

This is a repository copy of *Contribution of beta1- and beta2-adrenergic receptors to cochlear function*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/164978/

Version: Published Version

Article:

Mustapha, M. orcid.org/0000-0001-8998-279X, Mendes, D. and Smerriglio, P. (2017) Contribution of beta1- and beta2-adrenergic receptors to cochlear function. Medical research archives, 5 (9). ISSN 2375-1916

© 2017 KEI Journals. Reproduced in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Authors:

Abstract

Diana Mendus

Piera Smeriglio

Mirna Mustapha

Corresponding author:

Dr. Mirna Mustapha, Stanford University, Edwards Building, 300 Pasteur Drive, Room R111A, Stanford, CA 94305-5453.

E-mail: <u>mirnam@stanford.edu</u>

Address: Department of Otolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA, 94305

Abbreviations

ABR, Auditory Brainstem response; BC, body condition; AR, adrenergic receptor; beta-AR, betaadrenergic receptor; DPOAE, Distortion product otoacoustic emission; KO, knock out; OHC, outer hair cells; dB, decibel; SPL, sound pressure level; WT, wild type. Sympathetic innervation is heavily present in the cochlea. However, its role in the development and maintenance of normal hearing remains debatable. Beta1-adrenergic receptors 1 (beta1-ARs) and beta2-adrenergic receptors (beta2-ARs) are two types of receptors that are stimulated by the sympathetic nervous system and are expressed by many cochlear cell types. In this study, we have analyzed the functional consequences of the lack of beta-ARs in the cochlea. We have first evaluated hearing thresholds using auditory brainstem response and distortion product otoacoustic emissions in young and aged knockout mice lacking beta1-ARs, beta2-ARs, or both beta1-ARs and beta2-ARs. Secondly, we tested the sensitivity of these mice to acoustic overexposure.

Hearing tests revealed similar normal thresholds in all beta- adrenergic receptors mice when compared to age matched wild type controls. When exposed to noise mice lacking beta1- but not beta2- AR exhibited a subtle protection of hearing thresholds at the low frequencies only. These results suggest that despite being expressed by many cochlear cell types these beta-ARs are not playing a crucial role in hearing development and maintenance.

Highlights

- Sound-evoked brainstem responses were compared between mice lacking beta1- and beta2 -adrenergic receptors and wild type controls.
- Outer hair cell function of these mice was evaluated by distortion product otoacoustic emissions.
- There was no clear evidence of reduction in cochlear function in beta1- and beta2-adrenergic receptor knockouts at any age.
- Lack of beta1-adrenergic receptor confers subtle protection from noise trauma in the low frequency region.

Keywords

Beta-Adrenergic receptors, Mouse models, Noise trauma, hearing thresholds

1. Introduction

There are three main types of nerves that innervate the cochlea: afferent, efferent, and the sympathetic fibers (Spoendlin, 1984; Shibamori et al., 1984; Warr, 1992). The afferent fibers, or spiral ganglion neurons are bipolar neurons that form peripheral synapses with the inner and outer hair cells. Spiral ganglion neurons carry all the auditory information from the sensory inner hair cells to the auditory brainstem. The efferent. or olivocochlear neurons, originate in the brainstem and project to the organ of Corti. These fibers are involved in the regulation of auditory nerve activity (Warr et al. 1986; Warr, 1992; Liberman, 1990).

Cochlear sympathetic innervation originates from the thoracic or cervical system, and predominately acts on beta-ARs. Immunohistochemical and mRNA expression analysis reported distribution of the beta-ARs in the organ of Corti, despite the lack of direct sympathetic innervation (Fauser et al., 2004; Khan et al., 2007).

The role of sympathetic innervation in the cochlea was previously investigated and protective effects of sympathectomy on noise trauma were reported (Borg, 1982; Hildesheimer et al., 1991; Horner et al., 2001, Bielefeld and Hendelson, 2007). A Genetic mouse model of impaired adrenergic signaling, with no measurable adrenaline or noradrenaline, however, did not confirm the previously suggested protective role during noise exposure (Maison et al., 2010). Both sympathectomy model and mouse model lacking sympathetic neurotransmitters did not clarify the role of adrenergic receptors in adrenergic signaling. Hence, we investigated the functional role of the beta1- and beta2-AR in the cochlea. We performed physiological recordings of cochlear function to address these questions: (1) what are the physiological consequences of the deletion of beta1-AR and/or beta2-AR, and, (2) does the deletion of either beta1-AR or beta2-AR results in a protective effect against acoustic overexposure?

