanistic nature of this
4 protection is unresolved. Using cell-specific gene-targeting manipulations in mice
5 undergoing liver damage, we elucidate a critical role for c-Rel in controlling metabolic
6 changes required for inflammatory and fibrogenic activities of hepatocytes and
7 macrophages, and identify Pfkfb3 as the key downstream metabolic mediator of this
8 response. Independent deletions of *Rel* in hepatocytes or macrophages suppressed CCl₄-
9 induced liver fibrosis, while combined deletion had an additive anti-fibrogenic effect. In
10 TGF β 1-induced hepatocytes, c-Rel regulates expression of a profibrogenic secretome
11 comprising inflammatory molecules and CTGF; the latter promoting collagen secretion
12 from hepatic myofibroblasts. Macrophages lacking c-Rel fail to polarise to M1 or M2 states,
13 explaining reduced fibrosis in *Rel* ^{Δ LSM} mice. Pharmacological inhibition of c-Rel attenuated
14 fibrosis in multiple organs in both murine and human fibrosis. In conclusion, activation of
15 cRel/Pfkfb3 in damaged tissue instigates a paracrine signalling network between epithelial,
16 myeloid and mesenchymal cells to stimulate fibrogenesis. Targeting the c-Rel/Pfkfb3 axis
17 has potential for therapeutic applications in fibrotic disease.

1 **Introduction**

2

3 Fibrosis is a pathophysiological response to repeated tissue insults and involves the
4 progressive accumulation of collagen-rich fibril-forming extracellular matrix (ECM). Fibrosis
5 can occur in any solid organ and if unchecked will progressively replace and disrupt
6 normal tissue mass and architecture leading to loss of organ function¹⁻⁴. A vast range of
7 human disease states are associated with fibrosis, affecting all vital organs, moreover the
8 persistence of fibrotic tissue increases the risk of many cancers including breast, lung, liver
9 and pancreas⁵⁻⁷. Fibrosis is also a feature of tissue ageing and conditions associated with
10 regenerative failure (e.g. Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy) where the gradual replacement
11 of functional tissue with fibrotic ECM contributes to frailty, loss of mobility and reduced
12 quality of life⁸⁻¹⁰. The huge clinical burden of fibrosis has stimulated intensive research
13 aimed at the design of anti-fibrotic drugs, this aim has been further stimulated by an
14 increasing body of evidence that fibrosis is highly dynamic and can be manipulated to slow
15 progression, halt or even undergo regression¹. To unlock effective anti-fibrotics it is
16 imperative we identify the underlying molecular drivers of fibrosis and identify fibrosis-
17 mediators that can be developed as pharmacological targets. Of particular interest is the
18 identification of fibrosis-mediators that have a common (or core) mechanism of action
19 across different organs and types of injuries, thus enabling the design of generic anti-
20 fibrotic medicines. Common features of fibrotic tissues are persistent epithelial dysfunction,
21 unresolved inflammation and the progressive activation and proliferation of ECM-
22 expressing myofibroblasts¹¹⁻¹³. These pathological changes are underpinned by complex
23 multi-directional inflammatory and fibrogenic crosstalk between these cellular
24 compartments within the fibrogenic niche. Hence, illuminating factors that drive one or
25 more of these common pathological processes has the potential to reveal targets for the
26 design of generic anti-fibrotic therapies.

1

2 The NF- κ B family of transcription factors (RelA/p65, RelB, c-Rel, p50 and p52) are best
3 known for their functions in the immune system and as mediators of inflammation^{14–17}.
4 However, the NF- κ B proteins participate in broader cellular functions that include the
5 control of cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, migration, adhesion and senescence,
6 all of which are implicated in fibrogenesis^{18–21}. Importantly, while each of the NF- κ B
7 subunits recognise a common core κ B DNA binding motif, the transcriptional and
8 physiological consequences of DNA binding differ between the subunits²². As an example,
9 mice lacking RelA/p65 die during embryogenesis due to massive hepatocyte apoptosis, by
10 contrast mice lacking any one of the other four subunits undergo normal embryonic
11 development and are viable^{23–25}. Although the subunits share common structural features
12 such as the Rel homology domain and a nuclear localisation sequence, they have quite
13 distinct primary amino acid sequences and can interact differentially with a range of
14 transcriptional co-factors to bring about differential gene expression^{14,18}. There is also
15 accumulating evidence for context and cell-specific functions for the NF- κ B subunits, some
16 of which are controlled by specific post-translational modifications, in particular dynamic
17 phosphorylation and acetylation events^{18,26}.

18

19 c-Rel, which is encoded by the REL gene in humans, is an NF- κ B activator of gene
20 transcription. c-Rel can promote a permissive state for transcription not only through its
21 interaction with κ B motifs, but also via its regulation of the histone methyltransferase EZH2
22 ^{27,28}, the latter recently identified as a profibrogenic regulator in models of liver disease²⁹.
23 We previously described that c-Rel is upregulated in chronic disease and functions as a
24 core pro-fibrogenic factor, based on our observations that c-Rel-deficient (*Rel*^{-/-}) mice are
25 protected from fibrosis in liver, heart and skin injury models^{30–33}. However, the cellular
26 context and the mechanisms by which c-Rel promotes fibrosis are poorly defined and

evidence is currently lacking that pharmacological targeting of c-Rel can safely and effectively modulate fibrosis in the context of chronic injury.

Here we report that c-Rel operates as an essential transcriptional switch for metabolic reprogramming that is required for energy-dependent phenotypic transitions occurring in epithelial cells and macrophages in response to tissue injury. These phenotypic transitions are shown to be important for inflammatory functions and the activation of fibrogenic signalling networks to promote tissue fibrosis. Hence, c-Rel unexpectedly emerges as a metabolic regulator of tissue fibrosis and a rationale target for the development of antifibrotics. We validate this proposal by demonstrating that pharmacological targeting of c-Rel with a selective small molecule inhibitor prevents fibrosis and promotes normal tissue regeneration. Our data therefore set the scene for the design of targeted c-Rel inhibitors as anti-fibrotic agents for use across multiple organs and disease processes.

Results

c-Rel regulates the epithelial response to damage

Examination of the expression of c-Rel in fibrotic human liver, kidney and lung revealed a previously unreported upregulation of the NF- κ B transcription factor in epithelial cells of all three tissues (**Figure 1a and Supplementary Figure 1**). Enhanced c-Rel expression was common in chronic liver, lung and kidney diseases and in the latter positively correlated with disease progression (**Figure 1b, Extended data Figure 1a and 1b**). Epithelial damage is often an initiating event for triggering wound repair and in the context of an acute injury is resolved by epithelial regeneration^{1,34}. To determine the role of epithelial c-Rel in acute wound healing in the liver, we generated *Rel*^{ΔA/b} mice in which the transcription factor is selectively deleted in hepatocytes and cholangiocytes (**Extended data Figure 1c**). As the founder *Rel*^{fl/fl} line was genetically engineered to express GFP

1 upon Cre-mediated recombination we were able to use flow cytometry of isolated cells to
2 confirm epithelial-targeted recombination (**Figure 1c**). *Rel^{fl/fl}* and *Rel^{ΔAlb}* mice were
3 subjected to acute liver injury with the hepatotoxin carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄).
4 Immunohistochemical staining for c-Rel confirmed increased expression and nuclear
5 localisation in hepatocytes of CCl₄ injured *Rel^{fl/fl}* mice compared to controls. As anticipated,
6 c-Rel was absent in hepatocytes of CCl₄ injured *Rel^{ΔAlb}* mice, whereas strong
7 immunoreactivity was detected in infiltrating immune cells (**Figure 1d**). Histology of
8 damaged *Rel^{fl/fl}* (wild type) liver revealed increased numbers of α-SMA+ myofibroblasts
9 (**Figure 1e**) which correlated with inflammatory gene expression (**Extended data Figure**
10 **1d**). These responses were blunted in CCl₄-injured *Rel^{ΔAlb}* mice despite the liver damage
11 markers ALT and AST being at similar levels to *Rel^{fl/fl}* controls (**Supplementary Table 1**).
12 We next asked if deletion of c-Rel impacts on hepatocellular regeneration following CCl₄-
13 injury and found enhanced numbers of proliferative hepatocytes in damaged *Rel^{ΔAlb}* liver
14 relative to *Rel^{fl/fl}* control (**Figure 1f**). Of note, cell-specific deletion of c-Rel in hepatic
15 myofibroblast (HM, *Rel^{ΔLrat}* mice) did not affect the extent of liver injury or the acute wound
16 healing response (**Extended data Figure 1e, Supplementary Table 1**). We conclude that
17 injury-induced activation of c-Rel in the hepatic epithelium promotes a profibrogenic
18 phenotype. Liver damage impacts on hepatocytes in multiple ways including triggering
19 cellular stress responses, stimulation of apoptosis or senescence and secretion of pro-
20 inflammatory and pro-fibrogenic mediators to mount an effective wound healing
21 response^{12,35}. Indeed, epithelial cells have been proposed as critical orchestrators of
22 immune and inflammatory events following tissue stress and damage³⁶. We therefore
23 hypothesised that the upregulation of c-Rel we observed in the hepatic epithelium of
24 damaged human liver (**Figure 1a and b**) may control hepatocyte plasticity to promote
25 proinflammatory and profibrogenic phenotypes under disease conditions.

26

1 To test this hypothesis we first measured the secretion of several pro-inflammatory
2 cytokines and chemokines in primary cultures of WT and *Rel*^{-/-} hepatocytes exposed to the
3 classic inflammatory trigger IL-1 β (**Figure 2a**). As expected, WT Hepatocytes mounted a
4 robust inflammatory response to IL-1 β challenge, but by contrast *Rel*^{-/-} hepatocytes were
5 defective for induction of Il-6, Cxcl1, Cxcl2, Ccl3 and Ccl5. These data suggest that
6 activation of c-Rel facilitates the acquisition of an inflammatory hepatocellular phenotype. To
7 confirm a pivotal role for hepatocellular c-Rel *in vivo* we determined the effects of
8 hepatocyte-specific deletion of the *Rel* gene on the inflammatory response to acute toxic
9 damage by CCl₄. Hepatocyte-targeted deletion of *Rel* (*Rel* ^{Δ hep}) was achieved by delivery of
10 AAV8-TBG-Cre to the livers of *Rel*^{fl/fl} mice and confirmed by c-Rel immunohistochemical
11 staining of the acute CCl₄ injured livers (**Extended data Figure 2a**). Neutrophil and
12 macrophage recruitment in response to CCl₄ damage was blunted in *Rel* ^{Δ hep} livers as was
13 the induction of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines (**Figure 2b and Extended**
14 **data Figure 2b**). Induction of a robust inflammatory response and immune cell recruitment
15 following injury is critical to drive fibrogenesis^{37,38}. These data indicate c-Rel is a regulator
16 of the hepatocyte phenotype and contributes to fibrogenesis via regulation of damage-
17 induced reprogramming to a pro-inflammatory state.

18

19 TGF β 1 is expressed by macrophages and activated myofibroblasts in response to tissue
20 injury and is a key mediator of wound healing and fibrogenesis³⁹. In addition, TGF β 1
21 modulates epithelial homeostasis and in the liver can influence hepatocyte apoptosis,
22 senescence, regeneration and inflammation. As these processes are also under the
23 control of NF- κ B, it was of interest to determine the extent to which c-Rel regulates the
24 response of hepatocytes to TGF β 1 stimulation. To this end we determined the secretome
25 of TGF β 1-stimulated cultured hepatocytes, using a targeted Meso Scale Discovery (MSD)
26 screen for the detection of inflammatory molecules and an unbiased proteomics analysis

1 for detection of epithelial and fibrogenic proteins. MSD analysis revealed that similar to IL-
2 1 β challenge, exposure of WT hepatocytes to TGF β 1 stimulates the secretion of several
3 classic inflammatory cytokines, of which Il-6, Cxcl1, Cxcl2, Ccl3, Ccl4 and Ccl5 responses
4 were significantly suppressed in *Rel* deleted hepatocytes (**Figure 2c**). While TGF β is best
5 known for its strong anti-inflammatory effects, these data, in conjunction with previous
6 reports reveal TGF β 1-dependent inflammatory phenotypes in hepatocytes^{40–44}. This
7 suggests a dichotomous role for TGF β in hepatocytes, which is in line with its context-
8 dependent dampening or promotion of immune responses⁴⁵. To corroborate the role of c-
9 Rel in TGF β 1-induced epithelial inflammation we examined responses in primary renal
10 proximal tubular cells (PTEC). TGF β 1 stimulation induced enhanced gene expression of Il-
11 6, Cxcl1, Cxcl2, Ccl2, Ccl4 and Ccl5, all of which were attenuated in c-Rel-deficient PTECs
12 (**Extended data Figure 2c**).

