Estimating the current and future prevalence of Life-Limiting Conditions in Children in England
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# Abstract:

## Background

Previous studies showed increasing number of children with a life-limiting or life-threatening condition who may benefit from input from paediatric palliative care services.

## Aim

To estimate the current prevalence of children with a life-limiting condition and to model future prevalence of this population.

## Design

Observational study using national inpatient hospital data. A population-based approach utilising ethnic specific population projections was used to estimate future prevalence.

## Setting/participants

All children aged 0-19 years with a life-limiting condition diagnostic code recorded in Hospital Episodes Statistics data in England from 2000/01-2017/18.

## Results

Data on 4,543,386 hospital episodes for 359,634 individuals were included. The prevalence of children with a life-limiting condition rose from 26.7 per 10,000 (95%CI 26.5-27.0) in 2001/02 to 66.4 per 10,000 (95% CI: 66.0-66.8) in 2017/18. Using a more restricted definition of a life-limiting condition reduced the prevalence from 66.4 to 61.1 per 10,000 (95%CI 60.7-61.5) in 2017/18.

Highest prevalence was in the under 1-year age group at 226.5 per 10,000 and children with a congenital abnormality had the highest prevalence (27.2 per 10,000 (95%CI: 26.9-27.5)).

The prevalence was highest among the most deprived group and in children of Pakistani origin.

Predicted future prevalence of life-limiting condition s ranged from 67.0 (95%CI 67.7-66.3) to 84.22 (95%CI 78.66-90.17) per 10,000 by 2030.

## Conclusions

The prevalence of children with a life-limiting or life-threatening condition in England has risen over the last 17 years and is predicted to increase. Future data collections must include the data required to assess the complex health and social care needs of these children.
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# Key Statements:

## What is already known

There is higher prevalence of children with life-limiting and life-threatening conditions in areas of higher deprivation and in ethnic minority groups.

## What your paper adds

This prevalence of children with life-limiting and life-threatening conditions in England is still rising and likely to continue to rise to 2030 in England.

## Implications for practice, theory or policy

There are growing numbers of children with life-limiting and life-threatening conditions and this highlights the need for palliative care services to work closely with other paediatric services.

Future data collection should incorporate measures of the complexity of health and social care needs of these child and their families.

# Introduction

One key difference between paediatric palliative care and adult palliative care is that the World Health Organisation recommendation which states that paediatric palliative care “begins when illness is diagnosed and continues regardless whether a child is treated for the disease or not”.1 This means that children and their families may require care and support for a prolonged period of time, more than 20 years in some instances.2

It can be challenging to estimate which children may benefit from palliative care input. Using the number of children who have died can underestimate the ongoing need.3 In the UK and other countries the terminology life-limiting and life-threatening conditions have been used to describe the population of children who may benefit from input from paediatric palliative care services.4, 5 In some other countries, including the US, the term Chronic Complex Conditions is often used to describe a similar population of children. Life-limiting conditions are those for which there is no reasonable hope of cure and from which children or young people will die.6 Life-threatening conditions are those for which curative treatment may be feasible but can fail, such as cancer.6 Together life-limiting condition and life-threatening (hereafter life-limiting condition) are a very heterogeneous group with nearly four hundred individual diagnoses being classified as life-limiting or life-threatening.7

Previous studies have shown an increasing number of children living with a life-limiting condition with a reported 40,000 children and young people having an life-limiting condition in England in 2009/108 and 6661 in Scotland in 2015.9 These studies have also indicated that prevalence of children with a life-limiting condition varies by ethnicity, deprivation status and geographical region.8-10

There has been an increase in the last few decades in the number of paediatric palliative care and hospice services that provide palliative and end of life care for children, but there is little information about the models of care, quality, resource implications and outcomes of children and families who use these services. These services vary in their professional configuration, services provided, funding sources and population served6 and many have developed locally with heavy reliance on individual clinician and third sector organisations including children’s hospices.11 As a result, delivery of palliative care for children is ‘inconsistent and incoherent’.7 Planning for development of current and future services requires up to date information on the population of children and young people who may benefit from these services.12

This study aimed to estimate the current prevalence of children with a life-limiting condition in England and to model future prevalence of this population up to 2030 to inform planning of paediatric palliative care services.

