UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Exploring the Presence of Core Skills for Surgical Practice Through Simulation*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/164767/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Balkhoyor, A, Mir, R, Mirghani, I et al. (7 more authors) (2020) Exploring the Presence of Core Skills for Surgical Practice Through Simulation. Journal of Surgical Education. ISSN 1931-7204

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2020.08.036>

© 2020, Elsevier. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Exploring the presence of core skills for surgical practice through simulation

Ahmed Mohammed Balkhoyor, Rohana Mir, Isra'a Mirghani, Thomas W. Pike, William E.A. Sheppard, Chandra Shekhar Biyani, J Peter A Lodge, Mark A. Mon-Williams, Faisal Mushtaq*, Michael Manogue

Ahmed Mohammed Balkhoyor is a lecturer, Department of Preventive Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Umm Al-Qura University, Makkah, Saudi Arabia; a doctoral student, School of Dentistry and Psychology, Faculty of Medicine & Health, University of Leeds, Leeds, West Yorkshire, United Kingdom; ORCID: 0000-0003-0400-8256

Rohana Mir is a doctoral student, School of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine & Health, University of Leeds, Leeds, West Yorkshire, United Kingdom

Isra'a Mirghani is a doctoral student, School of Dentistry and Psychology, Faculty of Medicine & Health, University of Leeds, Leeds, West Yorkshire, United Kingdom; ORCID: 0000-0001-7078-0530

Thomas Pike is a Research Fellow, Faculty of Medicine & Health, University of Leeds, Leeds, West Yorkshire, United Kingdom; and Surgeon at St James's University Hospital

William E.A. Sheppard is a doctoral student, School of Psychology, Faculty of Medicine & Health, University of Leeds, Leeds, West Yorkshire, United Kingdom

Chandra Shekhar Biyani is a Consultant Urologist in Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Department of Urology, Leeds, West Yorkshire, United Kingdom; ORCID: 0000-0003-3443-8425

J Peter A Lodge is a Professor of Surgery, HPB and Transplant Unit, St James's University Hospital, Leeds, West Yorkshire, United Kingdom

Mark A. Mon-Williams is a full Professor in Cognitive Psychology, School of Psychology, Faculty of Medicine & Health and Director of the Centre for Immersive Technologies, University of Leeds, Leeds, West Yorkshire, United Kingdom; ORCID: 0000-0001-7595-8545

Faisal Mushtaq is an Associate Professor, School of Psychology, Faculty of Medicine & Health and Associate Director of the Centre for Immersive Technologies, University of Leeds, Leeds, West Yorkshire, United Kingdom; ORCID: 0000-0001-7881-1127

Michael Manogue is a full Professor in Dental Education, School of Dentistry, Faculty of Medicine & Health, University of Leeds, Leeds, West Yorkshire, United Kingdom; SCOPUS: 6701334811

Correspondence should be addressed to Dr. Faisal Mushtaq at the Centre for Immersive Technologies, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK. Email: f.mushtaq@leeds.ac.uk. Tel: +44 (0)113 343 3640

Abstract

OBJECTIVE: The ability to simulate procedures in silico has transformed surgical training and practice. Today's simulators, designed for the training of a highly specialized set of procedures, also present a powerful scientific tool for understanding the neural control processes that underpin the learning and application of surgical skills. Here, we examined whether two simulators designed for training in two different surgical domains could be used to examine the extent to which fundamental sensorimotor skills transcend surgical specialty. **DESIGN, SETTING & PARTICIPANTS:** We used a high-fidelity virtual reality dental simulator and a laparoscopic box simulator to record the performance of three different groups. The groups comprised dentists, laparoscopic surgeons, and psychologists (each group n = 19). **RESULTS:** The results revealed a specialisation of performance, with laparoscopic surgeons showing the highest performance on the laparoscopic box simulator, whilst dentists demonstrated the highest skill levels on the virtual reality dental simulator. Importantly, we also found that a transfer learning effect, with laparoscopic surgeons and dentists showing superior performance to the psychologists on both tasks. **CONCLUSION:** There are core sensorimotor skills that cut across surgical specialty. We propose that the identification of such fundamental skills could lead to improved training provision prior to specialization.

