

This is a repository copy of *A microbubble-sparged yeast propagation–fermentation process for bioethanol production*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/165037/

Version: Published Version

Article:

Raghavendran, V. orcid.org/0000-0002-2021-2786, Webb, J.P. orcid.org/0000-0002-2825-7671, Cartron, M.L. et al. (7 more authors) (2020) A microbubble-sparged yeast propagation—fermentation process for bioethanol production. Biotechnology for Biofuels, 13 (1). 104. ISSN 1754-6834

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-020-01745-5

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



RESEARCH

Open Access

A microbubble-sparged yeast propagationfermentation process for bioethanol production

Vijayendran Raghavendran^{1*}, Joseph P. Webb¹, Michaël L. Cartron¹, Vicki Springthorpe², Tony R. Larson², Michael Hines³, Hamza Mohammed^{3,4}, William B. Zimmerman⁴, Robert K. Poole¹ and Jeffrey Green¹

Abstract

Background: Industrial biotechnology will play an increasing role in creating a more sustainable global economy. For conventional aerobic bioprocesses supplying O₂ can account for 15% of total production costs. Microbubbles (MBs) are micron-sized bubbles that are widely used in industry and medical imaging. Using a fluidic oscillator to generate energy-efficient MBs has the potential to decrease the costs associated with aeration. However, little is understood about the effect of MBs on microbial physiology. To address this gap, a laboratory-scale MB-based Saccharomyces cerevisiae Ethanol Red propagation-fermentation bioethanol process was developed and analysed.

Results: Aeration with MBs increased O₂ transfer to the propagation cultures. Titres and yields of bioethanol in subsequent anaerobic fermentations were comparable for MB-propagated and conventional, regular bubble (RB)propagated yeast. However, transcript profiling showed significant changes in gene expression in the MB-propagated yeast compared to those propagated using RB. These changes included up-regulation of genes required for ergosterol biosynthesis. Ergosterol contributes to ethanol tolerance, and so the performance of MB-propagated yeast in fed-batch fermentations sparged with 1% O₂ as either RBs or MBs were tested. The MB-sparged yeast retained higher levels of ergosteryl esters during the fermentation phase, but this did not result in enhanced viability or ethanol production compared to ungassed or RB-sparged fermentations.

Conclusions: The performance of yeast propagated using energy-efficient MB technology in bioethanol fermentations is comparable to that of those propagated conventionally. This should underpin the future development of MB-based commercial yeast propagation.

Keywords: Bioethanol, Conventional bubbles, Ergosterol, Fed-batch fermentation, Microbubbles, Oxygen

Background

In typical industrial corn/wheat mash bioethanol fermentations, yeast is propagated under aerobic conditions for 6–10 h, the yeast suspension is then diluted ~1:10 with fresh mash suspension, the air supply is withdrawn, and the fermentation continued for ~48 h. Conventional yeast propagations involve aeration systems that supply oxygen (O₂) using inductors and spargers in an energy

of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



intensive process that can account for up to $\sim 15\%$ of total manufacturing costs [1, 2]. As the biomass increases, demand for O₂ often outstrips the supply capacity of these systems. Increasing the surface area/volume ratio of the air bubbles introduced into fermenters increases the O_2 transfer rate to support biomass propagation. Hence, several devices to aerate microbial cultures using microbubbles (MBs) have been developed. For example, an MB device was used to enhance O₂ transfer and double polyhydroxybutyrate production by engineered Escherichia coli [3]. Production of recombinant human serum albumin by high cell density Pichia pastoris cultures was increased by up to sevenfold by MB aeration

© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativeco mmons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/ $\frac{1}{2}$ zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence: v.raghavendran@sheffield.ac.uk

¹ Department of Molecular Biology & Biotechnology, University

[4]. Microbubble sparging has also proved beneficial in xanthan gum production by *Xanthomonas campestris* [5]. Furthermore, a spinning disc MB device was shown to be able to provide cultures of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (up to 50 L volume) with adequate O_2 at low agitation speed, with consequent savings in energy costs [6]. Some of these savings arise because MBs provide better mixing than regular bubbles (RB), thereby reducing local concentration gradients that could lead to O_2 -starved zones in large propagators [7].

MBs produced by fluidic oscillators with no moving parts have the potential to decrease the energetic costs of culture aeration still further [8]. A pilot study using such a system at a wastewater facility suggested that $a \sim 20\%$ decrease in blower energy costs could be achieved even under sub-optimal conditions (M. Hines, Perlemax Internal Report, 2018).

Sterol lipids contribute to resisting the toxic effects of ethanol and other stresses by maintaining the membrane rigidity [9, 10]. The biosynthesis of sterols requires O_2 and hence this is not possible during the anaerobic ethanol-producing fermentation phase [11, 12]. Therefore, during aerobic propagation the yeast cells must synthesise sufficient sterols to provide the ethanol tolerance required during the fermentation phase.

Taken together the observations outlined above suggest that MBs could enhance O_2 availability and reduce the overall energy costs during yeast propagation. Enhanced O_2 supply could result in greater sterol content and thereby increase ethanol tolerance during the anaerobic production phase. However, little was known about the effects, beneficial or otherwise, of MBs on yeast biology during propagation and fermentation. Therefore, an optimised laboratory-scale RB-based propagation–fermentation process was compared with a prototype MB-based process.

Results

Construction of a microbubble (MB) fermenter

The prototype MB fermenter was constructed by removing the stirrer shaft and sparger from a conventional system (Fig. 1). A plastic dome was moulded to level the concave vessel bottom and house two centrally located sintered stainless-steel diffusers. The latter were connected to the outlets of the external fluidic oscillator. A recirculation system was implemented to maintain culture homogeneity (Fig. 1). Extensive modification and testing of fluidic oscillator frequency were made before arriving at the settings used in this study [13].

Mass transfer is enhanced in the MB fermenter

Mass transfer characteristics of the RB and MBadapted fermenters were measured using a dissolved O_2 probe located at different depths in the vessels; the position and motion of the impeller limited the analysis to two depths for the RB fermenter, whereas measurements were taken at four positions in the MB fermenter (Fig. 2). Higher $k_L a$ (the overall mass transfer coefficient) values were obtained for the MB fermenter. Furthermore, $k_L a$ remained consistent regardless of the position of the dissolved O_2 probe for the MB fermenter, but decreased by ~ 40% at the lowest point of measurement for the RB fermenter, suggesting better mixing was achieved in the MB fermenter.

