



This is a repository copy of *Group dialectical behavioral therapy for binge-eating disorder: Outcomes from a community case series*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/164679/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Blood, L., Adams, G., Turner, H. et al. (1 more author) (2020) Group dialectical behavioral therapy for binge-eating disorder: Outcomes from a community case series. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 53 (11). pp. 1863-1867. ISSN 0276-3478

<https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.23377>

---

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Blood, L, Adams, G, Turner, H, Waller, G. Group dialectical behavioral therapy for binge-eating disorder: Outcomes from a community case series. *Int J Eat Disord.*, which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.23377>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

**Group Dialectical Behavioral Therapy for Binge-Eating Disorder:  
Outcomes from a Community Case Series**

Lauren Blood (1)

Gillian Adams (1)

Hannah Turner (1)

Glenn Waller (2)

1. Eating Disorders Service, Southern Health NHS Foundation Trust, April House, 9 Bath Road, Bitterne, Southampton, SO19 5ES, UK.
2. Department of Psychology, University of Sheffield, United Kingdom

Corresponding author

Gillian Adams, Eating Disorders Service, Southern Health NHS Foundation Trust, April House, 9 Bath Road, Bitterne, Southampton, SO19 5ES, UK.

Email: Gillian.adams@southernhealth.nhs.uk; Phone +44-23-8081-9000

Word count for paper (excluding title page, Abstract, tables, and references) = 2374

Word count for Abstract = 200

1 **Group Dialectical Behavioral Therapy for Binge-Eating Disorder:**

2 **Outcomes from a Community Case Series**

3  
4 **Abstract**

5 **Objective:** Whilst there is evidence to support the use of group dialectical behavioral therapy  
6 (DBT) in the treatment of binge-eating disorder (BED), few studies have reported on its  
7 effectiveness when delivered in routine clinical practice. This study addressed this gap by  
8 exploring the effectiveness of group DBT for BED when delivered in a community eating  
9 disorder service.

10 **Method:** Participants were 56 adults who presented with BED, and were offered a 20-week  
11 DBT group. Eight groups were conducted. Measures of eating disorder pathology, anxiety,  
12 depression and emotion regulation were completed at start and end of treatment, and one-  
13 month follow-up.

14 **Results:** The attrition rate was 16.1%. Abstinence rates (no objective binges in the previous  
15 month) were approximately 60% at the end of treatment and 50% at follow-up. There were  
16 significant reductions in eating disorder psychopathology (but not in mood) by end of treatment  
17 and improvements were maintained at follow-up.

18 **Discussion:** Group DBT is an acceptable and effective treatment for adults with BED when  
19 delivered in a routine community setting. Findings are broadly comparable with those from  
20 research trials. The lack of significant effect on mood suggests that DBT can be effective by  
21 teaching new emotion-regulation skills, rather than changing mood per se.

22  
23  
24 **Keywords:** binge-eating disorder; dialectical behavioral therapy; group therapy; outcomes

25

## 1                   **Group Dialectical Behavioral Therapy for Binge-Eating Disorder:**

### 2                   **Outcomes from a Community Case Series**

3                   Binge-eating disorder (BED) is characterised by recurrent episodes of objective binge  
4 eating that are associated with marked levels of distress (American Psychiatric Association,  
5 2013). It is also associated with comorbid psychiatric symptoms, such as depression, impaired  
6 social functioning and obesity (Wilfley, Wilson, & Agras, 2003). Although psychological  
7 therapies (e.g., cognitive behavioral therapy; interpersonal psychotherapy) have been used to  
8 treat BED (Wilfley et al., 2002), remission rates show that these fail to help a significant  
9 proportion of patients (Wilson, Grilo, & Vitousek, 2007). A number of factors have been  
10 identified as impacting treatment response, including low mood (Stice et al., 2001) and  
11 comorbid Cluster B personality disorders (Wilfley et al., 2000).