KEY WORDS: Surgical Training; Core Surgical Skills; Sensorimotor; Learning Transfer; Simulation

COMPETENCIES:

1-Practice-Based Learning and Improvement.

2- Systems-Based Practice.

Conflicts of Interest: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

69 **Introduction**

70 If you needed to choose between a dentist and a psychologist to perform an emergency
71 appendix removal, who would you opt for? Similarly, if there was no dentist available
72 and you needed a tooth extraction, would you use a social scientist or a surgeon
73 specialising in hepato-pancreato-biliary procedures? These thought experiments reveal
74 the widely held intuition that there are core skills that transcend specialty (and the
75 corollary, that there are specialist skills that are experience-specific).

76 Advances in technology and the emergence of surgical simulation provide the means of
77 supporting this intuition with scientifically rigorous, empirical evidence (without
78 venturing into ethically reprehensible territory). Nevertheless, there seems little benefit
79 in establishing empirically that psychologists are best avoided when hepato-pancreato-
80 biliary procedures are required. But there would be great benefit in starting to
81 understand the core skills that are common to all surgical specialties as this could
82 streamline the selection and training of prospective surgeons.

83 It is well established that humans can rapidly learn tasks where the control parameters
84 require simple input-output adjustments by some variable amount (e.g. adaptation to
85 different degrees of visuomotor rotation [1–3]). It is also known that acquiring the
86 ability to complete one such task often yields little in the way of general sensorimotor
87 learning that can be applied to another task [4,5]. Nevertheless, in some scenarios,
88 neurologically intact adults display an ability to extract general rules from a task and
89 apply these rules to novel tasks with similar dynamical structures by identifying
90 invariants between input-output mappings. This process is known as ‘structural
91 learning’ [6–8] and it is associated with higher levels of performance in related novel
92 tasks [6,7,9]. For example, it has been established that participants show better
93 laparoscopic skills when trained on multiple ports [10] and across a variety of
94 parameter spaces [11]. However, as previously discussed, skilled motor learning is also
95 marked by specialisation of function - with sensorimotor commands developed and
96 refined through the interaction with, and tailored for, specific environments. If a small
97 change in the context is associated with a large alteration in the learning task, then
98 generalising from prior learning can interfere with learning the new task and impair

99 performance [6,12]. Thus, one of the major challenges for researchers seeking to
100 improve training regimes is identifying the core (structural) elements that underpin a
101 particular class of behaviours and differentiating such elements from the components
102 associated with a specific (specialist) skill.

103 The purpose of this experiment was to explore the generalisability of surgical skills
104 across different contexts. To this end, we examined the extent of sensorimotor skill
105 transfer across healthcare specialties by asking trained laparoscopic surgeons and
106 dental surgeons to complete simulated versions of laparoscopic surgery and dental
107 surgery tasks and compared their performance on these tasks against a group of
108 surgically naïve participants.

109 **Materials and methods**

110 Simulation has been effectively utilised for education, assessment, and maintenance of
111 various skills across a diverse range of domains. It has been particularly crucial in
112 professions that demand a high degree of precision and safety – such as healthcare
113 education [13,14]. The purpose of simulation is generally agreed to be to replicate or
114 amplify real experiences using analogous tools or settings that imitate real world
115 conditions, with the goal of learning and training, in an immersive and interactive mode
116 [15,16]. In dental and surgical education, intensive theoretical and practical preclinical
117 training is fundamental to bridge the educational gap between preclinical and clinical
118 practice [17–20]. In the context of this experiment, none of the participants had any
119 previous experience of using these two simulators and each participant was allowed to
120 try out the devices as part of the introduction to familiarise themselves with the procedure
121 and the required tasks. The experiment lasted approximately 20-25 minutes in total to
122 perform one attempt on the two assessment tasks.

