

This is a repository copy of *Innovation can accelerate the transition towards a sustainable food system*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/163942/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Herrero, M, Thornton, PK, Mason-D'Croz, D et al. (45 more authors) (2020) Innovation can accelerate the transition towards a sustainable food system. Nature Food, 1 (5). pp. 266-272. ISSN 2662-1355

https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-020-0074-1

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Innovation can accelerate the transition towards a sustainable food system

3 4

5 Mario Herrero^{1*}, Philip K. Thornton², Daniel Mason-D'Croz¹, Jeda Palmer¹, Tim G. Benton³,

- 6 Benjamin L. Bodirsky⁴, Jessica Bogard¹, Andrew Hall¹, Bernice Lee³, Karine Nyborg⁵, Prajal
- 7 Pradhan⁴, Graham Bonnett¹, Brett A. Bryan⁶, Bruce M. Campbell^{2,7}, Svend Christensen⁷,
- 8 Michael Clark⁸, Mat Cook¹, Imke J.M. de Boer⁹, Chris Downs¹, Kanar Dizyee¹, Christian
- 9 Folberth¹⁰, Cecile Godde¹, James Gerber¹¹, Michael Grundy¹, Petr Havlik¹⁰, Andrew Jarvis^{2,12},
- 10 Richard King³, Ana Maria Loboguerrero^{2,12}, Mauricio A. Lopes¹⁰, C. Lynne McIntyre¹,
- 11 Rosamond Naylor¹³, Javier Navarro¹, Michael Obersteiner¹⁰, Alejandro Parodi⁹, Mark B.
- 12 Peoples¹, Ilje Pikaar^{14,15}, Alexander Popp⁴, Johan Rockström^{4,16}, Michael Robertson¹, Pete
- 13 Smith¹⁷, Elke Stehfest¹⁸, Steve M. Swain¹, Hugo Valin¹⁰, Mark van Wijk¹⁹, Hannah H.E. van
- 14 Zanten⁹, Sonja Vermeulen^{3,20}, Joost Vervoort²¹, Paul West¹¹
- 15 **corresponding author: Mario.Herrero@csiro.au*
- 16

17 Abstract

- 18 Future technologies and systemic innovation are critical for the profound
- 19 transformation the food system needs. These innovations range from food production,
- 20 land use and emissions, all the way to improved diets and waste management. Here,
- 21 we identify these technologies, assess their readiness and propose eight action points
- that could accelerate the transition towards a more sustainable food system. We argue
- that the speed of innovation could be significantly increased with the appropriate
- 24 incentives, regulations and social license. These, in turn, require constructive
- stakeholder dialogue and clear transition pathways.
- 26
- 27

28 Main

To date, the future sustainability of food systems, the role of changing diets, reducing waste and increasing agricultural productivity have been mainly studied through the lens of existing technologies. Regarding the latter, for example, a common research question concerns what level of yield gain could be achieved through new crop varieties, livestock breeds, animal feeds, or changes in farming practices and the diffusion of technologies such as irrigation and improved management^{7–13}. Yet, as studies have shown, even with wide adoption of existing agricultural technologies, full implementation of flexitarian diets and food waste reduction by half, it will bechallenging to feed a growing world population while ensuring planetary

38 wellbeing^{14,15}.

39

So far, few studies have explored the boundaries of what would be feasible if the world adopted more disruptive, 'wild', game-changing options^{16–18} that could accelerate progress in many desired dimensions of food systems simultaneously. Some of these game-changers are no longer in the realms of imagination; they are already being developed at considerable pace, reshaping what is feasible across different sectors¹⁹. Data on investment in agricultural startups suggests an increasing portfolio of companies focusing on these technologies²⁰.

47

48 Technologies by themselves are not always transformative, but are often crucial for 49 innovation in an environment with a multitude of actors, political economy dynamics, 50 patterns of supply and demand, as well as regulations. How transformational a 51 technology will be depends on the economic and political context, the needs of the 52 society and its socio-economic conditions²¹. Yet, the elements that could catalyse the 53 transformation of the food system through systemic innovations are rarely examined. 54 This Perspective contributes to the discussion on how to achieve positive 55 transformation in food systems by providing insights on emerging technologies and 56 what is needed to accelerate systemic change for sustainability. 57

58

60

59 **Technological innovations**

61 Since Neolithic times, technology has played a considerable role in achieving 62 progress in many metrics of human well-being, including poverty, life expectancy and disease control²². Table S1 in the supplementary information presents a detailed list of 63 many past technological innovations in the food system. Despite the benefits to 64 65 humanity of these innovations in food and agriculture, deterioration of some 66 environmental and health metrics has also been observed, especially in recent times. 67 For example, land conversion into cropland or pastures, increasing agricultural 68 greenhouse gas emissions and water use, and application of reactive nitrogen and 69 phosphorus have increased several-fold even as their intensities per unit of product

have tended to decrease over time²³⁻²⁵. Noncommunicable diseases and inequalities
are also growing in many societies^{26,27} despite rapid technological advances. The
development of inexpensive, fast or discretionary foods has also contributed to
significant malnutrition in many parts of the world²⁶.

74

75 Food systems technologies are being developed at an unprecedented rate, some of 76 which could be deployed in the next decade and significantly transform the food 77 system. We present an inventory of near-ready and future technologies that could 78 accelerate progress towards achieving food system sustainability from extensive 79 literature reviews. We classified each technology according to its position in the value 80 chain (i.e. production, processing, distribution, consumption and waste) and its 81 'readiness score'. The latter, developed by the US National Aeronautics and Space 82 Administration (NASA), is a systematic measurement system that supports 83 assessments of the maturity of a particular technology (see the supplementary information for full details)⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶. It consists of nine levels, from basic research, 84 85 principles observed and technology prototypes deployed, all the way to the proven implementation of a technology under real-world conditions⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶. 86

87

88 A few conclusions emerge from this exercise. The first is that technological 89 innovations span the entire food system, from food production, processing and 90 consumption to waste stream management (Figure 1). Hence, an arsenal of 91 technological options can be tailor-made to address different food system challenges 92 in a range of institutional and political contexts. This diverse pipeline, including 93 consumer-ready artificial meat, intelligent packaging, nano-drones, 3D printing and 94 vertical agriculture, to name a few, presents a real opportunity for systemic change. 95 Depending on the level of socio-economic development of a country or region and 96 other institutional and political constraints, the mix of technologies could vary widely.