2. **Materials and Methods** 1. Animals

Beta-adrenergic receptors null mice were generated and generously provided by Dr. Kobilka, recipient of the 2012 Nobel Prize in Chemistry. Mice of either sex lacking beta1-AR (Rohrer et al., 1996), beta2-AR, (Chruscinski et al., 1999) or both beta1- and beta2-ARs (Rohrer et al., 1999), and their littermate WT controls were used for these experiments. These mice are on a mix background C57BL/6J, 129Sv, DBA and FVB/N. Homozygotes beta2-AR mice were crossed with the homozygotes beta1-AR (BL/6J, 129Sv, DBA) to generate the double heterozygotes that they were used subsequently as breeders generate the double mutants. to Therefore, these mice were on a mix procedures background. All were completed with national animal care guidelines and were approved by the Stanford University Administrative Panel on Laboratory Animal Care.

2. Acoustic overexposures

The acoustic overexposure stimulus was broadband noise, 4-16 kHz at 100 dB SPL for 2 hours. During noise exposure, animals were awake and unrestrained within individual boxes without a lid. Five boxes were placed directly under the horn of the sound delivery loud speaker within a small reverberant chamber. Noise calibration to the target SPL was performed immediately before

each exposure session using a sound pressure meter with 60-120 dB range (Radioshack). SPL variations between experiments and across the cages were less than 1 dB.

3. Physiological tests

Auditory brainstem response (ABR) measurements were conducted as described in Mendus et al., 2014. Mice were anesthetized with ketamine (100 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg). Acoustic stimuli at 8, 16 and, 32 kHz were delivered to the mouse's ear canal via plastic tubes connected to a speaker. ABRs were recorded via subdermal needle electrodes inserted at the vertex. behind the left pinna, and in the left leg. The sound intensity level decreased in 5 dB steps from 80 dB SPL (for 8 and 16 kHz) or from 100 dB SPL (for 32 kHz) to 0 dB SPL. The ABR threshold was defined as the lowest stimulus level at which replicable waves I and V could be identified in the response waveform.

Distortion Product Otoacoustic Emissions or DPOAEs, were measured using a probe tip microphone placed in the external auditory canal. Responses were recorded for primary tones with a frequency ratio of 1.2, and with the level of f2 equal to the f1 intensity level. The range of f2 varied from 4 to 90 kHz and intensity stepped from 20 to 80 dB, in 10 dB increments. To avoid distortion of non-physiological origin, stimulus over

80 dB SPL were not used. Ear canal sound pressure was amplified and digitally sampled, and the 2f1-f2 DPOAE amplitude and surrounding noise floor readings were extracted. The threshold at each frequency was calculated when the DPOAE was more the 5 dB SPL and two standard deviations above the noise floor. If a DPOAE response was not detected at 80 dB SPL, the threshold was arbitrarily set to 80 dB SPL for averaging purposes. All statistical analyses were performed using t-tests.

3. Results

1. Lack of betaadrenergic receptors does not cause hearing impairment

The cochlea has abundant adrenergic innervation from the sympathetic (Spoendlin nervous system and Lichtensteiger, 1966; Lichtensteiger and Spoendlin, 1967; Spoendlin, 1981). It appears that the distribution of beta1and beta2- ARs in the cochlea is different between inner and outer hair cells, and different between cochlear turns (Fauser et al., 2004; Khan et al., 2007). In order to assess cochlear function in the absence of either or both beta-ARs. we measured auditory thresholds as early as 1 month of age in beta1-AR, beta2-AR, beta1/2-AR double knockouts (KOs) and their littermate's wild type (WT) controls (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Assessment of hearing thresholds in beta-adrenergic receptor KOs at 1 month of age. A, C, and E, Auditory brainstem response (ABR) recording for three frequencies did not reveal significant difference in auditory thresholds between WT control and beta1-AR KO (A), beta2-AR KO (C) or beta1/2-AR double KO (E) mice. B, D and F, Functional measurements of OHCs by distortion product otoacoustic emission (DPOAE) tests showed no difference in thresholds at all tested frequencies between WT and beta1- (B), beta2- (D) or beta1/2- (F) AR KOs. Values depicted as means \pm sd. T-test: * p<0.05.

