

This is a repository copy of *Editorial: understanding differences in patient response to ondansetron in irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhoea-are we any closer?*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/160814/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Black, CJ, Ford, AC orcid.org/0000-0001-6371-4359 and Houghton, LA (2019) Editorial: understanding differences in patient response to ondansetron in irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhoea-are we any closer? Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics, 50 (7). pp. 825-826. ISSN 0269-2813

https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.15455

© 2019 John Wiley & Sons Ltd. This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Black, C.J., Ford, A.C. and Houghton, L.A. (2019), Editorial: understanding differences in patient response to ondansetron in irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhoea—are we any closer?. Aliment Pharmacol Ther, 50: 825-826, which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.15455. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

TITLE PAGE

Title: Invited Editorial: Understanding differences in patient response to ondansetron in irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhoea: Are we any closer?

Authors: Christopher J. Black^{1,2}, Alexander C. Ford^{1,2}, Lesley A. Houghton².

¹Leeds Gastroenterology Institute, St. James's University Hospital, Leeds, UK. ²Division of Gastroenterology and Surgical Sciences, Leeds Institute of Medical Research at St. James's, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK.

Abbreviations:	IBS-D	irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhoea
	RCT	randomised controlled trial
	5-HT	5-hydroxytriptamine
	5-HIAA	5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid
	SERT	serotonin re-uptake transporter

Correspondence:	Prof. Lesley A. Houghton Room 9.17	
	9 th Floor Wellcome Trust Brenner Building	
	St. James's University Hospital	
	University of Leeds	
	Leeds	
	U.K.	
	LS9 7TF	

Email: L.A.Houghton@leeds.ac.uk

Telephone: +441133438616

Keywords: irritable bowel syndrome

496

Serotonin

Ondansetron

5-HT3 receptor antagonists

Word count:

5-hydroxytryptamine 3 (HT₃) receptor antagonists, are effective treatments for patients with irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhoea (IBS-D).¹ Lack of availability of alosetron and ramosetron in Europe has led to attention turning to ondansetron, which, although not currently licensed for the treatment of IBS-D, is widely available. A recent trial showed ondansetron to significantly improve bowel habit and transit.² However, not all patients responded, whilst some appeared more sensitive to ondansetron than others, requiring only a low dose to achieve improvement.

In the paper by Gunn et al,³ the original investigators explore whether certain factors predicted response to ondansetron and, in an attempt to understand possible mechanisms, report an analysis of 5-HT and 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid (5-HIAA) concentrations in colorectal biopsies in a subset of patients. They also looked for the presence of known polymorphisms in genes associated with 5-HT synthesis and reuptake, and the structure of the 5-HT₃ receptor.

Stool form responders to ondansetron were younger, with lower anxiety and depression, less pain and urgency, and slower whole gut transit (WGT). Despite slower WGT, stool consistency and frequency did not predict response. In contrast to previous studies,⁴ there was no difference in 5-HT concentrations in biopsies from IBS-D patients and healthy controls. However, 5-HT concentrations were lower in responders compared with non-responders. Analysis by dose, highlighted that patients on <4mg ondanstron were more commonly female with slightly firmer stools, and showed a greater increase in WGT, compared with placebo, than those on a higher dose (15.6 vs.3.9 hrs). 5-HT concentrations in biopsies from those taking <4mg were lower compared with those on higher doses (21.3 vs. 37.7 pmol/mg protein), a finding the authors speculated may relate to higher 5-HT turnover, as suggested by 5-HT release from biopsies being increased in this group. However, 5-HT concentrations in biopsies from those on <4mg were similarly reduced compared with healthy controls and, given that 5-HT release appeared similar between these groups, this casts doubt on their interpretation.

Surprisingly, no differences in the serotonin re-uptake transporter (SERT) or tryptophan hydroxylase polymorphisms were found between responders and non-responders, despite the original trial reporting that patients with the sl genotype of SERT had a trend towards greater improvements in stool form with ondansetron, and a larger increase in WGT, compared with the ll genotype.² Stool consistency responders were more likely to carry the CC genotype (SNP p.N163K rs6766410) of the HTR3C gene encoding the 3C subunit of the 5-HT₃ receptor, although the implications of this are unclear. Despite genes encoding subunits HTR3A,⁵ HTR3B,⁶ and HTR3E^{5, 7} having shown an association with IBS-D previously, no associations were detected in this study.

Important limitations, include lack of correction for multiple testing, and the small and varying numbers of patients used in the study, which may have influenced interpretation. Nonetheless, this study advances our understanding of factors that may influence patient sensitivity to ondansetron. This issue will have important clinical implications, should the drug become more widely used for IBS-D. Ongoing trials should help resolve this.⁸

Black et al.

Page 5 of 6

REFERENCES

1. Black CJ, Burr NE, Camilleri M, et al. Efficacy of pharmacological therapies in patients with IBS with diarrhoea or mixed stool pattern: systematic review and network meta-analysis. Gut 2019 2019/04/19. DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2018-318160.

2. Garsed K, Chernova J, Hastings M, et al. A randomised trial of ondansetron for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhoea. Gut 2014; 63: 1617-1625.

3. Gunn D, Garsed K, Lam C, et al. Abnormalities of mucosal serotonin metabolism and 5-HT₃ receptor subunit 3C polymorphism in irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) with diarrhoea predict responsiveness to Ondansetron, a 5-HT₃ receptor antagonist. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2019. DOI: 10.1111/apt.15420.

4. Coates MD, Mahoney CR, Linden DR, et al. Molecular defects in mucosal serotonin content and decreased serotonin reuptake transporter in ulcerative colitis and irritable bowel syndrome. Gastroenterology 2004; 126: 1657-1664.

 Guan T, Li T, Cai W, et al. HTR3A and HTR3E gene polymorphisms and diarrhea predominant irritable bowel syndrome risk: evidence from a meta-analysis. Oncotarget 2017;
8: 100459-100468.

 Celli J, Rappold G and Niesler B. The Human Serotonin Type 3 Receptor Gene (HTR3A-E) Allelic Variant Database. Hum Mutat 2017; 38: 137-147. 7. Kapeller J, Houghton LA, Monnikes H, et al. First evidence for an association of a functional variant in the microRNA-510 target site of the serotonin receptor-type 3E gene with diarrhea predominant irritable bowel syndrome. Hum Mol Genet 2008; 17: 2967-2977.

8. TRITON: Recruiting patients with diarrhoea predominant IBS for a new trial. Available from: https://ctru.leeds.ac.uk/triton. Accessed on 12/07/2019.

Authorship Statement:

Guarantor of the article: LAH

Author contributions: CJB, ACF and LAH, all contributed to the writing of this manuscript;

LAH, Manuscript guarantor; All authors, final review prior to submission.

CJB report no conflicts of interest. LAH has acted as a consultant for Pfizer, USA and received research funding from Takeda, USA. ACF has acted as a consultant for and received research funding from Almirall.