# Method

## Data sources

Individual level pseudonymised patient data was obtained from NHS Digital.13 Hospital Episode Statistics Admitted Patient Care (HES) were linked to the Office for National Statistics (ONS) mortality data. HES includes information on all admitted care in NHS hospitals in England, with clinical diagnoses and procedures, demographic and geographical information on Government office region of residence (GOR).14 The ONS data contained the date and cause of death if the child had died.

For denominators, population counts by ethnic group were obtained as mid-year estimates (2001-2017) and projections to 2030 from ETHPOP (<http://ethpop.org>).15 This source was necessary rather than ONS subnational estimates because the ETHPOP cohort component model16 incorporates detailed demographic information by ethnic group in relation to new-borns, mortality, and most importantly, both subnational migration and international migration. Any changes in ethnic-specific demographic rates are aligned with ONS projection rate assumption changes. ETHPOP data were available as subnational populations by ethnic group, age and sex.

## Study population

All admitted patient care HES data for children aged 0-19 years who had a life-limiting condition recorded between 1st April 2000-31st March 2018 were obtained for this study. Life-limiting conditions were identified using a previously developed diagnostic coding framework using 777 4-digit ICD-10 codes (Supplementary Table 1).8

Eligibility criteria:

1. had a diagnosis of one of the life-limiting condition ICD -10 codes in the current year or a previous year (from April 2001)
2. had a hospital admission in the year of analysis[[1]](#footnote-1)
3. were ≤19 years old
4. were resident in England

The age was assigned as the age at the first hospital episode in a year. Sex was categorized as the most commonly reported sex across all records.

Diagnoses were grouped according to eleven diagnostic groups (neurology, haematology, oncology, metabolic, respiratory, circulatory, gastrointestinal, genitourinary, perinatal, congenital and other)8. No attempt was made to prioritize multiple diagnoses for individuals;

therefore, a child may have more than 1 life-limiting diagnosis.

Self-reported ethnicity for each hospital episode was coded according to the 16 2001 Census groups 17. Eight ethnic groups were made by collapsing these groups:

* White (White: British, White: Irish, Other White)
* Black (Black or Black British: Black Caribbean, Black or Black British: Black African, Black or Black British: Other Black)
* Indian (Asian or Asian British: Indian)
* Pakistani (Asian or Asian British: Pakistani)
* Bangladeshi (Asian or Asian British: Bangladeshi)
* Chinese
* Mixed (Mixed: White and Black Caribbean, Mixed: White and Black African, Mixed: White and Asian, Mixed: Other Mixed)
* Other Asian.

Individuals were assigned their most commonly recorded ethnicity.

Anindex of multiple deprivation (IMD2010) score18 was assigned to each individual based on the 2001 Lower-layer Super Output Area (LSOA)19 of residence in that year and ten population weighted categories were created (Category 1 – least deprived) based on these scores.

## Analysis

### Current prevalence

The prevalence and 95% confidence intervals20 were calculated per 10,000 population at risk (aged 0-19 years) by dividing the number of individuals with an life-limiting condition by the population estimates for that year according to the ETHPOP dataset15. Prevalence was calculated as an overall total per 10,000 and stratified by age group, diagnostic category, ethnicity and deprivation category.

A further calculation of prevalence using a restricted definition of life-limiting condition was undertaken which excluded the following sets of diagnoses (identified by the study advisory board):

1. Perinatal disorders were assumed not to be relevant after the first birthday[[2]](#footnote-2)
2. Oncology cases 5 years after diagnosis, which were assumed to be resolved
3. Early stage (1-3) kidney failure.

### Modelling of future prevalence

A population based modelling approach, utilizing the ETHPOP data, was used to allow for the prevalence differences by age group, sex, GOR and ethnicity.21 This modelling approach automatically adjusts for changing population demographics and does not require separation of incidence, survival and migration.

HES data from 2004/5-2016/17 were the base data for these calculations due to greater missing data for ethnicity prior to 2004/5 and the introduction of a ‘Payment by Results’ system in 2004 which may have affected coding practices.14

The annual probability of an individual having a life-limiting condition was estimated using the following logistic regression equation:

$$log\_{e}\left(\frac{P}{1-P}\right)=C+ β\_{1}x\_{i}+… β\_{i}x\_{i}+β\_{y1}year+β\_{y2}year ^{2}$$

where *P* is the probability of an individual having a life-limiting condition and *xi*are the values of the demographic variables included in the model: sex, age group, ethnic group and GOR (all categorical). The year terms in the model reflected changes in probability of an individual having a life-limiting condition which were not explained by demographics, i.e. increases in survival and/or incidence rates of life-limiting condition over time. Inclusion of a linear year term alone would result in predicted numbers with life-limiting condition being forced to be monotonic with year (i.e. always increasing or always decreasing). Hence a quadratic year term was included.

