

This is a repository copy of Insights into the interaction of iodide and iodine with Cu(II)loaded bispicolylamine chelating resin and applications for nuclear waste treatment.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/164462/

Version: Supplemental Material

# Article:

Robshaw, T.J. orcid.org/0000-0002-9816-8236, Griffiths, S.M., Canner, A. et al. (5 more authors) (2020) Insights into the interaction of iodide and iodine with Cu(II)-loaded bispicolylamine chelating resin and applications for nuclear waste treatment. Chemical Engineering Journal, 390. 124647. ISSN 1385-8947

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.124647

#### Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

# Insights into the interaction of iodide and iodine with Cu(II)-loaded bispicolylamine chelating resins and applications for nuclear waste treatment

Thomas J. Robshaw, Sion M. Griffiths, Adam Canner, James P. Bezzina, Archibald G.L. Waller, Deborah B. Hammond, Sandra van Meurs and Mark D. Ogden

# **Supporting Information**

**Table S1.** Physical parameters for DOWEX<sup>™</sup> M4195 resin, according to manufacturer specification [1].

Parameter	Value or range
Matrix	Styrene / divinylbenzene
Functionality	Bispicolylamine
Particle size (mm)	0.297 – 0.841
Bulk density (kg m <sup>3</sup> )	673
Moisture content (%)	40 - 60
Cu loading (g L <sup>-1</sup> at pH 2, 6 g.L <sup>-1</sup> feed)	35 - 42

# Isotherm models used to fit static equilibrium data

# The Langmuir model

The Langmuir isotherm model, (Equation S2) assumes monolayer adsorption over a finite number of degenerate binding sites, with no interaction between adsorbed species.

$$q_e = \frac{q_{\max}K_L C_e}{1 + K_L C_e}$$
(Eq. S1)

In the Langmuir equation,  $q_{max}$  (mg.g<sup>-1</sup>) is the theoretical maximal uptake capacity of the adsorbent. K<sub>L</sub> is a Langmuir Isotherm constant related to the favorability of adsorption. C<sub>e</sub> (mg L<sup>-1</sup>) is the fluoride concentration in solution at equilibria.

# The Freundlich model

The Freundlich isotherm was originally purely an empirical model. However, it is now commonly quoted as being able to describe multilayer adsorption systems where adsorption sites are heterogeneous [2],

$$q_e = K_F C_e^{\frac{1}{n}}$$
(Eq. S2)

where  $K_F$  and n are Freundlich isotherm constants.  $K_F$  is a measure of adsorption capacity and n is a factor of heterogeneity.

# The Temkin model

The Temkin isotherm (Equation S4) has been applied to systems where the heat of adsorption decreases linearly as exchange sites are occupied, as a result of interactions between the sorbate species in solution and on the adsorbent surface [3],

$$q_e = \frac{RT}{b_{\rm T}} \ln \left( A_{\rm T} C_{\rm e} \right) \tag{Eq. S3}$$

where R is the ideal gas constant (8.314 J.K<sup>-1</sup>.mol<sup>-1</sup>), T is temperature (K),  $b_T$  is the molar enthalpy of adsorption (kJ.mol<sup>-1</sup>) and  $A_T$  is a Temkin isotherm constant (L.g<sup>-1</sup>). The term  $\frac{RT}{b_T}$  is often represented by a single constant B, related to the heat of adsorption.

#### The Dubinin-Radushkevich (D-R) model

The D-R isotherm (Equation S5) assumes the adsorption follows a Gaussian distribution of binding energies is used to determine whether chemisorption, ion-exchange or physisorption dominates the system [4],

$$q_e = q_{\max} e^{-B_{\rm D} \left[ RT ln(1 + \frac{1}{C_{\rm e}}) \right]^2}$$
 (Eq. S4)

where  $B_D$  is a D-R isotherm constant (mol<sup>2</sup> J<sup>2</sup>). The mean free energy of sorption  $E_D$  (J mol<sup>-1</sup>) can thus be obtained via Equation S6.

$$E_D = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2B_D}} \tag{Eq. S5}$$

#### Note on the use of the Temkin and D-R isotherms in the determination of total iodine uptake

The average molar concentration of all iodine species present in the mixed iodine/iodide solutions were calculated as follows: For pre-contact solutions, the concentration of iodide only was determined via ISE as previously described. The measured concentrations were compared to that of solutions of pure Nal, which were made up using the same glassware and equivalent masses of Nal salt (accurate to 0.1 mg). The difference in measured [l<sup>-</sup>] between the two sets of solutions was assumed to be due to conversion of a fraction of the original iodide to triiodide, according to the equilibrium  $I_2 + I = I_3$ . Subsequent measurement of the solutions by ICP-MS allowed for quantification of total iodine species and from this, the apparent [I<sub>2</sub>] was calculated. This therefore allowed for a calculation of the molar fractions of iodide, iodine and triiodide in each concentration interval to be carried out. Finally, an average molar mass for all iodine species present in each concentration interval was calculated.