Aerobic propagation of yeast in an MB fermenter

Quadruplicate cultures of S. cerevisiae Ethanol Red were propagated in YPD medium containing glucose (40 g L^{-1}) at 32 °C in either a RB or MB fermenter (Fig. 1). For these experiments YPD medium was used, rather than the common industrial feedstocks of cereal starches or molasses, because the composition of the latter substrates can be variable and hence introduce unknown factors that could confound identification of MB-specific effects on yeast propagation and bioethanol fermentation. For both fermenter configurations, exponential growth began immediately with a maximum specific growth rate of ~0.23 h^{-1} (RB: 0.24 ± 0.04 h⁻¹; MB: 0.23 ± 0.05 h⁻¹) producing $380\pm36\times10^6$ cells mL⁻¹ (RB) and $332\pm100\times10^6$ cells mL^{-1} (MB) after 10-h propagation (Fig. 3a). Observation of the yeast by light microscopy did not show any gross morphological differences between the RB- and MB-propagated cells. For both, cell viability was~100% throughout, although the budding index peaked (~50%) at 6 h and then decreased to ~40% upon glucose depletion and entry into stationary phase (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Free amino nitrogen was above 750 mg L^{-1} at the end of both propagation processes (Additional file 1: Figure S2). Cell dry masses per gram of glucose consumed (RB: 0.15 ± 0.03 g g⁻¹, and MB: 0.13 ± 0.03 g g⁻¹) were typical of oxidoreductive metabolism (Fig. 3b). These values reflected those of the cell counts (see above) and thus the biomass produced by MB propagation was marginally lower than that achieved by RB propagation; a similar decrease in biomass has been previously reported (RB 0.53 g g^{-1} ; MB: 0.43 g g⁻¹ [6]), suggesting that the enhanced O_2 transfer resulted in increased toxic reactive oxygen species. Nevertheless, it was concluded that the prototype MB fermentation apparatus could be used to propagate



S. cerevisiae Ethanol Red with yields comparable to those of an optimised conventional RB fermenter.

Microbubble-propagated yeast can be used for anaerobic bioethanol fermentations

To simulate industrial bioethanol fermentations, 90% of the culture was removed from the propagation vessels and replaced with fresh YPD medium containing glucose (80 g L^{-1}) and gas sparging was ceased. When glucose concentrations fell below 1%, a concentrated solution of glucose was added to continue the fermentation (Fig. 3c). For both RB- and MB-propagated yeast

two phases of fermentative growth were observed; a fast phase between 10 and 32 h ($\mu_{max,RB}$: 0.23 ± 0.04 h⁻¹; $\mu_{max,MB}$: 0.26 ± 0.04 h⁻¹), during which ethanol was produced together with cell growth, and a slower phase from 32 h until the end of the fermentation ($\mu_{max,RB}$: 0.03 ± 0.02 h⁻¹; $\mu_{max,MB}$: 0.02 ± 0.01 h⁻¹) where growth was uncoupled from ethanol production (Fig. 3a). The budding index remained consistent throughout at ~40% (Additional file 1: Figure S3). Cell viability remained high at ~99% in the first phase and decreased to ~90% at the end of the fermentation (RB: $91 \pm 1\%$; MB: $88 \pm 5\%$) (Fig. 3b). Cell dry mass increased from the start of the



fermentation, reaching a maximum of 12.9 ± 3.4 g L⁻¹ (RB) and 12.2 ± 0.7 g L⁻¹ (MB) and then decreased as ethanol accumulated, possibly due to cell lysis and leakage of intracellular metabolites (Fig. 3b; Table 1). Volumetric glucose consumption rate was the highest between 10 and 17 h (RB: 11.8 ± 0.9 g L⁻¹ h⁻¹; MB: 11.5 ± 0.5 g L⁻¹ h⁻¹) and it decreased thereafter (Fig. 3c). The highest ethanol concentration achieved was 100 ± 5 g L⁻¹ (RB) and 96 ± 2 g L⁻¹ (MB), with a productivity of 2.2 g L⁻¹ h⁻¹ (Fig. 3d; Table 1). Thus, it was concluded that the performance of MB-propagated yeast in anaerobic bioethanol production was comparable to that of RB-propagated cells.

Enhanced expression of ergosterol biosynthesis genes in MB-propagated yeast

The macro-physiological parameters indicated that the MB propagation-fermentation process was as effective as a conventional process in an RB reactor. To determine whether these similar macroscopic outputs required transcriptional reprogramming in response to the different physical properties of MBs compared to RBs, global gene expression profiles were obtained for early and late propagation, and early and late fermentation cells (Table 2). Comparing gene expression of the early (t=3 h) MB-propagated yeast to that of RB-propagated yeast indicated that 15 genes were differentially regulated (\geq twofold, adjusted $p \leq 0.05$; Additional file 1: Table S1), whereas 104 genes were differentially regulated in late propagation (t=10 h; Additional file 1: Table S2). Gene ontology analysis revealed enrichment in metal ion homeostasis

[GO: 0055072] during early propagation (Additional file 1: Table S3), whilst cellular amino acid biosynthesis [GO: 0008652] and ergosterol biosynthesis [GO: 0006696] were enriched during late propagation (Additional file 1: Table S4). Thus, although the macro-physiology of the cells was unaffected by the mode of aeration, MB aeration elicited significant changes in gene expression during the propagation phase, including enhanced expression of genes required for ergosterol synthesis.

Gene expression of the MB-propagated yeast was then compared to that of RB-propagated cells in the anaerobic fermentation phase. During early fermentation (t=7 h), 34 genes were differentially expressed in yeast that had been MB-propagated compared to RB-propagated (Additional file 1: Table S5). GO analysis revealed that plasma membrane organisation [GO:0007009] and responses to stress [GO: 0006950] were enriched (Additional file 1: Table S6). In the late fermentation phase (t=32 h), only CYB2 (Additional file 1: Table S7), a component of the mitochondrial intermembrane space, was significantly different. The expression of genes associated with pyruvate fermentation (PDC1, 5, 6; ALD4, 5; ADH1, 2, 3, 4, 5; BDH1) was mostly unchanged after transition from late propagation to early fermentation, but both RB- and MB-propagated cells exhibited two to threefold increased expression of PDC5 (pyruvate decarboxylase) and ADH1 (alcohol dehydrogenase), whose actions combine to convert pyruvate to ethanol (Additional file 2).

Enhanced abundance of ergosteryl esters in MB fermentations

The higher level of expression of ergosterol biosynthesis genes in MB-propagated yeast suggested that such yeast could possess a larger reservoir of sterols and therefore exhibit enhanced ethanol tolerance during anaerobic fermentation. Yeast membranes exposed to ethanol exhibit increased lipid head group spacing, membrane fluidity and permeability, eventually leading to the lipid bilayers becoming interdigitated. Together these effects impair membrane function and yeast viability limiting the yields of bioethanol fermentations [14]. Ergosterol counteracts ethanol-induced interdigitation of lipid bilayers and enhanced levels of S. cerevisiae ergosterol correlated with increased ethanol tolerance [9]. However, the physiological and gene expression data indicated that RB- and MB-propagated yeast performed similarly in anaerobic fermentations.

