

This is a repository copy of On-going nitrification in chloraminated drinking water distribution system (DWDS) is conditioned by hydraulics and disinfection strategies.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/159861/

Version: Accepted Version

## Article:

Shi, Y, Babatunde, A orcid.org/0000-0003-4730-9673, Bockelmann-Evans, B et al. (2 more authors) (2020) On-going nitrification in chloraminated drinking water distribution system (DWDS) is conditioned by hydraulics and disinfection strategies. Journal of Environmental Sciences, 96. pp. 151-162. ISSN 1001-0742

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2020.04.028

© 2020 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of The Research Centre for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-ncnd/4.0/

## Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

## Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

# On-going Nitrification in Chloraminated Drinking Water Distribution System (DWDS) is Conditioned by Hydraulics and Disinfection Strategies

- 3 Yi Shi<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Akintunde Babatunde<sup>2,3</sup>, Bettina Bockelmann-Evans<sup>2</sup>, Qijie Li<sup>2</sup> and Liang Zhang<sup>1</sup>
- National Engineering Laboratory for Cereal Fermentation Technology, Jiangnan
   University, Wuxi, Jiangsu, China. Email: shiyi0621@jiangnan.edu.cn
- 6 2. Discipline of Civil Engineering, Cardiff University, The Parade, Cardiff, CF24
  7 3AA, UK.

 School of Civil Engineering, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2, 9JT, UK Received

Revised

Accepted

## Abstract

9 Within the drinking water distribution system (DWDS) using chloramine as disinfectant, 10 nitrification caused by nitrifying bacteria is increasingly becoming a concern as it poses a 11 great challenge for maintaining water quality. To investigate efficient control strategies, 12 operational conditions including hydraulic regimes and disinfectant scenarios were 13 controlled within a flow cell experimental facility. Two test phases were conducted to 14 investigate the effects on the extent of nitrification of three flow rates (Q = 2, 6, and 10) 15 L/min) and four disinfection scenarios (total Cl<sub>2</sub>=1mg/L, Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub>-N=3:1; total Cl<sub>2</sub>=1mg/L, 16  $Cl_2/NH_3-N=5:1$ ; total  $Cl_2=5mg/L$ ,  $Cl_2/NH_3-N=3:1$ ; and total  $Cl_2=5mg/L$ ,  $Cl_2/NH_3-N=5:1$ ). 17 Physico-chemical parameters and nitrification indicators were monitored during the tests. 18 The characteristics of biofilm extracellular polymetric substance (EPS) were evaluated 19 after the experiment. The main results from the study indicate that nitrification is affected 20 by hydraulic conditions and the process tends to be severe when the fluid flow transforms 21 from laminar to turbulent (2300 < Re < 4000). Increasing disinfectant concentration and 22 optimizing Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub>-N mass ratio were found to inhibit nitrification to some extend when 23 the system was running at turbulent condition (Q = 10L/min, Re = 5535). EPS extracted 24 from biofilm that was established at the flow rate of 6 L/min had greater 25 carbohydrate/protein ratio. Furthermore, several nitrification indicators were evaluated for 26 their prediction efficiency and the results suggest that the change of nitrite, together with

total organic carbon (TOC) and turbidity can indicate nitrification potential efficiently.

Keywords Nitrification Drinking water distribution system (DWDS) Biofilm Hydraulics Disinfection

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: <a href="mailto:shiyi0621@jiangnan.edu.cn">shiyi0621@jiangnan.edu.cn</a>

## Introduction

28 In modern drinking water distribution systems (DWDS), chlorine and chloramine are the 29 two main disinfectants applied for securing water quality (Gagnon et al., 2004). Compared 30 with chlorine, chloramine is more persistent in water and hence resulting in better overall 31 disinfection (Norton and LeChevallier, 1997). However, the disinfection efficiency of 32 chloramine has been observed to be highly affected by microbial composition in both 33 biofilm and bulk water (LeChevallier et al., 1990; Zhang and Edwards, 2009). In 34 chloraminated DWDS, free ammonia released from chloramine decay will serve as an 35 energy source for indigenous autotrophic ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB) and nitrite 36 oxidizing bacteria (NOB) (Pintar and Slawson, 2003). Growth of these microorganisms 37 mediates the process of nitrification, resulting in production of nitrite and further decrease 38 of available chloramine (Hoefel et al., 2005). This process will not only show considerable 39 influence on the inactivation efficiency of chloramine and subsequently affect drinking 40 water quality, but also promote microorganism assemblage and hence to increase the 41 possibility of regrowth events in the distribution system (Kirmeyer et al., 1995).