It must be noted that there are two limitations to this technique. The first is that the same determination could not be made for the post-contact solutions and therefore an assumption had to be made that the molar ratios of each species in pre- and post-contact solutions were unity. This may not have been the case. The second is that the technique assumes that iodide, iodine and triiodide were the only species present in significant concentrations and does not take into account the potential formation of polyiodides.

This uncertainty is not significant for Langmuir and Freundlich model-fitting, which does not require the application of molar concentrations. However, results for Temkin and D-R isotherm fitting were accordingly regarded with caution.

# Comparison of uptake performance with the literature

**Table S2.** Comparison of M4195-Cu static iodide uptake performance with other notable ionexchange resins and metal-loaded adsorbents.

Adsorbent	Equilibrium uptake capacity (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	Concentration or concentration range (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Reference
Duolite A-368 (commercial resin, weak base 3° amine)	60.3	508	[5]
Duolite ARA-9363 (commercial resin, strong base 4° ammonium)	66.6	508	[5]
Ag-doped Cu <sub>2</sub> O nanoparticles	25.4	2.54-25.4	[6]
Micro/nanostructured Bi <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2.33</sub>	285	0.5-700	[7]
CuO/Cu-modified activated carbon	41.2	1-40	[8]
Cu/azurite composite	136	12,700	[9]
Ca alginate/AgCl composite	152	1270	[10]
Lewatit A365 (commercial resin, polyamine)	589 ± 15	1,000-10,000	[11]
Purolite MTS9850 (commercial resin, polyamine)	761 ± 14	1,000-10,000	[11]
M4195-Cu	305 ± 14	50-2,000	This study

In all cases, the highest uptake recorded, under optimal conditions, is given.

#### XRD spectra of spent M4195-Cu samples after iodide uptake at different pHs



Crystalline species are identified as follows:  $\blacklozenge$  = CuI,  $\Box$  = CuO, O = Cu<sub>2</sub>O.

Figure S1. XRD spectra of M4195-Cu after equilibration with Nal solutions at pH 11 (pink line) and pH 12 (black line). Spectra of samples at all other pH values are not shown, but were also completely amorphous.

#### Investigations into competition effects of nitrate and molybdate

#### Data treatment

Competition experiments were carried out as described in the main research article. Sample solutions before and after contact with M4195-Cu, were analysed by ion chromatography (see main article experimental) and the uptake of each anion by the resin was determined by mass balancing. The distribution coefficient ( $K_d$ ) for each anion was calculated as follows:

$$K_{\rm d} = \overline{[X^-]} / [X^-]$$
 (Eq. S6)

where  $[X^-]$  is the concentration of anion immobilized by the polymer (mg g<sup>-1</sup>) and  $[X^-]$  is the concentration remaining in solution at equilibrium (mg mL<sup>-1</sup>). The separation factor (S.F.) is then calculated from Equation S16:

$$S.F._{(X/Y)} = \frac{K_{d(X)}}{K_{d(Y)}}$$
 (Eq. S7)

Where X is the ion of interest and Y is a competing ion.

#### Results

**Table S3.** Effect of differing cocontaminant molar ratios on uptake of iodide, nitrate and molybdate by M4195-Cu and associated distribution coefficients. Solution volume = 50.0 mL. Sorbent mass = 100 mg. Contact time = 24 hr. T = 20°C. Errors represent 95% confidence intervals from duplicate analyses.