13
14 Proteomic analysis of WT hepatocyte media revealed that TGF β 1 challenge altered the
15 secretion of 321 different proteins, confirming a phenotypic reprogramming of these cells
16 in response to fibrogenic stimuli (**Figure 2d**). To determine whether c-Rel signalling was
17 important in modulating the secretome of TGF β 1 stressed hepatocytes, we directly
18 compared differentially regulated proteins detected in the secretome of WT hepatocytes
19 after TGF β 1 stimulation with the secretome of *Rel*^{-/-} hepatocytes after TGF β 1 challenge.
20 Comparison of these two datasets revealed 125 differentially secreted proteins regulated
21 by TGF β 1 challenge, of which 55 were dependent on c-Rel for their response to TGF β 1
22 (**Figure 2d and e**). Proteins secreted at enhanced levels in a c-Rel-dependent manner
23 included the fibrogenic factors bone morphometric protein 1 (BMP1), connective tissue
24 growth factor (CTGF), cathepsin D (CTSD) and serpine 1 (**Extended data Figure 2d**)^{46–}
25 ⁵⁰, these observations leading us to hypothesise that c-Rel signalling in hepatocytes
26 promotes the secretion of profibrogenic factors. Hepatocytes have been described as a

1 source of CTGF in the fibrotic niche and this growth factor has a plethora of fibrogenic
2 actions including myofibroblast activation, extracellular matrix secretion, tissue
3 remodelling and angiogenesis^{51,52}. Immunohistochemical staining confirmed that CTGF
4 was highly expressed in hepatocytes and HM of acute CCl₄ injured *Rel^{fl/fl}* mice and this
5 induction was suppressed specifically in *Rel^{Ahep}* hepatocytes, this confirming regulation of
6 epithelial-derived CTGF expression by c-Rel (**Figure 2f**). To investigate the role of CTGF
7 downstream of c-Rel, we performed a rescue experiment by supplementing the media of
8 TGFβ1-stimulated *Rel^{-/-}* precision cut liver slices (PCLS) with recombinant CTGF. Soluble
9 collagen release was blunted in TGFβ1 stimulated *Rel^{-/-}* PCLS, but consistent with our
10 hypothesis, *Rel^{-/-}* PCLS exposed to exogenous CTGF restored their soluble collagen
11 production to levels comparable with TGFβ1 stimulated WT PCLS (**Extended data Figure**
12 **2e**). On the basis of these data we propose that paracrine activation of epithelial c-Rel
13 stimulates expression of a pro-inflammatory and pro-fibrogenic secretome, important for
14 the initiation of hepatic inflammation and wound repair.

15

16

17 **c-Rel controls a glycolytic switch required for epithelial reprogramming and fibrosis**

18 Phenotype reprogramming, inflammation and fibrogenesis are energy dependent
19 processes, requiring underlying metabolic changes to support transcriptional and post-
20 transcriptional alterations in gene expression^{53–55}. Seahorse analysis revealed that both
21 glycolytic rate and mitochondrial respiration were suppressed in TGFβ1 stimulated *Rel^{-/-}*
22 hepatocytes relative to controls (**Figure 2g and Extended data Figure 3a**). To determine
23 the mechanistic basis for this observation we examined expression of the glycolytic
24 enzymes 6-phosphofructo-2-kinase/fructose-2,6-bisphosphatase-1 and -3 (Pfkfb1 and
25 Pfkfb3), the former acting to reduce glycolytic rate while the latter promotes glycolytic
26 flux⁵⁶. Pfkfb3 expression was elevated in response to chronic CCl₄ liver injury and was

1 most notably present within hepatocytes (**Figure 2h**). TGF β 1 increased *Pfkfb3* transcript
2 levels in WT hepatocytes, by contrast this response was impaired in *Rel*^{-/-} hepatocytes
3 (**Figure 2i**). *Pfkfb1* expression in WT hepatocytes was unaffected by TGF β 1 stimulation,
4 however *Pfkfb1* mRNA levels were lower in *Rel*^{-/-} hepatocytes relative to WT hepatocytes
5 (**Extended data Figure 3b**). *Pfkfb3* transcription was also induced in response to classical
6 inflammatory signals in WT hepatocytes, however this response was impaired in *Rel*^{-/-}
7 hepatocytes (**Extended data Figure 3c**). *In silico* analysis of the *Pfkfb1* and *Pfkfb3*
8 promoters predicted potential for recruitment of c-Rel based on the presence of multiple
9 putative κ B binding sites in proximal and distal promoter regions of both genes (**Extended**
10 **data Figure 3d**). ChIP assays confirmed the recruitment of c-Rel to the distal and proximal
11 sites of the *Pfkfb3* promoter upon stimulation with TGF β 1 (**Extended data Figure 3e**).
12 Consistent with gene expression data, c-Rel binding at the *Pfkfb1* promoter was
13 independent of TGF β 1 (**Extended data Figure 3e**).
14

15 From these data we hypothesised that the proinflammatory and profibrogenic effects of c-
16 Rel activation in hepatocytes is dependent upon induction of *Pfkfb3* expression and an
17 increased glycolytic flux. To test this idea we generated hepatocyte-targeted knockouts of
18 *Pfkfb3* (*Pfkfb3* ^{Δ hep}) by administration of AAV8-TBG-Cre in *Pfkfb3*^{fl/fl} mice (**Extended data**
19 **Figure 3f**). Hepatocytes isolated from *Pfkfb3* ^{Δ hep} livers failed to undergo TGF β 1-induced
20 enhanced lactate production and a concomitant reduction in media glucose that was
21 observed in control *Pfkfb3*^{fl/fl} hepatocytes (**Extended data Figure 3g**). To determine the
22 consequences of this metabolic defect *in vivo*, *Pfkfb3* ^{Δ hep} and control *Pfkfb3*^{fl/fl} mice were
23 subject to acute injury with CCl₄. Despite comparable levels of damage, *Pfkfb3* ^{Δ hep} livers
24 were impaired for recruitment of neutrophils and macrophages, and in addition displayed
25 reduced numbers of α SMA+ myofibroblasts (**Supplementary Table 1 and Figure 2j**).
26 Consistent with these histological observations, hepatic inflammatory and fibrogenic gene

expression was suppressed in CCl₄-injured *Pfkfb3*^{Δhep} mice relative to *Pfkfb3*^{fl/fl} controls (**Figure 2k**). A role for Pfkfb3 in fueling the energetic requirements for an hepatocellular phenotypic switch was further consolidated by *in vitro* experiments in which TGFβ1 treated hepatocytes isolated from *Pfkfb3*^{Δhep} mice failed to induce the robust inflammatory response observed in relative *Pfkfb3*^{fl/fl} controls (**Extended data Figure 3h**). In addition, hepatocyte deletion of *Pfkfb3* suppressed induction of CTGF (**Extended data Figure 3i**). These results were further validated by treatment of WT hepatocytes with a small molecule Pfkfb3 inhibitor which blocked TGFβ1-induced secretion of inflammatory cytokines, chemokines (**Extended data Figure 3j**) and CTGF (**Extended data Figure 3k**).

Of note, we additionally observed c-Rel-dependent upregulation of the transcription factor *Snail* in the nucleus of hepatocytes of CCl₄ damaged livers and tubular cells of UUO injured kidneys as well as TGFβ1-stimulated hepatocyte and proximal tubule cell cultures (**Extended data Figure 4a-e**). ChIP assays confirmed c-Rel is recruited to proximal and distal regions of the *Snail* promoter which contains multiple NF-κB binding sites (**Extended data Figure 4f**). Snail is known for its role in epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT), a developmental process that promotes progression of cancers. While EMT clearly does not directly contribute to the generation of fibroblasts in the liver or kidney as show by elegant lineage tracing studies^{57,58}, it has been suggested that reprogramming of hepatocytes or renal epithelial cells, also described as “partial EMT”, without directly contributing to the myfibroblast population can modulate fibrosis as shown by epithelial Snail1 deletion in renal and liver fibrosis^{59,60}. Interestingly, Snail suppresses the expression of fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase (FBP1), a key enzyme gluconeogenesis⁶¹. Hence, c-Rel may promote glycolysis and epithelial reprogramming through combined direct regulation of Pfkfb3 and indirect Snail-mediated repression of FBP1.

To determine if metabolic control of epithelial reprogramming by c-Rel is relevant in the context of a chronic tissue injury we determined the effects of selective hepatocellular deletion of c-Rel in the chronic CCl₄ injury model. Using this model, liver fibrosis was compared between *Rel^{fl/fl}* and *Rel^{ΔAlb}* genotypes and we also included a myeloid-specific deletion of Rel (*Rel^{ΔLysM}*) for further comparison. Morphometric analysis (**Figure 3a**) of Picrosirius red (collagen) and αSMA stained liver sections (**Extended data Figure 5a**) evidenced a suppression of fibrosis in *Rel^{ΔAlb}* compared to *Rel^{fl/fl}* mice, this confirming a requirement of epithelial c-Rel for optimal fibrogenesis. However, as also shown in **Figure 3a**, a similar protective response was also seen in *Rel^{ΔLysM}* mice, this raising the potential for an unexpected profibrogenic role of c-Rel in macrophages. Of note, liver damage, as assessed by elevated serum ALT and AST, was comparable in all three genotypes (**Supplementary Table 1**).

c-Rel is required for macrophage polarisation

High power images revealed nuclear expression of c-Rel in macrophages of fibrotic human liver, kidney and lung (**Figure 3b and Extended data Figure 5b**). As functions for c-Rel in macrophages are poorly defined, we asked if a deficiency of c-Rel impacts on macrophage differentiation. Remarkably, both M1 and M2 differentiation were defective in c-Rel-deficient bone marrow-derived macrophages (**Figure 3c-d and Extended data Figure 5c**). We next investigated if the impaired polarisation of c-Rel-deficient bone marrow-derived macrophages was associated with a failure of metabolic switches required for these macrophage polarisation processes. Assays for glycolytic rate and mitochondrial respiration revealed that *Rel^{-/-}* macrophages are defective for increased respiration associated with M2 differentiation and for enhanced glycolysis associated with M1 differentiation (**Figure 3e and Extended data Figure 5d**). *Pfkfb1* and *Pfkfb3* are required for M2 and M1 states respectively^{56,62} and were expressed at diminished levels in *Rel^{-/-}*

macrophages differentiated to these functional states (**Figure 3f**). ChIP assays indicated the enrichment of c-Rel at the proximal promoter of *Pfkfb1* in M2 macrophages (with no c-Rel binding in M0 or M1), while conversely c-Rel recruitment to the *Pfkfb3* proximal promoter was only detected in M1 macrophages (**Extended data Figure 5e**). We conclude that c-Rel orchestrates metabolic reprogramming required for macrophage polarisation, this explaining the protection of *Rel^{ΔLysM}* mice from fibrosis.

c-Rel combines in epithelial and macrophage compartments to promote fibrosis

Epithelial cells and macrophages extensively crosstalk during wound healing to bring about effective inflammatory and regenerative responses³⁹. We therefore asked the degree to which c-Rel is required for epithelial-macrophage signalling crosstalk. To address this, *Rel^{fl/fl}*, *Rel^{ΔAlb}* and *Rel^{ΔLysM}* mice were acutely injured with CCl₄ prior to isolation of macrophages and hepatocytes during the inflammatory or resolution phases of wound healing (**Figure 4a**). Hepatic recruitment and polarisation of macrophages was as expected in acute CCl₄ injured *Rel^{fl/fl}* mice but significantly impaired in *Rel^{ΔLysM}* mice (**Figure 4b-c**). Less expected was that recruitment and polarisation of inflammatory macrophages isolated from CCl₄ injured *Rel^{ΔAlb}* mice was also diminished (**Figure 4b-c**). We next asked if c-Rel signalling in macrophages is required for hepatocyte inflammatory reprogramming. Hepatocytes isolated from CCl₄ injured *Rel^{fl/fl}* mice confirmed the anticipated expression of inflammatory genes which was suppressed in CCl₄ injured *Rel^{ΔAlb}* mice (**Figure 4d**). Similarly, expression of inflammatory markers was reduced in hepatocytes from *Rel^{ΔLysM}* mice, indicating that monocyte/macrophage c-Rel is critical for hepatocytes to adopt a proinflammatory phenotype (**Figure 4d**). Normal wound healing and aberrant tissue fibrosis are governed by multi-directional cellular communication between epithelial cells, macrophages and fibroblasts/myofibroblasts within the wound healing niche. To evaluate the role of c-Rel signalling in hepatocytes and/or macrophages on hepatic stellate

1 cell (HSC) activation, we cultured freshly isolated HSC with conditioned media (CM)
2 collected from either WT or *Rel*^{-/-} hepatocytes or M1 or M2 polarised macrophages. HSC
3 activation, as measured by α SMA expression and cellular morphology, was accelerated
4 for HSC exposed to CM from TGF β 1-stimulated WT hepatocytes or WT M2 macrophages
5 but not WT M1 macrophages. Consistent with an attenuation of fibrogenic responses *in*
6 *vivo*, CM from *Rel*^{-/-} hepatocytes or M2 polarised macrophages failed to stimulate HSC
7 activation (**Figure 4e-f**).

8 The fibrogenic properties of TGF β 1-stimulated hepatocytes can be at least in-part
9 explained by their secretion of factors such as BMP1, CTGF, CTSD and Serpin 1 (**Figure**
10 **2c and Extended data Figure 2d**). A similar proteomic analysis was performed on the
11 secretome of cultured WT and *Rel*^{-/-} M0, M1 and M2 polarised macrophages which
12 identified Galectin 1, Galectin 3, vimentin and MMP12 as profibrogenic factors that are
13 expressed at significantly lower levels in the media of *Rel*^{-/-} M2 polarised macrophages
14 compared with WT (**Extended data Figure 5f-g**). Collectively, these data reveal a
15 complex signalling network between hepatocytes, macrophages and HM, of which c-Rel
16 signalling in both hepatocytes and macrophages is critical for the robust activation of HM
17 and to evoke a fibrogenic response (**Figure 4g**).

18
19 To determine the generality of requirement of epithelial and myeloid c-Rel for fibrogenesis
20 we generated mice in which c-Rel was selectively deleted in kidney (*Rel* ^{Δ TEC}) or lung
21 epithelium (*Rel* ^{Δ AEC}) by retrograde ureteric injection or intratracheal administration
22 respectively, of an AAV9-CMV-Cre. Flow cytometry of isolated cells as well as *ex vivo*
23 fluorescence imaging of whole organs confirmed epithelial-targeted recombination and
24 expression of GFP (**Extended data Figure 6a-c**). We then employed the unilateral
25 ureteric obstruction (UUO) and bleomycin models of chronic kidney and lung damage to
26 compare response in epithelial, myeloid (*Rel* ^{Δ LysM}) and control backgrounds. Picrosirius

red, α SMA staining and fibrogenic gene expression revealed similar protective effects of epithelial- or myeloid-targeted knockout of *Rel* in both the kidney and lung (**Figure 5a-b and Extended data Figure 6d**). In the lung we also observed a significant decrease in hydroxyproline levels in *Rel* ^{Δ AEC} and *Rel* ^{Δ LysM} mice despite comparable levels of tissue injury and cell death (**Extended data Figure 6e-f**). Noteworthy was that inflammatory infiltrates and markers were also reduced in the damaged *Rel* ^{Δ TEC} kidney and *Rel* ^{Δ AEC} lungs compared with controls, this supporting our proposal that c-Rel regulates inflammatory programming of the damaged epithelium (**Extended data Figure 6g-h**).