12                  There is clear evidence of a link between binge eating and poor emotion regulation  
13 (Leehr et al, 2015). Treatments that focus on supporting the development of more adaptive  
14 emotion regulation skills have been adapted for BED. One such treatment is dialectical  
15 behavioral therapy (DBT). Assuming that binge eating serves as a dysfunctional affect  
16 regulation strategy (Telch, Agras & Linehan, 2001), DBT aims to reduce binge eating by  
17 improving adaptive emotion-regulation skills. In an initial uncontrolled trial, Telch et al. (2000)  
18 evaluated the effectiveness of a 20-session group treatment, adapted from Linehan's DBT  
19 manual (e.g., Linehan, 1993). At the end of treatment, 82% of participants were abstinent from  
20 binge eating, and outcomes were maintained at follow-up. These findings were supported in  
21 controlled trials (Safer, Robinson & Jo, 2010; Telch et al., 2001), where the rates of abstinence  
22 and retention were more positive in those who received DBT.

23                  Whilst a growing number of studies have demonstrated the potential effectiveness of  
24 DBT for BED, these have largely been delivered by the developers of the intervention in  
25 academic settings. Only one study to date has investigated the feasibility of delivering this  
26 treatment in a routine clinical setting. Klein, Skinner and Hawley (2012) delivered group-based  
27 DBT for people who binge eat in a community college setting. However, that study had  
28 substantial limitations. While treatment completers showed a significant reduction in weekly

1 binge frequency, the drop-out rate was high (50%). The study also had a very small sample  
2 (n = 5 treatment completers) and included mixed diagnoses (40% BED, 40% sub-threshold  
3 BED, and 20% bulimia nervosa), both of which limit the generalisability of the findings.

4 Consequently, there is still a need for evidence that DBT for BED is effective in routine  
5 clinical practice, with positive outcomes and strong levels of acceptability and patient retention.  
6 Therefore, the present study aims to build on previous work by exploring the effectiveness of  
7 a group-based DBT intervention for BED when delivered in a routine out-patient community  
8 eating disorders service. Unlike the study by Klein et al. (2012), this paper reports on the  
9 clinical outcomes of a large group of patients who presented with a DSM-5 diagnosis of BED.  
10 It also includes follow-up data.

## 11 **Method**

### 12 **Ethical considerations**

13 Using the UK National Health Service (NHS) National Research Ethics Service  
14 guidance (National Health Service Health Research Authority, 2011), this case series was  
15 deemed to be service evaluation, and therefore was not submitted for ethical approval. Issues  
16 related to use of service evaluation data and participant consent were discussed with our  
17 Organisation's Information Governance Department. All patients were informed that their  
18 clinical data would be used for evaluation purposes, and that there would be no breach of  
19 confidentiality as a result, as all data were de-identified.

### 20 **Patient group**

21 The sample consisted of 56 patients (50 women and six men) who had been referred  
22 to a specialist NHS community eating disorder service. Each was diagnosed using DSM-5  
23 criteria (American Psychiatric Association, 2013), following assessment using the diagnostic  
24 items of the Eating Disorders Examination, version 16 (Fairburn, 2008) or a semi-structured-  
25 interview (Waller et al., 2007). All patients met DSM-5 diagnostic criteria for BED. The mean  
26 age of the sample was 37.96 years ( $SD = 11.57$ , range = 21 - 73 years). Mean BMI at the start  
27 of treatment was 42.2 ( $SD = 8.73$ ) and at follow-up it was 41.8 ( $SD = 6.83$ ), indicating no  
28 significant change over therapy (Intention to treat analysis:  $t = 0.74$ ; *NS*). All participants were

1 enrolled in a 20-week DBT-BED skills training group between 2014 - 2016.

## 2 **Measures**

3 Measures of eating disorder pathology, anxiety, depression and emotion regulation  
4 were collected at the start and end of the group, and at one-month follow-up.

5 **The Eating Disorders Examination Questionnaire** (EDE-Q, version 6; Fairburn,  
6 2008). The EDE-Q is a self-report questionnaire, assessing eating disorder psychopathology  
7 and behaviors. It measures the frequency of key eating disorder behaviors (including objective  
8 binge-eating over the past 28 days), as well as attitudinal subscales of dietary restraint, weight  
9 concerns, shape concerns and eating concerns. These subscales can be averaged to produce  
10 a global attitudinal score. The EDE-Q has good psychometric properties and has been found  
11 to be suitable for use with BED patients (Reas, Grilo & Masheb, 2006).

12 **Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale** (HADS; Zigmond & Snaith, 1983). The  
13 HADS is a self-report questionnaire measuring anxiety and depression. Total scores on each  
14 subscale indicate the presence of mild (0-8), moderate (11-15) or severe (16-21) anxiety or  
15 depression.

