

This is a repository copy of *Neutrophils use selective autophagy receptor Sqstm1/p62* to target Staphylococcus aureus for degradation in vivo in zebrafish.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/162403/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Gibson, J.F., Prajsnar, T.K., Hill, C.J. et al. (8 more authors) (2021) Neutrophils use selective autophagy receptor Sqstm1/p62 to target Staphylococcus aureus for degradation in vivo in zebrafish. Autophagy, 17 (6). pp. 1448-1457. ISSN 1554-8627

https://doi.org/10.1080/15548627.2020.1765521

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Autophagy on 19 Jun 2020, available online: http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/15548627.2020.1765521.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



Neutrophils use selective autophagy receptor Sqstm1/p62 to target Staphylococcus aureus for degradation *in vivo* in zebrafish

Josie F Gibson^{1,2,3,4,5}, Tomasz K Prajsnar^{1,2,6}, Christopher J Hill⁵, Amy K Tooke⁵, Justyna J Serba^{1,2}, Rebecca D Tonge⁷, Simon J Foster^{4,5}, Andrew J Grierson^{2,7}, Philip W Ingham^{3,8#}, Stephen A Renshaw^{1,2,4#}, Simon A Johnston^{1,2,4#*}. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 1. Department of Infection, Immunity and Cardiovascular disease, Medical School, University of Sheffield, S10 2RX UK. 2. The Bateson Centre, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S10 2TN, UK Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology, Agency of Science, Technology and Research (A-Star), Singapore 138673
 Florey Institute, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom, S10 2TN Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, University of Sheffield, S10 2TN, UK
 Institute Biology Leiden, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands 7. Sheffield institute for Translational Neuroscience, Department of Neuroscience, University of Sheffield, S10 2HQ, UK 8. Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 636921 20 21 22 *Correspondence: s.a.johnston@sheffield.ac.uk #Joint senior author Keywords: autophagy, bacterial infection, host-pathogen interactions, neutrophil, staphylococcus aureus, SQSTM1/p62, xenophagy, zebrafish.

58 Abstract

59 Macroautophagy/autophagy functions to degrade cellular components and intracellular 60 pathogens. Autophagy receptors, including SQSTM1/p62, target intracellular pathogens. 61 Staphylococcus *aureus* is a significant pathogen of humans, especially 62 immunocompromise. S. aureus may use neutrophils as a proliferative niche, but their 63 64 intracellular fate following phagocytosis has not been analyzed in vivo. In vitro, SQSTM1 can 65 colocalize with intracellular Staphylococcus aureus, but whether SQSTM1 is beneficial or 66 detrimental in host defense against S. aureus in vivo is unknown. Here we determine the 67 fate and location of *S. aureus* within neutrophils throughout zebrafish infection. We show Lc3 and Sqstm1 recruitment to phagocytosed S. aureus is altered depending on the bacterial 68 location within the neutrophil and that Lc3 marking of bacterial phagosomes within 69 neutrophils may precede bacterial degradation. Finally, we show Sqstm1 is important for 70 controlling cytosolic bacteria, demonstrating for the first time a key role of Sqstm1 in 71 autophagic control of *S. aureus* in neutrophils. 72

in

- 73 74
- 75
- 76
- 77
- 78

79 **Abbreviations:** AR: autophagy receptor; CFU: colony-forming unit; CHT: caudal hematopoietic tissue; GFP: green fluorescent protein; hpf: hours post-fertilization; hpi: hours 80 81 post-infection; LWT: london wild-type: lyz: lysozyme; Map1lc3/Lc3: microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3; RFP: red fluorescent protein; Sqstm1/p62: sequestosome 1; Tq: 82

- transgenic; TSA: tyramide signal amplification; UBD: ubiquitin binding domain. 83
- 84 85

86 Introduction

87

Autophagy (macroautophagy) is a process of cellular self-degradation, in which damaged or redundant cellular components are taken into an autophagosome and subsequently trafficked to the lysosome for degradation; these degraded components can then be recycled for alternative uses by the cell [1,2]. During infection, autophagy is used by host cells to degrade invading pathogens, a process termed xenophagy [3,4].

93

94 Autophagy is considered largely non-selective of the cargo to be degraded, classically being 95 induced by starvation conditions. However, selective autophagy is a process that enables 96 specific cargo to be directed into the autophagy pathway, which can be used to target invading pathogens. Selective autophagy uses autophagy receptors (ARs), proteins that 97 interact with both autophagy machinery and the cargo to be degraded [5,6]. Many ARs are 98 involved in targeting invading pathogens, including SQSTM1/p62 (sequestosome 1), NBR1 99 (NBR1 autophagy cargo receptor), OPTN (optineurin) and CALCOCO2/NDP52 (calcium 100 101 binding and coiled-coil domain 2) [7].

102

Loss of autophagy function, for example, through mutations in key autophagy genes, can 103 104 increase the risk of infection with intracellular pathogens [8]. It is well established that 105 pathogen presence can induce host cell autophagy and that pathogens can be degraded by 106 this pathway. Intracellular pathogens such as Mycobacterium marinum, Shigella flexneri and 107 Listeria monocytogenes [9,10] can be targeted by ARs for degradation. Conversely, 108 pathogens have evolved to be able to block or subvert immune defenses, and autophagy is 109 no exception. Indeed, many bacterial pathogens are able to inhibit the induction of 110 autophagy or to reside within the autophagy pathway by preventing lysosomal fusion, or 111 even avoid making any contact with autophagic machinery [11]. In some cases, it is beneficial to the pathogen to up-regulate the autophagy pathway, for example, Legionella 112 pneumophila, Coxiella burnetii and Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium [12-14]. The 113 outcome of host-cell autophagy, therefore, differs between various invading pathogens. 114

115

Staphylococcus aureus is a bacterial pathogen that can reside within neutrophils as an intracellular niche [15,16]. Autophagy has been implicated in *S. aureus* infection, but there are conflicting reports suggesting autophagy might be either beneficial [17] or detrimental for *S. aureus* [18]. Intracellular pathogens, including *S. aureus*, can escape the phagosome into the cytosol [19], likely through toxins secreted by the bacteria or membrane rupture due to bacterial growth. Once in the cytosol, bacteria can be ubiquitinated and targeted by ARs [7]. Indeed, Sqstm1 in fibroblasts and epithelial cells has been shown to localize to cytosolic *S*.

aureus leading to autophagosome formation *in vitro* [18,20]. Therefore, we investigated whether Sqstm1 recruitment is employed by neutrophils in *S. aureus* infection and what influence selective autophagy has on infection outcome *in vivo*.