In order to provide advice on controlling nitrification in DWDS, researchers have focused on investigating the relationship between the abundance of nitrifying bacteria and system physic-chemical conditions (Kirmeyer et al., 1995; Odell et al., 1996; Wolfe et al., 1990; Zhang et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2009b). Free ammonia concentration, dissolved oxygen, temperature, light, pH and alkalinity have been observed to be related to the growth of nitrifiers (Wolfe and Lieu, 2001; Zhang et al., 2009a). Several other factors relating to nitrification were identified as well, which include nutrients, pipe materials and
house hold treatment methods (i.e. filtration) (Fleming et al., 2005, 2008; Zhang et al.,
2009a).

51 Compared with heterotrophic bacteria, nitrifiers are chemoautotrophic and show 52 relative slow growth rate, especially under oligotrophic condition (Pintar and Slawson, 53 2003). However, once nitrification is underway and becomes severe, the process is 54 observed to be difficult to control or inhibit within the DWDS (Cunliffe, 1991; Odell et al., 55 1996; Sathasivan et al., 2008; Seidel et al., 2005). Traditional nitrification controlling 56 strategies are aimed at inhibiting the activity of nitrifying bacteria by optimizing 57 disinfectant schedules (Skadsen and Cohen, 2006). Utilities normally prevent nitrification 58 by increasing the chlorine to ammonia nitrogen ratio and hence to limit the available free 59 ammonium within distribution systems (Odell et al., 1996). Measures such as periodically 60 flushing and temporally using breakpoint chlorination are also applied to control 61 nitrification (Lieu et al., 1993; Odell et al., 1996). Though nitrification could be eliminated 62 for a period within DWDS via these operational management, the event is always recurring. 63 The persistence of nitrification might be attributed to the support from biofilm, which 64 enhances the stability and disinfection resistance of nitrifiers (Furumai and Rittmann, 1994; 65 Volk and LeChevallier, 1999).

66 It has been suggested that the most possible existence form of nitrifying bacteria in DWDS is the aggregated bacterial cluster within biofilm (Tarre and Green, 2004; Wilczak 67 68 et al., 1996). To control nitrification, factors related to biofilm formation should be 69 considered as well. Studies have indicated that biofilm accumulated in DWDS is highly 70 affected by operational conditions (i.e. hydraulics, disinfectants) (Douterelo et al., 2013; 71 Mi et al., 2015). In addition, the extracellular polymetric substance (EPS), which is a kind 72 of matrix embed within the biofilm, has been suggested to serve as a protector that prevents 73 interferences from outer environment (Fish et al., 2016; Xue et al., 2012). This structure 74 contributes to the resistance ability of biofilm, including the accumulated microorganisms, 75 to the increasing shear stress or disinfectant dose (Fish et al., 2017; Xue et al., 2013). 76 Further studies have suggested that biofilm EPS characteristics are impacted by the hydraulic condition to which the biofilm is exposed (Fish et al., 2016). However, for better 77 78 understanding of the further hazards brought by nitrification in chloraminated DWDS,

research is needed on the relationships between operational effects (hydraulics and
disinfection strategies) on biofilm structure (EPS) and nitrification process.

The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of hydraulic regimes and disinfection strategies (increasing disinfectant dose and chlorine to ammonia nitrogen ratio) on nitrification in chloraminated DWDS, as well as on biofilm EPS structure. Additionally, we aimed to evaluate the change of water quality in association with different experimental conditions and therefore to provide possible suggestions for utilities in securing water safety.

#### **1** Materials and Methods

#### **1.1 Experimental setup and operating conditions**

87 To simulate the drinking water distribution system, a flow cell facility was applied in 88 current study. The details of the design and characteristics for flow cell unit are described in Shi et al. (2019). Before applying different hydraulic regimes and disinfection schemes, 89 90 nitrification was established by introducing dechlorinated tap water with a high 91 concentration of ammonia (50 mg/L NH<sub>3</sub>-N). The operation conditions and monitoring 92 methods of this stage are described in Shi et al. (2019). After a stable nitrification process 93 was developed, the facility was adjusted to investigate different experimental conditions. 94 The schematic diagram is shown on **Fig. 1**. For the research reported here, two test phases 95 were conducted, which included three hydraulic regimes and four disinfection scenarios. 96 Each of the test phases ran for 33 days. The detailed operational conditions are listed in 97 Table 1.

98 The feed water was prepared by firstly adding chlorine (from a stock solution of 500 99 mg/L total chlorine) to dechlorinated water to achieve a final concentration of 1.0/5.0 Cl<sub>2</sub> 100 mg/L. After 24 hr, the water was re-adjusted to the required concentration of chlorine and 101 ammonia (from pure ammonium chloride) was added to maintain a total chlorine to total 102 ammonia nitrogen ratio of 3:1/5:1.

