

This is a repository copy of Structural insight into the formation of lipoprotein- β -barrel complexes.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/160995/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Rodríguez-Alonso, R, Létoquart, J, Nguyen, VS et al. (7 more authors) (2020) Structural insight into the formation of lipoprotein- β -barrel complexes. Nature Chemical Biology, 16. pp. 1019-1025. ISSN 1552-4450

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41589-020-0575-0

© 2020, Springer Nature. This is an author produced version of an article published in Nature Chemical Biology. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



- **1** Structural insight into the formation of lipoprotein-β-barrel complexes
- 2

Raquel Rodríguez-Alonso^{1,2,7}, Juliette Létoquart^{1,2,7}, Van Son Nguyen^{3,4}, Gwennaelle 3 Louis^{1,2}, Antonio N. Calabrese⁵, Bogdan I. Iorga⁶, Sheena E. Radford⁵, Seung-Hyun 4 Cho^{1,2,*}, Han Remaut^{3,4,*} and Jean-François Collet^{1,2,*} 5 6 7 ¹WELBIO - Walloon Excellence in Life Sciences and Biotechnology, Avenue Hippocrate 75, 8 1200 Brussels, Belgium 9 ²de Duve Institute, Université catholique de Louvain, Avenue Hippocrate 75, 1200 Brussels, 10 Belgium 11 ³Structural Biology Brussels, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, 1050 Brussels, Belgium 12 ⁴Structural and Molecular Microbiology, Structural Biology Research Center, VIB, 1050 Brussels, Belgium 13 14 ⁵Astbury Centre for Structural Molecular Biology, School of Molecular and Cellular Biology, 15 Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, UK ⁶Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS UPR 2301, Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles, 16 17 91198 Gif-sur-Yvette, France ⁷co-first authors 18 19 *Correspondence: seung.cho@uclouvain.be, han.remaut@vub.be, and jfcollet@uclouvain.be 20 21 The β -barrel assembly machinery (BAM) inserts outer membrane β -barrel proteins 22 (OMPs) in the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria. In Enterobacteriacea, BAM also mediates export of the stress sensor lipoprotein RcsF to the cell surface by assembling 23 24 **RcsF-OMP** complexes. Here, we report the crystal structure of the key BAM component

25 BamA in complex with RcsF. BamA adopts an inward-open conformation, with the

lateral gate to the membrane closed. RcsF is lodged deep inside the lumen of the BamA barrel, binding regions proposed to undergo an outward and lateral opening during OMP insertion. On the basis of our structural and biochemical data, we propose a push-andpull model for RcsF export upon conformational cycling of BamA and provide a mechanistic explanation for how RcsF uses its interaction with BamA to detect envelope stress. Our data also suggest that the flux of incoming OMP substrates is involved in the control of BAM activity.

33

34 Introduction

35

36 The vast majority of proteins inserted in the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria adopt a β -barrel conformation. Their assembly depends on the activity of the conserved β -barrel 37 assembly machinery (BAM), whose core component is the OMP85-family protein BamA^{1,2}. 38 39 BamA is an outer membrane 16-stranded β -barrel with a large periplasmic extension consisting of five POlypeptide TRansport-Associated (POTRA) domains at its N-terminus¹. Structures 40 41 of BAM have shown that BamA can adopt two conformations: an outward-open conformation ^{3,4}, in which the β -barrel domain opens between strands $\beta 1$ and $\beta 16$ to form a lateral gate to the 42 membrane, and an inward-open conformation ^{5,6}, in which the lateral gate is sealed while a 43 44 periplasmic entry pore to the barrel lumen is open. In the bacterium Escherichia coli, four accessory lipoproteins (BamB, BamC, BamD, and BamE) complete BAM, forming a 45 pentameric holocomplex ^{7,8}. BamBCDE are anchored to the outer membrane by a lipid moiety 46 47 but reside in the periplasm. BamB and BamD directly bind the POTRA domains of BamA, while BamC and BamE bind BamD^{1,2}. Although all components are required for efficient 48 49 assembly of E. coli's diverse set of OMPs, only BamA and BamD are essential and conserved throughout Gram-negative bacteria ^{1,2}. Despite important structural and functional insights 50

during 15 years of intense scrutiny due to the essential activity of BAM in generating and maintaining the outer membrane, crucial questions remain unsolved regarding the mechanism of this molecular machine. In particular, the functional importance of BamA cycling between the outward-open and inward-open conformations remains unclear, as are the respective contributions of the various BAM components to OMP assembly ⁹.

56

The primary function of BAM is the assembly of OMPs and, when necessary, the translocation 57 58 of their associated extracellular domains across the outer membrane. More recently, BAM has also been implicated in export of the outer membrane lipoprotein RcsF to the cell surface ^{10,11} 59 60 via the assembly of complexes between this lipoprotein and three abundant OMPs (OmpA, OmpC, and OmpF)^{10,11}. Support for the involvement of BAM in RcsF export comes from *in* 61 vivo crosslinking experiments in which a complex between RcsF and BamA, considered to be 62 63 an intermediate in the formation of RcsF-OmpA/C/F complexes, was trapped ^{10,11}. Further, in cells lacking BamB and BamE, RcsF accumulates on BamA and causes a lethal block to BAM-64 mediated OMP assembly, suggesting that OMPs and surface-exposed RcsF exploit at least 65 partially overlapping assembly routes ^{12,13}. 66

67

68 RcsF functions as an envelope stress sensor capable of mounting a protective response when damage occurs in the peptidoglycan or in the outer membrane ^{14,15}. Interestingly, we previously 69 determined that sending RcsF to the surface is part of a cellular strategy that enables RcsF to 70 71 detect damage in the cell envelope. Under stress conditions, newly synthesized RcsF molecules fail to interact with BamA¹⁰: they are not exported to the surface and remain exposed to the 72 73 periplasm, which allows them to trigger the Rcs signaling cascade by reaching the downstream Rcs partner in the inner membrane ¹⁶. Thus, surface exposure is intimately linked to the 74 75 function of RcsF. However, the molecular details of the BamA-RcsF interaction, how BAM

76	orchestrates the export of RcsF with OMP assembly, and what prevents RcsF from interacting
77	with BamA under stress conditions remain unknown. Here we sought to address these
78	questions by obtaining structural information about the interaction between BamA and RcsF.

79

80 **Results**

81

82 **RcsF can be purified with the BAM complex**

83 In a series of exploratory experiments, we co-overexpressed RcsF with the BamAB sub-84 complex, or with the BamABCDE holocomplex; both BamAB-RcsF and BamABCDE-RcsF 85 could be detergent-extracted from the membrane and purified via affinity chromatography 86 using a His-tag on the N-terminus of BamA (Fig. 1a). Using native gel electrophoresis, we 87 confirmed that RcsF binds BamABCDE, and not only BamAB (Fig. 1a, b, c). However, 88 whereas BamAB-RcsF was stable and could be purified to homogeneity by size-exclusion 89 chromatography, BamABCDE-RcsF was unstable (Extended Data Fig. 1a, b). Interesting to 90 note, destabilization of BamABCDE was only observed when RcsF was present (Extended 91 Data Fig. 1c).

92

93 **BamA** is in the inward-open conformation in the structure

94 The BamAB-RcsF complex was crystallized and its structure solved to 3.8 Å resolution by 95 molecular replacement using the structures of BamA and RcsF (PDB: 5D0O and 2Y1B, 96 respectively; Supplementary Table 1). While this structure contained BamA and RcsF (Fig. 2), 97 BamB dissociated from the BamA-RcsF complex during crystallization and was absent. The 98 asymmetric unit contained two BamA-RcsF conformers, although for one of them, no 99 unambiguous electron density was observed for POTRA domains 1, 2, 3, and 5 (Extended Data 99 Fig. 2a, b). The β-barrel of BamA was found in an inward-open conformation closely matching 101 that reported in structures of *E. coli* BamABCDE (⁶, with a root mean square deviation of 0.9

102 Å for 383 equivalent Cα atoms in the BamA β-barrel of PDB: 5D0O) or BamA truncates 103 lacking POTRA domains 1-4 or 1-5 $^{17-20}$.

104

105 RcsF is located inside the lumen of the BamA β-barrel

106 In both BamA copies, RcsF was lodged deep inside the lumen of the BamA β -barrel (Fig. 2a; Extended Data Fig. 2c). RcsF contacts two BamA loops protruding into the β -barrel: (1) 107 extracellular loop L6 (eL6; ~77 Å² buried surface area, one putative H bond; note that at 3.8 Å 108 109 resolution, amino-acid sidechain positions cannot be unambiguously determined), and (2) the periplasmic loop connecting strands 7 and 8 (PL4; ~140 Å² buried surface area, one putative H 110 111 bond) (Fig. 2, 3a). Although contacting RcsF, these loops retain a conformation closely 112 matching that seen in inward-open BamA structures (Fig. 3b). However, the main BamA-RcsF contact occurs through the luminal wall of the BamA β -barrel, encompassing ~1100 Å² of 113 buried surface area and comprising up to 15 putative H-bonds (Fig. 2). This RcsF-BamA β-114 115 barrel interaction can be divided into three zones. Zone 1 (Z1) consists of perhaps nine H bonds 116 formed by BamA residue 488 and residues 463, 465, and 466 in the loop connecting β 3 and β 4 and contacting the RcsF loop connecting $\beta 1$ and $\alpha 1$ (L^{$\beta 1-\alpha 1$}) (Fig. 2b, c, Fig. 3a, c). Zone 2 (Z2) 117 is made of perhaps four H bonds formed by BamA residues 592 and 634, located above PL4 118 119 (Fig. 2c, 3a). β 16, one of the components of the proposed lateral gate of the BamA β -barrel, 120 constitutes the third zone (Fig. 2b, c, 3a). The bottom of RcsF protrudes out of the BamA βbarrel into the periplasm, residing in close proximity to POTRA domains 3-5 (Fig. 2a, b). As 121 122 a result, RcsF sterically pushes POTRA5 outward, causing a 26° rotation compared to the inward-open conformation found in BamA structures ^{5,6} (Fig. 3b). Although the lipid anchor 123 of RcsF and the N-terminal disordered linker (residues 16-50) ^{21,22} are not apparent in this 124 125 structure, the position of RcsF is compatible with the lipid anchor residing in the inner leaflet of the outer membrane. Of note, the binding interface between RcsF and BamA does not
overlap with the binding sites of BamA for its accessory lipoproteins (Extended Data Fig. 3).
Consistent with this observation, the RcsF-BamA interaction is compatible with the binding of
BamBCDE, as determined experimentally (Fig. 1; Extended Data Fig. 1).