126

127 In order to examine the role of neutrophil autophagy in *S. aureus* infection, we compared the 128 fate of bacterial cells following Map1lc3/Lc3 (microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3) 129 and Sqstm1 recruitment. We tested the role of Sqstm1 in pathogen handling *in vivo*, using 130 the genetic tractability of the zebrafish to create a neutrophil-specific Sqstm1-GFP 131 transgenic reporter and an *sqstm1* activity-deficient mutant. With this approach, we show 132 that Sqstm1 is recruited to cytosolic *S. aureus* and disruption of Sqstm1 expression or 133 function adversely affects *S. aureus* infection outcome.

134

136

135 **Results**

137 Staphylococcus aureus *location within neutrophils changes throughout infection.*

138

Autophagy responses have been demonstrated to change throughout the progression of the 139 140 infection. Targeting of pathogens by autophagy receptors is likely to occur at later time points in infection. Therefore, to determine the fate and location of *S. aureus* in neutrophils 141 during infection, S. aureus expressing mCherry was inoculated and imaged at early (2 to 5 h 142 post-infection [hpi]) and late (24 to 28 hpi) time points. Initially, the well-established 143 Tg(mpx:eGFP)i114 line that specifically marks neutrophils with EGFP [21] was used to 144 145 analyze the fate of intracellular S. aureus throughout infection. Imaging throughout whole 146 organisms demonstrated a marked reduction in the number of bacterial cells within individual 147 neutrophils, and that the number of neutrophils containing S. aureus, between 2 and 24 h 148 post-infection (Fig. 1A and 1B). This result suggested to us that neutrophils could degrade intracellular S. aureus effectively throughout infection. Indeed, video timelapse of 149 Tq(mpx:eGFP)i114 larvae infected with mCherry S. aureus demonstrated that bacteria could 150 be effectively degraded by the host neutrophils (Fig. 1C), although in other cases the 151 bacterial infection is not controlled (Fig. S1A). 152

We next sought to determine the location of bacteria and their association with the autophagic machinery within neutrophils. To do this, we used fluorescently tagged Lc3, as has been demonstrated previously in zebrafish and other models [22–24]. We used a newly generated Tg(lyz:RFP-GFP-lc3)sh383 [24], a double fusion of RFP and GFP, both linked to Lc3, allowing visualization of Lc3 within neutrophils. We first confirmed that in the caudal hematopoietic tissue (CHT), the infection dynamics were similar to the Tg(mpx:eGFP)i114line, with a significant reduction in intracellular bacteria by 26 hpi, indicating bacteria are

160 efficiently controlled and a significant reduction in infected neutrophils was observed (Fig. 161 **1D**). Importantly, the number of neutrophils analyzed in the CHT, used for analyses throughout this study, did not significantly change between 2 dpf and 3 dpf (Fig. S1B), 162 demonstrating that the change in proportions of infected neutrophils is not due to a large 163 increase in neutrophil number between these time points. The labeling of S. aureus-164 containing vesicles enabled the identification of intracellular bacteria that were within a 165 vesicle (Fig. 1E) or free in the cytosol (Fig. 1F), as well as non-labeled vesicles, or vesicles 166 marked with Lc3 puncta (Fig. S1C and S1D). We found that the proportion of bacteria within 167 vesicles was significantly reduced over time post-injection, whereas the number of bacteria 168 within the cytosol remains relatively constant at a low level, despite becoming proportionally 169 higher relative to vesicular bacteria (Fig. 1G). Thus, S. aureus phagocytosed by a neutrophil 170 are initially located in a phagocytic vesicle and are subsequently degraded. However, a 171 smaller proportion of S. aureus could survive to later infection time points, and these 172 173 predominantly resided in the cytosol.

- 174
- 175 176

5 Generation and characterisation of an in vivo neutrophil GFP-Sqstm1 reporter line.

A previous study identified the co-localization of Sqstm1 with S. aureus in non-immune cells 177 [18]. Our findings demonstrated a small but significant population of bacteria that were 178 cytosolic, and therefore a possible target for Sqstm1 binding. Accordingly, we generated a 179 transgenic neutrophil-specific Sqstm1 reporter zebrafish line to examine whether Sqstm1 180 181 and intracellular pathogens are co-localized in vivo. We used GFP fused via a small linker 182 region to the N-terminus of sqstm1 in order to produce a fluorescently marked fusion protein expressed within neutrophils via the lyz (lysozyme) promoter [25]. Using larvae with double-183 labeled neutrophils, we were able to identify GFP-expressing cells from the Tg(lyz:eGFP-184 sqstm1)i330 reporter line (hereafter called GFP-Sqstm1 reporter) also expressing mCherry 185 (*Tg[lyz:nfsB-mCherry]sh260*) [26] in 98% of neutrophils observed (**Fig. S2A-C**). 186

We next examined whether the GFP-Sqstm1 protein is able to function as expected. 187 Interestingly, in the double-labeled larvae, GFP puncta but not mCherry puncta were seen 188 (Fig. S2D). Similar Sqstm1 puncta that required ubiquitin-binding domain (UBD) to function 189 190 have been observed in vitro for endogenous Sqstm1 [27]. To test whether the GFP-Sqstm1 191 puncta observed in the GFP-Sqstm1 reporter line respond as expected, GFP-Sqstm1 192 reporter larvae were treated with autophagy inhibitor Bay K8644: known to block autophagy 193 in zebrafish [29]. As expected, there was a significant increase in the number of neutrophils which contained GFP-Sqstm1 puncta following Bay K8644 treatment in comparison to non-194 treated controls (Fig. S2E), as well as a significant increase in the number of GFP-Sqstm1 195 puncta within individual neutrophils as expected for endogenous Sqstm1 (Fig. S2F). This 196

197 result suggests that the GFP-Sqstm1 puncta are not being processed through autophagy 198 and accumulate within the cell, as reported for endogenous Sqstm1 [29]. As we had done for neutrophils and Lc3-positive vesicles, we examined the location of S. aureus throughout 199 infection with our GFP-Sqstm1 reporter for consistency with Tg(mpx:eGFP)i114 and 200 Tg(lyz:RFP-GFP-lc3)sh383 (Fig. 1). We found that there was a comparable reduction in the 201 number of bacteria observed within neutrophils at 26 hpi in comparison to 2 hpi (Fig. S3A) 202 and a reduction in the number of infected neutrophils from 2 hpi to 26 hpi (Fig. S3B). This 203 result suggested that neutrophils were efficiently degrading these bacteria, in agreement 204 with Fig. 1. 205