123 We used a high-fidelity haptic virtual reality (VR) simulator (Moog Simodont Dental
124 Trainer® [21,22]) and a laparoscopic box simulator (EoSim®[23–25]) to assess the
125 performance of dentists (N = 19, 7 females, M = 4.7 ± 1.27 years of experience) and
126 laparoscopic surgeons (N = 19; 8 females, M = 5.05 ± 5.79 years of experience) on
127 simulated surgical and dental tasks. We sought to compare the performance of these
128 participants against a surgically naïve control group of participants with an equivalent
129 level of education. Postgraduate psychologists presented a sample of convenience (N =
130 19; 11 females) that satisfied these criteria. The study followed the tenets of the
131 Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the local Research Ethics Committee at the
132 School of Psychology (reference 17-0166), University of Leeds, United Kingdom.

133 To examine performance in dental surgery, we asked participants to drill through a
134 virtual 3D shape presented by the Haptic VR Dental Simulator (see Figure 1A). The
135 shape comprised three zones (see Figure 1B): (i) a target zone- which needed to be
136 removed by the participant; (ii) leeway zones (side and bottom) surrounding the target
137 zone which the participants were instructed to avoid removing; and (iii) container
138 zones (sides and bottom) which the participants were also told they must avoid. The

participants were informed that they needed to remove 99% of the target zone. For assessing laparoscopic surgery performance, a thread transfer task was used. The task involved inserting a thread in 5 pegs (holes) within 10-minutes, using laparoscopic graspers with both hands (see 1C and 1D).

The kinematic performance measures provided by the Dental Simulator included percentage of target area removal, an error score which included four measurements (leeway bottom, leeway sides, container bottom and container sides), time (seconds) which included time elapsed (the total time taken by the participant to complete the task) and drilling time (the total time taken by the participant to drill the shape), and the total distance the hand moved in meters [22,26].

The kinematic performance measures provided by the Laparoscopic Simulator included time (seconds); distance (m) where lower instrument path distance is taken as a metric of higher control; speed (mm/s); and normalised jerk (mm/s^3) where lower values indicate greater smoothness [23–25].

Figure 1. (A) Schematic drawing of the VR dental simulator and the experimental setting. (B) Schematic of the cross-shape dental task presented through a haptic VR simulator, illustrating the location of the target area, the Leeway and Container. (C) The set-up of the surgical simulator showing the laparoscopic task. (D) The peg-threading setup of the laparoscopic task.

158 **Statistical analysis**

159 To assess performance, z-scores of a composite measure that captured speed-accuracy
160 trade-offs in performance for laparoscopic (C_{lap}) and dental tasks (C_{dent}) were
161 compared. Standardising the scores in this way allowed us to make inter-task
162 comparisons, despite the different kinematic performance measures provided by the
163 respective simulators.

164 The dental composite measure was calculated by multiplying the total error by the time
165 taken, such that lower scores indicate better performance (Equation 1). The
166 laparoscopic composite measure was calculated by multiplying the number of
167 incomplete holes (n) plus one, by the amount of time taken to complete the task within
168 the maximum time period, such that lower scores indicate better performance
169 (Equation 2). A repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to
170 compare performance according to specialty for the z-score composite variables in
171 dental and surgical simulators. The statistical significance threshold was set at $p < .05$
172 and we report generalised eta squared (η^2_G) as a measure of effect size and considered
173 $\eta^2_G = 0.02$ to be small, $\eta^2_G = 0.13$ medium and $\eta^2_G = 0.26$ to be a large effect size. All
174 statistical analyses were performed using the statistical analysis package R and R Studio
175 Version 1.1.463 (28).

$$176 \qquad C_{dent} = t_{dent} \times E_T \qquad (1)$$

$$177 \qquad C_{lap} = t_{lap} \times (n + 1) \qquad (2)$$

178

179 **Results**

180 A 2 x 3 ANOVA was conducted to compare the performance of participants on the Task
181 Type (Dental and Surgical) according to the participant Group (Laparoscopic surgeons,
182 Dentists, and Psychologists) for the z-scored composite variables. This yielded a
183 significant main effect for the Group factor, [$F(2, 54) = 18.23, p < 0.001, \eta^2_G = 0.250$],
184 and a significant interaction, $F(2, 54) = 7.36, p < 0.001, \eta^2_G = 0.121$] (Figure 2).

