

This is a repository copy of *A learning model can explain both shared and idiosyncratic first impressions from faces*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/163912/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Cook, Richard and Over, Harriet orcid.org/0000-0001-9461-043X (2020) A learning model can explain both shared and idiosyncratic first impressions from faces. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. ISSN: 1091-6490

<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2008816117>

---

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

In press at PNAS

**A learning model can explain both shared and idiosyncratic first impressions  
from faces**

Richard Cook\*<sup>1,2</sup> and Harriet Over<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychological Sciences,  
Birkbeck, University of London, London, U.K.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Psychology,  
University of York, York, U.K.

\*Corresponding author:

[richard.cook@bbk.ac.uk](mailto:richard.cook@bbk.ac.uk)

Department of Psychological Sciences,  
Birkbeck, University of London,  
Malet Street,  
London, U.K. WC1E7HX

In their recent contribution to PNAS, Sutherland and colleagues (1) document stable individual differences in the character traits spontaneously attributed to strangers based solely on their facial appearance (“first impressions”). Data from the accompanying twin-study suggest that these idiosyncratic first impressions are products of individuals’ direct social experience. These findings accord well with earlier lab-based work indicating that social experience influences observers’ subsequent impressions of facial trustworthiness (2, 3).

Documenting stable individual differences in observers’ first impressions is important, not least because these data potentially inform accounts of developmental origin. It remains unclear, however, why there is also considerable consensus between observers in terms of the character traits inferred spontaneously from the faces of strangers. This is an important question to address as some forms of consensus – negative evaluation of particular faces by many members of a community – can result in systematic discrimination (4).

Many authors, including Sutherland et al, fall back on genetic explanations to explain high levels of inter-rater agreement, where observed (5, 6). However, the logic of this position is not at all straightforward. Importantly, first impressions – even those where high levels of consensus exist – bear little relation to the ground truth; people who are judged to be untrustworthy are frequently trustworthy, and vice versa (4). If evolution endowed us with a mechanism for inferring the character traits of others, suffice to say it doesn’t do a very good job! It is debatable whether such unreliable first impressions would have conveyed any adaptive advantage. Our ancestors may have been better off assuming nothing about the traits of strangers from their appearance (7).

A learning framework, on the other hand, can be used to understand both consensus, where observed, and stable individual differences in first impressions. The Trait Inference Mapping framework assumes that first impressions are the result of learned associations between points in face-space and trait-space (8). These mappings allow excitation to spread automatically from perceptual descriptions of face shape to

representations of particular trait profiles, conceived of as points in a high-dimensional trait-space (9).

Idiosyncratic mappings acquired as a result of direct social interactions with others, may account for the kinds of individual differences studied by Sutherland and colleagues (1). Crucially, however, a permissive learning mechanism can also produce consistent first impressions within a culture. Exposure to depictions of “good-guys” and “bad-guys”; “leaders” and “followers” in illustrated story-books, film, television, ritual, art and iconography, may lead different individuals within a society to acquire similar face-trait mappings (8).

An interesting implication of this view is that, earlier in human history, before mass media and the invention of the printing press, there may have been far greater variability in first impressions than we see today. In contemporary WEIRD cultures (10), stereotypical depictions of particular character types may attenuate some variability in first impressions. In the absence of canalising cultural influences, however, we hypothesise that in some non-WEIRD cultures, first impressions may be more idiosyncratic.

## References

1. C. A. M. Sutherland *et al.*, Individual differences in trust evaluations are shaped mostly by environments, not genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* doi/10.1073/pnas.1920131117 (2020).
2. V. B. Falvello, M. Vinson, C. Ferrari, A. Todorov, The robustness of learning about the trustworthiness of other people. *Soc. Cogn.* **33**, 368-386 (2015).
3. O. FeldmanHall *et al.*, Stimulus generalization as a mechanism for learning to trust. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **115**, E1690-E1697 (2018).
4. C. Y. Olivola, F. Funk, A. Todorov, Social attributions from faces bias human choices. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* **18**, 566-570 (2014).
5. M. Van Vugt, A. E. Grabo, The many faces of leadership: an evolutionary psychology approach. *Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci.* **24**, 484-489 (2015).
6. L. A. Zebrowitz, The origins of first impressions. *J. Cult. Evol. Psychol.* **2**, 93-108 (2004).
7. A. Todorov, F. Funk, C. Y. Olivola, Response to Bonnefon *et al.*: Limited 'kernels of truth' in facial inferences. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* **19**, 422-423 (2015).
8. H. Over, R. Cook, Where do spontaneous first impressions of faces come from? *Cognition* **170**, 190-200 (2018).
9. D. Hassabis *et al.*, Imagine all the people: how the brain creates and uses personality models to predict behavior. *Cereb. Cortex* **24**, 1979-1987 (2013).
10. J. Henrich, S. J. Heine, A. Norenzayan, The weirdest people in the world? *Behav. Brain. Sci.* **33**, 61-83; discussion 83-135 (2010).