Molar ratios (l⁻ :NO₃⁻:MoO₄²-)	l⁻ uptake (mg g⁻¹)	ŀ K <sub>d</sub>	NO₃ <sup>-</sup> uptake (mg g⁻¹)	NO <sub>3</sub> - K <sub>d</sub>	MoO₄²- uptake (mg g⁻¹)	MoO <sub>4</sub> ²- K <sub>d</sub>
1:0:0	$\textbf{27.5} \pm \textbf{2.1}$	$1.49 \pm 0.20 \text{ x } 10^5$	-	-	-	-
1:1:1	$\textbf{26.8} \pm \textbf{3.3}$	$1.48 \pm 0.20 \text{ x } 10^5$	$14.1\pm3.8$	$8.41 \pm 1.2 \text{ x } 10^4$	$41.5 \pm 1.8$	$2.15 \pm 0.30 \ x \ 10^{5}$
1:2:2	$24.6 \pm 6.0$	$1.45 \pm 0.20 \text{ x } 10^5$	$21.6\pm\ 6.6$	$6.81 \pm 0.89 \text{ x } 10^3$	$\textbf{62.0} \pm \textbf{3.5}$	$3.24 \pm 0.45 \ x \ 10^{5}$
1:3:3	$\textbf{22.9} \pm \textbf{3.4}$	$2.68 \pm 2.0 \text{ x } 10^3$	$21.0 \pm 1.0$	$3.82 \pm 0.21 \text{ x } 10^2$	$91.5 \pm 8.6$	$6.10 \pm 5.2 \text{ x } 10^3$
1:4:4	$17.7\pm2.0$	$2.83 \pm 1.5 \ x \ 10^3$	$19.8\pm3.8$	$3.41 \pm 1.3 \text{ x } 10^2$	$115\pm4.4$	$20.2 \pm 2.5 \ x \ 10^3$
1:5:5	$15.3\pm2.8$	$1.17 \pm 0.80 \text{ x } 10^3$	$21.0 \pm 1.5$	$2.44 \pm 0.14 \text{ x } 10^2$	$123\pm20$	$1.82 \pm 1.8 \text{ x } 10^3$
1:6:6	$18.6 \pm 1.8$	$1.24 \pm 0.07 \text{ x } 10^3$	$16.5\pm0.6$	$1.32 \pm 0.03 \text{ x } 10^2$	$160\pm5$	$2.79 \pm 1.3 \text{ x } 10^3$
1:7:7	$15.6 \pm 1.7$	$0.841 \pm 0.24 \text{ x } 10^3$	$\textbf{22.3} \pm \textbf{4.8}$	$1.70 \pm 0.45 \text{ x } 10^2$	$130\pm19$	$0.646 \pm 0.10 \text{ x } 10^3$
1:8:8	$18.5\pm1.9$	$1.27 \pm 0.18 \text{ x } 10^3$	$15.8\pm5.4$	$0.90 \pm 0.34 \text{ x } 10^2$	$162\pm36$	$1.11 \pm 1.0 \text{ x } 10^3$
1:9:9	$15.6\pm3.5$	$0.782 \pm 0.36 \text{ x } 10^3$	$25.0\pm5.5$	$1.34 \pm 0.35 \text{ x } 10^2$	$185\pm44$	$0.802 \pm 0.458 \ x \ 10^3$
1:10:10	$15.1\pm0.3$	$0.695 \pm 0.27 \; x \; 10^3$	$\textbf{28.3} \pm \textbf{9.4}$	$1.28 \pm 0.62 \ x \ 10^2$	$186\pm44$	$0.604 \pm 0.346 \ x \ 10^3$

 Table S4. Determined separation factors (S.F.s) for iodide verses the two cocontaminants. All experimental conditions as per Table S3.

Molar ratios (l⁻:NO₃⁻ :MoO₄²⁻)	S.F. (I <sup>.</sup> /NO₃ <sup>-</sup> )	S.F. (ŀ/MoO₄²-)
1:0:0	-	-
1:1:1	$\textbf{4.88} \pm \textbf{2.22}$	$1.04 \pm 0.25$
1:2:2	$13.5\pm6.0$	$\textbf{0.642} \pm \textbf{0.14}$
1:3:3	$\textbf{6.87} \pm \textbf{2.52}$	$0.460 \pm 0.025$
1:4:4	$\textbf{8.05} \pm \textbf{0.66}$	$\textbf{0.449} \pm \textbf{0.24}$
1:5:5	$\textbf{4.90} \pm \textbf{1.78}$	$\textbf{0.816} \pm \textbf{0.18}$
1:6:6	$\textbf{9.44} \pm \textbf{0.13}$	$\textbf{0.494} \pm \textbf{0.10}$
1:7:7	5.31 ± 1.42	$1.35 \pm 0.29$
1:8:8	$\textbf{15.6} \pm \textbf{4.0}$	$2.07\pm1.02$
1:9:9	$\textbf{6.39} \pm \textbf{2.16}$	$1.01 \pm 0.07$
1:10:10	$\textbf{6.72} \pm \textbf{2.69}$	$1.22\pm0.12$

#### Dynamic breakthrough models

The Modified Dose-Response model

$$\frac{c}{c_{i}} = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{V_{eff}}{b}\right)^{a}}$$

$$q_{0} = \frac{bC_{i}}{m}$$
(Eq. S9)

The Dose-Response model was first used to describe biosorption of heavy metals [12], but has been proven to be useful in modelling the uptake of a range of contaminants via ion-exchange adsorbents [13, 14]. In these equations  $V_{eff}$  is the volume of solution eluted from the column (mL), a and b are constants of the Dose-Response model,  $q_0$  is the theoretical

maximum uptake capacity of the resin in a dynamic environment (mg.g<sup>-1</sup>) and m is the dry mass of resin (g).

