# UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Alzheimer's disease: the potential of epigenetic treatments and current clinical candidates*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/165469/

Version: Accepted Version

#### Article:

Coneys, R and Wood, IC orcid.org/0000-0003-1886-2533 (2020) Alzheimer's disease: the potential of epigenetic treatments and current clinical candidates. Neurodegenerative Disease Management, 10 (3). nmt-2019-0034. pp. 543-558. ISSN 1758-2024

https://doi.org/10.2217/nmt-2019-0034

#### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/



Article title: Alzheimer's Disease: the potential of epigenetic treatments and current clinical candidates.

Short running title: Epigenetic targets for Alzheimer's disease

Author names: Rachel Coneys<sup>1</sup> and Ian C. Wood<sup>2\*</sup>

**Author affiliations:** <sup>1</sup>Leonard Wolfson Experimental Neurology Centre, Institute of Neurology, University College London, London WC1N 3BG, UK. <sup>2</sup>School of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK

\*Author for correspondence: Ian C. Wood i.c.wood@leeds.ac.uk

Author Contributions: RC and ICW wrote the manuscript. Acknowledgements: Thanks to Sioned Williams for helpful comments on the manuscript. Financial disclosure: N/A Information pertaining to writing assistance: N/A Ethical disclosure: N/A Data sharing statement: N/A

Word count: 5774 Figure number: 2 Table number:0



#### • Abstract:

Alzheimer's disease is a progressive and fatal neurodegenerative disease affecting 50 million people worldwide, characterized by memory loss and neuronal degeneration. Current treatments have limited efficacy and there is no cure. Alzheimer's is likely caused by a combination of factors, providing several potential therapeutic targets. One area of interest is the epigenetic regulation of gene expression within the brain. Epigenetic marks, including DNA methylation and histone modifications, show consistent changes with age and in those with Alzheimer's. Some epigenetic regulation has been linked to disease pathology and progression and are the focus of current research. Epigenetic regulators might make promising therapeutic targets yet challenges need to be overcome to generate an efficacious drug lacking deleterious side-effects.

- Keywords: Chromatin; epigenetics; Alzheimer's; dementia; methylation; acetylation; ageing; histone; non-coding RNA
- Main body of text

#### Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD), the most common form of dementia, is characterized by progressive memory loss and cognitive decline and was predicted to cost the world economy \$1 trillion in 2018 [1]. Pathologically, extracellular Amyloid Beta (A $\beta$ ) plaques and intracellular neurofibrillary tangles (NFT) composed of hyperphosphorylated tau (p-tau) aggregates are seen within the cortex and hippocampus. These pathologies are thought to be the result of abnormal proteolytic processing of amyloid precursor protein (APP), a pathway termed the amyloid cascade hypothesis [2]. Consequent synaptic and neuronal loss is thought to underlie the cognitive deficits seen [3, 4]. Currently there is no cure; treatments targeting toxic A $\beta$  plaques and cholinergic neuronal loss have had limited efficacy and provide only mild symptomatic relief. These treatments however are only employed at late stages in the disease and their lack of efficacy suggests earlier pathologies, such as epigenetic modifications, contribute to the onset and progression of dementia and uncovering such mechanisms would greatly help therapeutic developments. Mutations in genes such as *APP*, presenilin-1 (*PSEN1*) and presenilin-2 (*PSEN2*) can be



attributed as the cause for familial AD, however in regards to sporadic AD which accounts for 90% of AD cases, monozygotic twin studies show discordance for AD suggesting alternative mechanisms contribute to sporadic disease pathology [5]. Genome Wide Association Studies (GWAS) have identified >20 loci including single nucleotide polymorphisms in Apolipoprotein E (*APOE*) and Microtubule Associated Protein Tau (*MAPT*) genes which are associated with increased risk of late onset sporadic AD [6]. However these have a weak effect size, highlighting the contribution of other pathways, in the pathogenesis of the disease. Alternative hypotheses include neuroinflammation, reduced glucose metabolism, epigenetic regulation, mitochondrial dysfunction, and reduced vasculature in the brain [7-9], although it is likely AD is a consequence of a multitude of genetic and environmental factors.

The term epigenetics, introduced by Conrad Waddington in 1942, describes molecular pathways which combine to modulate our gene expression into a particular phenotype without invoking changes to the underlying DNA sequence [10]. Epigenetic hallmarks include DNA methylation, post translational modifications of histones (acetylation and methylation) and the influence of non-coding RNA. As we age our epigenome undergoes changes which is manifest as a drift toward hypermethylation at specific DNA locations but a widespread, global DNA hypomethylation [11]. It appears in AD, in which age is the biggest risk factor, the levels of localised hypermethylation and global hypomethylation are more pronounced compared to age matched controls [12, 13]. These data suggest that changes to our epigenome start to occur at an earlier age in individuals with AD or develop more rapidly, thus implicating altered epigenetic mechanisms as a potential key pathology in AD. The epigenetic modifications to our DNA and chromatin are catalyzed by a plethora of enzymes and non-coding RNA transcripts. These enzymes present as potential druggable targets for the treatment of AD whereby their altered activity could be modified therapeutically to reverse or prevent some of the epigenetic changes associated with AD.

#### Changes in DNA methylation at key genes is linked to Alzheimer's disease

Methylation of DNA (Figure 1A) was the first epigenetic mark identified and has been by far the most studied. This has been facilitated by technology that allows precise identification and quantification of methylation, the robust nature of DNA and the relative ease of its isolation from tissue. Overall there is good evidence from many groups that patterns of DNA methylation are altered in individuals with AD: these changes are summarised as increased methylation at specific genes associated with AD but an



overall reduction in methylation globally [12, 13]. Decreased methylation may be a consequence of altered one carbon metabolism and the heavy use of available S-Adenosyl methionine (SAM) to combat increased reactive oxygen species (ROS). Increased methylation at specific genes is presumably derived from the recruitment of individual DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) enzymes to specific genomic regions [14]. Age is the biggest risk factor for AD and it is clear that DNA methylation changes (local hypermethylation and global hypomethylation) seen with ageing are similar to those observed in patients with AD [15, 16].

DNA methylation is deposited by the action of DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) enzymes (Figure 1A), of which there are 3 in humans (DNMT1, DNMT3a and DNMT3b). Increased methylation at the *DNMT1* gene has been associated with AD [13] suggesting globally, DNMT1 levels might be reduced. Aged mice show reduced levels of *DNMT3* in the hippocampus. Expression of ectopic *DNMT3a* using viral delivery enhanced cognitive performance in object recognition and spatial memory tasks, whilst shRNA knockdown of *DNMT3a* in young mice impaired cognitive functioning [17]. Inhibitors of DNMT enzymes exist, and are currently used in treating certain cancers, though they lack specificity for individual enzymes and from the available functional data, widespread DNMT inhibition is likely to have a negative effect on cognition. Increased methylation of a number of other genes within the genome of patients with AD has been observed. These include the genes; *PSEN1, APOE*, Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (*MTHFR*) and *DNMT1* [13]. DNA methylation would be expected to result in reduced expression and of these genes which is thought to predispose an individual to AD. However much of the data is collected from postmortem brain so it is unclear if the altered methylation levels contribute to or are caused by AD.