130

131 To validate the BamA-RcsF conformation revealed by the X-ray structure, we subjected the complex to crosslinking and analysis via mass spectrometry using the homobifunctional NHS-132 ester crosslinker disuccinimidyl dibutyric urea ²³. The sequence coverage of RcsF was about 133 134 60% (Extended Data Fig. 4a). Note that one peptide from the N-terminal linker was detected, 135 indicating that the N-terminal disordered region was not degraded during purification. 136 Crosslinks were identified between lysine residues in RcsF (two lysines from the globular 137 domain and one located at the C-terminus of the linker) and those in POTRA4 and POTRA5 138 (Extended Data Fig. 4b; Supplementary Table 2), providing further support for the architecture 139 of BamA-RcsF determined by crystallography. To confirm that RcsF binds inside the barrel of 140 BamA, we incorporated the photoreactive lysine analog N6-((3-(3-methyl-3H-diazirin-3yl)propyl)carbamoyl)-L-lysine (DiZPK)²⁴ at multiple positions in the BamA β -barrel domain, 141 142 selecting residues (R583, R592, K598, K610, R632, R634, R661, K808) whose sidechains face 143 the lumen of the barrel (Extended Data Fig. 4b). After exposure to ultraviolet light, RcsF 144 efficiently crosslinked to BamA when DiZPK was incorporated at three of the selected residues 145 (R592, R598, K610) and to a lower extent at residue K808 (Extended Data Fig. 4b, c), 146 confirming that RcsF binds deep inside the barrel. We measured an equilibrium dissociation 147 constant of 350±49 or 420±48 nM, respectively, depending on whether BamA or RcsF was immobilized (Extended Data Fig. 4d, e). Finally, we deleted loop 1, a short, non-essential ²⁵ 148 segment located between the first and second β -strands of the barrel (residues 434 to 437; 149 $BamA_{\Delta loop1}$) (Fig. 3a; Extended Data Fig. 4b). $BamA_{\Delta loop1}$ is functional ⁵ and able to rescue the 150

151 lethality of a $\Delta bamA$ deletion mutant, despite the fact that the levels of major OMPs are slightly 152 decreased in cells expressing $BamA_{\Delta loop1}$ (Extended Data Fig. 4f). We hypothesized that 153 deleting this loop would destabilize the BamA-RcsF interaction because of the close proximity 154 of loop 1 to the lateral gate area and to loop 6, two regions of interaction between BamA and RcsF. As predicted, RcsF could be pulled down with BamA but not with BamA_{Aloop1} (Extended 155 156 Data Fig. 4g). Further, the Rcs signaling cascade, which is turned on when RcsF fails to interact with BamA ¹⁰, was constitutively induced in $\Delta bamA$ cells complemented with BamA_{$\Delta loop1}$ </sub> 157 158 (Extended Data Fig. 4h). In sum, these results provide functional evidence for our structure of 159 BamA-RcsF and confirm the presence of RcsF inside the barrel of BamA.

160

161 **RcsF does not bind BamA when the lateral gate is open**

162 Strikingly, our structure suggests that RcsF binding is incompatible with the BamA β-barrel 163 residing in the outward-open conformation (Fig. 3a). Confirming this hypothesis, RcsF was found to bind BamA^{G433C/N805C}, a mutant in which opening of the lateral gate is prevented by a 164 disulfide bond between β 1 and β 16²⁶, but not to BamA^{G393C/G584C}, which is locked in the 165 outward-open conformation ⁵ (Extended Data Fig. 5a, b). However, when reduced, 166 BamA^{G393C/G584C} returned to the inward-open conformation and regained the ability to bind 167 168 RcsF (Extended Data Fig. 5c). Importantly, given its ability to only bind the inward-open 169 conformation of BamA, the BamA-RcsF complex serves as a proxy for this state. Interestingly, 170 RcsF was recently reported to accumulate on BamA and to jam OMP assembly in the absence of BamB and BamE^{12,13}. Thus, in light of our structural findings, BamA conformational 171 172 cycling is likely impaired when BamB and BamE are absent. However, binding of these 173 accessory lipoproteins cannot be sufficient to trigger conformational changes in BamA. The 174 structure of BamABCDE has been solved not only in the outward-open conformation but also in the inward-open conformation ³⁻⁶, despite the presence of all accessory lipoproteins. In 175

addition, we have shown here that RcsF could be co-purified with BamABCDE (Fig. 1;
Extended Data Fig. 1), implying that in this purified complex, BamA was in the inward-open
conformation. Thus, BamA can remain in the inward-open conformation even when
BamBCDE are present, strongly supporting the notion that BAM conformational cycling is
triggered by an external signal.

181

182 **OMP substrates trigger conformational cycling in BamA**

183 What is this trigger? Insights came from *in vivo* crosslinking experiments carried out in cells 184 in which the expression levels of the BAM components were only moderately increased (~2-185 fold) compared with wild-type levels. Whereas RcsF can be crosslinked to BamA when the 186 BamA and BamB subunits are slightly over-expressed, the BamA-RcsF complex becomes 187 barely detectable when the moderate over-expression of all BAM components is induced (Fig. 188 4 a, b). We explain this as follows: the BamAB subcomplex is not functional and does not 189 funnel RcsF to its OMP partners. As a result, BamA-RcsF accumulates and OmpA-RcsF does 190 not form (Fig. 4a). However, if all BAM components (BamABCDE) are moderately 191 overexpressed, BAM activity is restored, RcsF only transiently interacts with BamA, and 192 formation of OmpA-RcsF resumes (Fig. 4a; note that in these experiments, BAM is still 193 expressed at physiological levels from the chromosome—by using plasmids, we manipulate 194 the stoichiometry of the BAM components (Fig. 4b)). Therefore, whether a stable RcsF 195 complex forms with BAM depends critically on the rates of OMP synthesis and delivery to 196 BAM, as well as the ratio of active BAM complexes to the concentrations of OMP and RcsF 197 substrates. When we purified the BamABCDE-RcsF complex (Fig. 1; Extended Data Fig. 1), 198 all BAM components were highly over-expressed, which increased the ratio of active 199 complexes to incoming OMP substrates and allowed accumulation of RcsF on BAM. Thus, our data support a model in which it is the flux of incoming OMP substrates that triggers 200

conformational changes in the BamA barrel and release of RcsF to its OMP partners (see
below; Fig. 4c). Although complexes have to date only been observed between RcsF and
OmpA/C/F ^{10,11}, complexes may form between RcsF and other, less abundant OMPs,
depending on the unfolded OMP that is delivered to the BamABCDE-RcsF complex.

205

206 RcsF is transferred to its partners during BamA cycling

RcsF is not an integral component of BAM; it can bind BamA with high affinity, but it is 207 eventually funneled to OMPs and displayed on the cell surface ^{10,11}. It has been proposed that 208 RcsF crosses the outer membrane by being threaded through the lumens of OMPs¹¹. In one 209 210 possible scenario, RcsF could be transferred from BamA to its OMP partner following opening of the lateral gate and formation of a hybrid barrel (or another non-covalent complex ⁹ between 211 212 BamA and the nascent OMP), which then buds away from BamA, taking RcsF with it. 213 Available structures show that the transition from the inward-open to the outward-open conformation of BamA encompasses a large outward rotation of strands 1-6 of the BamA β-214 barrel, as well as a 20 Å inward displacement of POTRA 5 (Fig. 3a, b, c) ^{5,6}. Strikingly, BamA 215 216 strands 1-6 coincide with the main RcsF-BamA interaction zone (Z1) seen in our structure, 217 such that outward rotation of Z1 may exert a pulling force on the tip of RcsF (Fig. 3a, c). 218 Concomitantly, the inward movement of POTRA5 would exert a pushing force on the bottom 219 of RcsF (Fig. 3c). We therefore hypothesize that during the inward-to-outward transition of 220 BamA, this push-and-pull action on RcsF could play a role in the transfer of RcsF to its OMP 221 partners and its translocation to the cell surface (Fig. 4c). Supporting this, dynamic importance 222 sampling simulations in which BamA transitions from the inward-open conformation (as in 223 our structure) to the outward-open conformation (PDB code 5D0Q) show POTRA5 moving 224 towards the periplasmic exit of the lumen, pushing RcsF upwards. The movement of POTRA5 225 is accompanied later on by the movement of Z1, opening the outward-facing extremity (Extended Data Fig. 6a, b, c, d and Supplementary Video). Furthermore, by introducing a short peptide in the hinge between POTRA5 and the β -barrel domain of BamA (BamA^{hinge}), we could confirm the functional importance of this region for BamA activity. We found indeed that expression of BamA^{hinge} from a plasmid rescues the growth of $\Delta bamA$ cells in minimal media at 30°C but not in rich media at 37°C, indicating that BamA^{hinge} is unable to cope with the rate of OMPs folding in these latter conditions (Extended Data Fig. 6e).

232

233 Discussion

234

Our work reveals how BamA interacts with RcsF, providing insights into the mechanism used by BAM to assemble RcsF-OMP complexes, a novel activity by which BAM exports this lipoprotein to the cell surface. It would be surprising if an essential machinery such as BAM with a global role in formation of the cell envelope—was only dedicated to export RcsF to the surface. Hence, it is tempting to speculate that other lipoproteins may follow the same route and decorate the surface of *E. coli*, in contrast to the general view that outer membrane lipoproteins face the periplasm ²⁷.

242

243 By showing that the globular domain of RcsF is lodged deep inside the barrel of BamA, our 244 structure also reveals the remarkable—and unanticipated —finding that the BamA β -barrel can 245 accommodate a lipoprotein "substrate" with a globular domain 12 kDa in size. This finding 246 further establishes BAM as an essential hub that contributes to outer membrane biogenesis by 247 interacting both with nascent OMPs for assembly and lipoproteins for export. Future work will 248 reveal whether other lipoproteins bind BamA in a way similar to RcsF and also clarify the 249 topology of the RcsF-OMP complexes. It has indeed been proposed that the lipid moiety of 250 RcsF is anchored in the outer leaflet of the membrane and that the N-terminal disordered linker is exposed on the cell surface before being threaded through the lumens of the OMPs ¹¹. In this model, the globular domain of RscF resides inside the periplasm. Although we cannot rule out that RcsF flips during release from BamA and transfer to its OMP partners, our structure is more consistent with the hypothesis that BamA releases the globular domain of RcsF on the cell surface. Further investigation will therefore be needed to answer this question, and whether the location of RcsF depends on the identity of its OMP partner.

257

258 It is also remarkable that RcsF binds the lateral gate area and the outward rotating region of the 259 BamA barrel, sites that sense BAM conformational cycling triggered by incoming OMP 260 substrates. We previously reported that RcsF uses its interaction with BamA to detect stress in 261 the cell envelope: when damage occurs in the peptidoglycan or the outer membrane, newly synthesized RcsF molecules fail to interact with BamA, activating the Rcs stress response ¹⁰. 262 263 Our structure provides a possible explanation for this scenario by suggesting that BamA 264 preferentially adopts the outward-open conformation when envelope integrity is impaired, 265 which would *de facto* prevent RcsF binding and promote Rcs activation. Thus, we propose that 266 cells could monitor envelope integrity via the conformational cycling of BamA.

267

268 How the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria is assembled remains a long-standing 269 mystery and a crucial question in biology. Here, we focused on BamA, the core component of 270 BAM whose activity is essential to constructing and maintaining the outer membrane. By 271 solving the structure of BamA in complex with its lipoprotein substrate RcsF, our work sheds 272 new light on BAM. It not only provides crucial molecular insights into how BAM exports 273 lipoproteins to the surface, but also uncovers important new features of this essential machinery 274 and its mechanism. Because BAM activity is required for bacterial survival, the complex is an attractive target for new antibiotics ²⁸⁻³¹. Our work also paves the way to the design of new 275

antibacterials that interfere with BAM conformational cycling, because blocking BAM in theinward-open conformation lethally jams BAM with RcsF.