Cytosolic bacteria are a possible target for Sqstm1 and S. aureus has previously 206 been visualized within the cytosol of a neutrophil from murine infection studies [30]. To 207 identify *S. aureus* in the cytosol in our *in vivo* experiments in zebrafish, we looked for regions 208 209 of the cytosol that co-localized with S. aureus but without a reduction of GFP signal, 210 indicating a vacuole excluding the surrounding cytosol (containing GFP). We first confirmed that we could clearly observe phagosomes containing bacteria with low GFP fluorescence 211 consistent with S. aureus-containing vacuoles, where host cell cytoplasm containing GFP, 212 was excluded (Sqstm1GFP^{low}, Fig. S3C). As further evidence for this analysis, we 213 214 determined that vesicles containing S. aureus, visualized by TEM, were empty of cellular 215 components, in comparison to the cytosol (Fig. S3D), suggesting GFP^{low} areas represent vesicles. Finally, we looked for functional differences consistent with the presence of a 216 phagosomal membrane in GFP^{low} regions by examining pH differences using the pH-217 sensitive dye pHrodo. We found examples of low pH in vesicles correlating with low 218 cytoplasmic fluorescence (Fig. S3E), again suggesting GFP^{low} areas represent vesicles. 219 Having characterized features consistent with an S. aureus-containing vacuoles, we were 220 221 able to assign a subset of bacteria as being in either a damaged phagosome or located in the cytosol (Sqstm1GFP^{high}, **Fig. S3F**). For the purpose of this study, we are defining these 222 223 bacteria as cytosolic, as they are accessible to cytosolic proteins. We then assigned the cellular location of *S. aureus* by these features at 2 hpi and 26 hpi. We determined that the 224 proportion of S. aureus within vesicles was significantly reduced by 26 hpi (Fig. S3G) and 225 that the number of bacteria within the cytosol is similar at both time points, in agreement with 226 our Tg(lyz:RFP-GFP-lc3)sh383 data (Fig. 1). 227

228

230

229 Lc3 and Sqstm1 are recruited to Staphylococcus aureus within neutrophils.

We determined that GFP-Sqstm1 puncta co-localize with *S. aureus* either marking a vesicle containing *S. aureus* (**Fig. 2A and Video S1**) or directly in contact with *S. aureus* located in the cytosol (**Fig. 2B and Video S2**). For puncta marking *S. aureus* in vesicles, no difference 234 in the proportion of vesicles marked was observed at 2 or 26 hpi, although the actual number 235 of puncta-marking vesicles was dramatically reduced by 26 hpi (Fig. 2C) as most bacteria had already been degraded. GFP-puncta-marking bacteria in the cytosol were decreased at 236 26 hpi (Fig. 2D), as expected, given that Sqstm1 is degraded with the cargo targeted for 237 degradation [29]. We previously showed cytosolic GFP-Sqstm1 puncta were modulated by 238 autophagy machinery-targeting drugs (Fig. S2E and S2F). In further agreement with this, 239 comparison between infected and uninfected neutrophils showed there was no difference in 240 the number of cytoplasmic GFP-Sqstm1 puncta at 2 hpi but a significant reduction by 26 hpi 241 (Fig. 2E and 2F), indicating these puncta are modulated by *S. aureus* infection. 242

We next examined whether Lc3 can localize to vesicular and cytosolic *S. aureus*. At 244 2 hpi and 26 hpi, there was no difference in the proportion of vesicles marked by Lc3, but 245 most vesicular bacteria are degraded by 26 hpi (**Fig. 2G**), showing that a rapid Lc3 response 246 to *S. aureus* infection occurs. In contrast, vesicles containing *S. aureus* are significantly 247 more likely to have Lc3 puncta associated at 2 hpi (**Fig. 2H and S1D**). However, most 248 bacteria are still cleared by 26 hpi, and there was no significant change in the association of 249 Lc3 puncta to *S. aureus* in the cytosol over time (**Fig. 2I**).

- 250
- 251 252

Loss of Sqstm1 reduces zebrafish survival following S. aureus infection.

253 We had demonstrated the steps of Lc3 and the autophagy receptor Sqstm1 recruitment in vivo in the degradation of S. aureus by neutrophils, suggesting a function for Sqstm1 in 254 immunity to S. aureus infection by targeting the degradation of bacteria that escaped the 255 phagosome. To test this prediction, we examined the role of Sqstm1 in S. aureus zebrafish 256 infection using a morpholino-modified antisense oligonucleotide (morpholino) targeting 257 sqstm1 [31] to knockdown sqstm1 expression in the zebrafish larvae. Knockdown of sqstm1 258 resulted in a significant reduction in zebrafish survival following S. aureus infection, 259 compared to control larvae, supporting a requirement for sastm1 in the control of S. aureus 260 infection (Fig. 3A). Knockdown of sqstm1 did not reduce larval survival for heat-killed S. 261 aureus or the non-virulent but closely related bacterium Micrococcus luteus (Fig. S3I and 262 **S3J**), suggesting Sqstm1 is important for restriction of pathogenic bacteria that escape the 263 phagosome. To further support this conclusion, we generated an sqstm1 mutant zebrafish 264 (sh558) that lacked a functional UBD domain in *sqstm1*, inhibiting the ability of Sqstm1 to 265 bind to ubiquitinated cargo (Fig. 3C). In agreement with our knockdown study, the sqstm1 266 mutant zebrafish (sh558) larvae were significantly more susceptible to S. aureus infection 267 268 than wild-type control zebrafish (Fig. 3B). Thus, in addition to demonstrating how Lc3 and Sqstm1 were localized during intracellular handling of S. aureus by neutrophils, we could 269 270 independently show the requirement of Sqstm1 in the outcome of infection.

272 Both sqstm1 morpholino and sqstm1 mutant zebrafish (sh558) techniques do not 273 block Sqstm1 function in neutrophils specifically; therefore, we next aimed to determine 274 whether the loss of Sqstm1 was important in neutrophils during S. aureus infection. Interestingly, there was no difference between the survival of our GFP-Sqstm1 reporter and 275 wild-type controls (Fig. S3H), suggesting that endogenous sqstm1 expression is sufficient 276 for restriction of the small proportion of bacteria which reside in the cytosol. First, using 277 tyramide signal amplification (TSA) staining of 1 dpi larvae to visualize neutrophils within 278 sqstm1 mutant (sh558) and control larvae, we found a non-significant (p=0.1039) increase in 279 neutrophils containing S. aureus (Fig. 3D). A small effect was expected due to the small 280 proportion of cytosolic bacteria, which are likely targeted by Sqstm1 during infection. It was, 281 therefore, likely that showing a difference in the number of infected neutrophils would have 282 required a very large number of infections. We were able to calculate that the observed 283 284 differences would require a group size of 270.