103 The pH, dissolve oxygen (DO) and conductivity within each flow cell unit were 104 monitored every day during the experiment. Water samples were collected regularly from 105 each unit and analysed for total and free chlorine (Cl<sub>2</sub>), nitrite-nitrogen (NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>-N), nitrate-106 nitrogen (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N), free ammonia nitrogen (NH<sub>3</sub>-N), total organic carbon (TOC) and total 107 nitrogen (TN). Heterotrophic plate count (HPC) measurement and chloramine decay tests

#### 108 were conducted twice a week.

#### **1.2 Analytical methods**

#### **1.2.1** Physico-chemical parameter measurement

109 The measurements of pH, DO and conductivity were made using a benchtop meter (SevenExcellence S600) and probes. A HACH portable instrument was used for turbidity 110 111 analysis in this test (HACH DR 900) based on standard method 2130 (APHA, 1998). Total 112 and free chlorine, nitrite nitrogen, nitrate nitrogen and free ammonia nitrogen were 113 measured using a Benchtop Spectrophotometer (DR3900, Hach-Lange) and relevant 114 standard reagent assays (produced by *Hach* Lange). TOC and TN were measured by a TOC 115 analyser (TOC-V<sub>CPH</sub> Shimadzu). For each parameter, three subsamples were collected, and 116 the average was used as the final value.

#### **1.2.2 Bio-parameters**

130

117 HPC was determined by R2A agar plate following the standard method 9215 (APHA

118 1998). The microbiological decay factor  $(F_m)$  evaluates the contribution of microbiology

119 to the overall monochloramine decay in the bulk water, as described by Sathasivan et al.

120 (2005). The method is outlined herein.

121 The water sample was divided into two subsamples. One was unfiltered and the second 122 was filtered through a 0.2  $\mu$ m filter for removal of possible microbiological agents. After 123 filtration, a microbial inhibitor (AgNO<sub>3</sub>) was added to the second subsamples at 100 $\mu$ g-124 Ag/L, to ensure monochloramine decay was caused by chemical means only. The two 125 subsamples were then incubated at a constant temperature of 20°C without light. The 126 monochloramine residual was measured regularly when the total chlorine residual in the 127 unfiltered sample reached 0.5 mg/L.

First-order reaction kinetics is used to describe all decay rates in this method. The integrated form is given by Eq. (1):

 $C_{NH_2Cl} = C_{NH_2Cl,0} exp\left(-k_s t\right) \tag{1}$ 

131 Where  $C_{NH_2Cl,0}$  is the initial monochloramine concentration in mg/L (i.e., at t=0),  $C_{NH_2Cl}$  is the 132 monochloramine concentration in mg/L,  $k_s$  is the first-order decay coefficient of sample S at 20°C, and t is 133 elapsed time in hours.

134 The decay coefficients for unfiltered and filtered (0.2  $\mu$ m) with AgNO<sub>3</sub> sub-sample are 135  $k_{total}$  and  $k_c$  respectively. The difference between chemical decay ( $k_c$ ) and total decay 136 ( $k_{total}$ ) is attributable to microbiological agents including nitrifiers. The difference is 137 defined as the microbial decay coefficient and is denoted as  $k_m$  (Eq. (2)).

 $K_m = k_t - k_c \tag{2}$ 

139  $F_m$  is the ratio of the microbial decay rate coefficient  $(k_m)$  and the chemical decay rate 140 coefficient  $(k_c)$  as shown in equation Eq. (3).

141  $F_m = \frac{k_m}{k_c} \tag{3}$ 

## **1.3 Biofilm EPS extraction and characterisation**

142 To characterise the biofilm EPS, coupons installed in each discrete flow cell (five for each) 143 were collected after the experimental phases 1 and 2. In order to remove the attached 144 biofilm thoroughly, the coupon was immersed into 10mL sterilized phosphate-buffered 145 saline (PBS) and then sonicated in an ultrasonic water bath (Kerry 2593) for 10 mins at 146 approximately 50 Hz. After all the five coupons were washed, the 10mL suspended culture 147 was divided into two subsamples: 9 mL suspension was centrifuged to cell pellets for EPS 148 extraction and quantification; the remaining 1 mL solution was for HPC counting and 149 storage (combined with 20% glycerine).