97

98 Figure 1 about here

99

100 Second, technologies vary widely in their readiness for implementation (Figure 2).

101 Despite considerable spread across technology groups, those related to digital

agriculture and replacement of food and feed for livestock and fish are associated with

103 a relatively large number of near-ready and mature technologies. This is not

surprising considering the speed of innovation and cost reduction of digital

technologies, followed by their widespread adoption across low, middle and high-

106 income countries alike. Similarly, efforts are under way to reduce the demand for

107 livestock products by providing alternative protein sources, and to reduce its

108 environmental impact by decoupling animal production from land via alternative,

109 circular feeds. Meeting a growing demand for fish depends on reducing the share of

- 110 total fish capture used as feed for livestock, currently around $12\%^5$.
- 111

112 Third, a number of near-ready technologies have high potential to be adopted,

113 rendering investments in their dissemination and implementation strategic. Research

is urgently needed on how to make options available in current food systems with

115 minimal disruption, as well as better understanding of what might affect their uptake

to scales that transform. This also highlights the potential contribution of the private

sector in driving the uptake of these technologies and the need to establish regulatory

118 frameworks and market structures to ensure that these advances are well aligned with

the aims of public policy. It is essential that, at least in the medium term, affordability

120 of these novel options increases, which is more likely to happen as demand size

becomes clearer, and the manufacturing processes and supply chains are better

- established.
- 123

124 Figure 2 about here

125

Fourth, the simultaneous implementation of several of these technologies could
significantly accelerate progress towards achieving more sustainable food systems.
This could lead to simultaneous improvements in sustainable food production and
waste reduction while improving human well-being and creating new local business
opportunities as resources are revalued as part of the process. Moreover, this is in line
with current local efforts for energising the bioeconomy in many parts of the world^{28–}
³⁴

133

134 Transformation accelerators

135

The transformation of the food system will not be purely technological²¹. At the heart
of this process is a form of innovation involving deep changes in the component parts

138 of the food system (technologies, infrastructure and skills and capability) and a

139 fundamental reformatting of the values, regulations, policies, markets and governance

surrounding it. This view of transformation as a complex and systemic process

141 implies that novel technologies alone are not sufficient to drive food system

142 transformations; instead, they must be accompanied by a wide range of social and

- 143 institutional factors that enable their deployment.
- 144

145 Transformation is also a deeply political process with winners and losers, which 146 involves choices, consensus as well as compromise about new directions and 147 pathways. Powerful players within food systems have strong incentives to maintain 148 the status quo and their current market share. In contrast, new entrants have much 149 greater potential to act as disrupters of the system and to use this as a way of creating 150 new products and/or value (meat substitutes, are an example). As a result, efforts to 151 accelerate desirable technical change and transformation need to be in line with the 152 social and political processes that either impede or catalyse system innovation. In 153 practice, this means building alliances, dialogue and trust around food systems 154 development pathways and ensuring governance and regulator regimes to safeguard 155 desired food system outcomes – all of which are essential conditions for the 156 deployment of new technology. Examples of emerging technologies that have 157 benefited from such changes are insect-based food/feed, plant-based meat 158 alternatives, circularity in food systems, and vertical agriculture. 159

160 In addition, the role of technology in transformation is ambiguous and diverse.

161 Technology may catalyse transformation by triggering regulator shifts (e.g.

162 circularity, drones), new market demands (e.g. seaweed) and other system innovations

163 (e.g. personalised nutrition, molecular printing, biodegradable coatings).

164 Alternatively, it may change/evolve in response to system innovations arising from

broader societal and political shifts driving transformation^{21,34} (e.g. growing demand

166 for sustainably-sourced produce). Technology may also enhance undesirable lock-ins

167 (e.g. a farmer specialised and heavily invested in grain production cannot easily

switch to diversified agriculture⁴⁰). Identifying pathways of change for preventing

these lock-ins is essential.

Based on this broader understanding of transformation, we propose eight key, largely
interconnected action points to accelerate technological change and systemic
innovation in food systems (Figure 3):

174

175 1. Building trust amongst the actors of the food system: Transformation requires 176 consensus and support for the new development pathways being pursued. This 177 involves not only technological choices but also broad-based collaboration and a set 178 of shared values about the desirability of different food system outcomes -e.g.179 sustainability, provenance, and socioeconomic benefit. Building trust sits centre-stage 180 in this process. All the actors within the food system (whether farmers, consumers or 181 food companies) are highly interconnected through economic and social networks. 182 For systemic change and technological uptake to occur, there often needs to be an 183 iterative process: private industries identify a business opportunity; governments 184 identify the need for systemic change to achieve prosperity and well-being; a dialogue 185 is initiated with citizens to enable attitudinal change; and finally innovations in policy, institutions and public investment encourage market shifts^{21,36}. The Green Revolution 186 187 in Asia provides a good example of these systemic changes at play, as it enabled crop 188 yields to increase rapidly, consumption to increase and undernutrition to diminish in a 189 bit more than a decade 21 .

190

191 Given that governments may need to play a leading role in facilitating and 192 communicating "why" and "how" to innovate to citizens, high-level agreement about 193 new directions is key. For future food systems, this agreement is critical because of 194 the environmental and ethical concerns around food production and consumption. 195 Such agreement, based on solid and transparent science targets, and dialogue and 196 consensus between public and/or private actors, can legitimise efforts to develop 197 transition pathways, new products, business plans, policies and incentives. Good 198 examples of these are the Sustainable Development Goals and the Paris Agreement 199 greenhouse emissions targets, which are at the centre of the strategies of many 200 national and international public sector departments and private companies. 201

Managing expectations of different stakeholders can be essential to gain legitimacy
and trust. The optimal behaviour from an individual's point of view may strongly
depend on the behaviour expected from others. If the benefit of adopting a certain

- behaviour (e.g., using and/or investing in a specific technology) is perceived as a
 function of that behaviour's popularity among others, vicious or virtuous cycles of
 self-fulfilling expectations may arise³⁷, ultimately accelerating or retarding change.
 Once again, the Green Revolution of the 1960s provides a good example: the success
 of a technology depends on its adoption at scale; if an individual does not expect
 others to adopt it, then this individual's response may be not to do it either. In cases
 like this, temporary subsidies and other incentives may help tip the system³⁸.
- 212

213 2. Transforming mindsets: The transformation of agriculture requires a learning 214 mindset by the actors of the food system. A similar attitude to monitoring, review and 215 knowledge generation is needed amongst the various levels of decision-makers. 216 People have deeply engrained biological, psychological (particularly around "naturalness"³⁹) and cultural relationships to food⁴⁰, so development of an effective 217 218 technology is no guarantee of social acceptance, as this is not purely determined by 219 factors like price and safety. There is a tripartite relationship between people's 220 attitudes to technology, regulation that can change the structure of the market, and 221 market actors that play out within a regulatory framework. The need to better 222 understand a technology and to transform mindsets arises particularly in the case of 223 technologies whose advantages and disadvantages are still largely unknown (e.g. gene 224 editing, reconfiguring photosynthesis, novel nitrogen-fixing crops).

225

226 3. Enabling social license and stakeholder dialogue: Public investment in technology 227 development and uptake should be tied to social licence and technology acceptability. 228 These, in turn, require greater consideration of responsible innovation principles and 229 extensive public dialogue⁵¹. Rising public awareness of the issues may create pressure 230 from consumers, employees, investors, and government itself, to push innovation in 231 different directions (e.g. meat substitutes, nanopesticides). Without engaging these 232 actors in responsible innovation, potentially powerful technologies may not be 233 adopted (e.g. genome editing). The transformation necessary to tackle society's grand 234 challenges as embodied in global food systems might be constrained by those who 235 trade on a business-as-usual basis. Technological uptake also involves the know-how 236 to use a technology effectively. Higher knowledge-intensive systems often involve more 'learning by doing'⁴¹,⁴² and might disadvantage food systems actors with less 237 238 education such as smallholders or vendors in low-income countries.

239

240 4. Guaranteeing changes in policies and regulations: Expectations about future 241 policies are essential for both public and private investments in technological change. 242 For example, investing in research and development of low-carbon technologies is 243 more attractive for private investors if they believe that carbon emissions will have a 244 somewhat stable and attractive price in the future. Once new low-carbon technologies 245 are in place, carbon policies (including pricing) may involve lower social costs, thus 246 being more likely to be implemented. However, if no one expects this to happen, it 247 will probably not happen since few people will find it worthwhile to invest in the 248 technology. As with action point 1, vicious or virtuous cycles of self-fulfilling 249 expectations may arise³⁷, in which case, policies can help steer expectations in a desired direction⁵³ –particularly through subsidies or direct investment in low-carbon 250 251 technologies^{43,44}.