Next, using sqstm1 morphants and control larvae, a comparison of the number of 285 286 bacteria present within neutrophils at 1 dpi was completed in the Tg(mpx:eGFP)i114 larvae. 287 In agreement with the Sqstm1-UBD mutant data, a non-significant (p=0.115) increase of 288 neutrophils containing S. aureus was observed in sqstm1 morphants in comparison to wild-289 type controls (Fig. 3E). Again, we had calculated that the observed differences would require 290 a large group size of 219. However, the examination of the bacterial location revealed a 291 significant increase in the number of cytosolic S. aureus in the sqstm1 morphants in 292 comparison to control fish (Fig. 3F), suggesting loss of Sqstm1 is important for the control of cytosolic S. aureus by neutrophils. Thus, we could show that loss of sqstm1 leads to an 293 increase in bacterial burden within neutrophils and that Sqstm1 is likely targeting the small 294 295 proportion of bacteria that escape to the cytosol.

296

297 Discussion

Using the unique attributes of long-term high-resolution imaging and genetic manipulation of zebrafish larvae, we have shown the dynamics of Lc3 and Sqstm1 on the *S. aureus*containing vacuoles, their relation to bacterial degradation, and how Sqstm1 recognizes cytosolic bacteria, meaning that loss of Sqstm1 activity is sufficient to increase mortality following *S. aureus* infection.

Loss of zebrafish *sqstm1*, through morpholino-mediated knockdown, significantly increased susceptibility to the infection to *S. aureus*. This result is the first *in vivo* evidence that Sqstm1 is important in the outcome of intracellular handling of *S. aureus*. To confirm the *sqstm1* knockdown data, we generated a zebrafish *sqstm1* mutant lacking the UBD domain, which confirmed a significant increase in the susceptibility of zebrafish to *S. aureus* infection. This result suggests that for *S. aureus* infection control, the Sqstm1 UBD, which can bind to ubiquitinated *S. aureus* [18,20], is important for host control of infection. In addition to its role as an autophagy receptor, Sqstm1 can aid in the killing of pathogens through the delivery of anti-microbial peptides [32]. Thus, it is possible that anti-microbial peptides delivered by Sqstm1 are important in neutrophil control of *S. aureus* infection. The *sqstm1* zebrafish mutant represents a valuable tool in the analysis of selective autophagy in infection, which may also be useful for the study of other intracellular pathogens or in other diseases, where autophagy is implicated in pathology, for example in neurodegenerative disorders.

Although in vitro studies have described co-localization of Sqstm1 and autophagy in 316 pathogen handling, until now, no evidence of direct Sqstm1 interactions with these 317 pathogens has been shown in neutrophils or in vivo. Interaction of Sqstm1 with S. aureus 318 has been demonstrated through in vitro studies using fibroblasts and epithelial cells [18,20]. 319 In vitro data shows S. aureus can be targeted for autophagic degradation by Sqstm1 [18,20], 320 321 where puncta appear to be co-localized with S. aureus. Our new zebrafish GFP-Sqstm1 322 reporter shows cytosolic puncta formation, which has also been observed in other cell 323 culture studies, both endogenous expression and using similar GFP-Sqstm1 reporter systems [27,33,34]. By comparing GFP-Sqstm1 puncta marking of intracellular S. aureus 324 325 with the location of bacteria over time, it is interesting to note that Sqstm1 marking is 326 reduced over time for cytosolic bacteria, which appear to be a small population that persists 327 throughout infection. This result may indicate that cytosolic bacteria marked with Sqstm1 are degraded. Furthermore, at later time points in S. aureus infection, the number of GFP-328 Sqstm1 puncta is reduced within infected cells, suggesting that when bacteria escape the 329 phagosome, Sqstm1 becomes important in controlling cytosolic bacteria. 330

We show that most S. aureus is contained within a vesicle soon after infection, and 331 by 26 hpi, most *S. aureus* are absent from neutrophils. Of note, some images show bacteria 332 outside the neutrophils that have been phagocytosed by macrophages, which has previously 333 334 been described [35]. The large reduction of neutrophils containing bacteria from 2 hpi to 26 hpi, leaving a small population at 26 hpi, may be representative of a niche for bacterial 335 persistence and/or proliferation. The role of neutrophils as an intracellular niche has 336 previously been described to be important in determining the outcome of *S. aureus* infection 337 338 [15,16,36]. Interestingly, it appears that Lc3 marks the majority of vesicles containing 339 bacteria. Lc3 localization to S. aureus may represent Lc3 recruitment to autophagosomes; however, since recruitment is observed at early infection time points, it may represent Lc3-340 associated phagocytosis, which is also observed in Listeria monocytogenes infection of 341 342 macrophages [37]. Since most bacteria are degraded, it appears that Lc3 marking of vesicles could lead to bacterial degradation in the zebrafish. 343

Thus, we demonstrate that host Sqstm1 is beneficial for the host outcome following *S. aureus* infection and that Sqstm1-mediated control of cytosolic bacteria within neutrophils

- 346 may represent one of many mechanisms employed by the host in immunity to this versatile
- 347 pathogen.

- 352 Materials and methods
- 353

354 *Ethics statement.*

355

Animal work was carried out according to guidelines and legislation set out in UK law in the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, under Project License PPL 40/3574 or P1A4A7A5E). Ethical approval was granted by the University of Sheffield Local Ethical Review Panel. Animal work completed in Singapore was completed under the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) guidelines under the A*STAR Biological Resource Centre (BRC) approved IACUC Protocol #140977.

362

363 Zebrafish husbandry.

364

Zebrafish strains were maintained according to standard protocols [38]. For animals 365 housed in the Bateson Centre aquaria at the University of Sheffield, adult fish were 366 maintained on a 14:10-h light/dark cycle at 28°C in UK Home Office approved facilities. 367 For animals housed in IMCB, Singapore, adult fish were maintained on a 14:10-h 368 369 light/dark cycle at 28°C in the IMCB zebrafish facility. London wild-type (LWT) and AB wild-370 type larvae were used in addition to transgenic lines, Tg(lyz:eGFP-sqstm1)i330 created in 371 this study, Tg(lyz:RFP-GFP-Lc3)sh383 [24], Tg(lyz:nfsB-mCherry)sh260 [26] (these fish encode nitroreductase gene *nsfB* within neutrophils which allows ablation of cells following 372 metronidazole treatment, which was not used in this study) and Tq(mpx:eGFP)i114 [21]. 373 Generation of Sqstm1 sh558 mutant zebrafish is described below. Larvae were maintained 374 in E3 (5 mM NaCl, 0.17 mM KCl, 0.33 mM CaCl2, 0.33 mM MgSO4) plus methylene blue 375 376 (Sigma-Aldrich, 50484) at 28°C until 5 dpf.

