



Deposited via The University of York.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/161938/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Fraser, Lorna Katharine, Murtagh, Fliss E M, Sheldon, Trevor Andrew et al. (Accepted: 2020) The health of mothers of children with a life-limiting condition: a protocol for comparative cohort study using the Clinical Practice Research Datalink. *BMJ Open*. ISSN: 2044-6055 (In Press)

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

TITLE: The health of mothers of children with a life-limiting condition; a protocol for comparative cohort study using the **Clinical Practice Research Datalink**

Fraser LK^{1,2}, Murtagh FEM³, Sheldon TA¹, Gilbody S¹, Hewitt CE¹

¹ Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

² Martin House Research Centre, University of York, UK

³ Wolfson Palliative Care Centre, Hull York Medical School, Hull, UK

Corresponding Author:

Dr Lorna Fraser

Department of Health Sciences

Area 2 Seebohm Rowntree Building

Heslington

YORK

YO10 5DD

lorna.fraser@york.ac.uk

01904 321889

Word Count: 2489 words.

Tables :1

ABSTRACT

Introduction

There are now nearly 50,000 children with a life-limiting or life-threatening conditions in the UK. These include conditions where there is no reasonable hope of cure and from which they will die, as well as conditions for which curative treatment may be feasible but can fail, e.g. cancer or heart failure. Having a child with a life-limiting condition involves being a coordinator and provider of healthcare in addition to the responsibilities and pressures of parenting a child who is expected to die young. This adversely affects the health and wellbeing of these mothers and affects their ability to care for their child, but the extent of the impact is poorly understood.

This study aims to quantify the incidence and nature of mental and physical morbidity in mothers of children with a life-limiting condition, their healthcare use and to assess whether there is a relationship between the health of the mother and the child's condition.

Methods and analysis

A comparative cohort study using data from the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD) and linked hospital data will include three groups of children and their mothers (those with a life-limiting condition, those with a chronic conditions and those with no long term health condition total =20,000 mother-child dyads). Incidence rates and incidence rate ratios will be used to quantify and compare the outcomes between groups with multivariable regression modelling used to assess the relationship between the child's disease trajectory and mother's health.

Ethics and dissemination

This study protocol has approval from the Independent Scientific Advisory Committee for the UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency Database Research. The results of this study will be reported according to the STROBE and RECORD guidelines. There will also

be a lay summary for parents which will be available to download from the Martin House Research Centre website (www.york.ac.uk/mhrc).

ARTICLE SUMMARY

Strengths and Limitations of this study

This study is utilising a large, longitudinal, nationally representative primary care data source.

The Clinical Practice Research Datalink data enables the identification of mother and child dyads and linkage to secondary care data.

This study is reliant on identification of the cohort of interest by diagnostic coding therefore on the quality of diagnostic coding within the CPRD and HES datasets.

INTRODUCTION

The number of children with life-limiting or life-threatening conditions has been rising, with latest figures estimating 49,000 children and young people with a life-limiting condition in the UK.¹ These include conditions for which there is no reasonable hope of cure and from which children or young people will die, as well as conditions for which curative treatment may be feasible but can fail, such as cancer or heart failure. In children and young people, more than 300 diagnoses are life-limiting or life-threatening², including duchenne muscular dystrophy, severe cerebral palsy, neurodegenerative conditions, and severe congenital anomalies.

Although many of the individual diagnoses are rare, collectively life-limiting conditions affect more children and young people than more common single long term conditions, such as diabetes mellitus³. Many of these children are living longer due to the use of medical technologies, e.g. ventilation and gastrostomy feeding, and more aggressive treatment of complications and they are often high users of healthcare services⁴. The parents of these children have an added layer of 'pressure' from having a child with a chronic condition who also has a shortened life expectancy⁵. Having a child with a life-limiting condition often involves being a coordinator and provider of healthcare as well as being a parent, and this responsibility exists 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, especially for mothers. The health of these mothers is important, both for their own well-being, and also in terms of their ability to care

for their child. Currently the National Health Service and statutory services for these children rarely extend to providing care for the parents. The focus is on mothers rather than fathers in this study as mothers are the main carer for the majority of these children.

The need for further research on the emotional and psychological support and interventions for parents or carers of children with a life-limiting condition was highlighted recently in National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidelines on end of life care in children and young people⁶. This stated that research was needed on 'What emotional support do children and young people with life-limiting conditions and their parents or carers need, and how would they like these needs to be addressed? The NICE guidelines⁶ also noted that no studies had quantified the psychological/ mental health of mothers of children with life-limiting condition. There have been some attempts to quantify these in children with special needs⁷ or specific disabilities^{8,9} which have shown higher levels of parental distress or emotional problems than parents of healthy children (36% cf 20%). These however, do not address the specific needs of those with life-limiting conditions or the added burden that parents of children with a life-limiting condition face, knowing their child is likely to die.