Synthesis of sterols requires O_2 . Therefore, the effect of ergosterol biosynthesis gene expression on enhanced ethanol production when O_2 is supplied by RBs or MBs during the fermentation phase was investigated. MB-propagated yeast was used as the inocula for fermentations gassed with 1% O_2 supplied by RBs or MBs

Fig. 3 Fed-batch propagation–fermentation of *S. cerevisiae* Ethanol Red. Cultures were grown aerobically for 10 h using RB (circles) or MB (squares), followed by 45 h of ungassed anaerobic fermentation. **a** Cell density; **b** cell mass and viability; **c** residual glucose; and **d** ethanol produced. The data are the means and standard deviations (n = 4). Samples for transcriptome analysis were removed at 3 and 10 h during propagation and 7 and 32 h after commencing fermentation

Table 1	Physiological	parameters during	a fed-batch fer	rmentation with S.	cerevisiae Ethano	l Red
		parameters aarm	, .eu .auten iei		cereriside mendito	

Propagation gassing type	Fermentation gassing type	Maximum cell number (10 ⁶ cells mL ⁻¹)	Dry cell biomass (g L ⁻¹)	Ethanol (g L ⁻¹)	Viability (%)
21% O ₂ RB	Ungassed	492±141	12.9 ± 3.4	100 ± 5	91±1%
21% O ₂ MB	Ungassed	498±135	12.2 ± 0.7	96±2	$88 \pm 5\%$
21% O ₂ MB	1% O ₂ RB	641 ± 77	14.4 ± 2.3	96±3	78 ± 4
21% O ₂ MB	1% O ₂ MB	721 ± 85	14.8 ± 1.3	89±3	75 ± 7

Table 2 Differentially expressed genes during aerobic batch propagation and fed-batch fermentation (ungassed) with S. *cerevisiae* Ethanol Red using YPD medium (\geq twofold adjusted $p \leq 0.05$)

Sample	Number of genes up-regulated	Number of genes down-regulated	Total genes
Early propagation ($t = 3$ h)	2	9	11
Late propagation ($t = 10$ h)	48	56	104
Early fermentation ($t = 7$ h)	21	13	34
Late fermentation ($t = 32$ h)	1	-	1

(Fig. 4a). The amount of O_2 supplied was theoretically sufficient for the biosynthesis of ergosterol and oleate [12]. Sterol contents were analysed at early (t=0, 4 h), mid (t=12 h), and late fermentations (t=44 h). Similar patterns of squalene, lanosterol, lanosteryl palmitoleate, lanosteryl oleate, zymosterol, zymosteryl oleate, zymosteryl palmitoleate and ergosterol content were observed for RB- and MB-sparged fermentations (Fig. 4b). Zymosterol and its esters became less abundant as the fermentations progressed, whereas squalene, lanosterol and their esters increased in abundance. In both fermentation processes, ergosterol amounts were maintained during the first 12 h of fermentation but, after an initial decrease, higher levels of ergosteryl palmitoleate and ergosteryl oleate were present when O₂ was supplied by MBs (Fig. 4b). As free ergosterol is cytotoxic, esterified sterols possibly act as reserves during MB-gassed fermentations [14].

Changes in gene expression during oxygen-gassed fermentations

Gene expression profiles during the fermentations gassed with 1% O₂ supplied by RBs or MBs were compared. Widespread changes in gene expression (\geq two-fold; adjusted $p \leq 0.01$) were observed in response to the lower O₂ supply, i.e. shift from aerobic propagation (21% O₂) to sparged fermentation (1% O₂) (Fig. 5a). However, initially the changes were fewer for the MB-sparged fermentations, likely due to the more efficient gas transfer compared to RBs. At the end of the fermentations > 2000 genes were differentially expressed (\geq twofold; adjusted $p \leq 0.05$) compared to the aerobic inocula (Additional file 3).

During early fermentation (t=4 h), 690 genes were significantly (\geq twofold; adjusted $p \leq 0.05$) regulated (Additional file 3) involved in a wide range of cellular processes (e.g. response to stress GO:0006950, protein refolding GO:0042026, ribosome biogenesis GO:0042254, mitochondrial electron transport GO:0006122; Additional file 1: Table S8). During mid-fermentation (t=12 h), 24 genes were differentially expressed with an enrichment in heme (GO:0042167, GO:0006788) and sterol metabolism (GO:0016126) (Additional file 1: Table S9). At the end of fermentation, 53 genes were differentially expressed relating to processes involved in DNA damage and disaccharide metabolism (Additional file 1: Table S10). Ranking differentially expressed genes based on DNA binding and expression changes mediated by S. cerevisiae transcription factors in Yeastract [15] showed that no regulons were significantly enriched early (4 h) or late (44 h) into the gassed fermentations. However, the Hap1p regulon was differentially regulated in the mid-fermentation (12 h) samples (Additional file 1: Table S11). Hap1p is a zinc-finger transcription factor that is essential for anaerobic growth and activates the expression of aerobic respiratory proteins by indirectly sensing O₂ availability through the capacity to synthesise heme [16]. The higher expression of CYB2 (3.8-fold), CYC1 (3.1-fold), COX26 (4.8-fold) and HMX1 (4.8-fold), and lower expression of AAC3 (2.8-fold) in the MB-sparged fermentations, compared to the RB-sparged cultures, suggest that sufficient O_2 supply is maintained for longer in the MB fermenter as a consequence of the superior mass transfer values associated with MBs (Fig. 2).

As noted above, 4 h into the MB-gassed fermentation the ergosterol biosynthesis genes, *ERG* 2, 6, 12 and 28 were up-regulated, *ERG24* was up-regulated in the RB fermentations and *ERG* 1, 3, 11 and 25 exhibited enhanced expression in both fermentations (Figs. 4b and 5c). Expression of *YEH1* (steryl ester hydrolase) increased in both processes, suggesting that there was greater recycling of steryl esters. Nevertheless, *ARE2* (acylCoA:sterol acyltransferase), which catalyses the synthesis of steryl esters, was significantly up-regulated in the MB fermentations, and could account for the higher amounts of ergosteryl palmitoleate and ergosteryl oleate in these fermentations (Fig. 4b). At the end of both fermentations *ERG* 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 13, 20, 26, 27 and 28 were down-regulated.