185

186 A series of one-way ANOVAs decomposed the interaction by Group. For the Psychology
187 Group, there was no effect of Task Type, [$F(1, 18) = 0.058, p = 0.81, \eta^2_G = 0.002$],
188 indicating that the Psychology Group produced similar errors in the Dental ($M = 0.713$,
189 95% CI = [0.333, 1.094]) and Surgical Task ($M = 0.623$, 95% CI = [0.243, 1.004]). For the
190 Dentists, the effect of Task Type was significant, [$F(1, 18) = 24.52, p = 0.0001, \eta^2_G =$
191 0.348]], indicating that the Dentists produced fewer errors in the Dental Task ($M = -$
192 0.817, 95% CI = [-1.197, -0.437]) compared to the Surgical Task ($M = -0.036$, 95% CI = [-
193 0.416, 0.344]). For the Laparoscopic Surgeons, the effect of Task Type was also
194 significant, [$F(1, 18) = 9.05, p = 0.007, \eta^2_G = 0.189$], indicating that the Surgeons
195 produced fewer errors in the Surgical Task ($M = -0.587$, 95% CI = [-0.967, -0.207])
196 compared to the Dental Task ($M = 0.103$, 95% CI = [-0.277, 0.484]). Finally, we found
197 that the relative performance of Dentists and Surgeons were not significantly different
198 in their specialties [$F(1, 36) = 1.37, p = 0.24, \eta^2_G = 0.036$].

Figure 2. Performance differences on the Dental and Surgical tasks, represented as a z-score of the composite error measures (lower values indicate better performance). The circles represent individual scores, the squares represent the mean and the error bars indicate standard error of the mean. Dentists performed better than psychologists on the surgical task and better than psychologists and laparoscopic surgeons on the dental task. Similarly, the laparoscopic surgeons performed better than psychologists on the dental task and performed better than psychologists and dentists on the laparoscopic task.

Discussion

We explored whether we could identify evidence to support the intuitive notion that there are core surgical skills that transcend speciality (a conjecture that has support from the field of sensorimotor control and the phenomenon of structural learning)[6,7,9]. To address this issue, we monitored the performance of dentists, laparoscopic surgeons and psychologists on dental and surgical simulators. We reasoned that the presence of core surgical skills would be indicated by the dentists and laparoscopic surgeons outperforming the psychologists on the novel surgical procedure presented within these simulators.

For the dental task, dentists' performance was better than psychologists and laparoscopic surgeons. In the laparoscopic task, the laparoscopic surgeons' performance was better than psychologists and dentists. Finding that each of these simulators was able to discriminate between different levels of real-world skill is not surprising per se- indeed, previous work has established the discriminant validity of each system [22,24]. The novelty of this study comes from contrasting performance on each simulator across groups. Here, we found laparoscopic surgeons' performance was better than psychologists in the dental task and the dentists' performance was better than psychologists in the laparoscopic task. This suggests that surgical training (both dental and laparoscopic) facilitates the development of fundamental sensorimotor skills that transcend their speciality. This is evidenced by surgically trained participants outperforming surgically naïve participants, given the same level of exposure to a novel simulator.

At this point, it is worth considering why distinct surgical specialties seem to possess core features. Surgery is a complex task where the complexity reflects the integration of both cognitive and sensorimotor processes [27]. In terms of the cognitive processes involved, all surgeons require high-level medical knowledge to make the appropriate assessment and evidence-based decisions. It is relatively easy to identify what cognitive knowledge constitutes core information required by all specialties and this is the foundation of much medical training before career specialization. Likewise, it is a trivial task to identify the specific knowledge required by a given surgical specialty. Integral to all specialist surgical training is the provision of specific knowledge about anatomy and

procedures. In contrast, the complexities of sensorimotor control [28] mean that it is much harder to understand the sensorimotor processes that underpin specific surgical procedures and identify the sensorimotor skills that are common to all skilled surgeons. The present study presents, to our knowledge, the first attempt at delineating the sensorimotor surgical skills that can be extrapolated to novel situations.