278

279 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

280 We thank Asma Boujtat for technical help. We are indebted to Dr. Peng R. Chen (Peking 281 University) for sharing DiZPK, to Dr. Harris Bernstein (NIH, Bethesda, USA) for providing strains and plasmids, and to Dr. Michael Deghelt, Dr. Géraldine Laloux, Dr. Camille Goemans 282 283 (EMBL, Heidelberg, Germany), and Dr. Pauline Leverrier for helpful suggestions and 284 discussions and for providing comments on the manuscript. We thank Pierre Legrand and staff 285 at Soleil Synchrotron France and at Diamond Light Source UK for beamtime and their 286 assistance during data collection. This work was supported, in part, by grants from the Fonds 287 de la Recherche Scientifique - FNRS, from the FRFS-WELBIO grants n° WELBIO-CR-2015A-03 and WELBIO-CR-2019C-03, from the EOS Excellence in Research Program of the 288 FWO and FRS-FNRS (G0G0818N), from the Fédération Wallonie-Bruxelles (ARC 17/22-289 290 087), from the European Commission via the International Training Network Train2Target 291 (721484), from the French region Ile-de-France (DIM Malinf) and from the BBSRC 292 (BB/P000037/1, BB/M012573/1).

293

294 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.-F.C., R.R.A., S.E.R., H.R., and S.H.C. wrote the manuscript. J.L., RRA, S.N., G.L., S.H.C.,

H.R., and J.-F.C. designed the experiments. J.L., R.R.A., S.N., G.L., and S.H.C. performed the
experiments, constructed the strains, and cloned the constructs. B.I. performed the dynamic
importance sampling simulations. J.L., R.R.A., S.N., S.H.C., H.R., and J.-F.C. analyzed and
interpreted the data. A.N.C. and S.E.R. performed and analyzed the crosslinking mass
spectrometry experiments. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

3	n	1
\mathcal{I}	υ	T

302 AUTHOR INFORMATION

- 303 The authors declare no competing financial interests.
- 304

305 DATA AVAILABILITY

Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession
number 6T1W. All other data generated or analysed during this study are included in this
published article and its supplementary information file.

309

310 **REFERENCES**

- Noinaj, N., Gumbart, J. C. & Buchanan, S. K. The beta-barrel assembly machinery in
 motion. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 15, 197-204, doi:10.1038/nrmicro.2016.191 (2017).
- 313 2 Hagan, C. L., Silhavy, T. J. & Kahne, D. beta-Barrel membrane protein assembly by
- 314 the Bam complex. *Annu Rev Biochem* 80, 189-210, doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem315 061408-144611 (2011).
- 316 3 Iadanza, M. G. *et al.* Lateral opening in the intact beta-barrel assembly machinery
 317 captured by cryo-EM. *Nat Commun* 7, 12865, doi:10.1038/ncomms12865 (2016).
- Bakelar, J., Buchanan, S. K. & Noinaj, N. The structure of the beta-barrel assembly
 machinery complex. *Science* 351, 180-186, doi:10.1126/science.aad3460 (2016).
- Gu, Y. *et al.* Structural basis of outer membrane protein insertion by the BAM complex. *Nature* 531, 64-69, doi:10.1038/nature17199 (2016).
- Han, L. *et al.* Structure of the BAM complex and its implications for biogenesis of
 outer-membrane proteins. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 23, 192-196, doi:10.1038/nsmb.3181
 (2016).

- Wu, T. *et al.* Identification of a multicomponent complex required for outer membrane
 biogenesis in Escherichia coli. *Cell* 121, 235-245 (2005).
- 327 8 Sklar, J. G. *et al.* Lipoprotein SmpA is a component of the YaeT complex that
 328 assembles outer membrane proteins in Escherichia coli. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104,
 329 6400-6405 (2007).
- Schiffrin, B., Brockwell, D. J. & Radford, S. E. Outer membrane protein folding from
 an energy landscape perspective. *BMC Biol* 15, 123, doi:10.1186/s12915-017-0464-5
 (2017).
- 333 10 Cho, S. H. *et al.* Detecting Envelope Stress by Monitoring beta-Barrel Assembly. *Cell*334 **159**, 1652-1664, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.11.045 (2014).
- Konovalova, A., Perlman, D. H., Cowles, C. E. & Silhavy, T. J. Transmembrane
 domain of surface-exposed outer membrane lipoprotein RcsF is threaded through the
 lumen of beta-barrel proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111, E4350-4358,
 doi:10.1073/pnas.1417138111 (2014).
- Tata, M. & Konovalova, A. Improper Coordination of BamA and BamD Results in
 Bam Complex Jamming by a Lipoprotein Substrate. *MBio* 10,
 doi:10.1128/mBio.00660-19 (2019).
- Hart, E. M., Gupta, M., Wuhr, M. & Silhavy, T. J. The Synthetic Phenotype of
 DeltabamB DeltabamE Double Mutants Results from a Lethal Jamming of the Bam
 Complex by the Lipoprotein RcsF. *MBio* 10, doi:10.1128/mBio.00662-19 (2019).
- Wall, E., Majdalani, N. & Gottesman, S. The Complex Rcs Regulatory Cascade. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 72, 111-139, doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-090817-062640 (2018).
- Laloux, G. & Collet, J. F. "Major Tom to ground control: how lipoproteins
 communicate extra-cytoplasmic stress to the decision center of the cell". *J Bacteriol*,
 doi:10.1128/JB.00216-17 (2017).

- Hussein, N. A., Cho, S. H., Laloux, G., Siam, R. & Collet, J. F. Distinct domains of
 Escherichia coli IgaA connect envelope stress sensing and down-regulation of the Rcs
 phosphorelay across subcellular compartments. *PLoS Genet* 14, e1007398,
 doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1007398 (2018).
- 17 Kaur, H. *et al.* Identification of conformation-selective nanobodies against the
 membrane protein insertase BamA by an integrated structural biology approach. J
 Biomol NMR 73, 375-384, doi:10.1007/s10858-019-00250-8 (2019).
- Albrecht, R. *et al.* Structure of BamA, an essential factor in outer membrane protein
 biogenesis. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 70, 1779-1789,
 doi:10.1107/S1399004714007482 (2014).
- Ni, D. *et al.* Structural and functional analysis of the beta-barrel domain of BamA from
 Escherichia coli. *FASEB J* 28, 2677-2685, doi:10.1096/fj.13-248450 (2014).
- 362 20 Hartmann, J. B., Zahn, M., Burmann, I. M., Bibow, S. & Hiller, S. Sequence-Specific
 363 Solution NMR Assignments of the beta-Barrel Insertase BamA to Monitor Its
 364 Conformational Ensemble at the Atomic Level. *J Am Chem Soc* 140, 11252-11260,
 365 doi:10.1021/jacs.8b03220 (2018).
- Leverrier, P. *et al.* Crystal structure of the outer membrane protein RcsF, a new
 substrate for the periplasmic protein-disulfide isomerase DsbC. *J Biol Chem* 286,
 16734-16742, doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.224865 (2011).
- 369 22 Rogov, V. V., Rogova, N. Y., Bernhard, F., Lohr, F. & Dotsch, V. A disulfide bridge 370 network within the soluble periplasmic domain determines structure and function of the 286, 371 outer membrane protein RCSF. JChem 18775-18783, Biol 372 doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.230185 (2011).
- Calabrese, A. N. & Radford, S. E. Mass spectrometry-enabled structural biology of
 membrane proteins. *Methods* 147, 187-205, doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2018.02.020 (2018).

- Zhang, M. *et al.* A genetically incorporated crosslinker reveals chaperone cooperation
 in acid resistance. *Nat Chem Biol* 7, 671-677, doi:10.1038/nchembio.644 (2011).
- Gu, Y., Zeng, Y., Wang, Z. & Dong, C. BamA beta16C strand and periplasmic turns
 are critical for outer membrane protein insertion and assembly. *Biochem J* 474, 39513961, doi:10.1042/BCJ20170636 (2017).
- Noinaj, N., Kuszak, A. J., Balusek, C., Gumbart, J. C. & Buchanan, S. K. Lateral
 opening and exit pore formation are required for BamA function. *Structure* 22, 10551062, doi:10.1016/j.str.2014.05.008 (2014).
- 383 27 Okuda, S. & Tokuda, H. Lipoprotein sorting in bacteria. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 65, 239384 259, doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-090110-102859 (2011).
- 385 28 Storek, K. M. *et al.* Monoclonal antibody targeting the beta-barrel assembly machine
 386 of Escherichia coli is bactericidal. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 115, 3692-3697,
 387 doi:10.1073/pnas.1800043115 (2018).
- 388 29 Imai, Y. *et al.* A new antibiotic selectively kills Gram-negative pathogens. *Nature* 576,
 389 459-464, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1791-1 (2019).
- 30 30 Luther, A. *et al.* Chimeric peptidomimetic antibiotics against Gram-negative bacteria.
 391 *Nature* 576, 452-458, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1665-6 (2019).
- Hart, E. M. *et al.* A small-molecule inhibitor of BamA impervious to efflux and the
 outer membrane permeability barrier. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 116, 21748-21757,
 doi:10.1073/pnas.1912345116 (2019).
- 395 32 Majdalani, N., Hernandez, D. & Gottesman, S. Regulation and mode of action of the
 396 second small RNA activator of RpoS translation, RprA. *Mol Microbiol* 46, 813-826
 397 (2002).

398	33	Baba, T	. et a	<i>l</i> . Con	struction of	Esch	erich	ia col	i K	-12 in	-frame	, single-gene kno	ckout
399		mutants	: the	Keio	collection.	Mol	Syst	Biol	2,	2006	0008,	doi:msb4100050	[pii]
400		10.1038	/msb	41000	50 (2006).								
401													
402													
403													
404													
405													
406													
407													
408													
409													
410													
411													
412													
413													
414													
415													
416													
417													
418													
419													
420													
421													
422													

423 LEGENDS TO THE FIGURES

424

Figure 1. RcsF forms a complex with BamAB and BamABCDE. (a, b) SDS-PAGE (a) and
blue native (b) analysis of purified BAM, BAM-RcsF and BamAB-RcsF complexes obtained
via BamA-affinity chromatography. The bands analyzed in (c) are labelled 1 to 8. (c) SDSPAGE analysis of the complexes shown in panel b (bands 1 to 8). The BAM complex expressed
from pRRA1 is a mixture of BamABCDE and BamABDE. n= 4 biologically independent
experiments.