377

378 S. aureus *culture*.

379

The Staphylococcus aureus strain SH1000 [39] was used in this study. A single bacterial 380 colony was placed in 10 ml brain heart infusion medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 381 OxoidCM1135B) overnight at 37°C, 250 rpm. 500 µl of this overnight culture was then added 382 to 50 ml of brain heart infusion medium and incubated at 37°C, 250 rpm until OD₆₀₀ 1. The 383 bacteria were then pelleted at 5445 x g, 4°C for 15 min. The bacteria were then 384 385 resuspended in PBS (Oxoid, BR0014G), using a volume to dilute to the required dose, with 1500 colony-forming units (cfu)/nL being standard. Bacteria were incubated on ice for a short 386 period, until use. Strains used: SH1000 wild-type strain [39], SH1000-pMV158-mCherry [40], 387 388 SH1000-pMV158-GFP [40].

389

390 Zebrafish micro-injection.

391

For sqstm1 morpholino microinjections: Larvae were injected immediately after 392 fertilization using an *sqstm1* morpholino [31]. A standard control morpholino (Genetools) 393 was used as a negative control. For injection of S. aureus, zebrafish larvae were injected 394 at 1 dpf (for survival analysis, [36]) or 2 dpf (for microscopy analysis) and monitored until 395 a maximum of 5 dpf. Larvae were anesthetized by immersion in 0.168 mg/mL tricaine 396 (Pharmag Ltd, ATC QN01AX93) in E3 and transferred onto 3% methyl cellulose (Sigma-397 Aldrich, M0387) in E3 for injection. For S. aureus 1 nl of bacteria, containing 1500 cfu, 398 was injected into the yolk sac circulation valley. Larvae were transferred to fresh E3 to 399 recover from anesthetic. Any zebrafish injured by the needle/micro-injection were 400 401 removed from the procedure. Zebrafish were maintained at 28°C.

402

403 Generation of Tg(lyz:eGFP-sqstm1)i330 transgenic line.

404

The generation of the Tg(lyz:eGFP-sqstm1)i330 line was performed using the GatewayTM 405 406 system in combination with Tol2 transgenesis [41]. To make the required expression clone, 407 pDest(lyz:eGFP-sqstm1), the p5E-lyz entry clone [42] and the pME-eGFP-nostop [41] middle 408 entry vectors were used. The destination vector *pDesttol2CG* [41], was chosen, which 409 included tol2 sites for integration into the genome, in addition to a GFP heart marker. The required sqstm1 3' entry vector and expression clone pDest(lyz:eGFP-sqstm1) were 410 constructed following the Multisite Gateway[™] three-fragment vector construction kit 411 (Invitrogen, 12537-023). To generate tol2 mRNA, a pCS2FA-transposase plasmid [41] was 412 413 used. The DNA plasmid was linearized through a restriction site digest. tol2 mRNA was generated by a transcription reaction (Ambion T3 mMessage Machine). tol2 mRNA and 414 pDest(lyz:eGFP-sqstm1) were co-injected into a single cell (at the single cell stage) of wild-415 type AB larvae. A 1 nl injection contained 30 pg of tol2 mRNA and 60 pg of pDest(lyz:eGFP-416 sqstm1). 417

418

419 *Microscopy of infected zebrafish.*

420

Larvae were anesthetized 0.168 mg/mL tricaine in E3 and mounted in 0.8% low melting agarose (Affymetrix, 32830) onto glass-bottom microwell dishes (MatTek, P35G-1.5-14C). An UltraVIEW VoX spinning disk confocal microscope (Perkin Elmer, Cambridge, UK) was used for imaging neutrophils within larvae. 405-nm, 445-nm, 488-nm, 514-nm, 561-nm and 640-nm lasers were available for excitation. Most cellular level imaging was completed in the 426 caudal hematopoietic tissue (CHT) using a 40x oil objective (UplanSApo 40x oil [NA 1.3]). In
427 some cases, a 20x objective was used for whole larvae imaging. GFP, TxRed emission
428 filters were used and bright-field images were acquired using a Hamamatsu C9100-50 EM429 CCD camera. Volocity software was used. Between early and late time points, zebrafish
430 larvae were placed back into E3 and maintained at 28°C.

431

432 *pHrodo staining of* S. aureus.

433

Bacterial strains were prepared for injected (as above) and resuspended into PBS pH 9. pHrodo (Thermo Fisher Scientific, P36600) was added at a ratio of 1:200 and incubated at 37°C for 30 min, shaking, in the dark. The bacteria were suspended in PBS pH 8 and washed through a series of solutions (Tris, pH 8.5, PBS pH 8) and finally resuspended into PBS pH 7.4 for injection.

439

440 Tyramide Signal Amplification (TSA) Staining.

441

Following *S. aureus* infection, larvae were fixed in paraformaldehyde (Thermo Fisher
Scientific, 28908) diluted to 4% in PBS, overnight at 4°C. Once fixed, larvae were washed
in PBS thrice. Staining of neutrophils (specifically myeloperoxidase activity) in LWT larvae
was completed using TSA staining kit (Cy5-TSA Cyanine Kit; PerkinElmer,
NEL705A001KT). Fish were incubated in a 1:100 ratio of Cy5-TSA:amplification diluent at
28°C for 10 min in the dark. Larvae were washed thrice in PBS before imaging.

448

449 **TEM of infected zebrafish.**

450

Specimens were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde (Agar Scientific, AGR1010), in 0.1 M sodium 451 cacodylate (Agar Scientific, AGR1105) and post-fixed 2% aqueous osmium tetroxide, 452 dehydrated through graded series of ethanol, and cleared in propylene oxide (Agar 453 Scientific, AGR1080) and then infiltrated in 50:50 Araldite resin (Araldite resin made up of a 454 50:50 dodecenyl succinic anhydride (Agar Scientific, AGR1051) and Araldite resin 455 456 CY212 (Agar Scientific, AGR1040) mix plus 1 drop/ml benzyl dimethylamine (Agar Scientific, AGR1060) and propylene oxide (Agar Scientific, AGR1080) mixture overnight on a rotor. 457 This mixture was replaced with two changes over 8 h of fresh Araldite resin mixture before 458 459 being embedded in fresh resin and cured in a 60°C oven for 48-72 h. Ultrathin sections, approximately 85-nm thick, were cut on a Leica UC6 ultramicrotome onto 200-mesh copper 460 grids (Agar Scientific, G2200C). These were stained for 10 min with saturated aqueous 461 uranyl acetate followed by Reynolds lead citrate [43] for 5 min. Sections were examined 462

using a FEI Tecnai Transmission Electron Microscope at an accelerating voltage of 80
kV. Electron micrographs were recorded using Gatan Orius 1000 digital camera and Gatan
Digital Micrograph software.