16 **Binge Eating Scale** (BES; Gormally, Black, Daston & Rardin, 1982). The BES is a  
17 self-report measure of binge eating pathology with scores ranging from 0-46. The BES has  
18 good psychometric properties and a moderate association with binge eating severity as  
19 measured by food records (Timmerman, 1999).

20 **Emotional Eating Scale** (EES; Arnow, Kenardy & Agras, 1995). The EES is a 25-item  
21 self-report questionnaire assessing the tendency to use eating to cope with negative affect. It  
22 has three subscales measuring anger, anxiety and depression. Questions are scored on a 5-  
23 item Likert scale, ranging from 'no desire to eat' (0) to 'an overwhelming urge to eat' (4). The  
24 EES has good psychometric properties and discriminant validity, and has been shown to  
25 correlate significantly with self-reported binge frequency (Arnow, Kenardy & Agras, 1995).

## 26 **Procedure**

27 Participants completed the EDE-Q, HADS, BES and EES at the start and end of  
28 treatment (20 weekly sessions), and at one-month follow-up. These measures were

1 administered as part of routine clinical practice, to monitor treatment effectiveness.  
2 Participants were given time to complete assessment measures at these time points.

### 3 **Intervention**

4 The DBT delivered in this group followed that described in a standardised manual  
5 (Safer, Telch & Chen, 2009). As part of treatment, all participants commit to addressing, in  
6 order of priority: any therapy-interfering behaviors; binge-eating; mindless eating; urges to  
7 binge-eat; capitulating (wilfully shutting off all options other than to binge-eat); and apparently  
8 irrelevant behaviors (which might have a surface-level justification, but in fact increase the  
9 likelihood of binge-eating). Participants used tools such as chain analysis to identify the factors  
10 prompting them to engage in these behaviors, and to replace problem behaviors with more  
11 adaptive coping skills.

12 Each group session was two hours and included an hour of structured feedback  
13 regarding target behaviors and skills use, followed by an hour of new skills teaching. The skills  
14 taught included mindfulness, emotion regulation and distress tolerance. Participants  
15 completed diary cards weekly, indicating the number of target behaviors (e.g., binges,  
16 mindless eating, urges to binge, therapy interfering behaviors) that had occurred in the  
17 previous week. Participants also completed a record of their skills practice each week. Each  
18 group consisted of 5-8 participants and ran weekly for 20 weeks. Participants also attended  
19 an individual pre-group review and a one-month follow-up session.

20 Each group was led by a clinical psychologist or eating disorders therapist, and was  
21 co-facilitated by an assistant psychologist. All lead facilitators were DBT trained and attended  
22 monthly peer DBT supervision. In order to promote consistency and treatment adherence,  
23 session recordings were routinely used in supervision and skills were taught following written  
24 agendas. Supervision was provided by one of the authors (GA), who has 10 years' experience  
25 of delivering and supervising DBT.

### 26 **Data Analysis**

27 Symptom change was measured by comparing scores on the EDE-Q, BES, EES and  
28 HADS at baseline, end of treatment and one-month follow-up. In order to ensure that the

1 outcomes were not affected by attrition, the analyses were conducted using Intention to Treat  
2 methods (multiple imputation, with ten imputations). Paired t-tests were used to compare  
3 differences from the start of therapy to the end, and from the end of therapy to the one-month  
4 follow-up. Cohen's  $d$  was used to determine effect sizes ( $d \geq 0.8$  is regarded as a 'large' effect).  
5 To ensure that behavior change was maintained for a meaningful length of time, abstinence  
6 from binge eating was defined as no reported objective binge episodes in the previous month,  
7 as indicated on the EDE-Q.

## 8 **Results**

### 9 **Attrition from treatment**

10 Of the 56 participants who entered the DBT groups, all attended at least one session.  
11 Nine dropped out of treatment before completing the group, giving an attrition rate of 16.1%  
12 over the 20 weeks. Of the remaining 47 patients, four were lost to follow-up. Therefore, drop-  
13 out at one-month follow-up was 23% (13/56).