No significant differences were observed in measurements of outer hair cells (OHCs) function by DPOAE, or in neuronal response as tested by ABR, in of the groups tested. anv This observation is in accordance with previous work by Maison and colleagues, where they showed that deletion of beta-AR ligands adrenalin and noradrenalin did not altered the auditory function (Maison et al., 2010). However, they found that mice lacking adrenergic signaling in the ear were prone to middle ear infections, resulting in elevated DPOAE thresholds (Maison et al., 2010). Our beta-AR KO mice, however, did not reveal elevation in the DPOAE thresholds.

Cochlear function in older mice that lack adrenergic signaling has not been

reported so far. Therefore, we performed additional testing on two groups of beta2-AR KO mice, one group at 6 months of age and another group at 12 months of age (Figure 2). Both groups were compared with WT littermates on the same background. We saw a similar degradation in hearing sensitivity between WT and beta2-AR KO mice (as assessed by t-test). At 6 months of age, an increase of about 20dB in ABR thresholds were seen at the high frequency region in both beta2-AR KO and WT animals. DPOAE responses were slightly elevated in both groups as well. When tested at 12 months of age, both beta2-AR KO and WT mice showed ABR and DPOAE thresholds shift at all frequencies tested (Figure 2).

DPOAE

Contribution of beta1- and beta2-adrenergic receptors to cochlear function

ABR



Figure 2. Assessment of hearing thresholds in aged beta2- adrenergic receptor KOs mice. A, C, ABR threshold tests revealed no significant difference between WT and beta2-AR KO mice at 6 months (A) and 12 months (C) of ages. B, D, Functional measurements of OHCs using DPOAEs showed also similar thresholds in WT and KOs at all frequencies tested. Values depicted as means \pm sd. T-test: * p<0.05.

2. Subtle hearing threshold protection in beta1- but not beta2adrenergic receptor mice was observed following acoustic overexposure

Early studies suggested a protective role played by the sympathetic system on noise damaged ears function (Borg, 1982; Hildesheimer et al., 1991; Horner et al., 2001, Bielefeld and Hendelson, 2007). In addition, the potential implication of beta-ARs in the regulation of potassium secretion raises the question about the role of these receptors in the noise-damaged ears (Wangeman et al., 2000). Therefore, we measured auditory responses to noise trauma in beta1- and beta2-AR KO mice and compared them to WT littermates. Age matched mice were divided into three groups based on their genotypes: beta1-AR KOs, beta2-AR KOs, and WT littermates. The baseline ABR and

DPOAE responses for all mice at 5 months of age were recorded (Figure 3A, B and Figure 4A, B). One week later, all three groups of mice underwent 2 hours of broadband noise exposure (4-16 kHz) at 100 dB for 2 hours. We collected ABR and DPOAE measurements at 24 hours, 2 weeks, and 8 weeks following noise exposure (Figure 3 and 4). Hearing tests in noise exposed beta1- AR KO mice revealed a subtle hearing protection in these mutants at the low frequencies (8kHz) but not at the mid and high frequencies (16kHz and 32kHz) (Figures 3) when compared to WT controls. This subtle protection was observed right after noise exposure but was only significant 2 weeks (as assessed by t-test; p < 0.05) post-exposure. However, no significant shift in the hearing thresholds at any of the frequencies tested was observed in beta2-AR KO mice (figure 4).