Mapping of significantly regulated genes (\geq twofold, adjusted $p \leq 0.01$) 4 h into the fermentations to the cellular overview of *S. cerevisiae* metabolism available in Yeast Pathways (https://pathway.yeastgenome.org/ [17]) showed that the common responses to the switch from

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 4 Effect of gassing with 1% O_2 regular bubbles (RB) or microbubbles (MB) on yeast sterol content during ethanol-producing fermentations. **a** Schematic diagram showing the experimental approach. Yeast cultures were propagated aerobically in the prototype MB fermenter sparged with 21% O_2 as described in the text. The same yeast cells were used to seed fermentation runs sparged with 1% O_2 supplied as either RBs or MBs. Samples were removed for sterol and transcriptome analyses 0, 4, 12 and 44 h after commencing fermentation. Experiments were performed in triplicate. **b** Effects of sparging fermentations with 1% O_2 on expression of sterol biosynthesis genes and sterol content. The bar charts show the amounts (μ g mg⁻¹ cell dry mass; vertical axes) of the indicated sterols and sterol esters plotted against fermentation). Differentially expressed (\geq twofold, adjusted $p \le 0.01$, n = 3) '*ERG*' genes are indicated to the left of the simplified ergosterol biosynthesis pathway: black type, no change; red type, up-regulated in both RB and MB fermentations; cyan type, up-regulated in MB fermentation only; orange type, up-regulated in RB fermentation only

21% O₂ sparging to 1% O₂ included down-regulation of citric acid cycle and aerobic respiratory genes and downregulation of trehalose biosynthesis genes (Fig. 5b). Whilst up-regulation of several genes involved in sterol and arginine biosynthesis was common to both fermentation processes, more of these genes were up-regulated in the MB fermentations (Fig. 5c). One of the most upregulated genes in both fermentations was HES1 (OSH5), coding for a protein that resembles the mammalian oxysterol binding protein (OSBP) which is implicated in ergosterol homeostasis, with an HES1 (OSH5) mutant exhibiting lower ergosterol content, but similar lanosterol and zymosterol contents, to wild-type S. cerevisiae [18]. Increased amounts of sterols and arginine have been reported to enhance ethanol tolerance and hence the increased expression of these genes in the MB fermentations could be a useful trait conferred by an MB propagation-fermentation [9, 19].

Changes in the expression of genes linked to pyruvate metabolism upon transition to 1% O2 sparging were similar for both RB and MB fermentations, but differed from the anaerobic fermentations (Fig. 6). The pyruvate dehydrogenase gene (PDH1) was more severely repressed in the ungassed fermentations, potentially increasing flux to ethanol. Furthermore, the pyruvate decarboxylase genes PDC5 and PDC6 showed opposite regulation when MBpropagated cells were used in anaerobic fermentations (PDC5 up-regulated, PDC6 down-regulated) compared to the 1% O2-sparged fermentations (PDC5 down-regulated, PDC6 up-regulated). Expression of PDC6 is usually lower than PDC1 and PDC5, which are considered to be more important for ethanol production by catalysing the conversion of pyruvate to acetaldehyde (Fig. 6a; [20]), whereas PDC6 supported the growth of a PDC1/PDC5 mutant on ethanol medium [21]. The alcohol dehydrogenase gene ADH1 was up-regulated in all the fermentations, but in the gassed fermentations expression of ADH2 increased, whereas it decreased in the ungassed fermentation (Fig. 6b). Adh1p is responsible for conversion of acetaldehyde to ethanol, whereas the kinetic properties of Adh2p are thought to favour the reverse reaction permitting aerobic utilisation of ethanol [22]. Transcription of ADH2 is co-regulated by Adr1p and Cat8p in response to glucose depletion [23]. Expression of *ADR1* and *CAT8* was enhanced at the end points of the gassed fermentations, but was unchanged in the ungassed fermentation (Fig. 6b). The expression patterns of *PDH1*, *PDC6* and *ADH2* suggest that, whilst the gassing regime employed here enhanced the content of ergosterol esters, it also facilitated the consumption of ethanol and aerobic metabolism.

Oxygen sparging during fermentation decreased yeast viability

Microbubble-propagated cells exhibited increased expression of ergosterol biosynthetic genes compared to RB-propagated cells, but this did not result in enhanced ethanol production in a typical anaerobic fermentation (Fig. 3). Moreover, introducing low levels of O_2 using MBs during fermentation enhanced expression of a subset of genes required for sterol ester synthesis and increased the content of ergosteryl palmitoleate and ergosteryl oleate of the yeast cells compared to RB cultures (Fig. 4b). However, the enhanced expression of PDC6 and ADH2 suggested that continuous sparging with 1% O₂ during fermentation allowed the metabolism of ethanol (Fig. 6b). Previous studies have used various aeration regimens to improve ethanol production [24-27]; however, relatively little is known of the effects of O_2 on yeast exposed to high ethanol concentrations. Therefore, fermentations sparged with RBs and MBs consisting of 1% O₂–99% N₂ were analysed for ethanol production and yeast viability. Just as in the ungassed fermentations, two growth phases were observed. A fast growth phase, in which cells produced ethanol together with higher biomass $(14.4 \pm 2.3 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ [RB] and } 14.8 \pm 1.3 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ [MB]})$ compared to ungassed fermentations (Fig. 7). The final cell densities were also higher than those obtained for non-oxygenated fermentations $(641 \pm 77 \times 10^6 \text{ cells mL}^{-1})$ [RB] and $721\pm85\times10^6$ cells mL^{-1} [MB] (Fig. 7a)), indicating that metabolism was respiro-fermentative. The maximum ethanol concentrations were 96 \pm 3 g L⁻¹ (RB) and 89 ± 3 g L⁻¹ (MB) (Fig. 7d), which were slightly lower than those of the ungassed fermentations (Fig. 3d). The lower concentration of ethanol measured in the MB fermentations was at least in part caused by ethanol stripping. Indeed, ethanol concentrations from RB-gassed

(See figure on next page.)

Fig. 5 Changes in gene expression during gassed fermentation of MB-propagated yeast. An overview of the experimental approach is provided in Fig. 4a. **a** Venn diagrams showing differential gene expression (\geq twofold, adjusted $p \leq 0.01$) at the indicated times for fermentations gassed with 1% O₂ using RB (blue) or MB (red). **b** Cellular pathway overview of *S. cerevisiae* metabolism from yeast pathways [17] showing reactions associated with differentially genes 4 h into the fermentations compared to the MB-propagated inocula: up-regulated in both RB and MB fermentations (red); down-regulated in both RB and MB fermentations (dark blue); up-regulated in RB (orange) or MB (cyan) fermentations only; down-regulated in RB (purple) or MB (green) fermentations only. **c** Higher resolution representations of (left to right) glucose fermentation, citric acid cycle (CAC) and aerobic respiratory chain, sterol biosynthesis and arginine metabolism. Colour key as stated in **b**

cultures plotted against those from MB-gassed cultures deviated 5% from the identity line (y=x), whilst for the ungassed fermentation, the deviation was less than 0.5% (Additional file 1: Figure S4). Unexpectedly, cell viability for the O₂-gassed fermentations cell viability decreased more rapidly (~1% h⁻¹) compared to ungassed (<0.3% h⁻¹) or O₂-free N₂-gassed (<0.2% h⁻¹) cultures and hence the loss of viability was attributable to the presence of O₂ (Fig. 7b; Additional file 1: Figure S5). It is known that reactive oxygen species are generated during ethanol production [28] and that these damage a wide range of cell components; it is likely that reactive oxygen species production and the resulting cell damage are exacerbated due to limited oxygenation during the fermentation phase [29].