252

253 5. Designing market incentives: The appropriateness of measures and incentives and 254 the factors which are critical to the success of transformational innovations are often 255 context- and technology-specific. The barriers to innovation and diffusion also differ. 256 In competitive markets (such as food and energy), companies often underspend on 257 research and development relative to what would be the optimal expenditure level 258 from a society's perspective, since they typically cover all the costs but are not the 259 sole beneficiaries of the knowledge generated along the process. Historically, 260 governments have sought to correct this market failure by rewarding innovative 261 efforts, including 'market pull' measures – like granting innovators (temporary) 262 monopoly rents through patent protection, complemented by other inducements and 263 subsidies for under-funded priorities (e.g., orphan diseases) – and 'market push' 264 incentives – e.g. tax credits, public procurement, or pricing of externalities. Making 265 these incentives accessible to new entrants is critical, as it is unclear whether 266 transformative innovation will emerge from established industry players⁴⁵. Innovation 267 incubators and accelerators often play a key role in bringing novel solutions to 268 market⁵². This has been the case with many technologies on our list (Fig. 1) across all 269 technology groups (drones, algae for feed, plant-based meat substitutes, 270 nanoenhancers, personalised food). Incentives that drive innovation also differ from 271 those that encourage diffusion.

272

273 6. Safeguarding against indirect, undesirable effects: There are real challenges in 274 designing policy and investment frameworks to harness the transformational potential 275 of new technology. Unintended consequences may be overlooked, especially where public acceptance and the regulatory landscape remains to be determined ^{20,46–48}. For 276 277 instance, circular economy strategies in the food system must comply with strict 278 regulations from Europe and North America concerning the re-use of organic waste as 279 animal feed (adopted after bovine spongiform encephalopathy and foot-and-mouth diseases outbreaks⁴⁹). A broader public dialogue and consultation is likely to 280 281 legitimise wider support and/or identify the potential for unexpected impacts. Such 282 broader dialogue can also highlight the complexity behind the science and the trade-283 offs between adoption/non-adoption, and avoid the lack of social license simply 284 because relevant issues are not sufficiently understood. Yet, as noted above, even 285 when these issues are well understood, a technology may not be socially acceptable if 286 it is thought to go against "naturalness" or existing cultural biases³⁹⁻⁴¹.

287

288 7. Ensuring stable finance: Technologies associated with food and agriculture often 289 involve a physical product which is subject to production seasonality and complex 290 regulations. This poses an additional challenge to their diffusion, especially because 291 the financial environment does not reward the "fail fast and re-start/iterate" model 292 (designed to stop flawed operations and then restart differently). Nonetheless, 293 transformative change is likely to be unpredictable and its impacts variable, so 294 technology exploration and piloting under real world conditions are important to test 295 effectiveness. More creative investment solutions like increased deployment of 296 accelerators or special finance for diffusion, and more steady and longer-term finance 297 for technology development may be needed to drive transformational shifts⁵⁰, as the 298 research, development and implementation cycles can be long for a broad range of 299 technologies (e.g. reconfiguring photosynthesis, novel nitrogen-fixing plants and/or 300 perennials, new vaccines, GM-assisted breeding technologies, etc.). Nevertheless, the 301 digitalisation of agriculture and some other technologies could provide ample 302 opportunities to spread and scale transformative solutions, just as mobile banking did 303 on the back of the mobile phone revolution in the 2000s. 304

305 8. *Developing transition pathways:* Most analyses of the future of food systems
306 anticipate the impacts of alternative scenarios and the roles of different strategies (e.g.

diet changes, waste reduction, increased food production)^{5, 7, 10-16, 27}. However, these 307 308 studies rarely shed light on how to implement the desired changes. The 'how' of 309 achieving planned and actionable change is critical towards realising these 310 transformations and is what we call 'transition pathways'. Transition pathways 311 include the necessary understanding of technologies and their impact, desired science 312 targets, transition costs, identification of winners and losers, strategies to minimise 313 adverse effects (socially, economically and environmentally), gradual steps to be 314 taken by different actors, major aspects of institutional reframing (public and private), 315 as well as the systemic innovation required to achieve the expected transformation. In 316 essence, the accelerators proposed here provide critical information for building these 317 pathways. 318 319 Figure 3 about here 320 321 **Conclusions**

322

323 Food systems currently pose enormous challenges. Technological innovation will 324 surely have a major role to play in the future of food systems, just as society is 325 undergoing immense, transformative advances in telecommunications and renewable 326 energy use. The list of potential food system-related technologies is long. 327 Nevertheless, more robust analyses of the feasibility of technological innovations and 328 their potential impacts are urgently needed. Such studies are technically complex, 329 particularly with respect to uncertainty and the identification of options to pilot new 330 investment streams for funding and research organisations. It is crucial that these 331 studies are designed with a multicultural and socio-political lens to ensure rapid 332 innovation where it matters most, with equity and embracing diversity of thought. 333 334 Food system innovations will depend on adequate investment in basic research and 335 development to keep the pipeline flowing, given that many of the technologies 336 identified here may contribute little to the global food system over the next two 337 decades. We also see a great need to bypass the bottlenecks of the enabling 338 environment, especially in lower-income countries where the potential impacts (both 339 positive and negative) of technological innovation may be relatively larger. History 340 shows clearly that innovation produces winners and losers. We need to ensure that

341	social sustainability becomes a higher agenda item, in the short and long term, to
342	address the sectors of society at risk of being left behind.
343	
344	Finally, and perhaps most importantly, accelerating food systems transitions towards
345	positive, desired states will have to involve societal dialogue. Of the eight elements
346	identified in Fig. 3 for accelerating the systemic transformation of food systems, at
347	least five revolve around building trust, changing mindsets, enabling social licence,
348	developing transition pathways and safeguarding against undesirable effects. Success
349	in all these actions will result in better health, wealth and environmental outcomes;
350	failure will result in much more than a lack of food.
351	
352	
353	Author contributions
354	
355	M.H., P.K.T., D.M.C., J.P., J.B. designed the research.
356	M.H., P.K.T., D.M.C., J.P., A.H., B.L., K.N. wrote the manuscript.