150 The protocol used within the current study for EPS extraction is as described by Brown 151 and Lester (1980). From the sub-sample collected in the last step, the cell pellets were 152 firstly washed with 0.25 mL PBS and then re-suspended in 1.25 mL PBS. Combined with 153 the 0.25 mL suspension from the washing step, the 1.5 mL suspension was transferred to a 154 clean centrifuge tube and then 1.5 mL of 2% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) in 155 PBS was added. The solution was then sonicated for 30 s (Kerry 2593) and incubated for 156 3 hr at 4°C. After the incubation period, the solution was centrifuged at 20,000×g for 20 157 mins to pellet the cells. The supernatant was then filtered through 0.2  $\mu$ m filters to remove 158 possible microorganisms before EPS evaluation.

Total protein and carbohydrate were the two components from extracted EPS quantified within the current study. The total concentration of protein was determined using the standard Bradford assay (*Sigma* B6916) with bovine serum albumin (BSA) as standard. The total carbohydrate concentration within extracted EPS was measured using a standard phenol-sulphuric acid-based assay kit (*Sigma* MAK104) with glucose (2.0mg/l solution) as standard.

#### **1.4 Statistical Analysis**

165 Statistical analysis were performed using PASW Statistics 18.SPSS. As the water quality 166 parameters were not normally distributed, the Kruskal-Wallis test (for comparison > 2 167 datasets) or Mann-Whitney U test (for 2 datasets, p<0.05 two tailed) were used to identify 168 whether there was difference in parameter concentrations between each operational 169 condition. The correlation between each water quality parameter and operational 170 conditions was determined by calculating the non-parametric Spearman's rank correlation 171 coefficients.

## 2. Results

## 2.1 On-going nitrification responses to changing hydraulics

#### 2.1.1 Water quality

As shown in **Table 2**, the pH varied little for all the flow cells running at different hydraulic regimes. Together with the increase in TOC concentration and HPC number in all flow cell units, the turbidity in every flow cell at each flow rates increased at the end of the tests. As the nitrification process had been established before the tests, the concentration of total nitrogen dropped after the tests in all experimental conditions.

177 The effect of hydraulic regimes on these five water quality parameters was identified 178 based on the Mann-Whitney U test (**Table S1 and S2**). Along with the experiments, there 179 were statistical differences in pH values and TOC concentrations between each hydraulic 180 regime when the total  $Cl_2$  was 5 mg/L. For flow cells fed with water of 1 mg/L total  $Cl_2$  in 181 test phase 2, pH in the flow cell operated at 10 L/min was observed to be different between 182 that in flow cells running at other two flow rates. Changing hydraulic regimes resulted in 183 significant difference in TN levels under several conditions, while there was no statistical 184 difference in HPC observed between any experimental scenario. When the Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub>-N 185 mass ratio was 5:1 in test phase 2, the water was observed to be more turbid in the cell at 186 flow rate of 6 L/min than that in the units at the other two hydraulic regimes under both 187 disinfectant concentrations (Table 2). Further explanations for this observation are given 188 in the discussion section (Sec. 3.3).

189 Analysis of Fm was made for each flow cell unit. From the results shown in **Table 3**, 190 the majority of the Fm values are < 1 and the change pattern during the experiment is 191 different for each scenario. In most cases, the largest value of Fm occurred during the 192 middle stage of the tests (around days 16 ~ 21). However, no significant difference was

193 found in the ratios between different hydraulic regimes (**Table S1 and S2**).

## 194 **2.1.2 Indicators of nitrification**

Figure 2 and Figure 3 show the change in concentrations of free  $Cl_2$ ,  $NH_3$ -N,  $NO_3$ -N and  $NO_2$ -N within flow cell units under different scenarios for each test phase. For total  $Cl_2$  and monochloramine, the monitoring results are provided in **Table S4** and **S5** and the detection limit of total/free chlorine in this study is 0.02 mg/L. As shown in Fig.2 and 3, the disinfectant residual (free  $Cl_2$ ) under most of the operational conditions decreased sharply at the early stage of the experiment and the residual was at low level (<0.1 mg/L) till the end of tests.

No significant difference in free  $Cl_2$  was found between different hydraulic regimes, except when the total chlorine and  $Cl_2/NH_3$ -N ratio were 5 mg/L and 5:1 in the feed water. Under this condition, the concentration of free  $Cl_2$  in cell running at 10 L/min was significantly higher than that at 6 L/min (**Table S1 and S2**).

