



Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/160714/>

Version: Published Version

Article:

Lizarraga Valderrama, L.D.R., Nigmatullin, R., Ladino, B. et al. (2020) Modulation of neuronal cell affinity of composites scaffolds based on polyhydroxyalkanoates and bioactive glasses. *Biomedical Materials*, 15 (4). 045024. ISSN: 1748-6041

<https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-605x/ab797b>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • OPEN ACCESS

Modulation of neuronal cell affinity of composites scaffolds based on polyhydroxyalkanoates and bioactive glasses

To cite this article before publication: Lorena del Rosario Lizarraga Valderrama *et al* 2020 *Biomed. Mater.* in press <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-605X/ab797b>

Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is “the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an ‘Accepted Manuscript’ watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors”

This Accepted Manuscript is .

As the Version of Record of this article is going to be / has been published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY 3.0 licence, this Accepted Manuscript is available for reuse under a CC BY 3.0 licence immediately.

Everyone is permitted to use all or part of the original content in this article, provided that they adhere to all the terms of the licence <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>

Although reasonable endeavours have been taken to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record on IOPscience once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions may be required. All third party content is fully copyright protected and is not published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY licence, unless that is specifically stated in the figure caption in the Version of Record.

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

1
2 **Modulation of Neuronal Cell Affinity of Composite Scaffolds Based on Polyhydroxyalkanoates**
3
4 **and Bioactive Glasses**
5

6
7 Lorena R. Lizarraga-Valderrama^{1,2*}, Rinat Nigmatullin^{1,3*}, Bryan Ladino¹, Caroline S. Taylor⁴,
8
9 Aldo R. Boccaccini⁵, Jonathan C. Knowles^{6,7,8,9}, Frederik Claeysens⁴, John W. Haycock⁴ and Ipsita
10
11 Roy^{1,4***}
12

13
14
15 ¹Applied Biotechnology Research Group, School of Life Sciences, College of Liberal Arts and
16
17 Sciences, University of Westminster, London, UK.
18

19
20 ^{2*}School of Life Sciences, Medical School, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK
21

22
23 ^{3*}Bristol Composites Institute (ACCIS), University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
24

25
26 ⁴Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK.
27

28
29 ⁵Department of Materials Science and Engineering University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen,
30
31 Germany.
32

33
34 ⁶Division of Biomaterials and Tissue Engineering, UCL Eastman Dental Institute, London, WC1X
35
36 8LD, UK
37

38
39 ⁷Department of Nanobiomedical Science and BK21 Plus NBM, Global Research Center for
40
41 Regenerative Medicine, Dankook University, Cheonan, Republic of Korea, 518-10 Anseo-dong,
42
43 Dongnam-gu, Cheonan, Chungcheongnam-do, South Korea
44

45
46 ⁸The Discoveries Centre for Regenerative and Precision Medicine, UCL Campus, London, UK
47

48
49 ⁹UCL Eastman-Korea Dental Medicine Innovation Centre, Dankook University, Cheonan 31114,
50
51 Republic of Korea
52

53
54
55 *Current address
56

57
58 **Corresponding Author
59

Keywords

nerve regeneration, nerve tissue engineering, bioactive glasses, polyhydroxyalkanoates, composite scaffolds

Abstract

Biocompatibility and neuron regenerating properties of various bioactive glass (BG)/Polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) blend composites were assessed in order to study their suitability for peripheral nerve tissue applications, specifically as lumen structures for nerve guidance conduits (NGCs). BG/PHA blend composites were fabricated using Bioactive glass® 45S5 (BG1) and BG 1393 (BG2) with the 25:35 poly(3-hydroxyoctanoate/poly3-hydroxybutyrate), 25:75 P(3HO)/P(3HB) blend (PHA blend). Various concentrations of each BG (0.5, 1.0 and 2.5 wt%) were used to determine the effect of BG on neuronal growth and differentiation, in single culture using NG108-15 neuronal cells and in a co-culture along with RN22 Schwann cells. NG108-15 cells exhibited good growth and differentiation on all the PHA blend composites showing that both BGs have good biocompatibility at 0.5, 1.0 and 2.5 wt% within the PHA blend. The Young's modulus values displayed by all the PHA blend/BG composites ranged from 385.6 MPa to 1792.6 MPa, which are able to provide the required support and protective effect for regeneration of peripheral nerves. More specifically, the tensile strength obtained in the PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt%) (10.0 ± 0.6 MPa) was found to be similar to that of rabbit peroneal nerve. This composite also exhibited the best biological performance in supporting growth and neuronal differentiation among all the substrates. The neurite extension on this composite was found to be remarkable with the neurites forming a complex connection network.

1. Introduction

After injury, peripheral nerves are able to regenerate spontaneously as a result of the action of Schwann cells promoting a favourable environment for axonal growth. However, the regeneration and recovery of nerve function depends on the injury gap length and the type of lesion. Suturing of

1
2 the two stumps (i.e., end-to-end suture) is a suitable and common method to bridge small gaps (less
3 than 2 mm). For larger gaps, nerve regeneration is severely impeded and repair of nerve tissue
4 requires nerve grafting with an autologous nerve graft being accepted as the “gold standard”
5 procedure.^[1] However, common complications of autografting such as additional surgery, loss of
6 nerve function, donor site morbidity and scar tissue formation limit the success of patient recovery.
7
8

9
10
11
12
13 Bioartificial tubular devices, widely known as nerve guidance conduits (NGCs) are a
14 promising alternative to autografting. Neural tissue regeneration based on NGCs prevents additional
15 surgical intervention required to harvest autologous nerves and thereby less surgical trauma is
16 inflicted. Moreover, fibrous scar tissue infiltration is reduced whereas accumulation of soluble factors
17 is maximized. Additionally, the use of NGCs avoids mismatched fascicles between the injured nerve
18 and the autograft.^[1] In addition to biocompatibility, a bioresorbable NGC has to be a mechanically
19 robust device which combines good flexibility with compressive resistance, preventing compression
20 of the growing nerve tissue or collapse of the tubular structure. There are several commercial NGCs
21 made from natural and synthetic materials, such as poly(L-lactide-co-caprolactone) (PLCL),
22 poly(glycolic acid) PGA, poly(vinyl alcohol) PVA, collagen type I and extracellular matrix (ECM).
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

^[2] The regeneration outcomes achieved with the current NGCs are comparable with the autologous nerve graft only for short gaps (less than 10 mm). For longer nerve defects, i.e. critical gaps, autografting performs better when compared with NGCs.

Hence, the main focus of further progress in NGCs is the development of intraluminal architecture. Modifications in the lumen of NGCs have shown to enhance nerve regeneration *in vitro* and *in vivo*.^[1] A range of different types of internal structures serving as physical cues have been explored including grooves, random and aligned fibres to guide neuronal growth, reducing neurite misdirection.^[1,3,4] Both synthetic and natural polymers have been used for the manufacturing of such internal structures. However, with recent advances in applications of inorganic bioactive glasses (BGs) in soft tissue engineering,^[2] BGs have recently been studied in nerve tissue regeneration^[5]. Despite the intrinsic brittleness of BGs, interest in their application for nerve regeneration is driven

1
2 by the proven biological activity of BGs due to the leaching of bioactive ions. In contrast, polymers
3
4 provide a good support for cell growth, but they do not exhibit such an inherent release of factors
5
6 with biological activity. Hence, bioactive glasses not only can enhance cell adhesion through the
7
8 formation of a hydroxyapatite layer but also release ions that can trigger cell signalling processes that
9
10 favour tissue regeneration. [3]

11
12
13 Various types of BGs have been shown to have regenerative properties in a neuronal context.
14
15 For example, Bioactive glass® 45S5 fibres are biocompatible with rat Schwann cells and fibroblasts
16
17 *in vitro*, and have shown to promote axonal regeneration *in vivo*. [6] Phosphate glass fibres are
18
19 biocompatible with the Neonatal Olfactory Bulb Ensheathing Cell Line (NOBEC) [1, 7] and Dorsal
20
21 Root Ganglion (DRG) neurons. [7, 8] Additionally, such fibres provide a directional cue for growing
22
23 axons. [1,7] Moreover, bioactive borate glass scaffolds have not only shown biocompatibility with
24
25 embryonic chick DRG but have also shown to support neurite extension. [9] Mohammadkhah [10]
26
27 fabricated composites using different BG compositions consisting of 50 wt% PCL combined with 50
28
29 wt% 1393 B3 borate glass; 50 wt% 45S5 silicate glass and with a blend of 25 wt% 1393 B3 and 25
30
31 wt% 45S5 silicate glass. The resulting composites were found to be compatible with DRG neurons
32
33 isolated from embryonic chicks and had a positive effect on neurite outgrowth. [10] In order to
34
35 overcome BG brittleness, both BG fibres [11] and BG particles [12] were embedded into polymeric
36
37 matrices.