The Adams-Bohart (AB) model

$$\frac{c}{c_{i}} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\left(\frac{k_{AB}}{Q}\right)(q_{0}m - c_{i}v_{eff})}}$$
(Eq. S10)

This model assumes an irreversible adsorption isotherm and does not consider intra-particle diffusion processes. It should be noted that the AB model, given in this simplified form, is often incorrectly cited as the Thomas model and data which fits the equation is assumed to represent a system controlled by  $2^{nd}$ -order kinetics, with the rate-limiting step being interfacial mass-transfer. Chu showed that the actual Thomas model is more complicated and assumes a Langmuir adsorption isotherm. However, when adsorption is particularly favourable, the Thomas model simplifies to the AB model and these assumptions may be valid [15]. In Eq. S10, C = concentration of the species of interest in the effluent at a given time (mg.L<sup>-1</sup>), C<sub>i</sub> = concentration in the inlet to the column (mg.L<sup>-1</sup>), k<sub>AB</sub> = Adams-Bohart rate constant (mL.min<sup>-1</sup>.mg<sup>-1</sup>), Q = flow rate (mL.min<sup>-1</sup>), q<sub>0</sub> = theoretical maximum capacity of the resin on a dry mass basis (mg.g<sup>-1</sup>) m = dry mass of resin in column (g) and V<sub>eff</sub> = volume of effluent (mL).



**Figure S2.** Breakthrough curve for Purolite MTS9850 with iodide, 10 meq nitrate and 10 meq molybdate solution, fitted to the Dose-Response (pink line) and Adams-Bohart (blue line) dynamic breakthrough models. Resin BV = 5.50 mL. Inlet [I<sup>-</sup>] = 2.00 g.L<sup>-1</sup>. Flow rate = 1 BV.hr<sup>-1</sup>. T = 20°C. Error bars represent the approximate 95 % confidence intervals, derived from 3 x electrode measurements.



**Figure S3.** Raw data for M4195-Cu column loading and breakthrough experiment with iodide only solution. Resin BV = 5.50 mL. Inlet  $[I-] = 2.00 \text{ g.L}^{-1}$ . Flow rate = 1 BV.hr<sup>-1</sup>. T = 20°C. Error bars represent the approximate 95 % confidence intervals, derived from 3 x electrode measurements.



**Figure S4.** Raw data for M4195-Cu column loading and breakthrough experiment with iodide, 10 meq nitrate and 10 meq molybdate solution. Resin BV = 5.50 mL. Inlet [I-] = 2.00 g.L<sup>-1</sup>. Flow rate = 1 BV.hr<sup>-1</sup>. T = 20°C. Error bars represent the approximate 95 % confidence intervals, derived from 3 x electrode measurements.

# **FTIR characterisation**

ATR FTIR spectra for samples of resin at different processing stages are shown in Fig. S5-6. Samples were prepared for analysis as described in the main article. The tentative spectral



peak assignments are displayed in Tables S5-6. Assignments were based on the studies of Green *et al.* [16], Refat *et al.* [17] and Wang *et al.* [18]

Figure S5. FTIR spectrum of M4195 resin, as-delivered by the manufacturer (purple line) and M4195-Cu (pink line)

Peak	Assignment
3386	N-H st.
3055 and 3021	Aromatic C-H st.
2911 and 2841	Aliphatic C-H st.
1685 (shoulder)	N-H bend
1627	Pyridyl C=N st.
1590	Pyridyl C=C st.
1571	Phenyl C=C st.
1511	Phenyl C=C st.
1471	Pyridyl C=C st.
1436	sp <sup>3</sup> C-H bend (-CH <sub>2</sub> -)
1370	Sulfate S=O st.
1298	Aliphatic C-N st.
1094	Pyridyl ring breathing, in-plane
1047	Pyridyl ring breathing, in-plane
996	Phenyl ring breathing, in-plane Pyridyl C-H sp <sup>2</sup> hend (mono substituted), out-of-
767	plane Phenyl C-H sp <sup>2</sup> bend (mono substituted), out-of-
702	plane
584	Ring deformation

Table S5. Assignment of FTIR spectral peaks for M4195 resin.



**Figure S6.** FTIR spectrum of M4195-Cu resin (blue line). M4195-Cu after equilibrium contact with 1.00 g L<sup>-1</sup> I<sup>-</sup> (purple line and M4195-Cu after equilibrium contact with 1.00 g.L<sup>-1</sup> I<sup>-</sup> and 6 molar equivalents organic I<sub>2</sub>. N-H bend region highlighted.

Assignment
N-H st. and O-H st.
Aromatic C-H st.
Aliphatic C-H st.
Unknown
N-H bend (intensity reduced upon iodine contact)
Pyridyl C=N st.
Phenyl C=C st.
Pyridyl C=C st.
sp <sup>3</sup> C-H bend (-CH <sub>2</sub> -)
Aliphatic C-N st.
Aliphatic C-N st.
Pyridyl ring breathing, in-plane
Pyridyl ring breathing, in-plane
Phenyl ring breathing in-plane
Phenyl group sp <sup>2</sup> bend (paradisubstituted), out-of-plane
Phenyl group sp <sup>2</sup> bend (paradisubstituted), out-of-plane
Pyridyl C-H sp <sup>2</sup> bend (mono substituted), out-of-plane
Phenyl C-H sp <sup>2</sup> bend (mono substituted), out-of-plane
Ring deformation
Ring deformation

 Table S6. Assignment of FTIR spectral peaks for M4195-Cu resin samples from Fig. S6.