8 Copyright 2017 KEI Journals. All Rights Reserved.

Figure 3. Assessment of hearing sensitivity in noise exposed beta1- adrenergic receptor KOs ears. A and B, ABR (A) and DPOAE (B) tests in 5 months old beta1-AR KO and WT littermate mice one week prior to acoustic overexposure. C, D, 24 hours after noise exposure (100 dB SPL broadband 4 -16 kHz), mice were tested for ABR (C) and DPOAE (D) thresholds. DPOAE responses were similar between the two groups (D), however, ABR responses at lower frequencies were more sensitive in beta1-AR KO compare to WT controls. E, F, Tests for ABR (E) and DPOAE (F) 2 weeks after noise overexposure revealed similar thresholds recovery in WT and beta1-AR KOs. G and H, The difference in ABR tests at 8 kHz observed 2 weeks after exposure between WT and beta1-AR KO mice persisted at 8 weeks (G) post noise exposure. DPOAE thresholds were similar between genotypes, although higher than pre-noise exposure levels. Values depicted as means \pm sd. T-test: * p<0.05.



10 Copyright 2017 KEI Journals. All Rights Reserved.

Figure 4. Assessment of hearing sensitivity in noise exposed beta2- adrenergic receptor KOs ears. A, C, E, G, ABR responses were similar between WT and beta2-AR KO mice 1 week before noise exposure (A), 24 hours after noise exposure (C), after 2 weeks of recovery from the delivered noise trauma (E) and after 8 weeks of recovery from noise trauma (G). No recovery at high frequency region was seen in both WT and beta2-AR KO animals (E, G). B, DPOAE responses (performed 1 week before noise overexposure) were similar between WT and beta2-AR KO mice at 5 month of age. D, Noise trauma led to elevated thresholds at all frequencies. No difference in damage response was observed between the two genotypes. F and H, Recovery of DPOAE thresholds: 2 weeks (F) and 8 weeks (H) after noise trauma. No significant differences were found between beta2-AR KOs and their WT littermates. Values depicted as means \pm sd. T-test: * p<0.05.

Discussion

Recent reports on beta-adrenergic have detected expression of these receptors in several cochlear cell types including the stria vascularis (Schimanski et al., 2001; Fauser et al., 2004; Khan et al., 2007). Despite the expression of these receptors throughout the cochlea. their contributions to the proper cochlear function have not been investigated yet. Here we took advantage of the available knockout mice generated by Dr. Kobilka (Rohrer et al., 1993; Chruscinski et al., 1999) to address the role of these receptors in hearing.

1. Beta-adrenergic receptors in cochlear function of young and aged mice

One assumption we made, for looking into physiological cochlear responses, was that the sympathetic system profusely innervates many structures of the cochlea and controls many important processes for hearing function. For example, the stellate ganglion (or sympathetic ganglion) has been shown to be responsible for the sympathetic regulation of cochlear blood flow (Laurikainen et al., 1993). Stimulation or removal of the stellate ganglion can

decrease or increase, respectively, cochlear blood flow (LaRouere et al., 1989; Laurikainen et al., 1993; Ren et al., 1993). It has also been reported that changes in cochlear blood flow are reflected in ABR and DPOAE responses. amplitudes Decreased of both measurements were observed with compression of the internal auditory artery (Telischi et al., 1998; Telischi et al., 1999). Interestingly, defect in vasodilation was observed in beta2-AR KO mice (Chruscinski et al., 1999) suggesting a potential important role for this receptor in cochlear blood flow. Additionally, adrenergic receptors were implicated in the regulation of potassium secretion in marginal cells of the stria vascularis, and consequently, in the maintenance of normal endocochlear potential (Wangemann et al., 2000). Together these observations raise the question regarding the role of adrenergic receptors in proper hearing development and maintenance.

We have measured hearing using ABR and DPOAE tests in young and aged single and double beta1- and beta2- AR KO mice and showed normal hearing threshold levels in these mutants at all tested ages. It has been shown that both ABR and DPOAE thresholds rise 1 dB for every mV decrease in the

endocochlear potential (EP) levels (Sewell, 1984). For these reasons we have tested both ABR and DPOAEs as a reflection of EP levels since the EP test requires scarifying the mouse and therefore not being able to follow this same mouse with age. The findings presented in this study do not suggest a significant defect in the hearing level in both single and double beta-adrenergic receptors mutants. This finding may be due to the subtle role that these receptors are playing in hearing or a compensatory effect from other receptors.

