# 

This is a repository copy of *Is there a role of penicillin allergy in developing Clostridioides difficile infection*?.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/167304/

Version: Accepted Version

#### Article:

Schwiebert, R and Sandoe, J orcid.org/0000-0003-0193-8677 (2020) Is there a role of penicillin allergy in developing Clostridioides difficile infection? Current Opinion in Gastroenterology. ISSN 0267-1379

https://doi.org/10.1097/mog.0000000000000690

© 2020 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved. This is an author produced version of an article published in Current Opinion in Gastroenterology. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

#### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

## Is there a role of penicillin allergy in developing Clostridioides difficile infection?

#### Author Information

#### Ralph Schwiebert<sup>a</sup>, Jonathan Sandoe<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>: Author Information: Department of Microbiology, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust. Correspondence to Ralph Schwiebert, Microbiology, Old Medical School, Leeds General Infirmary, Leeds LS1 3EX, West Yorkshire, England; tel: +44 113 39 25187; email: <u>ralph.schwiebert@nhs.net</u>

#### Abstract

<u>Purpose of review</u>: To explore the evidence for an association between penicillin allergy, antibiotic prescribing and *Clostridioides difficile* (CDI) infection.

<u>Recent findings</u>: Several studies have highlighted the differences in antibiotic prescribing in penicillin allergic patients and the impact on rates of *C. difficile* infection.

<u>Summary</u>: Penicillin allergy leads to higher incidences of prescriptions for antibiotics that are known to predispose to CDI. In turn CDI is more common in patients with penicillin allergy. Penicillin allergy is often erroneously ascribed to patients and should be challenged.

Key words: Penicillin allergy, Clostridioides difficile

#### Introduction

*Clostridioides difficile* infection (CDI) remains a major global healthcare burden with 12,275 cases reported across English NHS trusts in 2018-2019 and the US Center for Disease Control and Prevention citing it as an urgent threat to public health with 223,900 cases and 12,800 deaths in 2019<sup>1,2</sup>.

A major driver for the development of CDI is antibiotic exposure. *C. difficile* is not considered part of the normal adult gut flora and indeed a healthy microbiota appears protective against the acquisition of *C. difficile*<sup>3</sup>. Patients exposed to *C. difficile* spores, often, though not invariably through health care contact, become vulnerable to CDI due to antibiotic treatment which interferes with the normally protective gut flora<sup>4,5</sup>. Different antibiotic classes confer different risks towards developing CDI. Agents such as clindamycin and fluoroquinolones have been ranked amongst the highest risk antibiotics in both community and hospital settings for causing CDI, with penicillins, especially narrow spectrum varieties, being considered safer alternatives<sup>6–8</sup>.

#### Antibiotic Prescribing in Patients with CDI

Amoxicillin remains the most commonly prescribed antibiotic in the UK due to its low cost and good safety profile<sup>9</sup>. The prevalence of penicillin allergy among primary care

patients in the UK is 5.9% - amounting to 3 million patients<sup>10</sup>. For this cohort, clinicians usually rely on second line antibiotics, which are frequently more toxic and broad spectrum<sup>11–14</sup>. Clindamycin, cephalosporins, quinolones and carbapenems – all regarded as high risk for CDI – are prescribed at considerably higher rates in penicillin allergic patients compared to their non-allergic counterparts<sup>13–15</sup>. While different studies report different statistical measures, these findings have been described in both community and hospitalised patients and across different countries.

Amongst English community patients, West *et al* report the relative risk of being prescribed quinolones in penicillin allergic patients as 2.10 [95% CI 2.02 – 2.19] higher when compared to their non-allergic cohort<sup>10</sup>. Likewise, Su et al report an odds ratio of 2.59 (95% CI 1.22–5.48) for being prescribed quinolones in penicillin allergic Dutch

community patients<sup>10,13</sup>. In the hospital setting in the USA, Shah *et al* found a that the relative risk of being prescribed clindamycin was 3.8 [95% CI 3.6–4.0] times that of non-allergic patients and in a UK hospital setting Powell *et al* described penicillin allergic patients as being at 6 times the odds of being prescribed meropenem compared to their counterparts<sup>11,15</sup>.