Evidence about the physical health of mothers with life-limiting condition is also sparse, although two studies in mothers of children with disabilities found higher prevalence of physical conditions compared to mothers of healthy children. For example, prevalence of back pain and hypertension was 35.2% and 24.7% respectively in mothers of children with disabilities, compared to 26.7% and 19.1% in mothers of healthy children. However, these studies were cross sectional, self-reported and were not explicitly for mothers of children with a life-limiting condition^{9,10}. To date, no study using a nationally representative sample has assessed the incidence or prevalence of mental and physical health conditions in mothers of children with a life-limiting condition. Quantifying the extent and nature of both physical and mental health conditions in these mothers is important when trying to develop and target appropriate preventative or treatment interventions for this population.

This study aims to quantify the incidence and nature of mental and physical morbidity in mothers of children with a life-limiting condition, their healthcare use and to assess whether there is a relationship between the health of the mother and the child's condition.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Research Questions

1. What is the nature and incidence of mental and physical morbidity in mothers of children with a life-limiting condition?
2. What is the relationship between the health of the mother and the child's condition?
3. How many primary care visits do these mothers have compared to other mothers?
4. How many hospital admissions do these mothers have compared to other mothers?
5. What are the resource costs of healthcare for these mothers?

Data sources and sample selection

This will be an observational comparative cohort study design using data from the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD). The CPRD dataset contains anonymized, longitudinal records of primary care from a representative sample of GP practices across the UK (covering approximately 8.5% of the UK population)¹¹. Individuals within the primary care CPRD dataset are also linked to secondary care data (inpatient, outpatient, A & E Hospital Episodes Statistics (HES) and the Mental Health Minimum Dataset (MHMDS))^{12 13} and Office for National Statistics death certificate data. The CPRD provides a unique opportunity to assess the relationship between maternal morbidity and their child health due to the longitudinal nature of data collection (since 1987) and the ability to link mothers and children via their mother-baby link algorithm¹⁴. It is not currently possible to link babies to fathers in this dataset.

The index children will be extracted from the CPRD mother baby linkage, where the mother has at least 1 years registration and where they eligible for HES linkage (resident in England). Children will be grouped in to those with a life-limiting condition (n~5000) or chronic condition that is not life-limiting e.g. diabetes, asthma (n~5000) and they will be identified within the CPRD dataset between 2007-2017, using READ codes in the CPRD data and ICD10 codes in the HES data¹ (see Table 1) . The development of these ICD-10 code list have been

described previously for life-limiting¹ and chronic conditions¹⁵. Subjects will be matched by year of birth, sex and region to the control group of healthy children (n~10,000) with no long term conditions.

All primary and the linked secondary care data will be extracted for each mother and child dyad.

Table 1 Cohort identification processes

Groups	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion Criteria
1. Life-limiting or life-threatening condition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children from the source population who have prevalent diagnosis event records for life-limiting conditions, either in the Clinical or Referral files in CPRD GOLD based on the Read codes detailed in Supplementary material, or in HES APC based on the ICD-10 codes in Supplementary material. • Children have above events before the end of the study period (31/12/2017). • Children have above events within their UTS follow-up period. • Children are aged 18 years or less on the diagnosis event date. 	None
2. Chronic condition that is not life-limiting	<p>One matching control will be provided for each case in Group 1. The controls will comprise of patients from the source population who fulfil the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children from the source population who have diagnosis event records for other chronic conditions in the Clinical or Referral files in CPRD GOLD based 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children with a diagnosis on or before 31/12/2017 of life-limiting conditions, either in the Clinical or Referral files in CPRD GOLD based on the Read codes detailed in Appendix 1, or in HES APC based on the ICD-10 codes in Appendix 2.

	<p>on the Read codes detailed in Supplementary material, or in HES APC based on the ICD-10 codes in Supplementary material.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children have above events before the end of the study period of (31/12/2017). • Children have above events within their UTS follow-up period. • Children are aged 18 years or less on the diagnosis date. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children who have deregistered from the CPRD on or before 01/04/2007 • Children who are siblings of children in the case population (Group 1), based on having a link to the same mother in the Mother Baby Link
<p>3. No long term conditions</p>	<p>Up to two matching controls will be provided for each case in Group 1. The controls will comprise of patients from the source population who fulfil the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children from the source population who have at least one day of registration during follow-up start and end. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children with a diagnosis of life-limiting conditions on or before 31/12/2017, either in the Clinical or Referral files in CPRD GOLD based on the Read codes detailed in Appendix 1, or in HES APC based on the ICD-10 codes in Appendix 2, will be excluded • Children with a diagnosis of other chronic conditions on or before 31/12/2017, in the Clinical or Referral files in CPRD GOLD based on the Read codes detailed in Appendix 3, or in HES APC based on the ICD-10 codes in Appendix 4, will be excluded. • Children who have deregistered from the CPRD on or before 01/04/2007 • Children who are siblings of children in the case population (Group 1), based on

