

This is a repository copy of *Nasal respiration is necessary for ketamine-dependent high frequency network oscillations and behavioral hyperactivity in rats*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/167699/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Whittington, Miles Adrian orcid.org/0000-0002-0247-1362 and Hunt, Mark Jeremy (2020) Nasal respiration is necessary for ketamine-dependent high frequency network oscillations and behavioral hyperactivity in rats. *Scientific Reports*. 18981. ISSN: 2045-2322

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-75641-1>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



OPEN

Nasal respiration is necessary for ketamine-dependent high frequency network oscillations and behavioral hyperactivity in rats

Jacek Wróbel¹, Władysław Średniawa^{1,2}, Gabriela Jurkiewicz³, Jarosław Żygierewicz³, Daniel K. Wójcik^{1,4}, Miles Adrian Whittington⁵ & Mark Jeremy Hunt¹✉

Changes in oscillatory activity are widely reported after subanesthetic ketamine, however their mechanisms of generation are unclear. Here, we tested the hypothesis that nasal respiration underlies the emergence of high-frequency oscillations (130–180 Hz, HFO) and behavioral activation after ketamine in freely moving rats. We found ketamine 20 mg/kg provoked “fast” theta sniffing in rodents which correlated with increased locomotor activity and HFO power in the OB. Bursts of ketamine-dependent HFO were coupled to “fast” theta frequency sniffing. Theta coupling of HFO bursts were also found in the prefrontal cortex and ventral striatum which, although of smaller amplitude, were coherent with OB activity. Haloperidol 1 mg/kg pretreatment prevented ketamine-dependent increases in fast sniffing and instead HFO coupling to slower basal respiration. Consistent with ketamine-dependent HFO being driven by nasal respiration, unilateral naris blockade led to an ipsilateral reduction in ketamine-dependent HFO power compared to the control side. Bilateral nares blockade reduced ketamine-induced hyperactivity and HFO power and frequency. These findings suggest that nasal airflow entrains ketamine-dependent HFO in diverse brain regions, and that the OB plays an important role in the broadcast of this rhythm.

Bipolar depression and schizophrenia share a degree of related pathophysiology of corticolimbic circuits and genetic factors^{1,2}. Symptoms can also overlap to the extent that a schizophrenia-bipolar continuum classification has been proposed³. Ketamine, at subanesthetic doses, produce a transient psychotic-like state originally reported almost 60 years ago⁴ but paradoxically also has powerful antidepressant effects⁵. Curiously, the acute psychosis-like effects of ketamine may be pivotal in generating its later longer-term antidepressant efficacy^{6,7} however, ketamine’s mechanisms of action on fundamental brain network functions remain enigmatic.

Subanesthetic doses of ketamine, and related compounds, have been reported to elevate gamma oscillatory power in cortical and hippocampal regions in rodents^{8–10}, sheep¹¹, non-human primates¹² and humans^{13,14}. We, and others, have found subanesthetic doses of ketamine can also induce a faster rhythm (high frequency oscillations, HFO, 130–180 Hz) in diverse cortical and subcortical areas^{15–22}. There is also some evidence, from magnetencephalography, that ketamine-dependent HFO can occur in humans²³. Nasal respiration, has long been known to powerfully entrain brain rhythms recorded in local field potentials (LFPs) in olfactory and downstream non-olfactory areas^{24–27}. Fast oscillations (> 30 Hz) also couple to this nasal respiration²⁸ and establish synchronized neuronal firing²⁹ important for neural coding of information. Slower oscillations are considered to enable integration of spatially distributed networks^{30,31}. However, it is unknown if nasal airflow drives ketamine-dependent HFO. Several rodent studies have provided descriptive or semi-quantitative accounts of changes in “theta” fast sniffing after ketamine or other *N*-methyl-D-aspartic acid (NMDA) receptor antagonists^{32–34} but its relation to behavioral and fast oscillatory activity remains unknown. Given the prominent role of the OB in the generation of both nasal respiration rhythm and ketamine-dependent HFO³⁵, we tested the hypothesis that nasal airflow drives the emergence of ketamine-dependent HFO and associated behavioral activation. Since olfactory networks are closely linked with limbic areas³⁶ and since psychiatric disturbances are often associated

¹Nencki Institute of Experimental Biology, 3 Pasteur Street, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland. ²Faculty of Biology, University of Warsaw, 02-096 Warsaw, Poland. ³Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, 02-096 Warsaw, Poland. ⁴Faculty of Management and Social Communication, Jagiellonian University, 30-348 Cracow, Poland. ⁵University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, UK. ✉email: m.hunt@nencki.edu.pl

with dysfunctional frontostriatal connectivity³⁷ we also examined associated activity in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) and ventral striatum (VS).

Results

Ketamine induces fast sniffing which is reversed by haloperidol. Ketamine is known to affect NMDA receptors and dopamine systems³⁸, with dopamine dysregulation associated with psychosis and to some extent depression¹. Rats were placed in a chamber and thermocouple signals recorded after injection of ketamine or saline. A subgroup of five rats were preinjected with haloperidol and then ketamine. Consistent with the findings of others nasal respiration typically fell into two categories, slow nasal respiration (1–3 Hz) which was typically associated with quiet waking and rest, and fast respiration (4–10 Hz) associated with exploratory activity. Rats typically switch between bouts of active sniffing lasting for several seconds to resting activity. Figure 1A1,A2 shows example traces, and spectra shortly after injection of saline, ketamine, and haloperidol + ketamine. Stereotypic behavior, such as sniffing, is usually grouped with other repetitive behaviors^{39,40}. Using thermocouples, we were able to precisely examine nasal respiration and its relationship to behavior and oscillatory activity. After ketamine, rats displayed prolonged, almost continuous fast sniffing which lasted for around 15 min (N = 8). This was visible as a change in the median sniffing frequency ~6 Hz compared to saline ~2 Hz. This stereotyped behavior occurred in parallel with behavioral hyperactivity. An increase in fast sniffing, a normal exploratory response, was also observed when the rat was returned to the recording chamber after saline injection, but after 1–2 min this returned to baseline values. Complete time-courses of dominant frequency (p = 0.001, Kruskal–Wallis) and proportion of fast (4–10 Hz) sniffing (p < 0.0001, one-way ANOVA), along with averages of the first 15 min post ketamine are shown in Fig. 1B,C. In a subset of rats (N = 5) we found 1.0 mg/kg haloperidol pretreatment prevented ketamine-induced increases in fast sniffing and which remained close to control values.

Ketamine behavioral hyperactivity shared a similar time course with fast sniffing and was also reduced by 1.0 mg/kg haloperidol pretreatment (Fig. 1D). Pooled data from all rats for first 15 min post ketamine revealed a positive correlation between beam breaks and the proportion of fast sniffing (Spearman $r = 0.7366$; p < 0.0001). Analysis of individual rats revealed correlations were significant in 7/8 rats (p < 0.001). Prolonged fast sniffing was present in one rat in which no correlation was found (Fig. 1E).