### 14 **Abstinence from binge eating**

15 Abstinence from binge eating at the end of treatment was defined as no reported  
16 objective binge episodes in the past four weeks, as reported on the EDE-Q. Of the 47  
17 treatment completers, 29 (61.7%) were abstinent from bingeing at the end of treatment. At  
18 one-month follow-up, 23 (53.5%) reported having been abstinent from binge eating, and a  
19 further 11 (19.7%) reported having binged less than once a week over the previous month  
20 (i.e., below the DSM-5 criterion for BED). Intent-to-treat analysis abstinence rates were similar,  
21 at 60.7% (34/56) at the end of treatment and 51.8% (29/56) at follow-up.

### 22 **Treatment outcomes for eating and pathology and mood**

23 Table 1 shows the intention-to-treat analyses. There were significant reductions from  
24 the beginning to end of treatment in all of the EDE-Q scales, in the frequency of objective  
25 binges and in the BES. The effects ranged from small-medium to very large. However, there  
26 were no significant reductions in pathology on the remaining scales, indicating that DBT was  
27 more effective in changing eating pathology than the underlying emotional factors. Completer  
28 analyses (see Supplementary Material A) showed a similar pattern for the eating variables,



1 but indicated more of an impact on the emotional factors, suggesting that the loss of  
2 participants resulted in apparent over-inflation of the emotional impact of DBT-BED.

3  
4 Inset Table 1 about here  
5

## 7 Discussion

8 This study aimed to explore the effectiveness of group-based DBT for BED when  
9 delivered in a routine clinical setting. In order to promote treatment fidelity, all therapists  
10 received regular group supervision and were actively encouraged to follow the treatment  
11 protocol outlined in the manual (Safer et al., 2009). The attrition rate (16%) was very similar  
12 to the 18% reported by Telch et al. (2001) (18%) and was notably lower than the 50% reported  
13 by Klein et al. (2012). Therefore, this intervention had a high level of acceptability when  
14 delivered in routine clinical practice.

15 The outcomes were broadly comparable to previously published studies (Safer et al.,  
16 2010; Telch et al., 2000, 2001), though the abstinence rate was slightly lower than that  
17 reported by Telch et al (2000, 2001) but similar to that reported by Safer et al (2010). Effect  
18 sizes indicate that there were medium to large improvements in eating disorder symptoms.  
19 These changes were comparable with previously published outcomes (Safer et al., 2010;  
20 Telch et al., 2001). However, while there were changes in the expected direction for emotions  
21 and emotional eating, they were not significant in the main analyses. The differences in the  
22 Completer analyses (Supplementary Material A) appear to be an artefact of the pattern of  
23 attrition. These findings are in keeping with the limited level of change in mood in previous  
24 studies (Safer et al., 2010; Telch et al., 2000), indicating that while DBT for BED teaches  
25 effective emotion-regulation skills, it does not change the underlying emotional state. This  
26 finding contrasts with those from cognitive-behavioral therapy for eating disorders, which  
27 reduces both eating pathology and comorbid mood (e.g., Turner et al., 2016).

28 The present study has a number of limitations that should be addressed in future

1 research. In particular, patients were only followed up for one month after completing  
2 treatment. This is a shorter follow-up period than in previous efficacy studies (e.g., Safer et  
3 al., 2010; Telch et al., 2000, 2001), preventing conclusions being drawn about the longer-term  
4 efficacy of the intervention. At least a six-month follow-up would have been preferable.  
5 Furthermore, this was an uncontrolled study. Future work would benefit from having a control  
6 condition, and from greater detail regarding those who did not opt-in to treatment.  
7 Consideration might also be given to using emotional measures that are designed to be  
8 responsive to change in the short term during treatment, such as the PHQ-9 (Kroenke et al.,  
9 2001) and GAD-7 (Spitzer et al., 2006). However, the paper also has a number of strengths.  
10 In particular, this study was conducted in a routine community setting and had a relatively  
11 large sample size. The use of a manualised treatment by clinicians who did not develop the  
12 manual is a further strength. In addition, the participants all had a DSM-5 diagnosis of BED,  
13 in contrast with other community studies (e.g., Klein, Skinner & Hawley, 2012).

