UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *Transition strengths in the neutron-rich* \$^73,74,75Ni\$ *isotopes*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/163874/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Gottardo, A., de Angelis, G., Doornenbal, P. et al. (56 more authors) (2020) Transition strengths in the neutron-rich \$^73,74,75Ni\$ isotopes. Physical Review C - Nuclear Physics. 014323. ISSN 2469-9993

https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.102.014323

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Transition strengths in the neutron rich ^{73,74,75}Ni isotopes

A. Gottardo,^{1,2,*} G. de Angelis,¹ P. Doornenbal,³ L. Coraggio,⁴ A. Gargano,^{4,5} N. Itaco,^{4,5} K. Kaneko,⁶

P. Van Isacker,⁷ T. Furumoto,^{8,3} G. Benzoni,⁹ J. Lee,³ H. Liu,³ M. Matsushita,¹⁰ D. Mengoni,²
V. Modamio-Hoybjor,¹ S. Momiyama,¹¹ T. Motobayashi,³ D.R. Napoli,¹ M. Niikura,¹¹ E. Sahin,¹²
Y. Shiga,^{3,13} H. Sakurai,^{3,11} R. Taniuchi,¹¹ S. Takeuchi,³ H. Wang,^{3,14} J.J. Valiente-Dobòn,¹

R. Avigo,^{9,15} H. Baba,³ N. Blasi,⁹ F.L. Bello Garrote,¹² F. Browne,^{3,16} F.C.L. Crespi,^{9,15} S. Ceruti,^{9,15}

R. Daido,¹⁴ M.-C. Delattre,¹⁷ D. Fang,¹⁴ Zs. Dombradi,¹⁸ T. Isobe,³ I. Kuti,¹⁸ G. Lorusso,³
K. Matsui,¹¹ B. Melon,¹⁹ T. Miyazaki,¹¹ S. Nishimura,³ R. Orlandi,²⁰ Z. Patel,^{3,21} S. Rice,^{3,21}
L. Sinclair,^{3,22} P.A. Söderström,³ D. Sohler,¹⁸ T. Sumikama,²³ J. Taprogge,^{24,25} Zs. Vajta,¹⁸
H. Watanabe,^{3,26} O. Wieland,⁹ J. Wu,^{3,23} Z. Y. Xu,¹¹ M. Yalcinkaya,²⁷ and R. Yokoyama¹⁰

¹Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, Legnaro I-35020, Italy

²Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università degli Studi di Padova, Padova I-35131, Italy

³RIKEN Nishina Center, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

⁴Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Napoli, Napoli, Italy

⁵ Università della Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, I-81100 Caserta, Italy

⁶Department of Physics, Kyushu Sangyo University, Fukuoka 813-8503, Japan

⁷ Grand Accélérateur National d'Ions Lourds, CEA/DRF-CNRS/IN2P3, Bvd Henri Becquerel, F-14076 Caen, France

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

⁹Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Milano, I-20133 Milano, Italy

¹⁰CNS, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 351-0198, Japan

¹¹Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo-ku, 113-0033 Tokyo, Japan

¹²Department of Physics, University of Oslo, N-0316 Oslo, Norway

¹³Department of Physics, Rikkyo University, 3-34-1 Nishi-Ikebukuro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171-8501, Japan

¹⁴Department of Physics, Osaka University, Osaka 560-0043 Toyonaka, Japan

¹⁵Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università degli Studi di Milano, I-20133 Milano, Italy

¹⁶School of Computing, Engineering and Mathematics,

University of Brighton, Brighton BN2 4JG, United Kingdom

¹⁷Institut de Physique Nucléaire, CNRS-IN2P3, Université Paris-Sud,

Université Paris-Saclay, 91406 Orsay Cedex, France

¹⁸Atomki, H-4001 Debrecen, Hungary

¹⁹Dipartimento di Fisica ed Astronomia dell'Universitá di Firenze and INFN Firenze, I-50019 Firenze, Italy

²⁰Instituut voor Kern- en StralingsFysica, K.U. Leuven, B-3001 Heverlee. Belaium

²¹Department of Physics, University of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, United Kingdom

²²Department of Physics, University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, United Kingdom

²³Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Miyagi 980-8578, Japan

²⁴Instituto de Estructura de la Materia, CSIC, E-28006 Madrid, Spain

²⁵Departamento de Fsica terica, Universidad Autnoma de Madrid, E-28049 Madrid, Spain

²⁶ IRCNPC, School of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China

²⁷ Department of Physics, Istanbul University, 34134 Istanbul, Turkey

(Dated: November 29, 2019)

Reduced transition probabilities have been measured for the neutron-rich ^{73,74,75}Ni nuclei with relativistic Coulomb excitation performed at the RIKEN Nishina Center. For the even-even Ni isotope the determined $B(E2; 0^+ \rightarrow 2^+)$ value is compatible within errors with the result of a previous intermediate energy Coulomb excitation experiment, although being somewhat larger. For the odd Ni isotopes B(E2) values have been determined for the first time. In the middle $\nu g_{9/2}$ shell nucleus ⁷³Ni three peak candidates have been observed and tentatively assigned to the $(5/2^+)$, $(13/2^+)$ and $(11/2^+)$ excitations. Two peak candidates have been observed in ⁷⁵Ni and tentatively assigned to the $(13/2^+)$ and $(11/2^+)$ levels. The measured B(E2) values are in line with the large B(E2) reported in ⁷⁰Ni and at variance with the small strength measured in ⁷²Ni. Excitation energies compare well with the result of large-scale shell-model calculations, while B(E2) values are larger than predicted. This could indicate an increasing contribution of proton excitations across the Z = 28 shell closure when approaching ⁷⁸Ni.