206 Although nitrification within each flow cell unit was established under the same 207 incubating condition, the change in nitrification episodes (NH<sub>3</sub>-N, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>-N) 208 was not constant under different flow rates during the test phases. Overall, the 209 concentrations of nitrite increased under different hydraulic conditions, but the pattern of 210 changes were different (Fig. 2a, b and Fig. 3a, b). In contrast, the concentration of nitrate-211 nitrogen within flow cell operated at all flow rates showed a declining trend. It should be 212 noted that although the concentration of nitrite-nitrogen in flow cell operating at the flow 213 rate of 10 L/min (**Fig. 3c, f**) was maintained at a relative low level during the first 20 days 214 of the test phase 2, the concentration increased sharply at the later stage of experiment.

215 Compared with phase 1, hydraulic regimes showed more impact on nitrification related 216 parameters in test phase 2. As the concentration of NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>-N is commonly applied as an indicator of nitrification, a boxplot was made to present the data of NO2-N for each 217 218 experiment scenario (Fig. 4) and to evaluate hydraulic condition effects on the nitrification 219 process. Based also on the results from Mann-Whitney U test (Table S1 and S2), the 220 concentration of NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>-N was found to be statistically higher in flow cells running at the 221 flow rate of 6 L/min in test phase 2 (Fig. 4). In comparison, the hydraulic regimes did not 222 show similar impacts on the production of nitrite in test phase 1.

## 223 **2.2 Response to different disinfection strategies**

## 224 **2.2.1 Water quality response**

Where the Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub>-N ratio was 5:1, more significant water quality differences were found between the different hydraulic regimes (**Table 2**). The concentration of disinfectant dose in feed water affected the pH and TOC in flow cells operated at the flow rate of 2 and 6 L/min (**Table S1 and S3**). Under these two hydraulic regimes, pH was lower in flow cell fed with higher concentration of disinfectant, while the TOC was higher when an increasing disinfectant dose was applied. Different disinfection scenarios did not show effect on HPC (**Table 2**) and the microbiological decay factor (**Table 3**).

## 232 **2.2.2 On-going nitrification evaluation**

At the end of the two test phases, disinfectant residual declined to a quite low level (<0.1 233 234 mg/L) under all disinfection scenarios. In contrast to rapid declines in free chlorine within 235 all flow cells at the beginning of the experiment in test phase 1, the concentration of free 236 chlorine in flow cells running at 2 L/min and 10 L/min could be maintained at a reasonable 237 level (around 1 mg/L) during the first 20 days in test phase 2 (Fig. 3d, f). Throughout the 238 same period in test phase 2, less nitrite accumulated and ammonia loss were observed in 239 flow cells operated at 2 L/min and 10 L/min as well. Compared with different disinfectant 240 dose concentrations, greater reduction in nitrate (average loss of 85%) was observed when 241 the total chlorine was 5 mg/L in feed water (Fig. 2 and 3).

As shown in **Fig. 4**, the disinfectant dose did affect the production of nitrite under several hydraulic conditions (i.e. 6 L/min and 10 L/min). However, this impact did not show a constant effect under different  $Cl_2/NH_3$ -N levels. When the ratio was 3:1, nitrite accumulation was promoted in flow the cell fed with a lower concentration of disinfectant. An opposite trend was observed in test phase 2, where the ratio was 5:1 in feed water (**Fig. 4**).

## 248 2.3 Assessment of biofilm EPS structure

**Figure 5** shows the extracellular carbohydrate and protein concentrations of the isolated biofilm. To compare their properties, concentration is expressed as µg per total organic carbon (mg) detected within the samples. Within the isolated biofilm, carbohydrate was the dominant component and varied under different operational conditions. The peak value of extracellular carbohydrate was obtained from biofilm isolated from flow cell with flow rate at 6L/min when the total chlorine in feed water was 1 mg/L. However, when the concentration of monochloramine was 5mg/L in both test phases, the carbohydrate concentration was found to increase with increasing hydraulic regimes.

257 Table 4 shows the details of total extracellular protein and carbohydrate content 258 produced by cell mass, and the ratio of total EPS mass (calculated by adding protein and 259 carbohydrate together) and cell numbers. The ratio of carbohydrate to protein in sampled 260 biofilm fluctuated with operational conditions (from 2.73 to 16.07). The EPS production 261 ability of isolated biofilm was different between different experimental scenarios. There 262 was a decease (around one order of magnitude) in the EPS-to-cell ratio when the biofilm 263 was isolated from flow cell units operated in test phase 2, where the Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub>-N ratio was 264 5:1.

## 265 **2.4 Correlations between water quality parameters**

As shown in **Fig. 4**, nitrification was observed in each experimental scenario of this study. In order to identify whether there are correlations between the water quality parameters, and therefore give possible suggestion for monitoring nitrification, a non-parametric correlation analysis was performed. **Figure 6** provides the results of the analysis, which include the Spearman correlation coefficients and the statistical significance for each correlation for the two test phases.