2. Beta-adrenergic receptors and noise trauma

In the second set of experiments, we probed the role of beta-ARs in the cochlea following noise exposure. We assumed that deletion of beta-ARs might play a protective role in the noiseexposed ears. Our assumption was based on previous reports indicating that the loss of adrenergic innervation protects the ear from acoustic injury (Borg, 1982; Horner et al., 2001; Giraudet et al., 2002: Hildesheimer et al. 2002: Bielefeld and 2007). Henderson. Interestingly, Maison and colleagues disproved these studies by showing no difference in response to noise exposure in mice that were lacking the ligands adrenaline and noradrenaline (Maison et al., 2010). In their study, they used a genetic approach instead of the surgical or pharmacological approaches used in earlier studies. In our study, we used a different genetic approach, deleting the receptor as opposed to the ligand. Our observations fall right in the middle between earlier reports on protective effects of sympathectomy and recent the study on adrenalin/noradrenalindeficient mice. In beta1-AR KOs, we

have observed protection of roughly 10 dB SPL in the basal turn of the cochlea, which is in agreement with previous studies (Borg et al., 1982, Hildesheimer et al., 1991; Horner et al., 2001). However, middle and basal turns of the cochlea in the beta1-AR KOs and all turns in the beta2-AR KOs were similar to their control WT littermates. The observed similarities are in agreement with a previously described genetic approach of adrenergic pathway disruption (Maison et al., 2010). The difference in response to noise exposure between beta1-AR and beta2-AR KO mice may be attributed to potassium secretion in the stria vascularis. It was previously shown that potassium secretion in the stria vascularis is dependent on beta1-AR stimulation and not beta2-AR stimulation (Wangemann et al., 1995; Wangemann et al., 2000). The normal auditory thresholds observed in beta1-AR KO mice may be to the subtle effect of this receptor on potassium secretion and/or that the lack of beta1-AR could be compensated by physiological another receptor or mechanism (Wangemann et al., 2001; Wangemann, 2002). It is possible that this subtle effect to be more significant in conditions like acoustic trauma to the cochlea. and subsequently, high potassium recycling in the cochlear duct. In conclusion, this study shows that mice lacking beta1-AR and beta2-AR, have normal auditory thresholds as measured by ABR and DPOAE. These mice responded to acoustic trauma similar to their control littermates and exhibited similar rates of hearing loss. However, our results revealed a subtle hearing protection at the low frequencies in absence of beta1-AR following noise exposure.

5. Acknowledgement

This work was supported by research grant from the National Institute in Deafness and other Communicative Disorders: R01 DC09590 (Mustapha).

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

6. List of references

- Bielefeld, E.C., Henderson, D., 2007. Influence of sympathetic fibers on noise-induced hearing loss in the chinchilla. Hear. Res. 223, 11–9.
- Borg, E., 1982. Protective value of sympathectomy of the ear in noise. Acta Physiol. Scand. 115, 281–2.
- Chruscinski, A.J., Rohrer, D.K., Schauble, E., Desai, K.H., Bernstein, D., Kobilka, B.K., 1999. Targeted disruption of the beta2 adrenergic receptor gene. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 16694–700.
- Darrow, K.N., Simons, E.J., Dodds, L., Liberman, M.C., 2006. Dopaminergic innervation of the mouse inner ear: evidence for a separate cytochemical group of cochlear efferent fibers. J. Comp. Neurol. 498, 403–14.
- Densert, O., Flock, A., 1974. An electron-microscopic study of adrenergic innervation in the cochlea. Acta Otolaryngol. 77, 185–97.
- Fauser, C., Schimanski, S., Wangemann, P., 2004. Localization of beta1-adrenergic receptors in the cochlea and the vestibular labyrinth. J. Membr. Biol. 201, 25–32.
- Giraudet, F., Horner, K.C., Cazals, Y., 2002. Similar half-octave TTS protection of the cochlea by xylazine/ketamine or sympathectomy. Hear. Res. 174, 239–48.
- Hildesheimer, M., Henkin, Y., Pye, A., Heled, S., Sahartov, E., Shabtai, E.L., Muchnik, C., 2002. Bilateral superior cervical sympathectomy and noise-induced, permanent threshold

shift in guinea pigs. Hear. Res. 163, 46-52.