The data from the current study align well with current sensorimotor theories. For example, structural learning accounts of motor learning provide a framework for understanding how humans can adapt and readapt to a sequence of similar tasks[4,7]. This ability to learn the core structures of similar types of movement can lead to higher levels of performance in domains that fall outside a task that has been specifically trained and learned [7,29,30].

A fundamental property of sensorimotor skill is the precision and consistency of the spatial-temporal control of the arms [5,31]. For example, in learning to drill into plastic teeth, the dental student must get their hand to the right place, at the right angle, and apply the appropriate forces to a hand-held instrument. These abilities can become highly precise and consistently repeatable [32–34]. The neural changes associated with practice allow a motor task to be accomplished with increased speed and a reduction in behavioural error [31,35]. It transpires that the trained dentist can then transfer their skills to another (novel) surgical setting. This suggests that learning core skills may leading to faster learning for problems sharing a similar structure [36].

The road to becoming a highly skilled surgical practitioner is a long (and often arduous) one. It is also the case that there are currently evidence-based approaches to facilitating this learning process. We suggest that detailed investigation into the nature of core skill development that transfers across specialties could inform the development of effective training structures to support learning for surgeons and improve training provision before specialisation. For example, it may be possible to produce generic ‘surgical trainers’ that enable a surgeon of any discipline to learn the fundamental motor behaviours before becoming specialized in one type of surgery. These trainers might not only play a fundamental role in skill learning (and lead to a better understanding of the flexibility and adaptability of the sensorimotor system [6,25]), but may also have the potential to offer new ways to screen and assess prospective surgeons. The increasing

268 prevalence of low-cost virtual and augmented reality technology simulators could
269 present an opportunity for developing such multi-purpose systems[38,39]. The key to
270 maximising their utility for surgical training will be in ensuring that these systems are
271 grounded in the science of human learning.

272 **Conclusion**

273 We set out to examine whether two simulators designed for training in two different
274 surgical domains could be used to examine the extent to which fundamental
275 sensorimotor skills transcend surgical specialty. We found specialisation of
276 performance (each specialty performed better on the surgical simulator designed for
277 their own discipline). Crucially, we also found evidence of transfer learning - with both
278 groups performing better than surgically-naïve participants on the simulator designed
279 for a surgical task outside their domain. These results show that there are core surgical
280 skills that transcend specialty. This opens up the prospect of developing simulators and
281 training protocols that can allow all surgeons to develop fundamental sensorimotor
282 abilities before specialisation.