14         Given the need to explore whether therapies can be delivered more efficiently and in  
15 a less costly way (e.g., Waller et al., 2018), the duration of DBT should be considered. Such  
16 research should consider whether early change is a strong predictor of outcome, as shown in  
17 other therapies (e.g., Turner et al, 2015), as a lack of such change might indicate the need to  
18 change therapeutic approach. At 20 sessions, DBT for BED is a relatively long treatment, and  
19 given emerging evidence for DBT guided self-help (e.g., Carter et al, 2020), future research  
20 might usefully explore whether a shorter group version could be delivered without loss of  
21 clinical effectiveness. In light of COVID-19, future research might also usefully explore whether  
22 this type of intervention can be effectively delivered via telemedicine.

23         In conclusion, this study supports findings from previous efficacy research. DBT is an  
24 effective treatment for BED in routine clinical practice, with high acceptability and strong  
25 outcomes. However, it is important to note that this is a relatively long therapy, so briefer  
26 versions of DBT merit consideration. Finally, comparison with other therapy types is needed,  
27 to determine whether they have different impact on emotional and other comorbidities.

1 **Authors' declaration of interest**

2 The authors have no interests to declare.

3

4 **Funding sources**

5 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,  
6 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

7

8 **Data statement**

9 The data used are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request

10

## References

- 1
- 2 American Psychiatric Association (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental*  
3 *Disorders (5<sup>th</sup> Ed.)*, Washington DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- 4 Arnow, B., Kenardy, J. & Agras, W. (1995). The Emotional Eating Scale: The development of  
5 a measure to assess coping with negative affect by eating. *International Journal of*  
6 *Eating Disorders*, 18, 79-90. DOI: 10.1002/1098-108X(199507)18:1<79:AID-  
7 EAT2260180109>3.0.CO;2-V
- 8 Carter, J. C., Kenny, T. E., Singleton, C., Van Wijk, M., & Heath, O. (2020). Dialectical  
9 behavior therapy self-help for binge-eating disorder: A randomized controlled  
10 study. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 53, 451-460. DOI: 10.1002/eat.23208
- 11 Fairburn, C. (2008). *Cognitive behavior therapy and eating disorders*. New York, NY:  
12 Guilford.
- 13 Gormally, J., Black, S., Daston, S., & Rardin, D. (1982). The assessment of binge eating  
14 severity among obese persons. *Addictive Behaviors*, 7, 47-55. DOI: 10.1016/0306-  
15 4603(82)90024-7
- 16 Klein, A., Skinner, J., & Hawley, K. (2012). Adapted group-based dialectical behaviour  
17 therapy for binge eating in a practicing clinic: clinical outcomes and attrition. *European*  
18 *Eating Disorder Review*, 20, 148-153. DOI: 10.1002/erv.2165
- 19 Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R. L., & Williams, J. B. (2001). The PHQ-9. *Journal of General Internal*  
20 *Medicine*, 16, 606-613. doi: 10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.
- 21 Leehr, E. J., Krohmer, K., Schag, K., Dresler, T., Zipfel, S., & Giel, K. E. (2015). Emotion  
22 regulation model in binge eating disorder and obesity-a systematic  
23 review. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 49, 125-134. DOI:  
24 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.12.008
- 25 Linehan, M. (1993). *Skills training manual for treating borderline personality disorder*. New  
26 York, NY: Guilford Press.
- 27 National Health Service Health Research Authority (2011). *National Research Ethics Service*  
28 *guidance: Does my project require review by a Research Ethic Committee?*