- Hildesheimer, M., Sharon, R., Muchnik, C., Sahartov, E., Rubinstein, M., 1991. The effect of bilateral sympathectomy on noise induced temporary threshold shift. Hear. Res. 51, 49–53.
- Horner, K.C., Giraudet, F., Lucciano, M., Cazals, Y., 2001. Sympathectomy improves the ear's resistance to acoustic trauma--could stress render the ear more sensitive? Eur. J. Neurosci. 13, 405–8.
- Hozawa, K., Kimura, R.S., 1990. Cholinergic and noradrenergic nervous systems in the cynomolgus monkey cochlea. Acta Otolaryngol. 110, 46–55.
- Khan, K.M., Drescher, M.J., Hatfield, J.S., Ramakrishnan, N.A., Drescher, D.G., 2007. Immunohistochemical localization of adrenergic receptors in the rat organ of corti and spiral ganglion. J. Neurosci. Res. 85, 3000– 12.
- LaRouere, M.J., Sillman, J.S., Nuttall, A.L., Miller, J.M., 1989. A comparison of laser Doppler and intravital microscopic measures of cochlear blood flow. Otolaryngol. Head. Neck Surg. 101, 375–84.
- 14. Laurikainen, E.A., Costa, O., Miller, J.M., Nuttall, A.L., Ren, T.Y., Masta, R., Quirk, W.S., Robinson, P.J., 1994. Neuronal regulation of cochlear blood flow in the guinea-pig. J. Physiol. 480 (Pt 3), 563–73.

- Laurikainen, E.A., Kim, D., Didier, A., Ren, T., Miller, J.M., Quirk, W.S., Nuttall, A.L., 1993. Stellate ganglion drives sympathetic regulation of cochlear blood flow. Hear. Res. 64, 199–204.
- 16. Lee, A.H., Møller, A.R., 1985. Effects of sympathetic stimulation on the round window compound action potential in the rat. Hear. Res. 19, 127–34.
- Liberman, M.C., 1990. Effects of chronic cochlear de-efferentation on auditory-nerve response. Hear. Res. 49, 209–23.
- Lichtensteiger, W., Spoendlin, H., 1967. Adrenergic pathways to the cochlea of the cat. Life Sci. 6, 1639– 1645.
- Maison, S.F., Le, M., Larsen, E., Lee, S.-K., Rosowski, J.J., Thomas, S.A., Liberman, M.C., 2010. Mice lacking adrenergic signaling have normal cochlear responses and normal resistance to acoustic injury but enhanced susceptibility to middle-ear infection. J. Assoc. Res. Otolaryngol. 11, 449–61.
- Mendus, D., Sundaresan, S., Grillet, N., Wangsawihardja, F., Leu, R., Müller, U., Jones, S.M., Mustapha, M., 2014. Thrombospondins 1 and 2 are important for afferent synapse formation and function in the inner ear. Eur. J. Neurosci. 39, 1256–1267.
- Muchnik, C., Hildesheimer, M., Nebel, L., Rubinstein, M., 1983. Influence of catecholamines on cochlear action potentials. Arch. Otolaryngol. 109, 530–2.

- Ohlsén, K.A., Baldwin, D.L., Nuttall, A.L., Miller, J.M., 1991. Influence of topically applied adrenergic agents on cochlear blood flow. Circ. Res. 69, 509–18.
- 23. Pickles, J.O., 1979. An investigation of sympathetic effects on hearing. Acta Otolaryngol. 87, 69–71.
- 24. Ren, T., Laurikainen, E., Quirk, W.S., Miller, J.M., Nuttall, A.L., 1993.
 Effects of stellate ganglion stimulation on bilateral cochlear blood flow. Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol. 102, 378–84.
- 25. Rohrer, D.K., Chruscinski, A., Schauble, E.H., Bernstein, D., Kobilka, B.K., 1999. Cardiovascular and metabolic alterations in mice lacking both beta1- and beta2adrenergic receptors. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 16701–8.
- 26. Rohrer, D.K., Desai, K.H., Jasper, J.R., Stevens, M.E., Regula, D.P., Barsh, G.S., Bernstein, D., Kobilka, B.K., 1996. Targeted disruption of the mouse beta1-adrenergic receptor gene: developmental and cardiovascular effects. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 93, 7375–80.
- 27. Schimanski, S., Scofield, M.A., Wangemann, P., 2001. Functional beta2-adrenergic receptors are present in nonstrial tissues of the lateral wall in the gerbil cochlea. Audiol. Neurootol. 6, 124–31.
- Sewell, W.F., 1984. The effects of furosemide on the endocochlear potential and auditory-nerve fiber tuning curves in cats. Hear. Res. 14, 305–314.