Dual hepatocyte and macrophage *Rel* deletion enhances suppression of fibrosis

To determine the physiological impact of perturbation of combined c-Rel signalling in hepatocytes and macrophages we established an experimental protocol for dual *in vivo* knockout of c-Rel (**Figure 6a**). In this experiment, *Rel*^{*fl/fl*} and *Rel* ^{Δ LysM} mice were transduced with an AAV8-TBG-Cre virus to generate hepatocyte knockout either alone (*Rel* ^{Δ Hep}) or in combination with macrophage specific deletion of c-Rel (*Rel* ^{Δ Hep/ Δ LysM}). GFP expression in hepatocytes and macrophages from these lines confirmed the anticipated genotypes (**Extended data Figure 7a-b**). We then subjected *Rel*^{*fl/fl*}, *Rel* ^{Δ Hep}, *Rel* ^{Δ LysM} and *Rel* ^{Δ Hep/ Δ LysM} to chronic CCl₄ liver damage for 8 weeks to induce fibrosis. Liver injury (elevated serum transaminases) was comparable in all four genotypes (**Supplementary Table 1**). As previously shown in **Figure 3a**, deletion of c-Rel in either hepatocytes or macrophages suppressed fibrosis as determined by quantification of Picrosirius red and α SMA stained liver sections as well as fibrogenic gene expression (**Figure 6b-c and Extended data Figure 7c**). Fibrosis and myofibroblast accumulation were further reduced in *Rel* ^{Δ Hep/ Δ LysM} mice indicative of an additive protective effect, consolidating our hypothesis that c-Rel signalling is required in both cellular compartments for induction of a robust fibrogenic response. By also quantifying CD68+ monocyte/macrophages we were able to

show that underlying the dual protective effect of *Rel* ^{Δ Hep/ Δ LysM} knockout on fibrosis was an enhanced suppression of inflammation compared with *Rel* ^{Δ Hep} and *Rel* ^{Δ LysM} livers (**Figure 6d**).

Hepatocyte regeneration is subject to cell-specific regulation by c-Rel

Quantification of numbers of PCNA positive hepatocytes in chronic CCl₄ injured livers again confirmed the stimulatory effects of hepatocyte-targeted deletion of c-Rel (**Figure 6e**). But noteworthy was a suppression of hepatocyte proliferation in *Rel* ^{Δ LysM} mice relative to *Rel*^{fl/fl} controls. Moreover, in combined *Rel* ^{Δ Hep/ Δ LysM} knockouts numbers of proliferative hepatocytes were similar to those in *Rel*^{fl/fl} mice but intermediate between the measurements for *Rel* ^{Δ Hep} and *Rel* ^{Δ LysM} livers (**Figure 6e**). To investigate these apparently contradictory observations, we performed a 70% partial hepatectomy in *Rel* ^{Δ Alb} and *Rel* ^{Δ LysM} mice. Consistent with data from acute toxic liver injury (**Figure 1f**), hepatocyte proliferation was significantly increased in regenerating *Rel* ^{Δ Alb} livers, however by contrast we observed suppressed hepatocyte proliferation in regenerating *Rel* ^{Δ LysM} livers (**Extended data Figure 8a-b**). Expression of cell cycle genes and the mitogenic factors *HGF* and *EGF* were elevated in the regenerating livers of *Rel* ^{Δ Alb} mice, however these mitogenic responses were suppressed in *Rel* ^{Δ LysM} mice (**Extended data Figure 8c**), these data being consistent with the regenerative phenotypes observed. These data support previous observations that hepatocyte regeneration is determined by signalling crosstalk from parenchymal and non-parenchymal cells and indicate cell-specific influences for c-Rel, with suppressive effects in hepatocytes and stimulatory properties in macrophages. Of note, we have previously reported that global deletion of c-Rel causes defective hepatocyte proliferation, this likely to reflect pro-regenerative functions for the NF- κ B subunit in other resident non-parenchymal liver cells and infiltrating immune cells⁶³.

1 **Pharmacological inhibition of c-Rel suppresses fibrosis**

2 The data described above led us to investigate the therapeutic potential of targeting of c-
3 Rel using the small molecule inhibitor IT-603⁶⁴. We began by showing that IT-603
4 selectively inhibits transcription from an NF- κ B reporter construct co-expressed with a c-
5 Rel expression vector, but of note the inhibitor had no effect on RelA-stimulated NF- κ B
6 activity (**Extended data Figure 9a**). We next determined the effects of intraperitoneal
7 administration of IT-603 in models of acute liver (CCl₄), chronic kidney (UUO) and chronic
8 lung (bleomycin) damage. In all three models, IT-603 suppressed fibrogenesis
9 characterised either by Picrosirius red stained collagen or histological examination of
10 α SMA stained tissues (**Figure 7a-c and Extended data Figure 9b-c**). To evaluate the
11 anti-fibrotic potential for c-Rel inhibition in established disease, IT-603 was administered
12 therapeutically in the methionine choline deficient diet (MCD) model of steatosis-induced
13 liver fibrosis. In addition, effects of IT-603 were determined in pre-established and
14 progressive chronic CCl₄-induced liver injury. In both models, ongoing hepatic fibrogenesis
15 was significantly reduced by therapeutic intervention with IT-603 (**Figure 7d-e, Extended**
16 **data Figure 9d-g**), this despite comparable levels of liver injury (**Supplementary Table 1**).

17
18 To translate these findings to humans we assessed the therapeutic effects of IT-603 in
19 precision cut tissue slices (PCS). PCS cultures were established from the undamaged liver
20 and kidney which were stimulated with TGF β 1 to induce fibrosis (**Extended data Figure**
21 **10a**)⁶⁵. Of note, resident macrophages were present in the appropriate anatomical location
22 in cultured PCS from both organs (**Extended data Figure 10b**). Remarkably, IT-603
23 ameliorated TGF β 1-induced fibrosis (Picrosirius red) and myofibroblast activation (α SMA
24 positivity) in liver and kidney PCS (**Figure 8a-b and Extended data Figure 10c-d**).
25 Quantification of soluble collagen 1a1 protein and the pro-fibrotic neo-epitope pro-C3⁶⁶, in
26 the PCS media confirmed the potent anti-fibrotic properties of IT-603 in both human

tissues (**Figure 8c-d and Extended data Figure 10e-f**). Moreover, these dramatic anti-fibrotic actions occurred in the absence of any obvious cytotoxicity (**Figure 8e and Extended data Figure 10g**). We conclude that pharmacological targeting of c-Rel with IT-603 is potentially anti-fibrotic both in animal and human models of chronic tissue damage.

Discussion

Fibrogenesis is an active and energy dependent process characterised by dynamic reprogramming of the phenotype and functions of multiple cell types. The concept of a “glycolytic switch” being required for cells to achieve a phenotypic change has emerged from a growing body of literature from investigators studying cell differentiation in a variety of cell lineages including T cells, dendritic cells and neurons^{67–70}. In the context of fibrosis a role for metabolic reprogramming is also beginning to emerge, with recent reports that glycolysis inhibitors can suppress fibrosis in models of lung and renal damage^{71–73}. However, the mechanisms for control of the glycolytic switch in wound healing and fibrosis are not well defined. Here we reveal that damage-induced activation of c-Rel in the liver stimulates expression of the glycolytic regulator Pfkfb3 in both hepatocytes and macrophages. In the absence of c-Rel/Pfkfb3 neither hepatocytes or macrophages are able to adopt a profibrogenic phenotypic state. Hence, the simultaneous targeted deletion of c-Rel in both of these cellular compartments was found to result in profound suppression of liver fibrosis. By genetically perturbing c-Rel/Pfkfb3 signalling in epithelial and macrophages we have illuminated a complex multicellular and multidirectional paracrine signalling network that drives progression of fibrosis in both the liver and kidney.

Our model for c-Rel/Pfkfb3 control of fibrosis proposes that it is required for hepatocytes to adopt an activated phenotype whereby they express a cytokine-rich secretome that promotes the fibrogenic activities of macrophages and activated HSC (**Figure 4g**).

1 Recently, single cell RNAseq analysis of human liver identified six transcriptionally distinct
2 hepatocyte populations, of which one cluster displayed a distinct inflammatory and
3 fibrogenic state⁷⁴. Similarly in a mouse model of cholestatic liver injury, scRNA-seq
4 analysis identified four hepatocyte clusters directly linked to inflammatory processes⁷⁵. We
5 have demonstrated that TGF β 1 is likely to be pivotal for amplification of these hepatocyte
6 phenotypes in the fibrogenic milieu. TGF β 1 is produced by activated macrophages and
7 HSC and we have confirmed that it stimulates hepatocytes to secrete a variety of
8 cytokines with the ability to promote paracrine positive feedback stimulation to both
9 macrophages and HSC. We have shown how c-Rel is required for these TGF β 1-induced
10 responses including hepatocyte secretion of CTGF, which is well known for its ability to
11 enhance collagen production by activated HSC^{76–78}. To note, CTGF has previously been
12 described to be produced by hepatocytes by an incompletely defined TGF β 1-dependent
13 mechanism^{79–81}. Our work now highlights a critical role for c-Rel for TGF β 1 stimulation of
14 hepatocytes. We propose that the combined activation of the c-Rel/Pfkfb3 metabolic axis
15 in macrophages and hepatocytes maintains a network of paracrine signals that perpetuate
16 inflammation and myofibroblast collagen production in the non-healing tissue
17 microenvironment.

18
19 An extensive literature describes the role of classical canonical NF- κ B (RelA/p50)
20 signalling in tissue fibrosis¹⁴. Several independent research groups, including our own,
21 have reported that global inhibition of canonical NF- κ B inhibits fibrosis across multiple
22 organs and disease models^{30,31,82–84}. Canonical NF- κ B is critically dependent on its
23 upstream kinase IKK β , the latter once being a major focus for drug development^{85,86}. We
24 have previously described how IKK β inhibitors inhibit liver fibrosis and promote its
25 regression by stimulating apoptosis of myofibroblasts^{87,88}. However, IKK β inhibition is
26 associated with significant toxicities, these in-part reflecting the essential role of canonical

NF- κ B signalling in immunity and epithelial cell survival^{89–92}. There is also concern over the non-NF- κ B targets of IKK β inhibitors which makes this approach less specific than originally anticipated⁹³. In contrast to the extensive pharmacological investigation of IKK β , drug targeting of the non-classical NF- κ B transcription factors (c-Rel and RelB) has received surprisingly little attention⁸⁵. Mice lacking c-Rel are viable and despite reports of functions for c-Rel in T cell development have a functional and healthy immune system without signs of autoimmune disease²⁷. Hence, c-Rel emerges from our work as a promising new pharmacological target for the design of anti-fibrotic strategies. That rationale is strengthened by the recent discovery of molecules with specificity for inhibition of c-Rel DNA binding and transcriptional activity including the thiohydantoin IT-603 used in our studies and the naphthalenethiobarbituate IT-901^{64,94}. Our finding that IT-603 is a potent anti-fibrotic in human as well as murine pre-clinical models of liver and kidney fibrosis adds to the therapeutic opportunities for these molecules, which also includes cancer and transplantation^{94–96}. Moreover, by defining the molecular mechanisms by which c-Rel stimulates fibrosis we provide a strong justification for further pre-clinical development of small molecule inhibitors of c-Rel and its downstream metabolic mediator Pfkfb3 for the prevention and treatment of tissue fibrosis.

Methods

Human Biopsies

Collection and use of human tissue was ethically approved The North East - Newcastle and North Tyneside 1 research committee. Human kidney tissue from surgical resections was obtained under full ethical approval (REC 13/EM/0311) and patient consent. Normal human kidney tissue was obtained from patients undergoing surgical resection. Renal

1 biopsies were obtained from patients diagnosed with either focal segmented
2 glomerulosclerosis (FSGS) or diabetic nephropathy.

3 Human liver tissue from surgical resections were obtained under full ethical approval
4 (H10/H0906/41) and through the CEPA biobank (17/NE/0070) and used subject to
5 patients written consent. Liver disease cohort consisted of patients diagnosed with
6 alcoholic liver disease, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, non-alcoholic steatohepatitis and
7 primary biliary cirrhosis. Control human liver tissue was collected from patients undergoing
8 cancer surgical resections.

9 Diseased human lung tissue was collected from patients undergoing either double or
10 single lung transplants under full ethical approval (REC 11/NE/0291) and informed written
11 consent from all study patients. Control human lung tissue was obtained from unused
12 transplant lungs under full ethical approvals and informed consent from both donor
13 families and lung transplant recipients (REC 11/NE/0342).