Ketamine increases HFO power which correlated with fast sniffing. We next examined oscillatory activity within the LFP recordings from the OB (N = 8) in more detail. In control conditions, HFO (130–180 Hz) are often barely visible in spectra (Fig. 2A1). By contrast, gamma oscillations are prominent features of LFPs recorded from the OB and divided into low- (30–70 Hz) and high-gamma bands (70–100 Hz)⁴¹. Consistent with our previous reports ketamine produced an almost immediate increase in HFO power lasting around 15 min before returning to baseline (Fig. 2A2)^{15,16,35}. Time courses of the effect of intraperitoneal injection of ketamine on low-gamma and high-gamma, and HFO are shown in Fig. 2B1. Analysis of the mean 15 min before and immediately after ketamine revealed a significant increase in HFO power (p = 0.0078 paired-test). Changes in low- and high gamma did not reach significance (p = 0.098, p = 0.20, respectively, paired t-test, Fig. 2B2). Saline injection did not influence gamma or HFO power. Given the striking similarities of the time-courses of HFO, fast sniffing and locomotion we examined if there was a relationship between these measures. Pooled analysis from all rats of the first 15 min post ketamine revealed a significant correlation (Spearman) between HFO power and proportion of fast sniffing (r = 0.3; p < 0.0001) and a stronger correlation for HFO and beam breaks (r = 0.6; p < 0.0001). Analyses from individual rats revealed this correlation was significant for HFO power/fast sniffing in 7/8 rat and HFO power/beam breaks in 8/8 rats (individual R values are shown in Fig. 2C).

Bursts of ketamine-HFO were largely coherent on left and right sides, however, occasional asymmetric bursts could be observed (Fig. 2D1). Closer inspection revealed that although bursts were largely coincident they were not synchronous with troughs rarely in phase between sides (Fig. 2D2). Coherence spectra for left and right OB revealed little coherence between the sides (Fig. 2E) indicating independent HFO generators of this rhythm.

Ketamine-induced HFO is coupled to nasal respiration. Considering ketamine influences nasal respiration and that nasal respiration can entrain oscillations in the OB we investigated this relationship further (analyses based on thermocouple/LFP data; N = 8). Example simultaneous thermocouple recordings, LFPs (1–10 Hz, 70–100 Hz, and 130–180 Hz) and average spectra are shown in Fig. 3A,B. At baseline we observed significant coupling for 70–100 Hz oscillations to the thermocouple signal and local OB oscillations. The driving frequency was 5–8 Hz, which corresponded to fast sniffing (Fig. 3B1). Immediately after injection of ketamine we observed significant coupling to the HFO band at the same driving frequency (Fig. 3B2). Notably, bursts of HFO and gamma occurred at different phases of the respiratory rhythm, with HFO associated towards the peak and gamma on the ascending phase (see polar plots and Supplementary Figs. 1 and 2).

Time-courses showing significant coupling of fast rhythms to 1–10 Hz activity from an example rat are shown, along with mean modulation index (MI) and mean driving frequency for all rats in Fig. 3C–E. After injection of ketamine, respiratory and auto coupling (coupling within the same LFP signal) to high-gamma diminished and instead strong coupling to HFO was observed. As the ketamine effect wore off (around 20 min) coupling returned to pre-ketamine levels (Fig. 3C1–3, D1–3). In the rats pretreated with haloperidol, injection of ketamine was also associated with HFO coupling but at a slower driving frequency, around 2 Hz and this coupling was stronger than ketamine alone (mean of first 15 min p < 0.05, paired t-test, Fig. 3E1–3).

Ketamine-dependent HFO bursts are coherent across ipsilateral brain regions and modulated by theta frequencies. We examined the relationship between ketamine-dependent HFO in the OB and VS and PFC, since these regions have been shown previously to display increases in HFO power after ketamine^{16,21,35}.




Figure 1. Ketamine is associated with fast sniffing which is reversed by haloperidol. **(A1)** Example traces of nasal respiration shortly after injection of saline, ketamine or haloperidol + ketamine. **(A2)** Power spectra calculated for 60 s show the dominant sniffing frequency for each condition. **(B)** Time-courses showing the median dominant sniffing frequency after injection of saline, ketamine (N = 8 rats) and haloperidol + ketamine (subset N = 5 rats). Adjacent plot shows the dominant sniffing frequency for each rat calculated for the first 15 min after injection ($p = 0.001$, Kruskal–Wallis, Dunn’s post hoc). **(C)** Time-courses showing the proportion of fast sniffing after injection. Adjacent plot shows the proportion of fast sniffing (4–10 Hz) for each rat calculated for the first 15 min after injection ($p < 0.0001$, one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni post hoc). **(D)** Time-course showing beam break activity after injection. Adjacent shows individual beam breaks calculated for each rat for the first 15 min after injection ($p < 0.0001$, one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni post hoc). **(E)** Correlation between the proportion of fast sniffing and beam break activity for the first 15 min post injection for all rats. Linear correlation slope differed from zero ($p < 0.0001$, one-way ANOVA). Adjacent plot shows Spearman’s rank correlation score which was significant for 7 of 8 rats. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.




Figure 2. Ketamine differentially affects gamma and HFO power. (**A1**, **A2**) Example spectrograms of time courses showing the effect of saline and ketamine injection on LFP oscillations recorded in the OB. (**B1**) Time-courses showing the effect of ketamine injection on the power of low gamma (30–70 Hz), high gamma (70–100 Hz) and HFO (130–180 Hz). (**B2**) Plots for individual rats showing the mean power at baseline and mean power post injection of ketamine (15 min blocks, $N=8$ rats, as in Fig. 1). (**C**) Scatter plot showing the correlation between HFO power and proportion of fast sniffing (white circles) and beam breaks (black circles). Spearman r values are shown for each rat. (**D1**) 130–180 bandpass-filtered LFPs shortly after ketamine recorded from the left and right OB. Bursts of HFO often occurred at similar moments, however occasionally bursts were observed more clearly on one side only. (**D2**) Expansion of a single burst showing that although bursts are often coherent they are not in phase. (**E**) Mean and SEM coherence spectra for left vs. right OB recordings shortly after injection of ketamine (also compare with Fig. 4A4).




Figure 3. Nasal respiration entrains ketamine HFO in the OB. (A1–A3) Example of simultaneously-recorded nasal respiration and LFP oscillatory activity at baseline and after injection of ketamine. (B1–B3) Frequency spectra showing the relationship between dominant oscillatory activity in the OB and both thermocouple (black waveform) and local 1–10 Hz activity (blue waveform). Adjacent polar plots show the phase of high-gamma (blue) and HFO (red) with respect to local OB oscillations. Note high-gamma tends to occur on the ascending phase, while HFO is associated with the peak. (C1) Example time course from a representative rat showing the strength of only significant ($p < 0.05$ with False Discovery Rate correction) cross frequency coupling of fast LFP rhythms to nasal respiration. (C2) Mean and SEM of the modulation index (MI) for all rats and (C3) corresponding driving frequency ($N = 8$ rats as in Fig. 1). Note, at baseline the dominant gamma coupling (grey) and immediately after ketamine HFO coupling (black), both driven by a 6 Hz “theta” frequency. (D1–D3) Same as (C) except coupling was evaluated in relation to local 1–10 Hz activity from the same OB channel. (E1–E3) Same as (D) except the rats received an initial injection of haloperidol 1 mg/kg ($N = 5$ rats).