283 **References**

- 284 1 White AD, Mushtaq F, Raw RK, *et al.* Does monitor position influence visual-motor
285 performance during minimally invasive surgery? *J Surg Simul* 2016;**3**:1–7.
286 doi:10.1102/2051-7726.2016.0001
- 287 2 Cunningham HA. Aiming error under transformed spatial mappings suggests a
288 structure for visual-motor maps. *J Exp Psychol Hum Percept Perform* 1989;**15**:493–
289 506. doi:10.1037//0096-1523.15.3.493
- 290 3 Krakauer JW, Pine ZM, Ghilardi MF, *et al.* Learning of visuomotor transformations
291 for vectorial planning of reaching trajectories. *J Neurosci* 2000;**20**:8916–24.
292 doi:10.1523/jneurosci.20-23-08916.2000
- 293 4 Braun DA, Waldert S, Aertsen A, *et al.* Structure learning in a sensorimotor
294 association task. *PLoS One* 2010;**5**:e8973. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008973
- 295 5 Krakauer JW, Hadjiosif AM, Xu J, *et al.* Motor Learning. *Compr Physiol* Published
296 Online First: March 2019. doi:doi:10.1002/cphy.c170043
- 297 6 Braun DA, Aertsen A, Wolpert DM, *et al.* Motor Task Variation Induces Structural
298 Learning. *Curr Biol* 2009;**19**:352–7. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2009.01.036
- 299 7 Braun DA, Mehring C, Wolpert DM. Structure learning in action. *Behav Brain Res*
300 2010;**206**:157–65. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2009.08.031
- 301 8 Pacheco MM, Newell KM. Transfer of a learned coordination function: Specific,
302 individual and generalizable. *Hum Mov Sci* 2018;**59**.
303 doi:10.1016/j.humov.2018.03.019
- 304 9 Turnham EJA, Braun DA, Wolpert DM. Facilitation of learning induced by both
305 random and gradual visuomotor task variation. *J Neurophysiol* 2011;**107**:1111–22.
306 doi:10.1152/jn.00635.2011
- 307 10 White AD, Giles O, Sutherland RJ, *et al.* Minimally invasive surgery training using
308 multiple port sites to improve performance. *Surg Endosc* 2014;**28**:1188–93.
309 doi:10.1007/s00464-013-3307-7
- 310 11 White AD, Mushtaq F, Giles O, *et al.* Laparoscopic Motor Learning and Workspace
311 Exploration. *J Surg Educ* 2016;**73**:992–8. doi:10.1016/j.jsurg.2016.05.001
- 312 12 Krakauer JW, Mazzoni P, Ghazizadeh A, *et al.* Generalization of motor learning
313 depends on the history of prior action. *PLoS Biol* 2006;**4**:1798–808.
314 doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0040316
- 315 13 Motola I, Devine L., Chung HS, *et al.* Simulation in healthcare education: A best
316 evidence practical guide. AMEE Guide No. 82. *Med Teach* 2013;**35**:e1511–30.
317 doi:10.3109/0142159X.2013.818632
- 318 14 Issenberg S, McGaghie W, Petrusa E, *et al.* Features and uses of high-fidelity medical
319 simulations that lead to effective learning: a BEME systematic review. *Med Teach*

320 2005;**27**:10–28. doi:10.1080/01421590500046924

321 15 Gaba DM. The future vision of simulation in health care. *Qual Saf Heal Care*
322 2004;**13**:2–10. doi:10.1136/qshc.2004.009878

323 16 Littlewood K. High fidelity simulation as a research tool. *Best Pract Res Clin*
324 *Anaesthesiol* 2011;**25**:473–87. doi:10.1016/j.bpa.2011.08.001

325 17 Hall JC, Ellis C, Hamdorf J. Surgeons and cognitive processes. *Br J Surg* 2003;**90**:10–
326 6. doi:10.1002/bjs.4020

327 18 Sadideen H, Alvand A, Saadeddin M, *et al.* Surgical experts: Born or made? *Int J Surg*
328 2013;**11**:773–8. doi:10.1016/j.ijsu.2013.07.001

329 19 Weller JM. Simulation in undergraduate medical education: Bridging the gap between
330 theory and practice. *Med Educ* 2004;**38**:32–8. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2923.2004.01739.x

331 20 Serrano CM, Botelho MG, Wesselink PR, *et al.* Challenges in the transition to clinical
332 training in dentistry: An ADEE special interest group initial report. *Eur J Dent Educ*
333 2018;**22**:e451–7. doi:10.1111/eje.12324

334 21 Mirghani I, Mushtaq F, Balkhoyor A, *et al.* The factors that count in selecting future
335 dentists: sensorimotor and soft skills. *Br Dent J* 2019;**226**:417–21.
336 doi:10.1038/s41415-019-0030-3

337 22 Mirghani I, Mushtaq F, Allsop MJ, *et al.* Capturing differences in dental training using
338 a virtual reality simulator. *Eur J Dent Educ* 2016;**22**:67–71. doi:10.1111/eje.12245