38
39
40
41
42
43 Herein we have designed bioresorbable hybrid composites by combining a blend of
44
45 bioresorbable polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) with particulate BGs. BG/PHA blend composites were
46
47 fabricated using Bioactive glass® 45S5 (BG1) and BG 1393 (BG2) with the 25:75 P(3HO)/P(3HB)
48
49 blend. We extend our previously reported work [13] in the development of flexible PHA blends, which
50
51 were highly biocompatible with neuronal cells and thereby provided a good support for growth of
52
53 nerve tissue. Here we demonstrate that adding BGs as fillers to a 25:75 poly-3-
54
55 hydroxyalkanoate/poly-3-hydroxybutyrate, 25:75 P(3HO)/P(3HB) (PHA blend) has a further
56
57 positive effect on the growth and differentiation of RN22 Schwann and NG108-15 neuronal cells.
58
59
60

1
2 This effect depends on the BG content, confirming the biological activity of BGs incorporated in the
3
4 bioresorbable polymer matrices. Quite counter-intuitively, introduction of BGs decreased the
5
6 stiffness of the PHA blends. This combination of suitable mechanical properties and enhanced ability
7
8 to support growth and differentiation of neuronal cells confirmed the possible application of these
9
10 highly bioactive composite scaffolds as lumen coat within the bioresorbable NGCs, to be used for
11
12 critical gap repair.
13
14
15
16
17

18 **2. Materials and Methods**

19
20 *Production and extraction of poly(3-hydroxyalkanoate) and poly(3-hydroxybutyrate):* Production,
21
22 extraction, purification of both PHAs, P(3HO) and P(3HB), and the determination of
23
24 lipopolysaccharides was carried out as previously described.^[14] Briefly, P(3HO) and P(3HB) were
25
26 produced through bacterial fermentation using *Pseudomonas mendocina* and *Bacillus cereus* SPV
27
28 followed by soxhlet extraction.
29
30
31

32
33 *Production and composition of bioactive glasses:* The bioactive glasses were produced by the
34
35 conventional glass melting method and subsequent milling to obtain the micrometric sized powders.
36
37 The production of Bioactive glass® 45S5 (BG1) and BG 1393 (BG2) is described in previous studies
38
39 [15, 16]. SEM micrographs showing the morphology of the used glass powders BG1 and BG2 are
40
41 presented in the Supplementary Information. The chemical composition of BG1 and BG2 are shown
42
43 in Table 1.
44
45
46

47
48 *Composite film preparation:* This study focuses on the evaluation of cellular response towards PHA-
49
50 based composites which were conducted on planar surfaces. Films of PHA blend along with BG1 and
51
52 BG2 were prepared using the solvent casting method^[13]. The PHAs were dissolved in chloroform
53
54 (Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) in order to obtain a total polymer concentration of 5 wt/vol % of
55
56 the 25:75 P(3HO)/P(3HB) blend. After polymer dissolution, the required amounts of each bioactive
57
58 glass were introduced into the polymer solution to obtain formulations containing 0.5, 1.0, 2.5 wt%
59
60 of BG with respect to the PHAs. BGs were dispersed by sonication using a probe sonicator. The

1
2 polymer solutions containing dispersed BGs were cast in 6-cm glass petri dishes. The films were air
3
4 dried and produced in triplicate in order to obtain a total of twenty-one films including the control
5
6 25:75 P(3HO)/P(3HB) blend. Additionally, films of polycaprolactone (PCL), an established
7
8 biocompatible and bioresorbable polymer, were used as a control polymeric material. PCL was
9
10 provided by Vornia Biomaterials Ltd. (Dublin, Ireland). The PCL contained a methyl ether
11
12 polyethylene glycol block which was used as an initiator in the ring opening polymerisation of
13
14 caprolactone. This block made PCL relatively more hydrophilic. PCL films were prepared as
15
16 described above for composite films using 5 wt % PCL solution in chloroform. All polymer films
17
18 were aged for 5 weeks at room temperature. During this period crystallization of the polymers were
19
20 expected to be completed for all samples. [13]

21
22
23
24
25
26 *Scanning electron microscopy of PHAs/bioactive glass composites:* Surface topography of the films
27
28 and the PHA/BG composites was analyzed using a FEI XL30 Field Emission Gun Scanning Electron
29
30 Microscope (FEI, Netherlands). All the samples were previously sputter-coated with a 20 nm film
31
32 of palladium using a Polaron E5000 sputter coater. The operating pressure of the sputter coating was
33
34 5×10^{-5} bar with a deposition current of 20 mA for a duration of 90 s. The images were then recorded
35
36 and the diameters of pores were measured at different magnifications at 5kV using the FEI software.

37
38
39
40 *Profilometric surface analysis:* The surface roughness of the films was analyzed using a Sony Proscan
41
42 1000 Laser Profilometer (Sony, Japan) with a measuring range of 400 μm , a resolution of 0.02 μm
43
44 and a maximum output of 10 mW. Scans of 0.5 mm^2 were obtained from each sample. Nine random
45
46 coordinates were selected from each specimen in order to measure the Root Mean Square (RMS)
47
48 roughness (R_q) defined as the root mean square average of the profile height deviations from the
49
50 mean line. The formula defining R_q is as follows:
51
52

53
54
55 i)
$$R_q = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2}$$

56
57
58
59
60

1
2 where n is the number of intersections of the profile at the mean line (intersections); and γ , profile
3
4 slope at mean line ($^{\circ}$).^[17]
5

6
7 *Surface wettability of the films:* The wettability of the films was measured by using a KSV Cam 200
8
9 goniometer (KSV, Finland). About 200 μL of deionized water was dropped onto the surface of the
10
11 films using a gas-tight micro-syringe. As soon as the water droplet made contact with the sample, a
12
13 total of 10 images were captured with a frame interval of one second. The analysis of the images was
14
15 performed using the KSV Cam software. For each sample, three random points were analyzed to
16
17 obtain a total of nine measurements for each type of film.
18
19

20
21 *Mechanical properties:* Tensile testing was carried out using a 5942 Instron Testing System (High
22
23 Wycombe, UK) equipped with a 500N load cell at room temperature. The test was conducted using
24
25 films of 5 mm width and length of 3.5-5 cm. The deformation rate was 5 mm/min. The average values
26
27 for 5 specimens were calculated.
28
29

30
31 *Differential scanning calorimetry:* Thermal transitions for composites were characterized using DSC
32
33 214 Polyma (Netzsch, Germany), equipped with Intracooler IC70 cooling system. Scanning was
34
35 conducted between -70°C and 200°C at a heating rate of $10^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{min}$ under the flow of nitrogen at 60
36
37 mL/min. Enthalpy of fusion for P(3HB) was normalised to the weight fraction of P(3HB) in
38
39 composites or a polymer blend.
40
41

42
43 *NG108-15 Neuronal and RN22 Schwann cell culture:* The NG108-15 cell line is a hybrid of mouse
44
45 neuroblastoma and rat glioma whereas RN22 Schwann cells is a rat origin cell line. Cells were grown
46
47 in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) under a humidified atmosphere of 5 % CO_2 at 37°C
48
49 (DMEM) (Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK), supplemented with 10 % (v/v) fetal calf serum (Sigma-
50
51 Aldrich, Gillingham, UK), 1 % (w/v) glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK), 1% (w/v)
52
53 penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK), and 0.5% (w/v) amphotericin B (Sigma-
54
55 Aldrich, Gillingham, UK). Cells were only used in experiments once they were 80% -90 % confluent.
56
57
58
59 For culture of NG108-15 neuronal cells, 3×10^4 cells were trypsinised and seeded directly onto the
60

1
2 PHA film samples within the 12 well plates in 3 mL of DMEM as above (Sigma–Aldrich, Gillingham,
3
4 UK). Cultures were maintained for 4 days, with half of the medium being removed and replaced with
5
6 fresh serum-free DMEM (Sigma–Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) on day 2 to stimulate experimental
7
8 differentiation. NG108-15 cells were used between passages 10-20 while RN22 Schwann cells were
9
10 used between passages 15-25. For co-culture with RN22 Schwann cells, 1.5×10^4 of each cell type
11
12 were trypsinised and seeded in the same well directly onto PHA film samples and cultures were
13
14 maintained for 4 days, with half of the medium being removed and replaced with fresh serum-free
15
16 DMEM (Sigma–Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) on day 2 to stimulate experimental differentiation.
17
18

19
20
21 *Live/dead measurement of NG108-15 neuronal cells:* After growing cells for 4 days, culture medium
22
23 was removed and replaced with fresh serum-free DMEM (Sigma–Aldrich, Gillingham, UK)
24
25 containing 0.0015% (w/v) propidium iodide (Invitrogen, 55B Bridge Cl, Dartford DA2 6PT, UK)
26
27 and 0.001% (w/v) Syto-9 (Invitrogen, Dartford, UK) at 37°C/5% CO₂ for 15 min. After washing with
28
29 phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (x3), cells were imaged by confocal microscopy. A helium-neon
30
31 laser was used for the detection of propidium iodide ($\lambda_{ex}=536\text{ nm} / \lambda_{em}=617\text{ nm}$) (Invitrogen, 55B
32
33 Bridge Cl, Dartford DA2 6PT, UK) while an argon-ion laser was used for Syto 9 ($\lambda_{ex}=494\text{ nm} / \lambda_{em}$
34
35 $=515\text{ nm}$). Three fields-of-view were imaged containing 20-500 cells per sample, so as to express
36
37 the data as a percentage of live versus dead cells \pm Standard Error of the Mean (SEM). Quantification
38
39 of live and dead cells was performed using Image J.^[18, 19]
40
41
42
43