357 M.H., P.K.T., D.M.C. J.P., J.B., C.G., K.D., J.N. analysed data.

358 All authors contributed data and edited the paper.

359

360

361 Correspondence should be addressed to M.H.

References

363		
364	1.	Foley, J. A. et al. Solutions for a cultivated planet. Nature 478, 337–342
365		(2011).
366	2.	IPCC. Summary for policy makers. Climate Change 2013: The Physical
367		Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report
368		of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Climate Change 2013: The
369		Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth
370		Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
371		(Cambridge University Press, 2013). doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324
372	3.	Rockström, J., Falkenmark, M., Lannerstad, M. & Karlberg, L. The planetary
373		water drama: Dual task of feeding humanity and curbing climate change.
374		Geophys. Res. Lett. 39, 1–8 (2012).
375	4.	WWF International. Living Planet Report - 2018: Aiming Higher. Development
376		(WWF, 2018).
377	5.	Alexandratos, N. & Bruinsma, J. World Agriculture towards 2030/2050: the
378		2012 revision. ESA Working Paper No. 12-03 (2012).
379	6.	Hunter, M. C., Smith, R. G., Schipanski, M. E., Atwood, L. W. & Mortensen,
380		D. A. Agriculture in 2050: Recalibrating Targets for Sustainable
381		Intensification. Bioscience 67, 386–391 (2017).
382	7.	Springmann, M. et al. Options for keeping the food system within
383		environmental limits. <i>Nature</i> 562, 519–525 (2018).
384	8.	Frank, S. et al. Reducing greenhouse gas emissions in agriculture without
385		compromising food security? Environ. Res. Lett. 12, 105004 (2017).
386	9.	van Ittersum, M. K. et al. Can sub-Saharan Africa feed itself? Proc. Natl. Acad.
387		<i>Sci. U. S. A.</i> 113 , 14964–14969 (2016).
388	10.	Havlík, P. et al. Climate change mitigation through livestock system
389		transitions. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 111, 3709-14 (2014).
390	11.	Rosegrant, M. W. et al. Food security in a world of natural resource scarcity
391		The role of agricultural technologies. (International Food Policy Research
392		Institute (IFPRI), 2014). doi:10.2499/9780896298477
393	12.	International Assessment of Agricultural Knowledge Science and Technology
394		for Development. Agriculture at a Crossroads: Global Report. (2009).
395	13.	Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. Millenium Ecosystem Assessment:
396		Ecosystems and Human Well-being: Scenarios, Volume 2. (2005).
397	14.	Gao, L. & Bryan, B. A. Finding pathways to national-scale land-sector
398		sustainability. Nature 544, 217–222 (2017).
399	15.	Wollenberg, E. et al. Reducing emissions from agriculture to meet the 2 °C
400		target. Glob. Chang. Biol. 22, 3859–3864 (2016).
401	16.	Searchinger, T. et al. Creating a Sustainable Food Future: A Menu of Solutions
402		to Feed Nearly 10 Billion People by 2050. Agency for International
403		Development (2018).
404	17.	Pikaar, I. et al. Decoupling Livestock from Land Use through Industrial Feed
405		Production Pathways. Environ. Sci. Technol. 52, 7351–7359 (2018).
406	18.	Walsh, B. J. et al. New feed sources key to ambitious climate targets. Carbon
407		<i>Balance Manag.</i> 10 , 1–8 (2015).
408	19.	Bumpus, A. & Comello, S. Emerging clean energy technology investment
409	• 6	trends. Nat. Clim. Chang. 7, 382–385 (2017).
410	20.	Chatham House. The future of meat analogues and the regulatory challenges in
411		the EU.