Overall the genome in patients with AD shows a general hypomethylation which might reflect altered one carbon metabolism. However there are some specific regions which show hypomethylation suggesting there is also a more targeted mechanism involved. Like the targeted hypermethylation, this hypomethylation is seen at genes that have been associated with AD and has the potential to contribute to AD pathology. These hypomethylated regions include intron 3 of the Down Syndrome cell adhesion molecule-like 1 (*DSCAML1*) gene, 5 tau kinase encoding genes (*MAPK10, MARK3, CAMK2A, CAMK2B and DYRK1A*) and 2 genes (*CDK5R2* and *CDK5RAP2*) that activate CDK5 and are associated with increased tau phosphorylation [18]. The hypomethylated enhancer at the *DSCAML1* region may actually regulate expression of the Beta-secretase 1 (*BACE1*) gene: it was associated with the *BACE1* gene in 3D space and



hypomethylation of this region correlated with increased *BCAE1* mRNA at early stages of AD [18]. As well as differences in the average level of methylation, a recent study that examined stability and variability in DNA methylation found that variable methylation in AD was concentrated around regions that are involved in regulating the expression of genes associated with neurogenesis and development [19]. Thus, levels of methylation at specific regions are potentially important, as is the reduced stability of methylation at enhancers; which increases the propensity for methylation levels to change in response to signals. Both mechanisms appears to contribute to disease progression.

Given how long we have known about and studied DNA methylation it is perhaps surprising how little we still understand about its involvement in complex diseases such as AD. Indeed although there is now general acceptance of the idea that DNA methylation is reversible there is no incontrovertible evidence, nor a unified consensus, on the mechanism(s) responsible for DNA demethylation. Overall targeting DNA methylation is potentially the most challenging given that; we don't understand how the DNA methylation changes contribute to AD, there are no inhibitors or activators available that are specific for individual DNMTs and the apparent involvement of increases in DNA methylation in AD at some genes but decreases in DNA methylation at others.

# Deregulation of histone acetylation in Alzheimer's disease negatively impacts cognitive functioning and neuronal survival

Post translational modifications of histone proteins are important epigenetic events, implicated in a range of physiological and pathological processes. Acetylation, of lysine residues within histones (Figure 1B) promotes an open chromatin state, more amenable for transcription. Acetylation then is associated with increased gene expression and its deposition catalysed by histone acetyltransferases (HATs, Figure 1B), whilst histone deacetylases (HDACs, Figure 1B) catalyse deacetylation to remove acetyl groups and repress gene expression [20].

There is evidence that levels of HDACs are altered in AD, specifically both HDAC2 and HDAC6 protein levels are elevated in the cortex and hippocampus of post mortem brain tissue from patients with AD compared to controls [21-23]. Contrastingly, a recent PET study using [<sup>14</sup>C] Martinostat, a radioligand that binds class 1 HDACs, reported a reduction in global HDAC levels in AD affected regions such as the posterior cingulate



cortex and hippocampus [24]. In fact reduced HDAC levels correlated with mild cognitive impairment suggesting altered HDAC regulation may be an early event in the pathogenesis of AD [24]. While these studies appear contradictory [<sup>14</sup>C] Martinostat does not distinguish between the Class I HDACs (HDAC1, 2, 3 and 8) so while levels of HDAC2 might go up, levels of other HDAC s may go down. An overall decrease in HDAC levels would be predicted to result in a rise in histone acetylation levels, and there is some suggestion that increased levels of histone acetylation are associated with AD [25]. In a H3K27ac association study, widespread variation in acetylation, of genes associated with AD, was seen in entorhinal cortex samples from AD patients compared to matched controls, with hyperacetylation seen at regions near PSEN1, PSEN2 and MAPT genes and hypoacetylation seen near APP gene. Variations in H3K27ac levels were also identified to overlap with AD GWAS regions [26]. These data suggest that altered acetylation is linked to AD though whether it contributes to or is a result of AD is unclear. H3K27 is also a site of methylation and as both types of modification cannot exist on the same lysine together, changes in acetylation could well be the result of an altered level of H3K27 methylation. To determine if there is any overlap in the regions that show altered DNA methylation and histone acetylation, Marzi et al. [26] compared the genomic regions that showed changes in each modification. There was little direct overlap between regions showing altered H3K27Ac levels and regions showing altered DNA methylation [26] suggesting the existence of multiple, possibly independent mechanisms, though regions of altered DNA methylation did show enrichment for altered acetylation perhaps indicating that DNA methylation changes do have a downstream influence on acetylation.

Increased HDAC2 levels seen in patients with Alzheimer's disease would suggest it may be a target for Alzheimer's therapy and support for HDAC2 as a potential therapeutic target is provided by evidence from a number of animal models. In the CK-p25 mouse model of neurodegeneration, HDAC2 expression in the brain is increased and corresponds to repression of genes involved in neuroplasticity [27]. Mice showed poor performance in the Morris water maze and a fear condition test but this was improved to levels similar to wild type animals with siRNA knockdown of HDAC2, suggesting that the increased level of HDAC2 was the cause of the deficit [27]. Genetic overexpression of HDAC2, but not HDAC1, specifically within mouse brain neurones, results in decreased dendritic spine and synapse density within hippocampal slices and correlates with reduced memory formation [28]. Mice in which the *Hdac2* gene had been knocked out showed an opposite phenotype: they had an enhanced response to associative learning tasks, showed enhanced LTP in the hippocampus and an improved spatial memory [28, 29]



though their episodic memory is not different to wild type animals [29]. HDAC3 may also make a contribution to cognition. Knockout of HDAC3 from neurones in the hippocampus resulted in enhanced object recognition memory though spatial memory differences were not tested [30]. In contrast, knockout of *Hdac1* in forebrain neurones had no clear effect on memory or hippocampal LTP [29]. In fact, reduction of HDAC1 may be deleterious. In the CK-p25 mouse model, the p25 protein, which is abnormally regulated in AD, was shown to interact with and inhibit the function of HDAC1 [31]. Inhibition of HDAC1 in this way was shown to be neurotoxic through promoting DNA damage and neuronal death. Increasing HDAC1 could prevent this damage. Together these data suggest that increased HDAC2 and HDAC3 activity has a negative impact on cognition while reduced HDAC1 activity may be neurotoxic, consistent with the observation that inhibition of HDAC enzymatic activity with SAHA can improve cognition but does not prevent neurodegeneration. An effective therapeutic agent would therefore need to inhibit HDAC2 and/or HDAC3 but not inhibit HDAC1.