44
45 *Immunolabelling of NG108-15 neuronal cells and RN22 Schwann cells:* To assess differentiation of
46
47 NG108-15, cells were labelled for β III-tubulin (neurite marker). Samples were washed with PBS
48
49 (x3) (Sigma–Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) and fixed with 4% (v/v) paraformaldehyde (Sigma–Aldrich,
50
51 Gillingham, UK) for 20 mins at room temperature. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1 % (v/v) Triton
52
53 X-100 (Sigma–Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) for 20 mins, before being washed with PBS (Sigma–
54
55 Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) (x3). Unreactive binding sites were blocked with 3% (w/v) bovine serum
56
57 albumin (BSA) (Sigma–Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) for 30 mins, at room temperature, and cells were
58
59 incubated overnight with a mouse anti β III-tubulin antibody (1:1000) (Sigma–Aldrich, Gillingham,
60

1 UK) diluted in 1% BSA (Sigma–Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) at 4°C. In the case of co-cultures,
2 polyclonal rabbit anti-S100 β diluted in 1% BSA at 4°C was also added (Schwann cell marker) (1:250)
3 (Dako, Denmark). Cells were washed three times with PBS (Sigma–Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) before
4 being incubated with a Texas Red-conjugated anti-mouse IgG antibody (1:100 dilution in 1% BSA)
5 (Sigma–Aldrich, Gillingham, UK), and for co-cultures, also a FITC-conjugated secondary anti-rabbit
6 IgG antibody (1:100 in 1% BSA) (Vector Labs, USA) for 90 mins at room temperature. After washing
7 the cells once with PBS, 4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride (DAPI) (1:500 dilution in
8 PBS) was added to label nuclei. Cells were then incubated for 30 mins at room temperature before
9 being washed again with PBS (x3). Cells were then imaged by using confocal microscopy. Nuclei
10 were visualized by two photon excitation using a Ti:sapphire laser (716 nm) for DAPI ($\lambda_{ex} = 358$ nm
11 / $\lambda_{em} = 461$ nm) (Sigma–Aldrich, Gillingham, UK). For imaging the neuronal cell body and neurites
12 of NG108-15 cells, a helium-neon laser (543nm) was used to detect Texas Red-conjugated anti-
13 mouse IgG antibody (1:100 dilution in 1% BSA) ($\lambda_{ex} = 589$ nm / $\lambda_{em} = 615$ nm) (Sigma–Aldrich,
14 Gillingham, UK). For imaging RN22 Schwann cells, an argon ion laser (488 nm) was used to detect
15 FITC ($\lambda_{ex} = 495$ nm / $\lambda_{em} = 521$ nm) (Sigma–Aldrich, Gillingham, UK). The differentiated cells were
16 then counted using Image J and identified as neuronal cells expressing neurites.

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Statistical analysis: A Shapiro - Wilk and Bartlett's test was previously performed to verify the
normality and homogeneity of the data respectively. To analyse the difference between data, a one-
way ANOVA test ($p < 0.05$) was conducted followed by Turkey's – post test ($p < 0.05$). Data was
reported as mean \pm SEM.

3. Results

3.1. Structural and mechanical characterisation of composite scaffolds

The selection of a polymer matrix for the preparation of new composites suitable for nerve
regeneration was based on our previous study of binary PHA blends combining the rigid and strong
P(3HB), with the soft and elastomeric P(3HO).^[13] In that study, the 25:75 P(3HO)/P(3HB) blend

was identified as the most promising material for supporting the growth of neuronal cells. Therefore, a blend of this composition was used as a matrix for the preparation of BG composites and is referred as PHA blend throughout this paper. Two types of BGs, BG1 and BG2 were incorporated into the polymer matrix via processing of polymer solutions in chloroform. The chemical composition of both bioactive glasses is shown in Table 1. The BGs used in this study are different in chemical composition and also in particle size. The average particle size of BG1 was 5 μm in diameter and had a clearly narrower particle size distribution than BG2 (Supporting information, Figure S1). The mean particle size of BG2 was 6 microns.^[16]

Table 1. Chemical composition of 455S Bioactive glass® and BG 1393

Bioactive glass	Composition (wt %)
BG 455S	45 SiO ₂ , 24.5 CaO, 24.5 Na ₂ O, 6 P ₂ O ₅
BG 1393	53 SiO ₂ , 20 CaO, 6 Na ₂ O, 4 P ₂ O ₅ , 12 K ₂ O, 5 MgO

The PHA blend tended to form porous films with an average pore size of $1.6 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{m}$, uniformly distributed across the film surface (Figure 1 M, N). Films of polycaprolactone (PCL) were also prepared using the same conditions, to act as another control material. The PCL control film exhibited significantly larger pores with an average diameter of $36.1 \pm 3.5 \mu\text{m}$ (Figure 1 O, P). The incorporation of two different BGs to the PHA blend caused dissimilar changes in surface morphology; films of PHA blend/BG2 (Figure G-L) composites were notably less porous in comparison to composites filled with BG1 (Figure A-F). This was probably related to differences in the distribution of BG particles of different particle size distribution. It is well known that an increase in polydispersity of particles leads to a decrease in void volume when the particles are packed.^[20] This is also valid for porous particle-polymer composites.^[21] Wider size distribution of the BG2 particles allows denser packing of the BG2 particles in the polymer matrix resulting in relatively less porous composite films. Generally, addition of BGs led to larger pores compared to the pores on the PHA blend control. The most developed porosity was achieved for the PHA blend/BG1 (0.5 wt %) and

PHA blend/BG1 (2.5 wt%) which showed intricate porous networks with an average pore size of $5.4 \pm 0.7 \mu\text{m}$ and $3.5 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{m}$, respectively (Figure 1 A-B, E-F). No relationship was found between the amount of BG and the pore size. It is worth to notice that in the context of materials development for internal structures of NGCs, BG additives should allow the maintenance or even improve the material porosity.




Figure 1. Scanning electron microscopy of PHA blend/BG composites and controls. (A, B) PHA blend/BG1 (0.5 wt %). (C, D) PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt %). (E, F) PHA blend/BG1 (2.5 wt %). (G, H) PHA blend/BG2 (0.5 wt %). (I, J) PHA blend/BG2 (1.0 wt %), (K, L) PHA blend/BG2 (2.5 wt %). (M, N) PHA blend. (O, P) PCL. Scale bar = $100 \mu\text{m}$ – first and third column; $10 \mu\text{m}$ – second and fourth column.

Compared to the series of PHA blend/BG1 composites, the surface morphology of PHA blend/BG2 composites were less regular with the occurrence of protrusions (Figure 1 G-L). The protrusions were most likely formed due to the presence of much larger particles in BG2. As a result,

the roughness, determined as the root mean square roughness (Rq) by laser profilometry, was systematically higher for the PHA blend/BG2 composites (Figure 2).

Interestingly, the roughness of the PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt %) and PHA blend/BG2 (0.5 wt %), the least porous samples in each composite series, was higher compared with the more porous samples of the corresponding composite series. The two other control surfaces used in the study provided examples of smooth ($0.3 \pm 0.0 \mu\text{m}$ for the glass slide) and highly rough ($7.2 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{m}$ for PCL film) surfaces.




Figure 2. Root mean square roughness (Rq) of the PHA blend/bioactive glass composites and controls. The roughness presented by the PHA blend/BG1 composites was lower compared with PHA blend/BG2 composites. The highest roughness value was displayed by the PCL substrate.

As seen in Figure 2, the roughness of the PHA blend/BG1 (0.5 wt %) was not statistically different to PHA blend/BG1 (2.5 wt %) and glass ($0.4 \pm 0.0 \mu\text{m}$, $0.4 \pm 0.0 \mu\text{m}$, $0.3 \pm 0.0 \mu\text{m}$ respectively, $*p < 0.05$). The lowest roughness was displayed by the glass slide control compared to all the substrates. The roughness of PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt %) was not significantly different to that of PHA blend/BG2 (1.0 % w/v) and the PHA blend (1.0 ± 0.1 , $1.2 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{m}$, $1.3 \pm 0.0 \mu\text{m}$ respectively,

**p > 0.05) and significantly lower than those measured for the PHA blend/BG2 (0.5 wt %) and PHA blend/BG2 (2.5 wt %) ($2.6 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{m}$, $2.3 \pm 0.0 \mu\text{m}$, **p < 0.05). The PHA blend/bioactive glass composites that displayed the highest roughness were PHA blend/BG2 (0.5 wt %) (2.6 ± 0.1 , p < 0.05) and PHA blend/BG2 (2.5 wt %) (2.3 ± 0.0 , p < 0.05). The highest roughness among all the substrates was presented by the PCL control ($7.2 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{m}$, p < 0.05).

Surface hydrophilicity is a simple determinant of cellular response towards biomaterials. Previous studies have shown that cell attachment increases when hydrophilicity increases. These findings have been observed for different cell types such as osteoblasts, [22, 23] fibroblasts, [24, 25] Madin-Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) cells, [26] mouse osteoblast-like cell line MC3T3-E, [25] 7F2 mouse osteoblasts [27] and neurites. [28, 29] PHA/BG composites combine a hydrophobic polymer with hydrophilic fillers, hence, the surface hydrophilic/hydrophobic balance was expected to vary depending on the BG content. The water contact angles were measured for all substrates as a widely used parameter of surface hydrophilicity/wettability (Table 2).

Table 2. Water contact angles of PHA blend/BG composites and controls.