431

432 Figure 2. Structure of the BamA-RcsF complex. (a) Ribbon diagram of the BamA-RcsF 433 complex in side view. BamA, gold; RcsF, blue. (b, c) Front (b) and extracellular (c) views of 434 BamA-RcsF, with RcsF shown as a solvent-accessible surface. POTRA domains 1 and 2 have 435 been omitted for clarity. BamA ^eL6, green; ^pL4, magenta. Putative RcsF-interacting residues 436 in contact zones Z1 and Z2 of the BamA β -barrel are colored cyan and magenta, respectively, and shown as sticks. Strands β 1 and β 16, which form the proposed "lateral gate" of the BamA 437 438 β -barrel¹, are yellow. (d) Periplasmic view of the BamA-RcsF complex, with the BamA β -439 barrel shown as a solvent-accessible surface and RcsF as a ribbon. Colors are as in panels b 440 and c. POTRA domains were omitted for clarity.

441

Figure 3. Conformational characteristics of the BamA-RcsF complex. (**a**, **c**) Tilted top view and slabbed side view of the overlay of the BamA-RcsF complex and BamA in the outwardopen conformation (grey, taken from BamACDE complex PDB:5EKQ⁴). The BamA β-barrel undergoes a ~45° outward rotation at strands β 1- β 6, and a 20 Å inward displacement of POTRA5 compared to the structure of BamA-RcsF presented here. (**b**) Slabbed side view of the overlay of BamA-RcsF and BamA in the inward-open conformation (grey, taken from BamABCDE complex PDB:5D00 ⁵). In the structure of BamA-RcsF presented here, POTRA5 makes a 26° outward rotation relative to R421, where it connects to the BamA β -barrel. (**a-c**) Color scheme for BamA-RcsF is as in Fig. 2b. RcsF is shown as a solvent-accessible surface (a) or a ribbon (b, c). Panels (b, c) show side views, slabbed down to view the interior of the complex. For 5EKQ and 5D0O, the BAM accessory proteins BamB, C, D, and E were omitted for clarity, as were POTRA domains 1-4 in all shown BamA structures.

454

455 Figure 4. BamA-RcsF is a proxy for the inward-open conformation of BamA. (a) In vivo 456 chemical crosslinking of RcsF with BamA and OmpA. The BamA-RcsF complex accumulates 457 when either BamA alone or BamAB together are moderately over-expressed from a plasmid 458 in cells also expressing BAM at physiological levels from the chromosome. The copies of 459 BamA and BamAB in excess are not functional (BamCDE is required for BAM activity) and 460 do not funnel RcsF to its OMP partners. As a result, RcsF accumulates on BamA and OmpA-461 RcsF does not form. Over-expression of BamCDE (also from a plasmid) in these cells restores 462 the stoichiometry between the BAM components: BamA-RcsF does not accumulate and the formation of OmpA-RcsF is restored. As shown previously ¹⁰, levels of OmpA-RcsF are 463 464 inversely correlated with BamA-RcsF. Overexpression of the BamCDE sub-complex alone 465 does not impact the activity of the BAM complex expressed from the chromosome: wild-type BamA-RcsF and OmpA-RcsF levels are observed. Wild-type BamA-RcsF and OmpA-RcsF 466 467 levels are also detected when BamA and BamCDE are overexpressed together, as expected 468 given that BamB is not essential. RcsF also forms a complex with the abundant lipoprotein Lpp (Lpp-RcsF), as in ¹⁰. Protein expression levels of OmpA were analyzed by immunoblot in the 469 470 non-crosslinked samples, showing no differences. The additional bands that are detected in the 471 lanes where BamA-RcsF is not observed likely correspond to poorly abundant complexes 472 between RcsF and unknown proteins. n= 3 biologically independent experiments. (b) Protein

expression levels of BamB, BamC, BamD, BamE and RcsF from no-crosslinked samples 473 474 overexpressing BamA (pBamA), BamAB (pBamA-B) and BamCDE (pSC263) were analyzed 475 by western blot. EF-Tu expression levels were analyzed as loading control. n= 3 biologically 476 independent experiments. (c) Model proposing that BamA conformational cycling is triggered 477 by incoming OMP substrates on the BAM holocomplex. A BamA inward-to-outward open transition could result in an upward displacement of RcsF via a push-and-pull mechanism, 478 479 resulting in an OMP-RcsF complex. The push-and-pull mechanism involves BamA POTRA5 480 (P5) and Z1. The topology of the OMP-RcsF complex remains to be established. For clarity, 481 POTRA1-4 and the BAM lipoproteins have been ommitted.



а







b

Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4





484 **METHODS**

485

486 Bacterial strains, plasmids, and primers

487 Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Supplementary Tables 3 and 4, respectively. The parental E. coli strain DH300 is a MG1655 derivative deleted for the 488 489 *lac* region and carrying a chromosomal $rprA_P$::*lacZ* fusion at the λ phage attachment site to monitor Rcs activation ³². To delete *bamA* on the chromosome, a kanamycin resistance 490 (kan) cassette ³³ with the flanking regions of *bamA* was PCR amplified from the genomic 491 492 DNA of a *ArcsF::kan* strain (PL339) using primers "bamA Km del F" and "bamA Km del R". Then we performed λ -Red recombineering ³⁴ with plasmid pSIM5-tet ³⁵ on the 493 494 strain containing pSC270 as a *bamA*-complementing plasmid in DH300. Deletion of 495 bamA was verified by PCR. After preparing P1 lysate from this strain, bamA deletion (by 496 transferring the kan cassette) was performed via P1 phage transduction of the appropriate 497 strains.

498

499 We performed site-directed mutagenesis to generate bamA variants on plasmids. For 500 single-codon changes, primer sequences are available upon request; otherwise see 501 Supplementary Table 5. By using pJH114 as a template and performing site-directed 502 mutagenesis (SDM), we introduced a six-histidine tag at the N-terminus of BamA. The 503 C-terminal His-tag of BamE was also removed via SDM, generating pRRA1. The primer 504 pairs were "SDM-HisBamA F" with "SDM-HisBamA R" and "bamE delHis F" with 505 "bamE delHis R". To add *bamB* next to *bamA* in pBamA, both *bamA* and *bamB* were PCR amplified as a single DNA fragment from pJH114³⁶ using primers "pTrc-for" and 506 507 "bamB (NotI) R". The PCR product and pBamA were digested with NcoI and NotI and then ligated, yielding pBamA-B. pBamAhinge plasmid was generated by SDM using 508

509 primers "BamA hinge F" and "BamA hinge R" and pBamA as template. To clone bamC, 510 bamD, and bamE as an operon into a low-copy plasmid (pAM238), PCR was performed 511 on pRRA1 as a template using primers "bamC kpnIF" and "pTrc-rev2". The PCR product 512 and pAM238 were digested with KpnI and XbaI and ligated, generating pSC263. We 513 cloned *bamA* without the six-histidine tag into the low-copy plasmid pSC23¹⁰, yielding 514 pSC270. bamA was PCR amplified from E. coli genomic DNA using primers "BamA 515 (PciI)F" and "BamA (XbaI)R" and ligated with pSC231 predigested with NcoI and XbaI. 516 To generate the *bamA* variants locked in the closed and open conformations, SDM was 517 performed on pBamA-B. First, the two cysteines in the ^eL6 loop of BamA were mutated 518 to serines, generating pBamA_{L6}-B. This plasmid was used as template for SDM to generate pBamA^{G393C/G584C}-B and pBamA^{G433C/N805C}-B. To generate *bamA* variants with 519 520 amber codons (TAG) to insert 3-(3-methyl-3H-diazirine-3-yl)-propaminocarbonyl-NE-L-521 lysine (DiZPK; Artis Chemistry, Shanghai) at selected positions, we performed SDM on 522 pBamA-B and pSC270; primer sequences are available upon request.

523

524 Expression and purification of the BamAB-RcsF complex

525 E. coli PL358 cells harboring pJH118 expressing N-terminal six-histidine-tagged BamA and BamB³⁶ and pSC216 expressing RcsF¹⁰ were cultured to overexpress BamA, BamB, 526 527 and RcsF. Cells were grown in Terrific Broth Auto Inducing Medium (Formedium) 528 supplemented with 0.2% (w/v) L-arabinose at 37 °C (to induce RcsF), ampicillin (200 529 μ g/mL), and chloramphenicol (25 μ g/mL). Cells (1 L) were pelleted when they reached 530 $OD_{600} \sim 4$, re-suspended in cold phosphate-buffered saline (25 mL) containing a protease-531 inhibitor cocktail (Complete, Roche), and lysed by two passages through a French 532 pressure cell at 1,500 psi. The cell lysate was centrifuged for 40 min at $40,000 \times g$ and 4 533 °C. After centrifugation, inner-membrane proteins were solubilized using 0.5% (w/v) N-

534 lauryl sarcosine (Sigma) in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5] and 150 mM 535 NaCl for 1.5 h at 4 °C on a roller. The suspension was centrifuged for 40 min at 40,000 536 x g and 4 °C, after which the inner membrane fraction was in the supernatant while the 537 outer membrane fraction remained in the pellet. Outer-membrane proteins were 538 solubilized using 1% (w/v) *n*-dodecyl- β -d-maltopyranoside (DDM; Anatrace) in a buffer 539 containing 20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 300 mM NaCl, and 20 mM imidazole overnight at 540 4 °C on a roller. After centrifugation (40,000 x g, 4 °C, 40 min), the supernatant was 541 mixed with Ni-NTA agarose beads (2 mL; IBA Lifescience) equilibrated with 20 mM 542 Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, and 1% (w/v) DDM. After washing 543 the resin with 10 column volumes of buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 544 20 mM imidazole, 0.6% (w/v) tetraethylene glycol monooctyl ether (C8E4; Anatrace), 545 and 0.01% (w/v) DDM), proteins were eluted with 5 column volumes of the same buffer 546 supplemented with 200 mM imidazole. The eluted complex was then concentrated to 1 547 mL using a Vivaspin 4 Turbo concentrator (Cut-off 5 kDa; Sartorius). A final purification 548 step was performed using size-exclusion chromatography by loading the proteins on a 549 HiLoad 10/300 Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare) using 20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 550 150 mM NaCl, 0.6% (w/v) C8E4, and 0.01% (w/v) DDM. Peak fractions were pooled 551 and concentrated to ~30 mg/mL for crystallization.

552

553 For co-crystallization with NaI, NaI replaced NaCl in the gel-filtration buffer. Peak 554 fractions were pooled and concentrated to ~30 mg/mL using a Vivaspin 4 Turbo 555 concentrator (Sartorius).

556

The Blue native electrophoresis analysis of the concentrated complex was carried out on
a 3-12% Bis-Tris gel (Life Technologies) following the manufacturer's instructions. The

559 protein complex bands separated in the native electrophoresis were identified via SDS-

560 PAGE. Briefly, bands of interest were excised, boiled in SDS-PAGE sample buffer, and561 applied to the top of a polyacrylamide gel.

562

563 Expression and purification of BAM (BamABCDE) in complex with RcsF

564 E. coli BL21 (DE3) was transformed with pRRA1 expressing all five BAM proteins (N-565 terminal six-histidine-tagged BamA, BamB, BamC, BamD, and BamE) and pSC216 566 expressing RcsF for BAM and RcsF overexpression. In control cells, only pRRA1 was 567 transformed. Protein expression and purification were performed as described above 568 except that the detergent was exchanged to 0.1% (w/v) DDM during Ni-NTA affinity 569 chromatography and size-exclusion chromatography. Eluted complexes were identified 570 via SDS-PAGE and concentrated to 4 mg/mL. Blue native electrophoresis of the 571 concentrated complexes was carried out as described above.