As a potential therapeutic avenue, the use of HDAC inhibitors has shown some promise in animal studies and HDAC inhibitors appear to reduce AD pathology *in vitro* and memory impairments *in vivo*. The HDAC inhibitor M344 reduced Aβ accumulation, tau phosphorylation, and lowered BACE and APOE4 gene expression in HEK cells overexpressing the APP variant containing the Swedish mutation (KM670/671NL, [32]). *In vivo*, treatment of 3xTg AD mice (*APP* Swedish, *MAPT* P301L, and *PSEN1* M146V mutations) with M344 enhanced spatial memory and novel object recognition [32]. Oral administration of MS-275 in APP/PS1 transgenic mice reduced Aβ deposition [33] whilst W2 improved performance in the Morris water maze and decreased Aβ levels in 3xTg AD mice [34]. Valproic acid, Sodium Butyrate and SAHA (Figure 1B) all enhanced the response to fear conditioning in mutant APP/PS1 mice when compared to vehicle treated littermates [35]. Treatment of 3xTg AD mice with RGFP-966, increased histone acetylation and correlated with reduced Aβ accumulation, tau phosphorylation and increased performance in spatial and memory and object recognition tests [36]. RGFP-966 shows some selectivity for HDAC3 (IC<sub>50</sub> of 1.45µM) but is only 3.1 and 4.9 fold more selective toward HDAC3 than HDAC1 and 2 respectively [36] and it is not clear which HDAC(s) is the important target for these physiological responses.

Inhibition of HDAC2 and/or HDAC3 but not HDAC1 would be the goal for the development of a HDAC based therapy. The catalytic domains of HDAC1 and HDAC2 are extremely similar, both in amino acid sequence and 3D structure. The deacetylase domain is 366 amino acids and an alignment of these



domains from the human HDAC1 and HDAC2 proteins shows that they are 94% identical (Figure 2A). Crystal structures of both HDAC1 and 2 catalytic domains have been determined [37, 38] as part of complexes with partner proteins and overlaying of these domains shows that the 2 structures occupy identical configurations (Figure 2B). From these data it does not seem that it would be possible to generate small molecules that are able to bind to the enzyme pocket of HDAC2 to inhibit its activity but be excluded from the pocket of HDAC1. One possibility would be to incorporate other parts of HDAC2 into the drug design, for example the region around the entrance to the active site and produce a molecule that could interact with HDAC2 to block access to the active site. Molecules such as antibodies or aptamers may be able to achieve such a goal though their physiochemical properties make them less than ideal as drugs. The development of subtype specific HDAC inhibitors is a current focus, however faces many challenges which are discussed here [39]. An alternative strategy to targeting specific HDAC's, is to inhibit other components of the complex they are part of and that are required for proper function. A functional screening for co-regulators of HDAC2 revealed the transcription factor Sp3 works in conjunction with HDAC2 to regulate transcription. Knockdown of Sp3 using shRNA in CK-p25 mouse model of AD reduced HDAC2 recruitment to the promoter of genes in primary neuronal cultures which was accompanied by an increase in histone acetylation of several synaptic genes [23]. Disruption of the HDAC2-Sp3 interaction by expression of a C-terminus segment of HDAC2 rescued memory deficits in fear conditioning paradigms in the CK-p25 model. Like HDAC2, Sp3 is elevated in the hippocampus of AD patients [23] and thus development of a small molecule capable of inhibiting this interaction should reduce HDAC2 function without also the HDAC1 activity that is important for cell survival.

Alternative ways to restore the balance of histone acetylation would be to increase acetyltransferase. Whilst increased levels of HDAC are associated with AD pathology, reduced levels of the HAT enzyme, CREB-binding protein (CBP), is an early event in APPswe/PSEN1dE9 mice and accompanied by reduced histone acetylation levels in the hippocampus [40]. CBP activity is promoted through increased cAMP and cGMP levels and one way to increase cGMP is to inhibit phosphodiesterase activity which is responsible for cGMP turnover. A combination of the HDAC inhibitor voronistat and the phosphodiesterase-5 (PDE5) inhibitor tadalafil enhanced LTP in hippocampal slices from APP/PS1 mice and improved spatial and associative memory performance in Tg275 mice (Swedish APP KM670/671NL mutation) [41] as did CM-144, a molecule that combines HDAC inhibition activity with inhibition of PDE5 [42]. Direct activation of



CBP using CSP-TTK21 (Figure 1B), delivered through intraperitoneal injection, was also able to increase acetylation of H2B and restored plasticity in the hippocampus and spatial memory [43].

Targeting histone acetylation does seem to have promise as a strategy to alleviate the effects of AD. Identifying a selective inhibitor of HDAC2 and/or 3 that does not inhibit HDAC1 appears quite challenging though the ability to activate HATs either directly or through modulation of second messengers does provide alternative ways to meet the same objectives.

#### Two Histone methylation marks show altered patterns of deposition in AD

A post-translation modification of histones that has been the focus of much interest is methylation. Both lysine and arginine residues within histone N-terminal tails can be modified by methylation by up to 2 (Arginine) or 3 (Lysine) methyl groups (for a review of histone methylation and the enzymes that add and remove methyl groups, see [44]). While there are a number of residues that can be methylated, two specific examples of histone methylation have been associated with Alzheimer's disease, H3K9me2 and H3K4me3.

#### H3K9me2

Dimethylation of H3K9 is controlled through a histone methyltransferase complex containing both histone methyltransferases EHMT1 (also known as GLP) and EHMT2 (also known as G9a, Figure 1C) [45]. An active complex *in vivo*, appears to require the presence of both enzymes [46]. In humans, levels of EHMT1 but not EHMT2 mRNA were found to be higher in the prefontal cortex of post-mortem brain tissue from individuals with AD compared to aged matched controls. If both enzymes are required for a functional complex, the outcome resulting from the change in expression of one enzyme but not the other is not easily predictable without a precise handle on stoichiometry. In the Alzheimer's mouse models Tg2576 and FAD (mice with *App* K670N/M671L+I716V+V717 and *Psen1* M146L+L286V mutations) there are increased global levels of H3K9me2 in the prefontal cortex while levels in hippocampus but not in the striatum of FAD mice are also higher [47, 48]. In FAD mice there is a specific increase of H3K9me2 at 717 genes including the *Gria2* and *Grin2B* genes which encode the GluR2 AMPAR and the NMDA2B receptor subunits respectively. These genes show reduced expression levels as evidenced by reduced AMPA and NMDA currents [48]. Functionally, FAD mice show reduced performance in spatial memory and object recognition behavioural tests but this is improved with inhibition of EHMT1/2 by either BIX01294 or



UNC0642 (Figure 1C), [48]. Neither BIX01294 nor UNC0642 treatment resulted in a reduction of A $\beta$  plaques or Tau phosphorylation though, giving further support to the idea that there is a not a requirement to remove A $\beta$  plaques or reduce Tau phosphorylation in order to improve cognition in patients with AD [49].