 .

Additional FTIR spectra were produced, using the KBr disk method, for better visualisation of the C-H st. region, which show the decrease in relative intensity of the C-H st. peaks for M4195-Cu samples treated with iodide and iodine. It is seen in the figure below that for the pre-contact sample, the C-H st. is close to the same intensity as the aromatic C=C st., whereas for the post-contact sample, it is relatively weaker.



**Figure S7.** KBr FTIR spectrum of M4195-Cu (yellow line) and M4195-Cu after equilibrium contact with 1.00 g.L<sup>-1</sup> l- and 6 molar equivalents organic l<sub>2</sub> (green line).

# Determination of deprotonation via iodide- and iodine-loading

A number of batch equilibrium experiments were conducted, as described in the main research article, with both iodide and mixed iodide/iodine systems. In all cases, the pH of the contact solution was measured before and after equilibration.



**Figure S8.** Release of protons into solution from contact of 1 g.L<sup>-1</sup> iodide ( $\blacklozenge$ ) and 1 g L<sup>-1</sup> iodide with 6 molar equivalents iodine ( $\Box$ ) with M4195-Cu. Error values (95% confidence intervals) derived from 3 x electrode measurements, but are too small to be graphically represented. Solution volume = 50.0 mL. Sorbent mass = 100 mg. Contact time = 24 hr. T = 20°C.

#### **RAMAN** characterisation



**Figure S9.** RAMAN spectra of M4195-Cu after equilibrium contact with 1.00 g.L<sup>-1</sup> l<sup>-</sup> (left) and M4195-Cu after equilibrium contact with 1.00 g.L<sup>-1</sup> l<sup>-</sup> and 6 molar equivalents organic l<sub>2</sub> (right).



**Figure S10.** RAMAN spectra of MTS9850 after equilibrium contact with 1.00 g.L<sup>-1</sup> l<sup>-</sup> (left) and MTS9850 after equilibrium contact with 1.00 g.L<sup>-1</sup> l<sup>-</sup> and 6 molar equivalents organic  $I_2$  (right).

#### Solid state NMR characterization

#### Experimental

Solid-State NMR samples were packed into 4 mm zirconia rotors and transferred to a Bruker Avance III HD spectrometer. 1D <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C and <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N cross-polarisation magic angle spinning (CP/MAS) NMR experiments were measured at 500 (<sup>1</sup>H), 126 (<sup>13</sup>C) and 50.8 (<sup>15</sup>N) MHz at the MAS rate of 10.0 kHz. The <sup>1</sup>H  $\pi/2$  pulse was 3.4 µs, and two-pulse phase modulation (TPPM) decoupling was used during the acquisition. The Hartmann-Hahn condition was set using hexamethylbenzene. The spectra were measured using a contact time of 2.0 ms. The relaxation delay D<sub>1</sub> for each sample was individually determined from the proton T<sub>1</sub> measurement (D<sub>1</sub> = 5 x T<sub>1</sub>). Samples were collected until sufficient signal to noise was



observed, typically greater than 256 scans. The values of the chemical shifts are referred to that of TMS.

**Figure S11.** <sup>13</sup>C solid state NMR spectrum of M4195 (pink line), M4195 after equilibrium contact with 1.00 g.L<sup>-1</sup> l<sup>-</sup> (yellow line) and M4195 after equilibrium contact with 1.00 g.L<sup>-1</sup> l<sup>-</sup> and 6 molar equivalents organic l<sub>2</sub> (blue line).  $\star$ = spinning side bands.



**Figure S12.** <sup>13</sup>C solid state NMR spectrum of M4195-Cu (red line), M4195-Cu after equilibrium contact with 1.00 g.L<sup>-1</sup> l<sup>-</sup> (green line) and M4195-Cu after equilibrium contact with 1.00 g.L<sup>-1</sup> l<sup>-</sup> and 6 molar equivalents organic l<sub>2</sub> (purple line).



Figure S13. <sup>15</sup>N solid state NMR spectrum of M4195 (pink line) and M4195 after equilibrium contact with 1.00 g.L<sup>-1</sup> l<sup>-</sup> and 6 molar equivalents organic l<sub>2</sub> (blue line).

# **XPS** characterization

# Experimental

Samples were all prepared by pushing the submitted powders into indium foil.

The analyses were carried out using a Kratos Supra instrument with a monochromated aluminium source and two analysis points per sample. The analysis area was 700  $\mu$ m by 300  $\mu$ m. Charge neutralisation was used throughout. The survey scans were collected between 1200-0 eV, at 1 eV energy resolution, and two 300 second sweeps. High resolution O 1s, C 1s, N 1s, S 2p and, where appropriate, Cu 2p, I 3d, Cl 2p, Mo 3d and Cu Auger scans were collected over an appropriate energy range at 0.1 eV energy resolution.