		having a link to the same mother in the Mother Baby Link
--	--	--

Sample size

A sample size calculation based on 80% power, 5% significance to detect

an incidence rate ratio of 1.4 (with mean incidence rate of 0.03 in maternal anxiety/depression) indicated a minimum of 3,260 participants required in each group. The final cohort includes all children with a life-limiting condition in the CPRD dataset. The cohort for analyses contained a total of 35,683 mothers, of whom 8,950 had a child with a life-limiting condition, 8,868 had a child with a chronic condition and 17,865 had a child with no long-term condition.

Exposures

The key exposure is the disease status of the child (life-limiting condition/chronic disease (asthma or diabetes)/ no long-term condition). These groups will be identified using previously developed READ and ICD 10 diagnostic code lists (see supplementary material). The use of both READ and ICD 10 codes will enable identification of relevant diagnoses from either the primary care records (READ) or hospital admission data (ICD 10).

Outcomes

The outcomes for the mothers will be recorded in either the primary care data or the linked secondary care datasets. The dates of these outcomes will be assessed in relation to the date of the child's diagnoses.

Incidence of mental health and physical health conditions

- Maternal mental health diagnoses, including both common mental illness and severe mental illness. These will be identified using diagnostic READ codes and relevant prescription data. A previously developed READ code algorithm¹⁶ will be utilised. The linked MHMDS will indicate the presence of a more serious mental health conditions.
- Maternal physical diagnoses, including e.g. obesity, hypertension, musculoskeletal problems, and cardiovascular disease. These will be identified using diagnostic READ codes, relevant prescription data, HES data, and related biometric data (including blood pressure, BMI, and total cholesterol).

The association of maternal health with the child's disease trajectory

- The number of A&E attendances, hospital admissions and length of stay for the children (HES data).

Healthcare use and resources of the mother

- Referrals to other services, especially secondary services for mothers (CPRD and HES data).
- Uptake of cervical screening by mothers (CPRD data).
- The number of primary care attendances (GP, practice nurse etc.) per year by mothers (CPRD data)
- The number and nature of prescribed medication for mothers (Prescription data).
- The number of A&E attendances, hospital admissions and length of stay for mothers (HES data).

Confounding

The following clinical and demographic confounders will be considered in the analyses:

- Ethnicity, this will be classified according to the census 2011 categories¹⁷ using data from CPRD and HES data.
- Pre-existing comorbidities of the mother

- Child's diagnoses: grouped into disease categories¹
- Socioeconomic data is based on the index of multiple deprivation category based on the local superoutput area of residence¹⁸

- Region of residence (recognising that the geographical coverage of CPRD is not uniform across England).

Statistical methods

All analyses will be undertaken using STATA version 15¹⁹. All analyses will adjust for known clinical and demographic confounders of importance (age, co-morbidities, socioeconomic status, previous use of primary care services, etc.). The left and right censored nature of these data will be taken into account when undertaking these analyses.

Incidence of mental health and physical health conditions

Incidence rates of the physical and mental health conditions will be calculated in each group of mothers by dividing the number of cases in each group by the person-time at risk in each group. Incident cases will be counted only after the diagnoses in the child. A comparison with the incidence of these conditions in the three groups of mothers will be undertaken using incidence rate ratios.

The association of maternal health with the child's disease trajectory

The presence of physical or mental health diagnoses in the mother will be the outcome variable of interest in a multivariable model with a key covariate being the presence of prolonged or repeated hospital admission for the child. A prolonged admission is one that lasts > 14 days and repeated admissions will be defined as more than 2 admissions in a 6 month time period.

Healthcare use and resources of the mother

Using multivariable Poisson regression modelling for the count of GP visits, referrals and hospital admissions with the key exposure of disease status of the child (life-limiting condition /Chronic disease/ no long-term condition). Multilevel modelling will be used to account for repeated measures within individuals. For the analyses of healthcare costs, we will use information from Unit Costs of Health and Social Care²⁰ , the NHS national tariffs²¹ and Department of Health Reference Costs²² .

Missing data

We expect there to be missing data for some of the key covariates in the data e.g. ethnicity. We will assess the level of missingness across all sources of these variable (HES and CPRD). If appropriate then we will use multiple imputation techniques²³ to address this in order to avoid the potential bias from only undertaking complete case analyses.