16 **Mice**

17 All animal experiments were approved by the Newcastle Ethical Review Committee and
18 performed under a UK Home Office licence in accordance with the ARRIVE guidelines.
19 Experiments using *Pfkfb3*^{fl/fl} mice⁹⁷ were performed collaboratively in the laboratory of
20 Peter Carmeliet (Leuven) and approved by the Newcastle Ethical Review Committee and
21 the Animal Ethics Committee of KULeuven. Mice were housed in pathogen-free
22 conditions and kept under standard conditions with a 12-hour day/night cycle and access
23 to food and water ad libitum, at a temperature between 20-24°C (average 21°C) and a
24 humidity of 55%. Power calculations were not routinely performed; however, animal
25 numbers were chosen to reflect the expected magnitude of response taking into account
26 the variability observed in previous experiments. *In vivo* and *in vitro* experiments were

1 performed on either C57BL/6 J Wild-Type (WT) control mice or c-Rel knockout mice (*Rel*^{-/-}
 2) on a C57BL/6 J background. *Rel*^{fl/fl} were crossed with Alb-cre^{+/-} or LysM-cre^{+/-} or Lrat-
 3 cre^{+/-} mice to generate Alb-cre^{+/-} *Rel*^{fl/fl} (*Rel*^{ΔAlb}), LysM-cre^{+/-} *Rel*^{fl/fl} (*Rel*^{ΔLysM}) or Lrat-cre^{+/-}
 4 *Rel*^{fl/fl} (*Rel*^{ΔLrat}) mice (Jax labs stock No: 024341⁹⁸, stock No: 004781 and stock No:
 5 003574⁹⁹. *Rel*^{fl/fl} mice are genetically engineered to express GFP upon Cre-mediated
 6 recombination. Adeno-associated virus mediated Cre recombinase delivery was used to
 7 target the epithelial cells of the liver, kidney and lung. Briefly, to deplete c-Rel or Pfkfb3 in
 8 hepatocytes, *Rel*^{fl/fl} or *Rel*^{ΔLysM} or *Pfkfb3*^{fl/fl} mice received a single intravenous tail vein
 9 injection of 1x10¹¹ p.f.u. of AAV8-TBG-Cre to generate *Rel*^{ΔHep}, *Rel*^{ΔHep/ΔLysM} and *Pfkfb3*^{Δhep}
 10 mice respectively. To deplete c-Rel in epithelial cells in the kidney *Rel*^{fl/fl} mice received a
 11 retrograde ureteric injection of 5x10⁸ p.f.u. of AAV9-CMV-Cre at the time of UUO surgery
 12 generating *Rel*^{ΔTEC} mice. To deplete c-Rel in the epithelial cells of the lung *Rel*^{fl/fl} mice
 13 received 5x10⁸ p.f.u. of AAV9-CMV-Cre via intratracheal administration to generate
 14 *Rel*^{ΔAEC} mice. Control mice received an equal dose of either AAV8-TBG-null or AAV-CMV-
 15 Null.

16

17 **Organ injury and fibrosis models**

18 Animals used were aged within 8 to 12 weeks old at the start of the experiments. Liver
 19 injury and fibrosis was induced using the carbon tetrachloride model. To induce acute liver
 20 injury, male mice received a single intraperitoneal dose of CCl₄ at 2μl/g body weight
 21 (CCl₄:olive oil at 1:1 [vol/vol]). To induce liver fibrosis, male mice received biweekly
 22 intraperitoneal injections of CCl₄ at 2μl/g body weight (CCl₄:olive oil at 1:3 [vol/vol]) for 8
 23 weeks. Kidney fibrosis was induced using the unilateral ureteral obstruction (UUO) model.
 24 Briefly, following a laparotomy, the left ureter of female mice was ligated and cut under
 25 general anaesthesia. Lung fibrosis was induced using the bleomycin model. Briefly, male
 26 mice received a single intratracheal dose of either saline or bleomycin (0.015U) under

1 general anaesthesia. Prophylactic intervention utilising the c-Rel small molecule inhibitor
2 IT-603 (Calbiochem) was performed using daily intraperitoneal injections of either vehicle
3 (DMSO) or IT-603 24mg/kg starting 24 hours prior to CCl₄ and on the day of bleomycin
4 administration or UUO surgery. Therapeutic intervention in the MCD model utilising IT-603
5 or a DMSO control was commenced after 2 weeks of being on the diet. Mice received the
6 3 doses a week of the therapy for the duration of the experiment. Therapeutic intervention
7 in the chronic CCl₄ model utilising IT-603 or a DMSO control was commenced after 3
8 weeks of CCl₄ injury. Mice received the therapy the day before CCl₄ administration. Partial
9 hepatectomy was performed on male mice aged 12 to 14 weeks old. Briefly, under
10 isoflurane general anesthesia, following a laparotomy the left and median lobes were
11 exposed, ligated and excised¹⁰⁰. In all surgical models appropriate pain relief was
12 provided.

13

14 **Histology and Immunohistochemistry**

15 Formalin fixed, paraffin embedded tissue sections were stained with 0.1% Picrosirius red
16 and H&E using established protocols. Immunohistochemistry was performed on
17 deparaffinised sections by first blocking endogenous peroxidase activity using 0.6%
18 hydrogen peroxide/methanol solution. Antigen retrieval was performed using antigen
19 unmasking solution (Vector) for αSMA 1:1000 (F3777 Sigma), CD68 1:200 (OABB00472
20 Aviva Systems Biology), CTGF 1:100 (ab6992 Abcam), Snail 1:50 (ab53519 Abcam),
21 PFKFB3 1:50 (ab181861 Abcam), PCNA 1:4000 (ab18197 Abcam) and combined antigen
22 unmasking solution and 0.2% trypsin for c-Rel 1:200 (SC-71 Santa Cruz) and NIMP-R14
23 1:100 (Ab 2557 Abcam). Endogenous avidin and biotin were blocked for 20 minutes using
24 an Avidin/Biotin Blocking Kit (Vector Laboratories). Non-specific binding was blocked using
25 20% swine serum for 30 minutes and then the primary antibody was added overnight at
26 4°C. The next day slides were washed and incubated with biotinylated swine anti-rabbit

1 1:200 (eo353 Dako), biotinylated goat anti-fluorescein 1:300 (BA-0601 Vector) or goat
2 anti-rat 1:200 (STAR80B Serotec). Slides were then washed and incubated with
3 Vectastain Elite ABC Reagent. Staining was visualised using DAB peroxidase substrate kit
4 and counterstained with mayers haematoxylin and then mounted. (TdT)-mediated dUTP
5 nick end (TUNEL) labelling was carried out using the In-Situ Cell Death Detection kit
6 (Merck, 11684817910) according to the manufacturers' protocol. Liver and lung tissue
7 sections were analysed at 100x whereas kidney cortex was imaged at 200x using a Nikon
8 Eclipse Upright microscope and NIS-Elements BR analysis software. A minimum of twelve
9 consecutive non-overlapping fields of liver, kidney and lung tissue were analysed per stain
10 per mouse. For human sections a minimum of 5 fields were analysed per biopsy.

13 **Immunofluorescence staining**

14 Immunofluorescence was performed on deparaffinised sections. Antigen retrieval was
15 performed using combined heat-mediated antigen unmasking solution (Vector) and then
16 0.2% trypsin at 37°C for 25 minutes. Non-specific binding was blocked using 10% normal
17 goat serum in TBS-T (Vector) for 1 hour followed by 1x casein (Vector) for 1 hour. The c-
18 Rel 1:50 (SC-71 Santa Cruz) and CD68 1:50 (clone KP1, thermofisher) primary antibodies
19 were diluted in 10% normal goat serum in TBS-T and then slides were incubated in a
20 humidified chamber overnight at 4°C. The next day slides were washed in TBS-T and then
21 incubated with secondary antibodies; Alexa 594 donkey anti-rabbit (thermofisher) and
22 Alexa 647 donkey anti-mouse (thermofisher) diluted 1:200 in 10% normal goat serum in
23 TBS-T for 2 hours. Slides were then washed in TBS-T and stained with Hoechst stain for
24 15 minutes prior to mounting in vector mounting solution. Slides were imaged using a
25 Zeiss LSM800 with Airyscan using Zen software.

1 Immunofluorescence was performed on 4% paraformaldehyde fixed murine hepatic
2 stellate cells cultured in chamber slides. Cells were permeabilised with 0.2% saponin,
3 blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) to limit non-specific binding then washed in
4 TBS-T. The FITC conjugated α SMA (F3777 Sigma) primary antibody was diluted 1:1000 in
5 TBS-T 1% BSA and then incubated at room temperature for 1 hour. Slides were then
6 washed in TBS-T and stained with Hoechst stain for 15 minutes prior to mounting in vector
7 mounting solution. Slides were imaged at 20x magnification using a Zeiss LSM800 with
8 Airyscan. Images were analysed using Zeiss Zen software image analysis nod).

9

10 **RNAScope**

11 In situ mRNA hybridization was performed on normal and fibrotic murine liver (chronic
12 CCl₄) and kidney (day 10 UUO) sections using RNAScope LS probes for Snail1 and PPIB
13 control (451218 and 313918); Advanced Cell Diagnostics) as per the manufacturer's
14 instructions.

15

16 **Precision Cut Slices**

17 Tissue cores were generated using a 8mm Stiefel biopsy punch and then transferred to a
18 metal mould and submerged in 3% low gelling temperature agarose and allowed to set.
19 Agarose embedded tissue cores were then cut using a Leica VT1200S microtome (Leica
20 Biosystems, UK) to produced tissue slices (8 micron diameter and 250 micron depth)
21 which were then cultured in BioR plates in our patented bioreactor platform patent
22 (PCT/GB2016/053310). Liver PCLS were cultured in Williams E media supplemented with
23 1% penicillin and streptomycin, glutamine, 100mM dexamethasone, insulin trasnferrin-
24 selenium X and 2% fetal bovine serum. Kidney slices were generated as above and
25 cultured in DMEM-F12 (Gibco) supplemented with REGM SingleQuot Kit (Lonza) and 1%
26 penicillin/streptomycin and L-glutamine. Human liver and kidney slices were treated 10 ng

1 TGFβ1 to induce fibrosis. Tissue slices were treated ± 20 μM IT-603 c-Rel inhibitor. Murine
2 liver PCS were generated from WT and *Rel*^{-/-} mice were cultured ± 10ng TGFβ1 ± 50ng
3 CTGF for 72 hours. All PCS were cultured at 37°C supplemented with 5% CO2 and media
4 was changed daily.

6 **Cell Isolation**

7 Murine hepatocytes were isolated using a two-step perfusion method. Under terminal
8 anaesthesia using pentobarbitol, mice underwent a laparotomy, the inferior vena cava was
9 then cannulated and the superior vena cava was clamped to achieve retro-perfusion of the
10 liver using the portal vein as an outlet. The liver was perfused sequentially with buffer A
11 (Krebs Ringer buffer and EDTA) and then buffer B (Krebs Ringer buffer, CaCl₂ and 1mg/ml
12 Collagenase B) at a flow rate of 7mls per minute. *In situ* liver digestion was performed
13 using collagenase from *Clostridium histolyticum* (Sigma). Post perfusion, the liver capsule
14 was torn and hepatocytes were isolated by gently agitating the perfused liver in Krebs-
15 ringer buffer and then separated into a single cell suspension using a 70-μm cell strainer.
16 Hepatocytes were collected by three rounds of centrifugation (50g for 3 minutes) followed
17 by washes in Krebs-Ringer buffer. A hepatocyte enriched fraction was obtained using a
18 40% Percoll density gradient (250g for 6 minutes). Pelleted hepatocytes were
19 resuspended in 10% FCS Williams E and then cultured for subsequent experiments.

21 Bone marrow derived macrophages were isolated from the femur and tibia of WT and *Rel*^{-/-}
22 mice. Briefly, bone marrow was extracted by flushing the bones with 5% FCS HBSS-
23 after which the cell suspension was washed and placed onto a 62% Percoll gradient and
24 centrifuged (1000g for 30 minutes). The pellet contained polymorphonuclear cells and the
25 interface mononuclear cells, which were then cultured for 10 days in RPMI-1640 media
26 containing 10ng/ml MCSF to promote differentiation into mature macrophages. Mature

1 macrophages were stimulated 100ng/ml LPS and 50ng/ml IFN γ to induce an M1
2 phenotype or 10ng/ml IL-4 and 10ng/ml IL-13 to induce an M2 phenotype. Control M0
3 macrophages received a complete media change without the addition of any additional
4 factors.

5
6 Total leukocytes for flow cytometry were prepared from the livers of control or injured mice.
7 First, the liver was diced and then digested in RPMI supplemented with DNase and
8 Collagenase B for 1 hour at 37°C. The cell suspension was then filtered through a 70- μ m
9 cell strainer and then layered onto a 33% Percoll density gradient and centrifuged (1000g
10 for 20 minutes) the cell pellet was resuspended in ACK lysis buffer to eliminate red blood
11 cells prior to staining. The non-parenchymal fraction located at the Percoll interface was
12 used for flow cytometric validation of conditional knockout mice.

13
14 Murine hepatic stellate cells (HSC) were isolated as previously described¹⁰¹ and grown in
15 Nunc™ Lab-Tek™ II Chamber Slide™ System (thermoscientific) with complete media;
16 Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium containing 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μ g/ml
17 streptomycin, 2 mmol/L L-glutamine, and 16% foetal calf serum. After 3 days in culture,
18 HSC were simulated for 24 hours with media only (control) or conditioned media collected
19 from either WT or *Rel^{-/-}* hepatocytes stimulated with 10ng TGF β , or WT or *Rel^{-/-}* M1 or M2
20 polarised bone marrow derived macrophages. Conditioned media was passed through a
21 0.3 micron filter prior to a 1:1 dilution in complete DMEM and addition to the qHSC. Cells
22 were then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde ready for immunofluorescence staining.

23
24 Proximal tubule epithelial cells (PTECs) were isolated from the kidneys of WT and *Rel^{-/-}*
25 mice. Briefly, the cortex was minced and digested with 1mg/ml collagenase IV at 37°C
26 and then passed through a 40 μ m cell. The digest was then layered onto a discontinuous

1 Percoll gradient with densities of 1.07 and 1.04 g/ml and centrifuged at 3000rpm for 30
2 minutes at 4°C. The middle layer containing PTECs was washed in RPMI. PTEC were
3 then resuspended in DMEM/F-12 supplemented with REGM SingleQuot kit (Lonza), 0.5%
4 foetal calf serum, 100U/ml penicillin and 100ug/ml streptomycin. PTECs were seeded onto
5 collagen coated plates for experiments.