466

467 *Image analysis.*

468

Image analysis was performed using ImageJ software [44] to quantify the number of *S. aureus* cells within neutrophils and to quantify GFP-Sqstm1 puncta and Lc3 colocalization to these pathogens.

472

473 Drug treatment of zebrafish.

474

475 Larvae were treated with an autophagy inhibitor through immersion in E3 medium. Bay 476 K8644 (Sigma-Aldrich, B2112) was added to the E3 to the required concentration of 1 μ M. 477 Larvae were incubated at 28°C for 24 h before microscopy. Zebrafish were not anesthetized 478 for immersion drug treatments.

479

480 *Generation of* sqstm1 *mutant.*

481

A zebrafish *sqstm1* mutant was generated using CRISPR-Cas9 mutagenesis. A guide RNA targeting exon 8 of zebrafish *sqstm1* (ACAGAGACTCCACCAGCCTA) was inserted into a published oligonucleotide scaffold [45] and injected together with recombinant Cas9 protein (New England Biolabs) into 1-2 cell stage zebrafish (AB strain). Efficiency of mutagenesis was confirmed using high-resolution melt curve analysis as previously described [46] and several founders were identified. *sqstm1^{sh558}* carries a 10-base pair deletion resulting in a frameshift and premature truncation of Sqstm1 in the ubiquitin-associated (UBA) domain.

489

490 Statistical analysis.

491

492 Statistical analysis was performed as described in the results and figure legends. We 493 used Graph Pad Prism 7 (v7.04) for statistical tests and plots. Fisher's exact tests, which 494 are reliable with very small group sizes, were used to analyze data sets that have uneven 495 group sizes. In these cases, small group sizes were unavoidable due to the nature of 496 these experiments in which we describe only a very small proportion of bacterial cells are 497 observed at later time points in zebrafish infection.

498

499 Acknowledgments

500 JFG was supported by an award from the Singapore A*STAR Research Attachment 501 Programme (ARAP) in partnership with the University of Sheffield, and a Medical Research Council Grant (MR/R001111/1 with SAR and SJF). TKP was supported by an individual 502 Marie Curie fellowship (PIEF-GA-2013-625975) and by AMR cross-council funding from the 503 MRC to the SHIELD consortium "Optimising Innate Host Defence to Combat Antimicrobial 504 Resistance" MRNO2995X/1. RDT and AJG were supported by the University of Sheffield. 505 506 JJS was a Marie Curie fellow in the Initial Training Network FishForPharma (PITN-GA-2011-289209). Work in the PWI lab was funded by the A*STAR Institute of Molecular and Cell 507 Biology (IMCB) and the Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine. SAJ was supported by the 508 Medical Research Council and Department for International Development Career 509 510 Development Award Fellowship MR/J009156/1 (http://www.mrc.ac.uk/). SAJ was additionally supported by a Krebs Institute Fellowship (http://krebsinstitute.group.shef.ac.uk/), and 511 Medical Research Council Centre grant (G0700091). SAR was supported by a Medical 512 513 Research Council Programme Grant (MR/M004864/1) (http://www.mrc.ac.uk/). Imaging was 514 completed at the Wolfson Light Microscopy Facility. We thank the aquarium staff at the Bateson Centre (Sheffield) and the IMCB (Singapore) for zebrafish husbandry. 515

516

517 **Declaration of interest statement**

- 518 The authors have no conflict of interests
- 519
- 520

521 **References**

- Mizushima N, Levine B, Cuervo A, Klionsky D. Autophagy fights disease through cellular self-digestion. Nature. 2008;451(7182):1069–75.
- 2. Tanida I. Autophagy basics. Microbiol Immunol. 2011 Jan;55(1):1–11.

525 3. Gatica D, Lahiri V, Klionsky DJ. Cargo recognition and degradation by selective autophagy. Nat Cell Biol. 2018 Mar;20(3):233–42.

527 4. Sharma V, Verma S, Seranova E, Sarkar S, Kumar D. Selective Autophagy and 528 Xenophagy in Infection and Disease. Front cell Dev Biol. 2018;6:147.

- 529 5. Popovic D, Dikic I. The molecular basis of selective autophagy. Biochem (Lond). 530 2012;34(2):24–30.
- Rogov V, Dötsch V, Johansen T, Kirkin V. Interactions between autophagy receptors and ubiquitin-like proteins form the molecular basis for selective autophagy. Mol Cell. 2014 Jan;53(2):167–78.
- Farré J-C, Subramani S. Mechanistic insights into selective autophagy pathways:
 lessons from yeast. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2016 Jul 6;17(9):537–52.
- 5368.Levine B, Mizushima N, Virgin H. Autophagy in immunity and inflammation. Nature.5372011;469(7330):323–35.
- 538 9. Zhang R, Varela M, Vallentgoed W, Forn-Cuni G, van der Vaart M, Meijer AH. The
 539 selective autophagy receptors Optineurin and p62 are both required for zebrafish host
 540 resistance to mycobacterial infection. Behr MA, editor. PLOS Pathog. 2019 Feb
 541 28;15(2):e1007329.
- Mostowy S, Sancho-Shimizu V, Hamon MA, Simeone R, Brosch R, Johansen T, et al.
 p62 and NDP52 proteins target intracytosolic Shigella and Listeria to different
 autophagy pathways. J Biol Chem. 2011 Jul 29;286(30):26987–95.
- 545 11. Deretic V, Levine B. Autophagy, immunity, and microbial adaptations. Cell Host 546 Microbe. 2009;5(6):527–49.
- 54712.Amer AO, Swanson MS. Autophagy is an immediate macrophage response to548Legionella pneumophila. Cell Microbiol. 2005 Jun;7(6):765–78.
- Hernandez LD, Pypaert M, Flavell R a, Galán JE. A Salmonella protein causes
 macrophage cell death by inducing autophagy. J Cell Biol. 2003 Dec 8;163(5):1123–
 31.
- 552 14. Gutierrez MG, Vázquez CL, Munafó DB, Zoppino FCM, Berón W, Rabinovitch M, et
 al. Autophagy induction favours the generation and maturation of the Coxiellareplicative vacuoles. Cell Microbiol. 2005 May 12;7(7):981–93.
- 55515.Thwaites GE, Gant V. Are bloodstream leukocytes Trojan Horses for the metastasis556of Staphylococcus aureus? Nat Rev Microbiol. 2011 Mar 7;9(3):215–22.
- Prajsnar TK, Hamilton R, Garcia-Lara J, McVicker G, Williams A, Boots M, et al. A
 privileged intraphagocyte niche is responsible for disseminated infection of
 Staphylococcus aureus in a zebrafish model. Cell Microbiol. 2012 Oct;14(10):1600–
 19.
- 561 17. Schnaith A, Kashkar H, Leggio SA, Addicks K, Krönke M, Krut O. Staphylococcus
 562 aureus subvert autophagy for induction of caspase-independent host cell death. J Biol
 563 Chem. 2007 Jan 26;282(4):2695–706.
- 18. Neumann Y, Bruns SA, Rohde M, Prajsnar TK, Foster SJ, Schmitz I. Intracellular
 Staphylococcus aureus eludes selective autophagy by activating a host cell kinase.
 Autophagy. 2016 Nov 14;12(11):2069–84.
- 19. Bayles KW, Wesson CA, Liou LE, Fox LK, Bohach GA, Trumble WR. Intracellular
 Staphylococcus aureus escapes the endosome and induces apoptosis in epithelial
 cells. Infect Immun. 1998 Jan;66(1):336–42.
- Singh A, Patel P, Tomar D, Singh R, Sripada L, Prajapati P, et al. TBK1 regulates
 p62/sqstm1 mediated autophagic clearance of intracellular ubiquitinated
 Staphylococcus aureus in human epithelial cells. Transl Genet genomics.
 2017;[online fi.
- Renshaw SA, Loynes CA, Trushell DMI, Elworthy S, Ingham PW, Whyte MKB. A
 transgenic zebrafish model of neutrophilic inflammation. Blood. 2006;108(13).