The data collected was calibrated in intensity using a transmission function characteristic of the instrument (determined using software from NPL) to make the values instrument-independent. The data was then quantified using theoretical Schofield relative sensitivity factors. All data was calibrated relative to a C 1s position of 285.0 eV for C-C/C-H type carbon environments.

Post-contact samples were produced by contacting 100 mg of M4195-Cu with 50 mL contact solution. For all iodide-treated samples, initial [I<sup>-</sup>] was 1.0 g.L<sup>-1</sup>. For I<sup>-</sup>/I<sub>2</sub>- treated samples, 6 molar equivalents organic I<sub>2</sub> was used. For cocontaminant-treated samples, 10 molar equivalents NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 10 molar equivalents MoO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> were used.

Sample	Cu	I	ο	In	Ν	С	Мо	CI	S	Si
M4195 a	<0.1	0.1	6.6	<0.1	8.4	83.4	<0.1	<0.1	1.4	0.2
M4195 b	<0.1	0.1	7.1	0.6	8.2	82.5	<0.1	<0.1	1.3	0.2
M4195-Cu a	1.9	0.4	7.6	3.6	6.8	75.8	<0.1	3.8	0.2	0.1
M4195-Cu b	2.1	0.4	3.0	<0.1	8.8	81.2	<0.1	4.2	0.2	<0.1
M4195-Cu + I <sup>.</sup> a	3.8	4.8	3.0	<0.1	8.6	79.6	<0.1	0.3	<0.1	<0.1
M4195-Cu + I <sup>-</sup> b	3.8	4.9	2.4	<0.1	8.5	80.1	<0.1	0.4	<0.1	<0.1
M4195-Cu + I <sup>-</sup> + I <sub>2</sub> a	4.2	5.4	4.0	1.4	8.0	76.3	<0.1	0.7	<0.1	<0.1
M4195-Cu + l <sup>-</sup> + l <sub>2</sub> b	4.5	5.9	2.2	0.0	8.6	78.2	<0.1	0.6	0.1	<0.1
M4195-Cu + l <sup>-</sup> + l <sub>2</sub> c	4.2	5.3	4.2	1.8	7.8	76.0	<0.1	0.7	<0.1	<0.1
M4195-Cu + I <sup>-</sup> + NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> + MoO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> a	3.0	2.4	6.4	<0.1	11.6	74.4	1.4	0.2	<0.1	0.6
M4195-Cu + I <sup>-</sup> + NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> + MoO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> b	3.3	2.6	9.0	1.1	13.6	67.9	1.5	0.3	<0.1	0.6
M4195-Cu + l⁻ + NO₃⁻ + MoO₄²- c	2.8	2.1	7.2	0.1	11.4	74.7	1.4	<0.1	<0.1	0.3

**Table S7.** Surface composition (atomic %) of M4195 samples at various processing stages,<br/>determined by quantifying XPS surface scans.

Notes: Samples where substantial In/Si contamination was observed were run in triplicate. The N % in the cocontaminant-treated samples includes a contribution from suspected Mo 2p satellites.

**Table S8.** Results of curve-fitting of high-resolution N 1s scans. B.E. = binding energy. Suspected Mo

 2p satellites not presented.

	Environment							
	Quarternary							
	Pyridyl		ammoni	um	Nitrate			
	B.E.	%At	B.E.	%At	B.E.	%At		
Sample	(eV)	Conc	(eV)	Conc	(eV)	Conc		
M4195 a	398.4	82.4	400.7	17.6				
M4195 b	398.5	79.9	400.8	20.2				
M4195-Cu a	399.1	93.5	401.4	6.5				
M4195-Cu b	399.1	93.6	401.6	6.4				
M4195-Cu c	399.0	91.5	401.5	8.5				
M4195-Cu + I <sup>.</sup> a	399.1	94.9	401.3	5.1				
M4195-Cu + I <sup>-</sup> b	399.1	94.7	401.4	5.3				
M4195-Cu + I <sup>-</sup> +								
l <sub>2</sub> a	399.3	93.0	401.5	7.0				
M4195-Cu + F +	300 3	92 5	401 5	76				
M4195-Cu + I <sup>-</sup> +	000.0	02.0	401.0	7.0				
l <sub>2</sub> C	399.3	90.1	401.5	9.9				
M4195-Cu + I <sup>.</sup> +								
NO <sub>3</sub> - + MoO <sub>4</sub> 2- a	399.2	85.9	401.4	6.9	405.8	7.1		
M4195-Cu + I <sup>-</sup> +								
$NO_{3}^{-} + MOO_{4}^{2-} b$	399.2	85.3	401.1	8.5	405.7	6.2		
$1014190-00 + 1^{\circ} + 1000 + 1000$	200.2	07.0	101 1	6.0	405.7	66		
$1003^{-} + 10004^{2-} C$	399.2	01.3	401.4	0.2	405.7	0.0		