INTRODUCTION

One of the most striking features of the atomic nucleus is the fact that, while correlation effects between valence particles can be modeled in an effective way, a comprehensive quantitative description of the bulk properties such as binding energies and shell closures is still lack-

^{*} andrea.gottardo@lnl.infn.it

ing [1]. This is indeed directly linked to the properties of the nuclear Hamiltonian, which has a central (monopole) part difficult to describe with two-body forces only. As a consequence, much of the recent efforts have been devoted to the understanding of the role played by the different components of the nuclear interaction in determining the shell structure of nuclei.

The Ni isotopes are in this regard a benchmark of nuclear studies, as they correspond to a proton shell closure (Z = 28), while also exhibiting neutron shell or subshell closures at N = 28 (⁵⁶Ni), N = 40 (⁶⁸Ni) and N = 50 (⁷⁸Ni). An intriguing interplay among spherical, prolate and γ -unstable shapes has been predicted in the Ni isotopes driven by the combined effect of the tensor force and different particle configurations [2–4]. Such configuration-dependent shell structure translates into the coexistence of spherical and strongly deformed shapes with shape fluctuations and with a spectrum approaching the symmetry group E(5) with a behavior which has been interpreted as a striking example of phase transitions in dual quantum liquids [3]. In parallel, with the double magicity of 78 Ni recently assessed [5], there is an interest in studying the filling of the $\nu g_{9/2}$ orbital, whose closure corresponds to the N = 50 gap. This became particularly true after O. Perru et al. [6] found an unexpectedly high $B(E2; 0^+ \rightarrow 2^+)$ value in ⁷⁰Ni from an intermediate energy Coulomb excitation measurement. The authors interpreted the result as evidence of a reduction of the proton Z = 28 shell gap when moving towards the N = 50 shell closure, a reduction mainly attributed to the tensor component of the nuclear force. In fact, such component of the nuclear force determines a repulsive interaction between the $\nu g_{9/2}$ and the $\pi f_{7/2}$ shells, and on the contrary an attractive force between the $\nu g_{9/2}$ and the $\pi f_{5/2}$ shells [2]. This in turns determines a decrease of the Z = 28 gap as more neutrons are added in the $\nu g_{9/2}$ orbits towards ⁷⁸Ni. The immediate consequence of this level shift is a decrease in the energy cost of proton excitations from the Z = 28 core to the shells above with an increase in collectivity of the firstexcited 2^+ level. As a result, the augmented collectivity observed in Ref. [6] was taken as a signature of a reduced shell gap at Z = 28 approaching N = 50. Moreover, recent radioactivity studies in ⁷⁰Co, ⁷⁰Ni showed that shape coexistence occurs in the isobaric A = 70 chain due to type II shell evolution [7]. In a recent remeasurement of the $B(E2; 0^+ \rightarrow 2^+)$ of ⁷⁰Ni [8], the authors found a result smaller but still compatible within errors with Ref. [6]. In more neutron-rich nuclei, two inelastic proton scattering experiments performed for ⁷⁴Ni also vielded a large deformation parameter [9, 10], interpreted a sign of enhanced quadrupole collectivity. The suggestion of a reducing Z = 28 gap in neutron-rich Ni nuclei seems to be in contrast with the results of two measurements probing the collectivity of the first-excited 2^+ level in the 72,74 Ni isotopes based either on the measured lifetime of such state (^{72}Ni) [11] or on an intermediate energy Coulomb excitation (^{74}Ni) [12].

To clarify this issue we have investigated the B(E2) values of the even-even ⁷⁴Ni nucleus and of the odd ^{73,75}Ni isotopes (⁷⁵Ni is presently the most neutron-rich Ni isotope experimentally reachable with this approach), under the assumption that the observed collectivity is representative of that of the even-even core nuclei. Ni isotopes are also an interesting example of partial conservation of the seniority quantum number. Seniority remains a good quantum number for any two-body interaction acting within j shell when $j \leq 7/2$, but it needs not be conserved for $j \geq 9/2$ [13]. Partial conservation of the seniority quantum number - most eigenstates are mixed in seniority but some remain pure - has been recently predicted in nuclei, like the neutron rich Ni isotopes, filling the $g_{9/2}$ shell [14–16].

EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experiment was performed at the Radioactive Isotope Beam Factory (RIBF), operated jointly by the RIKEN Nishina Center and the Center for Nuclear Study of the University of Tokyo. It was run together with a β -decay spectroscopy measurements to optimize the beam time usage. The radioactive ions $^{73,\hat{7}4,75}\mathrm{Ni}$ were produced by the fission of a primary ²³⁸U beam. The beam was delivered by the RIBF accelerator complex at an energy of 345 MeV/nucleon, with an average intensity of 10 pnA. The 238 U ions impinged on a 3 mm thick Be target at the F0 focus of the BigRIPS fragment separator and the resulting fission fragments were selected using the $B\rho - \Delta E - B\rho$ method [17]. The selection and measurement of the mass-to-charge ratio A/Q was performed using the time of flight TOF information, based on the response of two plastic scintillators placed at the focal points F3 and F7, and the determination of the magnetic rigidity obtained from the measurement (with parallel plate avalanche counters (PPACs)) of the position and angle at the achromatic focal point F3 and at the dispersive focal point F5 [18]. The momentum acceptance of the separator was 4.8 %. The atomic number Z of the fragments was measured thanks to a ionization chamber located at the focal point F7, via energy loss in the gas. The ions resulting from primary beam fission were thus identified on event-by-event basis in both A/Qand Z. Figure 1 a) shows the identification plot obtained from the BigRIPS fragment separator. The Ni isotopes are pointed out, the most intense being $^{74}\mathrm{Ni}.$ This secondary beam was then delivered to a 1.177 g/cm^2

natural Pb target located at the F8 focal point. The energy of the ⁷⁴Ni ions at the central target position was on average 215 MeV/nucleon, while their trajectories before and after the lead target were reconstructed using three double PPACs detectors, two located upstream and one downstream with respect to the target. The PPACs position resolution in X and Y was 0.5 mm, allowing for a scattering angle resolution of about 5 mrad, to be com-

pared with the about 6 mrad angular straggling of the 74 Ni ions inside the secondary target, calculated using the ATIMA code [19].

Reaction products were identified in the downstream ZeroDegree spectrometer [20], which also transported them to the WAS3Abi [21]-EURICA [22] setup for decay spectroscopy studies. This spectrometer, like BigRIPS, can identify the reaction products in A/Q and Z using TOF, beam trajectory and energy loss information. Figure 1 b) shows the identification plot obtained from the ZeroDegree fragment separator. The loci corresponding to the ^{73,74,75}Ni ions are marked. Incidentally, the simultaneous performance of Coulomb excitation and decay-spectroscopy measurements implied to maximize the transmission of the ions of interest to the WAS3Abi-EURICA setup (decay spectroscopy), mainly Mn, Fe and Co isotopes. This essentially translated in the necessity to keep the beam energy at the secondary target point quite high, if compared to previous Coulomb excitation experiments [23], corresponding to a lower Coulomb excitation cross section.

In order to detect the γ rays emitted by the decay of the Coulomb-excited levels in the isotopes of interest, the DALI2 [24] NaI(Tl) scintillator array was placed around the Pb secondary target. The array was composed of 186 scintillators covering center-of-crystal angles from 19 to 150 degrees. A Geant4 [25] simulation of the DALI2 spectrometer was performed and validated with efficiency measurements using ⁶⁰Co and ¹³⁷Cs sources. A 5%-level agreement was found between the measured and simulated spectra.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

During the experiments, the Coulomb-excited Ni residues had a velocity of about 0.6c, and thus the Lorentz boost implied a strong forward focussing of the emitted γ rays. As a consequence, only the most forward NaI(Tl) crystals were used for the analysis, at angles < 69 degrees. This was also instrumental in allowing a cut on the beam-induced background since at forward angles γ rays from reaction products are Doppler shifted at higher energies, where the background is smaller. The γ rays decaying from the states of interest were then selected applying a gate on the Ni isotopes in both BigRIPS and ZeroDegree. Part of the background at low energy will also originate from the Compton scattering of highenergy γ -rays coming from the excited ²⁰⁸Pb 3⁻ and 2⁺ states. A Doppler correction was applied to the γ -ray spectrum, taking into account the average beam energy (215 MeV/A) and the angles between the detected photon and the emitting fragment, assuming the reaction residues having a direction on the beam axis. Figure 2 shows the experimental γ -ray spectra from the decay of the Coulomb-excited states in the 73,74,75 Ni isotopes.

In the ⁷⁴Ni spectrum the 2⁺ state at 1009(15) keV, known from previous β -decay experiments (1024(1) keV)



FIG. 1. a) Identification plot obtained from the BigRIPS fragment separator, providing an image of the cocktail beam arriving on the secondary target for Coulex. b) Identification plot obtained from the ZeroDegree fragment separator, after secondary target. In both graphics the atomic number Z is in the ordinate, while the abscissa represents the mass-to-charge ratio A/Q. The ^{73,74,75}Ni isotopes are pointed out.

and from Ref. [12], is clearly visible. For the 73,75 Ni isotopes, the spectra show more complex structures with peaks located at an excitation energy of ≈ 1 MeV. Further guidance in the identification of peaks is provided by γ spectra when a multiplicity one is required: they are shown in the insets of Fig. 2. In fact, relativistic-energy Coulomb excitation is primarily a γ multiplicity-one process, while background from beam-matter interaction engenders higher multiplicity. Therefore, the γ spectra so conditioned should have an improved peak-to-background at the expense of some statistics loss. This is indeed the case for the spectra for Ni isotopes: in $^{73}\mathrm{Ni}$ three transitions are visible whereas two transitions are observed in the same energy range of ⁷⁵Ni. They correspond in energy to the structures barely identifiable in the multiplicity-ungated spectra. Nuclear structure arguments can help to make tentative assignments for the spin-parity of these states. The ground state of ^{73,75}Ni is supposed to be $I^{\pi} = (9/2^+)$,