Figure 4. Ketamine-dependent HFO in multi-structures are dependent on nasal airflow. **(A1)** Example 130–180 Hz bandpass-filtered and raw waveforms (1 s) from the OB, PFC and VS after injection of ketamine ($N=6$ rats). An expanded HFO burst (50 ms) is shown in **(A2)**. **(A3)** Scatter of individual peak-to-peak offsets between cycles of HFO in the PFC/VS with respect to OB (peak-to-peak differences for the first 10 min post injection). Note one outlier in the PFC group. **(A4)** Coherence spectra for raw LFPs calculated for the OB/VS and OB/PFC shortly after injection of ketamine. **(B1)** Example time course showing strength of only significant ($p < 0.05$ with False Discovery Rate correction) coupling for local 1–10 Hz rhythms and fast oscillations for the OB, PFC and VS. Injection of ketamine is indicated by the arrow. **(B2)** Modulation index (MI) for mean and SEM for all rats $N=6$. **(B3, B4)** Modulation index and driving frequencies (first 10 min post ketamine), data are expressed as mean \pm SEM.

In a separate series of rats ($N=6$) implanted with electrodes in the OB, VS and PFC injection of ketamine was associated with bursts of HFO occurred at similar times in these structures (Fig. 4A1,A2). Analysis of the 130–180 Hz band-pass filtered signal revealed close to zero phase lag between OB and PFC (in one outlier rat HFO was of relatively low amplitude and we observed a greater offset; 0.24 ± 1.1 ms outlier removed, Fig. 4A3). Offsets between OB and VS were more variable (1.1 ± 0.3 ms). Analyses of the raw LFPs revealed ketamine-dependent HFO were largely coherent in the PFC and VS, with respect to OB (Fig. 4A4).

Olfactory networks are closely associated with limbic areas³⁶. This suggests nasal breathing may influence oscillatory activity in downstream limbic areas. After injection of ketamine significant theta-HFO coupling was observed in all structures (see Fig. 4B1 for an example and mean MI time courses in Fig. 4B2). Two-way ANOVA (group \times time $F_{314,2370} = 4.68$, $p < 0.0001$) revealed coupling was significant stronger in the OB vs. VS and PFC (all $p < 0.001$). Mean MI and driving frequency ~ 5.5 Hz for first 10 min are shown in Fig. 4B3,B4.

Unilateral naris blockade reduces ketamine-induced HFO in cortical and subcortical areas. Since nasal respiration and ketamine-HFO are critically linked we tested the hypothesis that unilateral naris occlusion reduces ketamine-HFO power. Rats with electrodes implanted bilaterally in the OB (N=8) and VS, PFC (N=7) received unilateral nares blockade. Baseline respiratory rhythm was visible in OB recordings however, although it was not always as pronounced in the raw LFP in VS and PFC channels. Unilateral naris occlusion was associated with almost immediate desynchronization of the raw LFPs on the ipsilateral side (Fig. 5A1,B1,C1) and served as a reliable marker of naris blockade. Unilateral naris occlusion was associated with diminished HFO power after ketamine on the ipsilateral side, whilst on the control side HFO remained large time \times group OB ($F_{59,590} = 2.52$, $p < 0.0001$), VS ($F_{59,708} = 2.52$, $p < 0.0001$), PFC ($F_{59,708} = 2.52$, $p < 0.0001$). Inset histograms shows the HFO modulation index for the first 15 min post ketamine injection and shows that this was also significantly reduced on the ipsilateral versus contralateral side (Fig. 5A2,B2,C2).

Bilateral naris blockade reduces ketamine-induced hyperlocomotion and produces complex effects on HFO. Respiratory rhythms, which were clearly visible in the OB LFPs at baseline were almost completely absent in both OBs after occlusion. Interestingly, we did observe a small increase in the power of a fast-oscillatory rhythm, around 100–120 Hz. In bilateral nares-occluded rats, ketamine injection was associated with an immediate increase in the power of HFO, lasting 1–2 min followed by a return to baseline levels. In controls, ketamine-induced increases in the power of HFO lasted for the standard length of time, 10–12 min. Repeated measures two-way ANOVA revealed a significant time \times group interaction ($F_{79,1106} = 3.79$, $p < 0.0001$). Bonferroni post hoc analyses revealed a significant reduction of HFO power in occluded rats for the first 3.5–9 min post ketamine injection ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 5D). Unexpectedly, dominant frequency after ketamine was markedly reduced in occluded rats (107.9 ± 2.5 Hz, mean of the first 5 min) after injection compared to 139.3 ± 1.5 Hz in controls ($p < 0.001$, paired t-test, Fig. 5D insert).


Considering that the power of ketamine-induced HFO and locomotion correlate positively, and that unilateral nares blockade can reduce HFO, we speculated that bilateral nares blockade would impact ketamine-induced locomotion. In rodents, bilateral nares blockade was associated with clear mouth breathing, which was present throughout the course of the recording. In nares-occluded rats, ketamine-induced behavior was qualitatively and quantitatively different compared to controls. Rather than the typical circling behavior, associated with ketamine in controls, we observed an initial ataxic response where the rat would lose balance, ‘wobble’, and slowly move its head from side to side. This lasted for around 5 min and was followed by mild locomotor excitation. Compared to controls, this hyperlocomotor response was delayed in occluded rats and significantly less intense. Repeated measures two-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect of group ($F_{1,79} = 12.12$, $p = 0.0037$) and time \times group interaction ($F_{79,1106} = 11.78$, $p < 0.0001$). Bonferroni post hoc analyses revealed a significant difference for the first 10 min post ketamine injection ($p < 0.001$, Fig. 5E). Notably, we also examined ketamine-induced locomotion for unilateral naris occlusion and there were no significant differences compared to non-occluded controls ($F_{1,79} = 0.01$, $P = 0.9$, Supplementary Fig. 3).

Discussion

In control conditions both low- and high-gamma were present in OB LFPs, but clear theta coupling was found only for the high gamma band (around 80 Hz). A finding consistent with observations of others who have associated this coupling with exploration and sensori-motor processing^{41,42}. Ketamine increased the power of the faster HFO band which was coupled to theta rhythms. HFO-theta coupling was strongest in the OB, but also present in the VS and PFC. Thus, with respect to fast oscillations, ketamine could be said to have two effects. Firstly, the natural theta-gamma coupling, considered important for neural coding⁴³ would be derailed. Secondly, the emergence of aberrant HFO which correlated with two key features of the ketamine model, stereotypic sniffing and behavioral hyperactivity.