572

573 Crystallization, data collection and structure determination

574 Crystallization assays were carried out using the hanging drop vapor diffusion method in 575 48-well plates (Molecular Dimensions) at 20°C. The protein solution was mixed in a 2:1 576 ratio with the crystallization solution from the reservoir. The best native crystals were 577 grown after 4 to 5 days in C10 and G10 conditions from Morpheus crystallization screen 578 (Molecular dimensions; C10: 0.03 M sodium nitrate, 0.03 M sodium phosphate dibasic, 579 0.03 M ammonium sulfate, 0.10 M Tris-base [pH 8.5]; BICINE, 20 % (v/v) ethylene 580 glycol; 10 % w/v PEG 8000; G10: 0.02 M sodium formate; 0.02 M ammonium acetate; 581 0.02 M sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate; 0.02M potassium sodium tartrate tetrahydrate; 582 0.02 M sodium oxamate; 0.10 M Tris-base [pH 8.5]; BICINE; 20% (v/v) ethylene glycol; 583 10 % (w/v) PEG 8000).

585 The crystals were harvested in a nylon loop, flash-cooled and stored in liquid nitrogen for 586 data collection. Crystals were screened on beamlines Proxima-1 and Proxima-2 at 587 Synchrotron Soleil (Gif-sur-Yvettes, France) as well as beamlines I03 and I04-1 at 588 Diamond Light Source (Didcot, UK). Data for structure determination were collected on 589 the Proxima-2 beam-line at Synchrotron Soleil at a wavelength of 1.77 Å. Data were indexed and integrated using XDS ³⁷, scaled using XSCALE ³⁷ and anisotropically 590 591 corrected using STARANISO, applying a high resolution cutoff of $I/\sigma I = 1.2^{-38}$. The 592 crystals belong to space group C2, with the unit cell dimensions a=158.84, b=142.5300, c=116.4200 Å³ and β =102.61°. The structure was determined by molecular replacement 593 using Phaser³⁹, with the globular domain of RcsF (residues 51-130, PDB:2Y1B) and the 594 595 inward open BamA β-barrel (residues 422-809, PDB: 5D0O) and BamA POTRA domain 596 4 (residues 265-344; PDB: 5D0O) as search models. Molecular replacement searches 597 identified two copies each of the BamA β-barrel, RcsF and POTRA domain 4. Following 598 10 cycles of rigid body refinement POTRA domains 1, 2, 3 and 5 of the first BamA-RcsF 599 copy in the asymmetric unit (Extended Data Figure 2) could be manually placed in the 600 2FoFc and FoFc difference density and were subjected to an additional 10 rounds of rigid body refinement. The model was refined to 3.8 Å resolution using BUSTER ⁴⁰ and 601 602 intermittent manual inspection and correction of the model in Coot⁴¹. BUSTER was run 603 using Local Structure Similarity Restraints (LSSR) over the two copies in the asymmetric 604 unit, as well as target-based similarity restraints using the inward open BamA structure 605 as reported in PDB:5D0O. The final model shows R and freeR factors of 28.3% and 31.4%, respectively, containing 1388 amino acids, of which 19 are indicated as 606 607 Ramachandran outliers (1.4%). We note that side chain positioning is frequently ambiguous at 3.8 Å resolution and should therefore not be over-interpreted by users of 608

609 the deposited model. Side chains for which no unambiguous electron density was 610 observed were not pruned for ease of model interpretation. Such side chains were 611 included in refinement and manually modelled in there most likely rotamer using Coot. 612 Data collection and refinement statistics are found in Supplementary Table 1.

613

614 Site-specific photo-crosslinking

We used a site-specific photo-crosslinking method described previously ¹⁰ with some 615 616 modifications. To incorporate DiZPK into BamA, we used the pSup-Mb-DIZPK-RS plasmid encoding an evolved Methanosarcina barkeri pyrrolysyl-tRNA synthetase and 617 an optimized tRNA^{Pyl}_{CUA} suppressor ²⁴. DH300 cells were co-transformed with pSup-Mb-618 619 DIZPK-RS and one of the plasmids containing an amber codon in BamA in pSC270. 620 Cells were grown in 3-(N-morpholino) propanesulfonic acid (MOPS) minimal medium 621 supplemented with 0.2% glucose, 0.2% (w/v) arabinose, 200 µM IPTG, 0.001% (w/v) 622 casamino acids, and 0.8 mM DiZPK. When cells reached $OD_{600} = 1$, 500-µL samples 623 were irradiated with ultraviolet light at 365 nm or left unirradiated for 10 min. Cells were 624 precipitated with trichloroacetic acid, washed with ethanol, and proteins were solubilized 625 in 100 µL SDS-PAGE sample buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 1% (w/v) SDS, 10% 626 (v/v) glycerol, 0.002% (w/v) bromophenol blue) before SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting 627 using anti-RcsF and anti-BamA antibodies.

628

629 In vivo BS3 crosslinking

630 Cells were harvested around mid-log phase (OD₆₀₀ = ~ 0.5). *In vivo* crosslinking was 631 performed as described previously ¹⁰, except that bis(sulfosuccinimidyl)suberate 632 (CovaChem) was used instead of 3,3'-dithiobis(sulfosuccinimidyl propionate).

634 Chemical crosslinking-mass spectrometry

635 We first performed buffer exchange of the purified BamAB-RcsF complex using a PD-636 10 desalting column (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). The complex was eluted with 20 mM 637 HEPES [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, and 0.1% (w/v) DDM. A 30-fold molar excess of the 638 crosslinker disuccinimidyl dibutyric urea (50 mM stock solution in dimethyl sulfoxide, 639 Thermo Scientific) was added to the protein solution and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. The 640 reaction was quenched by adding Tris-HCl to a final concentration of 20 mM. Crosslinked 641 proteins were precipitated with ethanol and trypsinized, and crosslinked peptides were enriched through cation exchange as described previously⁴². Briefly, crosslinked proteins 642 643 (50 μ L) were precipitated by adding ice-cold ethanol (150 μ L) and 3 M sodium acetate 644 [pH 5.3] (5 μL) prior to incubation at -20 °C for 16 h. The sample was centrifuged 645 (16,200 x g, 4 °C, 30 min), the supernatant was removed, and the pellet was washed by 646 adding 80% (v/v) ice-cold ethanol (200 µL) and vortexing for 30 s. The sample was 647 centrifuged again, the supernatant was removed, and the pellet was dried in a vacuum 648 centrifuge. The pellet was dissolved in 1% (w/v) RapiGest (Waters) (10 μ L) and trypsin 649 (Sequencing grade, Promega) solution was added (90 µL, 1:50 trypsin:protein mass ratio) 650 before incubating overnight at 37 °C. Trifluoroacetic acid was added (final concentration 651 0.5% (v/v)) and the sample was incubated at 37 °C for 1 h to precipitate the Rapigest. The 652 mixture was centrifuged (16,200 x g, 4 °C, 30 min), the supernatant was concentrated using a vacuum centrifuge, and the pellet was dissolved in 20% (v/v) acetonitrile/0.4% 653 654 (v/v) formic acid (20 µL). Strong cation exchange enrichment was carried out using OMIX 10 µL strong cation exchange pipette tips (Agilent) as previously described ⁴². 655 656

657 Fractionated peptides (5 μ L) were injected onto a reverse-phase Acquity M-Class C18, 658 75 μ m x 150 mm column (Waters) and separated via gradient elution of 1-50% (v/v)

659 solvent B (0.1 % (v/v) formic acid in acetonitrile) in solvent A (0.1 % (v/v) formic acid 660 in water) over 60 min at 300 nL/min. The eluate was infused into a Xevo G2-XS (Waters) 661 mass spectrometer operating in positive ion mode. Mass calibration was performed by 662 infusion of aqueous NaI (2 µg/µL). [Glu1]-Fibrinopeptide B was used for the lock mass 663 spray, with a 0.5 s lock spray scan taken every 30 s. The lock mass correction factor was 664 determined by averaging 10 scans. Data acquisition was performed in DDA mode with a 665 1 s mass-spectrometry scan over m/z 350-2000. Instrument parameters were optimized for the detection of crosslinked peptides, as described previously ⁴³. Data processing and 666 crosslink identification were performed using MeroX⁴⁴. 667

668

669 Expression of BamA mutants and co-purification with RcsF

670 pBamA and pBamA-B each provide chromosomal-level expression of BamA¹⁰. 671 Therefore, we introduced mutations of *bamA* in these plasmids to test the physiological 672 effects of BamA mutants; plasmids were expressed in the presence or absence of bamA 673 on the chromosome. Cells (2 mL) were harvested at $OD_{600} \sim 0.5$ to purify BamA, except 674 during the following experiment. The cysteine mutants of BamA, when oxidized to form 675 a disulfide bond, allow BamA to form an "open" or "closed" lateral gate. Therefore, the 676 efficiency of disulfide-bond formation in these mutants is very important. To enhance the 677 oxidation of cysteines to form disulfide bonds, we added 3 mM tetrathionate as an oxidant 45 at OD₆₀₀ ~ 0.5 and harvested cells (1 mL) at OD₆₀₀ ~ 1.0. 678

679

Since there was a six-histidine tag at the N-terminus of BamA, we used DynabeadsTM His-Tag (Invitrogen) for Ni-affinity purification. After resuspending cells in 350 μ L of 25 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4], 290 mM NaCl, 1 mM imidazole, and 0.05% (w/v) DDM (buffer A), cells were lysed via mild sonication on ice. Membrane vesicles were further 684 solubilized by increasing the DDM concentration to 1% (w/v). After removing debris via 685 centrifugation at 9,300 × g for 10 min, 5 μ L of DynabeadsTM His-Tag (pre-washed with 686 buffer A and resuspended in the same volume) were added to 250 µL of the supernatant, 687 which was incubated for 20 min at 4 °C. The rest of the supernatant was used as the input 688 fraction. The magnetic beads were pulled by a magnet and the supernatant was taken for 689 the flow-through fraction. After washing the beads three times with 750 µL buffer E using 690 the magnet, bound proteins were eluted with 83 µL (three times enrichment compared to 691 the other fractions) of buffer A with 300 mM imidazole. Forty microliters of the input, 692 flow-through, and elution fractions were mixed with SDS-PAGE sample buffer. After 693 denaturation of the three fractions, SDS-PAGE was performed, followed by 694 immunoblotting using rabbit-raised anti-BamA, anti-RcsF¹⁰, anti-BamB, anti-BamC, 695 anti-BamD, and anti-BamE.

696

To determine the redox states of the cysteine-introduced gate mutants of BamA, we added 3 mM N-ethylmaleimide in SDS-PAGE sample buffer to alkylate cysteines to prevent thiol-disulfide exchange. The sample was divided into two aliquots and 10 mM of tris(2carboxyethyl) phosphine was added to one of them to obtain the reduced state of BamA as a control. Nu-PAGE (4-12% gradient; Novex) was used to separate the oxidized and reduced bands of BamA.