- 57622.Mathai B, Meijer A, Simonsen A. Studying Autophagy in Zebrafish. Cells. 2017 Jul5779;6(3):21.
- Li L, Wang Z V., Hill JA, Lin F. New Autophagy Reporter Mice Reveal Dynamics of
 Proximal Tubular Autophagy. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2014 Feb;25(2):305–15.
- Prajsnar TK, Serba JJ, Dekker BM, Gibson JF, Masud S, Fleming A, et al. The
 autophagic response to Staphylococcus aureus provides an intracellular niche in
 neutrophils. bioRxiv. 2019 Mar 18;581223.
- Yang C-T, Cambier CJ, Davis JM, Hall CJ, Crosier PS, Ramakrishnan L. Neutrophils
 exert protection in the early tuberculous granuloma by oxidative killing of
 mycobacteria phagocytosed from infected macrophages. Cell Host Microbe. 2012
 Sep 13;12(3):301–12.
- 587 26. Buchan KD, Prajsnar TK, Ogryzko N V, Jong NW de, Gent M van, Kolata J, et al. A
 588 transgenic zebrafish line for in vivo visualisation of neutrophil myeloperoxidase.
 589 bioRxiv. 2019 Mar 20;456541.
- Bjørkøy G, Lamark T, Brech A, Outzen H, Perander M, Øvervatn A, et al.
 p62/SQSTM1 forms protein aggregates degraded by autophagy and has a protective effect on huntingtin-induced cell death. J Cell Biol. 2005;171(4).
- Williams A, Sarkar S, Cuddon P, Ttofi EK, Saiki S, Siddiqi FH, et al. Novel targets for
 Huntington's disease in an mTOR-independent autophagy pathway. Nat Chem Biol.
 2008 May;4(5):295–305.
- 596 29. Bjørkøy G, Lamark T, Pankiv S, Øvervatn A, Brech A, Johansen T. Chapter 12 597 Monitoring Autophagic Degradation of p62/SQSTM1. In 2009. p. 181–97.
- 30. Gresham HD, Lowrance JH, Caver TE, Wilson BS, Cheung AL, Lindberg FP. Survival of Staphylococcus aureus inside neutrophils contributes to infection. J Immunol. 2000 Apr 1;164(7):3713–22.
- 31. van der Vaart M, Korbee CJ, Lamers GEM, Tengeler AC, Hosseini R, Haks MC, et al.
 The DNA Damage-Regulated Autophagy Modulator DRAM1 Links Mycobacterial
 Recognition via TLR-MYD88 to Autophagic Defense. Cell Host Microbe. 2014 Jun
 11;15(6):753–67.
- Bonpuak M, Davis AS, Roberts EA, Delgado MA, Dinkins C, Zhao Z, et al. Delivery of
 cytosolic components by autophagic adaptor protein p62 endows autophagosomes
 with unique antimicrobial properties. Immunity. 2010 Mar 26;32(3):329–41.
- 408 33. Larsen KB, Lamark T, øvervatn A, Harneshaug I, Johansen T, Bjørkøy G. A reporter
 409 cell system to monitor autophagy based on p62/SQSTM1. Autophagy. 2010;66:784–
 410 93.
- 611 34. Pankiv S, Clausen TH, Lamark T, Brech A, Bruun J-A, Outzen H, et al. p62/SQSTM1
 612 binds directly to Atg8/LC3 to facilitate degradation of ubiquitinated protein aggregates
 613 by autophagy. J Biol Chem. 2007 Aug 17;282(33):24131–45.
- 614 35. Prajsnar TK, Cunliffe VT, Foster SJ, Renshaw S a. A novel vertebrate model of
 615 Staphylococcus aureus infection reveals phagocyte-dependent resistance of zebrafish
 616 to non-host specialized pathogens. Cell Microbiol. 2008 Nov;10(11):2312–25.
- 617 36. Pollitt EJG, Szkuta PT, Burns N, Foster SJ. Staphylococcus aureus infection 618 dynamics. PLoS Pathog. 2018;14(6):e1007112.
- Gluschko A, Herb M, Wiegmann K, Krut O, Neiss WF, Utermöhlen O, et al. The β2
 Integrin Mac-1 Induces Protective LC3-Associated Phagocytosis of Listeria
 monocytogenes. Cell Host Microbe. 2018 Mar 14;23(3):324-337.e5.
- 622 38. Nüsslein-Volhard C (Christiane), Dahm R. Zebrafish : a practical approach. Oxford 623 University Press; 2002.
- Horsburgh MJ, Aish JL, White IJ, Shaw L, Lithgow JK, Foster SJ, et al. Omega B
 Modulates Virulence Determinant Expression and Stress Resistance:
 Characterization of a Functional rsbU Strain Derived from Staphylococcus aureus
 8325-4. J Bacteriol. 2002;184(19):5457–67.
- 40. Boldock E, Surewaard BGJ, Shamarina D, Renshaw S, Foster S. Human skin
 commensals augment Staphylococcus aureus pathogenesis. Nat Microbiol.
 2018;3:881–90.