PATIENT AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

The views of parents and carers of children with a life-limiting condition have informed the development of this study. Initial ideas about the topic area and planned study were discussed with a group of 10 parents of children with a life-limiting condition at Martin House Children's Hospice. They helped to refine the research question and future stages of this programme of work. The Family Advisory Board of the Martin House Research Centre (www.york.ac.uk/mhrc) will have involvement in this study with an emphasis on the preparation of the lay summaries and dissemination to parents and children.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

This study protocol has approval from the Independent Scientific Advisory Committee for the UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency Database Research (protocol 18_313). They have NREC approval for release of pseudonymised data for observational research.

This study will be complete by Feb 2021.

The results of this study will be reported according to the STROBE and RECORD guidelines (ref). There were also be a lay summary for parents which will be available to download from the Martin House Research Centre website (www.york.ac.uk/mhrc).

Authors' contributions: LF had the original idea for this study and wrote the first draft of this manuscript. FM, SG, TS and CH contributed to the development of this idea, study design and revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final submitted version of this manuscript.

Funding statement: This paper is independent research arising from a Career Development Fellowship held by Lorna Fraser (CDF-2018-11-ST2-002) supported by the National Institute for Health Research. The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health.

Competing interests statement: none declared

Ethics approval: This study protocol has approval from the Independent Scientific Advisory Committee for the UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency Database Research (protocol 18_313).

Data sharing statement: the clinical codes used for this study are provided as supplementary material.

REFERENCES

1. Fraser LK, Miller M, Hain R, et al. Rising National Prevalence of Life-Limiting Conditions in Children in England. *Pediatrics* 2012;129(4):E923-E29. doi: 10.1542/peds.2011-2846
2. Hain R, Devins M, Hastings R, et al. Paediatric palliative care: development and pilot study of a 'Directory' of life-limiting conditions. *Bmc Palliative Care* 2013;12 doi: 10.1186/1472-684x-12-43
3. Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health. National Paediatric Diabetes Audit, 2014.
4. Feudtner C, DiGiuseppe DL, Neff JM. Hospital care for children and young adults with complex chronic conditions in the last year of life. *Pediatric Research* 2003;53(4):266A-66A.
5. Fraser LK, Jarvis SW, Moran N, et al. Children in Scotland requiring Palliative Care: identifying numbers and needs (The ChiSP Study). York, UK: University of York, 2015.
6. National Institute for Health and Crae Excellence (NICE). End of life care for infants, children and young people with life-limiting conditions: planning and management, 2016.
7. Thurston S, Paul L, Loney P, et al. Associations and costs of parental symptoms of psychiatric distress in a multi-diagnosis group of children with special needs. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research* 2011;55:263-80. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2788.2010.01356.x
8. Brehaut JC, Kohen DE, Raina P, et al. The Health of Primary Caregivers of Children With Cerebral Palsy: How Does It Compare With That of Other Canadian Caregivers? *Pediatrics* 2004;114(2):e182-e91. doi: 10.1542/peds.114.2.e182
9. Lach LM, Kohen DE, Garner RE, et al. The health and psychosocial functioning of caregivers of children with neurodevelopmental disorders. *Disability and Rehabilitation* 2009;31(8):607-18. doi: 10.1080/09638280802242163
10. Lee MH, Park C, Matthews AK, et al. Differences in physical health, and health behaviors between family caregivers of children with and without disabilities. *Disability and Health Journal* 2017;10(4):565-70. doi: 10.1016/j.dhjo.2017.03.007
11. Herrett E, Gallagher AM, Bhaskaran K, et al. Data Resource Profile: Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD). *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2015;44(3):827-36. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyv098
12. Clinical Practice Research Datalink. Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) Admitted Patient Care and GOLD Documentation (Set 14): Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency, 2017.

13. Health & Social Care Information Centre. Methodology for creation of the HES Patient ID (HESID), 2014.
14. CPRD. Clinical Practice Research Datalink 2014 [Available from: <http://www.cprd.com/intro.asp> accessed 29/01/2014.
15. Hardelid P, Dattani N, Gilbert R. Estimating the prevalence of chronic conditions in children who die in England, Scotland and Wales: a data linkage cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2014;4(8):e005331. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005331
16. Prady SL, Pickett KE, Petherick ES, et al. Evaluation of ethnic disparities in detection of depression and anxiety in primary care during the maternal period: combined analysis of routine and cohort data. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 2016;208(5):453-61. doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.114.158832
17. NOMIS. Census 2011 - Ethnic group by sex by age: NOMIS, 2013.
18. Government DfCaL. The English Indices of Deprivation 2015, 2015.
19. StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 14. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP, 2017.
20. Personal Social Services Research Unit. Unit Costs of Health and Social Care 2014, 2014.
21. Department of Health. Payment by Results Guidance for 2013-14, 2013.
22. Department of health. Reference costs 2013-14, 2014.
23. Sterne JAC, White IR, Carlin JB, et al. Multiple imputation for missing data in epidemiological and clinical research: potential and pitfalls. *BMJ* 2009;338:b2393. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b2393