Discussion

Saccharomyces cerevisiae Ethanol Red is used for commercial production of bioethanol. The process has two stages; the yeast is cultured in aerated vessels and these are subsequently used to seed anaerobic fermentations during which feedstock sugars are converted to ethanol. A significant manufacturing cost is the provision of air (O_2) during propagation [1, 2]. Advances in MB technology offer opportunities to reduce these costs and thereby improve the economics of bioethanol production [7]. However, a molecular physiological analysis of MB-propagated yeast in a fed-batch bioethanol process had not been undertaken previously. The data reported here show that a prototype system fitted with an energyefficient fluidic oscillator supported enhanced O2 transfer to the yeast culture and that the resulting biomass performed comparably to conventionally propagated yeast in anaerobic fermentations. Under industrial conditions, in which propagation-fermentation is supported by variable, poorly defined, corn- or wheat-based mash, the superior mass transfer achieved using MBs could be advantageous in maximising biomass yields. Preliminary laboratory propagation trials using wheat mash in a vessel fitted with a fluidic oscillator and diffuser suggested a marked improvement in mass transfer and cell numbers compared to conventional propagation (unpublished data). Therefore, the performance of the prototype laboratory-scale system described here demonstrates the potential utility of fluidic oscillator generated MBs and their associated cost benefits for application in bioethanol production.

The comparable macro-physiological characteristics of the MB-propagated yeast were accompanied by differences in membrane composition that could provide a platform for further process development. Sterols are membrane lipids whose synthesis requires O2 and contribute to resisting the toxic effects of ethanol [9, 10]. The MB-propagated yeast exhibited enhanced expression of ergosterol biosynthesis genes and possessed increased amounts of ergosteryl esters compared to those propagated conventionally. However, these enhanced pools of sterol esters did not translate into increased ethanol production in the anaerobic fermentations reported here and nor did attempt to exploit the enhanced expression of sterol biosynthesis genes by introducing 1% O₂ MBs during the production phase. Nevertheless, these observations suggest that with further process development to counteract the detrimental effects of reactive oxygen species and ethanol consumption in O2-sparged fermentations, MB-propagated yeast might exhibit improved ethanol tolerance. Such developments might include optimising the rate and timing of the O₂ supply to MBpropagated yeast during the fermentation phase, as these factors have previously shown to important for biomass and ethanol production in very-high-gravity ethanol fermentations [26]. Hence, the work described here should inform the next stage in MB reactor design and process development by providing the reassurance that MBpropagated yeast perform at least as well as those grown conventionally.

Conclusion

Application of a microbubble (MB) aeration system with no moving parts enhanced O_2 transfer to cultures of *S. cerevisiae* Ethanol Red. The MB-propagated yeast performed similarly to yeast propagated conventionally when used as the seed culture for bioethanol fermentations. This study provides the biological underpinning for future development of energy efficient, higher yielding commercial-scale MB-based yeast propagation.

Fig. 6 Oxygen sparging during fermentation enhances expression of *PDC6* and *ADH2*, genes. **a** Simplified diagram of pyruvate metabolism and relevant enzymes: pyruvate dehydrogenase, PDH1; pyruvate decarboxylase, PDC; aldehyde dehydrogenase, ALD; alcohol dehydrogenase, ADH; butanediol dehydrogenase, BDH1; citric acid cycle, CAC. **b** Changes in expression of the indicated genes (fold change relative to the MB-propagated inoculum) at mid- and end of ungassed (open bars), RB (1% O₂; grey bars) and MB (1% O₂; black bars) fermentations. The dashed lines mark \geq twofold up- or down-regulation

⁽See figure on next page.)

Fig. 7 Fed-batch fermentations of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* sparged with low levels of O_2 . The yeast was MB-propagated for 10 h and then used to inoculate fermentations sparged with either RBs (circles) or MBs (squares) consisting of a 1% O_2 , 99% nitrogen gas mix. **a** Cell density; **b** dry cell mass and viability; **c** residual glucose; and **d** ethanol produced. Only the micro-aerobic phase is shown. The data are the means and standard deviations (n = 3)

Methods

Microorganisms and maintenance

Saccharomyces cerevisiae Ethanol Red was obtained from Ensus UK. Strains were stored as glycerol (30% v/v) stocks (- 80 °C). Strains were routinely grown on YPD [yeast extract 10 g L⁻¹, peptone 20 g L⁻¹ and Sigma glucose (dextrose) 20 g L⁻¹]. When solid medium was required agar (20 g L⁻¹) was added. Routine growth was performed at 30 °C, 200 rpm.

Inoculum preparation

Cells from a single colony were inoculated into YPD (10 mL) and grown for 17 h. Cells were counted in a Neubauer chamber using a phase contrast microscope at 400× magnification. The required volume of culture liquid—corresponding to an initial pitching density of 5×10^6 cells mL⁻¹ at the start of the propagation—was centrifuged, pellet resuspended in sterile YPD (1 mL) and used as the inoculum for batch fermentations.

Mass transfer determination

Mass transfer was determined in triplicate for a variety of flow rates and diffuser configurations at 35 °C in 40 g L^{-1} glucose supplemented YPD, as per the propagation and fermentation experiments. For each configuration, the media were allowed to stably come to temperature before proceeding. Using an optical dissolved O_2 (DO) probe (PreSens, Germany), the DO was able to be measured in various positions. The position of the probe in the control system was limited to two points (0.5 and 4.5 cm from the liquid surface) due to the movement and location of the impeller. However, the position of the probe in the MB system was captured at four different vertical positions (1, 4, 6 and 8 cm from the surface). The control configuration used the standard "J" type sparge tube that comes as standard with the Infors bioreactor to deliver gas to the system. All control experiments were stirred at 400 rpm (standard Rushton type impeller). To limit biomass settling, the medium in the MB fermenter was recirculated using a peristaltic pump (58 mL min $^{-1}$). The