703

Spotting assay for growth. Cells were grown in M9 minimal glucose medium at 30° C until they reached OD₆₀₀ =1. Tenfold serial dilutions were made in M9 minimal glucose, plated onto M9 minimal glucose or LB agar, and incubated at 30° C or 37° C. Plates were supplemented with ampicillin (200 µg/ml).

709 **Biolayer interferometry**

710 Untagged BamA was first biotinylated using the EZ-Link NHS-PEG4-biotin kit (Perbio 711 Science). The reaction was stopped by adding Tris [pH 8] to the final concentration of 20 712 mM. Excess NHS-PEG4-biotin was removed by passing the sample through a Zeba Spin 713 Desalting column (Perbio Science). Biolayer interferometry was performed in black 96-714 well plates (Greiner) at 25 °C using OctetRed96 (ForteBio). Streptavidin and Ni-NTA 715 biosensor tips (ForteBio) were hydrated with 0.2 mL working buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 716 8], 150 mM NaCl, 0.03 % (w/v) DDM) and then loaded with biotinylated BamA or 6xHis-717 tagged RcsF, respectively.

718

719 In the forward experiment, purified 6xHis-tagged RcsF (5 µg/mL) was immobilized on 720 Ni-NTA sensors until the signal reached 0.5-0.6 nm. Association and dissociation of BamA to RcsF-coated tips were monitored for 1200 s and 300 s, respectively, by dipping 721 722 tips into BamA-containing buffer (serial two-fold dilution from 4000 nM to 62.5 nM), 723 and subsequently in buffer only. In the reverse experiment, biotinylated BamA was 724 immobilized on streptavidin sensor tips to a signal of 2.0 nm. The association and 725 dissociation of RcsF (serial 3-fold dilution from 3000 nM to 12.34 nM) to BamA-coated 726 tips were monitored for 4800 s and 700 s, respectively. Dissociation constants were 727 determined using Graphpad Prism by linear regression of the steady-state binding 728 responses in the saturation binding experiment (Extended Data Fig. 4c, d).

729

For binding of the BamA^{G393C/G584C} mutant (Extended Data Fig. 5c), 6xHis-tagged RcsF
was immobilized on Ni-NTA sensors. To follow BamA association and dissociation,
RcsF-coated tips were dipped into 0.2 mL of 200 nM BamA solution, with or without 2
mM diothiothreitol, for 1200 s, followed by 1200 s in buffer only.

734

735 Antibodies and immunoblotting

Rabbit anti-RcsF antibody was previously generated and used by us ¹⁰. We newly raised 736 737 the antibodies against BamA, BamB, BamC, BamD, and BamE in rabbits as follows. 738 Except BamA, the DNA sequences encoding the proteins without the signal sequence 739 were cloned into pET28a (Novagen) using the NcoI and XhoI restriction sites, which 740 allows the expressed proteins to be his-tagged at the C-terminus. For BamA, DNA 741 encoding the POTRA domains (1-4) of BamA with a C-terminal strep-tag (but without 742 the signal sequence) was cloned in pET21a (Novagen). All the proteins above were 743 overexpressed in BL21(DE3) and purified using standard methods for Ni-NTA affinity 744 purification or streptavidin purification (POTRA 1-4). Small aliquots of the purified 745 proteins were sent to the CER group (Marloie, Belgium) to raise antibodies in rabbits. 746 Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG alkaline phosphatase conjugated (Sigma) was used as a secondary 747 antibody at a 1:20,000 dilution.

748

Antibody specificity was confirmed by comparing the immunoblot of the wild-type strain
with that of a mutant using each corresponding antibody. The dilutions of the antibodies
for immunoblotting were 1:10,000 (BamA), 1:20,000 (BamB), 1:40.000 (BamC),
1:10,000 (BamD), and 1:20,000 (BamE). The specificity of the antibodies was verified;
data are available upon request.

754

To simplify the detection of Bam components and RcsF after purification of BamA, we
used two mixtures of antibodies (anti-BamA plus anti-RcsF; anti-BamB, anti-BamC, antiBamD, plus anti-BamE). Detection specificity was verified using similar mutants as
above but harboring pBamA. Data are available upon request.
The antibody recognizing the transmembrane domain of OmpA is a gift from the Bernstein laboratory ⁴⁶. The rabbit polyclonal OmpC antibody was purchased from EPIGENTEK. The anti-*E. coli* EF-Tu antibody (mAb 900) was purchased from HycultBiotech. The Goat anti-mouse IgG conjugated with the Cy3 fluorescent dye was used as a secondary antibody for EF-Tu (Amersham).

765

766 β-galactosidase assay

Rcs induction was monitored by measuring β -galactosidase activity as described ⁴⁷. 767 Briefly, cells harboring PrprA-lacZ at the attB phage lambda site on the chromosome 768 769 were diluted 1:100 from overnight cultures in Luria broth (LB), then incubated at 37°C. 770 Cells were harvested at $OD_{600} = 0.6-1$. Twenty microliters of cells were harvested and 771 incubated with 80 µl of permeabilization solution (60 mM Na₂HPO₄·2H₂O, 40 mM NaH₂PO₄·H₂O, 10 mM KCl, 1 mM MgSO₄·7H₂O, 50 mM β-mercaptoethanol) for 30-45 772 773 min at room temperature. Then, 600 µl of substrate (1 mg/ml O-nitrophenyl-β-d-774 galactoside, 50 mM β -mercaptoethanol) were added. The mixture was further incubated 775 at 30°C for 20-90 min. Seven hundred microliters of 1 M Na₂CO₃ were added to stop the 776 reaction, and the optical density was measured at 420 nm. The standardized amount of β-777 galactosidase activity was reported in Miller units. The ratio of PrprA-lacZ induction was 778 calculated relative to the basal level in a WT strain. Bar graphs with corresponding 779 statistical analysis were prepared using Prism 7 (GraphPad Software, Inc.).

780

781 Statistical methods

The significance of differences among bacterial strains was assessed using GraphPadPrism 8 according to analysis of variance (two ways ANOVA), followed by the

application of Tukey's multiple-comparison test. Normality was assessed using theShapiro-Wilk test.

786

787 Molecular modeling: protein insertion in the lipid bilayer

788 The initial simulation system was prepared starting from the BamA-RcsF complex 789 determined in this work (PDB code 6T1W) with the POTRA1-4 domains removed. 790 Missing residues and C-terminal residues of BamA and RcsF were completed with MODELLER v9.22⁴⁸ to generate a protein complex containing residues 347-810 for 791 792 BamA and 51-134 for RcsF. This complex was preorientated with respect to the membrane normal (z axis) using the structure 5AYW 6 from the OPM database 793 794 (https://opm.phar.umich.edu)⁴⁹ as template, then embedded in an asymmetric bilayer to 795 mimic the *E. coli* outer membrane using CHARMM-GUI (http://www.charmm-gui.org) ⁵⁰ and following the protocols described for OmpLA ⁵¹ and BamA ⁵²). The inner leaflet 796 797 was a mixture of 100 lipids: 75 1-palmitoyl(16:0)-2-palmitoleoyl(16:1 cis-9)-798 phosphatidylethanolamine (PPPE), 20 1-palmitoyl(16:0)-2-vacenoyl(18:1 cis-11)-799 phosphatidylglycerol (PVPG), and 5 1,10-palmitoyl-2,20-vacenoyl cardiolipin with a net 800 charge of -2e (PVCL2), corresponding to a ratio of 15:4:1. The outer leaflet was 801 composed of 36 LPS (including the lipid A, R1 core and O-antigen polysaccharide 802 fragments). The equilibration was performed according to the standard protocol from 803 CHARMM-GUI Membrane Builder, with restraints that were gradually reduced in 6 804 steps (2.125 ns overall), using CHARMM version 44b1⁵³. A similar protocol was used 805 to build a second system, containing the outward-open conformation of BamA (PDB code 5D00)⁵ with the POTRA1-4 domains removed and the RcsF from 6T1W shifted upwards 806 807 on the z axis by 30 Å.

809 **Dynamic importance sampling simulations**

810 Dynamic importance sampling (DIMS) uses a biasing with correction approach to 811 improve the sampling efficiency of rare events. The *soft ratcheting* algorithm generates transitions between states using a stochastic approach 54 . It should be noted that at any 812 813 point of the DIMS simulation the intermediate system is realistic (no force is applied and 814 the potential function is not modified) and the simulated pathway is always possible, 815 although it may not be in all cases the lowest energy one. In this work, we carried out 816 DIMS Langevin dynamics (LD) simulations using CHARMM version 44b1 (collision 817 frequency of 25.0) starting from the first system and with the second system as target. 818 The "soft-ratcheting" implemented in DIMS accepts all steps proceeding towards the 819 desired final state and a fraction of steps away from the target. This fraction is defined by DIMS-Cartesian for which we used the recommended value of $10^{-6.55}$. Two replicas were 820 821 simulated, providing similar results, and only the results from the first replica are 822 presented here.

823

824 Image and video rendering

The pictures representing molecular data were generated using PyMOL version 2.2.0 (Schrödinger LLC, <u>https://pymol.org</u>). The video was generated from the DIMS simulation (replica 1) using VMD ⁵⁶.

828 LEGENDS TO EXTENDED DATA FIGURES

829

830 Extended Data Figure 1. RcsF can be co-purified with the BAM complex. (a,b,c) Gel 831 filtration profiles of the affinity-purified BamAB-RcsF, BAM-RcsF and BAM 832 complexes. The size exclusion chromatography was performed using a HiLoad 10/300 833 Superdex 200pg. The input and peak fractions were collected and the samples were 834 analyzed by blue native electrophoresis with Coomassie staining. The migration pattern 835 of BamABCDE-RcsF (b) was modified compared to BamABCDE (c) upon size exclusion 836 chromatography (band 8 increases), reflecting the higher instability of the BamABCDE-837 RcsF complex. n= 4 biologically independent experiments.

838

839 Extended Data Figure 2. Crystal structure of the BamA-RcsF complex. (a, b) Final 840 2Fo-Fc electron map of the BamA-RcsF complex, shown with a map contour level of 0.08 e-/Å³ (root mean square deviation 1.02 Å). The asymmetric unit of the crystals holds 841 842 two BamA-RcsF copies, one revealing interpretable electron density for the full BamA 843 sequence (a), and a second revealing unambiguous density for POTRA domain 4 only 844 (b). In the second copy (b), the electron density corresponding to POTRA domains 1, 2, 845 3, and 5 is too weak to allow unambiguous rigid body placement of the domains. All 846 descriptions and images in the main text are based on the first copy (a). (c) Overlay of 847 two BamA-RcsF complexes in the asymmetric unit. The first complex depicts BamA in 848 gold and RcsF in blue, while these molecules are yellow and light blue, respectively, in the second complex. In both copies, RcsF makes an average displacement of 4 Å relative 849 850 to the BamA β -barrel. (d) Crystal packing of the BamA-RcsF complex viewed along the 851 a- (left) and c-axis (right). For the first copy of the BamA-RcsF complex in the 852 asymmetric unit (orange-slate) the conformation of the POTRA domains is stabilized by the packing along the b-axis, whilst for the second copy (cyan-slate) only POTRA domain 4 is involved in crystal contacts. In the latter, POTRA 5, 3, 2 and 1 are not in contact with neighboring molecules and show weak electron density only due to the lack of conformational stabilization.