6
7 All cells were maintained in an incubator at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂.

8 9 **Flow cytometry**

10 Single cell suspensions were first resuspended in LIVE/DEAD™ Fixable Violet Dead Cell
11 Stain (ThermoFisher) and then Fc blocked (CD16/32). Cells were then resuspended in
12 FACS buffer (PBS 1% FCS) containing the antibodies for surface staining as listed in
13 **(Supplementary Table 2)**. Staining of intracellular antigens was performed by fixing the
14 surface stained cells in 4% paraformaldehyde followed by permeabilisation using Perm
15 Wash (BD Biosciences). Cells were then resuspended in Perm Wash containing the
16 antibodies for intracellular staining. Cells were read on a FACSCanto II using FACSDiva
17 software version 8 and analysed using FlowJo software version 10.

18 19 **Seahorse**

20 Mature bone marrow derived macrophages were seeded onto the seahorse cell culture
21 microplate and the polarised using a combination of either LPS and IFN γ or IL-4 and IL-13
22 to generated M1 and M2 macrophages respectively. The injection ports were then loaded
23 with the following compounds: A 2.5M (45%) glucose, B 5mM oligomycin A, C 5mM FCCP
24 and 100mM sodium pyruvate, D 5mM antimycin A and 5mM rotenone. Seahorse
25 metabolic flux assay was then performed according the manufacturer's instructions with 3

rounds of 2 minute mix and 3 minute measure times. Flux assay measurements were normalised to total protein content determined by Bradford assay.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay

Media samples collected from precision cut human liver, kidney and lung slices treated with IT-603. Quantifications of soluble human collagen 1A1 (COL1A1; DY6220, R&D systems) were performed as per manufacturer's instructions. Levels of pro-C3 ELISA was performed on undiluted media samples (Nordic Bioscience). Quantification of mouse connective tissue growth factor (CTGF; LS-F21342, LSBio) in the culture media collected from WT and *Rel^{-/-}* hepatocytes stimulated \pm TGF β 1, *Pfkfb3^{fl/fl}* and *Pfkfb3^{Δhep}* hepatocytes stimulated \pm TGF β 1 and WT hepatocytes stimulated \pm TGF β 1 and treated \pm PFKFB3i was performed as per manufacturer's instructions.

Colorimetric assays

Lactate dehydrogenase (Thermo Fisher), L-Lactate (Abcam ab65331) and Glucose (Abcam ab65333) assay kits were performed as per manufacturer's instructions. Serum transaminase quantification was performed at the chemical pathology department at the Royal Victoria infirmary according to standard protocols.

Protein preparation for mass spectrometry

For secretome analysis proteins were precipitated from 1.5 ml of conditioned media (hepatocyte secretome) or 1 ml of conditioned media (macrophage secretome) using a chloroform/methanol protein precipitation. Protein pellets were resuspended in a final volume of 25 μ l SDS lysis buffer (5% SDS, 50 mM triethylammonium bicarbonate (TEAB) pH 7.5). Protein concentration was determined by the bicinchoninic acid assay (BCA). A total of 2.4 μ g protein (hepatocyte secretome) or 1 μ g (macrophage secretome) was

1 reduced by incubation with 5mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP) for 15 minutes at
2 37°C, and subsequently alkylated with 40 mM iodoacetamide for 30 minutes at room
3 temperature in the dark. Protein digestion was performed using the suspension trapping
4 (S-Trap™) sample preparation method using the manufacturer's guidelines (ProtiFi™,
5 Huntington NY). Briefly, 2.5 µl of 12% phosphoric acid was added to each sample,
6 followed by the addition of 2 µg trypsin. This was added to 165 µl S-Trap binding buffer
7 (90% methanol in 100mM TEAB, pH 7.1) in the S-Trap Micro spin column. The samples
8 were centrifuged at 4,000 x g for 1 minute until all the solution passed through the filter.
9 Each S-Trap Mini-spin column was washed with 150 µl S-trap binding buffer by
10 centrifugation at 4,000 x g for 1 minute. This process was repeated for a total of four
11 washes. 25 µl of 50 mM TEAB, pH 8.0 containing 0.5 µg trypsin was added to each
12 sample, followed by proteolytic digestion for 3 hours at 47°C using a thermomixer
13 (Eppendorf) without shaking. Peptides were eluted with 50 mM TEAB pH 8.0 and
14 centrifugation at 1,000 x g for 1 minute. Elution steps were repeated using 0.2% formic
15 acid and 0.2% formic acid in 50% acetonitrile, respectively. The three eluates from each
16 sample were combined and dried using a speed-vac before storage at -80°C.

17

18 **Quantitative mass spectrometry**

19 Peptides were dissolved in 5% formic acid, and each sample was independently analysed
20 on an Orbitrap Fusion Lumos Tribrid mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific),
21 connected to a UltiMate 3000 RSLCnano System (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Peptides
22 were injected on an Acclaim PepMap 100 C18 LC trap column (100 µm ID × 20 mm, 3 µm,
23 100 Å) followed by separation on an EASY-Spray nanoLC C18 column (75 ID
24 µm × 500 mm, 2 µm, 100 Å) at a flow rate of 300 nl min⁻¹. Solvent A was water containing
25 0.1% formic acid, and solvent B was 80% acetonitrile containing 0.1% formic acid. The
26 gradient used was as follows: solvent B was maintained at 3% for 5 minutes, followed by

1 an increase from 3 to 35% B in 120 min, 35-90% B in 0.5 min, maintained at 90% B for
2 4 minutes, followed by a decrease to 3% in 0.5 min and equilibration at 3% for 10 minutes.
3 The Orbitrap Fusion Tribrid mass spectrometer was operated in data dependent, positive
4 ion mode. Full scan spectra were acquired in a range from 400 m/z to 1600 m/z, at a
5 resolution of 120,000, with an automated gain control (AGC) of 4e5 and a maximum
6 injection time of 50 ms. Precursor ions were isolated with a quadrupole mass filter width of
7 1.6 m/z and HCD fragmentation was performed in one-step collision energy of 30%.
8 Detection of MS/MS fragments was acquired in the linear ion trap in rapid mode using a
9 Top 3s method, with an AGC target of 1e4 and a maximum injection time of 45 ms. The
10 dynamic exclusion of previously acquired precursors was enabled for 35 s with a tolerance
11 of +/-10 ppm.

12

13 **Mass spectrometry data analysis**

14 All spectra were analysed using MaxQuant 1.6.6.0 and searched against a SwissProt *Mus*
15 *musculus* fasta file (25,691 entries, downloaded 14/09/2018). Peak list generation was
16 performed within MaxQuant and searches were performed using default parameters and
17 the built-in Andromeda search engine. The following search parameters were used: first
18 search peptide tolerance of 20 ppm and second search peptide tolerance 4.5 ppm.
19 Cysteine carbamidomethylation was set as a fixed modification and oxidation of
20 methionine was set as variable modification. A maximum of two missed cleavage sites
21 were allowed. False Discovery Rates were set to 1% for both peptides and proteins. LFQ
22 intensities were calculated using the MaxLFQ algorithm from razor and unique peptides
23 with a minimum ratio count of two peptides across samples. Statistical analysis was
24 performed using R Studio (version 1.1.456.0). The data was first filtered to remove
25 proteins that matched to a contaminant or a reverse database, or which were only
26 identified by site. Only proteins identified by a minimum of 2 unique peptides were

retained. LFQ intensity values were log2 transformed, and data filtered to contain at least 2 valid values in each group of the comparison being tested. The R package LIMMA was used for statistical analysis, where proteins with a p-value ≤ 0.05 were considered as statistically significant. Proteins were classified as unique if they were detected in all replicates of at least one group and none of the replicates of at least one other group.

Meso Scale Discovery

The cytokines Il-6, Cxcl1, Cxcl2, Cxcl10, Ccl2, Ccl3, Ccl4 and Ccl5 were quantified in conditioned media collected from WT and *Rel^{-/-}* hepatocytes stimulated \pm IL-1 β or TGF β 1, WT and *Pfkfb3^{-/-}* hepatocytes stimulated \pm TGF β 1 or WT hepatocytes stimulated \pm TGF β 1 and treat \pm PFKFB3i using a custom U-Plex MSD panel according to the manufacturer instructions.

Hydroxyproline assay

Tissue samples were hydrolysed in 1ml 6N HCl acid overnight at 110°C. Hydroxyproline standards were made up from 4mg/ml Calbiochem stocks. 20ml of the samples were then pipetted in triplicate. Solutions A and B were then prepared as follows: A. 0.282g Chloramine T-hydrate, 2ml water, 4ml isopropanol, 16ml Citrate Acetate buffer. Citrate acetate buffer consisted of 5% w/v Citric Acid, 1.2% w/v Glacial Acetic Acid, 7.24% w/v Sodium Acetate, 3.4% w/v Sodium Hydroxide with sterile water added for a complete volume of 200ml. B. 2.5g p-dimethylaminobenzaldehyde, 9.3ml Isopropanol, 7.3ml Perchloric acid. 100ml of solution A was added to each well of the 96 well plate and allowed to oxidise at room temperature for 30 minutes. 100ml of Solution B was added to each well. The plate was then incubated at 60°C for 30 minutes and then measured using a spectrophotometric plate reader at 570nm.

1 **RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and RT-PCR**

2 RNA was extracted from tissues using the QIAGEN RNeasy Mini kit (QIAGEN) according
3 to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was then treated with DNase and then used to
4 synthesise cDNA using the GoScript Reverse Transcription System (Promega). Real time
5 PCR was performed using SYBR Green jumpstart ready mix and the primers listed in
6 **(Supplementary Table 3).**

8 **Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay**

9 Cross-link chromatin was prepared from WT hepatocytes after 4-hour treatment with
10 TGF β 1 or M0, M1 and M2 polarised WT macrophages. ChIP was performed using 50 μ g of
11 cross-linked chromatin (sheared by sonication to ~500bp fragments) per reaction and
12 10 μ g of antibody to c-Rel (SC-71 Santa Cruz) or Rabbit IgG control (Abcam) for
13 immunoprecipitation. 3000bp sequence upstream of the transcription start site was
14 analysed in silico using Promo (available via the ALGGEN server, Polytechnic University
15 of Catalonia, Barcelona, Spain) for potential transcription factor binding sites. ChIP primers
16 were then designed to amplify Snail, Pfkfb1 or Pfkfb3 promoter regions and the primers
17 listed in **(Supplementary Table 4).**

19 **Transient transfection and luciferase assay**

20 U937-3xNF-kB-luc reporter cells (which express firefly luciferase driven by 3 NF-kB
21 consensus sequences) were transiently transfected with either RelA or c-Rel pcDNA3
22 expression vectors using the non-liposomal Effectene kit (Qiagen) for 48h, according to
23 manufacturer's instructions. Luciferase assays were performed using the luciferase kit
24 (Promega) and luciferase activity was normalised to protein concentration.

26 **Statistical Analysis**

Results are presented as means \pm s.e.m. Graphpad prism version 8, was used to perform unpaired t-test or analysis of variance with a Tukey's post hoc test for unmatched samples. For matched cell cultures either a paired t-test or paired Two-way analysis of variance with a Tukey's post hoc test. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$ or **** $P < 0.0001$ was considered statistically significant.

Data availability

Mass spectrometric raw data are available through the PRIDE repository (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pride/archive/>) and have been assigned the identifiers PXD017320.

Acknowledgements: This work was funded by a UK Medical Research Council PhD studentship to J.L. and program Grants MR/K0019494/1 to D.A.M, J.M and FO Grant MR/R023026/1 to D.A.M, J.M, L.A.B and FO. An Arthritis Research UK Grant (No 20812) supports F.O, D.A.M, J.L and J.C.W. A CRUK program grant, reference C18342/A23390 supports J.L. and D.A.M. The cross-council Lifelong Health and Wellbeing initiative, funded by the MRC (award reference i L016354) funds D.A.M and F.O. C.N. is supported by CRUK Beatson Institute Core funding A171196. T.G.B. is funded by the Wellcome Trust WT107492Z and a CRUK/AECC/AIRC Accelerator Award (A26813). M.Y.W.Z has a personal Ph.D award from Newton-Mosharafa fund. P.C., L. S., L.-A.T. are financed by Methusalem funding, FWO, ERC Proof of Concept (ERC-713758), and Advanced ERC Research Grant (EU-ERC743074). The IVIS spectrum was purchased under a Wellcome Trust Equipment Grant (087961) awarded to D.A.M and others. We would like to thank the Newcastle University bioimaging unit and the Newcastle University Flow cytometry core facility for technical assistance.

Author contributions: J.L. performed the majority of the laboratory-based work and analyses presented in the manuscript. S.L., A.K., C.B., G.C., L.A.B, J.C.W., A.C., R.A.B., L.M.G., R.C., S.M., M.T, B.S.B., X.X, M.Y.W.Z., W.J.R., H.L.P., C.N., T.B., C.B., M.G.M., L.S., L.-A.T, M.K., L.S., A.D.B., C.B.N. performed a portion of the laboratory experiments and their related analyses. S.M.R., D.M.M., G.S., J.F., S.A.W., J.L.Z., U.K., R.F.S. and I.M. contributed materials and/or analysis tools. L.A.B., A.F. N.S., P.C., J.M., and D.A.M. provided advice and/or contributed to the experimental design and writing. J.L., D.A.M. and F.O. conceived the studies, designed the experiments and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and commented on the final manuscript.