- 41. Kwan KM, Fujimoto E, Grabher C, Mangum BD, Hardy ME, Campbell DS, et al. The
 Tol2kit: A multisite gateway-based construction kit forTol2 transposon transgenesis
 constructs. Dev Dyn. 2007 Nov;236(11):3088–99.
- 63442.Elks PM, van Eeden FJ, Dixon G, Wang X, Reyes-Aldasoro CC, Ingham PW, et al.635Activation of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (Hif-1α) delays inflammation resolution by636reducing neutrophil apoptosis and reverse migration in a zebrafish inflammation637model. Blood. 2011 Jul 21;118(3):712–22.
- 63843.Reynolds ES. The use of lead citrate at high pH as an electron-opaque stain in
electron microscopy. J Cell Biol. 1963 Apr;17(1):208–12.
- 44. Rasband WS. ImageJ. U.S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA, https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/, 1997-2018.;
- 45. Talbot JC, Amacher SL. A streamlined CRISPR pipeline to reliably generate zebrafish
 frameshifting alleles. Zebrafish. 2014 Dec;11(6):583–5.
- 46. Sutton BC, Allen RA, Zhao ZJ, Dunn ST. Detection of the JAK2V617F mutation by
 asymmetric PCR and melt curve analysis. Cancer Biomarkers. 2007 Nov
 16;3(6):315–24.
- 647

649 Figure Legends

650 Figure 1. Staphylococcus aureus location within neutrophils changes from vesicular to cytosolic throughout infection. (A-B) Tg(mpx:eGFP)i114 larvae were injected at 1 dpf with 1500 cfu SH1000 651 mCherry S. aureus, and imaged at early (1-5 hpi) and late (24-28 hpi) time points. (A) Number of 652 bacteria contained in neutrophils, with maximum 100 bacterial cells counted (whole larvae imaged, 653 n=11-13, Mann-Whitney test, ****p<0.0001, +/- SD). (B) Proportion of neutrophils containing bacteria 654 (whole larvae imaged, n=11-12, unpaired t-test, ****p<0.0001, +/- SEM) (C) Tg(mpx:eGFP)i114 larvae 655 were injected at 1 dpf with 1500 cfu SH1000 mCherry S. aureus, and imaged at 3 h post-infection. 656 657 Images were captured every 5 min for 12 h at multiple z planes to follow infected neutrophils over 658 time (scale: 5 µm). (D-G) Tg(lyz:RFP-GFP-lc3)sh383 larvae were injected at 2 dpf with GFP S. 659 aureus, and imaged in the CHT at 2 hpi, and ~26 hpi. (D) The proportion of infected or non-infected 660 neutrophils at 2 hpi and 26 hpi (****p<0.0001 Chi-Square test, n=3, 17 2 hpi larvae, 11 26 hpi larvae). (E) S. aureus with Lc3 marking the entire vesicle (scale: 9 µm), demonstrating a vesicle. (F) S. aureus 661 in the cytosol (scale: 9 µm). (G) Proportion S. aureus events observed within vesicles or cytosol at 2 662 663 hpi and 26 hpi (***p<0.001, Fisher's exact test, n=3, 17 larvae at 2 hpi, and 11 larvae at 26 hpi).

664

665 Figure 2. In vivo recruitment of GFP-Sqstm1 puncta during S. aureus infection. (A) Representative 666 image of S. aureus observed within a likely "vesicle" with GFP-Sqstm1 puncta localization, (scale: 7 μm) (B) representative image of S. aureus observed within the cytosol with GFP-Sqstm1 puncta 667 668 localization, (scale: 9 µm) (C) S. aureus within vesicles, co-localized with GFP-Sqstm1 at 2 hpi and 26 669 hpi (CHT imaged, ns, Fisher's exact test, n=3, 14 larvae at 2 hpi, and 12 larvae at 26 hpi) (D) S. aureus in the cytosol, co-localized with GFP-Sqstm1 at 2 hpi and 26 hpi (CHT imaged, *p<0.05, 670 671 Fisher's exact test, n=3, 14 larvae at 2 hpi, and 12 larvae at 26 hpi) (E) GFP-Sqstm1 puncta in the 672 cytosol of infected and non-infected at 2 hpi (CHT imaged, ns, Mann-Whitney test, n=3, error bars +/-673 SD, 14 larvae) (F) GFP-Sqstm1 puncta in the cytosol of infected and non-infected at 26 hpi (CHT 674 imaged, **p<0.01, Mann-Whitney test, n=3, error bars +/- SD, 12 larvae) (G-I) 2500 cfu of GFP S. aureus injected into Tg(lyzC:RFP-GFP-lc3)sh383, larvae imaged in the CHT at 2 hpi and 26 hpi. (G) 675 676 Lc3 association to the entire S. aureus vesicle at 2 hpi and 26 hpi (ns, Fisher's test, n =3, 17 2 hpi 677 larvae, 11 26 hpi larvae) (H) The number of S. aureus vesicles with Lc3 puncta (*p<0.05, Fisher's test, n =3, 17 2 hpi larvae, 11 26 hpi larvae) (I) The number of S. aureus events in the cytosol with Lc3 678 679 puncta at 2 hpi and 26 hpi (ns, Fisher's test, n =3, 17 larvae at 2 hpi, 11 larvae at 26 hpi). 680

681 Figure 3. Zebrafish survival is reduced following infection with Staphylococcus aureus in the absence 682 of Sqstm1. (A-B) Zebrafish survival following S. aureus infection, larvae were injected with 1500 cfu of 683 SH1000 at 30 hpf. (A) sqstm1 morphants or control morphants survival (n=3, 74-80 larvae per group, 684 p=0.004, Log-rank, Mantel-Cox test) (B) sqstm1 mutant or wild-type sibling survival (n=3, 57-60 685 larvae per group, p=0.0168, Log-rank, Mantel-Cox test) (C) Electropherograms showing the sequence of wild type and sh558 mutant Sqstm1. Dashed vertical lines show the location of the 5-bp deletion. 686 The position of the frameshift in the Sqstm1 protein is illustrated. Since this frameshift is located in the 687 688 final coding exon, we predict translation of a truncated Sqstm1 protein lacking the UBD domain. (D-E) 689 Number of infected neutrophils at 26 hpi following S. aureus infection, larvae were injected with 1500 690 cfu of SH1000 mCherry (D) or GFP (E), imaging completed in CHT at 30 hpf (D) sqstm1 mutant or 691 wild-type sibling (n=3, 19-36 larvae per group, p=0.0168, p=0.1039, Mann-Whitney test, error bars +/-692 SEM) (E) sqstm1 morphants or control morphants in Tq(mpx:eGFP)i114 larvae (n=3, 32-34 larvae per 693 group, p=0.115, Mann-Whitney test, error bars +/- SEM) (F) Number of neutrophils containing 694 cytosolic S. aureus in sqstm1 morphants or control morphants Tg(mpx:eGFP)i114 larvae (n=3, 32-34 695 larvae per group, **p<0.01, Mann-Whitney test, error bars +/- SEM)