FIG. 2. Gamma-ray spectra from relativistic Coulomb excitation of 73,74,75 Ni after selection on the corresponding ions on both incoming and outgoing secondary beams. The error bars are indicated in the plot. The brown dashed lines represent the simulations for the background, constituted of two exponentials. The Coulomb excitation of the 2⁺ of the target isotope 206 Pb is drawn with a continuous brown line. The black and blues are the response functions for the Coulomb excitation of the selected Ni isotopes. All these simulation functions are then fitted to the experimental spectrum, as shown by the red continuous line. In the insets the spectra with a γ multiplicity-one condition are presented.

considering the unpaired neutron located in the $\nu q_{9/2}$ shell. Schematically, the relativistic Coulomb excitation will then break a pair of neutrons in the same $\nu g_{9/2}$ orbit, coupling them to the unpaired neutron giving rise to a multiplet of states. Later in the text, it will be shown that shell-model calculations predict that among all the states coming from the multiplet $(g_{9/2})^n$, the $5/2^+$, $13/2^+$ and $11/2^+$ levels are expected to be at excitation energies around 1 MeV for both nuclei, the $13/2^+$ having the larger B(E2) among the three. The other states where considerable E2 strength is predicted, like $7/2^+$, are expected to be located at much lower excitation energy and therefore in the region of the experimental spectrum strongly polluted by target excitations. For 73 Ni two 5/2⁺ levels are predicted by the calculations at energies around 500 and 850 keV whereas only this second one is expected for $^{75}\mathrm{Ni}.$ From previous works a $(7/2^+)$ state is known in ⁷³Ni at 239 keV of excitation energy and a $(5/2^+)$ level at 524 keV [26]. Nothing is known for ⁷⁵Ni. We therefore tentatively assume the three transitions in 73 Ni at 992(20), 1115(20) and 1210(25) keV to de-excite the $(5/2^+)$, $(13/2^+)$ and $(11/2^+)$ states at 992(20), 1115(20) and 1210(25) keV of excitation energy, respectively. In the case of the 75 Ni isotope, the two transitions observed at 950(20) and 1115(20) keV are also tentatively assumed to de-excite corresponding states of spin-parity $(13/2^+)$ and $(11/2^+)$, respectively. The huge shoulder at lower energies in Fig. 2, reaching up about 700 keV, is coming from the aforementioned atomic background and also includes the 2^+ excitation of ²⁰⁶Pb at 800 keV, present with a 24% percentage in the natural Pb target. Table I presents a summary of the measured excited states together with the results of theoretical calculations.

In order to extract the Coulomb excitation cross sections, one has to determine the intensities of the γ transitions observed. This requires the knowledge of the absolute γ efficiency of the DALI2 detector and to take into account the Lorentz boost (forward focussing) of the emitted radiation. Furthermore, the Doppler broadening and the Compton edges of transitions lying higher in energy alter the normal Gaussian shape of the peaks. All these effects can be consistently treated only with a simulation. The γ spectra were simulated using the Geant4 code, employing as inputs the energies of the 2^+ state of ⁷⁴Ni and of the assigned $(5/2^+), (13/2^+), (11/2^+)$ states in ⁷³Ni and of $(13/2^+)$, $(11/2^+)$ in ⁷⁵Ni, the measured energy resolution for each scintillator, the average beam velocity and the lead target thickness. A similar simulation was performed for the excitation of the ²⁰⁶Pb nuclei in the target, whereas the excited states of ²⁰⁸Pb are too high in energy to give a contribution. The simulated ^{206}Pb Coulomb excitation process gives origin to a very large bump at 500-800 keV, since the Doppler correction is based on the Ni kinematics (see the continues brown lines in Fig. 2). In order to compare these calculations with the experimental data, a double exponential background plus a constant was added to the simulated spectra, as a model of the atomic and beam-related background. The result-

TABLE I. Measured values for the energy of excited states in 73,74,75 Ni in comparison with theoretical predictions. SM (1) is a shell model based on a unified realistic interaction employing the pairing plus multipole Hamiltonian and a universal monopole, while SM (2) is a shell model calculation using the CD-Bonn potential. A calculation using a single $g_{9/2}$ shell (seniority-scheme) is also presented for reference. See text for details.

	$E_x 2^+$ (keV)											
	measured				SM (1)			SM(2)		Single orbit		
⁷⁴ Ni	1024(1)			1074 991			1070					
	$E_x (5/2^+) (keV)$				$E_x (13/2^+) (keV)$			$E_x (11/2^+) (keV)$				
	measured	SM(1)	SM(2)	Single orbit	measured	SM(1)	SM(2)	Single orbit	measured	SM(1)	SM(2)	Single orbit
⁷³ Ni	992(20)	1223	842	938	1115(20)	1123	1008	1213	1210(25)	1298	1237	1398
⁷⁵ Ni					950(20)	1199	1061	1152	1101(20)	1217	1173	1271

ing function was fitted to the γ -ray spectra as shown in Fig. 2, with a χ^2 minimization. The cross section of the excitation process was extracted from the fitting constant used for the simulated Ni spectra. A cross section of $\sigma = 118$ mbarn is determined for ⁷⁴Ni. The statical error coming from the χ^2 minimization is 16 mbarn, or about 14%. The error considered for the thickness of the secondary target is about 1%. As mentioned before, the Monte Carlo simulation of the γ efficiency agreed within 5% with the experimentally-derived efficiency, estimated with ⁶⁰Co source of known activity. Therefore, an additional systematic 5% error was included in the crosssection estimate. Overall, the cross section measured for the 2⁺ state in ⁷⁴Ni is $\sigma(0^+ \rightarrow 2^+) = 118 \pm 20$ mbarn.