The regression coefficients were then applied to predict (from ETHPOP data15) numbers of individuals with each unique combination of demographic values in years 2018-2030 expected to have a life-limiting condition (product of the probability of having a life-limiting condition for a unique demographic combination and the number of individuals with that unique demographic combination). These predictions were then summed across the unique demographic combinations to give annual totals of expected individuals with life-limiting condition.

$$N\_{LLC}=\sum\_{d}^{}P\_{LLC,d}×N\_{d}$$

Where NLLC is the annual predicted number of individuals with a life-limiting condition; Pd is the probability of an individual in unique demographic combination group (d) having a LLC and Nd is the number of individuals predicted to be in that unique demographic group.

Three models were developed with differing underlying data:

**Model 1:** used estimates of numbers of individuals with LLC from 2004/5-2016/17

**Model 2:** as model 1, but using estimates from the restricted definition of LLC

**Model 3:** as model 1,but predictions used a fixed value of 2017 for year to predict numbers if there was no future change in survival or incidence.

All data manipulation was undertaken using Microsoft SQL server and statistical analysis using STATA version 15 (Stata Corp, Collage Station, TX).

# Results

The final analysis dataset contained information on 4,543,386 hospital episodes for 359,634 individuals (Figure 1).

There were minimal missing data for sex (n=2,064 (0.2%)) or deprivation (n=3,330 (0.3%)) but more missing data for ethnicity (29,740 (2.8%) overall) notably higher in the earlier years (7% in 2001/02).

## Current prevalence

The absolute number and prevalence of children with a life-limiting condition each year rose from 30,535 (26.7 per 10,000 (95% confidence interval [95%CI] 26.5-27.0)) in 2001/02 to 86,625 (66.4 per 10,000 [95% CI: 66.0-66.8]) in 2017/18 (Table 1).

The prevalence was highest in the under 1-year age-group at 226.5 per 10,000 (95% confidence interval [95%CI: 223.0-230.1]) in 2017/2018 (Figure 2). There was a rise in prevalence in all age-groups.

The prevalence of life-limiting conditions was significantly higher among boys (72.5 per 10,000 [95%CI 71.8-73.1]) vs girls 60.0 per 10,000 [95%CI 59.4-60.6] (2017/18) although there was no difference in the rise in prevalence between sexes in the study time period.

Children with a congenital abnormality had the highest prevalence (2017/18 was 27.2 per 10,000 (95%CI: 26.9-27.5)), with neurological conditions next highest (10.8 per 10,000 [95%CI 10.7-11.0]) (Figure 3). There was an increase in prevalence in all diagnostic groups during 2000/01 to 2017/18.

The prevalence of life-limiting condition s was highest in the most deprived group (88.6 per 10,000 [95% CI: 87.0-90.2] (2017/18)) and there was a gradient with deprivation with the lowest prevalence in the least deprived group (48.7 per 10,000 [95% CI: 47.5-49.9] (2017/18)). There was some evidence of a greater difference in prevalence between the least and most deprived groups over time (supplementary Figure 1).

The prevalence of life-limiting condition s rose in all ethnic groups but children of Pakistani origin had the highest prevalence of life-limiting condition (103.9 per 10,000 [95%CI: 101.2-106.6]) (2017/18) children of Chinese origin (32.0 per 10,000 [95% CI: 28.1-35.8] (2017/18)) the lowest (Figure 4). Children from Black and Other Asian groups also had higher prevalence than the White population. Congenital anomalies had the highest prevalence in all ethnic groups but prevalence was higher in the Pakistani and Other Asian groups. The prevalence of neurological, haematological and metabolic diagnoses was also higher in the Pakistani population than the White population. (see supplementary figures 2-12).

Using the more restricted definition of a life-limiting condition reduced the overall prevalence from 66.4 to 61.1 per 10,000 (95%CI 60.7-61.5) in 2017/18.