 Hall, A. & Dijkman, J. <i>Public agricultural research and development in an era of transformation. Volume 1 – Analysis and reflections.</i> Independent Science Council of the CGIAR and Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation. Rome and Canberra, 67 pp. Development Initiatives. <i>Global Nutrition Report.</i> (2018). doi:10.2499/9780896295643 Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J. & Befort, B. L. Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 108, 20260–20264 (2011). Davis, K. F. <i>et al.</i> Historical trade-offs of livestock's environmental impacts. <i>Environ. Res. Lett.</i> 10, 125013 (2015). Campbell, B. M. <i>et al.</i> Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity. Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on a healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447 -429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221 223 (2016). Swincherz-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economics (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. In <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–18 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Mihlp, J. The bioeconomy, the Billenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnology Industry Development Strategis Globally</i>. (2017). S	 of transformation. Volume 1 – Analysis and reflections. Independent Science Council of the CGIAR and Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation. Rome and Canberra, 67 pp. Development Initiatives. Global Nutrition Report. (2018). doi:10.2499/9780896295643 Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J. & Befort, B. L. Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 108, 20260–20264 (2011). Davis, K. F. et al. Historical trade-offs of livestock's environmental impacts. Environ. Res. Lett. 10, 125013 (2015). Campbell, B. M. et al. Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. Ecol. Soc. 22, 8 (2017). Swinburn, B. A. et al. The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. Lancet 6736, 1–56 (2019). Willett, W. et al. Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. Lancet 393, 447-429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. National Bioeconomy Blueprint. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. Nature 535, 221–223 (2016). Sánchez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia, in Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2.12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. N. Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globality. (2017). Oliver, T. H. et	412	21.	Hall A & Diilemon I Dublic conjoultural research and development in an and
 Council of the CGIAR and Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation. Rome and Canberra, 67 pp. Development Initiatives. <i>Global Nutrition Report.</i> (2018). doi:10.2499/9780896295643 Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J. & Befort, B. L. Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 108, 20260–20264 (2011). Davis, K. F. <i>et al.</i> Historical trade-offs of livestock's environmental impacts. <i>Environ. Res. Lett.</i> 10, 125013 (2015). Campbell, B. M. <i>et al.</i> Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447–429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economics (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and</i> <i>Health Inequilies in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N.</i> <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National</i> <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meet Consumption</i>. (2015). Nybor	 Council of the CGIAR and Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation. Rome and Canberra, 67 pp. Development Initiatives. <i>Global Nutrition Report</i>. (2018). doi:10.2499/9780896295643 Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J. & Befort, B. L. Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 108, 20260–20264 (2011). Davis, K. F. <i>et al.</i> Historical trade-offs of livestock's environmental impacts. <i>Environ. Res. Lett.</i> 10, 125013 (2015). Campbell, B. M. <i>et al.</i> Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447–429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 335, 221–223 (2016). Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation: Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Benterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consiltum. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally.</i> (2017). Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resi		21.	
 Organisation. Rome and Canberra, 67 pp. Development Initiatives. <i>Global Nutrition Report</i>, (2018). doi:10.2499/780896295643 Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J. & Befort, B. L. Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 108, 20260–20264 (2011). Davis, K. F. <i>et al.</i> Historical trade-offs of livestock's environmental impacts. <i>Environ. Res. Lett.</i> 10, 125013 (2015). Campbell, B. M. <i>et al.</i> Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447–429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five correstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economise (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Sinchez-Mejia, I. et al. Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Statems Clobalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Shibe, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnol.</i> 4	 Organisation. Rome and Canberra, 67 pp. Development Initiatives. <i>Global Nutrition Report.</i> (2018). doi:10.2499/9780896295643 Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J. & Befort, B. L. Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 108, 20260–20264 (2011). Davis, K. F. <i>et al.</i> Historical trade-offs of livestock's environmental impacts. <i>Environ. Res. Lett.</i> 10, 125013 (2015). Campbell, B. M. <i>et al.</i> Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT–Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447–429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economise (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture With Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca. Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequilities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnology Industry Development Strategis Globaly</i>. (2017). Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system, <i>Glob. Sustain</i>. 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Chan</i>			
 Development Initiatives. <i>Global Nutrition Report.</i> (2018). doi:10.2499/9780896295643 Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J. & Befort, B. L. Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 108, 20260–20264 (2011). Davis, K. F. <i>et al.</i> Historical trade-offs of livestock's environmental impacts. <i>Environ. Res. Lett.</i> 10, 125013 (2015). Campbell, B. M. <i>et al.</i> Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 303, 447–429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report</i>. (2015). Schnetz-Meijia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economics (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequilies in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3.319-67292-2.12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food s	 Development Initiatives. <i>Global Nutrition Report</i>, (2018). doi: 10.2499/9780896295643 Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J. & Befort, B. L. Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 108, 20260–20264 (2011). Davis, K. F. <i>et al.</i> Historical trade-offs of livestock's environmental impacts. <i>Environ. Res. Lett.</i> 10, 125013 (2015). Campbell, B. M. <i>et al.</i> Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447-429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economiss (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequilities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-212 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy</i> 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally. (2017). Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food sy			
 doi:10.2499/9780896295643 23. Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J. & Befort, B. L. Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 108, 20260–20264 (2011). 24. Davis, K. F. <i>et al.</i> Historical trade-offs of livestock's environmental impacts. <i>Environ. Res. Lett.</i> 10, 125013 (2015). 25. Campbell, B. M. <i>et al.</i> Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). 26. Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). 27. Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447–429 (2019). 28. Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). 2012). 2017). 2017). 2012). 21. El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). 23. Sachez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economics (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequilies in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2.12 23. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). 24. Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). 24. Wellesky, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. Changing Climate, Changing Diets: <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption</i>, (2015). 34. Pugatch Consilium. Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnol.	 doi:10.2499/9780896295643 23. Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J. & Befort, B. L. Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 108, 20260–20264 (2011). 24. Davis, K. F. <i>et al.</i> Historical trade-offs of livestock's environmental impacts. <i>Environ. Res. Lett.</i> 10, 125013 (2015). 25. Campbell, B. M. <i>et al.</i> Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). 25. Campbell, B. M. <i>et al.</i> Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). 26. Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity. Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). 27. Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447–429 (2019). 28. Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). 20. Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). 23. Sánchez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-6729-2-218 23. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). 34. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption</i>. (2015). 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system <i>Globalistion Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). 36. Wellesley		22	•
 Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J. & Befort, B. L. Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 108, 20260–20264 (2011). Davis, K. F. <i>et al.</i> Historical trade-offs of livestock's environmental impacts. <i>Environ. Res. Lett.</i> 10, 125013 (2015). Campbell, B. M. <i>et al.</i> Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 670, 1–56 (2019). Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447–429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report</i>. (2015). Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farners' Economics (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Statain</i>. 1, e9 (2018). Welleskey, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption</i>. (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social	 Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J. & Befort, B. L. Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 108, 20260–20264 (2011). Davis, K. F. <i>et al.</i> Historical trade-offs of livestock's environmental impacts. <i>Environ. Res. Lett.</i> 10, 125013 (2015). Campbell, B. M. <i>et al.</i> Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutriton, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447-429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). El-Chichakli, B., Innovation: Synthesis Report. (2015). Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Lain America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N.</i> <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption</i>. (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Oscial norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midtfømm		22.	
 sustainable intensification of agriculture. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 108, 20260–20264 (2011). 24. Davis, K. F. <i>et al.</i> Historical trade-offs of livestock's environmental impacts. <i>Environ. Res. Lett.</i> 10, 125013 (2015). 25. Campbell, B. M. <i>et al.</i> Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). 25. Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). 27. Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447–429 (2019). 28. Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). 29. Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint.</i> (2012). 29. Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint.</i> (2012). 30. EL-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). 31. OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report.</i> (2015). 32. Sánchez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 34. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). 34. Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally.</i> (2017). 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system <i>Glob. Sustain.</i>	 sustainable intensification of agriculture. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 108, 20260–20264 (2011). 24. Davis, K. F. <i>et al.</i> Historical trade-offs of livestock's environmental impacts. <i>Environ. Res. Lett.</i> 10, 125013 (2015). 25. Campbell, B. M. <i>et al.</i> Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). 26. Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). 27. Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT- Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 39, 447–429 (2019). 28. Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy. (2012). 29. Office of Science and Technology Policy. National Bioeconomy Blueprint. (2012). 29. Office of Science and Technology Policy. National Bioeconomy Blueprint. (2012). 21. OECD. System Innovation: Synthesis Report. (2015). 32. Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 33. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. N. <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). 34. Pugatch Consilium. Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally. (2017). 34. Pugatch Constitum. Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally. (2017). 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming u		23.	
 20260-20264 (2011). 24. Davis, K. F. <i>et al.</i> Historical trade-offs of livestock's environmental impacts. <i>Environ. Res. Lett.</i> 10, 125013 (2015). Campbell, B. M. <i>et al.</i> Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). 25. Campbell, B. M. <i>et al.</i> Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). 26. Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Underuntiton, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1-56 (2019). 27. Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447-429 (2019). 28. Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). 29. Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). 30. El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221-223 (2016). 31. OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report</i>. (2015). 32. Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Entrprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 34. Pluip, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). 34. Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy</i> 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally. (2017). 35. Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as	 20260–20264 (2011). 24. Davis, K. F. <i>et al.</i> Historical trade-offs of livestock's environmental impacts. <i>Environ. Res. Lett.</i> 10, 125013 (2015). 25. Campbell, B. M. <i>et al.</i> Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). 26. Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutriton, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). 27. Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 9736, 1–56 (2019). 28. Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). 29. Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). 30. El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). 31. OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report.</i> (2015). 32. Sánchez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economics (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2.12 33. Philp, J. The bioeconomy the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). 34. Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy</i> 4th Edition: National <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain</i>, 1, e9 (2018). 34. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consum</i>		-01	
 24. Davis, K. F. <i>et al.</i> Historical trade-offs of livestock's environmental impacts. <i>Environ. Res. Lett.</i> 10, 125013 (2015). 25. Campbell, B. M. <i>et al.</i> Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). 26. Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). 27. Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447–429 (2019). 28. Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). 29. Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). 30. El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). 31. OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report</i>. (2015). 32. Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 33. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). 34. Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). 34. Pugatch Consilium. Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally. (2017). 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overoming undesirable resilience in the glob	 Davis, K. F. <i>et al.</i> Historical trade-offs of livestock's environmental impacts. <i>Environ. Res. Lett.</i> 10, 125013 (2015). Campbell, B. M. <i>et al.</i> Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undermutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447–429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report</i>. (2015). Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption</i>. (2015). Myborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegri			C
 Environ. Res. Lett. 10, 125013 (2015). Campbell, B. M. et al. Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. Ecol. Soc. 22, 8 (2017). Swinburn, B. A. et al. The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. Lancet 6736, 1–56 (2019). Willett, W. et al. Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. Lancet 393, 447–429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. National Bioeconomy Blueprint. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. Nature 535, 221–223 (2016). OECD. System Innovation in Small Farmers' Economics (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. N. Biotechnol. 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally. (2017). Oliver, T. H. et al. Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. Glob. Sustain. 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption. (2015). Nyborg, K. et al. Social norms as solutions. Science (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midtlømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Publ	 Environ. Res. Lett. 10, 125013 (2015). Campbell, B. M. et al. Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth system exceeding planetary boundaries. Ecol. Soc. 22, 8 (2017). Swinburn, B. A. et al. The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. Lancet 6736, 1–56 (2019). Willett, W. et al. Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT–Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. Lancet 393, 447–429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. National Bioeconomy Blueprint. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. National Bioeconomy Blueprint. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. Nature 535, 221–223 (2016). OECD. System Innovation: Synthesis Report. (2015). Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-212 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. N. Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally. (2017). Oliver, T. H. et al. Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. Glob. Sustain, 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption. (2015). Nyborg, K. et al. Social norms as solutions. Science (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalitities: Do		24.	
 system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447–429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report</i>. (2015). Sánchez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and</i> <i>Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N.</i> <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption</i>. (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape th	 system exceeding planetary boundaries. <i>Ecol. Soc.</i> 22, 8 (2017). Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447–429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report</i>. (2015). Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and</i> <i>Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N.</i> <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National</i> <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcomign undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midtfømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & S	422		-
 Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT–Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 5736, 1–56 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report</i>. (2015). Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and</i> <i>Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N.</i> <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National</i> <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia?	 Swinburn, B. A. <i>et al.</i> The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutrition, and Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT–Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447–429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report</i>. (2015). Sánchez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy</i> 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally. (2017). Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain</i>, 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption</i>. (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midtiømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of	423	25.	Campbell, B. M. et al. Agriculture production as a major driver of the Earth
 Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT–Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447–429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report</i>. (2015). Sánchez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain</i>. 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption</i>. (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midtømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ</i>. 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). <	 426 Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. <i>Lancet</i> 6736, 1–56 (2019). 427 27. Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447–429 (2019). 429 28. Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). 432 29. Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). 434 30. El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). 436 31. OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report</i>. (2015). 437 32. Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economics (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and</i> <i>Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 433. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N.</i> <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). 444 34. Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National</i> <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain</i>. 1, e9 (2018). 36. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). 37. Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Resul	424		system exceeding planetary boundaries. Ecol. Soc. 22, 8 (2017).
 Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT–Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447–429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). DECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report</i>. (2015). Sánchez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy</i> 4th Edition: National <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain</i>. 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption</i>. (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midtømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci. Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind sp	 Willett, W. <i>et al.</i> Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447-429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221-223 (2016). OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report</i>. (2015). Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205-218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11-19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain</i>. 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption</i>. (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midtfømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind	425	26.	Swinburn, B. A. et al. The Global Syndemic of Obesity, Undernutrition, and
 healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447–429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). OECD. System Innovation: Synthesis Report. (2015). Sánchez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain</i>. 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption</i>. (2015). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption</i>. (2015). Sterenalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ</i>. 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). Nock, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 healthy diets from sustainable food systems. <i>Lancet</i> 393, 447–429 (2019). Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. <i>Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy</i>. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint</i>. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report</i>. (2015). Sánchez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and</i> <i>Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N.</i> <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy</i> 4th Edition: National <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption</i>. (2015). Wyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 	426		Climate Change: The Lancet Commission report. Lancet 6736, 1–56 (2019).
 Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. National Bioeconomy Blueprint. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. National Bioeconomy Blueprint. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. Nature 535, 221–223 (2016). OECD. System Innovation: Synthesis Report. (2015). Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economics (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. N. Biotechnol. 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally. (2017). Oliver, T. H. et al. Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. Glob. Sustain. 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption. (2015). Nyborg, K. et al. Social norms as solutions. Science (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midtuømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. Agr	 Swedish Research Council for Environment Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning. Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. National Bioeconomy Blueprint. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. Nature 535, 221–223 (2016). OECD. System Innovation: Synthesis Report. (2015). Sánchez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economics (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. N. Biotechnol. 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally. (2017). Oliver, T. H. et al. Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. Glob. Sustain. 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption. (2015). Nyborg, K. et al. Social norms as solutions. Science (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midtømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. Agric. Human Values 32, 169–182 (2015). Mytelka, L. K. Competition, Innovation and C	427	27.	Willett, W. et al. Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on
 Planning. Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. National Bioeconomy Blueprint. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. Nature 535, 221–223 (2016). OECD. System Innovation: Synthesis Report. (2015). Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. N. Biotechnol. 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally. (2017). Oliver, T. H. et al. Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. Glob. Sustain. 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption. (2015). Nyborg, K. et al. Social norms as solutions. Science (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. Agric. Human 	 Planning. Swedish Research and Innovation Strategy for a Bio-based Economy. (2012). Office of Science and Technology Policy. National Bioeconomy Blueprint. (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. Nature 535, 221–223 (2016). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. Nature 535, 221–223 (2016). OECD. System Innovation: Synthesis Report. (2015). Sánchez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economics (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. N. Biotechnol. 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally. (2017). Oliver, T. H. et al. Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. Glob. Sustain. 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption. (2015). Nyborg, K. et al. Social norms as solutions. Science (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midtømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. Agric. Human Values 32, 169–182 (2015). Mytelka, L. K. Competi	428		healthy diets from sustainable food systems. Lancet 393, 447-429 (2019).
 (2012). (2013). (2015). (2016). (2016). (2017). (2018). (2017). (2018). (2018). (2018). (2018). (2017). (2018). (2018). (2017). (2017). (2018). (2017). (2017). (2018). (2017). (2017). (2018). <	 (2012). (2013). (2013). (2015). (2015). (2015). (2015). (2015). (2015). (2015). (2015). (2015). (2016). (2017). (2018). (2016). (2016). (2018). (2017). (2018). <		28.	C 1
 Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint.</i> (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report.</i> (2015). Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economics (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally.</i> (2017). Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science (80).</i> 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci. Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 Office of Science and Technology Policy. <i>National Bioeconomy Blueprint.</i> (2012). El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report.</i> (2015). Sánchez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally.</i> (2017). Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midtfømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci. Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 			6
 (2012). (2013). (2013). (2014). (2015). (2015). (2015). (2015). (2015). (2015). (2016). (2017). (2017). (2018). (2017). (2018). (2017). (2017). (2018). (2018). (2017). (2018). (2017). (2018). (2018). (2017). (2018). (2018). (2017). (2018). (2018). (2017). (2018). (2018). (2018). (2018). (2017). (2018). (2018). (2018). (2018). (2018). (2018). (2018). (2018). (2018). (2017). (2017). (2017). (2016). (2016). (2017). (2016). (2017). <	 (2012). (2013). (2014). (2014). (2015). (2015). (2015). (2015). (2015). (2016). (2017). (2018). (2018). (2018). (2018). (2018). (2017). (2017). (2018). (2017). (2017). (2018). (2017). (2017). (2018). (2017). (2016). (2017). (2016). (2017). (2016). (2017). (2016). <			
 El-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report.</i> (2015). Sánchez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and</i> <i>Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N.</i> <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy</i> 4th Edition: National <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midtømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 434 30. Él-Chichakli, B., von Braun, J., Lang, C., Barben, D. & Philp, J. Policy: Five cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). 436 31. OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report.</i> (2015). 437 32. Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 442 33. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). 444 34. Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally.</i> (2017). 446 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). 448 36. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). 450 37. Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). 451 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). 41. Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 		29.	
 435 cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). 436 31. OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report.</i> (2015). 437 32. Sánchez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good 438 Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural 439 Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and</i> 440 <i>Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 441 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 442 33. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N.</i> <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). 444 34. Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National</i> <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally.</i> (2017). 446 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food 447 system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). 448 36. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> 449 <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). 450 37. Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science (80).</i> 354, 42–43 (2016). 451 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental 452 externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 453 439. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food 455 naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> 456 <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: 458 where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 435 cornerstones of a global bioeconomy. <i>Nature</i> 535, 221–223 (2016). 436 31. OECD. <i>System Innovation: Synthesis Report.</i> (2015). 437 32. Sánchez-Mejia, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good 438 Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural 439 Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and</i> 440 <i>Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 441 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 442 33. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N.</i> 443 <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). 444 34. Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National</i> 445 <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally.</i> (2017). 446 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food 447 system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). 448 36. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> 449 <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). 450 37. Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science (80).</i> 354, 42–43 (2016). 451 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental 452 externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 453 143, 27–38 (2016). 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food 455 naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> 456 <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: 458 where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 459 <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). 41. Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 			
 436 31. OECD. System Innovation: Synthesis Report. (2015). 437 32. Sánchez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good 438 Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural 439 Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and</i> 440 <i>Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 441 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 442 33. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N.</i> 443 <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). 444 34. Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National</i> 445 <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally.</i> (2017). 446 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food 447 system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). 448 36. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> 449 <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). 450 37. Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). 451 438. Greaker, M. & Midtømme, K. Network effects and environmental 452 externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 453 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food 455 naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> 456 <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: 458 where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 OECD. System Innovation: Synthesis Report. (2015). Sánchez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and</i> <i>Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. N. <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National</i> <i>Biotechnol.</i> 90, 11–19 (2018). Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science (80).</i> 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 		30.	
 Sánchez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and</i> <i>Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Sander M. Biotechnol. 40, 11–19 (2018). Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N.</i> <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National</i> <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally.</i> (2017). Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 Sánchez-Mejía, M. Innovation in Small Farmers' Economies (IECAM): Good Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and</i> <i>Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N.</i> <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National</i> <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science (80).</i> 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 			
 Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and</i> <i>Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 33. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. N. <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). 444 34. Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National</i> <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally.</i> (2017). 446 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). 448 36. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). 450 37. Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science (80).</i> 354, 42–43 (2016). 451 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 453 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 Agricultural Practices of Healthy Agriculture with Associated Rural Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and</i> <i>Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 33. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N.</i> <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). 444 34. Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National</i> <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). 446 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). 448 36. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). 450 37. Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). 451 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). 40 41. Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 			
 Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and</i> <i>Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 33. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N.</i> <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). 444 34. Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National</i> <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). 446 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). 448 36. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). 450 37. Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). 451 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 Enterprises in the Northern Cauca Area in Colombia. in <i>Globalization and</i> <i>Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 33. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N.</i> <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). 444 34. Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National</i> <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). 446 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). 448 36. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). 450 37. Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). 451 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). 40 41. Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 		32.	
 Health Inequities in Latin America 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 33. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. N. Biotechnol. 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally. (2017). Oliver, T. H. et al. Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. Glob. Sustain. 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption. (2015). Nyborg, K. et al. Social norms as solutions. Science (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. Agric. Human 	 <i>Health Inequities in Latin America</i> 205–218 (Springer International Publishing, 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 33. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. N. <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). 444 34. Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally.</i> (2017). 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). 448 36. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). 37. Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science (80).</i> 354, 42–43 (2016). 45. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). 45. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci. Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). 41. Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 			
 441 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 442 33. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N.</i> <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). 444 34. Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy</i> 4th Edition: National <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). 446 35. Oliver, T. H. et al. Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). 448 36. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). 450 37. Nyborg, K. et al. Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). 451 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 2018). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-67292-2_12 33. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N. Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). 444 34. Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally.</i> (2017). 446 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). 448 36. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). 450 37. Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science (80).</i> 354, 42–43 (2016). 451 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci. Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). 40 41. Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 			•
 33. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N.</i> <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). 444 34. Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National</i> <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally.</i> (2017). 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). 36. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). 37. Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 33. Philp, J. The bioeconomy, the challenge of the century for policy makers. <i>N.</i> <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). 34. Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National</i> <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally</i>. (2017). 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). 36. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). 37. Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science (80).</i> 354, 42–43 (2016). 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). 41. Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 			
 Biotechnol. 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally. (2017). Oliver, T. H. et al. Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. Glob. Sustain. 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption. (2015). Nyborg, K. et al. Social norms as solutions. Science (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. Agric. Human 	 <i>Biotechnol.</i> 40, 11–19 (2018). Pugatch Consilium. <i>Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National</i> <i>Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally.</i> (2017). Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science (80).</i> 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 		22	· —
 444 34. Pugatch Consilium. Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally. (2017). 446 35. Oliver, T. H. et al. Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. Glob. Sustain. 1, e9 (2018). 448 36. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption. (2015). 450 37. Nyborg, K. et al. Social norms as solutions. Science (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). 451 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 67, 44–57 (2017). 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. Agric. Human 	 444 34. Pugatch Consilium. Building the Bioeconomy 4th Edition: National Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally. (2017). 446 35. Oliver, T. H. et al. Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. Glob. Sustain. 1, e9 (2018). 448 36. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption. (2015). 450 37. Nyborg, K. et al. Social norms as solutions. Science (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). 451 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 67, 44–57 (2017). 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. Agric. Human Values 32, 169–182 (2015). 41. Mytelka, L. K. Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing 		55.	
 Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally. (2017). Oliver, T. H. et al. Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. Glob. Sustain. 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption. (2015). Nyborg, K. et al. Social norms as solutions. Science (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. Agric. Human 	 Biotechnology Industry Development Strategies Globally. (2017). Oliver, T. H. et al. Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. Glob. Sustain. 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. Changing Climate, Changing Diets: Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption. (2015). Nyborg, K. et al. Social norms as solutions. Science (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. Agric. Human Values 32, 169–182 (2015). Mytelka, L. K. Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing 		34	
 446 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). 448 36. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). 450 37. Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). 451 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 446 35. Oliver, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Overcoming undesirable resilience in the global food system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). 448 36. Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). 450 37. Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). 451 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). 40. Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 		54.	•
 system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science (80).</i> 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 system. <i>Glob. Sustain.</i> 1, e9 (2018). Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 		35	
 Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 Wellesley, L., Happer, C. & Froggatt, A. <i>Changing Climate, Changing Diets:</i> <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption.</i> (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 		55.	
 <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption</i>. (2015). 37. Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 <i>Pathways to Lower Meat Consumption</i>. (2015). Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science</i> (80). 354, 42–43 (2016). Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 		36	
 450 37. Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science (80).</i> 354, 42–43 (2016). 451 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 457 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 450 37. Nyborg, K. <i>et al.</i> Social norms as solutions. <i>Science (80).</i> 354, 42–43 (2016). 451 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 457 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). 460 41. Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 		20.	
 451 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 457 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 451 38. Greaker, M. & Midttømme, K. Network effects and environmental externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? <i>J. Public Econ.</i> 143, 27–38 (2016). 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 457 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). 460 41. Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 		37.	
 externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 143, 27–38 (2016). 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 452 externalities: Do clean technologies suffer from excess inertia? J. Public Econ. 453 143, 27–38 (2016). 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food 455 naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> 456 <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 457 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: 458 where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 459 <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). 460 41. Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 			
 453 143, 27–38 (2016). 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 457 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 453 143, 27–38 (2016). 454 39. Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> 456 <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 457 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: 458 where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 459 <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). 460 41. Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 			
 455 naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> 456 <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 457 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: 458 where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 455 naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. <i>Trends Food Sci.</i> 456 <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 457 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: 458 where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 459 <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). 460 41. Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 	453		-
 456 <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 457 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: 458 where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 456 <i>Technol.</i> 67, 44–57 (2017). 457 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: 458 where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 459 <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). 460 41. Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 	454	39.	Román, S., Sánchez-Siles, L. M. & Siegrist, M. The importance of food
 457 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: 458 where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 	 457 40. Noack, AL. & Pouw, N. R. M. A blind spot in food and nutrition security: 458 where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 459 <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). 460 41. Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 	455		naturalness for consumers: Results of a systematic review. Trends Food Sci.
458 where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i>	 458 where culture and social change shape the local food plate. <i>Agric. Human</i> 459 <i>Values</i> 32, 169–182 (2015). 460 41. Mytelka, L. K. <i>Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing</i> 	456		<i>Technol.</i> 67 , 44–57 (2017).
	 459 Values 32, 169–182 (2015). 460 41. Mytelka, L. K. Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing 	457	40.	
459 <i>Values</i> 32 , 169–182 (2015).	460 41. Mytelka, L. K. Competition, Innovation and Competitiveness in Developing			
	461 <i>Countries.</i> (OECD, 1999). doi:10.1787/9789264173323-en		41.	
461 <i>Countries.</i> (OECD, 1999). doi:10.1787/9789264173323-en		461		Countries. (OECD, 1999). doi:10.1787/9789264173323-en