Substrates	Water contact angle (°)
PHA blend/BG1 (0.5wt %)	95.7 ± 0.6
PHA blend/BG1 (1wt %)	65.7 ± 1.2
PHA blend/BG1 (2.5wt %)	65.3 ± 1.4
PHA blend/BG2 (0.5wt %)	93.5 ± 0.8
PHA blend/BG2 (1wt %)	78.8 ± 0.7
PHA blend/BG2 (2.5wt %)	67.0 ± 0.7
PHA blend	77.4 ± 0.8
PCL	81.9 ± 1.3
Glass	23.2 ± 0.5

In both series of composites there was a significant decrease in surface wettability for composites with the lowest BG content; contact angles of $95.7^\circ \pm 0.6^\circ$ and $93.5^\circ \pm 0.8^\circ$ for PHA blend/BG1 (0.5 wt %) and PHA blend/BG2 (0.5 wt %), respectively, compared with $77.4^\circ \pm 0.8^\circ$ for the control PHA blend.

The materials were further characterised by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) to evaluate the influence of inorganic fillers in crystallisation and the state of the amorphous phase of semi-crystalline PHAs. DSC thermograms (Supporting information, Figure S2) show that composites and the control PHA blend did not exhibit melting of the P(3HO) component. Thus P(3HO) was not crystallised as a single phase in the P(3HB) matrix. Although the melting temperature of P(3HB) was not affected by the presence of BG, the degree of crystallinity of P(3HB) significantly decreased in the composites with BG1 (Table 3) compared with the control PHA blend and crystallinity gradually decreased with the increase of filler content. On the other hand, it appears that P(3HB) crystallinity was not influenced by BG2: 65.8, 64.0, 67.4% for composites containing 0.5, 1.0 and 2.5wt % of BG2, respectively, compared to 65.6% for the PHA blend.

Table 3. Differential scanning calorimetry of PHA blend/BG composites.

Substrates	T _g (°C)	T _m (°C)	Specific enthalpy of melting (kJ/g)		X _C , %*
			Observed	Normalised to P(3HB)	
PHA blend/BG1 (0.5 wt %)	n/d	177.6	59.2	79.5	54.4
PHA blend/BG1 (1 wt %)	n/d	175.1	42.0	56.8	38.9
PHA blend/BG1 (2.5 wt %)	n/d	173.0	36.8	50.8	34.5
PHA blend/BG2 (0.5 wt %)	n/d	174.6	71.2	95.5	65.8
PHA blend/BG2 (1 wt %)	n/d	174.3	69.2	93.5	64.0
PHA blend/BG2 (2.5 wt %)	n/d	174.4	71.3	98.4	67.4
PHA blend	n/d	174.6	71.8	95.7	65.6

* the crystallinity degree of P(3HB) was calculated using the formula $X_C = \frac{\Delta H_{norm}}{\Delta H_0} \times 100$ and $\Delta H_0 = 146 \text{ J/g}$.^[30]

Interestingly, for all the composites and the PHA blend the glass transition event was not detected (Table 3) in the temperature range where the glass transition of P(3HB), the dominant component of the blend (close to 3°C), would be typically observed. The absence of glass transition indicated that the P(3HB) in the amorphous phase was in a rigid state, which is a vitrified state of the amorphous material.^[31] Since the fractions of polymers in an amorphous state (Table 3) were

1 significantly higher in the PHA blend/BG1 composites than in the PHA blend/BG2 composites, this
2 is a further confirmation of our assumption of a more confined and regular space formed between
3 less polydisperse BG1 particles and P(3HB) crystallites. The interface area is expected to be larger
4 in such structures. As a result, despite the increased fraction, all amorphous polymers in PHA blend
5 / BG1 composites distributed into the interfaces, which limited their mobility and transformed them
6 into a rigid state.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

15 Such differences in the rigidity of the amorphous phase and crystallisation for the two types
16 of composites defined the mechanical properties of the materials. As can be seen from Table 4, PHA
17 blend/BG1 composites were significantly softer than composites filled with BG2 and the control PHA
18 blend. The Young's modulus decreased by 2-5 times for the PHA blend/BG1 composites, which was
19 a result of the lower degree of crystallinity of P(3HB) in the polymer matrix. It is worth noting that
20 calculations of Young's modulus and ultimate strength do not take into consideration the porosity of
21 the materials. However, the differences in the porosities of the materials were not so large and could
22 not be the reason for such a decrease in the stiffness of composites filled with BG1.
23 Counterintuitively, despite the presence of rigid BG1 in the polymer matrix, the flexibility of the PHA
24 blend/BG1 composites significantly increased when compared with the PHA control; more than 15
25 times increase in elongation at break was observed for the PHA blend/BG1 composite (0.5 wt%) as
26 compared to the PHA blend (Table 4). However, as expected, a further increase in BG content resulted
27 in a decrease in the elongation at break within each series of the composites. All PHA blend/BG1
28 composites showed higher elongation at break values compared to the PHA blend/BG2 composites
29 with equivalent BG content and also the control PHA blend.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 4. Mechanical properties of the PHA blend/Bioactive Glass composites

Substrates	Young's Modulus (MPa)	Ultimate tensile strength (MPa)	Elongation at break, %
PHA blend/BG1 (0.5 wt %)	400.0 ± 6.0	5.8 ± 0.1	36.0 ± 6.4
PHA blend/BG1 (1 wt %)	850.0 ± 70.0	10.0 ± 0.6	2.5 ± 0.3
PHA blend/BG1 (2.5 wt %)	390.0 ± 26.0	5.1 ± 0.7	2.3 ± 0.5
PHA blend/BG2 (0.5 wt %)	1300.0 ± 100.0	16.1 ± 0.7	3.6 ± 1.2
PHA blend/BG2 (1 wt %)	1060.0 ± 50.0	13.0 ± 1.4	1.7 ± 0.1
PHA blend/BG2 (2.5 wt %)	1730.0 ± 76.0	19.6 ± 0.8	1.7 ± 0.2
PHA blend	1800.0 ± 200.0	19.7 ± 0.3	1.6 ± 0.1
PCL	390.0 ± 26.0	12.6 ± 0.3	120.0 ± 41.0

Similar to the stiffness, the ultimate tensile strength was lower for PHA blend / BG1 composites. However, there was no correlation between the BG content and composite stiffness and strength, which are commonly described for composites by the rule of mixtures. The main reasons for this anomalous behaviour are variable porosity of the materials, variation in the crystallinity degree and poor compatibility between the polymer matrix and fillers. As a result of the interplay of these factors, the stiffest and strongest composites were achieved for composites containing 1.0 wt% of BG1 (Young's modulus and ultimate strength 850.0 ± 70.0 and 10.0 ± 0.6 MPa, respectively) and 2.5 wt% of BG2 (Young's modulus and ultimate strength 1730.0 ± 76.4 and 19.6 ± 0.8 MPa, respectively). The tensile strength obtained in the PHA blend/BG1 (1% w/v) (10.0 ± 0.6 MPa) was found to be similar to that of rabbit peroneal nerve determined in another study (11.7 ± 0.7 MPa).^[32]

3.2. Cellular response to the PHA-based composites

Primary evaluation of the biocompatibility of the PHA blend/BG composites was conducted using live/dead cell viability assay for NG108-15 neuronal cells. As shown in Figure 3, NG108-15 neuronal cells attached well and grew on the surface of all PHA-based materials. Cell growth was significantly lower in the glass control. A significant difference was found between the percentage of live cells in the control PHA blend (94.9 ± 0.9 %) and PCL film (92.4 ± 1.6 %) ($\#P < 0.05$) implying superior neuronal growth for PHA-based materials compared with the widely used biodegradable PCL (Figure 3J). The percentage of live cells determined for all the composites

1
2 was similar and found to be in the range of $99.4 \pm 0.1 \%$ to $92.4 \pm 1.6 \%$ (Figure 3J). These values
3
4 were not significantly different as compared to the control PHA blend. Although the comparison of
5
6 percentage of live cells did not display significant differences, the statistical analysis of the number
7
8 of neuronal cells grown on the substrates revealed some differences in cell attachment for the
9
10 composites. In Figure 3K the number of neuronal cells grown on different substrates was compared.
11
12 The composite PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt %) supported the highest number of cells (760 ± 60 cells)
13
14 among all the composites, which was significantly different when compared to the rest of the
15
16 substrates (**P < 0.05). On the other hand, the PHA blend/BG1 (0.5wt %) supported the lowest
17
18 number of neuronal cells (215 ± 30 cells), presenting similar values when compared with the number
19
20 of cells grown in the control PHA blend and PCL. Smaller variations in the number of viable cells
21
22 of cells grown in the control PHA blend and PCL. Smaller variations in the number of viable cells
23
24 were observed in the series of composites with the BG2 filler: 400.0 ± 110.0 ; 410.0 ± 70.0 ; $250.0 \pm$
25
26 45.0 cells for PHA blend/BG2 (0.5 wt %), PHA blend/BG2 (1.0 wt %) and PHA blend/BG2 (2.5 wt
27
28 %), respectively. In both series of composites decreased number of viable cells was found for the
29
30 composites with 2.5 wt% BG content compared with the composites containing 1.0 wt% of BGs.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 3. Confocal micrographs of NG108-15 neuronal cells labelled with propidium iodide (red) and Syto-9 (green) after four days in culture on PHA/Bioactive glass composites and the controls PHB blend, PCL and