For the odd-A nuclei, the extraction of the γ intensities and hence of the Coulex cross sections is less straightforward due to both theoretical and experimental issues. From the theoretical point of view, as anticipated before, core-excitation coupled states are expected to carry the E2 strength in ^{73,75}Ni. These states can be qualitatively described as originating from a particle or a hole weakly coupled to the 2^+ excitation of the neighboring even-even core. For 73 Ni, for example, the coupling of an odd $g_{9/2}$ neutron to the ⁷²Ni 2_1^+ state would give rise to a quintet of states with spin values $5/2^+$, $7/2^+$, $9/2^+$, $11/2^+$, $13/2^+$, with a total $\sum_j B(E2; 9/2^+ \rightarrow J) \approx$ $B(E2\uparrow)_{72N_i}$. Here the sign \approx is related to the expected anharmonicities coming from the 'blocking' of the odd neutron. Shell model calculations performed using the V_{lowK} approach [27] or a unified realistic Hamiltonian with a monopole based universal force [28] show that almost 90% of the strength is exhausted by the $5/2^+$, $13/2^+$ and $11/2^+$ members of the multiplet, with the $13/2^+$ state giving the dominant contribution (see later for shell-model calculations).

Experimentally, the difficulty for ^{73,75}Ni is due to the low statistics, which makes the χ^2 minimization quite unstable. As for the case of peak identification, the multiplicity-one spectra provide essential guidance in determining the rough values of the intensities, which are necessary to set the limits of the parameters needed by the fit with the simulated response functions. Employing this procedure, the 73,75 Ni experimental cross sections were determined relatively to the one of 74 Ni. Figure 2 shows the fit with the response function for observed transitions for odd isotopes. The cross sections for the excitation of the $5/2^+$, $13/2^+$ and $11/2^+$ states in 73 Ni are:

 $\begin{aligned} &\sigma(9/2) \to (5/2^+)) = 18 \pm 20 \text{ mbarn}, \\ &\sigma(9/2^+ \to (13/2^+)) = 38 \pm 15 \text{ mbarn} \\ &\sigma(9/2^+ \to (11/2^+)) = 18 \pm 10 \text{ mbarn} \\ &\text{and for } ^{75}\text{Ni are:} \\ &\sigma(9/2^+ \to (11/2^+)) = 29 \pm 20 \text{ mbarn}, \\ &\sigma(9/2^+ \to (13/2^+)) = 36 \pm 23 \text{ mbarn}. \end{aligned}$

The statistical significance of the measured peaks has been determined following the ISO/TC 69 standard. It ranges from about 21 in the case of ⁷⁴Ni, to about 3.8 and 1.8 for the 1115 keV and 1210, 992 keV γ -ray lines in ⁷³Ni, respectively. For the ⁷⁵Ni case the significance is 4.5 and 2.5 for the 950 and 1101 keV γ rays, respectively. For the case where the statistical significance is below 3, the identification of γ rays presented in this work should be considered tentative.

The cross section of the excitation process was extracted from the fitting constant used for the simulated Ni spectra. It must be noted that these cross sections include both electromagnetic and nuclear contributions. Therefore, a calculation with both nuclear and electromagnetic excitations is demanded in order to extract the B(E2) value for the observed excited states. With the aim of determining the nuclear contribution, we started first with ⁷⁴Ni, where a previous B(E2) measurement is available Ref. [12].

The calculation to derive the B(E2) value follows the procedure described in Ref. [29]. In that paper the authors made a detailed analysis of the effects that scattering angle resolution and straggling can have on the comparison between the measured and the theoretical cross section. In particular, the theoretical differential cross section obtained from nuclear and Coulomb potential scattering must be convoluted with the experimental scattering angle resolution and straggling in target in order to be compared with the result of the measurement. It was also pointed out that the code FRESCO [30, 31] can properly treat not only Coulomb and nuclear scattering, but also their interference. As a consequence, the total (nuclear and Coulomb) cross section for the present experiment is calculated using this code. The nuclear potential model employed is derived from Ref. [32], calculated for the ⁷⁴Ni, ²⁰⁸Pb collision. The CEG07 interaction [33] and Sao Paulo density [34] were used. A recent ⁷⁴Ni(p,p')⁷⁴Ni measurement performed at RIKEN, Ref. [10], determined a deformation length $\delta = 0.9(2)$ fm for ⁷⁴Ni. This value is used as an input to the FRESCO calculation. For the Coulomb part, the quadrupole matrix element is a free parameter that is varied to reproduce the experimental cross section. It is found that the interference between the nuclear and the Coulomb potentials is destructive and that it almost cancels the nuclear contribution. Considering the large experimental errors (see later), the final result on the B(E2) value is independent of the deformation length chosen on a wide range. Using these parameters with the code FRESCO, we obtained a differential total (nuclear plus Coulomb) cross section which was then convoluted with the straggling in target and scattering angle resolution from focal plane detector position accuracy. This amounts to about a 7 mrad scattering angle Gaussian smearing. The result of this procedure can be compared with the experimental data after the beam transmission from BigRIPS to ZeroDegree is folded with the smeared differential cross section. Overall, losses due to transmission acceptance were below 10%.