On the face of it the above data would suggest that inhibition of EHMT1/2 would be a useful strategy in AD treatment. The availability of inhibitors precludes the requirement for a large scale screening programme and, unlike HDAC inhibitors, the available inhibitors do show selectivity. Indeed it has been shown that peripheral administration of BIX01294 in mouse is able to reduce levels of H3K9me2 in the cortex and enhance gene expression as evidenced by increased Bdnf mRNA levels [50]. However, reducing EHMT1/2 activity too much may result in alternative problems. In humans a haploinsufficiency of EHMT1 is the cause of Kleefstra syndrome, a disorder characterised by intellectual disability. This is mimicked in mouse models where deficiency of either Ehmt1 or Ehmt2 results in similar learning deficits [51]. Reduced EHMT1/2 levels in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) are also associated with addiction and the anatomical and behavioural response to repeated exposure to cocaine [52]. After a series of cocaine administrations, mice show a preference for cocaine in a conditioned place preference test and anatomically have an increased density of dendritic splines on neurones in the NAc. Ectopic expression of EHMT2 is able to prevent the changes in spine density and alteration in behaviour, while inhibition of EHMT1/2 or reduction of EHMT2 levels augmented the behavioural preference for cocaine and reduced the levels of H3K9me2 in the NAc [52]. These data are consistent with a model whereby high levels of EHMT1/2 activity might reduce strength and/or plasticity of synapses whereas low levels would promote stronger synaptic connections. Thus in AD, high levels of EHMT1/2 in the cortex result in reduced synapse strength and negatively impacts cognition while in response to repeated cocaine exposure, reduced EHMT1/2 levels in the NAc results in enhanced synapse strength of neurons within the reward pathway and promotes addiction.

The EHMT1/2 complex has been proposed to act as an epigenetic regulator involved in synaptic scaling, maintaining firing rates of neurones within a physiological range [53]. A major hypothesis of cognitive deficits is that they arise from the inability of neurones to respond to environmental changes and an imbalance in chromatin modifying proteins that underpin transcriptional plasticity will reduce neuronal dynamics and have a negative effect on cognition. Thus it would seem likely that there is a "sweet spot"



of EHMT1/2 activity where either too little or too much leads to cognitive deficiencies. Given that increased EHMT1/2 is seen in the prefontal cortex of patients with AD, then partial inhibition may be able to restore functional levels of activity. However the EHMT1/2 levels do not appear to be increased globally, for example, they are not increased in the striatum while levels in other areas have not been examined [48]. It is not clear what the levels of EHMT1/2 are in the NAc but reduction of EHMT1/2 activity in this area below normal levels would clearly not be welcome because it would risk promoting addictive behaviour. It seems that we should first address whether altered EHMT1/2 levels are associated with AD and once we are in possession of that information we can determine if EHMT1/2 inhibition has potential to treat symptoms of AD without generating substantial side effects.

#### H3K4me

In contrast to H3K9me2, tri-methylation of H3K4 is generally associated with transcriptional activation. A number of mutations that affect genes which regulate H3K4 methylation are associated with neurodevelopmental disorders that involve intellectual disability (reviewed in [54]) though disruption of these genes has not been associated with neurodegeneration in humans. Levels of H3K4me have been seen to be higher at some genes and lower at others in the CK-p25 neurodegenerative mouse model [55], which shows neuronal loss, cognitive decline, Tau phosphorylation and neurofibrillary tangles similar to AD but has no build-up of A $\beta$  plaques [56]. H3K4me3 is deposited by the KMT2A (Figure 1D) and KMT2B histone methyltransferases. In the CK-p25 mouse, Kmt2a levels are reduced compared to wild type, mice but Kmt2b levels are not. Fifty two percent of the genes showing reduced H3K4me3 in the CK-p25 mouse also show reduced H3K4me3 in the Kmt2a knockout mouse [57]. These data suggest that, at least a proportion of the H3K9me3 reduction in the CK-p25 mouse is due to reduced Kmt2a levels. The functional significance of Kmt2a reduction in the CK-p25 mice is supported by the observation that knockdown of Kmt2a or Kmt2b in the prefontal cortex resulted in deficiencies in spatial memory as measured by the Tarm maze and radial maze tests [58, 59]. Neither neurodegeneration nor neurofibrillary tangles however have been reported in mice lacking Kmt2a perhaps indicating that these responses to CK-p25 overexpression are a result of a mechanism independent from H3K4 methylation.

*Kmt2a* and *Kmt2b* show quite distinct changes when knocked down suggesting they have quite distinct functions [59]. As they both catalyse the same reaction, specificity for histones in particular genomic areas



is most likely brought about by their interaction with individual protein complexes. This is significant for drug development as any small molecule that can regulate KMT2A, is likely to also regulate KMT2B. To compensate for reduced KMT2A levels we would want to potentiate KMT2A activity though this may be challenging to do with a small chemical and an alternative strategy would be to inhibit the enzymes responsible for removing the H3K4 methylation. A potential additional issue is that increased H3K4me3 appears to be associated with genes expressed in microglia [55] and may contribute to the inflammatory response. Thus increasing global H3K4me3 levels could result in increased microglia activity and enhanced microglia activity has been suggested to contribute to the pathogenesis of AD [60].

# A number on non-coding RNAs are attractive therapeutic targets, influencing cognition and amyloid pathology

Non-coding RNA (ncRNA) are functional RNA molecules which do not code for proteins. They function as epigenetic regulators of gene expression by their involvements in transcriptional and post transcriptional gene silencing, a direct involvement in heterochromatin formation, and interactions with protein complexes involved in DNA methylation and histone modifications [61-63]. Categorisation of ncRNAs is based on their size; short ncRNA's (including micro RNA's (miRNA) of 19-24 nucleotides, small interfering RNA (siRNA) of 20-25 nucleotides and piwi-interacting RNA (piRNA) of 26-31 nucleotides) and Long ncRNAs (lncRNA, 200 nucleotides or more).

Several studies have characterised altered expression levels of specific ncRNAs with AD in humans. In a microarray analysis of AD brains compared to age-matched controls, 24 lncRNAS were found to be upregulated and 84 downregulated [63]. The miR-29 family of miRNA's were reported to be reduced globally in brains of AD patients brains [64] while other miRNA's including miR-7, miR-27a and miR-206 were increased in the temporal cortex [65, 66]. Animal models of AD also demonstrate ncRNA dysregulation; the Tg2567 mouse model, like human AD brains, shows increased miR-206 levels as well as a number of other miRs and injection of the antagomir to miR-206 (AM206) into the third ventricle improved the performance of the mice in conditional and spatial memory tests, possibly through the increase in BDNF levels that it induced [65]. Induction of AD in rats by the injection of oligomeric A $\beta$ 1-42 into the ventricles resulted in upregulation of 93 and the down regulation of 90 miRNAs [67]. All of these



data identify an alteration in ncRNA expression after AD has developed and there have been no reported mutations in any ncRNA associated with AD in GWAS studies. Together these observations point toward a role for ncRNA that might contribute to the phenotype and pathogenesis of AD but are not directly responsible for the initiation of AD. However given the ability to design specific interacting partners to bind to ncRNAs and robust methods which easily quantify very low levels of them, they may have utility as biomarkers for AD development [68] or as a way to alleviate specific symptoms such as reduced cognition [65].