Abnormal oscillatory activity have been associated with psychiatric diseases, perhaps most notably schizophrenia^{44,45}. Ketamine is reported widely to affect brain rhythms in experimental animals and humans^{9,10,13}. Gamma rhythms have received a lot of attention due to their role in higher order functioning and increases gamma band activity speculated to represent elevated background electrophysiological noise with translational value for models of schizophrenia⁴⁶. Jones et al. have shown that clozapine, olanzapine, and haloperidol reduce ketamine-dependent increases in ECoG gamma power^{47,48}. The HFO band also interacts with antipsychotics, chiefly second generation drugs, but in a different way, reductions in frequency rather than power occur⁴⁹. Spontaneous HFO is extremely weak at baseline and although increased during quiet waking and REM sleep⁵⁰ clear functional links remain unknown. After NMDA receptor administration in many brain regions, increases in HFO power tend to be the dominant fast oscillatory change in many brain regions, of awake rodents, and are visible as a clear peak in the power spectra^{16–18,20,22,51}. It is worth pointing out that ketamine-dependent HFO, although of similar frequency, occur independently of hippocampal ripples¹⁵. With respect to gamma, in experimental rodents, NMDA receptor antagonists increase gamma power in many brain regions, often as activity broadband rather than a well-defined peak^{9,10,47,52}. However, reductions in gamma power have also been observed after ketamine in the nucleus accumbens (bipolar LFPs)¹⁵, after microinfusion of MK801 to the OB⁵³, and also in the present study, indicating that ketamine produces regionally-specific effects on different oscillatory networks.

The mechanisms underlying presence of coherent ketamine-dependent HFO across different brain regions are unclear. This rhythm might be attributed to local HFO generators e.g. in the VS⁵⁴ dependent on OB input³⁵. Indeed, mitral tufted cells, the main projection neurons from the OB, project to diverse regions and can impose oscillatory activity in their targets (e.g., gamma in the piriform cortex⁵⁵). Volume-conducted signals can be detected many millimeters from their site of generation⁵⁶ and have been proposed to explain the presence of gamma rhythms in VS⁵⁷. In our study, ipsilaterally-recorded ketamine-dependent HFO were coherent but of



◀Figure 5. Effect of naris blockade on ketamine-induced HFO and hyperactivity. (**A1, B1, C1**) Raw LFPs and 130–180 Hz band-pass filtered waveforms post ketamine recorded bilaterally from the olfactory bulb (N = 8), ventral striatum and prefrontal cortex (N = 7). In each case waveforms are shown from the occluded and control (non-occluded) sides. Corresponding 60 s power spectra following ketamine injection are also shown. (**A2, B2, C2**) Time courses for all rats showing the effect of unilateral naris blockade on the power of HFO in the olfactory bulb, ventral striatum and PFC. Note Y-axes are orders of magnitude different for different structures. Inserts for each time course show the modulation index for occluded versus control sides for the first 15 min. post injection of ketamine. (**D**) Time courses showing the effect of bilateral nares occlusion on ketamine-induced increases in HFO power. Bilateral naris occlusion was associated with a significant reduction in HFO power compared to the control (non-occluded) state ($p < 0.05$, 2 way-ANOVA, Bonferroni post hoc). Although the power of spontaneous HFO was equivalent in control and occluded groups, post occlusion unexpectedly we observed a small but significant increase in the power of HFO. Inset, shows the HFO frequency (initial 5 min period post ketamine) which was significantly reduced after bilateral nares occlusion. (**E**) Time courses of beam breaks after ketamine for bilateral naris occluded and control (non-occluded) conditions. Bilateral naris occlusion was associated with a significant reduction in beam breaks which was also notably delayed occurring several minutes after ketamine injection. Bilateral naris occlusion did not influence beam break activity prior to ketamine injection.

smaller amplitude in the VS/PFC compared to OB. It possible part of this rhythm arises from OB volume-conducted currents. In our previous study we found muscimol inhibition of OB activity reduced but did not completely attenuated ketamine-dependent HFO in the VS³⁵. Also, on in the VS, in particular we observed a phase shifts which would be unexpected for volume-conducted signals. Together, these findings suggest that the OB accounts, at least in part, for ketamine-dependent HFO in the VS/PFC. It is possibly that other brain regions that receive powerful projections from the OB, such as the piriform cortex, play a role in ketamine-dependent HFO recorded in corticolimbic areas and further studies are warranted to investigate this.

Fast oscillations are ubiquitous in sensory networks and can synchronize spike discharge of neuronal ensembles⁵⁸. Coupling of faster with slower oscillations is considered to enable communication between distant brain areas. In this study we found synchronous bursts of ketamine-dependent HFO in the OB, PFC and VS coupled to theta-driven respiration. Coupling of fast brain rhythms to theta frequencies has been linked functionally to working memory³¹, visual⁵⁹, and olfactory processing^{60,61}. Ketamine, which enables local networks to generate HFO more easily, combined with nasal respiration represents a mechanism of synchronization across brain regions, with each respiratory cycle (driven by a wave of stimuli hitting the olfactory system).

Our study shows that ketamine induced a distinct breathing pattern in rats. Unlike natural sniffing, ketamine-induced sniffing was continuous, for almost the complete duration of ketamine's action and appeared stereotyped and purposeless. This finding is consistent with the general increases in stereotypy reported after ketamine and related NMDA receptor antagonists⁶². Increases in nasal respiration are generally linked to locomotor activity and have been associated with exploration⁶³, reward expectancy⁶⁴ and increased metabolic demand⁶⁵. In line with this, we observed ketamine-dependent increases in sniffing and locomotion were correlated both with each other and the increases in HFO power. Stereotypies and hyperlocomotion are classical dopaminergic behaviors⁶⁶ and the dopamine agonist apomorphine has long been known to produce stereotypic sniffing in rodents⁶⁷. By contrast, HFO power is not markedly affected by dopaminergics¹⁶, including apomorphine (unpublished) or by dopamine receptor blockade⁴⁹, indicating NMDA receptor blockade is the key factor underlying generation of this rhythm. We found the ketamine-related behavioral changes were attenuated by haloperidol, which has important translational value and suggests theta-driven HFO after ketamine, but not HFO generation per se, is dopamine-dependent. However, haloperidol can also act on NR2B receptors⁶⁸ and we cannot exclude the possibility that actions at this site contribute to the effect we observed.

Ketamine-dependent HFO correlated with stereotypic sniffing and hyperlocomotion, both widely established measures of psychotic-like behavior in rodents^{69,70}. Correlations between HFO power and hyperactivity have been reported by many groups, however a causal link has not been demonstrated. Interestingly, Hansen found that locomotion is not the major driving factor for ketamine-dependent HFO²¹, in line with our previous work⁴⁹ (and haloperidol here) showing that reduced locomotor activity does not reduce HFO power. We and others have shown that HFO power is increased in the MAM neurodevelopmental rat model of schizophrenia^{18,71} and atypical antipsychotics (clozapine/risperidone) interact with this HFO to reduce its frequency by as much as 80 Hz⁴⁹. These findings point towards relevance of ketamine-dependent HFO and preclinical models of schizophrenia. In this study, we used 20 mg/kg ketamine and it is worth pointing out that other authors have observed ketamine-dependent HFO can also emerge after lower doses^{18,20,51}. Although the mechanisms of HFO generation is likely to be similar we have not investigated lower doses in the context of nares blockade.