DO in the medium was lowered to $0 \pm 0.05 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ using pure nitrogen. Using the Infors mass flow meter, the desired flow rate of air was delivered to the system. The dissolved O₂ was then allowed to rise to ~98% of saturation. Mass transfer was calculated using Eq. 1: where C_t is the concentration of dissolved O₂ at time *t*, $C_{\text{Sat }T}$ the concentration of dissolved O₂ at saturation at temperature *T*, C_0 the zero saturation dissolved O₂ concentration, $k_L a_T$ the interfacial mass transfer at temperature *T* and *t* time. The interfacial mass transfer was determined by regression and minimisation of the residual of the sum of squares.

$$C_t = C_{\text{Sat}T} - (C_{\text{Sat}T} - C_0)e^{-k_L a_T t}$$
(1)

Conventional propagation and fed-batch fermentation

Batch aerobic propagation was carried out in a 2-L Infors fermenter with a working volume of 1 L using YPD medium supplemented with 40 g L^{-1} of glucose. The bioreactor was sterilised by autoclaving (45 min, 121 °C). The temperature was controlled at 32 °C and the cultivation medium was sparged with filtered air (0.2 vvm). The agitation rate was maintained at 400 rpm. The culture vessel was inoculated with ~1 mL of culture corresponding to an initial pitching density of 5×10^6 cells mL⁻¹. The exhaust gas was passed through a condenser, maintained at 10 °C by circulating cooled water. Samples (5 mL) were taken for biomass, absorbance, and metabolite analysis every 3 h during the exponential growth phase. Data acquisition of process variables was recorded automatically using Iris or Eve software. After 10 h of yeast propagation, 90% of the culture was removed by creating an over pressure in the bioreactor by blocking the exhaust. The reactor was then fed with fresh YPD medium (800 mL; 1:9 dilution of the propagated yeast suspension; autoclaved for 20 min at 121 °C) containing glucose (80 g L^{-1}) to commence the anaerobic fermentation phase, without gas sparging. When glucose levels reached less than 1.0% (determined using a portable refractometer), the bioreactor was pulsed with a known volume of a concentrated glucose solution (750 g L^{-1} ; autoclaved for 20 min at 121 °C) via a high-speed peristaltic pump. The dispensing volumes and the time of pulse additions after the start of fermentation phase were 75 mL at 7 h, 100 mL at 15 h and 75 mL at 24 h. Samples (5 mL) were taken at regular intervals to monitor the fermentation profile and for analytical measurements.

Microbubble propagation and fed-batch fermentation

For MB batch propagations the cultivation conditions were the same as that for conventional propagations but with two major alterations: the stirrer shaft and the sparger were removed to accommodate the sintered stainless steel diffusers housed within a custom-built dead space eliminator at the bottom of the vessel. Custom-built metal plates and Teflon spacers on the head plate held the diffuser in place ensuring a hermetic seal for culture sterility. Tubes emerging from the two diffusers were connected to the two outlets of the fluidic oscillator [13]. The fluidic oscillator was sterilised by filling it with ethanol (70% v/v) and leaving it for 24 h. Ethanol was drained from the fluidic oscillator just before the start of the batch process and connected to the tubing from the diffuser. Two metal tubes from two ports on the head plate were connected in a closed loop via norprene tubing. A peristaltic pump recirculated the cell suspension from the bioreactor via the closed loop, to ensure cell homogeneity.

Gassed fermentation

Yeast cells were propagated using for 10 h in an MB bioreactor. After 10 h, 90% of the contents were removed as described for the ungassed fermentations and used as inocula for RB- and MB-oxygenated fermentations. During the fermentations, a gas mixture containing 1% O_2 and 99% N_2 was sparged to supply small amount of O_2 . To promote stripping of ethanol via gassing, the condenser cooling was turned off. N_2 -gassed fermentation was carried out exactly as above but sparged with ultrapure N_2 (BOC certified O_2 free N5.5).

Biomass determination

A 3-mL sample was filtered using a pre-dried, preweighed 0.45- μ m filter membrane and washed with distilled water. The filter membrane with the wet biomass was dried in a microwave oven at 150 W for 10 min. The biomass concentration was calculated from the difference of the masses and the volume of the broth used.

Cell viability and budding index

Viable cells exclude the dye methylene blue [30]. Diluted samples (50 μ L) from the propagation–fermentation runs were mixed with 50 μ L of methylene blue (0.01% (m/v)) and incubated for 5 min and the number of stained and unstained cells was counted. Budding index was scored by counting a minimum of 300 cells. Assays were performed in duplicate.

Extracellular metabolites' determination

Glucose was analysed using the Megazyme GOPOD kit (K-GLUC 10/15, Megazyme Inc., Ireland); ethanol was analysed using the Megazyme kit (K-ETOH, Megazyme Inc., Ireland) using the manual assay procedure for large volumes in a cuvette. The assays were performed in duplicate.

Transcript profiling using microarrays

Two time points from the propagation phase (3 h, 10 h) and two time points from the fermentation phase (7 h, 32 h) were chosen for gene expression analysis. All analyses were performed in triplicate except the early propagation sample (3 h) for which only duplicate samples were available. There were 24 samples in total including two technical replicates. Culture samples for transcriptional profiling were directly eluted into 2 volumes of RNAprotect (Qiagen) to rapidly stabilise the mRNA. Total RNA was prepared using the RNeasy RNA purification kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer's instructions (including the on-column DNAse treatment step). The eluted RNA was treated again with DNase and repurified. Quality of RNA was checked using agarose gel electrophoresis and PCR using DNA specific primers. RNA was quantified on a NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Labelled cDNA was produced using SuperScriptIII reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) with the Cy3-dCTP included in the dNTP mixture. Labelled S. cerevisiae genomic DNA was produced using BioPrime DNA Labelling Kit (Invitrogen) with Cy5-dCTP included in the dNTP mixture. Labelled genomic DNA and cDNA were combined and hybridised overnight to an oligonucleotide microarray (Agilent Technologies). Quantification of cDNA samples, hybridisation to microarrays, microarray processing and scanning were carried out as described in the Fairplay III labelling kits (Agilent Technologies, 252009, Version 1.1) and scanned with a high-resolution microarray scanner (Agilent Technologies).

Features with background intensities exceeding 10 times the array median, or with a signal to background ratio below 3 were excluded from further analysis. Background correction [31] within-array loess normalisation [32] and between-array quantile normalisation was applied to the remaining features using the R statistical package LIMMA from Bioconductor [33]. Moderated t-statistics were calculated using gene-wise linear models with an empirical Bayes approach [34, 35].

Transcript profiling by RNA sequencing

Samples taken from the bioreactor (1 mL) were immediately harvested by centrifugation and the pellets flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. RNA isolation, polyA selection, transcript library preparation and paired-end sequencing on an Illumina Hi-Seq were performed by GENEWIZ.