- 1 Downloaded from: [http://www.hra.nhs.uk/documents/2013/09/does-my-project-require-](http://www.hra.nhs.uk/documents/2013/09/does-my-project-require-rec-review.pdf)  
2 [rec-review.pdf](http://www.hra.nhs.uk/documents/2013/09/does-my-project-require-rec-review.pdf)
- 3 Reas, D., Grilo, C. & Masheb, R. (2006). Reliability of the Eating Disorder Examination-  
4 Questionnaire in patients with binge eating disorder. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*,  
5 *44*, 43-51. DOI: 10.1016/j.brat.2005.01.004
- 6 Safer, D., Robinson, A. & Jo, B. (2010). Outcome from a randomised controlled trial of group  
7 therapy for binge eating disorder: comparing dialectical behavior therapy for binge  
8 eating to an active comparison group therapy. *Behavioral Therapy*, *4*, 106-120. DOI:  
9 10.1016/j.beth.2009.01.006
- 10 Safer, D., Telch, C., & Chen, E. (2009). *Dialectical behavior therapy for binge eating and*  
11 *bulimia*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- 12 Spitzer, R. L., Kroenke, K., Williams, J. B., & Löwe, B. (2006). A brief measure for  
13 assessing generalized anxiety disorder: The GAD-7. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, *166*,  
14 1092-1097. doi: 10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092
- 15 Stice, E., Agras, W., Telch, C., Halmi, K., Mitchell, J. & Wilson, T. (2001). Subtyping binge  
16 eating-disordered women along dieting and negative affect dimensions, *International*  
17 *Journal of Eating Disorders*, *30*, 11-27. DOI: 10.1002/eat.1050
- 18 Telch, C., Agras, W. & Linehan, M. (2000). Group dialectical behavior therapy for binge  
19 eating disorder: a preliminary, uncontrolled trial. *Behavior Therapy*, *31*, 569-582. DOI:  
20 10.1016/S0005-7894(00)80031-3
- 21 Telch, C., Agras, S. & Linehan, M. (2001). Dialectical behavior therapy for binge eating  
22 disorder. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, *69*, 1061-1065. DOI:  
23 10.1037/0022-006X.69.6.1061
- 24 Timmerman, G. (1999). Binge Eating Scale: Further assessment of validity and reliability.  
25 *Journal of Applied Biobehavioral Research*, *4*, 1-12. DOI: 10.1111/j.1751-  
26 9861.1999.tb00051.x
- 27 Turner, H., Bryant-Waugh, R., & Marshall, E. (2015). The impact of early symptom change

- 1 and therapeutic alliance on treatment outcome in cognitive-behavioural therapy for  
2 eating disorders. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 73, 165-169.  
3 doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2015.08.006
- 4 Turner, H., Marshall, E., Wood, F., Stopa, L., & Waller, G. (2016). CBT for eating disorders:  
5 The impact of early changes in eating pathology on later changes in personality  
6 pathology, anxiety and depression. *Behaviour research and therapy*, 77, 1-6.  
7 doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2015.11.011
- 8 Waller, G., Corstorphine, E., Cordery, H., Hinrichsen, H., Lawson, R., Mountford, V., &  
9 Russell, K. (2007). *Cognitive-behavioral therapy for the eating disorders: A*  
10 *comprehensive treatment guide*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- 11 Waller, G., Tatham, M., Turner, H., Mountford, V. A., Bennets, A., Bramwell, K., Dodd, J., &  
12 Ingram, L. (2018). A 10-session cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT-T) for eating  
13 disorders: Outcomes from a case series of nonunderweight adult patients, *International*  
14 *Journal of Eating Disorders*, 51, 262-269. doi: 10.1002/eat.22837
- 15 Wilfley, D., Friedman, M., Douchis, J., Stein, R., Welch, R. & Ball, S. (2000). Comorbid  
16 psychopathology in binge eating disorder: relation to eating disorder severity at baseline  
17 and following treatment, *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 68, 641-649.  
18 DOI:/10.1037/0022-006X.68.4.641
- 19 Wilfley D., Welch R., Stein R., Spurrell E., Cohen L., Saelens B., et al. (2002). A randomized  
20 comparison of group cognitive-behavioral therapy and group interpersonal  
21 psychotherapy for the treatment of overweight individuals with binge eating  
22 disorder. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 59, 713–721. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.59.8.713
- 23 Wilfley, D., Wilson, G. & Agras, W. (2003). The clinical significance of binge eating disorder.  
24 *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 34, S96-S106. DOI: 10.1002/eat.10209
- 25 Wilson, G., Grilo, C. & Vitousek, K. (2007) Psychological treatment of eating disorders.  
26 *American Psychologist*, 62, 199-216. doi.org/10.1037/0003-066x.62.3.199
- 27 Zigmond, A. & Snaith, R. (1983). The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. *Acta*  
28 *Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 67, 361-370. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716.