Correlations were identified between most of the selected parameters in the two test phases. As can be seen in **Fig. 6**,  $NO_2^--N$ , turbidity and TOC were positively correlated with each other (p<0.05).  $NO_2^--N$  were observed to be negative correlated with  $NO_3^--N$ , NH<sub>3</sub>-N and TN (p<0.01).

The correlations between  $NO_2^{-}-N$  and free  $Cl_2$  were not clear. No statistical correlation was identified in test phase 1, and was found to be negative in test phase 2 (p<0.01). In addition, NH<sub>3</sub>-N was strongly positively correlated with TN (p<0.01), and there were positive correlations between  $NO_3^{-}-N$  and  $NH_3-N$  and TN within these two test phases.

For microbial parameters, HPC or microbial decay factor (*Fm*) was not correlated with any water quality parameters measured within this study.

282 **3. Discussion** 

**3.1 Hydraulic impacts upon nitrification** 

284 In this study, three hydraulic regimes were investigated for their impacts on nitrification 285 within chloraminated flow cell units, which had been incubated with biofilm and had 286 nitrification established before tests were conducted. Although the same incubation 287 conditions were controlled in different flow cell units before testing, significant differences 288 in water quality parameters were found between the cells running at different hydraulic 289 regimes during the test phases (**Table 2**). Since biofilm had been established before testing 290 and the flow rate was the only controlling factor within the single test phase, the hydraulics 291 could be one of the key factors that contributed to the difference in water quality. In 292 addition, since nitrification had been established before testing and significant difference 293 in nitrite concentration was observed after having flow cells operating at different hydraulic 294 conditions during the test phases, the difference in water quality could also be a result of 295 the hydraulic impacts on the nitrification process.

Hydraulic condition is considered to be an influencing factor due to its impact on mass 296 297 transfer to biofilm, including nutrients, disinfectants, oxygen and microorganisms (Beer et 298 al., 1996; Beyenal and Lewandowski, 2002; Vieira et al., 1993), and also on biofilm 299 density, composition and structural characteristics (Abe et al., 2012; Purevdorj et al., 2002). 300 Both increased mass penetration to biofilm and greater bacterial density were observed 301 within *Pseudomoas fluorescens* culture when incubated with increasing flow velocity 302 (Vieira et al., 1993). Similarly, Lehtola et al. (2006) observed that within pilot distribution 303 system biofilm formation was favoured by increased flow velocity and accompanied with 304 increasing consumption of nutrients.

305 In the current study, nitrification was observed and as nitrification is a microbial 306 process, the density and activity of both the nitrifiers and heterotrophic bacteria within the 307 biofilm was considered to affect this process. If the theory described above was true, 308 nitrification would be promoted under higher flow rates due to the potential increases in 309 density of nitrifying bacteria in the biofilm. However, as the most common indicator of 310 nitrification, the accumulation of nitrite was observed to be promoted in flow cells running 311 at 6 L/min (Fig. 4). In other words, nitrification was suggested to be more severe when the 312 flow rate was 6 L/min, than that in flow cells operating with other two flow rates. In 313 addition, nitrification was found to be inhibited to some degree at the beginning of the test 314 in units running at the flow rate of 10 L/min (Fig. 2 and 3). This might be explained by the 315 fact that the increasing flow rate not only promotes the nutrient diffusion (especially 316 ammonia for nitrification) into the biofilm, but it also increases the detachment of biofilm 317 to bulk water and hence reduces the available nitrifiers that participated in the nitrification 318 process. The impact of increasing flow velocity on biofilm removal from surface has been 319 observed by Lehtola et al. (2006) and Sekar et al. (2012), who both found a positive 320 correlation between flow velocity and planktonic cell counts in bulk water. To overcome 321 the detachment force, biofilm tends to respond with an increasing cohesive strength (Paul 322 et al., 2012) and higher microbial growth rates (Dreszer et al., 2013; Liu and Tay, 2001). 323 However, researches also observed that the bacterial growth rate in biofilm tended to increase within a certain flow range but began to decrease when the excessive shear forces 324 325 would destroy the biofilm structure (Tsai, 2005; Wang et al., 2013). This is supported by 326 current observation that the most possible favourable hydraulic condition for nitrification 327 was the flow rate of 6 L/min. At this hydraulic condition (6 L/min , Re = 3321), the flow 328 turbulence was under the transition stage from laminar to turbulent and the biofilm/nitrifier 329 growth could take full advantage of the increasing mass transfer by flow, while their 330 detachment rate was lower than the growth rate.