Here, we show that nasal respiration can drive the generation of ketamine-dependent HFO. This was demonstrated using simultaneous thermocouple and LFP recordings, and unilateral naris occlusion which was associated with unilateral reductions in HFO on the ipsilateral side. Ketamine-dependent faster stereotypic nasal respiration was associated with increased HFO power, but also slow basal respiration modulated HFO power in the presence of haloperidol. However, in the current study we did not examine the effect of low doses of ketamine, for example 3 mg/kg can produce weak increases in HFO without markedly altering locomotion⁵¹. Further studies are warranted to document the effect of other psychoactive compounds such as LSD or DOI which can increase HFO power without affecting locomotion²¹.

To date, the majority of studies examining NMDA receptor-dependent HFO and gamma rhythms have focused on their relevance to the NMDA hypofunction model of schizophrenia, which is supported by findings that both rhythms interact with antipsychotics and are changed in other models of schizophrenia. Several studies

have shown gamma power increases in humans after ketamine, but frequencies above the gamma band are notoriously hard to record without invasive techniques. However, there is some evidence from MEG that ketamine-dependent HFO does occur in the human brain. Intriguingly, clinical reports have shown that the psychosis-like actions of ketamine correlate with later antidepressant effects⁷ and can even predict clinical responses in treatment-resistant depression⁷². The short-lasting changes in ketamine-dependent oscillations (around 15 min) may represent this initial stage of ketamine's actions which lead to longer-term plastic changes.

HFO in the brain tends to denote spiking activity⁷³ and thus HFO synchronized at theta frequencies by respiration would be expected to reflect acute widespread firing of projection neurons. Indeed, ketamine-dependent HFO, at least in the OB, associates with the firing of projection neurons which appears essential for relay to limbic regions³⁵. Electrical high frequency stimulation is an emerging method used for the treatment of depression with effective frequencies commonly 130 Hz⁷⁴ strikingly similar to ketamine-dependent HFO. Against the notion that HFO reflect direct/indirect therapeutic effects, Zanos has shown that the antidepressant actions of the ketamine metabolite (2R,6R)-HNK are independent of NMDA receptor blockade and devoid of ketamine-related side effects⁷⁵. These data would argue that NMDA receptor-dependent actions of ketamine, including HFO, are not required for antidepressant effect, but rather may be associated with adverse drug effects. Thus although the functional relevance of ketamine-dependent oscillatory changes, whether therapeutic or adverse, remain to be explained the presence of fast oscillatory activity in the brain would be predicted to have physiological consequences. For example, *in vitro* electrical high frequency stimulation (HFS > 100 Hz) has long been known to produce long-term potentiation (LTP)⁷⁶. Potentially more relevant to the ketamine model is that theta-burst high frequency stimulation also produces LTP⁷⁷ possibly in a more robust way⁷⁸.

It is also worth noting that bulbectomy is a widely used rodent model of depression which produces downstream changes in the same limbic circuits as affected in patients³⁶. Ketamine-HFO are dependent on OB activity³⁵ and this issue gains importance since intranasal delivery is the preferred route of administration for ketamine and its analogues, in depression. Thus, OB effects appear important which may work in parallel with other networks, for example Yang showed recently that ketamine inhibited burst activity in the habenula an "antireward" area⁷⁹. Although an OB-habenula pathway is believed to exist⁸⁰ it is unknown if these ketamine-dependent changes are related.

In summary, as noted by Moberly et al., voluntary or involuntary changes in nasal breathing modulate brain activity²⁶. Olfactory sampling involves multiple brain regions and coherent oscillatory activity across areas may serve as a mechanism for temporal coordination of cortical and limbic networks³⁶. We conclude that nasal airflow is necessary for the emergence of ketamine-dependent HFO in multiple brain regions and behavioral hyperactivity. Zarate has proposed that mechanistic similarities may exist between ketamine-induced depersonalization and antidepressant response⁷². We speculate that ketamine's HFO represent converging electrophysiological activity which could account for initial psychotic-like effects and later antidepressant effects and further studies are warranted to address this issue.

Methods

Surgery: 25 male Wistar rats (250–350 g) were used in this study. Group 1 (thermocouple study): twisted stainless steel electrodes (125 μ m, Science Products, Germany) were implanted in the OB (AP + 7.5, ML \pm 0.5, DV 3–3.5 mm) along with two precision fine bare wire temperature sensors (80 μ m diameter, 5TC-TT-KI-40-1M, Omega Engineering Inc., Czech Republic) into the right and left nasal cavity for monitoring nasal airflow (N = 8). These rats were used to examine the effect of 20 mg/kg ketamine on nasal respiration and oscillatory activity in the OB. A subgroup (N = 5 rats) were used to examine the effect of 1.0 mg/kg haloperidol pretreatment. Group 2 (multistructure study): stainless steel electrodes were implanted in the OB, PFC (AP + 3.2, ML 0.5, DV 3.0), and VS (AP 1.6, ML + 1.0, DV 7.0) for simultaneous recording of LFPs used to examine HFO in multi-structures simultaneously (N = 6). Two rats had electrodes bilaterally implanted in the OB, PFC, VS and were also used in naris blockade experiments (group 3 and 4). Four rats had additional electrodes targeted to the hippocampus and/or amygdala and piriform cortex for a supplementary experiment (ketamine-dependent HFO was inconsistent in these areas and the data not shown). Group 3 (unilateral naris blockade in PFC and VS): five rats were implanted with twisted stainless steel electrodes bilaterally in the PFC and VS to determine the effect of unilateral naris blockade on these regions. Additionally, 2 rats from group 2 (bilaterally implanted in OB, PFC and VS) received naris blockade giving a total N = 7. Group 4 (unilateral and bilateral naris blockade in OB): stainless steel electrodes were implanted bilaterally in the OB of 6 rats for unilateral and bilateral naris occlusion experiments. Two rats from group 2 (bilateral implantation in OB, PFC, and VS) also received naris blockade giving a total N = 8. A screw posterior to the bregma was used as a reference/ground in all cases.