Alongside neurodegeneration, cognitive decline is the symptom most closely associated with AD. A number of ncRNAs have been implicated in the regulation of cognition through the modulation of synaptic plasticity. For example the IncRNA, GM12371, is associated with transcriptionally active chromatin (Figure 1E) and knockdown of GM12371 reduces expression of a host of genes important in synaptic plasticity, lowers spine density and inhibits enhancement of synaptic transmission [69]. Levels of the IncRNA BC200 in the cortex show a 65% reduction with ageing in healthy indiviudals, however individuals with AD show an upregulation [70]. A second study did not identify increased BC200 levels in plasma from patients with AD [71], whether this indicates that plasma levels of BC200 are not indicative of levels within the brain or that increased BC200 is not a consistent event in AD is not clear. LoNA is another IncRNA whose function is associated with reduced plasticity. LoNA is found predmoniantly associated with the nucleolus within cells and thought to be inportant in rRNA expression [72]. Administration of LoNa to the hippocampus of wild type animals impaired spatial memory while mice lacking LoNA spent more time on novel object exploration. Increased expresison of LoNa is seen in brains from APP/PS1 mice, and knockdown of LoNa in these animals restored rRNA levels and improved performance in a Morris water maze to levels similar to wild type animals (Li et al., 2018). Given it is easier to knockdown ncRNAs than to enhance their expression, LoNA provides an attractive therapeutic target for congitive enhancement, whether this would also reduce neurodegeneration is still awaiting experimental data.

In addition to modulating synaptic plasticity, ncRNAs may also contibute to amyloid pathology af AD. BACE1 is a key enzyme which initates the formation of the toxic Aβ1–42 peptide from APP cleavage, and thus both *BACE1* and *APP* genes are pivatol in AD pathlogy. In AD brains, miR-29a and miR-29b-1 were decreased and this was associated with abnormally high BACE1 protein expression [64]. Bioinformatic analysis identified miR29a and 29b-1 target sites on the *BACE1* mRNA and using a luciferase reporter



construct, BACE1 was shown to be under the regulation of miR-29a and 29b-1. Furthermore, overexpression of miR-29a was sufficient to reduce A $\beta$  peptide levels in HEK293 cells, suggesting a potential protective role of miR-29a and 29b-1 in reducing BACE1 expression to lower the A $\beta$  burden [64]. The microRNA, miR-16 is also downregulated in brains of individuals with AD and was identified as a potent inhibitor of APP, BACE1 and Tau expression. Delivery of a synthetic miR-16 mimic into mouse brain reduced expression of BACE1, APP and Tau in the hippocampus of WT mice, suggesting a potential to reduce expression of a number of genes that promote amyloid pathology [73]. In 10 month old Tg2576 AD mice, microarray analysis identified that miR200b/c levels were upregulated in the brain. The increased levels are proposed to be a protective mechanism in response to elevated A $\beta$ 1–42 in the brain. Transfection of primary murine neurons with miR-200b/c reduced A $\beta$ 1–42 secretion into the media and infusion with miR-200b/c into the brains of mice treated with oligomeric A $\beta$ , restored memory defects on the Barnes Maze [74].

As a therapeutic strategy, mimics of specific miRNAS appear to have potential in reducing AD progression. Additionally, miRNA mimics overcome drawbacks of conventional small molecule drugs as they can target multiple genes and affect non druggable protein targets (e.g non enzymatic proteins). However poor stability and challenges in delivery currently limit their therapeutic use; chemical modification to miRNA mimics is being investigated to reduce their vulnerability to nuclease degradation and improve stability *in vivo* while use of viral vectors can increase transduction efficacy [75]. Lastly, miRNAs need only bind to partially complementary mRNA sequences. An impact of this imperfect base pairing, means one miRNA or miRNA mimic can recognise and bind multiple mRNAs. The corollary to this is a potential for off target effects which may potentiate the disease further.

#### • Future Perspective:

Epigenetic modifications in AD appear to influence cognition though, with the exception of HDAC1 activity, there is little evidence for a pivotal involvement in neuronal degeneration. Mutations in a number of genes that modify chromatin are the cause of syndromes associated with reduced intellectual ability eg Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome resulting from mutation in the CREB binding protein (*CBP*, [76]), Rett syndrome caused by mutation in methyl-CpG binding protein 2 (*MECP2*, [77]), Kleefstra syndrome resulting from mutations in *EHMT1* [78] and Weidemann-Steiner syndrome caused by mutations in *KMT2A* [79]\*. There is some evidence that links reduced HDAC1 activity to neurotoxicity [31] but when



tested, improvements in cognition in animal models are not normally associated with increased number or preservation of neurones suggesting that the neurodegeneration and cognitive decline seen in AD may occur through independent mechanisms. On the basis of the available evidence, we would hypothesise that restoring normal epigenetic function in patients with AD would improve cognition of the patient but would be unlikely to reduce or prevent the neurodegeneration. However a quality of life enhancement provided through enhanced cognition is a substantial gain to the individual.

Non-coding RNAs may prove to be more useful in combatting the amyloid pathology associated with AD and miR-16 or miR-29 could be a useful way to reduce BACE1 and APP and limit amlyoid build up. Challenges associated with non-coding RNA treatments are the ability to deliver enough RNA to the target site and provide modifications to the RNA so that the molecules have a sufficient half life to make their use in a treatment regimen feasible. Targeting BACE1 has so far proved ineffective in ameliorating cognitive deficits in clinical trials [80] but maybe a two-pronged combination therapy, one arm targeting cognition and the other neurodegeneration could be a way toward an effective treatment.

\* A review of chromatin modifying implicated in cognitive disorders can be found here [81].

#### **Figure legends**

**Figure 1. Overview of the chromatin modifications reported to be altered in AD.** Shown is a region of DNA wrapped around a histone octamer. **A)** DNA can be methylated at CpG dinucleotides by DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) enzymes. The DNA can be demethylated though the mechanism(s) responsible for demethylation is unclear. **B)** Lysine residues within Histone N-terminal tails can be acetylated by histone acetyltransferase (HAT) enzymes and the acetyl group can be removed by a Histone deacetylase (HDAC) enzyme. Inhibitors of HDACs, such as SAHA and the HAT activator, CSP-TTK21, have shown potential to enhance memory in mouse models of AD. **C)** Histone H3 lysine 9 (H3K9) can be di-methylated by a complex containing the methyltransferases EHMT1 and EHMT2. The methyl groups can be removed by a lysine demethylase (KDM). Both BIX01294 and UNC0642 are small molecules that can inhibit EHMT1/2 and enhance memory in a mouse model of AD. **D)** Histone H3 lysine 4 (H3K4) can be trimethylated by lysine methyltransferase (KMT) 2a and the methyl groups removed by a lysine demethylase (KDM). **E)** The non-coding RNA, GM12371 (shown in red) is found associated with active chromatin as judged by colocalisation with histone H3 are acetylated at lysine 27 (H3K27Ac) and is important to maintain gene expression of synaptic plasticity and associated proteins.