Sample				I	Environm	ent			
	Cu(I)L <sub>2</sub>		Cu(II)L <sub>2</sub>	2	Cu(l)L		Cu(II)L		Satellite
	B.E.	%At	B.E.	%At	B.E.	%At	B.E.	%At	%At
	(eV)	Conc	(eV)	Conc	(eV)	Conc	(eV)	Conc	Conc
M4195-Cu a			931.7	13.1			933.7	52.5	34.4
M4195-Cu b			931.8	15.7			933.8	47.9	36.5
M4195-Cu + I <sup>.</sup> a	928.7	13.6			931.1	35.7	933.7	30.9	19.8
M4195-Cu + I <sup>.</sup> b	928.7	13.4			931.2	37.0	933.7	30.0	19.6
M4195-Cu + I <sup>_</sup> + I <sub>2</sub> a	929.1	14.3			931.1	25.2	933.8	36.2	24.3
M4195-Cu + l <sup>-</sup> + l <sub>2</sub> b	928.9	13.3			931.1	27.2	933.7	35.3	24.3
M4195-Cu + I <sup>-</sup> + NO <sub>3</sub> - +	928.8	6.9			931.2	31.8	933.8	33.3	28.0
MoO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> a									
M4195-Cu + I <sup>-</sup> + NO <sub>3</sub> - +	928.7	7.8			931.2	34.9	933.7	33.7	23.7
MoO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> b									

**Table S9.** Results of curve-fitting of high-resolution Cu 2p scans. L = bispicolylamine ligand.

**Table S10.** Results of curve-fitting of high-resolution  $I 3d^{5/2}$  scans. L = bispicolylamine ligand.

Sample	Environment							
	lodide		Triiodide (te	ntative)				
	B.E. (eV)	%At Conc	B.E. (eV)	%At Conc				
M4195-Cu + I <sup>.</sup> a	617.9	94.4	619.7	5.6				
M4195-Cu + I <sup>-</sup> b	618.0	94.6	619.7	5.4				
M4195-Cu + I <sup>-</sup> + I <sub>2</sub> a	618.1	88.9	619.6	11.1				
M4195-Cu + l <sup>-</sup> + l <sub>2</sub> b	618.2	90.4	619.7	9.6				
M4195-Cu + l <sup>-</sup> + l <sub>2</sub> c	618.1	87.7	619.6	12.3				
M4195-Cu + I <sup>-</sup> + NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> + MoO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> a	618.0	93.2	619.5	6.8				
M4195-Cu + l <sup>-</sup> + NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> + MoO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> b	618.1	90.0	619.7	10.0				
M4195-Cu + I <sup>-</sup> + NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> + MoO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> c	618.1	96.1	620.1	3.9				

# UV-vis spectra of desorbed iodine species

# Experimental

5.0 mg of resin, at various process stages, was contacted with 5.0 mL methanol. These were sealed in a glass scintillation vial and agitated, via orbital shaker for 8 hr. The resulting solution was diluted to appropriate concentration, if necessary, and analysed as described in the main research article. For reference, the spectra of pure NaI and I<sub>2</sub> were also collected. We also contacted a further NaI sample with a 20  $\mu$ L spike of concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub>, to form the triiodide in solution for analysis.





**Figure S14.** UV-vis spectra of attempted back extraction of iodine species into methanol from spent resin samples. M4195-Cu + iodide was diluted by a factor of 2. M4195-Cu + iodide + iodine was diluted by a factor of 100. All other samples undiluted. In the original Nal contact solution, initial [I-] was 1.00 g L<sup>-1</sup> and in the original Nal/I<sub>2</sub> contact solution, initial [I-] was 1.00 g L<sup>-1</sup> with 6 molar equivalents I<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S15. UV-vis spectra of iodine species in solution, made by dissolution of analytical reagents, for comparison to S14.

# XRD spectra of combusted and partially-combusted resin samples

# Experimental

Samples of resins were contacted with various iodine-containing solutions and equilibrated, using the same batch conditions as described in the main research article. In all contact solutions, initial [I<sup>-</sup>] was 1.00 g.L<sup>-1</sup>. The Nal/I<sub>2</sub> contact solution contained 6 molar equivalents I<sub>2</sub>. The Nal/cocontaminants solution cointained 10 molar equivalents of nitrate and molybdate.

The spent resin samples(~0.5 g) were placed in silica crucibles and heated to either 350 or  $600 \pm 25^{\circ}$ C in a Vecstar ashing furnace, with temperature maintained for >1 hr. Samples were removed from the crucibles and analysed by powder XRD, as described in the main research article. In some cases, the crucible was broken into fragments and analysed using bulk sample holders.

# Results

Crystalline species are identified as follows:  $\blacklozenge$  = CuI,  $\Box$  = CuO, O = Cu<sub>2</sub>O,  $\checkmark$  = SiO<sub>2</sub>,  $\star$  = CuMoO<sub>4</sub>.