One week after surgery, rats were placed in an arena (44 \times 50 \times 42 cm). LFPs and thermocouple recording were recorded through a JFET preamplifier, amplified 1000 \times , filtered 0.1–1000 Hz (A-M Systems, USA), digitized at 5 kHz (Micro1401, CED, Cambridge, UK). Horizontal locomotor activity was assessed by photocell beam breaks (Columbus Instruments, USA). Thermocouple experiments were performed according to the Latin-square design, whereby each rat was injected twice in a pseudorandomized order with either ketamine 20 mg/kg (Sigma, Poland) or saline. A subgroup of rats (N = 5) were preinjected with 1 mg/kg haloperidol followed 15 min later by 20 mg/kg ketamine. Effect of 20 mg/kg ketamine on LFP oscillations was examined in rats with electrodes implanted in the OB, VS, PFC (N = 6). For naris blockade experiments occlusion was achieved using a silicon occluder⁸¹ either unilaterally for rats implanted with electrodes bilaterally in the VS and PFC (N = 7). Rats with electrodes bilaterally in the OB received both unilateral and bilateral nares occlusion (OB; N = 8). For naris blockade experiment, rats were baselined for 20 min and then briefly anesthetized using isoflurane to allow insertion of the silicon occluder(s). Rats were recorded for a further 60 min to ensure sufficient time for isoflurane washout, and then injected with ketamine 20 mg/kg. Electrode locations were determined on 40 μ m

Cresyl violet (Sigma, UK) or Hoechst (Sigma, UK) stained sections. All experiments were conducted in accordance with the European community guidelines on the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (86/609/EEC) and approved by the 1st Local Ethics Committee for Animal Experiments in Warsaw, Poland.

Analyses. Mean power spectra of the LFP were computed on successive 30 s data blocks using a fast Fourier transform (4096 points) to calculate integrated, dominant power and frequency of low-gamma (30–60 Hz), high-gamma (70–100 Hz) and HFO (130–180 Hz). Thermocouple signals (1–10 Hz) were used to determine the dominant sniffing frequency and the proportion of fast (4–10 Hz) sniffing behavior. Waveform correlations of 130–180 Hz bandpass filtered LFPs ipsilateral (OB, PFC, VS) and contralateral OB were computed and maximum correlation and offset were used to calculate similarity and synchronicity. Coherence was computed using the coherence function from Python script library to estimate the magnitude squared coherence of discrete-time signals. In this method power spectral density is estimated with Welch's method. Coherence was computed shortly after ketamine injection (1 min). To establish phase difference between HFO bursts we searched for maximum cross-correlation score between two signals in range of ± 5 ms (50 time samples), which covers one cycle of the HFO wave.

Phase–amplitude coupling analysis. Cross frequency phase–amplitude coupling was examined between the phase of oscillations in the thermocouple signal or low-frequency (1–10 Hz) LFP, and amplitude of high-frequency LFP oscillations (<https://github.com/GabrielaJurkiewicz/ePAC>). It enables analysis of coupling with the Modulation Index (MI) method^{82,83} which relies on measuring the distance between the obtained distribution of high-frequency amplitude across low-frequency phases from the uniform one. The preprocessing of the LFPs and thermocouple data consisted of high-pass filtering (cutoff 0.1 Hz), lowpass filtering (cutoff frequency 250 Hz; both Butterworth 2nd order), downsampling to 625 Hz, and dividing data into 20 s fragments. The investigated low-frequency band ranged from 2 to 10 Hz (1 Hz step and 2 Hz filtration bandwidth) and high-frequency band ranged from 30 to 200 Hz (5 Hz step). Remaining parameters for the ePAC toolbox were set to default values (nbCycles = 3, w = 5, nbBins = 18, Nboot = 200, peMI = 95, pPhaseCom = 95, Athresh = 0.1).

Statistical analysis. Data are expressed as mean \pm SEM or median \pm interquartile range according to data normality. For multiple group analyses data were analyzed using repeated-measures ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni post hoc test or the Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparison test. Spearman's rank correlation coefficient was used to examine the relationship between sniffing, locomotion and oscillatory activity. $p < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

Received: 8 July 2020; Accepted: 5 October 2020

Published online: 04 November 2020

References

- Grace, A. A. Dysregulation of the dopamine system in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia and depression. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **17**, 524–532 (2016).
- Potash, J. B. & Bienvenu, O. J. Neuropsychiatric disorders: Shared genetics of bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* **6**, 299–300 (2009).
- Möller, H. J. Bipolar disorder and schizophrenia: Distinct illnesses or a continuum?. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* **64**, 23–27 (2003).
- Domino, E. F. History and pharmacology of PCP and PCP-related analogs. *J. Psychoactive Drugs* **12**, 223–227 (1980).
- Berman, R. M. *et al.* Antidepressant effects of ketamine in depressed patients. *Biol. Psychiatry* **47**, 351–354 (2000).
- Bartoli, F., Clerici, M. & Carrà, G. Antidepressant response and dissociative effects after ketamine treatment: Two sides of the same coin?. *J. Clin. Psychiatry* **78**, e1318 (2017).
- Luckenbaugh, D. A. *et al.* Do the dissociative side effects of ketamine mediate its antidepressant effects?. *J. Affect. Disord.* **159**, 56–61 (2014).
- Hiyoshi, T., Kambe, D., Karasawa, J. I. & Chaki, S. Differential effects of NMDA receptor antagonists at lower and higher doses on basal gamma band oscillation power in rat cortical electroencephalograms. *Neuropharmacology* **85**, 384–396 (2014).
- Pinault, D. N-Methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonists ketamine and MK-801 induce wake-related aberrant γ oscillations in the rat neocortex. *Biol. Psychiatry* **63**, 730–735 (2008).
- Ma, J. & Leung, L. S. The supramammillo-septal-hippocampal pathway mediates sensorimotor gating impairment and hyperlocomotion induced by MK-801 and ketamine in rats. *Psychopharmacology* **191**, 961–974 (2007).
- Nicol, A. U. & Morton, A. J. Characteristic patterns of EEG oscillations in sheep (*Ovis aries*) induced by ketamine may explain the psychotropic effects seen in humans. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 9940. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-66023-8> (2020).
- Slovik, M. *et al.* Ketamine induced converged synchronous gamma oscillations in the cortico-basal ganglia network of nonhuman primates. *J. Neurophysiol.* **118**, 917–931 (2017).
- Grent-t-Jong, T. *et al.* Acute ketamine dysregulates task-related gamma-band oscillations in thalamo-cortical circuits in schizophrenia. *Brain* **141**, 2511–2526 (2018).
- Hong, L. E. *et al.* Gamma and delta neural oscillations and association with clinical symptoms under subanesthetic ketamine. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **35**, 632–640 (2010).
- Hunt, M. J., Falinska, M., Łęski, S., Wójcik, D. K. & Kasicki, S. Differential effects produced by ketamine on oscillatory activity recorded in the rat hippocampus, dorsal striatum and nucleus accumbens. *J. Psychopharmacol.* **25**, 808–821 (2011).
- Hunt, M. J., Raynaud, B. & Garcia, R. Ketamine dose-dependently induces high-frequency oscillations in the nucleus accumbens in freely moving rats. *Biol. Psychiatry* **60**, 1206–1214 (2006).
- Ye, T. *et al.* Ten-hour exposure to low-dose ketamine enhances corticostriatal cross-frequency coupling and hippocampal broadband gamma oscillations. *Front. Neural Circ.* **12**, 61. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fncir.2018.00061> (2018).
- Phillips, K. G. *et al.* Differential effects of NMDA antagonists on high frequency and gamma EEG oscillations in a neurodevelopmental model of schizophrenia. *Neuropharmacology* **62**, 1359–1370 (2012).