Following the aforementioned strategy, the measured cross section of $\sigma_n + \sigma_c = 118(19)$ mb in ⁷⁴Ni could be reproduced from FRESCO calculations employing an E2 transition matrix element $M_p = 33.2$ efm² which corresponds to a $B(E2; 0^+ \rightarrow 2^+) = 1133$ e²fm⁴. This value has to be corrected for the feeding from higher-lying states [23] which may be populated by single-step Coulomb and nuclear excitations. The γ decay of these predominantly 2^+_x and 3^-_x levels will in fact increase the observed intensity of the $2^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ transition. Previous Coulomb excitation studies on Sn nuclei in similar experimental conditions estimated a contribution to the $B(E2; 0^+ \rightarrow 2^+)$ value ranging from $14\pm7\%$ to $21\pm11\%$ [23, 29, 35]. In the present study, we consequently employ a $20\pm10\%$ estimate for the feeding, yielding $B(E2; 0^+ \rightarrow 2^+) = 906$ e²fm⁴ for ⁷⁴Ni.

The same procedure is then applied to evaluate the B(E2) from the total cross sections of the odd-even ^{73,75}Ni isotopes. It is assumed that their scattering length is the same as for ⁷⁴Ni. The near cancellation of nuclear potential and nuclear-Coulomb potential interference contributions assures that this assumption does not impact the final result within the large statistical errors from measurement.

Errors on B(E2) values were estimated propagating the error from the measured cross sections, considering that Coulomb cross section is a linear function of B(E2). The 20% feeding estimate is considered to have a 50% relative error, which is quadratically summed to the error coming from the cross section measurement. A further systematic error of 10% is introduced as a result of the uncertainty linked to the choice of the nuclear potential to be used in the FRESCO calculation. This error estimate was obtained by comparing the result from the aforementioned potential with those from a Woods-Saxon and a $t - \rho\rho$ potentials as implemented in the code Dweiko [36]. For ⁷⁴Ni, this leads to $B(E2; 0^+ \rightarrow 2^+) = 906 \pm 222 \text{ e}^2\text{fm}^4$. The result from a recent intermediate energy Coulomb excitation measurement for ⁷⁴Ni, reported in Ref. [12], is $B(E2; 0^+ \rightarrow 2^+) = 642 \pm 220 \text{ e}^2\text{fm}^4$, obtained without subtracting a feeding from higher-lying levels.

The results for the odd-even isotopes are reported in Tab. II.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

It is interesting to compare the present results with the predictions of large-scale shell-model calculations using effective interactions which are now available for this mass region. Shell model calculations have been performed using (SM1) a unified realistic interaction employing the pairing plus multipole Hamiltonian combined with the monopole interaction constructed starting from the monopole-based universal force from Ref. [28, 37] or (SM2) an effective Hamiltonian derived from the CD-Bonn potential, renormalized by way of the $V_{\rm low-k}$ approach [38], within the framework of the time-dependent perturbation theory [27].

In the model (1), SM1, the model space comprises the $f_{7/2},\ p_{3/2},\ f_{5/2},\ p_{1/2},\ g_{9/2},\ d_{5/2}$ orbitals for both protons and neutrons. The large scale shell-model calculations are performed under the truncation that protons and neutrons are not excited from the $f_{7/2}$ and the $p_{3/2}$ orbitals. The interaction matrix elements and the single-particle energies are given within the same way as the derivation of the Hamiltonian in the previous papers [39, 40], where the effective charges for proton and neutron are taken as $e_p = 1.50e$ and $e_n = 0.75e$. In model (2), SM2, a different valence space was considered, with protons in the $f_{7/2}$, $p_{3/2}$, $f_{5/2}$ shells and neutrons in the $p_{3/2}, f_{5/2}, p_{1/2}, g_{9/2}$ orbitals. The electromagnetic transition strengths were calculated by using proton and neutron effective E2 operators consistently derived with the same perturbation approach of the Hamiltonian. A calculation based only on the $\nu g_{9/2}$ shell was also performed to provide a reference. In this model the B(E2)values were normalized to the average of the $2^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ E2 strengths in ⁷⁴Ni predicted by SM1 and SM2. The excited levels predicted by the three calculations are compared to experimental values in Table I. The corresponding theoretical B(E2) strengths for ^{74,73,75}Ni are shown in Table II.

TABLE II. Measured values for the $B(E2) \downarrow$ strengths in ^{73,74,75}Ni in comparison with theoretical predictions. SM (1) is a shell model with a unified realistic interaction employing the pairing plus multipole Hamiltonian and a universal monopole, while SM (2) is a calculation using the CD-Bonn potential. A $g_{9/2}$ seniority-scheme calculation is also presented for reference. See text for details.