- 29. Shibamori, Y., Tamamaki, N., Saito, H., Nojyo, Y., 1994. The trajectory of the sympathetic nerve fibers to the rat cochlea as revealed by anterograde and retrograde WGA-HRP tracing. Brain Res. 646, 223–9.
- Spoendlin, H., 1981. Autonomic innervation of the inner ear. Adv. Otorhinolaryngol. 27, 1–13.
- Spoendlin, H., 1984. Primary Neurons and Synapses. In: Friedmann, I., Ballantyne, J. (Eds.), Ultrastructural Atlas of the Inner Ear. Butterworths, London, pp. 133-164.
- Spoendlin, H., Lichtensteiger, W., 1966. The adrenergic innervation of the labyrinth. Acta Otolaryngol. 61, 423–34.
- Tange, R.A., 1998. Vascular inner ear partition: a concept for some forms of sensorineural hearing loss and vertigo. ORL. J. Otorhinolaryngol. Relat. Spec. 60, 78–84.
- 34. Telischi, F.F., Mom, T., Agrama, M., Stagner, B.B., Ozdamar, O., Bustillo, A., Martin, G.K., 1999. Comparison of the auditory-evoked brainstem response wave I to distortion-product otoacoustic emissions resulting from changes to inner ear blood flow. Laryngoscope 109, 186–91.
- Telischi, F.F., Stagner, B., Widick, M.P., Balkany, T.J., Lonsbury-Martin, B.L., 1998. Distortion-product otoacoustic emission monitoring of cochlear blood flow. Laryngoscope 108, 837–42.
- 36. Wang, X., Robertson, D., 1997. Two types of actions of norepinephrine on identified auditory efferent neurons in

rat brain stem slices. J. Neurophysiol. 78, 1800–10.

- 37. Wangemann, P., 2002. Adrenergic and muscarinic control of cochlear endolymph production. Adv. Otorhinolaryngol. 59, 42–50.
- Wangemann, P., Liu, J., Marcus, D.C., 1995. Ion transport mechanisms responsible for K+ secretion and the transepithelial voltage across marginal cells of stria vascularis in vitro. Hear. Res. 84, 19–29.
- Wangemann, P., Liu, J., Scherer, E.Q., Herzog, M., Shimozono, M., Scofield, M.A., 2001. Muscarinic receptors control K+ secretion in inner ear strial marginal cells. J. Membr. Biol. 182, 171–81.
- 40. Wangemann, P., Liu, J., Shimozono, M., Schimanski, S., Scofield, M.A., 2000. K+ secretion in strial marginal cells is stimulated via beta 1adrenergic receptors but not via beta 2-adrenergic or vasopressin receptors. J. Membr. Biol. 175, 191–202.
- 41. Wangemann, P., Wonneberger, K., 2005. Neurogenic regulation of cochlear blood flow occurs along the basilar artery, the anterior inferior cerebellar artery and at branch points of the spiral modiolar artery. Hear. Res. 209, 91–6.
- 42. Warr,W.B., 1992. Organization of Olivocochlear Efferent System in Mammals. In: Webster, D.B., Popper, A.N., Fay, R.R. (Eds.), Mammalian Auditory Pathway: Neuroanatomy. Springer, New York, pp. 410-448.
- 43. Warr, W.B., Guinan J.J., White J.S., 1986. Organization of efferent fibers:

The latera	al and	medial	olivoc	ochlear
systems.	In:	Altsch	uler,	R.A.,
Hoffman,	D.W.,	Bobbin	, R.P.	(Eds.),

Neurobiology of Hearing: The Cochlea, Raven, New York, pp. 333–348.