1
2 glass. A) PHA blend/BG1 (0.5 wt %), B) PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt %), C) PHA blend/BG1 (2.5 wt %), D) PHA
3
4 blend/BG2 (0.5 wt %), E) PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt %), F) PHA blend/BG2 (2.5 wt %), G) PHB blend, H)
5
6 PCL, I) and Glass. J) Live/dead analysis of neuronal cells on the P(3HO)/P(3HB) blends, PCL and glass
7
8 (control). K) Number of live cells on PHA/bioactive glass composites, PHA blend, PCL and glass (control).
9
10 Scale bar = 50 μ m.
11
12
13
14

15 As seen in Figure 3, the percentage of live neuronal cells in all the PHA blend/BG composites,
16
17 PHA blend and PCL was higher in comparison to glass (control) (mean \pm SEM,
18
19 n = 9 independent experiments *P < 0.05). Percentage of live neuronal cells in the PHA blend was
20
21 significantly different to the PCL control (mean \pm SEM, n = 9 independent experiments #P < 0.05.
22
23 The number of live cells (Fig. 3K) grown on PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt %) was significantly different
24
25 compared to the rest of substrates (760.0 \pm 60.0 cells). The number of neuronal cells displayed by
26
27 PHA blend/BG1 (2.5 wt %) (317.0 \pm 30.0 cells) (**P < 0.05) and PHA blend/BG2 (0.5 wt %) (400.0
28
29 \pm 110.0 cells) (* P < 0.05) were found significantly different to the glass control (53.0 \pm 18.0 cells).
30
31 Also, the number of cells grown on the PHA blend/BG2 (1.0 wt %) (410.0 \pm 70.0 cells) (**P < 0.05)
32
33 was significantly different to that grown on the controls, PCL (171.0 \pm 39.0) and glass (53.0 \pm 18.0
34
35 cells).
36
37
38
39

40 NG108-15 neuronal cells grown on the substrates were immunolabelled for β III-tubulin to
41
42 study neuronal differentiation and neurite outgrowth. Neurite outgrowth assessment was carried out
43
44 according to the method of Daud [33]. Differentiation was confirmed in all the neuronal cells by
45
46 observing of neurites sprouting in all the PHA blend/BG composites (Figure 4). However, a more
47
48 uniformly distributed and higher number of differentiated cells was found in the PHA blend/BG
49
50 composites, compared to the PCL and glass controls. It can be seen in
51
52 Figure 4 that cells grown on PCL and glass were grouped in clusters with aggregate structure.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60





Figure 4. Micrographs of NG108-15 neuronal cells immunolabelled for β -III tubulin after 4 days culture on PHA blend composites. A) PHA blend/BG1 (0.5 wt %) composite, B) PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt %) composite,

1
2 C) PHA blend/BG1 (2.5 wt %) composite, D) PHA blend/BG2 (0.5 wt %) composite, E) PHA blend/BG2 (1.0
3 wt %) composite, F) PHA blend/BG2 (2.5 wt %) composite, G) PHB blend, H) PCL, and I) Glass. J) Number
4 of differentiated neuronal cells grown on substrates. Scale bar = 50 μ m.
5
6
7
8
9

10
11 As seen in Figure 4, the PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt %) composite supported the highest number
12 of differentiated neuronal cells (350.0 ± 40 cells) compared to the rest of substrates. The number of
13 NG108-15 cells grown on the composites, PHA blend/BG1 (0.5 wt %) (230.0 ± 20.0 cells), PHA
14 blend/BG1 (1.0 wt %) (350.0 ± 40.0 cells), PHA blend/BG1 (2.5 wt %) (300.0 ± 25 cells), PHA
15 blend/BG2 (0.5 wt %) were significantly different to those found in PHA blend/BG2 (1.0% wt) (85.0
16 ± 10.0 cells), PHA blend/BG2 (2.5 wt %) (60.0 ± 9.0 cells) and the controls PHA blend film ($80.0 \pm$
17 23.0), PCL film (50.0 ± 7.0 cells) and glass (10.0 ± 3.0 cells) (* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.05$, *** $P < 0.05$, •
18 $P < 0.05$).

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29 In line with the live/dead assay, the PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt %) composite supported the
30 highest number of differentiated neuronal cells (350.0 ± 40.0 cells) in comparison to the rest of the
31 substrates (Figure 4J). The total number of neuronal cells grown on the composites, PHA blend/BG1
32 (0.5 wt %) (230.0 ± 20.0 cells), PHA blend/BG1 (1 wt %) (350.0 ± 40.0 cells), PHA blend/BG1 (2.5
33 wt %) (300.0 ± 25.0 cells), and PHA blend/BG2 (0.5 wt %) (230.0 ± 20.0 cells), were significantly
34 different to those found on the PHA blend/BG2 (1 wt %) (85.0 ± 10.0 cells), PHA blend/BG2 (2.5 wt
35 %) (60.0 ± 9.0 cells) and the controls PHA blend film (80.0 ± 23.0), PCL film (50.0 ± 7.0 cells) and
36 glass slide (10.0 ± 3.0 cells) (* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.05$, *** $P < 0.05$, • $P < 0.05$). Confocal micrographs
37 of NG108-15 neuronal cells immunolabelled for beta-III tubulin grown on PHA blend/BG1 (Figures
38 S3), and PHA blend/BG2 composites (Figure S4) shown in the supplementary material were taken
39 with higher magnification in order to observe neurite bearing neurons. The growth and differentiation
40 of NG108-15 cells in all the PHA blend composites confirmed that both BG types displayed high
41 biocompatibility with neuronal cells.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 The response of neuronal cells towards the substrates was further studied in co-culture with
59 RN22 Schwann cells, in order to evaluate the effect of RN22 Schwann cells on neuronal
60

1
2 differentiation and neurite outgrowth. Micrographs of NG108-15 neuronal cells grown in co-culture
3 with RN22 Schwann cells are shown in Figure 5 and Figures S3 of the supplementary material.
4
5 Neuronal cells were immunolabelled for β -III tubulin (red) whereas RN22 Schwann cells were
6
7 stained with S100 β (green) for visualization. Neurite outgrowth assessment of NG108-15 neuronal
8
9 cell/RN22 Schwann cell co-cultures was performed according to Daud ^[33].
10
11

12
13 Although only small numbers of RN22 Schwann cells were detected, analysis of NG108-15
14 neuronal cells demonstrated their ability to attach, grow and differentiate on all the substrates in co-
15
16 existence (Figure 5). As in the live/dead cell test and in the neurite outgrowth assessment of NG108-
17
18 15 neuronal cells in single cultures, the PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt %) composite supported the highest
19
20 number of differentiated neuronal cells when co-cultured with RN22 Schwann cells (650.0 ± 20.0
21
22 cells) compared to the rest of substrates (Figure 5S). Statistical analysis of neuronal cells grown on
23
24 the PHA blend/BG composites in single culture showed an increase in neuronal cell attachment when
25
26 cultured with RN22 Schwann cells except for the PHA blend/BG1 (0.5 wt %). This increase was
27
28 statistically significant for all composites. A seven-fold increase was shown in the number of neuronal
29
30 cells detected in the PHA blend/BG2 (1.0 wt %) and PHA blend/BG2 (2.5 wt%) when cultured with
31
32 RN22 Schwann cells.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 5. Micrographs of NG108-15 neuronal cells immunolabelled for β -III tubulin (red) grown in co-culture with RN22 Schwann cells labelled with S100 β (green) after 4 days on PHA blend/bioactive glass composites. (A, G, M) PHA blend/BG1 (0.5 wt %); (B, H, N) PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt %); (C, I, O) PHA blend/BG1 (2.5 wt %); (D, J, P) PHA blend/BG2 (0.5 wt %); (E, K, Q) PHA blend/BG2 (1.0 wt %); (F, L, R) PHA blend/BG2 (2.5 wt %). S) Number of neuronal cells grown when co-cultured with RN22 Schwann cells. T) Number of NG108-15 neuronal cells grown on the PHA blend/BG composites in single culture vs co-cultured with RN22 Schwann cells. Scale bar = 50 μ m.

