

This is a repository copy of Special issue on studies in Late Modern English historical phonology using the Eighteenth-Century English Phonology Database (ECEP): introduction.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/165212/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Beal, J.C., Sen, R., Yanez-Bouza, N. et al. (1 more author) (2020) Special issue on studies in Late Modern English historical phonology using the Eighteenth-Century English Phonology Database (ECEP): introduction. English Language and Linguistics, 24 (3). pp. 471-474. ISSN 1360-6743

https://doi.org/10.1017/s1360674320000210

This article has been published in a revised form in English Language and Linguistics https://doi.org/10.1017/S1360674320000210. This version is free to view and download for private research and study only. Not for re-distribution, re-sale or use in derivative works. © The Author(s), 2020.

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Studies in Late Modern English Historical Phonology using the Eighteenth-Century English Phonology Database (ECEP): Introduction¹ JOAN C. BEAL, RANJAN SEN, CHRISTINE WALLIS

University of Sheffield

and

NURIA YÁÑEZ-BOUZA

Universidade de Vigo

Since Charles Jones referred to the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries as the "Cinderellas of English historical linguistic study" (1989: 279), there has been a great deal of progress in research on this period, but, as Beal (2012: 22) points out, much of this has been in the fields of syntax, morphology, lexis, pragmatics, sociolinguistics and the normative tradition. Beal argues that the availability of corpora of Late Modern English texts has greatly facilitated research in these areas, but, since creating phonological corpora for periods antedating the invention of sound recording is a

¹ The compilation of the ECEP database was supported by the British Academy / Leverhulme Trust [SG–132806] and the Santander Research Mobility Scheme (calls 2012/13 and 2014/15), and technical support was provided by the Humanities Research Institute at the University of Sheffield. Yáñez-Bouza would like to thank the Spanish Ministry of Economy and the European Regional Development Fund [FFI2016-77018-P] and the Autonomous Government of Galicia [ED431C 2017/50]. challenging proposition, the historical phonology of Late Modern English has benefitted much less from the corpus revolution.

To redress this imbalance, the editors of this issue, with technical support from the Humanities Research Institute, University of Sheffield, created the Eighteenth-Century English Phonology Database (ECEP), which is freely available at https://www.dhi.ac.uk/projects/ecep/.

After completing the database in 2015, we wished to encourage other scholars working in the field of English historical phonology to make use of ECEP. When the call was put out for proposals for a special themed issue of *English Language and Linguistics*, we saw this as an ideal opportunity to invite some of these scholars to contribute papers giving accounts of research in which they used ECEP as a tool for investigating research questions of their choice. Inevitably, some scholars were too busy with other projects to contribute,² but Raymond Hickey, Warren Maguire and Nicolas Trapateau were able to accept. This issue also includes papers from the ECEP project team which showcase the potential of the database for both research and teaching.

² All the papers in this issue were reviewed according to the usual practices of the *English Language and Linguistics* journal. Some of these scholars have provided reviews of the papers in this issue, for which we are very grateful to all the reviewers for their time and their constructive and helpful critiques.

The paper by Nuria Yáñez-Bouza which opens this special issue provides a full account of the background to the creation of ECEP and the principles behind its design, together with illustrations and examples of how scholars might use this resource.

The paper by Beal, Sen, Yáñez-Bouza and Wallis, considers variation with regard to yoddropping and yod-coalescence in eighteenth-century English. It provides analyses of data compiled from ECEP supplemented with word-frequency information for the period 1700–1799 from the British component of ARCHER 3.2. It sets out to determine the internal (stress, phoneme, word-position) and external (prescriptive, geographical, social) motivations for the presence or absence of yod-dropping and yod-coalescence in the eighteenth century, which underlies the variation still found today in the pronunciation of words such as *mature*, *duke* and *tune*.

Two papers in this issue use data from ECEP alongside other resources to investigate the history of the BATH-TRAP³ split in English. Wells defines the lexical set BATH as 'comprising those words whose citation form contains the stressed vowel /æ/ in Gen[eral] Am[erican], but /ɑ:/ in RP' (1982: 133). Typically, northern and midland varieties of English in England have the long vowel only in Wells' BATH_c set (e.g. *calf, half, can't, banana*), whilst southern hemisphere varieties tend to have the long vowel, but with variation in words such as *dance* from Wells' BATH_b set. Evidence from ECEP shows considerable variation in the BATH set in the eighteenth century, along with metalinguistic

³ All the papers in this issue refer to Wells' (1982) lexical sets.

commentary on the correctness or otherwise of particular pronunciations. Ray Hickey and Nicolas Trapateau both investigate this variation. Hickey looks at the history of low vowels in English from Old English onwards from a typological perspective, noting that these have tended to move upwards and from front to back, and that they have also been subject to lengthening. Drawing on evidence from ECEP along with that from other eighteenth-century sources, notably Nares (1784), Hickey concludes that the variation in the BATH set from the eighteenth century to the present day is complex because it is motivated by a combination of phonotactic and sociolinguistic, internal and external factors. Trapateau concentrates on the distribution of variants between the eighteenth century and the present day, setting out to determine the role played by frequency in the lexical diffusion of BATH lengthening. Trapateau analyses data from ECEP together with his own electronic version of Walker's (1791) pronouncing dictionary, the Longman Pronunciation Dictionary (Wells 2008) for present-day RP and General American, and the Macquarie Dictionary (2015) for Australian English. He concludes that word frequency has little influence on the diffusion of this sound change in the eighteenth century, but that phonetic environment has a much stronger effect.