$B(E2; 2^+ \to 0^+) \ (e^2 \text{fm}^4)$												
	measured			SM (1)			SM(2)			Single orbit		
⁷⁴ Ni	181 ± 44			120 10			106	113				
	$B(E2; (5/2^+) \to 9/2^+) \ (e^2 \text{fm}^4)$			$B(E2;(13/2^+) \to 9/2^+)$) ($e^{2}fm^{4}$) $B(E2;(11/$			$^{+}) \rightarrow 9/2^{+}) \ (e^{2} fm^{4})$		
	measured	SM(1)	SM(2)	Single orbit	measured	SM(1)	SM(2)	Single orbit	measured	SM(1)	SM(2)	Single orbit
⁷³ Ni	$237{\pm}267$	87	85	107	$217~{\pm}94$	106	116	116	117 ± 69	47	33	63
⁷⁵ Ni					202 ± 134	71	78	85	191 ± 136	32	40	50

For the even-even nucleus ⁷⁴Ni, SM1, SM2 and singleorbit calculations agree very well with the experimental results regarding the excitation energy of the 2^+ level. On the contrary, the B(E2) strength appears to be underestimated by models.

Concerning the odd isotopes, the limited statistics prevents a firm comparison as in the case of ⁷⁴Ni, but some considerations can be drawn from data. For ⁷³Ni both shell-model and single-orbit calculations predict as low-lying excitations above the $9/2^+$ ground state the $7/2^+$ and $5/2^+_1$ states and at about 1 MeV of excitation energy (the region of interest for this measurement) the $5/2^+_2$, $13/2^+$ and $11/2^+$ levels. Overall, the calculated excitation energies for those states are in agreement with the experimental observations. For the tentativelyassigned $(13/2^+)$ and $(11/2^+)$ states, the measured transition strengths are also larger than the results of SM1, SM2 and single-orbit calculations, although the large error bars prevent one to draw a definitive conclusion. The similarity with the ⁷⁴Ni case is anyway suggestive of a common trend. Both shell-model and single-orbit calculations predict in 73 Ni two $5/2^+$ levels at around 300 and $900~{\rm keV}$ of excitation energy. The first one may indeed be the one reported in Ref. [26]. The $B(E2; 5/2^+_1 \rightarrow 9/2^+)$ is calculated between 0 (single orbit) and few $e^2 \text{ fm}^4$ (SM) whereas the $B(E2; 5/2^+_2 \rightarrow 9/2^+)$ is predicted of the order of about of 100 $e^2 \text{ fm}^4$. This large difference in transition strength to the ground state is a consequence of seniority conservation. The $5/2^+_1$ level has a dominant component with seniority $\nu = 5$ (two broken neutron pairs in the corresponding even-even nucleus) and therefore, due to the seniority change of $\Delta \nu = 4$, the transition to the seniority $\nu = 1$ ground state is hindered. The $5/2^+_2$ level, in contrast, is dominantly a seniority $\nu = 3$ state (one broken neutron pair in the corresponding eveneven nucleus) allowing an E2 decay to the ground state. Even if seniority needs not be conserved for shells with $j \geq 9/2$, it has been shown recently that for n = 4 identical nucleons in a j = 9/2 shell, there are two J = 4states with seniority $\nu = 2$ and $\nu = 4$, which do not mix for any interaction [15]. The same holds for J = 6states. A similar situation occurs in the odd-A isotope

⁷³Ni, which has the $g_{9/2}$ shell half filled with neutrons. Because of particle-hole symmetry no mixing can occur between states differing by two units of seniority [41] and, specifically, the $5/2^+_1$ and $5/2^+_2$ states cannot mix. Limited mixing is therefore expected for the two $5/2^+$ states of ⁷³Ni. This mechanism of non-mixing holds only in a single-shell scenario and is expected to break down if the levels with the same J are close in energy. For example, the two $11/2^+$ levels, predicted close in energy (within less than 100 keV), display a seniority mixing of almost 50%. Both SM-1,2 and the single-orbit calculation predict a $B(E2; (5/2^+) \rightarrow 9/2^+)$ value which is smaller than the observed one, even though the large experimental error again precludes a quantitative comparison. For ⁷⁵Ni, both shell-model calculations and the single-orbit model predict the $13/2^+$ level to be at lower excitation energy than the $11/2^+$ level. The energies match those of the experimentally observed γ rays. The two observed B(E2)values are somehow larger than the shell-model results, confirming the trend already present in 73,74 Ni.

The non-observation in ⁷⁵Ni of the $(5/2^+) \rightarrow 9/2^+$ transition, apart from intensity considerations related to the low production rate of this isotope, is possibly linked to the opening of alternative decay paths for this level (an example is the decay of the $5/2^+_2$ to $7/2^+$), which are likely to be hindered in ⁷³Ni. For the latter nucleus, located just in the middle of the $g_{9/2}$ shell, under the assumption of seniority conservation, transitions between states with the same seniority should indeed be forbidden.

Overall, for all three nuclei the observed level scheme and B(E2) trend, when compared to the shell-model results and to the predictions of a single-orbit calculation based on $g_{9/2}$, shows that the latter orbital is dominating the valence structure of the neutron-rich nuclei ^{73,74,75}Ni. However, since the measured collectivity is larger than the theoretical expectations, one may speculate that the contribution of proton excitations across Z = 28, enhancing the E2 strength, could be underestimated by the present models. Indeed, the steep rise with respect to the B(E2) values in Ref. [11] may indicate that more important proton contributions are coming into play when approaching the N = 50 shell gap.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have studied the quadrupole collectivity in the neutron-rich ^{73,74,75}Ni isotopes via relativistic Coulomb excitation measurements. B(E2) values have been determined for low-lying states in the odd-Ni isotopes for the first time, suggesting a large B(E2)trend, with respect to ⁷⁰Ni, at variance with what was measured in ⁷²Ni. Comparison with shell-model calculations using either the fpgd model space both for protons and neutrons and a unified realistic interaction constructed from the monopole-based universal force or the pf model space both for protons and neutrons and employing an effective Hamiltonian derived from the CD-Bonn potential renormalized by the V_{low-k} approach and with calculations based on a single neutron orbital, shows in general a good agreement for the excitation energies of the states, whereas transition strengths are underestimated. The global decay pattern observed nicely reflects the general expectation based on partial conservation of seniority predicted for nuclei within the j = 9/2 shell.