Competing interests: F.O, D.A.M, J.M, L.A.B are directors of Fibrofind limited. J.L, H.P, F.O, D.A.M, J.M, L.A.B are shareholders in Fibrofind limited. C.B.N is shareholder in GSK. M.K is a stock owner of Nordic Bioscience.

References

1. Wynn TA, Ramalingam TR. Mechanisms of fibrosis: therapeutic translation for fibrotic disease. *Nat Med*. 2012;18(7):1028-1040. doi:10.1038/nm.2807
2. Rockey DC, Bell PD, Hill JA. Fibrosis — A Common Pathway to Organ Injury and Failure. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373(1):95-96. doi:10.1056/NEJMc1504848
3. Bataller R, Brenner DA. Liver fibrosis. *J Clin Invest*. 2005;115(2):209-218. doi:10.1172/JCI24282
4. Friedman SL. Liver fibrosis – from bench to bedside. *J Hepatol*. 2003;38:38-53. doi:10.1016/S0168-8278(02)00429-4
5. Cox TR, Erler JT. Molecular Pathways: Connecting Fibrosis and Solid Tumor Metastasis. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2014;20(14):3637-3643. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-

13-1059

6. Cernaro V, Lacquaniti A, Donato V, Fazio MR, Buemi A, Buemi M. Fibrosis, regeneration and cancer: what is the link? *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2012;27(1):21-27. doi:10.1093/ndt/gfr567
7. Rybinski B, Franco-Barraza J, Cukierman E. The wound healing, chronic fibrosis, and cancer progression triad. *Physiol Genomics*. 2014;46(7):223. doi:10.1152/PHYSIOLGENOMICS.00158.2013
8. Klingler W, Jurkat-Rott K, Lehmann-Horn F, Schleip R. The role of fibrosis in Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Acta Myol myopathies cardiomyopathies Off J Mediterr Soc Myol*. 2012;31(3):184-195. Accessed May 17, 2019. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23620650>
9. Torres VE, Leof EB. Fibrosis, regeneration, and aging: playing chess with evolution. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2011;22(8):1393-1396. doi:10.1681/ASN.2011060603
10. Hecker L, Logsdon NJ, Kurundkar D, et al. Reversal of persistent fibrosis in aging by targeting Nox4-Nrf2 redox imbalance. *Sci Transl Med*. 2014;6(231):231ra47. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.3008182
11. Mehal WZ, Iredale J, Friedman SL. Scraping fibrosis: Expressway to the core of fibrosis. *Nat Med*. 2011;17(5):552-553. doi:10.1038/nm0511-552
12. Wynn T. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of fibrosis. *J Pathol*. 2008;214(2):199-210. doi:10.1002/path.2277
13. Koyama Y, Brenner DA. Liver inflammation and fibrosis. *J Clin Invest*. 2017;127(1):55-64. doi:10.1172/JCI88881
14. Hayden MS, Ghosh S. NF- κ B, the first quarter-century: remarkable progress and outstanding questions. *Genes Dev*. 2012;26(3):203-234. doi:10.1101/gad.183434.111
15. Oeckinghaus A, Ghosh S. The NF-kappaB family of transcription factors and its

regulation. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* 2009;1(4):a000034.

doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a000034

16. Lawrence T. The nuclear factor NF-kappaB pathway in inflammation. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* 2009;1(6):a001651. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a001651

17. Tak PP, Firestein GS. NF-kappaB: a key role in inflammatory diseases. *J Clin Invest.* 2001;107(1):7-11. doi:10.1172/JCI11830

18. Zhang Q, Lenardo MJ, Baltimore D. 30 Years of NF-kB: A Blossoming of Relevance to Human Pathobiology. *Cell.* 2017;168(1-2):37-57. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.12.012

19. Luedde T, Schwabe RF. NF-kB in the liver—linking injury, fibrosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2011;8(2):108-118.

doi:10.1038/nrgastro.2010.213

20. Perkins ND, Gilmore TD. Good cop, bad cop: the different faces of NF-kB. *Cell Death Differ.* 2006;13(5):759-772. doi:10.1038/sj.cdd.4401838

21. Piva R, Belardo G, Santoro MG. NF-kB: A Stress-Regulated Switch for Cell Survival. *Antioxid Redox Signal.* 2006;8(3-4):478-486. doi:10.1089/ars.2006.8.478

22. Wong D, Teixeira A, Oikonomopoulos S, et al. Extensive characterization of NF-kB binding uncovers non-canonical motifs and advances the interpretation of genetic functional traits. *Genome Biol.* 2011;12(7):R70. doi:10.1186/gb-2011-12-7-r70

23. Geisler F, Algül H, Paxian S, Schmid RM. Genetic Inactivation of RelA/p65 Sensitizes Adult Mouse Hepatocytes to TNF-induced Apoptosis In Vivo and In Vitro. *Gastroenterology.* 2007;132(7):2489-2503. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2007.03.033

24. Rosenfeld ME, Prichard L, Shiojiri N, Fausto N. Prevention of Hepatic Apoptosis and Embryonic Lethality in RelA/TNFR-1 Double Knockout Mice. *Am J Pathol.* 2000;156(3):997-1007. doi:10.1016/S0002-9440(10)64967-X

25. Beg AA, Sha WC, Bronson RT, Ghosh S, Baltimore D. Embryonic lethality and liver degeneration in mice lacking the RelA component of NF-kB. *Nature.*

1995;376(6536):167-170. doi:10.1038/376167a0

26. Lenardo MJ, Baltimore D. NF-kappa B: a pleiotropic mediator of inducible and tissue-specific gene control. *Cell*. 1989;58(2):227-229. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(89)90833-7
27. Fullard N, Wilson CL, Oakley F. Roles of c-Rel signalling in inflammation and disease. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol*. 2012;44(6):851-860. doi:10.1016/J.BIOCEL.2012.02.017
28. Neo WH, Lim JF, Grumont R, Gerondakis S, Su I. c-Rel Regulates Ezh2 Expression in Activated Lymphocytes and Malignant Lymphoid Cells. *J Biol Chem*. 2014;289(46):31693-31707. doi:10.1074/jbc.M114.574517
29. Zeybel M, Luli S, Sabater L, et al. A Proof-of-Concept for Epigenetic Therapy of Tissue Fibrosis: Inhibition of Liver Fibrosis Progression by 3-Deazaneplanocin A. *Mol Ther*. 2017;25(1). doi:10.1016/j.ymthe.2016.10.004
30. Fullard N, Moles A, O'Reilly S, et al. The c-Rel subunit of NF-kB regulates epidermal homeostasis and promotes skin fibrosis in mice. *Am J Pathol*. 2013;182(6):2109-2120. doi:10.1016/j.ajpath.2013.02.016
31. Gaspar-Pereira S, Fullard N, Townsend PA, et al. The NF-kB Subunit c-Rel Stimulates Cardiac Hypertrophy and Fibrosis. *Am J Pathol*. 2012;180(3):929-939. doi:10.1016/j.ajpath.2011.11.007
32. Luli S, Di Paolo D, Perri P, et al. A new fluorescence-based optical imaging method to non-invasively monitor hepatic myofibroblasts in vivo. *J Hepatol*. 2016;65(1). doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2016.03.021
33. Hunter JE, Leslie J, Perkins ND. C-Rel and its many roles in cancer: An old story with new twists. *Br J Cancer*. 2016;114(1). doi:10.1038/bjc.2015.410
34. Wynn TA. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of fibrosis. *J Pathol*. 2008;214(2):199-210. doi:10.1002/path.2277

- 1 35. Schwabe RF, Tabas I, Pajvani UB. Mechanisms of Fibrosis Development in
2 Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis. *Gastroenterology*. Published online February 8, 2020.
3 doi:10.1053/J.GASTRO.2019.11.311
- 4 36. Swamy M, Jamora C, Havran W, Hayday A. Epithelial decision makers: in search of
5 the “epimmunome.” *Nat Immunol*. 2010;11(8):656-665. doi:10.1038/ni.1905
- 6 37. Duffield JS, Forbes SJ, Constandinou CM, et al. Selective depletion of macrophages
7 reveals distinct, opposing roles during liver injury and repair. *J Clin Invest*.
8 2005;115(1):56-65. doi:10.1172/JCI22675
- 9 38. Seki E, de Minicis S, Inokuchi S, et al. CCR2 promotes hepatic fibrosis in mice.
10 *Hepatology*. 2009;50(1):185-197. doi:10.1002/hep.22952
- 11 39. Wynn TA, Vannella KM. Macrophages in Tissue Repair, Regeneration, and Fibrosis.
12 *Immunity*. 2016;44(3):450-462. doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2016.02.015
- 13 40. Garcia-Lazaro JF, Thieringer F, Lüth S, et al. Hepatic over-expression of TGF-beta1
14 promotes LPS-induced inflammatory cytokine secretion by liver cells and
15 endotoxemic shock. *Immunol Lett*. 2005;101(2):217-222.
16 doi:10.1016/j.imlet.2005.06.003
- 17 41. Yang L, Inokuchi S, Roh YS, et al. Transforming growth factor- β signaling in
18 hepatocytes promotes hepatic fibrosis and carcinogenesis in mice with hepatocyte-
19 specific deletion of TAK1. *Gastroenterology*. 2013;144(5):1042-1054.e4.
20 doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2013.01.056
- 21 42. Bird TG, Müller M, Boulter L, et al. TGF β inhibition restores a regenerative response
22 in acute liver injury by suppressing paracrine senescence. *Sci Transl Med*.
23 2018;10(454). doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aan1230
- 24 43. Niu L, Cui X, Qi Y, et al. Involvement of TGF- β 1/Smad3 Signaling in Carbon
25 Tetrachloride-Induced Acute Liver Injury in Mice. Mukhopadhyay P, ed. *PLoS One*.
26 2016;11(5):e0156090. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156090

- 1 44. JF G-L, F T, S L, et al. Hepatic over-expression of TGF-beta1 promotes LPS-
2 induced inflammatory cytokine secretion by liver cells and endotoxemic shock.
3 *Immunol Lett.* 2005;101(2):217-222. doi:10.1016/J.IMLET.2005.06.003
- 4 45. Travis MA, Sheppard D. TGF- β Activation and Function in Immunity. *Annu Rev*
5 *Immunol.* 2014;32(1):51-82. doi:10.1146/annurev-immunol-032713-120257
- 6 46. Grgurevic L, Erjavec I, Grgurevic I, et al. Systemic inhibition of BMP1-3 decreases
7 progression of CCl₄ -induced liver fibrosis in rats. *Growth Factors.* 2017;35(6):201-
8 215. doi:10.1080/08977194.2018.1428966
- 9 47. Lipson KE, Wong C, Teng Y, Spong S. CTGF is a central mediator of tissue
10 remodeling and fibrosis and its inhibition can reverse the process of fibrosis.
11 *Fibrogenesis Tissue Repair.* 2012;5(Suppl 1):S24. doi:10.1186/1755-1536-5-S1-S24
- 12 48. Fox C, Cocchiaro P, Oakley F, et al. Inhibition of lysosomal protease cathepsin D
13 reduces renal fibrosis in murine chronic kidney disease. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6.
14 doi:10.1038/srep20101
- 15 49. Moles A, Tarrats N, Fernández-Checa JC, Marí M. Cathepsins B and D drive hepatic
16 stellate cell proliferation and promote their fibrogenic potential. *Hepatology.*
17 2009;49(4):1297-1307. doi:10.1002/hep.22753
- 18 50. Ghosh AK, Vaughan DE. PAI-1 in tissue fibrosis. *J Cell Physiol.* 2012;227(2):493-
19 507. doi:10.1002/jcp.22783
- 20 51. Lipson KE, Wong C, Teng Y, Spong S. CTGF is a central mediator of tissue
21 remodeling and fibrosis and its inhibition can reverse the process of fibrosis.
22 *Fibrogenesis Tissue Repair.* 2012;5(S1):S24. doi:10.1186/1755-1536-5-S1-S24
- 23 52. Kodama T, Takehara T, Hikita H, et al. Increases in p53 expression induce CTGF
24 synthesis by mouse and human hepatocytes and result in liver fibrosis in mice. *J*
25 *Clin Invest.* 2011;121(8):3343-3356. doi:10.1172/JCI44957
- 26 53. Mathieu J, Ruohola-Baker H. Metabolic remodeling during the loss and acquisition of

pluripotency. *Development*. 2017;144(4):541-551. doi:10.1242/dev.128389

54. Sciacovelli M, Frezza C. Metabolic reprogramming and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in cancer. *FEBS J*. 2017;284(19):3132-3144. doi:10.1111/febs.14090

55. Nieto MA, Huang RY-J, Jackson RA, Thiery JP. EMT: 2016. *Cell*. 2016;166(1):21-45. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.06.028

56. Kelly B, O'Neill LA. Metabolic reprogramming in macrophages and dendritic cells in innate immunity. *Cell Res*. 2015;25(7):771-784. doi:10.1038/cr.2015.68

57. Taura K, Miura K, Iwaisako K, et al. Hepatocytes do not undergo epithelial-mesenchymal transition in liver fibrosis in mice. *Hepatology*. 2010;51(3):1027-1036. doi:10.1002/hep.23368

58. Humphreys BD, Lin S-L, Kobayashi A, et al. Fate tracing reveals the pericyte and not epithelial origin of myofibroblasts in kidney fibrosis. *Am J Pathol*. 2010;176(1):85-97. doi:10.2353/ajpath.2010.090517

59. Grande MT, Sánchez-Laorden B, López-Blau C, et al. Snail1-induced partial epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition drives renal fibrosis in mice and can be targeted to reverse established disease. *Nat Med*. 2015;21(9):989-997. doi:10.1038/nm.3901

60. Rowe RG, Lin Y, Shimizu-Hirota R, et al. Hepatocyte-derived Snail1 propagates liver fibrosis progression. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2011;31(12):2392-2403. doi:10.1128/MCB.01218-10