**Table 1** – Levels of eating pathology (EDE-Q scores), binge eating (BES scores), emotional eating (EES scores) and mood (HADS scores) among patients who started Dialectical Behavior Therapy for binge-eating disorder (Intention to treat;  $N = 56$ )

	Measurement Point						Paired t-tests					
	Start		End		Follow-Up		Start-End			End-follow up		
	<i>M</i>	<i>(SE)</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>(SE)</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>(SE)</i>	<i>T</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>d</i>
<u>Eating Disorders Examination – Questionnaire</u>												
Restraint	1.88	(0.23)	0.83	(0.30)	0.93	(0.32)	3.04	.004	0.643	0.24	NS	-
Eating Concerns	4.29	(0.22)	1.20	(0.20)	1.73	(0.25)	11.5	.001	1.490	1.99	NS	-
Shape Concerns	4.90	(0.11)	2.78	(0.25)	2.79	(0.27)	8.97	.001	1.231	0.04	NS	-
Weight Concerns	4.66	(0.14)	2.52	(0.25)	2.63	(0.24)	8.58	.001	1.175	0.44	NS	-
Global	3.93	(0.14)	1.85	(0.18)	2.03	(0.17)	11.1	.001	1.476	1.06	NS	-
Objective binges per 28 days	18.6	(1.72)	1.34	(5.94)	6.29	(34.3)	2.81	.009	0.379	0.16	NS	-
<u>Binge Eating Scale</u>												
Total	34.4	(0.85)	11.7	(6.48)	13.5	(5.70)	2.91	.009	0.465	0.11	NS	-
<u>Emotional Eating Scale</u>												
Anger	2.93	(0.10)	1.47	(1.13)	1.25	(1.60)	1.29	NS	-	0.11	NS	-
Anxiety	2.54	(0.12)	1.22	(0.92)	0.93	(1.27)	1.43	NS	-	0.18	NS	-
Depression	3.30	(0.09)	2.04	(2.12)	1.95	(1.92)	0.60	NS	-	0.04	NS	-
<u>Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale</u>												
Anxiety	12.5	(0.55)	8.69	(4.88)	10.8	(8.37)	0.79	NS	-	0.33	NS	-
Depression	10.0	(0.49)	6.08	(4.11)	5.48	(5.03)	0.96	NS	-	0.16	NS	-

1

2

**Supplementary Material A** – Levels of eating pathology (EDE-Q scores), binge eating (BES scores), emotional eating (EES scores) and mood (HADS scores) among patients who completed DBT for binge-eating disorder ( $N = 43-45$ )

	Measurement Point						ANOVA			
	Start		End		Follow-Up		<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>Partial eta</i> <sup>2</sup>	<i>LSD tests (P &lt; .05)</i>
	<i>M</i>	<i>(SD)</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>(SD)</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>(SD)</i>				
<u>Eating Disorders Examination - Questionnaire</u>										
Restraint	1.80	(1.72)	0.81	(1.03)	0.92	(1.13)	8.74	.001	.289	S > E = FU
Eating Concerns	4.20	(1.32)	1.15	(1.15)	1.61	(1.40)	85.0	.001	.802	S > FU > E
Shape Concerns	4.91	(0.82)	2.80	(1.66)	2.89	(1.67)	50.2	.001	.700	S > E = FU
Weight Concerns	4.71	(1.04)	2.62	(1.50)	2.59	(1.57)	55.3	.001	.720	S > E = FU
Global	3.87	(0.93)	1.82	(1.10)	2.00	(1.21)	71.9	.001	.774	S > E = FU
Objective binges per 28 days	19.7	(11.6)	1.76	(3.50)	3.71	(7.20)	53.5	.001	.728	S > FU > E
<u>Binge Eating Scale</u>										
Total	34.7	(6.04)	13.7	(9.04)	15.2	(11.3)	90.6	.001	.846	S > E = FU
<u>Emotional Eating Scale</u>										
Anger	2.95	(0.69)	1.29	(0.91)	1.42	(1.04)	55.4	.001	.730	S > E = FU
Anxiety	2.49	(0.79)	1.15	(0.86)	1.17	(0.89)	54.5	.001	.727	S > E = FU
Depression	3.31	(0.65)	1.84	(1.27)	1.93	(1.15)	40.8	.001	.655	S > E = FU
<u>Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale</u>										
Anxiety	12.3	(4.08)	7.84	(4.02)	8.04	(5.03)	29.3	.001	.577	S > E = FU
Depression	9.58	(3.50)	4.44	(3.67)	4.53	(4.04)	40.3	.001	.652	S > E = FU