**Figure 2. HDAC1 and HDAC2 catalytic domains show a high level of sequence and structural identity. A)** Alignment of the amino acid sequences encoding the catalytic domain of HDAC1 (amino acids 8-374, top) and HDAC2 (amino acids 2-368, bottom). Identical amino acids are shown in blue and differences are



highlighted in red. **B)** Two views of the superimposed catalytic domains of HDAC1 (yellow) and HDAC2 (blue) The domains were extracted from structures in the protein Data Bank [82]; PDB ID: 4BKX and PDB ID: 4LY1, superimposed using Vector Alignment Search Tool+ [83] and viewed using iCN3D [84]

- **References:** (visit our <u>for authors</u> page for formatting requirements and to download our endnote package)
- 1. Prince MW, A; Guerchet, M; Ali, G-C; Wu, Y-T; Prina, M. The World Alzheimer Report 2015: 'The Global Impact of Dementia: An analysis of prevalence, incidence, cost and trends'. Alzheimer's Disease International (2015).
- 2. Hardy J, Allsop D. Amyloid deposition as the central event in the aetiology of Alzheimer's disease. Trends Pharmacol Sci 12(10), 383-388 (1991).
- 3. Hong S, Beja-Glasser VF, Nfonoyim BM et al. Complement and microglia mediate early synapse loss in Alzheimer mouse models. Science 352(6286), 712-716 (2016).
- 4. Spires-Jones TL, Hyman BT. The intersection of amyloid beta and tau at synapses in Alzheimer's disease. Neuron 82(4), 756-771 (2014).
- 5. Mastroeni D, Mckee A, Grover A, Rogers J, Coleman PD. Epigenetic differences in cortical neurons from a pair of monozygotic twins discordant for Alzheimer's disease. PLoS One 4(8), e6617 (2009).
- 6. Marioni RE, Harris SE, Zhang Q et al. GWAS on family history of Alzheimer's disease. Transl Psychiatry 8(1), 99 (2018).
- 7. Du X, Wang X, Geng M. Alzheimer's disease hypothesis and related therapies. Transl Neurodegener 7 2 (2018).
- 8. Hensley K. Neuroinflammation in Alzheimer's disease: mechanisms, pathologic consequences, and potential for therapeutic manipulation. J Alzheimers Dis 21(1), 1-14 (2010).
- 9. Karran E, De Strooper B. The amyloid cascade hypothesis: are we poised for success or failure? J Neurochem 139 Suppl 2 237-252 (2016).
- 10. Waddington CH. The epigenotype. 1942. Int J Epidemiol 41(1), 10-13 (2012).
- 11. Sen P, Shah PP, Nativio R, Berger SL. Epigenetic Mechanisms of Longevity and Aging. Cell 166(4), 822-839 (2016).
- 12. Chouliaras L, Mastroeni D, Delvaux E et al. Consistent decrease in global DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation in the hippocampus of Alzheimer's disease patients. Neurobiol Aging 34(9), 2091-2099 (2013).
- 13. Wang SC, Oelze B, Schumacher A. Age-specific epigenetic drift in late-onset Alzheimer's disease. PLoS One 3(7), e2698 (2008).
- 14. Wood IC. The Contribution and Therapeutic Potential of Epigenetic Modifications in Alzheimer's Disease. Front Neurosci 12 649 (2018).
- 15. Hernandez DG, Nalls MA, Gibbs JR et al. Distinct DNA methylation changes highly correlated with chronological age in the human brain. Hum Mol Genet 20(6), 1164-1172 (2011).
- 16. Heyn H, Li N, Ferreira HJ et al. Distinct DNA methylomes of newborns and centenarians. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109(26), 10522-10527 (2012).
- 17. Oliveira AM, Hemstedt TJ, Bading H. Rescue of aging-associated decline in Dnmt3a2 expression restores cognitive abilities. Nat Neurosci 15(8), 1111-1113 (2012).
- 18. Li P, Marshall L, Oh G et al. Epigenetic dysregulation of enhancers in neurons is associated with Alzheimer's disease pathology and cognitive symptoms. Nat Commun 10(1), 2246 (2019).



- 19. Huo Z, Zhu Y, Yu L et al. DNA methylation variability in Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 76 35-44 (2019).
- 20. Graff J, Tsai LH. Histone acetylation: molecular mnemonics on the chromatin. Nat Rev Neurosci 14(2), 97-111 (2013).
- 21. Ding H, Dolan PJ, Johnson GV. Histone deacetylase 6 interacts with the microtubule-associated protein tau. J Neurochem 106(5), 2119-2130 (2008).
- 22. Gräff J, Rei D, Guan JS et al. An epigenetic blockade of cognitive functions in the neurodegenerating brain. Nature 483 222-226 (2012).
- 23. Yamakawa H, Cheng J, Penney J et al. The Transcription Factor Sp3 Cooperates with HDAC2 to Regulate Synaptic Function and Plasticity in Neurons. Cell Rep 20(6), 1319-1334 (2017).
- 24. Pascoal TA, Chamoun M, Shin M et al. IMAGING EPIGENETICS IN THE HUMAN BRAIN WITH THE NOVEL [11C]MARTINOSTAT PET IN PRECLINICAL AD, MCI, AD, AND FRONTOTEMPORAL DEMENTIA INDIVIDUALS. Alzheimer's & Dementia 14 P9-P10 (2018).
- 25. Narayan PJ, Lill C, Faull R, Curtis MA, Dragunow M. Increased acetyl and total histone levels in post-mortem Alzheimer's disease brain. Neurobiol Dis 74 281-294 (2015).
- 26. Marzi SJ, Leung SK, Ribarska T et al. A histone acetylome-wide association study of Alzheimer's disease identifies disease-associated H3K27ac differences in the entorhinal cortex. Nat Neurosci 21(11), 1618-1627 (2018).
- 27. Graff J, Rei D, Guan JS et al. An epigenetic blockade of cognitive functions in the neurodegenerating brain. Nature 483(7388), 222-226 (2012).
- 28. Guan JS, Haggarty SJ, Giacometti E et al. HDAC2 negatively regulates memory formation and synaptic plasticity. Nature 459(7243), 55-60 (2009).
- 29. Morris MJ, Mahgoub M, Na ES, Pranav H, Monteggia LM. Loss of histone deacetylase 2 improves working memory and accelerates extinction learning. J Neurosci 33(15), 6401-6411 (2013).
- 30. Mcquown SC, Barrett RM, Matheos DP et al. HDAC3 is a critical negative regulator of long-term memory formation. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 31 764-774 (2011).
- 31. Kim D, Frank CL, Dobbin MM et al. Deregulation of HDAC1 by p25/Cdk5 in neurotoxicity. Neuron 60(5), 803-817 (2008).
- 32. Volmar CH, Salah-Uddin H, Janczura KJ et al. M344 promotes nonamyloidogenic amyloid precursor protein processing while normalizing Alzheimer's disease genes and improving memory. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 114 E9135-E9144 (2017).
- 33. Zhang Z-Y, Schluesener HJ. Oral administration of histone deacetylase inhibitor MS-275 ameliorates neuroinflammation and cerebral amyloidosis and improves behavior in a mouse model. Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology 72 178-185 (2013).
- 34. Sung YM, Lee T, Yoon H et al. Mercaptoacetamide-based class II HDAC inhibitor lowers Aβ levels and improves learning and memory in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Experimental neurology 239 192-201 (2013).
- 35. Kilgore M, Miller CA, Fass DM et al. Inhibitors of class 1 histone deacetylases reverse contextual memory deficits in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Neuropsychopharmacology 35(4), 870-880 (2010).
- 36. Janczura KJ, Volmar CH, Sartor GC et al. Inhibition of HDAC3 reverses Alzheimer's disease-related pathologies in vitro and in the 3xTg-AD mouse model. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 115 E11148-E11157 (2018).