462	42.	Snowden, D. J. The Cynefin Framework. (2018). Available at:			
463		https://cognitive-edge.com/. (Accessed: 22nd December 2018)			
464	43.	Acemoglu, D., Akcigit, U., Hanley, D. & Kerr, W. Transition to Clean			
465		Technology. J. Polit. Econ. 124, 52–104 (2016).			
466	44.	Harstad, B., Lancia, F. & Russo, A. Compliance Technology and Self-enforcing			
467		Agreements *. (2018).			
468	45.	Ashford, N. Innovation – The Pathway to Threefold Sustainability. in The			
469		Steilmann Report: The Wealth of People: An Intelligent Economy for the 21st			
470		Century 233-274 (2001). doi:10.1080/17550874.2013.798367			
471	46.	Bryant, C. & Barnett, J. Consumer acceptance of cultured meat: A systematic			
472		review. Meat Sci. 143, 8–17 (2018).			
473	47.	de Boer, J. & Aiking, H. Prospects for pro-environmental protein consumption			
474		in Europe: Cultural, culinary, economic and psychological factors. Appetite			
475		121 , 29–40 (2018).			
476	48.	Vanhonacker, F., Van Loo, E. J., Gellynck, X. & Verbeke, W. Flemish			
477		consumer attitudes towards more sustainable food choices. Appetite 62, 7–16			
478		(2013).			
479	49.	Preston, F. & Lehne, J. A Wider Circle? The Circular Economy in Developing			
480		Countries. (2017). doi:10.1088/1748-9326/11/12/124022			
481	50.	Mazzucato, M. From market fixing to market-creating: a new framework for			
482		innovation policy. Ind. Innov. 23, 140–156 (2016).			
483	51.	Stilgoe., J., Owen, R & McNaghten, P. Developing a framework for			
484		responsible innovation. Res. Policy 42, 1568-1580 (2013).			
485	52.	Dempwolf, CS., Auer, J., & D'Ippolito, M. Innovation Accelerators: Defining			
486		Characteristics among Start-Up Assistance Organisations. Small Business			
487		Administration, Office of Advocacy, University of Maryland, College Park,			
488		MD, US. 45 p. (2014).			
489	53.	Asheim, G.B. <i>et al.</i> The case for a supply-side climate treaty. <i>Science</i> 365 , 325-			
490		327 (2019).			
491	54.	Mankins, J.C. Technology readiness levels, A White Paper, National			
492		Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), Washington, DC (1995).			
493	55.	Mankins, J.C., Technology readiness assessments: a retrospective. Acta			
494		Astronautic. 65, 1216-1223 (2009).			
495	56.	Hirshhorn, S. et al. Final Report of the NASA Technology Readiness			
496		Assessment Study Team, National Aeronautics and Space Administration			
497		(NASA), Washington, DC. (2016).			
498					
499		00111 / 1			
500	Autho	or affiliations			
501	10				
502	¹ Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Australia.				
503	² CGIAR Research Programme on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security				
504	(CCA	FS), International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Nairobi, Kenya.			
505	³ Chatl	ham House, The Royal Institute for International Affairs, London, SW1Y 4LE,			
506	United	d Kingdom.			
507		lam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Potsdam, Germany.			
508		artment of Economics, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1095 Blindern, N-0033			
509	-	Norway.			
509	0510,	1101 way.			