61. Hee Kim N, Hoon Cha Y, Lee J, et al. ARTICLE Snail reprograms glucose metabolism by repressing phosphofructokinase PFKP allowing cancer cell survival under metabolic stress. *Nat Commun*. Published online 2017. doi:10.1038/ncomms14374

62. Mills EL, O'Neill LA. Reprogramming mitochondrial metabolism in macrophages as an anti-inflammatory signal. *Eur J Immunol*. 2016;46(1):13-21. doi:10.1002/eji.201445427

- 1 63. Gieling RG, Elsharkawy AM, Caamaño JH, et al. The c-Rel subunit of nuclear factor-
2 kappaB regulates murine liver inflammation, wound-healing, and hepatocyte
3 proliferation. *Hepatology*. 2010;51(3):922-931. doi:10.1002/hep.23385
- 4 64. Shono Y, Tuckett AZ, Ouk S, et al. A small-molecule c-Rel inhibitor reduces
5 alloactivation of T cells without compromising antitumor activity. *Cancer Discov*.
6 2014;4(5):578-591. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-13-0585
- 7 65. Paish HL, Reed LH, Brown H, et al. A novel bioreactor technology for modelling
8 fibrosis in human and rodent precision-cut liver slices. *Hepatology*. Published online
9 April 4, 2019:hep.30651. doi:10.1002/hep.30651
- 10 66. Nielsen MJ, Veidal SS, Karsdal MA, et al. Plasma Pro-C3 (N-terminal type III
11 collagen propeptide) predicts fibrosis progression in patients with chronic hepatitis C.
12 *Liver Int*. 2015;35(2):429-437. doi:10.1111/liv.12700
- 13 67. Krawczyk CM, Holowka T, Sun J, et al. Toll-like receptor-induced changes in
14 glycolytic metabolism regulate dendritic cell activation. *Blood*. 2010;115(23):4742-
15 4749. doi:10.1182/blood-2009-10-249540
- 16 68. Lees JG, Gardner DK, Harvey AJ. Mitochondrial and glycolytic remodeling during
17 nascent neural differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells. *Development*.
18 2018;145(20):dev168997. doi:10.1242/dev.168997
- 19 69. Peng M, Yin N, Chhangawala S, Xu K, Leslie CS, Li MO. Aerobic glycolysis
20 promotes T helper 1 cell differentiation through an epigenetic mechanism. *Science*.
21 2016;354(6311):481-484. doi:10.1126/science.aaf6284
- 22 70. Kelly B, O'Neill LA. Metabolic reprogramming in macrophages and dendritic cells in
23 innate immunity. *Cell Res*. 2015;25(7):771-784. doi:10.1038/cr.2015.68
- 24 71. Wei Q, Su J, Dong G, Zhang M, Huo Y, Dong Z. Glycolysis inhibitors suppress renal
25 interstitial fibrosis via divergent effects on fibroblasts and tubular cells. *Am J Physiol*
26 *Physiol*. Published online April 10, 2019:ajprenal.00422.2018.

doi:10.1152/ajprenal.00422.2018

72. Ding H, Jiang L, Xu J, et al. Inhibiting aerobic glycolysis suppresses renal interstitial fibroblast activation and renal fibrosis. *Am J Physiol Physiol*. 2017;313(3):F561-F575. doi:10.1152/ajprenal.00036.2017
73. Xie N, Tan Z, Banerjee S, et al. Glycolytic Reprogramming in Myofibroblast Differentiation and Lung Fibrosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2015;192(12):1462-1474. doi:10.1164/rccm.201504-0780OC
74. MacParland SA, Liu JC, Ma X-Z, et al. Single cell RNA sequencing of human liver reveals distinct intrahepatic macrophage populations. *Nat Commun*. 2018;9(1):4383. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06318-7
75. Chang N, Tian L, Ji X, et al. Single-Cell Transcriptomes Reveal Characteristic Features of Mouse Hepatocytes with Liver Cholestatic Injury. *Cells*. 2019;8(9). doi:10.3390/CELLS8091069
76. Lipson KE, Wong C, Teng Y, Spong S. CTGF is a central mediator of tissue remodeling and fibrosis and its inhibition can reverse the process of fibrosis. *Fibrogenesis Tissue Repair*. 2012;5(S1):S24. doi:10.1186/1755-1536-5-S1-S24
77. Huang G, Brigstock DR. Regulation of hepatic stellate cells by connective tissue growth factor. *Front Biosci (Landmark Ed)*. 2012;17:2495-2507. doi:10.2741/4067
78. Paradis V, Dargere D, Bonvoust F, Vidaud M, Segarini P, Bedossa P. Effects and Regulation of Connective Tissue Growth Factor on Hepatic Stellate Cells. *Lab Invest*. 2002;82(6):767-774. doi:10.1097/01.LAB.0000017365.18894.D3
79. Gressner OA, Lahme B, Demirci I, Gressner AM, Weiskirchen R. Differential effects of TGF-beta on connective tissue growth factor (CTGF/CCN2) expression in hepatic stellate cells and hepatocytes. *J Hepatol*. 2007;47(5):699-710. doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2007.05.015
80. Friedman SL. Hepatic stellate cells: protean, multifunctional, and enigmatic cells of

the liver. *Physiol Rev.* 2008;88(1):125-172. doi:10.1152/physrev.00013.2007

81. Gressner OA, Lahme B, Siluschek M, Rehbein K, Weiskirchen R, Gressner AM.

Intracrine signalling of activin A in hepatocytes upregulates connective tissue growth factor (CTGF/CCN2) expression. *Liver Int.* 2008;28(9):1207-1216.

doi:10.1111/j.1478-3231.2008.01729.x

82. Fearn A, Situmorang GR, Fox C, et al. The NF- κ B1 is a key regulator of acute but not chronic renal injury. *Cell Death Dis.* 2017;8(6):e2883.

doi:10.1038/cddis.2017.233

83. Wang F, Liu S, DU T, Chen H, Li Z, Yan J. NF- κ B inhibition alleviates carbon tetrachloride-induced liver fibrosis via suppression of activated hepatic stellate cells.

Exp Ther Med. 2014;8(1):95-99. doi:10.3892/etm.2014.1682

84. Chan LK, Gerstenlauer M, Konukiewitz B, et al. Epithelial NEMO/IKK γ limits fibrosis and promotes regeneration during pancreatitis. *Gut.* 2017;66(11):1995-2007.

doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2015-311028

85. Karin M, Yamamoto Y, Wang QM. The IKK NF- κ B system: a treasure trove for drug development. *Nat Rev Drug Discov.* 2004;3(1):17-26. doi:10.1038/nrd1279

86. Bennett J, Capece D, Begalli F, et al. NF- κ B in the crosshairs: Rethinking an old riddle. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol.* 2018;95:108-112. doi:10.1016/j.biocel.2017.12.020

87. Oakley F, Meso M, Iredale JP, et al. Inhibition of inhibitor of kappaB kinases stimulates hepatic stellate cell apoptosis and accelerated recovery from rat liver fibrosis. *Gastroenterology.* 2005;128(1):108-120. Accessed May 18, 2019.

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15633128>

88. Oakley F, Teoh V, Ching-A-Sue G, et al. Angiotensin II activates I kappaB kinase phosphorylation of RelA at Ser 536 to promote myofibroblast survival and liver fibrosis. *Gastroenterology.* 2009;136(7):2334-2344.e1.

doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2009.02.081

- 1 89. Chen L-W, Egan L, Li Z-W, Greten FR, Kagnoff MF, Karin M. The two faces of IKK
2 and NF-kappaB inhibition: prevention of systemic inflammation but increased local
3 injury following intestinal ischemia-reperfusion. *Nat Med*. 2003;9(5):575-581.
4 doi:10.1038/nm849
- 5 90. Li Z-W, Chu W, Hu Y, et al. The IKK β Subunit of I κ B Kinase (IKK) is Essential for
6 Nuclear Factor κ B Activation and Prevention of Apoptosis. *J Exp Med*.
7 1999;189(11):1839-1845. doi:10.1084/jem.189.11.1839
- 8 91. Li Q, Van Antwerp D, Mercurio F, Lee KF, Verma IM. Severe liver degeneration in
9 mice lacking the I κ B kinase 2 gene. *Science*. 1999;284(5412):321-325.
10 Accessed May 18, 2019. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10195897>
- 11 92. Li Q, Verma IM. NF- κ B regulation in the immune system. *Nat Rev Immunol*.
12 2002;2(10):725-734. doi:10.1038/nri910
- 13 93. Perkins ND. Integrating cell-signalling pathways with NF-kappaB and IKK function.
14 *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2007;8(1):49-62. doi:10.1038/nrm2083
- 15 94. Shono Y, Tuckett AZ, Liou H-C, et al. Characterization of a c-Rel Inhibitor That
16 Mediates Anticancer Properties in Hematologic Malignancies by Blocking NF- κ B-
17 Controlled Oxidative Stress Responses. *Cancer Res*. 2016;76(2):377-389.
18 doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-2814
- 19 95. Shono Y, Tuckett AZ, Ouk S, et al. A small-molecule c-Rel inhibitor reduces
20 alloactivation of T cells without compromising antitumor activity. *Cancer Discov*.
21 2014;4(5):578-591. doi:10.1158/2159-8290.CD-13-0585
- 22 96. Grinberg-Bleyer Y, Oh H, Desrichard A, et al. NF- κ B c-Rel Is Crucial for the
23 Regulatory T Cell Immune Checkpoint in Cancer. *Cell*. 2017;170(6):1096-1108.e13.
24 doi:10.1016/J.CELL.2017.08.004
- 25 97. De Bock K, Georgiadou M, Schoors S, et al. Role of PFKFB3-Driven Glycolysis in
26 Vessel Sprouting. *Cell*. 2013;154(3):651-663. doi:10.1016/J.CELL.2013.06.037

98. Heise N, De Silva NS, Silva K, et al. Germinal center B cell maintenance and differentiation are controlled by distinct NF- κ B transcription factor subunits. *J Exp Med*. 2014;211(10):2103-2118. doi:10.1084/jem.20132613
99. Mederacke I, Hsu CC, Troeger JS, et al. Fate tracing reveals hepatic stellate cells as dominant contributors to liver fibrosis independent of its aetiology. *Nat Commun*. 2013;4:2823. doi:10.1038/ncomms3823
100. Higgins GA, ANDERSON RE, Higgins G, Anderson R. Experimental pathology of liver: restoration of liver in white rat following partial surgical removal. Published online January 1, 1931. Accessed January 10, 2020. <https://www.scienceopen.com/document?vid=57858414-5eff-4c8d-a028-ccb3fc1c44a6>
101. Oakley F, Mann J, Nailard S, et al. Nuclear Factor- κ B1 (p50) Limits the Inflammatory and Fibrogenic Responses to Chronic Injury. *Am J Pathol*. 2005;166(3):695-708. doi:10.1016/S0002-9440(10)62291-2

Figure Legends

Figure 1. c-Rel is a feature of chronic liver, kidney and lung disease in humans and epithelial c-Rel signalling regulates hepatic fibrogenesis and regeneration in mice

(a) Representative images show c-Rel staining in normal and diseased liver, lung and kidney sections. (b) Graphs show average percentage area of c-Rel stained tissue in normal liver, lung and kidney sections compared to diseased human liver (alcoholic liver disease (ALD), primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)), diseased kidney (focal segmented glomerular sclerosis (FSGS) and diabetic nephropathy (DN)) or lung disease, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF). Data are mean \pm

1 s.e.m. in 7 healthy and 11 diseased patient tissue for liver (p value = 0.0003), 5 healthy
2 and 13 diseased patient tissue for kidney (p value = 0.0002) and 5 healthy and 8 diseased
3 patient tissue for lung (p value <0.0001). (c) FACS plot showing the Mean Fluorescence
4 Intensity (MFI) of GFP in hepatocytes, cholangiocytes (EPCAM+) and non-parenchymal
5 (EpCAM-) cells from the liver of *Rel^{flfl}* (grey) and *Rel^{ΔAlb}* (blue) mice. (d) Representative
6 images show c-Rel staining 5 mice/group in olive oil *Rel^{flfl}* mice and CCl₄ injured *Rel^{flfl}* and
7 *Rel^{ΔAlb}* mice. (e-f) Histological assessment and representative images of (e) αSMA (p
8 value = 0.005) and (f) PCNA (p value = 0.005) stained liver sections in acute CCl₄ injured
9 *Rel^{flfl}* and *Rel^{ΔAlb}* mice. Data are mean ± s.e.m. in 5 mice/group. Scale bars equal 50
10 microns. All P values were calculated using a unpaired two-sided T test (* P <0.05, *** P
11 <0.001).