The number of neuronal cells presented in PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt %) when grown with RN22 Schwann cells was significantly different to that of PHA blend/BG1 (0.5 wt %) (* $P < 0.05$)

1
2 and to those of PHA blend/BG1 (2.5 wt %), PHA blend/BG2 (0.5 wt %), PHA blend/BG2 (1.0 wt
3
4 %), PHA blend/BG2 (2.5 wt %), PHA blend film, PCL film (100.0 ± 30.0 cells) and glass (**P <
5
6 0.05) (Figure 5). The number of neuronal cells grown on PHA blend/BG2 (1.0 wt %) in the presence
7
8 of RN22 Schwann cells was significantly different to that determined for the PHA blend/BG2 (2.5
9
10 wt %) (**P < 0.05). A statistically significant increase was observed in the number of NG108-15 cells
11
12 when grown in co-culture with RN22 Schwann cells on the PHA blend/BG1 (0.5 wt %) (*P < 0.05);
13
14 PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt %) (** P < 0.05); PHA blend/BG1 (2.5 wt %) (** P < 0.05); PHA blend/BG2
15
16 (1.0 wt %) (° P < 0.05), and PHA blend/BG2 (2.5 wt %) (°° P < 0.05).
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 3. Discussion

24
25 Porosity is considered as a crucial structural feature of materials which provides an efficient
26
27 cell migration, nutrient and catabolite exchange^[17] required for nerve regeneration. It is worth noting
28
29 that the pore size for the composites developed in this study (3–10 μm) are within the 5–30 μm range
30
31 recommended for NGCs, hence a lumen coat using these PHA-composites will fulfill the adequate
32
33 porosity.^[34]
34
35

36
37 The incorporation of BG2 resulted in rougher surfaces for composites containing 0.5 wt %
38
39 and 2.5 wt % of BG2 ($2.6 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{m}$ and $2.3 \pm 0.01 \mu\text{m}$, respectively) compared with the PHA blend
40
41 control ($1.2 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{m}$), while roughness of the PHA blend/BG2 (1.0 wt %) was not significantly
42
43 different to that of the PHA blend control. At the same time, incorporating BG1 led to decreased Rq
44
45 values in comparison with the control PHA blend film (Figure 2). This finding may be the result of a
46
47 decreased impact of the so-called “breath figure” phenomenon^[35] that is common in film casting of
48
49 hydrophobic polymer solutions with highly volatile solvents. For such systems, water droplets
50
51 condense on the polymer as a result of surface cooling due to evaporation and make imprints on the
52
53 film surface. However, since the addition of BG1 decreased the hydrophobicity of the material, the
54
55 “breath figure” effect could have smaller impact on surface topography of composite film.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 The significant decrease in surface wettability observed in both series of composites for
3
4 composites with the lowest BG content (contact angles of 95.70 ± 0.60 and 93.50 ± 0.80 for PHA
5
6 blend/BG1 (0.5 wt %) and PHA blend/BG2 (0.5 wt %), respectively, compared with 77.40 ± 0.80 for
7
8 the control PHA blend could reflect the contribution of surface topography to the change in surface
9
10 wettability. Although the roughness for the PHA blend/BG1 (0.5 wt %) did not significantly change
11
12 compared with the PHA blend, the technique used in this study evaluates roughness on a micrometer
13
14 scale. Probably topographical features of nano- and submicron sizes in the composite film resulted in
15
16 an increase in the contact angle for these composites. BG particles were completely covered with the
17
18 polymer matrix in composites with 0.5 wt % BG content, when particle/polymer ratio was low. In
19
20 this case, hydrophilicity of the BG did not contribute to the wettability. However, with the increase
21
22 in BG content more BG particles were exposed on the composite surface, increasing the surface
23
24 hydrophilicity and the contact angle decreased with respect to PHA blend for composites containing
25
26 1.0 wt% and 2.5 wt% BG. Composites with filler content of 1.0 wt% and 2.5 wt% showed lower
27
28 contact angle values than the PHA blend except for PHA blend/BG2 (1.0 wt%). Slightly lower values
29
30 of contact angles for the composites filled with BG1 is likely due to their surfaces being smoother as
31
32 compared with composites containing BG2.
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 In the composites, crystallisation of P(3HB) occurs in a confined space between the BG
40
41 particles. Less polydisperse particles of BG1 may have formed a more regularly packed environment
42
43 than BG2. Additionally, as was mentioned above, composites with BG1 were more porous than the
44
45 PHA blend / BG2 composites. These two factors could drive the P(3HB) crystallisation to occur in a
46
47 more confined space for the PHA blend/BG1 composites resulting in the suppression of P(3HB)
48
49 crystal growth. The higher the BG content the more crowded the system would be, leading to a lower
50
51 degree of P(3HB) crystallisation (Table 3). However, in the case of PHA blend/BG2 composites,
52
53 higher degree of BG packing might lead to the formation of BG-rich and BG-depleted regions. Hence,
54
55 in this case, P(3HB) crystallisation was similar to the crystallisation in the PHA blend.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 It is well-known that BGs have beneficial effects on tissue regeneration upon contact with
3
4 physiological fluids, including cell attachment and stimulation of growth factor production by their
5
6 dissolved ions (i.e., vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF); basic fibroblastic growth factor
7
8 (bFGF)).^[3] Both, 45S5 and 1393 BGs release the cations Ca^{+2} and P^{+5} in physiological solutions,
9
10 which have shown to stimulate angiogenesis. Phosphorous results in an increase in VEGF, bFGF,
11
12 and matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2), whereas calcium has shown to enhance endothelial cell
13
14 proliferation^[36]. The combined effect of the above mentioned phenomena can explain the cellular
15
16 growth increment observed in the composites, PHA blend/BG1 (0.5, 1.0, 2.5 % wt) and PHA
17
18 blend/BG2 (0.5, 1.0 % wt) as compared to PHA-control films. Hence, the bonding between BG-
19
20 containing surfaces and cells is the result of dissolution and precipitation reactions on the surface of
21
22 the material. These interactions are highly affected by the BG composition and involve proteins
23
24 absorbed to the material surface, cell receptors and dissolved ions.^[3] Therefore, while some of the
25
26 phosphate and calcium ions could have reacted to form hydroxyapatite (HA), other free phosphate
27
28 and calcium ions could have been free in solution and entered the cell via the Na/Pico-transporter
29
30 (NPT) and specific membrane channels respectively, positively affecting the expression of growth
31
32 factors by neuronal cells. There are a great diversity of voltage- and ligand-gated ion channels that
33
34 are permeable to inorganic ions such as calcium, sodium, potassium and chloride, which are vital for
35
36 the electrical activity of excitable cells. Moreover, calcium in particular, also serves as an essential
37
38 signalling entity.^[37]

39
40
41 It is widely accepted that the hydrophobicity of surfaces significantly affects cell attachment.
42
43 However, in this study a lack of linearity was observed in terms of hydrophobicity/cell growth. It is
44
45 expected that lower water contact angles would support higher cell adhesion and growth. Although
46
47 the composites with the lowest water contact angles, PHA blend/BG1 0.5 wt ($65.7 \pm 1.2^\circ$) and PHA
48
49 blend/BG1 1.0 wt % ($65.3 \pm 1.4^\circ$) showed the best performance supporting cell growth of neuronal
50
51 cells, the composite PHA blend/BG1 0.5 wt % with the highest contact angle, ($95.7 \pm 0.6^\circ$) presented
52
53 optimal biocompatibility (Fig. 4, 5, S3). The formation of a HA layer could potentially counteract the
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 unfavourable effect of the hydrophobic surface in the PHA blend/BG1 0.5 wt % composite by
2 providing a bonding interface. Similarly, the biocompatibility of the composite PHA blend/BG2 0.5
3 wt % ($93.5 \pm 0.8^\circ$) could also have been improved by the formation of a HA layer (Fig. 3, 4).
4 Surprisingly an opposite effect was observed in the co-culture of PHA blend/BG2 0.5 wt % in which
5 addition of RN22 Schwann cells could have a detrimental effect in cell growth by either hindering
6 the formation of HA or by depleting the nutrients in the media. This composite was the only construct
7 that showed a decrease in NG108-15 growth in co-culture with RN22 Schwann cells.
8

9 In all the cell culture experiments, PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt %) exhibited superior performance
10 in supporting the growth of differentiated NG108-15 cells compared to the rest of substrates. The
11 superior performance of PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt %) was consistent in all the cell culture experiments
12 (live/dead analysis, neurite outgrowth assessment of NG108-15 neuronal cells and NG108-15 / RN22
13 Schwann cell co-cultures). Furthermore, neurite extension found in the PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt %)
14 was very clear as observed in areas where neurites formed a complex connection network (Supporting
15 material, Figure S3). These interconnected neurite structures were also observed in PHA blend/BG1
16 (0.5 wt%) (Supporting material, Figure S3). The growth and differentiation of the NG108-15 cells on
17 the remaining PHA blend/composites was variable in the live/dead cell test, neurite outgrowth
18 assessment on NG108-15 neuronal cell and on NG108-15/RN22 Schwann cell co-cultures. It is
19 important to note that despite the fact that PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt%) displayed a superior
20 performance as a neuronal scaffold, this composite did not show the most favourable surface
21 characteristics among the rest of composites. Although the water contact angle ($65.7 \pm 1.2^\circ$)
22 corresponded to a hydrophilic substrate, the roughness was low and its microstructure did not show
23 an interconnected porous system. Therefore, the concentration of BG1 used in PHA blend/BG1 might
24 have played an important role in the favourable properties of this composite, by providing a beneficial
25 balance of cations in the culture media. It is worth to mention that this is the first study of PHA/BG
26 composites in the context of neural tissue engineering.
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 In general, both BGs showed significant biocompatibility within the PHA blend. In all the
3
4 experiments the presence of BGs at all concentrations (0.5 wt %, 1.0 wt %, 2.5 wt %) increased the
5
6 number of neuronal cells with respect to both, the PHA blend control and PCL control. The 45S5
7
8 composites exhibited better performance in supporting cell growth and differentiation of NG108-15
9
10 cells, compared with the BG2 composites.
11