331 It has been suggested that biofilm EPS structure and composition are highly affected by 332 the environment in which the biofilm is incubated, and the bacterial communities presented 333 (Ahimou et al., 2007; Simoes et al., 2007). In order to investigate whether the difference 334 in nitrification potential between different hydraulic conditions was caused by hydraulics 335 impact on biofilm structure, the characteristics of biofilm EPS were evaluated within the 336 current study. Carbohydrate was found to be the dominant component of all biofilms from 337 flow cell units (Fig. 5), as has also been reported in other studies (Kilb et al., 2003). The 338 carbohydrate/protein mass ratios of biofilm's EPS were all above 1 (Table 4) and the value 339 varied with different operational conditions. In most cases, without considering the 340 disinfectant concentration and Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub>-N mass ratio, a higher proportion of carbohydrate 341 was observed in biofilm incubated at flow rate of 6 L/min, suggesting that carbohydrate 342 synthesis was promoted (Table 4). In combination with the observation that nitrite 343 production was promoted when flow rate was 6 L/min, it is suggested that biofilm EPS 344 composed of more carbohydrate would be conductive to nitrification. EPS carbohydrate 345 concentration was reported to be positively correlated with the biofilm cohesive energy ( $R^2$  346 = 0.9) (Ahimou et al., 2007). A stable biofilm structure would bring benefit to the
347 aggregated bacteria to overcome outer interference and hence to promote biological related
348 activity.

## 349 **3.2 Disinfection strategies effects**

350 Monochloramine was produced by the combination of free chlorine and ammonia at a mass 351 ratio of 3:1 or 5:1. A 5 to 1 mass ratio would achieve the maximum formation of 352 monochloramine without free ammonia left, while the 3 to 1 mass ratio would ensure 353 monochloramine to be the dominant form, but will result in an excess of free ammonia in 354 the feed water (Fleming et al., 2005, 2008). Due to the nitrifying biofilm been established 355 during the incubation stage within the current study, the higher Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub> mass ratio was 356 supposed to control nitrification due to the limited amount of free ammonia available 357 (Fleming et al., 2005, 2008). In terms of inhibiting nitrification, neither of these two ratios 358 could control the process continually, and this is in agreement with an industry survey 359 made by Wilczak et al. (1996). However, when considering nitrite data in conjunction with 360 the free ammonia nitrogen data (Fig. 2 and 3), it was noted that at the beginning of test 361 phase 1 ammonia level dropped after a corresponding increase in nitrite concentration, but 362 a converse observation was found in test phase 2. The difference is suggested to be caused 363 by whether free ammonia is the major form of total ammonia within water. When the 364  $Cl_2/NH_3-N$  mass ratio was 3:1, the extra free ammonia in bulk water would firstly be 365 consumed if nitrification process was on-going, and hence a decrease of free ammonia 366 concentration would be observed. In contrast, due to no free ammonia existing when the 367 Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub>-N mass ratio was 5:1, free ammonia would be released from monochloramine 368 either by auto-decomposition or reactions between organic or inorganic species (Valentine 369 1998). Once nitrification occurred, the release of free ammonia would be promoted, and 370 its concentration would increase if the consumption rate was less than the production. 371 Although the initial increase of ammonia before nitrification was reported in previous 372 studies (Liu et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2008), no explicit discussion on this has been 373 provided. From the results of current study, the Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub>-N mass ratio is a factor that should 374 be considered, especially for utilities using ammonia as nitrification indicator.

When the total  $Cl_2$  maintained in feed water was 1 mg/L within these two test phases, the free  $Cl_2$  dropped significantly (close to 0.1 mg/L) at the beginning of tests and remained 377 consistently low (<0.05 mg/L) in all conditions. Considering nitrifying biofilm had been 378 established before the tests, the rapid loss of disinfectant is suggested to be the result of 379 reactions involving nitrifiers and heterotrophic bacteria. In addition, the uninhibited 380 nitrification would further increase the decay rate of chloramine (Cunliffe, 1991) and hence 381 result in a continuous low level of chloramine. The concentration of total chlorine in feed 382 water was changed to 5 mg/L for investigating whether nitrification could be controlled by 383 higher disinfectant dose. Liu et al. (2005) suggested that nitrification rarely occurred when disinfectant residual was above 1 mg/L and Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub>-N mass ratio was greater than 5. 384 385 However, in the current study though nitrification has been inhibited for a period within 386 some instances, especially when the Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub>-N mass ratio was 5 (Fig. 3d and f), an 387 increase of nitrite was monitored after the drop of chloramine residuals. Once nitrification 388 occurred, the produced nitrite further accelerated the decay of chloramine and the 389 disinfectant residual decreased to a low level. This could be explained by the high 390 resistance ability of nitrifiers to disinfectant, which was observed to survive in the system 391 with more than 5 mg/L monochloramine dose (Cunliffe, 1991). Furthermore, in flow cells 392 running at the flow rate 6 L/min, the chlorine residual declined extremely fast at the 393 beginning of the test and the nitrification process could not be controlled at all (Fig. 3b). 394 This observation suggested that hydraulic regime could be another factor inhibiting the 395 disinfection efficiency, as the nitrite production rate is high enough to consume chloramine 396 and hence accelerate disinfectant decay under a specific range of hydraulic conditions 397 (Eq.(4) and (5)). The results in the current study showed that increasing chloramine amount 398 is an inefficient control method, and this has also been reported in full-scaled utilities 399 experiencing nitrification, where disinfectant residuals could not be regained easily once 400 lost and the activity of nitrifying bacteria was observed to increase simultaneously (Odell 401 et al., 1996). The difficulty in controlling on-going nitrification was emphasized in the 402 current study and a long-term efficient management method is urgently required.