12

13 **Figure 2. c-Rel signalling regulates epithelial inflammatory responses via regulation**
14 **of Pfkfb3**

15 (a) Heatmap showing secreted IL6, Cxcl1, Cxcl2, Cxcl10, Ccl2, Ccl3, Ccl4 and Ccl5,
16 measured by MSD in the media of hepatocytes isolated from WT and *Rel^{-/-}* mice and
17 stimulated ± IL-1β. (b) Graph shows quantification of neutrophil (p value = 0.0012) and
18 macrophage (p value = 0.0039) numbers in the liver of acute CCl₄ injured *Rel^{flfl}* and
19 *Rel^{ΔHep}* mice. (c) Heatmap showing secreted IL6, Cxcl1, Cxcl2, Cxcl10, Ccl2, Ccl3, Ccl4
20 and Ccl5, measured by MSD in the media of hepatocytes isolated from WT and *Rel^{-/-}* mice
21 and stimulated ± TGFβ1. (d) Volcano plots show differentially expressed proteins detected
22 by proteomic analysis of the secretome of WT control and WT TGFβ1 treated hepatocytes
23 (left) and TGFβ1 treated WT and *Rel^{-/-}* hepatocytes (right). (e) Venn diagram shows the
24 number of differentially expressed proteins in TGFβ1 treated WT hepatocytes compared to
25 control WT hepatocytes (Blue) and number of differentially expressed proteins in TGFβ1
26 treated WT hepatocytes compared to TGFβ1 treated *Rel^{-/-}* hepatocytes (Orange). The

1 overlap denotes c-Rel dependent secreted proteins in response to TGFβ1 stimulation. (f)
2 Representative images show CTGF staining in the liver of 6 mice/group acute CCl₄ injured
3 *Rel^{flfl}* and *Rel^{ΔHep}* mice. (g) Graphs show seahorse analysis of glycolysis (extracellular
4 acidification rate, ECAR) and mitochondrial respiration (oxygen consumption rate, OCR) in
5 WT and *Rel^{-/-}* hepatocytes stimulated ± TGFβ1. Where A-D vertical lines refer to the
6 administration of the following compounds: A – Glucose, B – Oligomycin, C- Pyruvate and
7 FCCP, D – Rotenone and Antimycin A. (h) Representative images show Pfkfb3 staining in
8 a minimum of 5 mice/group of olive oil control and CCl₄ injured liver. (i) Graph shows
9 mRNA expression of *Pfkfb3* in WT and *Rel^{-/-}* hepatocytes stimulated ± TGFβ1. (p value =
10 0.0008) (j) Quantification of neutrophil (p value= 0.0097) and macrophage (p value =
11 0.0002) numbers and histological assessment and representative images of αSMA (p
12 value = 0.001) stained liver sections in acute CCl₄ injured *Pfkfb3^{flfl}* and *Pfkfb3^{Δhep}* mice. P
13 values were calculated using a unpaired two-sided T test. (k) Heatmap shows mRNA
14 levels of fibrogenic genes; *Tgfb1*, *Acta2*, *Col1a1*, *Col1a2*, *Timp1*, *Ctgf* and inflammatory
15 genes; *Il1b*, *Il6*, *Tnfa*, *Cxcl1*, *Ccl2* and *Ccl5* in acute CCl₄ injured *Pfkfb3^{flfl}* and *Pfkfb3^{Δhep}*
16 mice. Data in graphs are mean ± s.e.m. in 7 mice/genotype (c), n=5 *Pfkfb3^{flfl}* and n=6
17 *Pfkfb3^{Δhep}* mice (e), or a minimum of 3 independent cell isolations/condition. Scale bars
18 equal 100 microns. (a, c, i) P values were calculated using a two-way ANOVA with Tukey
19 post-hoc t-test. (b, j, k) P values were calculated using unpaired two-tailed T-test (* P
20 <0.05, ** P <0.01 and ***P<0.001).

21

22 **Figure 3. c-Rel signalling in macrophages is pro-fibrogenic and regulates** 23 **macrophage plasticity**

24 (a) Histological assessment and representative images of Picrosirius red (collagen)
25 stained liver sections in chronic CCl₄ injured in *Rel^{flfl}*, *Rel^{ΔAlb}* (p value = 0.0064) and
26 *Rel^{ΔLysM}* (p value = 0.0035) mice. Data are mean ± s.e.m. in 10 mice/group, scale bar

1 equals 100 microns. (b) Representative immuno-fluorescence images show c-Rel (red),
2 CD68 (green) and nuclear (blue) staining in human diseased liver (n=11), kidney (n=13)
3 and lung (n=8) sections. Yellow arrows denote co-localisation of c-Rel and CD68. Scale
4 bars equal 20 microns. (c) Representative bright-field images of WT and *Rel*^{-/-} M1 and M2
5 polarised BMDMs in 3 independent cell isolations. Scale bar = 50 microns (d) Heat map
6 shows mRNA expression of *Nos2*, *Il12*, *Tnfa*, *Il6*, *Il1b*, *Arg1*, *Ym1*, *Il13*, *Hgf* and *Egf* in M0,
7 M1 and M2 polarised WT and *Rel*^{-/-} BMDM respectively. (e) Graphs show glycolysis
8 (extracellular acidification rate, ECAR) and mitochondrial respiration (oxygen consumption
9 rate, OCR) in M1 and M2 polarised WT and *Rel*^{-/-} BMDM respectively. Where A-D vertical
10 lines refer to the administration of the following compounds: A – Glucose, B – Oligomycin,
11 C- Pyruvate and FCCP, D – Rotenone and Antimycin A (f) Graphs show mRNA
12 expression of *Pfkfb3* (p value = 0.029) and *Pfkfb1* (p value = 0.0031) in M1 and M2
13 polarised WT and *Rel*^{-/-} BMDMs. Data are mean \pm s.e.m of n=3 independent cell
14 isolations. (a, d) P values were calculated using a two-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc t-
15 test. (f) P values calculated using an unpaired two-side T test. P values equal *P<0.05 and
16 **P<0.01. Asterisks on heatmaps denote significance between WT and *Rel*^{-/-} macrophages
17 in M1 or M2 responsive genes in line with the M1 or M2 stimulation. There is no significant
18 difference between M0 macrophages from either genotype.

19

20 **Figure 4. c-Rel regulates pro-fibrogenic epithelial-macrophage crosstalk to**
21 **accelerates fibroblast activation**

22 (a) Schematic shows *Rel*^{fl/fl}, *Rel* ^{Δ LysM} and *Rel* ^{Δ Alb} mice receiving CCl₄ mediated acute liver
23 injury. Mice were harvested at day 1 and day 5 post CCl₄ injury during the inflammatory
24 and resolution phases of wound healing (WH) respectively. (b) FACS quantification of the
25 percentage (%) of CD11b^{Hi}F4/80^{Int} inflammatory macrophages in uninjured liver and
26 during the inflammatory (day 1) (p values = 0.0002 for *Rel* ^{Δ Alb} and 0.00012 *Rel* ^{Δ LysM} mice)

1 and resolution (day 5) (p values = 0.0037 for *Rel*^{ΔAlb} and 0.0002 *Rel*^{ΔLysM} mice) phases of
2 WH in acute CCl₄ injured *Rel*^{fl/fl}, *Rel*^{ΔAlb} and *Rel*^{ΔLysM} mice. (c) FACS quantification of the
3 percentage (%) of iNOS+ (p values = 0.0033 for *Rel*^{ΔAlb} and 0.0002 *Rel*^{ΔLysM} mice) and
4 ARG1+ (p values = 0.0043 for *Rel*^{ΔAlb} and 0.0001 *Rel*^{ΔLysM} mice) inflammatory
5 macrophages during the inflammatory and resolution phases of WH respectively in acute
6 CCl₄ injured *Rel*^{fl/fl}, *Rel*^{ΔLysM} and *Rel*^{ΔAlb} mice. Data in graphs are mean ± s.e.m of n=4
7 independent cell isolations. (d) Heatmap shows mRNA expression of inflammatory genes;
8 *Cxcl1*, *Cxcl2*, *Ccl2*, *Il1b* and *Il6* in primary hepatocytes isolated from *Rel*^{fl/fl}, *Rel*^{ΔLysM} and
9 *Rel*^{ΔAlb} mice during the inflammatory phase of WH. (e) Representative immuno-
10 fluorescence images of αSMA (green) and nuclear (blue) staining, scale bar = 50 microns.
11 (f) Graph showing quantification of αSMA stained area(f) in WT hepatic stellate cells
12 cultured in media only (control) or conditioned media from WT or *Rel*^{-/-} hepatocytes treated
13 ± TGFβ1 (p value = 0.0153) or WT or *Rel*^{-/-} M1 and M2 (p value = 0.024) polarised
14 macrophages. Data are mean ± s.e.m of n=3 independent cell isolations. (b, c, f) P values
15 were calculated using a two-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc t-test or an unpaired two-
16 tailed t-test (* P <0.05, ** P <0.01 and *** P <0.001). (g) Model shows c-Rel-Pfkfb3
17 dependent paracrine epithelial-macrophage crosstalk driving fibroblast activation within the
18 fibrogenic niche. Model created using biorender.

19

20

21 **Figure 5. Epithelial or macrophage specific deletion of c-Rel limits renal and** 22 **pulmonary fibrosis**

23 (a) Histological quantification and representative images of Picrosirius red stained
24 collagen in UUO injured kidneys of *Rel*^{fl/fl}, *Rel*^{ΔTEC} (p value = 0.0013) and *Rel*^{ΔLysM} (p value
25 = 0.0002) mice and αSMA positive myofibroblasts in UUO injured kidneys of *Rel*^{fl/fl}, *Rel*^{ΔTEC}
26 (p value = 0.002) and *Rel*^{ΔLysM} mice (p value = 0.0005). (b) Histological quantification and

representative images of Picrosirius red stained bleomycin injured lungs of Rel^{flfl} , $Rel^{\Delta AEC}$ (p value = 0.0155) and $Rel^{\Delta LysM}$ (p value = 0.0004) mice and α SMA stained bleomycin injured lungs of Rel^{flfl} , $Rel^{\Delta AEC}$ (p value = 0.0161) and $Rel^{\Delta LysM}$ (p value = 0.0013) mice. Data are mean \pm s.e.m. in a minimum of 7 mice/group for the kidney and 10 mice/group for the lung. Scale bars equal 100 microns. All P values were calculated using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey post- hoc t-test. P values equal *P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001.

Figure 6. Epithelial and macrophage c-Rel signalling synergistically promote hepatic fibrosis but antagonistically regulate hepatic regeneration in mice

(a) Schematic shows the timeline of intravenous injection administration of adeno-associated virus expressing Cre recombinase (AAV-TBG-Cre) to Rel^{flfl} or $Rel^{\Delta LysM}$ mice to create $Rel^{\Delta Hep}$ and $Rel^{\Delta Hep/\Delta LysM}$ prior to chronic CCl₄ injury. (b) Histological quantification and representative images of Picrosirius red stained sections from chronic CCl₄ injured Rel^{flfl} , $Rel^{\Delta Hep}$ (p value = 0.0093), $Rel^{\Delta LysM}$ (p value = 0.0074) and $Rel^{\Delta Hep/\Delta LysM}$ (p value = 0.0001) mice. (c) Histological quantification and representative images of α SMA stained sections from chronic CCl₄ injured Rel^{flfl} , $Rel^{\Delta Hep}$ (p value = 0.028), $Rel^{\Delta LysM}$ (p value = 0.023) and $Rel^{\Delta Hep/\Delta LysM}$ (p value = 0.0001) mice. (d) Histological quantification and representative images of CD68 (macrophages) sections from chronic CCl₄ injured Rel^{flfl} , $Rel^{\Delta Hep}$ (p value = 0.0316), $Rel^{\Delta LysM}$ (p value = 0.0181) and $Rel^{\Delta Hep/\Delta LysM}$ (p value = 0.00012) mice. (e) Histological quantification and representative images of PCNA stained sections from chronic CCl₄ injured Rel^{flfl} , $Rel^{\Delta Hep}$ (p value = 0.0008), $Rel^{\Delta LysM}$ (p value = 0.0106) and $Rel^{\Delta Hep/\Delta LysM}$ mice. Data are mean \pm s.e.m. in 5 mice/group. Scale bars equal 100 microns. All P values were calculated using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey post-hoc t-test (* P <0.05, ** P <0.01, *** P <0.001).

Figure 7: Pharmacological inhibition of c-Rel limits fibrogenesis in murine models of liver, kidney and lung injury

(a-c) Diagrams show experimental timelines of CCl₄, UUO or bleomycin induced liver, kidney or lung fibrosis ± prophylactic IT-603 (c-Rel inhibitor) therapy. Histological quantification and representative images of αSMA stained liver (p value = 0.0031) and Picrosirius red stained kidney (p value = 0.0099) or lungs (p value = 0.01) following their respective injury. Data are mean ± s.e.m. in 7, 7 and 10 mice/group for liver, kidney and lung respectively. (d-e) Diagrams show experimental timelines of methionine choline deficient diet (MCD) fed or chronic CCl₄ induced liver fibrosis ± therapeutic administration of IT-603. Histological quantification and representative images of Picrosirius red stained MCD (p value = 0.0044) or chronic CCl₄ (p value = 0.001) injured livers pre-treatment and ± therapeutic administration of IT-603. Data are mean ± s.e.m. in 5 pre-treatment mice, 8 vehicle treated MCD mice and 7 IT-603 treated MCD fed mice. Data are mean ± s.e.m. in 5 pre-treatment mice, 7 vehicle treated chronic CCl₄ injured mice and 7 IT-603 treated chronic CCl₄ injured mice. Scale bars equal 100 microns. (a-c) P values calculated using two-sided student T Test. (d-e) P values were calculated using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey post- hoc t-test. P values equal *P<0.05 and **P<0.01 versus vehicle treatment.

Figure 8: Pharmacological inhibition of c-Rel limits fibrogenesis in human precision cut liver slices

(a-b) Representative images and histological quantification of (a) Picrosirius red (p value = 0.0009) and (b) αSMA (p value = 0.0006) stained liver slices ± TGFβ1 ± IT-603 therapy. Red line denotes the value for the T=0 slice. (c-d) Quantification of (c) soluble collagen (p value = 0.0023) and (d) the neo-epitope pro C3 (p value 0.0286) released from fibrotic liver slices ± IT-603 therapy. (e) Graph showing average LDH release in the media expressed as a percentage (%) of positive control (LDH levels in media from a PCS where maximal

1 death was induced by multiple freeze/thaws – normalized to media volume) where p
2 values = 0.0044 and 0.0004 for IT-603 and IT-603+TGF β 1 respectively. Images are
3 representative of n=3 independent slice experiments. Data are mean \pm s.e.m. and
4 representative of slices generated from 3 independent donors performed in duplicate.
5 Scale bars equal 100 microns. P values were calculated using two-way ANOVA with
6 Tukey post- hoc t-test (*P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001).