Trimmed reads were aligned to the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* S288C reference genome (NCBI assembly: GCA_000146045.2) using TopHat2 [36]. Numbers of mapped reads aligned to each gene were counted using HTSeq [37]. Raw counts were converted to log₂ counts per million using the LIMMA voom transformation

[38], and further differential expression analysis was performed using the LIMMA package in R.

Analysis of transcriptomic datasets

For both microarray and RNAseq analyses p values were adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini– Hochberg method [39]. Transcripts exhibiting \geq twofold change in abundance with an adjusted p value < 0.05 were deemed to be differentially regulated. GO enrichment analysis was performed using the differentially expressed gene lists in Funspec [40]. Pathway enrichment analysis was performed using Metacyc [41] to identify significantly enriched metabolic or signal transduction process. Transcription factors likely to be involved in mediating the observed changes in gene expression were ranked using Yeastract [15].

Lipidomics

Sterol analysis was performed on lipid extracts from lyophilised cell material. Samples were weighed (5 mg) into 2-mL microfuge tubes, together with 10 µL of internal standard mix containing 1 µg deuterated cholesterol and 3.5 µg deuterated cholesterol steryl ester (SPLASH lipidomix, p/n 330707; Avanti Polar Lipids, AL, USA). Water (50 µL), CHCl₃:MeOH (2:1 v/v, 700 µL) and acidwashed glass beads (300 mg, Sigma; 425-600 µm) were added to each tube. Samples were then extracted in a bead mill (Qiagen TissueLyser II; 2×3 min pulses at 30 Hz with intervening plate rotation), snap-frozen in liquid N_2 , then allowed to slowly thaw at 4 °C for 24 h. Samples were subsequently centrifuged at $16,000 \times g$ for 10 min, the supernatant transferred into fresh 2-mL tubes, and developed into two phases following addition of 300 µL 0.9% KCl (w/v) and vortexing briefly. The lower phase was transferred into glass HPLC vials, and vacuum evaporated to dryness on a GeneVac EZ2 centrifugal evaporator at the very low boiling point setting. Samples were reconstituted in 200 µL acetonitrile:isopropanol (7:3, v/v), and 2 μ L analysed by LCMS. LC separation was performed on an Accucore C30 column (Thermo Scientific; 100 mm \times 2.1 mm, 2.6 μ m particle size) and masses acquired in data-dependent MS2 mode on a Thermo Orbitrap Fusion Tribrid mass spectrometer as previously described [42], except an atmospheric chemical pressure ionisation (APCI) source was used to generate ions for measurement in positive mode only, and MS1 data were acquired at a mass resolution of 60,000 FWHM. Ergosterol was identified by reference to an authentic standard (Sigma), and all candidate sterols identified by homology as their $[M-H_2O+H]^+$ ions (MS1 quant ions for sterols and deuterated cholesterol). Sterol esters had diagnostic in-source fragments [Sterol-OH]⁺ (also used for MS1 quant) and $[M+C_3H_3]^+$ adduct ions (identified

in deuterated cholesterol steryl ester). Peak areas were converted to amounts using Thermo X calibur 4.0 Quan-Browser software, using 20 ppm mass tolerances for quant ions relative to the internal standard area responses of deuterated cholesterol for all sterols and cholesterol steryl ester for all sterol esters, respectively. Squalene was quantified from its $[\rm M+C_3H_3]^+$ quant ion $([\rm M+H]^+$ and $\rm M+\rm NH_4]^+$ diagnostic ions were also observed), relative to deuterated cholesterol in the internal standard.

Calculation of physiological parameters

The maximum specific growth rate was obtained by plotting the natural logarithm of the dry biomass against time. The slope of the linear regression line represents the $\mu_{\rm max}$. Yields were calculated by plotting the biomass against glucose concentration and obtaining the slope of the line obtained after linear regression.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13068-020-01745-5.

Additional file 1. Additional tables and figures.

Additional file 2. Microarray fold changes.

Additional file 3. RNA-seq fold changes.

Abbreviations

RB: Regular bubbles; MB: Microbubbles.

Acknowledgements

We thank Drs. Annie Cheung and Matthew Rolfe for their contributions in the early stages of this project. We also thank Dr. Gang Li (Chalmers University of Technology) for writing a Python script to convert the Agilent yeast probe sequences to gene open reading frames. Dr. Rakesh Koppram (Taurus Energy AB), Dr. Pratik Desai (Perlemax Ltd) are thanked for helpful discussions. Lipidomics analysis was performed on equipment within the Centre of Excellence in Mass Spectrometry (University of York), funded by Science City York (Yorkshire Forward, EP/K039660/1, EP/M028127/1).

Authors' contributions

VR and MLC did the experiments; JPW provided comments on the manuscript and helped with the microarray analysis; VS analysed the microarray and RNASeq data; TRL performed the lipidomics analysis; HM carried out the k_La measurements; MH designed, fabricated and installed the prototype microbubble fermenter; WBZ, MH, RKP and JG conceived the work; VR and JG analysed the results and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

We thank InnovateUK for funding (Industrial Biotechnology Catalyst 102502; EPSRC Grant No. EP/N011511/1).

Availability of data and materials

RNASeq, Lipidomics, Microarray, Excel sheets. Raw transcriptomic data are available in ArrayExpress (accession numbers: E-MTAB-8696, E-MTAB-8716).

Ethics approval and consent to participate

None.

Consent for publication

Yes.

Competing interests

Perlemax Ltd holds awarded patents for fluidic oscillation generated microbubbles.

Author details

¹ Department of Molecular Biology & Biotechnology, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK. ² Department of Biology, University of York, York YO10 5DD, UK. ³ Perlemax Ltd, Kroto Innovation Centre, 318 Broad Lane, Sheffield S3 7HQ, UK. ⁴ Department of Chemical & Biological Engineering, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S1 3JD, UK.