- ⁶Centre for Integrative Ecology, School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Deakin
- 511 University, Burwood Vic 3125, Australia.
- ⁵12 ⁷Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, University of Copenhagen,
- 513 Denmark.
- ⁸Livestock, Environment and People (LEAP), University of Oxford, Oxford OX1
- 515 2JD, United Kingdom.
- ⁹Animal Production Systems Group, Wageningen University and Research,
- 517 Wageningen, Netherlands.
- ⁵¹⁸ ¹⁰International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA), Laxenburg, Austria.
- ⁵¹⁹ ¹¹Institute on the Environment, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455,
- 520 USA.
- 521 ¹²International Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT), Cali, Colombia.
- ¹³Center on Food Security and the Environment, Stanford University, Stanford, CA
 94305, USA.
- ¹⁴The School of Civil Engineering, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, QLD
 4072, Australia.
- ¹⁵Advanced Water Management Centre (AWMC), The University of Queensland, St
 Lucia, QLD 4072, Australia.
- ¹⁶Institute of Environmental Science and Geography, Universität Potsdam, Campus
- 529 Golm, Karl-Liebknecht-Str. 24-25, 14476 Potsdam-Golm
- ¹⁷Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Aberdeen, 23 St
- 531 Machar Drive, Aberdeen, AB24 3UU, UK.
- ¹⁸PBL Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency, The Hague, Netherlands.
- ¹⁹International Livestock Research Institute, Sustainable Livestock Systems, Nairobi,
- 534 Kenya.
- 535 ²⁰CGIAR System Management Organisation, Montpellier, France
- 536 ²¹Copernicus Institute of Sustainable Development, Utrecht University, Utrecht,
- 537 Netherlands.