19. Pittman-Polletta, B., Hu, K. & Kocsis, B. Subunit-specific NMDAR antagonism dissociates schizophrenia subtype-relevant oscillopathies associated with frontal hypofunction and hippocampal hyperfunction. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 11588 (2018).
20. Cordon, I. *et al.* Coupling in the cortico-basal ganglia circuit is aberrant in the ketamine model of schizophrenia. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* **25**, 1375–1387 (2015).
21. Hansen, I. H. *et al.* Pharmacoelectroencephalographic responses in the rat differ between active and inactive locomotor states. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **50**, 1948–1971 (2019).
22. Flores, F. J. *et al.* A PK-PD model of ketamine-induced high-frequency oscillations. *J. Neural Eng.* **12**, 056006. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-2560/12/5/056006> (2015).
23. Hansen, I. H. *Investigation of Pharmacological Manipulation on Brain Connectivity in Rats and Humans for Improvement of Drug Development* (Technical University of Denmark, Kongens Lyngby, 2019).
24. Ito, J. *et al.* Whisker barrel cortex delta oscillations and gamma power in the awake mouse are linked to respiration. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3572 (2014).
25. Moore, J. D. *et al.* Hierarchy of orofacial rhythms revealed through whisking and breathing. *Nature* **497**, 205–210 (2013).
26. Moberly, A. H. *et al.* Olfactory inputs modulate respiration-related rhythmic activity in the prefrontal cortex and freezing behavior. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1528 (2018).
27. Zelano, C. *et al.* Nasal respiration entrains human limbic oscillations and modulates cognitive function. *J. Neurosci.* **36**, 12448–12467 (2016).
28. Zhong, W. *et al.* Selective entrainment of gamma subbands by different slow network oscillations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **114**, 4519–4524 (2017).
29. Fries, P., Nikolić, D. & Singer, W. The gamma cycle. *Trends Neurosci.* **30**, 309–316 (2007).
30. Colgin, L. L. Theta-gamma coupling in the entorhinal-hippocampal system. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **31**, 45–50 (2015).
31. Canolty, R. T. *et al.* High gamma power is phase-locked to theta oscillations in human neocortex. *Science (80-)*. **313**, 1626–1628 (2006).
32. Koek, W., Woods, J. H. & Ornstein, P. A simple and rapid method for assessing similarities among directly observable behavioral effects of drugs: PCP-like effects of 2-amino-5-phosphonovalerate in rats. *Psychopharmacology* **91**, 297–304 (1987).
33. Iwamoto, E. T. Comparison of the pharmacologic effects of *N*-allylnormetazocine and phencyclidine: Sensitization, cross-sensitization, and opioid antagonist activity. *Psychopharmacology* **89**, 221–229 (1986).
34. Kretschmer, B. D., Zadow, B., Volz, T. L., Volz, L. & Schmidt, W. J. The contribution of the different binding sites of the *N*-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor to the expression of behavior. *J. Neural Transm.* **87**, 23–35 (1992).
35. Hunt, M. J. *et al.* The olfactory bulb is a source of high-frequency oscillations (130–180 Hz) associated with a subanesthetic dose of ketamine in rodents. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **44**, 435–442 (2019).
36. Song, C. & Leonard, B. E. The olfactory bulbectomized rat as a model of depression. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **74**, 627–647 (2005).
37. Drysdale, A. T. *et al.* Resting-state connectivity biomarkers define neurophysiological subtypes of depression. *Nat. Med.* **23**, 28–38 (2017).
38. Kokkinou, M., Ashok, A. H. & Howes, O. D. The effects of ketamine on dopaminergic function: Meta-analysis and review of the implications for neuropsychiatric disorders. *Mol. Psychiatry* **23**, 59–69 (2018).
39. Sturgeon, R. D., Fessler, R. G. & Meltzer, H. Y. Behavioral rating scales for assessing phencyclidine-induced locomotor activity, stereotypes behavior and ataxia in rats. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **59**, 169–179 (1979).
40. Seeley, R. J. & Brozoski, T. J. Measurement and quantification of stereotypy in freely behaving subjects: An information analysis. *Behav. Res. Methods Instrument. Comput.* **21**, 271–274 (1989).
41. Kay, L. M. *et al.* Olfactory oscillations: The what, how and what for. *Trends Neurosci.* **32**, 207–214 (2009).
42. Rojas-Libano, D., Frederick, D. E., Egaña, J. I. & Kay, L. M. The olfactory bulb theta rhythm follows all frequencies of diaphragmatic respiration in the freely behaving rat. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* **8**, 214 (2014).
43. Lisman, J. E. & Jensen, O. The theta-gamma neural code. *Neuron* **77**, 1002–1016 (2013).
44. Uhlhaas, P. J. & Singer, W. Abnormal neural oscillations and synchrony in schizophrenia. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **11**, 100–113 (2010).
45. Hunt, M. J., Kopell, N. J., Traub, R. D. & Whittington, M. A. Aberrant network activity in schizophrenia. *Trends Neurosci.* **40**, 371–382 (2017).
46. Gandal, M. J., Edgar, J. C., Klook, K. & Siegel, S. J. Gamma synchrony: Towards a translational biomarker for the treatment-resistant symptoms of schizophrenia. *Neuropharmacology* **62**, 1504–1518 (2012).
47. Hudson, M. R., Rind, G., O'Brien, T. J. & Jones, N. C. Reversal of evoked gamma oscillation deficits is predictive of antipsychotic activity with a unique profile for clozapine. *Transl. Psychiatry* **6**, e784. <https://doi.org/10.1038/tp.2016.51> (2016).
48. Anderson, P. M., Pinault, D., O'Brien, T. J. & Jones, N. C. Chronic administration of antipsychotics attenuates ongoing and ketamine-induced increases in cortical γ oscillations. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* **17**, 1895–1904 (2014).
49. Olszewski, M., Piasecka, J., Goda, S. A., Kasicki, S. & Hunt, M. J. Antipsychotic compounds differentially modulate high-frequency oscillations in the rat nucleus accumbens: A comparison of first- and second-generation drugs. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* **16**, 1009–1020 (2013).
50. Hunt, M. J., Matulewicz, P., Gottesmann, C. & Kasicki, S. State-dependent changes in high-frequency oscillations recorded in the rat nucleus accumbens. *Neuroscience* **164**, 380–386 (2009).
51. Amat-Foraster, M. *et al.* Modulation of thalamo-cortical activity by the NMDA receptor antagonists ketamine and phencyclidine in the awake freely-moving rat. *Neuropharmacology* **158**, 107745. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2019.107745> (2019).
52. Kocsis, B. Differential role of NR2A and NR2B subunits in *N*-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonist-induced aberrant cortical gamma oscillations. *Biol. Psychiatry* **71**, 987–995 (2012).
53. Lepousez, G. & Lledo, P. M. Odor discrimination requires proper olfactory fast oscillations in awake mice. *Neuron* **80**, 1010–1024 (2013).
54. Olszewski, M., Dolowa, W., Matulewicz, P., Kasicki, S. & Hunt, M. J. NMDA receptor antagonist-enhanced high frequency oscillations: Are they generated broadly or regionally specific?. *Eur. Neuropsychopharmacol.* **23**, 1795–1805 (2013).
55. Mori, K., Manabe, H., Narikiyo, K. & Onisawa, N. Olfactory consciousness and gamma oscillation couplings across the olfactory bulb, olfactory cortex, and orbitofrontal cortex. *Front. Psychol.* **16**, 743 (2013).
56. Parabucki, A. & Lampl, I. Volume Conduction coupling of whisker-evoked cortical LFP in the mouse olfactory bulb. *Cell Rep.* **24**, 919–925 (2017).
57. Carmichael, J. E., Gmaz, J. M. & van der Meer, M. A. A. Gamma oscillations in the rat ventral striatum originate in the piriform cortex. *J. Neurosci.* **37**, 7962–7974 (2017).
58. Buzsáki, G. & Wang, X.-J. Mechanisms of gamma oscillations. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **35**, 203–225 (2012).
59. Gray, C. M., König, P., Engel, A. K. & Singer, W. Oscillatory responses in cat visual cortex exhibit inter-columnar synchronization which reflects global stimulus properties. *Nature* **338**, 334–337 (1989).
60. Pena, R. R. *et al.* Home-cage odors spatial cues elicit theta phase/gamma amplitude coupling between olfactory bulb and dorsal hippocampus. *Neuroscience* **5**, 97–106 (2017).
61. Losacco, J., Ramirez-Gordillo, D., Gilmer, J. & Restrepo, D. Learning improves decoding of odor identity with phase-referenced oscillations in the olfactory bulb. *Elife* **9**, e52583. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.52583> (2020).
62. Tiedtke, P. I., Bischoff, C. & Schmidt, W. J. MK-801-induced stereotypy and its antagonism by neuroleptic drugs. *J. Neural Transm.* **81**, 173–182 (1990).