339 23 Hennessey I a M, Hewett P. Construct, concurrent, and content validity of the eoSim
340 laparoscopic simulator. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech* 2013;**23**:855–60.
341 doi:10.1089/lap.2013.0229

342 24 Partridge RW, Hughes M a, Brennan PM, *et al.* Accessible laparoscopic instrument
343 tracking (‘InsTrac’): construct validity in a take-home box simulator. *J Laparoendosc*
344 *Adv Surg Tech* 2014;**24**:578–83. doi:10.1089/lap.2014.0015

345 25 Retrosi G, Cundy T, Haddad M, *et al.* Motion Analysis-Based Skills Training and
346 Assessment in Pediatric Laparoscopy: Construct, Concurrent, and Content Validity for
347 the eoSim Simulator. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech* 2015;**25**:944–50.
348 doi:10.1089/lap.2015.0069

349 26 Al-Saud LM, Mushtaq F, Mirghani I, *et al.* Drilling into the functional significance of
350 stereopsis: the impact of stereoscopic information on surgical performance.
351 *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 2017;**37**:498–506. doi:10.1111/opo.12393

352 27 Raw RK, Wilkie RM, Allen RJ, *et al.* Skill acquisition as a function of age, hand and
353 task difficulty: Interactions between cognition and action. *PLoS One*
354 2019;**14**:e0211706. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0211706

355 28 Franklin DW, Wolpert DM. Computational mechanisms of sensorimotor control.
356 *Neuron* 2011;**72**:425–42. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.10.006

- 357 29 Shmuelof L, Krakauer JW, Mazzoni P. How is a motor skill learned? Change and
358 invariance at the levels of task success and trajectory control. *J Neurophysiol*
359 2012;**108**:578–94. doi:10.1152/jn.00856.2011
- 360 30 Diedrichsen J, Kornysheva K. Motor skill learning between selection and execution.
361 *Trends Cogn Sci* 2015;**19**:227–33. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2015.02.003
- 362 31 Haith AM, Krakauer JW. The multiple effects of practice: skill, habit and reduced
363 cognitive load. *Curr Opin Behav Sci* 2018;**20**:196–201.
364 doi:10.1016/j.cobeha.2018.01.015
- 365 32 Sadnicka A, Kornysheva K, Rothwell J, *et al.* A unifying motor control framework for
366 task-specific dystonia. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2017;**14**:116–24.
367 doi:10.1038/nrneurol.2017.146
- 368 33 Rodger M, Tang T, White C. Current understanding of learning psychomotor skills
369 and the impact on teaching laparoscopic surgical skills. *Obstet Gynaecol* 2016;**18**:53–
370 63. doi:10.1111/tog.12255
- 371 34 Wolpert DM, Diedrichsen J, Flanagan JR. Principles of sensorimotor learning. *Nat Rev*
372 *Neurosci* 2011;**12**:739–51. doi:10.1038/nrn3112
- 373 35 Adams JA. Historical Review and Appraisal of Research on the Learning, Retention,
374 and Transfer of Human Motor Skills. *Psychol Bull* 1987;**101**:41–74.
375 doi:10.1037/0033-2909.101.1.41
- 376 36 Seidler RD. Multiple Motor Learning Experiences Enhance Motor Adaptability. *J*
377 *Cogn Neurosci* 2004;**6**:65–73. doi:10.1162/089892904322755566
- 378 37 Willingham DB. A neuropsychological theory of motor skill learning. *Psychol Rev*
379 1998;**105**:558–84.
- 380 38 Pulijala Y, Ma M, Pears M, *et al.* Effectiveness of Immersive Virtual Reality in
381 Surgical Training—A Randomized Control Trial. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*
382 2018;**76**:1065–72. doi:10.1016/j.joms.2017.10.002
- 383 39 Lohre R, Bois AJ, Athwal GS, *et al.* Improved Complex Skill Acquisition by
384 Immersive Virtual Reality Training: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Bone Jt Surg -*
385 *Am Vol* 2020;**102**:e26. doi:10.2106/JBJS.19.00982

386