## Future prevalence

The predicted future number of children with a life-limiting condition by 2030 ranged from 96,275 (95%CI 95,318-97,242) for the most conservative model (model 3), to 116,770 (95%CI 108,894-125,209) (model 2) to 121,023 (95%CI 113,031-129,573) (model 1).

These equate to a prevalence of 67.0 (95%CI 67.7-66.3) per 10,000 (Model 3), 81.26 (95%CI 75.78-87.13) per 10,000 (Model 2) and 84.22 (95%CI 78.66-90.17) per 10,000 (Model 1) (Figure 5, supplementary table 2).

# Discussion

There has been a marked rise in prevalence of children with a life-limiting or life-threatening condition in England and this increase is predicted to continue. This highlights the need for paediatric palliative care services to work closely with other paediatric services.

A study using similar datasets from Scotland has shown a less marked rise in prevalence and no increase in this population from 2014/15-2016/17. 22 This is despite their being a similar prevalence in 2003/4 in Scotland and England (31.5 per 10,000 population vs 32.2 per 10,000 population respectively). The different demographics of the population, especially the larger ethnic minority populations in England (14.0% in England and Wales compared to 3.5% Scotland) which have higher fertility rates23, 24 may partly explain this difference as may the different socio-economic circumstances of ethnic minorities in Scotland25. However, there may also be differences in healthcare coding practices due to differences in commissioning of services, mainly the lack of payment by results policies in Scotland.

A previous study, which aimed to estimate the prevalence of children who would require palliative care globally, estimated that 20.1 per 10,000 children would require palliative care in the UK, although this study used a different methodology and focussed on diagnoses which cause child death worldwide especially in low and middle income countries.26

The increase in prevalence is largest in the under 1-year age-group. There is also a marked difference in the prevalence among ethnic groups with higher prevalence for Pakistani, Other Asian and Black ethnic minority groups compared to the White population. Some of the life-limiting diagnoses, including some genetic conditions and congenital disorders 23, 24 are more common in these ethnic groups. These results highlight the need for services for children to be flexible enough to meet the cultural and religious needs of all children.

Children with congenital anomalies had the highest prevalence in the current study and previous similar studies.8, 22, 27 A recent Belgian study reported cardiovascular diseases as the most common underlying cause of complex chronic conditions, followed by neurological conditions.28 This apparent discrepancy is possibly due to congenital cardiac diseases being classified as congenital in the current study but under cardiac conditions in the Belgium study.28

More children with a life-limiting condition lived in areas of higher deprivation which is important when planning the location and accessibility of those services. Furthermore, there is some evidence of increasing disparities in the proportion of children with a life-limiting condition living in areas of higher deprivation versus lower deprivation over time.

To what extent the increase in prevalence found in this analysis is due to a true increase in incidence or due to an increase in survival, diagnosis or improved coding is difficult to ascertain from these data. Whilst there is evidence of increased recording of life-limiting diagnoses in the under 1-year age-group it is not possible to differentiate between true increase in the number of children being diagnosed (i.e. increase in incidence) and changes in coding practices. Given the number of electronic medical recording systems being introduced in the NHS the latter cannot be discounted. In terms of increased survival, there is evidence of increased survival in some specific conditions e.g. very preterm infants29 and Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy30, 31, increased use of medical technologies such as home ventilation 32 and more aggressive management of complications in these children via care in Intensive Care Units33. All these will contribute to an increase in prevalence.

As the predictions of future prevalence are based on previous trends and predicted changes in population it is unsurprising that the estimates of future numbers and prevalence show a steady increase with an estimated prevalence of between 67.0 and 84.2 per 10,000 population. Although it is difficult to predict what the actual future prevalence of children with life-limiting conditions will be, there is nothing in these current data that would suggest that this prevalence is likely to reduce in the next 10 years. This is consistent with other estimates across the age range in the UK of the rise in need for palliative care services in the future.34

### Strengths and limitations

This study used transparent and repeatable methodology over a 17-year period which enabled assessment of change over time.

As a child is only required to have one recording of a life-limiting condition or life-threatening condition to be included in these analyses we may have included individuals whose life-threatening event is no longer life limiting, e.g. a life-threatening event around the time of birth or in the neonatal period.