**Figure S16.** X-ray diffractograms for resin samples treated with various iodine-containing solutions. Green lines = samples heated to 350°C. Red lines = samples heated to 600°C (a) M4195 + iodide, (b) M4195 + iodide + iodine, (c) MTS9850 + iodide, (d) MTS9850 + iodide + iodine, (e) M4195-Cu + iodide, (f) M4195-Cu + iodide + iodine, (g) M4195-Cu + iodide + cocontaminants, (h) M4195-Cu + iodide + iodine (used silica crucible).

# References

[1] T.D.C. Company, DOWEX M4195 Chelating resin for copper, nickel, and cobalt processing in, 2019.

[2] K.Y. Foo, B.H. Hameed, Insights into the modeling of adsorption isotherm systems, Chemical Engineering Journal, 156 (2010) 2-10.

[3] M. Eriksson, I. Lundstrom, L.G. Ekedahl, A model of the Temkin isotherm behavior for hydrogen adsorption at Pd-SiO2 interfaces, Journal of Applied Physics, 82 (1997) 3143-3146.

[4] A.A. Inyinbor, F.A. Adekola, G.A. Olatunji, Kinetics, isotherms and thermodynamic modeling of liquid phase adsorption of Rhodamine B dye onto Raphia hookerie fruit epicarp, Water Resources and Industry, 15 (2016) 14-27.

[5] P.U. Singare, Performance Based Evaluation of Industrial Grade Resins Duolite ARA-9366 and Duolite A-368 Chemistry in Industry, 63 (2014) 245-252.

[6] P. Mao, Y. Liu, Y. Jiao, S.W. Chen, Y. Yang, Enhanced uptake of iodide on Ag@Cu2O nanoparticles, Chemosphere, 164 (2016) 396-403.

[7] S.W. Liu, S.H. Kang, H.M. Wang, G.Z. Wang, H.J. Zhao, W.P. Cai, Nanosheets-built flowerlike micro/nanostructured Bi2O2.33 and its highly efficient iodine removal performances, Chemical Engineering Journal, 289 (2016) 219-230.

[8] X.Y. Zhang, P. Gu, X.Y. Li, G.H. Zhang, Efficient adsorption of radioactive iodide ion from simulated wastewater by nano Cu2O/Cu modified activated carbon, Chemical Engineering Journal, 322 (2017) 129-139.

[9] G. Lefevre, M. Alnot, J.J. Ehrhardt, J. Bessiere, Uptake of iodide by a mixture of metallic copper and cupric compounds, Environmental Science & Technology, 33 (1999) 1732-1737. [10] H.F. Zhang, X.L. Gao, T. Guo, Q. Li, H.N. Liu, X.S. Ye, M. Guo, Z.J. Wu, Adsorption of iodide ions on a calcium alginate-silver chloride composite adsorbent, Colloids and Surfaces a-Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 386 (2011) 166-171.

[11] D.N.T. Barton, T.J. Robshaw, O. Okusanya, D. Kim, S.E. Pepper, C.A. Sharrad, M.D. Ogden, Remediation of radioiodine using polyamine anion exchange resins, Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, 78 (2019) 210-221.

[12] G.Y. Yan, T. Viraraghavan, M. Chen, A new model for heavy metal removal in a biosorption column, Adsorption Science & Technology, 19 (2001) 25-43.

[13] J.T.M. Amphlett, C.A. Sharrad, R.I. Foster, M.D. Ogden, Ethylenediamine

Functionalised Ion Exchange Resin for Uranium Recovery from Acidic Mixed Sulfate-Chloride Media: Initial Column Loading Studies, Journal of the Southern African Institute of Mining and Metallurgy, 118 (2018) 1521-1527.

[14] T.J. Robshaw, R. Dawson, K. Bonser, M.D. Ogden, Towards the implementation of an ion-exchange system for recovery of fluoride commodity chemicals. Kinetic and dynamic studies Chemical Engineering Journal, 367 (2019) 149-159.

[15] K.H. Chu, Fixed bed sorption: Setting the record straight on the Bohart-Adams and Thomas models, Journal of Hazardous Materials, 177 (2010) 1006-1012.

[16] J.H.S. Green, W. Kynaston, H.M. Paisley, VIBRATIONAL SPECTRA OF

MONOSUBSTITUTED PYRIDINES, Spectrochimica Acta, 19 (1963) 549-564.

[17] M.S. Refat, H. Al Didamony, K.M. Abou El-Nour, L. El-Zayat, Synthesis and spectroscopic characterization on the tri-iodide charge transfer complex resulted from the interaction between morpholine as donor and iodine sigma-acceptor, Journal of Saudi Chemical Society, 14 (2010) 323-330.

[18] L.Y. Wang, Q.Y. Chen, J. Huang, K. Wang, C.J. Feng, Z.R. Gen, Synthesis, characterization, and bioactivities of copper complexes with N-substituted Di(picolyl)amines, Transition Metal Chemistry, 34 (2009) 337-345.