- 37. Lauffer BE, Mintzer R, Fong R et al. Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor kinetic rate constants correlate with cellular histone acetylation but not transcription and cell viability. J Biol Chem 288(37), 26926-26943 (2013).
- 38. Millard CJ, Watson PJ, Celardo I et al. Class I HDACs share a common mechanism of regulation by inositol phosphates. Mol Cell 51(1), 57-67 (2013).
- 39. Millard CJ, Watson PJ, Fairall L, Schwabe JWR. Targeting Class I Histone Deacetylases in a "Complex" Environment. Trends Pharmacol Sci 38(4), 363-377 (2017).
- 40. Ettcheto M, Abad S, Petrov D et al. Early Preclinical Changes in Hippocampal CREB-Binding Protein Expression in a Mouse Model of Familial Alzheimer's Disease. Mol Neurobiol doi:10.1007/s12035-017-0690-4 (2017).
- 41. Cuadrado-Tejedor M, Garcia-Barroso C, Sanzhez-Arias J et al. Concomitant histone deacetylase and phosphodiesterase 5 inhibition synergistically prevents the disruption in synaptic plasticity and it reverses cognitive impairment in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Clin Epigenetics 7 108 (2015).
- 42. Cuadrado-Tejedor M, Garcia-Barroso C, Sanchez-Arias JA et al. A First-in-Class Small-Molecule that Acts as a Dual Inhibitor of HDAC and PDE5 and that Rescues Hippocampal Synaptic Impairment in Alzheimer's Disease Mice. Neuropsychopharmacology 42(2), 524-539 (2017).
- 43. Chatterjee S, Cassel R, Schneider-Anthony A et al. Reinstating plasticity and memory in a tauopathy mouse model with an acetyltransferase activator. EMBO Molecular Medicine 10 (2018).
- 44. Greer EL, Shi Y. Histone methylation: a dynamic mark in health, disease and inheritance. Nat Rev Genet 13(5), 343-357 (2012).
- 45. Shinkai Y, Tachibana M. H3K9 methyltransferase G9a and the related molecule GLP. Genes Dev 25(8), 781-788 (2011).
- 46. Tachibana M, Ueda J, Fukuda M et al. Histone methyltransferases G9a and GLP form heteromeric complexes and are both crucial for methylation of euchromatin at H3-K9. Genes Dev 19(7), 815-826 (2005).
- 47. Lithner CU, Lacor PN, Zhao WQ et al. Disruption of neocortical histone H3 homeostasis by soluble Abeta: implications for Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 34(9), 2081-2090 (2013).
- 48. Zheng Y, Liu A, Wang ZJ et al. Inhibition of EHMT1/2 rescues synaptic and cognitive functions for Alzheimer's disease. Brain 142(3), 787-807 (2019).
- 49. Kametani F, Hasegawa M. Reconsideration of Amyloid Hypothesis and Tau Hypothesis in Alzheimer's Disease. Front Neurosci 12 25 (2018).
- 50. Chase KA, Feiner B, Ramaker MJ, Hu E, Rosen C, Sharma RP. Examining the effects of the histone methyltransferase inhibitor BIX-01294 on histone modifications and gene expression in both a clinical population and mouse models. PLoS One 14(6), e0216463 (2019).
- 51. Schaefer A, Sampath SC, Intrator A et al. Control of cognition and adaptive behavior by the GLP/G9a epigenetic suppressor complex. Neuron 64(5), 678-691 (2009).
- 52. Maze I, Covington HE, 3rd, Dietz DM et al. Essential role of the histone methyltransferase G9a in cocaine-induced plasticity. Science 327(5962), 213-216 (2010).
- 53. Benevento M, Iacono G, Selten M et al. Histone Methylation by the Kleefstra Syndrome Protein EHMT1 Mediates Homeostatic Synaptic Scaling. Neuron 91(2), 341-355 (2016).
- 54. Collins BE, Greer CB, Coleman BC, Sweatt JD. Histone H3 lysine K4 methylation and its role in learning and memory. Epigenetics Chromatin 12(1), 7 (2019).