Utilising data based on diagnoses alone does raise some challenges. The prevalence calculations using the restricted definitions of life-limiting condition made little difference in 2017/18 but did result in a more marked difference by 2030. There is large variation in the severity and prognosis within some of these diagnoses e.g. quadriplegic cerebral palsy and this is further compounded by the grouping of some diagnoses within ICD 10, i.e. not all diagnoses have their own code. Therefore, we may be capturing come children who may not require palliative care.

Children cared for by paediatric palliative care services are often very complex therefore understanding the complexity of the child’s condition35 (e.g. use of technology such as home -ventilation) and the child’s needs35 (e.g. requirement for round-the-clock care) would provide a more accurate estimate of which children would require palliative care services. However, these data are not routinely collected in the English National Health Service. There is an attempt to collect these data e.g. community services dataset,36 but unless explicitly linked to financial payments, these data will be inconsistently collected and the quality of data questionable.

A projection exercise such as this, does by its nature involve substantial assumptions about the similarity of future trends to past trends, and about the future trends in health improvement which may or may not be correct.37 Alongside any uncertainties in numbers diagnosed, the population projections used as denominators are subject to variation due to variations in future demography. Since small area data are not available in the population projections and the future of area deprivation is not possible to determine, it was not possible to include deprivation in this model.

## Conclusions

The prevalence of children with a life-limiting or life-threatening condition in England is predicted to continue to rise. The prevalence is higher in ethnic minority groups which may in part explain this rise.

These data are important for healthcare planners and policymakers as children and young people will require healthcare services which may include paediatric palliative care.

The prevalence is by far the greatest in children under 1 year of age, and this group should be seen as a priority for receiving palliative care as mortality rate is also highest in the under 1 year age-group.

Further research needs to identify the needs and complexity of these children which goes beyond their underlying diagnoses. This can only be resolved by recording of complexity and needs rather than diagnoses alone.
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Tables