857

858 Extended Data Figure 3. Structural dynamics of the BamA POTRA domains. (a, b) 859 Superimposition of BamA-RcsF (gold and blue, respectively) with the POTRA domains 860 in the inward-open BamABCDE complex (PDB: 5D0O; light blue) or the outward-open 861 BamACDE complex (PDB: 5EKQ; green). Complexes are superimposed based on 400 862 equivalent C α atoms in the BamA β -barrel, and shown in side (a) or periplasmic (b) view. 863 For 5d0o and 5ekq, the accessory Bam subunits and the BamA β -barrel are omitted for 864 clarity. (c) Periplasmic view of the inward-open BamABCDE complex, showing binding 865 of the BAM accessory proteins BamB (magenta), BamC (red), BamD (blue), and BamE 866 (yellow). Pulldown experiments showed that RcsF binds the BamABCDE complex (Fig. 867 1). In agreement with this observation, structural comparisons reveal that RcsF binding 868 would not result in direct steric clashes with any BAM accessory protein. However, the 869 positions of the POTRA domains in the BamA-RcsF and BamABCDE complexes are 870 markedly different. In the BamA-RcsF complex, POTRA5 makes a 26° outward rotation 871 to accommodate RcsF (see also Fig. 3), and a reorganization in the joint between POTRA 872 domains 3 and 2 results in a more extended conformation of the POTRA "arm" and the 873 projection of POTRA domains 2 and 1 further from the BamA β-barrel, a conformation not previously reported in available BamA structures. In the BamABCDE complex, 874 875 BamD contacts both POTRA5 and the joint of POTRA domains 1 and 2. In the BamA-876 RcsF complex, POTRA5 and POTRA domains 2 and 1 are too distant to be bridged by 877 BamD; binding of BamD to BamA-RcsF therefore requires a conformational change in

the POTRA arm or the dissociation of BamD at either of these two contact points.

879

880 Extended Data Figure 4. Validation of the BamA-RcsF structure. (a) RcsF aminoacid 881 sequence. The sequence coverage of the XL-MS experiment was about 60% as 882 highlighted in violet (b) Ribbon diagram of the BamA-RcsF structure. Highlighted 883 residues show sites mutated to amber for incorporation of the photoreactive lysine analog 884 DiZPK. Sites that crosslink to RcsF are green, sites that show no crosslinking are 885 magenta. Mutation of extracellular loop 1 (eL1; red) leads to loss of RcsF binding (see 886 panel g). BamA sidechains found to crosslink with RcsF by means of the 887 homobifunctional amine-reactive crosslinker disuccinimidyl dibutyric urea (DSBU) are 888 shown as sticks and colored cyan. Residue K61 from RcsF, which was found to crosslink 889 to BamA using DSBU, is shown as a stick and colored orange. The other two RcsF 890 residues (K42 and K134) that could be crosslinked to BamA are not visible in this 891 structural model. (c) In vivo photocrosslinking experiment in which cells expressing the 892 BamA mutants containing DiZPK at the indicated positions were treated (+) or not (-) 893 with ultraviolet light. Proteins samples were analyzed via SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted 894 with anti-RcsF or anti-BamA antibodies, showing that the photo-crosslinked complexes 895 contain BamA and RcsF. n=3 biologically independent experiment. WT, wild type. (d, 896 e) Sensorgrams from biolayer interferometry (n=1) (left) and corresponding equilibrium 897 binding plots (right) of immobilized RcsF titrated with BamA (d) or immobilized BamA 898 titrated with RcsF (e). (f) The levels of major OMPs are slightly decreased in cells 899 expressing BamA_{Δ loop1}. WT cells harboring the empty plasmid (pAM238) were used as 900 control and EF-Tu expression levels were analyzed as loading control. n= 3 biologically 901 independent experiments. (g) Deletion of loop 1 in BamA prevents RcsF from being

902 pulled down with BamA. WT cells harboring the empty plasmid (pAM238) were used as 903 control. n= 3 biologically independent experiments. (h) Overexpression of pBamA_{Δ Loop1} 904 in a bamA deletion strain activates the Rcs system compared to WT. A chromosomal 905 rprA::lacZ fusion was used to monitor Rcs activity, and specific β -galactosidase activity 906 was measured from cells at mid-log phase ($OD_{600}=0.5$). Boxplot with whiskers (median, 907 first and third percentiles, lower and upper extreme) from minimum to maximum. All 908 values were normalized to the average activity obtained for WT cells harboring the empty 909 plasmid (pET3a) obtained from n = 8 biologically independent experiments. WT, wild 910 type; Kan, kanamycin.

911

912 Extended Data Figure 5. RcsF binds the inward-open conformation of BamA. (a) Models for the BamA^{G393C/G584C 5} and BamA^{G433C/N805C 26} double cysteine mutants, which 913 914 are locked in the outward-open or inward-open conformation, respectively, when 915 oxidized. Mutated cysteines are shown as atom spheres. (b) BamA barrel locking and RcsF binding. Overexpression of double cysteine mutants pBamA^{G393C/G584C}-B and 916 $BamA^{G433C/N805C}$ -B in a wild-type strain. RcsF can be co-purified with the BamA β -barrel 917 locked in the inward-open conformation (BamA^{G433C/N805C}) by a disulfide bond (ox) but 918 919 not in the outward-open conformation (BamA^{G393C/G584C}). BamA mutants become 920 reduced (red) following treatment with tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP) and 921 migrate similarly. The oxidized form of BamA^{G393C/G584C} migrates more slowly than wildtype BamA. As a result, two bands are visible for BamA in the input of BamA^{G393C/G584C}, 922 923 the lower migrating band corresponding to wild-type BamA expressed from the 924 chromosome. n=3 biologically independent experiments. (c) Sensorgram from biolayer interferometry of immobilized RcsF titrated with BamAG393C/G584C, without (oxidized; -925 926 DTT) or with dithiothreitol (reduced; + DTT). When the β -barrel is locked in the outward-

927 open conformation (-DTT), RcsF is unable to bind BamA. When reduced,
928 BamA^{G393C/G584C} regains binding, demonstrating that BamA reverts to the inward-open
929 conformation in which it can bind RcsF.

930

931 Extended Data Figure 6. The movement of POTRA5 towards the periplasmic exit 932 of the lumen of the BamA barrel could push RcsF upwards. (a, b) Lateral view of the 933 initial and final conformations, respectively, of the BamA-RcsF complex during the 934 dynamic importance sampling simulation (DIMS) of the BamA-RcsF complex. (c, d) 935 Bottom view (from the periplasm) of the above conformations. BamA and RcsF are 936 colored in orange and blue, respectively. The initial conformation of the system (BamA and RcsF) corresponds to the structure determined in this work (PDB code 6T1W)⁵ with 937 938 the POTRA1-4 domains removed. The final conformation of BamA is similar to the 939 outward-open structure (PDB code 5D0Q). The explicit outer membrane and solvent are 940 not shown for clarity. (e) Expression from BamA^{hinge} from a plasmid in $\Delta bamA$ cells leads 941 to a severe growth defect when cells are grown at 37°C in rich media, but not when they 942 are grown in minimal media at 30°C. Cells were grown in M9 minimal glucose medium 943 at 30°C until they reached $OD_{600} = 1$. Tenfold serial dilutions were made in M9 minimal 944 glucose, plated onto M9 minimal glucose or LB agar, and incubated at 30°C or 37°C. 945 Plates were supplemented with ampicillin (200 µg/ml). n=3 biologically independent 946 experiments.

947 **REFERENCES**

- 948 34 Yu, D. *et al.* An efficient recombination system for chromosome engineering in
 949 Escherichia coli. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 97, 5978-5983,
 950 doi:10.1073/pnas.100127597 (2000).
- 35 Koskiniemi, S., Pranting, M., Gullberg, E., Nasvall, J. & Andersson, D. I.
 Activation of cryptic aminoglycoside resistance in Salmonella enterica. *Mol Microbiol* 80, 1464-1478, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.07657.x (2011).
- 854 36 Roman-Hernandez, G., Peterson, J. H. & Bernstein, H. D. Reconstitution of
 855 bacterial autotransporter assembly using purified components. *Elife* 3, e04234,
 856 doi:10.7554/eLife.04234 (2014).
- 957 37 Kabsch, W. Xds. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 66, 125-132,
 958 doi:10.1107/S0907444909047337 (2010).
- 38 Tickle, I. J., Flensburg, C., Keller, P., Paciorek, W., Sharff, A., Vonrhein, C.,
 Bricogne, G. . *STARANISO*, 2018).
- 961 39 McCoy, A. J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R.W., Adams, P.D., Winn, M.D., Storoni, L.C.,
- 962 & Read, R.J. Phaser crystallographic software. J. Appl. Cryst. 40, 658-674 (2007).
- 963 40 Bricogne G., B. E., Brandl M., Flensburg C., Keller P., Paciorek W., & Roversi
- 964 P, S. A., Smart O.S., Vonrhein C., Womack T.O. BUSTER version 2.10.3.
 965 (2017).
- Emsley, P. & Cowtan, K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 60, 2126-2132, doi:S0907444904019158 [pii]
 10.1107/S0907444904019158 (2004).
- 969 42 Schmidt, C. & Robinson, C. V. A comparative cross-linking strategy to probe
 970 conformational changes in protein complexes. *Nat Protoc* 9, 2224-2236,
 971 doi:10.1038/nprot.2014.144 (2014).

972	43	James, J. M. B., Cryar, A. & Thalassinos, K. Optimization Workflow for the
973		Analysis of Cross-Linked Peptides Using a Quadrupole Time-of-Flight Mass
974		Spectrometer. Anal Chem 91, 1808-1814, doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.8b02319
975		(2019).

- Iacobucci, C. *et al.* A cross-linking/mass spectrometry workflow based on MScleavable cross-linkers and the MeroX software for studying protein structures
 and protein-protein interactions. *Nat Protoc* 13, 2864-2889, doi:10.1038/s41596018-0068-8 (2018).
- 980 45 Osborne, A. R. & Rapoport, T. A. Protein translocation is mediated by oligomers
 981 of the SecY complex with one SecY copy forming the channel. *Cell* 129, 97-110,
 982 doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.02.036 (2007).
- 46 Hussain, S. & Bernstein, H. D. The Bam complex catalyzes efficient insertion of
 bacterial outer membrane proteins into membrane vesicles of variable lipid
 composition. *J Biol Chem* 293, 2959-2973, doi:10.1074/jbc.RA117.000349
 (2018).
- 987 47 Miller, J. C. *Experiments in Molecular Genetics*. (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory
 988 Press, 1972).
- Sali, A. & Blundell, T. L. Comparative protein modelling by satisfaction of spatial
 restraints. *J Mol Biol* 234, 779-815, doi:10.1006/jmbi.1993.1626 (1993).
- 49 Lomize, M. A., Pogozheva, I. D., Joo, H., Mosberg, H. I. & Lomize, A. L. OPM
 database and PPM web server: resources for positioning of proteins in
 membranes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40, D370-376, doi:10.1093/nar/gkr703 (2012).
- 50 Lee, J. *et al.* CHARMM-GUI Membrane Builder for Complex Biological
 Membrane Simulations with Glycolipids and Lipoglycans. *J Chem Theory Comput* 15, 775-786, doi:10.1021/acs.jctc.8b01066 (2019).