# **CDI in Penicillin Allergic Patients**

Patients with penicillin allergy have been shown to have poorer health outcomes compared to their matched cohort in both community and hospital settings, including a significantly increased 1 year mortality and higher rates of *C. difficile* isolation<sup>12,14</sup>. In a cohort of 64,141 UK general practice patients, Blumenthal *et al* described the multivariable adjusted hazard ratio for acquiring CDI in penicillin allergic patients versus their matched cohort as 1.26 (95% confidence interval 1.12 - 1.40)<sup>14</sup>. A large retrospective matched cohort study of over 100,000 UK community patients by West *et al* also found a higher rate of CDI in penicillin allergic patients, but this was not statistically significant, possibly due to the one-year follow up period compared to Blumenthal's longitudinal study design<sup>10</sup>. In a multi-centre hospital cohort study in the US, Macy et al found a 23.4% (95% CI 15.6 to 31.7) increase in the prevalence of CDI compared to a matched non-penicillin allergic cohort<sup>12,14</sup>.

It is likely that penicillin allergy increases the risk of acquisition of CDI by increasing the exposure to antibiotics such as carbapenems, quinolones and clindamycin, which harm the healthy gut microbiome and give a competitive advantage to *C. difficile*. Much of the increased usage of antibiotics that are high risk for CDI in patients who are labelled penicillin allergic is likely to be unnecessary, as the majority of such patients do not have a true penicillin allergic patients do not have a true penicillin allergic patients do not have a true allergy and completed a negative penicillin skin prick test<sup>16</sup>. A significant number of patients are thus unnecessarily receiving antibiotics that are harmful by promoting CDI, but also MRSA, VRE and multi drug resistant enterobacterales.

Given the prevalent nature of erroneous penicillin allergy labels and its negative health implications, it is imperative that clinicians interrogate every penicillin allergy by taking a thorough history and if uncertainty remains, by referring for timely testing. Penicillin

allergy testing can safely remove a dubious penicillin allergy label and is reliable, but it remains underutilised, in part due to clinicians' lack of knowledge of the test and referral criteria, due to the availability of alternative antibiotics and likely due to an under appreciation of the side effect profile of different antibiotic classes<sup>17</sup>. Potential adverse reactions to penicillins need to be documented clearly and investigated further if appropriate<sup>18</sup>. Correcting spurious penicillin allergy records could be a simple step towards reducing *C. difficile* infection.

## Conclusion

Penicillin allergic patients are prescribed more antibiotics that predispose to CDI compared to their non-allergic counterparts. This in turns results in higher rates of CDI in penicillin allergic patients. Most cases of documented penicillin allergy are inaccurate and correcting these by referring for appropriate testing may be a way towards reducing rates of CDI.

## Key Points

1. *C. difficile* infection still presents a significant healthcare burden

2. Being labelled as "penicillin allergic" leads to more frequent prescriptions of antibiotics that are a higher risk for CDI.

3. Penicillin allergy is associated with higher rates of CDI.

4. In the vast majority of cases, patients are incorrectly labelled as penicillin allergic and the allergy status should be challenged by allergy testing.

Acknowledgements None

Financial support and sponsorship No financial support of sponsorship was received in writing this review.

Conflicts of interest

Jonathan Sandoe has previously received funding from the National Institute for Health Research, Medical Research Council, Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council and is a British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy council member.

# **References**

\* of special interest \*\* of outstanding interest

 C. Difficile Infections: Financial Year Counts and Rates by Acute Trust and CCG, up to Financial Year 2018 to 2019.; 2019. https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/clostridium-difficile-infection-annual-data. Accessed March 29, 2020.