- 55. Gjoneska E, Pfenning AR, Mathys H et al. Conserved epigenomic signals in mice and humans reveal immune basis of Alzheimer's disease. Nature 518(7539), 365-369 (2015).
- 56. Cruz JC, Tseng HC, Goldman JA, Shih H, Tsai LH. Aberrant Cdk5 activation by p25 triggers pathological events leading to neurodegeneration and neurofibrillary tangles. Neuron 40(3), 471-483 (2003).
- 57. Kerimoglu C, Agis-Balboa RC, Kranz A et al. Histone-methyltransferase MLL2 (KMT2B) is required for memory formation in mice. J Neurosci 33(8), 3452-3464 (2013).
- 58. Jakovcevski M, Ruan H, Shen EY et al. Neuronal Kmt2a/Mll1 histone methyltransferase is essential for prefrontal synaptic plasticity and working memory. J Neurosci 35(13), 5097-5108 (2015).
- 59. Kerimoglu C, Sakib MS, Jain G et al. KMT2A and KMT2B Mediate Memory Function by Affecting Distinct Genomic Regions. Cell Rep 20(3), 538-548 (2017).
- 60. Mcquade A, Blurton-Jones M. Microglia in Alzheimer's Disease: Exploring How Genetics and Phenotype Influence Risk. J Mol Biol 431(9), 1805-1817 (2019).
- 61. Wapinski O, Chang HY. Long noncoding RNAs and human disease. Trends in Cell Biology 21 354-361 (2011).
- 62. Wei JW, Huang K, Yang C, Kang CS. Non-coding RNAs as regulators in epigenetics (Review). Oncology Reports 37 3-9 (2017).
- 63. Zhou X, Xu J. Identification of Alzheimer's disease-associated long noncoding RNAs. Neurobiology of Aging 36 2925-2931 (2015).
- 64. Hébert SS, Horré K, Nicolaï L et al. Loss of microRNA cluster miR-29a/b-1 in sporadic Alzheimer's disease correlates with increased BACE1/beta-secretase expression. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 105 6415-6420 (2008).
- 65. Lee S-T, Chu K, Jung K-H et al. miR-206 regulates brain-derived neurotrophic factor in Alzheimer disease model. Annals of neurology 72 269-277 (2012).
- 66. Pogue AI, Lukiw WJ. Up-regulated Pro-inflammatory MicroRNAs (miRNAs) in Alzheimer's disease (AD) and Age-Related Macular Degeneration (AMD). Cellular and molecular neurobiology 38 1021-1031 (2018).
- 67. Wang Z, Xu P, Chen B et al. Identifying circRNA-associated-ceRNA networks in the hippocampus of Aß1-42-induced Alzheimer's disease-like rats using microarray analysis. Aging 10 775-788 (2018).
- Cogswell JP, Ward J, Taylor IA et al. Identification of miRNA changes in Alzheimer's disease brain and CSF yields putative biomarkers and insights into disease pathways. J Alzheimers Dis 14(1), 27-41 (2008).
- 69. Raveendra BL, Swarnkar S, Avchalumov Y et al. Long noncoding RNA GM12371 acts as a transcriptional regulator of synapse function. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 115 E10197-E10205 (2018).
- 70. Mus E, Hof PR, Tiedge H. Dendritic BC200 RNA in aging and in Alzheimer's disease. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 104 10679-10684 (2007).
- 71. Feng L, Liao YT, He JC et al. Plasma long non-coding RNA BACE1 as a novel biomarker for diagnosis of Alzheimer disease. BMC Neurology 18 (2018).
- 72. Li D, Zhang J, Wang M et al. Activity dependent LoNA regulates translation by coordinating rRNA transcription and methylation. Nature Communications 9 (2018).
- 73. Parsi S, Smith PY, Goupil C, Dorval V, Hébert SS. Preclinical evaluation of miR-15/107 family members as multifactorial drug targets for Alzheimer's disease. Molecular Therapy Nucleic Acids 4 e256 (2015).



- 74. Higaki S, Muramatsu M, Matsuda A et al. Defensive effect of microRNA-200b/c against amyloidbeta peptide-induced toxicity in Alzheimer's disease models. PLoS ONE 13 (2018).
- 75. Lam JKW, Chow MYT, Zhang Y, Leung SWS. siRNA versus miRNA as therapeutics for gene silencing. Molecular Therapy - Nucleic Acids 4 e252 (2015).
- 76. Petrij F, Giles RH, Dauwerse HG et al. Rubinstein-Taybi syndrome caused by mutations in the transcriptional co-activator CBP. Nature 376(6538), 348-351 (1995).
- 77. Amir RE, Van Den Veyver IB, Wan M, Tran CQ, Francke U, Zoghbi HY. Rett syndrome is caused by mutations in X-linked MECP2, encoding methyl-CpG-binding protein 2. Nat Genet 23(2), 185-188 (1999).
- 78. Kleefstra T, Kramer JM, Neveling K et al. Disruption of an EHMT1-associated chromatinmodification module causes intellectual disability. Am J Hum Genet 91(1), 73-82 (2012).
- 79. Jones WD, Dafou D, Mcentagart M et al. De novo mutations in MLL cause Wiedemann-Steiner syndrome. Am J Hum Genet 91(2), 358-364 (2012).
- 80. Coimbra JRM, Marques DFF, Baptista SJ et al. Highlights in BACE1 inhibitors for Alzheimer's disease treatment. Frontiers in Chemistry 6 (2018).
- 81. Kleefstra T, Schenck A, Kramer JM, Van Bokhoven H. The genetics of cognitive epigenetics. Neuropharmacology 80 83-94 (2014).
- 82. Berman H, Henrick K, Nakamura H. Announcing the worldwide Protein Data Bank. Nat Struct Biol 10(12), 980 (2003).
- 83. Madej T, Lanczycki CJ, Zhang D et al. MMDB and VAST+: tracking structural similarities between macromolecular complexes. Nucleic Acids Res 42(Database issue), D297-303 (2014).
- 84. Wang J, Youkharibache P, Zhang D et al. iCn3D, a Web-based 3D Viewer for Sharing 1D/2D/3D Representations of Biomolecular Structures. Bioinformatics doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz502 (2019).



Figure 1





### Figure 2

## A)

HDAC1	8	RRKVCYYYDGDVGNYYYGQGHPMKPHRIRMTHNLLLNYGLYRKMEIYRPHKANAEEMTKY	67
HDAC2	2	KKKVCYYYDGDIGNYYYGQGHPMKPHRIRMTHNLLLNYGLYRKMEIYRPHKATAEEMTKY	61
HDAC1	68	HSDDYIKFLRSIRPDNMSEYSKQMQRFNVGEDCPVFDGLFEFCQLSTGGSVASAVKLNKQ	127
HDAC2	62	HSDEYIKFLRSIRPDNMSEYSKQMQRFNVGEDCPVFDGLFEFCQLSTGGSVAGAVKLNRQ	121
HDAC1	128	QTD <b>I</b> AVNWAGGLHHAKKSEASGFCYVNDIVLAILELLKYHQRVLYIDIDIHHGDGVEEAF	187
HDAC2	122	$\verb"QTD" MAVNWAGGLHHAKKSEASGFCYVNDIVLAILELLKYH \verb"QRVLYIDIDIHHGDGVEEAF"$	181
HDAC1	188	YTTDRVMTVSFHKYGEYFPGTGDLRDIGAGKGKYYAVN <mark>Y</mark> PLRDGIDDESY <b>EA</b> IFKP <mark>VM</mark> SK	247
HDAC2	182	$\verb YTTDRVMTVSFHKYGEYFPGTGDLRDIGAGKGKYYAVNFPMRDGIDDESYGQIFKPIISK  $	241
HDAC1	248	v MEMFQPSAVVLQCGSDSLSGDRLGCFNLT K GHAKCVEFVK S FNLPMLMLGGGGYTIRN	307
HDAC2	242	VMEMYQPSAVVLQCGADSLSGDRLGCFNLT VKGHAKCVE VVKT FNLPLLMLGGGGYTIRN	301
HDAC1	308	VARCWTYETAVALDTEIPNELPYNDYFEYFGPDFKLHISPSNMTNQNTNEYLEKIKQRLF	367
HDAC2	302	VARCWTYETAVALDCEIPNELPYNDYFEYFGPDFKLHISPSNMTNQNTPEYMEKIKQRLF	361
HDAC1	368	ENLRMLP 374	
HDAC2	362	ENLRMLP 368	

B)