- 997 51 Wu, E. L. *et al.* E. coli outer membrane and interactions with OmpLA. *Biophys J*998 106, 2493-2502, doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2014.04.024 (2014).
- 999 52 Fleming, P. J. *et al.* BamA POTRA Domain Interacts with a Native Lipid
 1000 Membrane Surface. *Biophys J* 110, 2698-2709, doi:10.1016/j.bpj.2016.05.010
 1001 (2016).
- 1002 53 Brooks, B. R. *et al.* CHARMM: the biomolecular simulation program. *J Comput* 1003 *Chem* 30, 1545-1614, doi:10.1002/jcc.21287 (2009).
- 1004 54 Perilla, J. R., Beckstein, O., Denning, E. J. & Woolf, T. B. Computing ensembles
 1005 of transitions from stable states: Dynamic importance sampling. *J Comput Chem*1006 **32**, 196-209, doi:10.1002/jcc.21564 (2011).
- 1007 55 Denning, E. J. & Woolf, T. B. Cooperative nature of gating transitions in K(+)
 1008 channels as seen from dynamic importance sampling calculations. *Proteins* 78,

1009 1105-1119, doi:10.1002/prot.22632 (2010).

- 1010 56 Humphrey, W., Dalke, A. & Schulten, K. VMD: visual molecular dynamics. J
- 1011 *Mol Graph* **14**, 33-38, 27-38, doi:10.1016/0263-7855(96)00018-5 (1996).









Extended Data Figure 5



Extended Data Figure 6



M9 glucose 30 °C

LB 37 °C

Supplementary Information

	Dear A. DearE	
	BamA-RcsF	
Data collection	~~	
Space group	C2	
Cell dimensions		
a, b, c (Å)	158.84, 142.53, 116.42	
α, β, γ (°)	90.0, 102.6, 90.0	
Resolution (Å)**	48.6 - 3.8 (4.0 - 3.8) *	
$R_{\rm pim}$	0.02 (0.79)	
Ι/σΙ	15.9 (0.8)	
Completeness (%)		
Spherical	71.2 (24.9)	
Elliptical**	92.8 (91.4)	
Redundancy	34.3 (31.7)	
Wilson B (Å ²)	149.4	
Refinement		
Resolution (Å)	48.6 - 3.8	
No. reflections	18489	
$R_{\rm work}$ / $R_{\rm free}$	28.1/31.7	
No. atoms		
Protein	10838	
Ligand/ion	NA	
Water	NA	
<i>B</i> -factors ($Å^2$)		
Protein	193.5	
Ligand/ion	NA	
Water	NA	
R.m.s. deviations		
Bond lengths (Å)	0.01	
Bond angles (°)	1.15	

Supplementary Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics.

*Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell.

** Elliptical diffraction limits and principal reciprocal axes of the fitted ellipsoid: 4.6 Å along 0.987 a* -

0.158 c*, 4.4 Å along b* and 3.8 Å along c*

Supplementary Table 2. Intermolecular BamA-RcsF crosslinks detected.

PSMs = Peptide Spectrum Matches. The POTRA domain in BamA where the crosslinked residue is located is also indicated.

BamA	RcsF	BamA Peptide			RcsF Pept				
Residue	Residue	Sequence	Start	End	Sequence	Start	End	PSMs	POTRA
310	61	KLLGR	310	314	IYTNAEELVG K PFR	51	64	3	4
361	42	FEGND TSK DAVLR	354	366	AEPAKP K APR	36	45	3	5
361	61	FEGND TSK DAVLR	354	366	IYTNAEELVG K PFR	51	64	78	5
310	134	KLLGR	310	314	QAVCIGSALNITA K	121	134	1	4
417	61	VPGSPDQVDVVY K VK	405	419	IYTNAEELVG K PFR	51	64	14	5
419	61	VKER	418	421	IYTNAEELVG K PFR	51	64	24	5

N.B. Residue 134 is the C-terminal Lys of RscF

Strains	Genotype and description	Source
BL21	F- ompT hsdSB (rB- mB-) gal dcm (DE3)	Novagen
(DE3)		
DH300	rprA-lacZ MG1655 (argF-lac) U169	32
PL339	$\Delta rcsF::kan$	10
PL358	DH300 $\Delta rcsF$	10
Keio collection single mutants	∆rcsF::kan	33
RRA73	BL21 (DE3) with pRRA1	This study
RRA74	BL21 (DE3) with pRRA1 and pSC216	This study
SEN1071	PL358 with pJH118 and pSC216	This study
SEN1472	DH300 <i>∆bamA∷kan</i> with pSC270	This study
SEN1603	DH300 <i>∆bamA∷kan</i> with pBamA	This study
SEN1607	DH300 $\Delta bamA::kan$ with pBamA _{$\Delta loop1$}	This study
SEN1411	DH300 with pET3a and pAM238	This study
SEN991	DH300 with pBamA and pAM238	This study
SEN1597	DH300 with pBamA-B and pAM238	This study
SEN1598	DH300 with pBamA-B and pSC263	This study
RRA54	DH300 with pBamAG393C/G584C-B and pAM238	This study
RRA106	DH300 with pBamA ^{G433C/N805C} -B and pAM238	This study
SEN1723	DH300 $\Delta bamA::kan$ with pBamA ^{hinge}	This study

Supplementary Table 3. Strains used in this study.

Supplementary Table 4. Plasmids used in this study.

Plasmids	Features	Source
pAM238	IPTG-regulated Plac, pSC101-based,	57
pAW238	IPTG-regulated Plac, pSC101-based, spectinomycin	
pBAD33	Arabinose regulation, pACYC184-based, chloramphenicol	58
pSC231	pAM238 with LacI ^q and the modified P_{trc}	10
pSIM5-tet	pSC101-based, <i>repA</i> ^{ts} , tetracycline	35
pSUPAR-Mb-Dizpk-	- Devi	24
RS	PylRS, tRNA ^{Py1} _{CUA} opt, p15A origin, chloramphenicol	
pET3a	T7 promoter, ampicillin	Novagen
pTrc99a	IPTG-regulated P_{trc} , ampicillin	59
pBamA	pET23a with BamAss-6xHis-2xAla-	10
<u>.</u>	BamA(E22-W810), Ampicillin	
pBamA ^{hinge}	pBamA (BamA with the insertion of a LVPR	This study
$pBamA_{\Delta loop1}$	sequence at position 424) pBamA (BamA with the deletion from T434- G437)	This study
pBamA-B	pBamA::BamB	This study
pBamA ^{G393C/G584C} -B	pBamA _{L6} -B with BamA ^{G393C/G584C}	This study
pBamA ^{G433C/N805C} -B	pBamA _{L6} -B with BamA ^{G433C/N805C}	This study
pSC263	pAM238 with BamCDE, spectomycin	This Study
pSC216	pBAD33 with RcsF	10
pJH114	pTrc99a::BamA-BamB-BamC-BamD-BamE- 6×His	36
pJH118	pTrc99a::6×His-BamA-BamB	36
pRRA1	pJH114 but with modifications: 6×His-BamA	This study
-	and BamE with no 6×His	
pSC270	pSC231 with BamA	This study
pSC270 ^{R583X}	amber codon (TAG) replacing the codon for the designated amino acid residues	This study
pSC270 ^{R592X}	amber codon (TAG) replacing the codon for the designated amino acid residues	This study
pSC270 ^{R598X}	amber codon (TAG) replacing the codon for the designated amino acid residues	This study
pSC270 ^{R610X}	amber codon (TAG) replacing the codon for the designated amino acid residues	This study
pSC270 ^{R632X}	amber codon (TAG) replacing the codon for the designated amino acid residues	This study

pSC270 ^{R634X}	amber codon (TAG) replacing the codon for the designated amino acid residues	This study
pSC270 ^{R661X}	amber codon (TAG) replacing the codon for the designated amino acid residues	This study
pSC270 ^{K808X}	amber codon (TAG) replacing the codon for the designated amino acid residues	This study

Name	Sequence (5' to 3')
bamB (NotI) R	GAGAGCGGCCGCTTAACGTGTAATAGAG
pTrc-for	CAAGGCGCACTCCCGTTCTGG
pTrc-rev2	CGCCAGGCAAATTCTG
BamA (PciI)F	GAGACATGTTGGCGATGAAAAAGTTGC
BamA (XbaI)R	GAGTCTAGATTACCAGGTTTTACCG
bamC kpnI F	GATCGGTACCTCGGATCTTAGGGAGATTTGATGGC
bamA Km del F	GATTTCTCTCGGTTATGAGAGTTAGTTAGGAAGAACG CATAATAACGATGATTCCGGGGGATCCGTCGACC
bamA Km del R	CTACCACTACATTCCTTTGTGGAGAACACTTACCAGG TTTTACCGATGTTTGTAGGCTGGAGCTGCTTCG
SDM-His-BamA F	CACCATCACCACCATGGCGCGGGCCGAAGGGTTCGTA GTGAAAGATATTCATTTCG
SDM-His-BamA R	CGCCATGGTGGTGATGGTGATGGGCCGCGTATACGG TGGCG
bamE delHis F	TGCGCTGAGTGGTAACTAAGATCCTCTAGAGTCGAC
bamE delHis R	GTCGACTCTAGAGGATCTTAGTTACCACTCAGCGCA
BamA Hinge F	GTAAAAGAGCGCAACACCCTGGTGCCGCGCGGTAGC TTCAACTTTGGT
BamA Hinge R	ACCAAAGTTGAAGCTACCGCGCGGCACCAGGGTGTT GCGCTCTTTTAC

Supplementary Table 5. Primers used in this study.

3 Supplementary Video

Dynamic importance sampling (DIMS) simulation of the BamA-RcsF complex 4 5 reproducing the proposed push-and-pull model. The simulation shows the transition 6 of BamA from the inward-open to the outward-open conformation, with the POTRA5 7 domain moving towards the periplasmic exit of the lumen and pushing RcsF upwards. 8 This movement is accompanied later on by the movement of strands 1-6 in BamA (Z1 9 domain) and the opening of the outward-facing extremity. The initial conformation of the 10 system (BamA and RcsF) corresponds to the inward-open structure determined in this 11 work (PDB code 6T1W) with the POTRA1-4 domains removed. The final conformation 12 of BamA is similar to the outward-open structure (PDB code 5D0Q). The proteins are 13 represented as cartoons (BamA and RcsF colored in orange and blue, respectively), the 14 explicit outer membrane represented as sticks and the oxygen atoms of water molecules 15 represented as red dots.