12
13 To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to evaluate the effect of BG 1393 (BG2)
14
15 on neuronal regeneration and shows its potential application as a base material in the form of
16
17 PHA/BG2 composite for the manufacture of inner structures of NGCs used for regeneration of
18
19 peripheral nerves. In contrast, BG 45S5 (BG1) has been previously investigated for peripheral nerve
20
21 regeneration applications, either on its own or in combination with other polymers, but not PHAs.
22
23 Bunting ^[6] have reported that fibres of BG1 are biocompatible with rat Schwann cells and fibroblasts
24
25 *in vitro*. They showed qualitative and quantitative evidence of axonal regeneration *in vivo* using a
26
27 silastic conduit filled with BG 45S5 fibres implanted in sciatic nerves of adult rats. Additionally,
28
29 Mohammadkhah ^[10] used BG 45S5 as one of the components of a range of poly- ϵ -caprolactone
30
31 (PCL)/BG composites used to support nerve regeneration. For the biocompatibility study, dorsal root
32
33 ganglia (DRG) isolated from embryonic chicks was cultured on composite sheets and neurite
34
35 outgrowth was measured. The bioactive glass particles added to the composites did not show any
36
37 negative effects on neurite extension. An increase in neurite outgrowth of DRG cultured on the poly-
38
39 ϵ -caprolactone (PCL)/BG1 composite was observed compared with PCL sheets. ^[10]
40
41
42
43
44

45 Although only few RN22 Schwann cells were detectable at the end of the experiment, they may have
46
47 supported neuronal growth and axon extension. RN22 Schwann cells grow faster than NG108-15
48
49 cells and form a layer of cells that tend to detach easily. Therefore, RN22 Schwann cells could have
50
51 detached during the fixation and washing process prior to immunolabelling. Schwann cells are the
52
53 myelin-forming cells of the peripheral nervous system. Schwann cells-neurons communication is
54
55 carried out through intracellular waves of calcium and via intercellular diffusion of chemical
56
57
58
59
60

1
2 messengers, which are involved in the synaptic transmission.^[38, 39] In this respect, the release of Ca^{2+}
3
4 from bioactive glasses could be controlled to obtain a beneficial effect in SC-neuron communication.
5

6 Intercalated regions of myelinated sheets leave the axonal section of the neuronal cells
7 exposed to promote the depolarization of the membrane. Nodes of Ranvier are rich in voltage-gated
8
9 Na^+ channels, where Na^+ ions cross and depolarize the membrane between segments of compacted
10
11 myelin. Herein, the release of Na^+ ions from bioactive glasses might have an effect on the membrane
12
13 polarization during impulse conduction. Further research needs to be carried out to investigate the
14
15 specific effect of the Na^+ ions released from bioactive glasses on the membrane polarization.
16
17
18
19

20 **4. Conclusions**

21
22 The microstructure of the PHA blend/BG composites was affected by the concentration of
23
24 bioactive glasses in the composite. The concentration of BG 45S5 (BG1) and BG 1393 (BG2) showed
25
26 an effect not only in the pore size of PHA blend/BG composites, but also in the distribution and
27
28 structure of the porous systems. The efficient growth and differentiation of NG108-15 cells on all the
29
30 PHA blend composites confirmed that both bioactive glasses (BG1 and BG2) have good
31
32 biocompatibility when used as a PHA composites. The growth and differentiation of NG108-15 cells
33
34 on PHA blend/composites was found to be variable in the live/dead cell test and neurite outgrowth
35
36 assessment. In general, both bioactive glasses exhibited a significant impact on the biocompatibility
37
38 of the PHA blend. Although composites with BG2 shown to support neuronal regeneration,
39
40 composites with BG1 displayed superior performance in supporting cell growth and differentiation
41
42 of neuronal cells. The presence of RN22 Schwann cells in NG108-15 cultures had a further positive
43
44 effect on the growth and maintenance of the differentiated neuronal cells in all the PHA
45
46 blend/composites except for the PHA blend/BG2 (0.5 wt %). PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt %) exhibited
47
48 the best performance in supporting growth and maintaining neuronal differentiation of NG108-15
49
50 amongst all the substrates in all the cell culture experiments. Moreover, neurite extension found in
51
52 the PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt%) was remarkable, as neurites formed a complex connection network.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59 Therefore, the PHA blend/BG1 (1.0 wt%) exhibited the best combination of surface features,
60

1
2 chemical and mechanical properties to emerge as the best substrate for the growth and differentiation
3
4 of neuronal cells and hence for the future development of both lumen coat of NGCs and for nerve
5
6 tissue regeneration in general. Due to the known beneficial effect of hydroxyapatite (HP) in cell
7
8 growth and attachment ^[40], the formation of this compound in PHA blend/BG composites should be
9
10 investigated in further studies using X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) or Fourier-transform infrared
11
12 spectroscopy (FTIR). Additionally, owing to the fact that calcium has a fundamental role in the
13
14 initiation of the nerve regeneration process ^[41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48], the use of bioactive glasses as
15
16 calcium delivery systems with controlled release is expected to have a positive impact on axonal
17
18 growth. Therefore, measurement of calcium release from PHA blend/BG composites and
19
20 characterization of calcium homeostasis are highly recommended for further studies.
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 **Author information**

28 **Corresponding author:**

29 **E-mail:**

30 i.roy@sheffield.ac.uk
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38


39 **Acknowledgements**

40
41 The authors would like to acknowledge the Department of Materials Science and Engineering (Kroto
42
43 Research Institute, University of Sheffield, UK), NEURIMP, Grant Agreement No. 604450, a
44
45 Framework 7 project funded by the EC, and University of Westminster for providing the facilities,
46
47 materials, and funding for this research work. The authors would also acknowledge Rosa Angelica
48
49 L. Valderrama and Raul Lizarraga for providing funding for this investigation.
50
51
52
53
54

55 **Conflict of Interest**

56
57 The authors declare no conflict of interest.
58
59
60

Grafical abtract



References

- 1.- Novajra G, Tonda-Turo C, Vitale-Brovarone C, Ciardelli G, Geuna S, Raimondo S. Novel systems for tailored neurotrophic factor release based on hydrogel and resorbable glass hollow fibers. *Materials Science and Engineering C*. 2014; 36: 25–32.
- 2.- Sarker MD, Naghieh S, McInnes AD, Schreyer DJ, Chen X. Regeneration of peripheral nerves by nerve guidance conduits: Influence of design, biopolymers, cells, growth factors, and physical stimuli. *Progress in Neurobiology*. 2018; 171: 125-150.
- 3.- Miguez-Pacheco V, Hench LL, Boccaccini AR. Bioactive glasses beyond bone and teeth: emerging applications in contact with soft tissue. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2015; 13: 1-15.
- 4.- Lizarraga-Valderrama LR, Taylor CS, Claeysens F, Haycock JW, Knowles JC, Roy I. Unidirectional neuronal cell growth and differentiation on aligned polyhydroxyalkanoate blend microfibres with varying diameters. *J Tissue Eng Regen Med*. 2019; 13: 1581– 1594.
- 5.- Novajra G, Baino F, Raimondo S, Lousteau J, Milanese D, Vitale-Brovarone C. Bioactive glasses for nerve repair. In: Boccaccini AR, Brauer DS, Hupa L, eds. *Bioactive glasses: fundamentals, technology and applications* (RSC Smart Materials series 23). 1st ed. Cambridge (UK): The Royal Society of Chemistry (RSC), 2017. p. 420-441
- 6.- Bunting S, Di Silvo L, Deb S, Hall S. Bioresorbable Glass Fibres Facilitate Peripheral Nerve Regeneration. *Journal of Hand Surgery*. 2005; 30: 242-247.
- 7.- Vitale-Brovarone C, Novajra G, Lousteau J, Milanese D, Raimondo S, Fornaro M. Phosphate glass fibres and their role in neuronal polarization and axonal growth direction. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2012; 8: 1125–1136.

- 1
2 8.- Kim D-S, Li K-W, Boroujerdi A, Yu YP, Zhou C-Y, Deng P, Park J, Zhang X, Lee J, Corpe M,
3 Sharp K, Steward O, Eroglu C, Barres B, Zaucke F, Xu Z.C, Luo ZD. Thrombospondin-4
4 contributes to spinal sensitization and neuropathic pain states. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 2012;
5 32: 8977-8987.
6
7
8
9
10 9.- Marquardt LM, Day D, Sakiyama-Elbert SE, Harkins AB. Effects of borate-based bioactive
11 glass on neuron viability and neurite extension. *Journal of Biomedical Material Research - Part A*.
12 2014; *102*: 2767–2775.
13
14
15
16 10.- Mohammadkhah A, Marquardt LM, Sakiyama-Elbert SE, Day DE, Harkins AB. Fabrication
17 and characterization of poly-(ϵ)-caprolactone and bioactive glass composites for tissue engineering
18 applications. *Materials Science and Engineering. C, Materials for Biological Applications*. 2015;
19 49: 632–639.
20
21
22
23
24 11.- Alhashimi RA, Mannocci F, Sauro S. Bioactivity, cytocompatibility and thermal properties of
25 experimental Bioglass-reinforced composites as potential root-canal filling materials. *Journal of the*
26 *Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials*. 2017; 69: 355–61.
27
28
29
30 12.- Cui N, Qian J, Wang J, Ji C, Xu W, Wang H. Preparation, physicochemical properties and
31 biocompatibility of PBLG/PLGA/bioglass composite scaffolds. *Materials Science and Engineering:*
32 *C*. 2017; 71: 118–24.
33
34
35
36 13.- Lizarraga-Valderrama LR, Nigmatullin R, Taylor C, Haycock JW, Claeysens F, Knowles JC,
37 Roy I. Nerve tissue engineering using blends of poly(3-hydroxyalkanoates) for peripheral nerve
38 regeneration. *Engineering in Life Sciences*. 2015; 15: 612–621.
39
40
41
42 14.- Rai R, Yunos DM, Boccaccini AR, Knowles JC, Barker IA, Howdle SM, Tredwell GD,
43 Keshavarz TS, Roy I. Poly-3-hydroxyoctanoate P(3HO), a medium chain length
44 polyhydroxyalkanoate homopolymer from *Pseudomonas mendocina*. *Biomacromolecules*. 2011; 12:
45 2126-2136.
46
47
48
49
50 15.- Hoppe A, Meszaros R, Stähli C, Romeis S, Schmidt J, Peukert W, Marelli B, Nazhat SN,
51 Wondraczek L, Lao J, Jallot E and Boccaccini AR. In vitro reactivity of Cu doped 45S5 Bioglass®
52 derived scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. *Journal of Materials Chemistry B*. 2013; 1: 5659–5674.
53
54
55
56 16.- Hoppe A, Jokic B, Janackovic D, Fey T, Greil P, Romeis S, Schmidt J, Peukert W, Lao J, Jallot
57 E, Boccacini AR. Cobalt-Releasing 1393 Bioactive Glass-Derived Scaffolds for Bone Tissue
58
59
60