538 Figure captions.

539 540

541 Figure 1. Future technologies with transformation potential. The technologies are 542 classified under ten groups and span the entire food system. A complete description of 543 each technology is presented in Table S2 of the supplementary information.

544

545 Figure 2. Technological readiness of future food system technologies. The

546 technological readiness score is a 9-stage systematic measurement system that 547 supports the assessment of the maturity of a particular technology. Details on each 548 stage, score calculation and technology groups are shown in Table S2 of the

- 549 supplementary information.
- 550

551 Figure 3. Essential elements for accelerating the systemic transformation of food

552 systems. These accelerators help achieve healthy and sustainable diets, productive

553 agri-food systems and improved waste management - three outcomes necessary to

attain sustainable food systems. 554

	Production	Processing	Packaging	Distribution	Consumption	Waste
Artificial meat/fish						
Artificial products						
Molecular printing		1				
Advanced sensors						
Artificial intelligence				-		
Assistive exoskeletons Big data						
Data integration						
Disease/pests early warning						
Drones						
Farm-to-fork virtual marketplace						
mproved climate forecasts						
ntelligent food packaging						
nternet of Things						
lano-drones						
Nanotechnology				-		
omic data use						
On-field robots						
Pest control robotics						
Pre-birth sex determination						
Robotics						
Sensors for soil						
SERS sensors						
Smartphone food diagnostics						
Fraceability technologies Fracking/confinement tech for livestock						
Biodegradable coatings						
Drying/stabilisation tech						
Food safety tech						
Aicroorganisms coating						
Vanocomposites						
Sustainable processing technologies						
Whole genome sequencing						
Apomixis						
Biofortified crops						
Disease/pest resistance						
Genome editing						
Genome wide selection						
Genomic selection						
GM assisted domestication	-					
Novel nitrogen-fixing crops						
Novel perennials						
Dils in crops						
Plant phenomics						
Reconfiguring photosynthesis						
RNAi gene silencing						
Synthetic biology						
Need-competitive crops						
Personalised food						
Botanicals						
Enhanced efficiency fertilisers Holobiomics						
ACTODIONICS Macrobials						
Acrobials Aicro-irrigation/fertigation						
Aicrobials						
Vanoenhancers						
Vanofertilisers						
lanopesticides						
Soil additives						
Electro-culture						
rrigation expansion						
/ertical agriculture						
BD printing						
Battery technologies						
Ecological biocontrol						
Resurrection plants						
Dietary additives for livestock						
nnovative aquaculture feed						
nsects for food						
_ivestock/seafood substitutes						
Microalgae & cyanobacteria for food						
Microbial protein						
Omega-3 products for aquaculture						
Seaweed for food			l			
Circular economy						



Research Initiated

Experimental Proof

Prototype

Implemented