63. Welker, W. I. Analysis of sniffing of the albino rat. *Behaviour* **22**, 223–244 (1964).
64. Clarke, S. & Trowill, J. A. Sniffing and motivated behavior in the rat. *Physiol. Behav.* **6**, 49–52 (1971).
65. Bramble, D. M. & Carrier, D. R. Running and breathing in mammals. *Science (80-)*. **21**, 251–256 (1983).
66. Conti, L. H., Segal, D. S. & Kuczenski, R. Maintenance of amphetamine induced stereotypy and locomotion requires ongoing dopamine receptor activation. *Psychopharmacology* **130**, 183–188 (1997).
67. Zarrindast, M. R. & Naghashi, H. Bromocriptine requires D-1 receptor stimulation for the expression of sniffing behaviour in rats. *J. Psychopharmacol.* **5**, 160–165 (1991).
68. Ilyin, V. I., Whittemore, E. R., Guastella, J., Weber, E. & Woodward, R. M. Subtype-selective inhibition of N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors by haloperidol. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **50**, 1541–1550 (1996).
69. Sams-Dodd, F. Phencyclidine in the social interaction test: An animal model of schizophrenia with face and predictive validity. *Rev. Neurosci.* **10**, 59–90 (1999).
70. Van Den Buuse, M. Modeling the positive symptoms of schizophrenia in genetically modified mice: Pharmacology and methodology aspects. *Schizophr. Bull.* **36**, 246–270 (2010).
71. Goda, S. A. *et al.* Aberrant high frequency oscillations recorded in the rat nucleus accumbens in the methylazoxymethanol acetate neurodevelopmental model of schizophrenia. *Prog. Neuro-Psychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry* **61**, 44–51 (2015).
72. Niciu, M. J. *et al.* Features of dissociation differentially predict antidepressant response to ketamine in treatment-resistant depression. *J. Affect. Disord.* **232**, 310–315 (2018).
73. Buzsáki, G., Anastassiou, C. A. & Koch, C. The origin of extracellular fields and currents—EEG, ECoG, LFP and spikes. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **13**, 407–420 (2012).
74. Dandekar, M. P., Fenoy, A. J., Carvalho, A. F., Soares, J. C. & Quevedo, J. Deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression: An integrative review of preclinical and clinical findings and translational implications. *Mol. Psychiatry* **23**, 1094–1112 (2018).
75. Zanos, P. *et al.* NMDAR inhibition-independent antidepressant actions of ketamine metabolites. *Nature* **533**, 481–486 (2016).
76. Bliss, T. V. P. & Collingridge, G. L. A synaptic model of memory: Long-term potentiation in the hippocampus. *Nature* **7**, 31–39 (1993).
77. Larson, J. & Lynch, G. Induction of synaptic potentiation in hippocampus by patterned stimulation involves two events. *Science (80-)*. **232**, 985–988 (1986).
78. Larson, J., Wong, D. & Lynch, G. Patterned stimulation at the theta frequency is optimal for the induction of hippocampal long-term potentiation. *Brain Res.* **368**, 347–350 (1986).
79. Yang, Y. *et al.* Ketamine blocks bursting in the lateral habenula to rapidly relieve depression. *Nature* <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25509> (2018).
80. Moulton, D. G. Olfaction. In *Sensory Integration* (ed. Masterton, R. B.) (Springer, Boston, 1978).
81. Cummings, D. M., Henning, H. E. & Brunjes, P. C. Olfactory bulb recovery after early sensory deprivation. *J. Neurosci.* **17**, 7433–7440 (1997).
82. Tort, A. B. L. *et al.* Dynamic cross-frequency couplings of local field potential oscillations in rat striatum and hippocampus during performance of a T-maze task. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **105**, 20517–20522 (2008).
83. Jurkiewicz, G. J., Hunt, M. J. & Żygierewicz, J. Addressing pitfalls in phase-amplitude coupling analysis with an extended modulation index toolbox. *Neuroinform.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12021-020-09487-3> (2020).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr Hanna Nieznanska and her team at the Laboratory of Electron Microscopy, Nencki Institute, for assistance with histology.

Author contributions

J.W.—Concept, acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data. W.Ś., G.B., J.Z. and D.K.W.—Analysis, and interpretation of data. M.A.W.—Interpretation of data and drafting of manuscript. M.J.H.—Concept, acquisition, interpretation of data and drafting of manuscript.

Funding

This work was financed by the National Science Centre (Poland) Grant UMO-2016/23/B/NZ/03657 and the National Science Centre (Poland) Grant 2014/13/B/HS6/03155 OPUS 7.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-75641-1>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.J.H.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2020