- [1] E. Caurier *et al.*, Rev. Mod Phys. **77**, 427 (2005).
- [2] T. Otsuka *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 012501 (2010).
 [3] T. Otsuka and Y. Tsukoda, J.Phys.(London) **G43**,
- 024009 (2016).
- [4] Y. Tsunoda et al., Phys. Rev. C89, 031301(R) (2014).
- [5] R. Taniuchi et al., Nature 569, 53 (2019).
- [6] O. Perru et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 232501 (2006).
- [7] A. I. Morales *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B765**, 328 (2017).
- [8] O. Wieland *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **C98**, 064313 (2018).
- [9] N. Aoi *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B692**, 302 (2010).
- [10] M. L. Cortes *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **97**, 044315 (2018).
- [11] K. Kolos et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 122502 (2016).
- [12] T. Marchi *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 182501 (2014).
- [13] I. Talmi, Simple Models of Complex Nuclei: The Shell Model and Interacting Boson Model (Harward Academic Publishers, Chur, 1993).
- [14] A. Escuderos and L. Zamick, Phys. Rev. C 73, 044302 (2006).
- [15] P. V. Isacker and S. Heinze, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 052501 (2008).
- [16] A. I. Morales et al., Phys. Lett. B781, 706 (2018).
- [17] T. Kubo et al., Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys., 03C003 (2012).
- [18] H. Kumagai *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 232501 (2006).
- [19] H. Wollersheim *et al.*, Nucl. Instr. Meth. **A537**, 637 (2006).
- [20] T. Kubo et al., Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys., 03C003 (2012).
- [21] S. Nishimura *et al.*, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. , 03C006 (2012).
- [22] P.-A. Söderström *et al.*, Nucl. Instr. Meth. **B317**, 649 (2013).

The present results are at variance with the small B(E2)value found in ⁷²Ni [11] and are somewhat larger than the previous ⁷⁴Ni measurement [12]. The fact that the transition strengths are systematically larger than the neutron-space shell-model predictions suggests that proton excitations across Z = 28 may play a more important role than predicted in the wave function of low-lying states when approaching ⁷⁸Ni. In particular, the increase of the B(E2) values with respect to ⁷²Ni could indicate that, starting from the mid- $\nu g_{9/2}$ shell, the Z = 28 gap is gradually becoming more susceptible to particle-hole excitations. It remains for future measurements to verify this trend in ^{76,77}Ni.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The excellent work of the Riken-Nishina Center accelerator staff is acknowledged. We acknowledge the support of INFN, Italy. We also acknowledge the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund of Hungary (Project no. K128947), the European Regional Development Fund (Contract No. GINOP-2.3.3-15-2016-00034), the National Research, Development and Innovation Office - NKFIH, contract number PD124717

- [23] P. Doornenbal et al., Phys. Rev. C90, 061302(R) (2014).
- [24] S. Takeuchi *et al.*, RIKEN Accelerator Progress Report 36, 148 (2003).
- [25] S. Agostinelli et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A506, 250 (2003).
- [26] M. M. Rajabali *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C85, 034326 (2012).
- [27] L. Coraggio et al., Phys. Rev. C89, 024319 (2014).
- [28] K. Kaneko et al., Phys. Rev. C89, 011302(R) (2014).
- [29] V. Vaquero et al., Phys. Rev. C 99, 034306 (2019).
- [30] I. Thompson, Comput. Phys. Rep. 7, 3 (1988).
- [31] http://fresco.org.uk.
- [32] T. Furumoto, Y. Sakuragi, and Y. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. C82, 044612 (2010).
- [33] T. Furumoto, Y. Sakuragi, and Y. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. C78, 044610 (2008).
- [34] L. C. Chamon et al., Phys. Rev. C66, 014610 (2002).
- [35] B. Elman et al., Phys. Rev. C 96, 044332 (2017).
- [36] C. A. Bartulani *et al.*, Comp. Phys. Comm. **152**, 317 (2003).
- [37] A. Dufour and A. P. Zuker, Phys. Rev. C54, 1641 (1996).
- [38] S. Bogner, T. T. S. Kuo, L. Coraggio, A. Covello, and N. Itaco, Phys. Rev. C65, 051301 (2001).
- [39] Y. S. K. Kaneko, T. Mizusaki and S. Tazaki, Phys. Rev. C89, 011302(R) (2014).
- [40] Y. S. K. Kaneko, T. Mizusaki and S. Tazaki, Phys. Rev. C92, 044331 (2015).
- [41] R. D. Lawson, Theory of the Nuclear Shell Model, pag. 184 (Clarendon, Oxford, 1980).