- 1
2 Engineering Applications. American Chemical Society Applied Materials and Interfaces. 2014; 6:
3 2865–2877.
4
5
6 17.- Gadelmawla ES, Koura MM, Maksoud TMA, Elewa IM, Soliman HH. Roughness
7 parameters. Journal of Materials Processing Technology. 2002; 123: 133-145.
8
9
10 18.- Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis.
11 Nature methods. 2012; 9: 671–675.
12
13
14 19.- Usaj M, Torkar D, Kanduser M, Miklavcic D. Cell counting tool parameters optimization
15 approach for electroporation efficiency determination of attached cells in phase contrast images.
16 Journal of Microscopy. 2010; 241: 303-314.
17
18
19 20.- Desmond KW, Weeks ER. Influence of particle size distribution on random close packing of
20 spheres. Physical Review E. 2014: 90:1-6.
21
22
23 21.- Alam P. Porous Particle-Polymer Composites. Advances in Composite Materials - Analysis of
24 Natural and Man-Made Materials. 2011; 2: 29-54.
25
26
27 22.- Goddard JM, Hotchkiss JH. Polymer surface modification for the attachment of bioactive
28 compounds. Progress in Polymer Science (Oxford). 2007; 32: 698–725.
29
30
31 23.- Xu Z, Choudhary S, Okada Y, Voznesensky O, Alander C, Raisz L, Pilbeam C.
32 Cyclooxygenase-2 gene disruption promotes proliferation of murine calvarial osteoblasts *in vitro*.
33 Bone. 2007; 41: 68–76.
34
35
36 24.- Tamada Y, Ikada Y. Effect of preadsorbed proteins on cell adhesion to polymer surfaces
37 Journal of Colloid and Interface Science. 1993: 155: 334–339.
38
39
40 25.- Wei J, Igarashi T, Okumori N, Igarashi T, Maetani T, Liu B, Yoshinari M. Influence of surface
41 wettability on competitive protein adsorption and initial attachment of osteoblasts. Biomedical
42 Materials. 2009; 4, 1-7.
43
44
45 26.- Vogler EA. Water and the acute biological response to surfaces. Journal of Biomaterials
46 Science, Polymer Edition. 1999, 10, 1015–1045. <https://doi.org/10.1163/156856299X00667>
47
48
49 27.- Yildirim ED, Besunder R, Pappas D, Allen F, Güçeri S, Sun W. Accelerated differentiation of
50 osteoblast cells on polycaprolactone scaffolds driven by a combined effect of protein coating and
51 plasma modification. Biofabrication. 2010; 2: 1-12.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2 28.- Khorasani MT, Mirzadeh H, Irani S. Plasma surface modification of poly (l-lactic acid) and
3 poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid) films for improvement of nerve cells adhesion. *Radiation Physics and*
4 *Chemistry*. 2008; 77: 280–287.
5
6
7
8 29.- Lee AC, Yu VM, Lowe JB, Brenner MJ, Hunter DA, Mackinnon SE, Sakiyama-Elbert SE.
9 Controlled release of nerve growth factor enhances sciatic nerve regeneration. *Experimental*
10 *Neurology*. 2003; 184: 295–303.
11
12
13
14 30.- Barham PJ, Keller A, Otun EL, Holmes PA. Crystallization and morphology of a bacterial
15 thermoplastic: poly-3-hydroxybutyrate. *Journal of Materials Science*. 1984; 19: 2781–2794.
16
17
18
19 31.- Schick, C.; Wurm, A.; Mohamed, A. Vitrification and devitrification of the rigid amorphous
20 fraction of semicrystalline polymers revealed from frequency-dependent heat capacity. *Colloid and*
21 *Polymer Science*. 2001; 279: 800–806.
22
23
24
25 32.- Al-Nasassrah MA, Podczeczek F, Newton JM. The effect of an increase in chain length on the
26 mechanical properties of polyethylene glycols. *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and*
27 *Biopharmaceutics*. 1998; 46: 31–38.
28
29
30
31 33.- Daud MFB, Pawar KC, Claeysens F, Ryan AJ, Haycock JW. An aligned 3D neuronal-glia
32 co-culture model for peripheral nerve studies. *Biomaterials*. 2012; 33: 5901–5913.
33
34
35
36 34.- Chiono V, Tonda-Turo C. Trends in the design of nerve guidance channels in peripheral nerve
37 tissue engineering. *Progress in Neurobiology*. 2015; 131: 87–104.
38
39
40
41 35.- Escalé P, Rubatat L, Billon L, Save M. Recent advances in honeycomb-structured porous
42 polymer films prepared via breath figures. *European Polymer Journal*. 2012; 48: 1001-1025.
43
44
45
46 36.- Saghiri MA, Jafar Orangi AA, Sorenson CM, Sheibani N. Functional role of inorganic trace
47 elements in angiogenesis—Part I: N, Fe, Se, P, Au, and Ca. *Critical Reviews in*
48 *Oncology/Hematology*. 2015; 96: 129-142.
49
50
51
52 37.- Simms BA, Zamponi GW. Neuronal voltage-gated calcium channels: structure, function, and
53 dysfunction. *Neuron*. 2014; 82: 24–45.
54
55
56
57 38.- Sugiura Y, Lin W. Neuron-glia interactions: the roles of Schwann cells in neuromuscular
58 synapse formation and function. *Bioscience Reports*. 2011; 31: 295–302.
59
60

- 1
2 39.- Zargar Kharazi A, Dini G, Naser R. Fabrication and evaluation of a nerve guidance conduit
3 capable of Ca²⁺ ion release to accelerate axon extension in peripheral nerve regeneration. *Journal*
4 *of Biomedical Materials Research Part A*. 2018; 106A: 2181–2189.
5
6
7
8 40.- Huang W, Day DE, Kittiratanapiboon K, Rahaman MN. Kinetics and mechanisms of the
9 conversion of silicate (45S5), borate, and borosilicate glasses to hydroxyapatite in dilute phosphate
10 solutions. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*. 2006; 17: 583–596.
11
12
13
14 41.- Ferguson TA, Son YJ. Extrinsic and intrinsic determinants of nerve regeneration. *Journal of*
15 *Tissue Engineering*. 2011; 2: 1–12.
16
17
18 42.- Ziv NE, Spira ME. Localized and transient elevations of intracellular Ca²⁺ induce the
19 dedifferentiation of axonal segments into growth cones. *The Journal of Neuroscience : The Official*
20 *Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 1997; 17: 3568–3579.
21
22
23
24 43.- Ghosh-Roy A, Wu Z, Goncharov A, Jin Y, Chisholm AD. Calcium and Cyclic AMP Promote
25 Axonal Regeneration in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and Require DLK-1 Kinase. *Journal of*
26 *Neuroscience*. 2010; 30: 3175–3183.
27
28
29 44.- Tedeschi A, Bradke F. The DLK signalling pathway—a double-edged sword in neural
30 development and regeneration. *EMBO Reports*. 2013; 14: 605–614.
31
32
33 45.- Hammarlund M, Nix P, Hauth L, Jorgensen EM, Bastiani M. Axon regeneration requires a
34 conserved MAP kinase pathway. *Science*. 2009; 323: 802-806.
35
36
37 46.- Byrne AB, Hammarlund M. Axon regeneration in *C. elegans*: Worming our way to
38 mechanisms of axon regeneration. *Experimental Neurology*. 2017; 287: 300–309.
39
40
41 47.- Xiong X, Wang X, Ewanek R, Bhat P, DiAntonio A, Collins C. A. Protein turnover of the
42 Wallenda/DLK kinase regulates a retrograde response to axonal injury. *Journal of Cell Biology*,
43 2010; 19: 211–223.
44
45
46 48.- Itoh A, Horiuchi M, Bannerman P, Pleasure D, Itoh T. Impaired regenerative response of primary
47 sensory neurons in ZPK/DLK gene-trap mice. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research*
48 *Communications*. 2009; 383: 258–262.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60