Warren Maguire sets out to answer the puzzle of the anomalous appearance of pronunciations represented by spellings such as *owld* in some dialects of Scots. The regular reflex of Old English [ald] in Scots is spelt *auld*, but the appearance of *owld* type spellings in dialects of Lowland Scots, especially in the south-west of Scotland, as well as those of the extreme north-west presents a puzzle. Maguire examines evidence from the ECEP database to demonstrate that *owld* type pronunciations were common in eighteenth-century Standard English. Given that data from the *From Inglis to Scots* (FITS) database (Alcorn *et al.* forthcoming) shows no evidence for *owld* spellings in Old Scots, Maguire concludes that these pronunciations are not the result of internal developments, but from contact with varieties of English from England and Ireland. Because the Standard Scottish English pronunciation of such words developed to [o:ld], the *owld* pronunciation became interpreted as non-standard and therefore Scots. Maguire notes the importance of databases such as ECEP and FITS for investigating apparent anomalies like this.

Christine Wallis presents an account of her use of ECEP as a teaching tool. The appearance of a paper dedicated to pedagogical matters in *English Language and Linguistics* might surprise some readers but, as Wallis points out, there has been a pedagogical 'turn' in linguistics publishing recently. Wallis describes her use of ECEP alongside other resources such as archive collections, *Eighteenth Century Collections Online* (ECCO), the *Eighteenth-Century English Grammars* (ECEG) database, the *Old Bailey Proceedings Online* and various letter collections, to carry out research-led teaching with advanced undergraduate and masters' students. She notes that combining ECEP with other resources such as ECCO enabled students not only to carry out their own research projects, but also to gain an insight into how resources such as ECCP are created from original sources.

This issue of *English Language and Linguistics* presents cutting-edge research in English historical phonology. We hope that these papers will encourage readers working in this field to make use of ECEP and other databases in their own research and teaching.

Authors' addresses: Joan C. Beal 9, Les Coudrais 22150 Plouguenast France

Ranjan Sen School of English The University of Sheffield Jessop West 1, Upper Hanover Street Sheffield S3 7RA UK

Christine Wallis School of English The University of Sheffield Jessop West 1, Upper Hanover Street Sheffield S3 7RA

UK

Nuria Yáñez Bouza Facultade de Filoloxía e Tradución Universidade de Vigo Vigo, Pontevedra, E-36310 Spain E-mail: nuria.y.b@uvigo.es

REFERENCES

Alcorn, Rhona, Vasilis Karaiskos, Joanna Kopaczyk, Bettelou Los, Warren Maguire & Benjamin Molineaux. Forthcoming. From Inglis to Scots: A Corpus of Graphophonological Correspondences (1380-1500) with Associated Corpus of Changes. www.amc.lel.ed.ac.uk/fits/. The University of Edinburgh.

ARCHER = A Representative Corpus of Historical English Registers version 3.2. 2013. www.manchester.ac.uk/archer.

Beal, Joan C. 2012. 'Can't see the wood for the trees?': Corpora and the study of Late Modern English. In Manfred Markus, Yoko Iyeiri, Reinhard Heuberger & Emil Chanson (eds.), *Middle and Modern English corpus linguistics: A multi-dimensional approach*, 13–30. Amsterdam and Philadelphia: John Benjamins.

Eighteenth Century Collections Online (ECCO). 2008. Detroit: Gale Cengage Learning. www.gale.com/intl/primary-sources/eighteenth-century-collections-online

Eighteenth-Century English Grammars database (ECEG). 2010. Compiled by Nuria Yáñez-Bouza (University of Manchester) & María E. Rodríguez-Gil (University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria). www.manchester.ac.uk/eceg

Eighteenth-Century English Phonology database (ECEP). 2015. Compiled by Joan C. Beal, Nuria Yáñez-Bouza, Ranjan Sen & Christine Wallis. The University of Sheffield and Universidade de Vigo. Published by: University of Sheffield. www.dhi.ac.uk/projects/ecep/

Hitchcock, Tim, Robert Shoemaker, Clive Emsley, Sharon Howard & Jamie McLaughlin.
2012. *The Old Bailey Proceedings Online*, *1674–1913*. www.olbaileyonline.org, version
7.0.

Jones, Charles. 1989. A history of English phonology. London: Longman.

Macquarie complete Australian dictionary application, based on the 6th edition, 2015. https://www.macquariedictionary.com.au Nares, Robert. 1784. *Elements of orthoepy: Containing a distinct view of the whole analogy of the English language so far as it relates to pronunciation, accent, and quantity*. London: T. Payne and Son.

Walker, John. 1791. A critical pronouncing dictionary and expositor of the English language. London.

Wells, John C. 1982. Accents of English, 3 vols. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Wells, John C. 2008. Longman pronunciation dictionary, 3rd edn. London: Longman.