|  |
| --- |
| Table 1: Overall numbers and annual prevalence (per 10,000 population) of children (0-19 years) with life-limiting conditions in England by age group for financial years 2001/02 – 2017/18 |
| Year | Number of patients | Prevalence per 10,000 population |
| Age0-19 years | 95% CI | Age <1 year | 95% CI | Age 1-5 years | 95% CI | Age 6-10years | 95% CI | Age 11-15 years | 95% CI | Age 16-19 years | 95% CI |
| 2001/02 | 32,975 | 26.7 | 26.5-27.0 | 130.1 | 127.2-133.1 | 31.3 | 30.7-31.9 | 19.4 | 18.9-19.9 | 18.7 | 18.2-19.2 | 17.6 | 17.1-18.2 |
| 2002/03 | 36,688 | 29.7 | 29.4-30.0 | 131.2 | 128.2-134.2 | 37.3 | 36.6-38.0 | 21.8 | 21.3-22.3 | 20.9 | 20.4-21.4 | 19.4 | 18.8-19.9 |
| 2003/04 | 39,819 | 32.2 | 31.9-32.5 | 134.2 | 131.3-137.2 | 41.7 | 40.9-42.4 | 23.8 | 23.2-24.3 | 22.1 | 21.6-22.6 | 21.5 | 20.9-22.0 |
| 2004/05 | 42,114 | 34.0 | 33.7-34.3 | 134.4 | 131.4-137.3 | 44.9 | 44.1-45.6 | 25.0 | 24.4-25.5 | 23.3 | 22.8-23.8 | 22.9 | 22.3-23.4 |
| 2005/06 | 45,974 | 37.1 | 36.7-37.4 | 141.9 | 138.9-144.9 | 48.4 | 47.6-49.2 | 27.8 | 27.3-28.4 | 25.4 | 24.9-26.0 | 25.5 | 24.9-26.1 |
| 2006/07 | 49,285 | 39.7 | 39.3-40.0 | 158.9 | 155.8-162.0 | 50.5 | 49.7-51.3 | 30.2 | 29.6-30.8 | 26.3 | 25.7-26.9 | 26.8 | 26.1-27.4 |
| 2007/08 | 52,633 | 42.2 | 41.8-42.5 | 158.7 | 155.7-161.8 | 52.9 | 52.0-53.7 | 33.2 | 32.5-33.8 | 28.5 | 27.9-29.1 | 28.7 | 28.0-29.3 |
| 2008/09 | 56,436 | 45.0 | 44.6-45.4 | 177.5 | 174.3-180.6 | 54.3 | 53.5-55.1 | 35.5 | 34.8-36.2 | 29.7 | 29.1-30.3 | 30.0 | 29.4-30.7 |
| 2009/10 | 59,851 | 47.5 | 47.147.9 | 187.2 | 183.9-190.4 | 56.2 | 55.4-57.0 | 38.4 | 37.7-39.1 | 31.5 | 30.9-32.1 | 31.7 | 31.0-32.4 |
| 2010/11 | 63,256 | 49.9 | 49.5-50.3 | 189.7 | 186.4-193.0 | 58.9 | 58.1-59.8 | 40.7 | 40.0-41.1 | 33.6 | 33.0-34.3 | 33.4 | 32.8-34.1 |
| 2011/12 | 64,420 | 50.7 | 50.3-51.1 | 174.7 | 171.5-177.8 | 59.9 | 59.1-60.8 | 42.6 | 41.8-43.3 | 35.5 | 34.8-36.2 | 34.6 | 33.8-35.3 |
| 2012/13 | 69,036 | 54.1 | 53.7-54.5 | 188.1 | 184.9-191.3 | 63.2 | 62.3-64.0 | 45.4 | 44.746.2 | 38.5 | 37.8-39.2 | 36.0 | 35.3-36.7 |
| 2013/14 | 73,608 | 57.5 | 57.1-57.9 | 212.3 | 208.9-215.7 | 65.7 | 64.8-66.6 | 46.6 | 45.8-47.4 | 41.4 | 40.6-42.1 | 38.5 | 37.8-39.3 |
| 2014/15 | 77,163 | 60.1 | 59.7-60.5 | 221.4 | 217.9-225.0 | 67.9 | 67.1-68.8 | 48.6 | 47.8-49.4 | 44.5 | 43.7-45.2 | 40.8 | 40.0-41.6 |
| 2015/16 | 81,172 | 62.9 | 62.4-63.3 | 231.7 | 228.1-235.3 | 71.9 | 71.0-72.8 | 49.6 | 48.8-50.4 | 46.8 | 46.0-47.5 | 42.9 | 42.1-43.7 |
| 2016/17 | 84,270 | 64.9 | 64.5-65.4 | 235.7 | 232.1-239.3 | 73.1 | 72.1-74.0 | 51.0 | 50.3-51.8 | 49.2 | 48.4-49.9 | 45.9 | 45.1-46.7 |
| 2017/18 | 86,625 | 66.4 | 66.0-66.8 | 226.5 | 223.0-230.1 | 75.1 | 74.2-76.0 | 52.6 | 51.9-53.4 | 50.9 | 50.1-51.7 | 48.6 | 47.8-49.5 |
| *Abbreviations: 95% CI- 95% Confidence intervals* |

Figures

8,002,959 hospital episodes for 537,940 individuals

Removal of 12,094 individuals with no episodes **between 1 April 2001 and 31 March 2018**

7,733,477 hospital episodes for 525,846 individuals

Removal of 66,487 individuals **not aged 0-19** between 1 April 2001 and 31 March 2018.1 March 2018

5,429,885 hospital episodes for 459,359 individuals

Removal of 62,222 individuals **not resident in England** while aged 0-19between 1 April 2001 and 31 March 2018

19between 1 April 2000 and 31 March 2018

5,264,485 hospital episodes for 397,137 individuals

Removal of 981 individuals **who were stillborn**

**ere stillborn**

5,263,454 hospital episodes for 396,156 individuals

Removal of 720,068 episodes **before the year of first record of LLC** (also removes individuals with LLC first diagnosed when aged > 19)

FINAL COHORT FOR ANALYSES

4,543,386 hospital episodes on or after first year LLC diagnosis for 359,643 individuals

Figure 1: Flow diagram of inclusion criteria

Figure 2 Prevalence of Life-limiting conditions (with 95% confidence intervals in lighter shading) by age 2001/2 - 2017/18

Figure 3: Prevalence of Life-limiting conditions (with 95% confidence intervals in lighter shading) in children (age 0-19) by diagnostic group for 2001/02-2017/18

Figure 4: Prevalence of Life-limiting conditions (with 95% confidence intervals in lighter shading) in children (age 0-19) by ethnic group for 2001/02-2017/18

Figure 5: Predicted prevalence (with 95% confidence intervals in lighter shading) of children (age 0-19) with Life-limiting conditions s for 2018-2030
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