Received: 27 January 2020 Accepted: 1 June 2020 Published online: 08 June 2020

References

- de Becze G, Liebmann AJ. Aeration in the production of compressed yeast. Ind Eng Chem. 1944;36:882–90.
- Gélinas P. Aeration and foam control in baker's yeast production: mapping patents. Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf. 2016;15:371–91. https://doi. org/10.1111/1541-4337.12188.
- Inan K, Sal FA, Rahman A, Putman RJ, Agblevor FA, Miller CD. Microbubble assisted polyhydroxybutyrate production in *Escherichia coli*. BMC Res Notes. 2016;9:1–7.
- Zhang W, Li ZJ, Agblevor FA. Microbubble fermentation of recombinant *Pichia pastoris* for human serum albumin production. Process Biochem. 2005;40:2073–8.
- Bangalore DV, Bellmer DD. Microbubbles for enhancement of oxygen transfer in xanthan gum fermentation. Chem Eng Commun. 2006;193:1232–52.
- Hensirisak P, Parasukulsatid P, Agblevor FA, Cundiff JS, Velander WH. Scaleup of microbubble dispersion generator for aerobic fermentation. Appl Biochem Biotechnol. 2002;101:211–27.
- Al-Mashhadani MKH, Wilkinson SJ, Zimmerman WB. Airlift bioreactor for biological applications with microbubble mediated transport processes Snapshots of gas concentration at different bubble diameter after steady state. Chem Eng Sci. 2015;137:243–53.
- Zimmerman WJB, Tesar V. Bubble generation for aeration and other purposes. 2006.
- Henderson CM, Block DE. Examining the role of membrane lipid composition in determining the ethanol tolerance of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2014;80:2966–72.
- Alexandre H, Rousseaux I, Charpentier C. Relationship between ethanol tolerance, lipid composition and plasma membrane fluidity in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Kloeckera apiculata. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 1994;124:17–22.
- van der Rest ME, Kamminga AH, Nakano A, Anraku Y, Poolman B, Konings WN. The plasma membrane of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: structure, function, and biogenesis. Microbiol Rev. 1995;59:304–22.
- da Costa BLV, Basso TO, Raghavendran V, Gombert AK. Anaerobiosis revisited: growth of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* under extremely low oxygen availability. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2018;102:2101–16.
- Desai PD, Hines MJ, Riaz Y, Zimmerman WB. Resonant pulsing frequency effect for much smaller bubble formation with fluidic oscillation. Energies. 2018;11:2680.
- Johnston EJ, Moses T, Rosser SJ. The wide-ranging phenotypes of ergosterol biosynthesis mutants, and implications for microbial cell factories. Yeast. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.3452.
- Teixeira MC, Monteiro PT, Palma M, Costa C, Godinho CP, Pais P, et al. YEASTRACT: an upgraded database for the analysis of transcription regulatory networks in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46:D348–53.
- Kaplan J, McVey Ward D, Crisp RJ, Philpott CC. Iron-dependent metabolic remodeling in *S. cerevisiae*. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res. 2006;1763:646–51.
- 17. Cherry JM. The saccharomyces genome database: a tool for discovery. Cold Spring Harb Protoc. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.top083840.
- Beh CT, Cool L, Phillips J, Rine J. Overlapping functions of the yeast oxysterol-binding protein homologues. Genetics. 2001;157:1117–40.

- Cheng Y, Du Z, Zhu H, Guo X, He X. Protective effects of arginine on Saccharomyces cerevisiae against ethanol stress. Sci Rep. 2016;6:31311.
- Qiu Z, Jiang R. Improving Saccharomyces cerevisiae ethanol production and tolerance via RNA polymerase II subunit Rpb7. Biotechnol Biofuels. 2017;10:125. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-017-0806-0.
- Hohmann S. Characterization of PDC6, a third structural gene for pyruvate decarboxylase in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J Bacteriol. 1991;173:7963–9.
- De Smidt O, Du Preez JC, Albertyn J. The alcohol dehydrogenases of Saccharomyces cerevisiae: a comprehensive review. FEMS Yeast Res. 2008;8:967–78.
- Tachibana C, Yoo JY, Tagne J-B, Kacherovsky N, Lee TI, Young ET. Combined global localization analysis and transcriptome data identify genes that are directly coregulated by Adr1 and Cat8. Mol Cell Biol. 2005;25:2138–46.
- Alfenore S, Molina-Jouve C, Guillouet S, Uribelarrea J, Goma G, Benbadis L. Improving ethanol production and viability of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by a vitamin feeding strategy during fed-batch process. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2002;60:67–72.
- Alfenore S, Cameleyre X, Benbadis L, Bideaux C, Uribelarrea JL, Goma G, et al. Aeration strategy: a need for very high ethanol performance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* fed-batch process. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2004;63:537–42.
- Lin Y-HH, Chien W-SS, Duan K-JJ, Chang PR. Effect of aeration timing and interval during very-high-gravity ethanol fermentation. Process Biochem. 2011;46:1025–8.
- Liu C-G, Hao X-M, Lin Y-H, Bai F-W. Redox potential driven aeration during very-high-gravity ethanol fermentation by using flocculating yeast. Sci Rep. 2016;6:25763.
- Pérez-Gallardo RV, Briones LS, Díaz-Pérez AL, Gutiérrez S, Rodríguez-Zavala JS, Campos-García J. Reactive oxygen species production induced by ethanol in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* increases because of a dysfunctional mitochondrial iron–sulfur cluster assembly system. FEMS Yeast Res. 2013;13:804–19. https://doi.org/10.1111/1567-1364.12090.
- Halliwell B, Gutteridge JMC. Free radicals in biology and medicine. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2015. http://www.oxfordscholarship.com/ view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198717478.001.0001/acprof-9780198717 478. Accessed 11 July 2019.
- Smart KA, Chambers KM, Lambert I, Jenkins C, Smart CA. Use of methylene violet staining procedures to determine yeast viability and vitality. J Am Soc Brew Chem. 1999;57:18–23.

- Ritchie ME, Silver J, Oshlack A, Holmes M, Diyagama D, Holloway A, et al. A comparison of background correction methods for two-colour microarrays. Bioinformatics. 2007;23:2700–7.
- 32. Smyth GK, Speed T. Normalization of cDNA microarray data. Methods. 2003;31:265–73.
- Gentleman RC, Carey VJ, Bates DM, Bolstad B, Dettling M, Dudoit S, et al. Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. Genome Biol. 2004;5:R80. https://doi.org/10.1186/ gb-2004-5-10-r80.
- Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. Limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43:e47.
- Phipson B, Lee S, Majewski IJ, Alexander WS, Smyth GK. Robust hyperparameter estimation protects against hypervariable genes and improves power to detect differential expression. Ann Appl Stat. 2016;10:946–63.
- Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL. TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. Genome Biol. 2013;14:R36.
- 37. Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. bioRxiv. 2014;31:166–9.
- Law CW, Chen Y, Shi W, Smyth GK. Voom: precision weights unlock linear model analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. Genome Biol. 2014;15:R29.
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc. 1995;57:289–300.
- Robinson MD, Grigull J, Mohammad N, Hughes TR. FunSpec: a webbased cluster interpreter for yeast. BMC Bioinform. 2002;3:35. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2105-3-35.
- Caspi R, Billington R, Fulcher CA, Keseler IM, Kothari A, Krummenacker M, et al. The MetaCyc database of metabolic pathways and enzymes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46:D633–9.
- Webb J, Springthorpe V, Rossoni L, Minde D-P, Langer S, Walker H, et al. Systems analyses reveal the resilience of *Escherichia coli* physiology during accumulation and export of the nonnative organic acid citramalate. mSystems. 2019;4:e00187-19.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