- 2. *Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States 2019.*; 2019. https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/pdf/threats-report/2019-ar-threats-report-508.pdf.
- 3. Rolfe R, Helebian S, Finegold S. Bacterial Interference Between Clostridium difficile and Normal Fecal Flora. *J Infect Dis.* 1981;143(3):479-475. https://academic.oup.com/jid/article-abstract/143/3/470/863098?redirectedFrom=fulltext.
- Dethlefsen L, Huse S, Sogin ML, Relman DA. The pervasive effects of an antibiotic on the human gut microbiota, as revealed by deep 16s rRNA sequencing. *PLoS Biol.* 2008;6(11):2383-2400. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060280
- 5. Britton RA, Young VB. Role of the intestinal microbiota in resistance to colonization by Clostridium difficile. *Gastroenterology*. 2014;146(6):1547-1553. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2014.01.059
- 6. Deshpande A, Pasupuleti V, Thota P, et al. Community-associated Clostridium difficile infection and antibiotics: a meta-analysis. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2013;68(9):1951-1961. doi:10.1093/jac/dkt129
- Slimings C, Riley T V. Antibiotics and hospital-acquired Clostridium difficile infection: update of systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2014;69(4):881-891. doi:10.1093/jac/dkt477
- 8. Brown KA, Khanafer N, Daneman N, Fisman DN. Meta-analysis of antibiotics and the risk of community-associated Clostridium difficile infection. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2013;57(5):2326-2332. doi:10.1128/AAC.02176-12
- 9. Dolk FCK, Pouwels KB, Smith DRM, Robotham J V, Smieszek T. Antibiotics in primary care in England: which antibiotics are prescribed and for which conditions? *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2018;73(suppl\_2):ii2-ii10. doi:10.1093/jac/dkx504
- \*\* West RM, Smith CJ, Pavitt SH, et al. 'Warning: allergic to penicillin': association between penicillin allergy status in 2.3 million NHS general practice electronic health records, antibiotic prescribing and health outcomes. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2019;74(7):2075-2082. doi:10.1093/jac/dkz127
- Large Retrospective cohort study of UK primary care patients exploring risk of penicillin allergy. Found a higher rate of CDI in pen allergic patients, but this did not reach statistical significance, possibly due to the follow up period of one year.
- Shah NS, Ridgway JP, Pettit N, Fahrenbach J, Robicsek A. Documenting Penicillin Allergy: The Impact of Inconsistency. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(3):e0150514. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150514
- Macy E, Contreras R. Health care use and serious infection prevalence associated with penicillin "allergy" in hospitalized patients: A cohort study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2014;133(3):790-796. doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2013.09.021
- 13. Su T, Broekhuizen BDL, Verheij TJM, Rockmann H. The impact of penicillin allergy labels on antibiotic and health care use in primary care: a retrospective cohort study. *Clin Transl Allergy*. 2017;7(1):18. doi:10.1186/s13601-017-0154-y
- 14.\*\* Blumenthal KG, Lu N, Zhang Y, Li Y, Walensky RP, Choi HK. Risk of meticillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus and Clostridium difficile in patients with a documented penicillin allergy: population based matched cohort study. *BMJ*. 2018;361:k2400. doi:10.1136/bmj.k2400
- Penicillin allergy was associated with an increased rate of antibiotics that predispose to CDI and causes a significant increase in CDI infection in these patients in a UK primary care setting.
- 15. \*\* Powell N, West R, Sandoe J. Impact of penicillin allergy records on carbapenem

prescribing: an observational retrospective cohort study. *J Hosp Infect*. 2019;101(4):467-470. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2018.11.020

- Impact of penicillin allergy labels on meropenem prescribing in a UK hospital setting. Penicillin allergic patients had 6 times the odds of being prescribed meropenem compared to their non-allergic counterparts.
- Sacco KA, Bates A, Brigham TJ, Imam JS, Burton MC. Clinical outcomes following inpatient penicillin allergy testing: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Allergy*. 2017;72(9):1288-1296. doi:10.1111/all.13168
- 17. Wanat M, Anthierens S, Butler CC, et al. Patient and prescriber views of penicillin allergy testing and subsequent antibiotic use: A rapid review. *Antibiotics*. 2018;7(3). doi:10.3390/antibiotics7030071
- 18. Drug allergy: diagnosis and management | Guidance | NICE. NICE Clinical guideline. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg183/chapter/1-Recommendations. Published September 2014.