403 404

$$NH_3 + O_2 \rightarrow NO_2^- + 3H^+ + 2e^-$$
 (4)

$$\mathrm{NH}_{2}\mathrm{Cl} + \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} + \mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O} \longrightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{3} + \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-} + HCl$$

$$\tag{5}$$

405 On the other hand, the disinfectant strategy might influence biofilm biomass and EPS 406 per cell in terms of bacterial activity and EPS availability. A higher concentration of 407 disinfectant was hypothesized to have better disinfectant efficiency for controlling biomass 408 within biofilm. However, from **Table 4**, in test phase 2, the biomass in biofilm conditioned 409 by higher concentration of chloramine (5 mg/L) was greater than that in biofilm from flow 410 cells fed with lower disinfectant (1 mg/L), while a reverse relationship was observed for 411 EPS per cell. One of the functions of EPS is to protect biofilm against environmental stress 412 (Weiner et al., 1995). Xue et al. (2013) suggested two mechanisms of EPS protection role 413 on bacteria inactivity by both chlorine and chloramine. The authors suggested EPS might 414 work as either disinfectant consumer (for chlorine inactivation) or limiter that prevents the 415 access of chloramine to the cell membrane (Xue et al., 2013). In the current study, since 416 nitrification was observed and this process would accelerate the decomposition of 417 chloramine to free chlorine and ammonia (Oldenburg et al., 2002), hence the disinfectant 418 affecting biofilm might be the combination of chloramine and chlorine. If the mechanisms 419 described above are true, then the increased EPS produced would consume the free chlorine 420 and also work as protector to increase biofilm resistance ability to chloramine. Once the 421 available disinfectant residual was consumed by EPS, nitrifiers within biofilm could utilize 422 free ammonia to create nitrite. This partly explains why the concentration of nitrite 423 increased when free chlorine dropped to a low level (Fig. 3c and f).

## 424 **3.3** Water quality parameters related to nitrification

To verify the observation discussed above, other water quality parameters related to 425 426 nitrification potential were evaluated. Previous studies suggested parameters including pH, 427 turbidity, disinfectant residual, NH<sub>3</sub>-N, NO<sub>3</sub>-N, TOC and HPC were related to nitrification 428 process (Liu et al., 2005; Odell et al., 1996; Wilczak et al., 1996; Wolfe and Lieu, 2003; 429 Yang et al., 2008). Within this study, parameters including TOC, turbidity, NH<sub>3</sub>-N, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-430 N and TN were observed to have correlation with nitrite production (**Fig. 6**). The organic 431 carbon within drinking water system was suggested to be an indirect stimulating factor in 432 terms of nitrifying bacteria growth, as it could react with chloramine and further reduce the 433 inactivation of nitrifier and support the formation of biofilm (Kirmeyer et al., 1995; Zhang 434 et al., 2010). Based on the water quality data from feed water for the current experiment 435 (**Table S3**), the TOC within source water was around  $1 \sim 2 \text{ mg/L}$ . During the tests, there 436 was an increase of organic carbon in bulk water under all operational conditions (Table 2). 437 The source of increasing organic carbon in drinking water system was thought to be the 438 result of increasing HPC in water (**Table 2**), and also the release of microbial metabolism 439 materials (Noguera et al., 2009; Wolfe et al., 1990; Yang et al., 2008). Similar to the 440 correlation analysis results within the current study, positive correlation between nitrifying 441 bacteria and TOC level was observed as suggested by Zhang et al. (2010), who fed a 442 simulated drinking water system with high/low TOC chloraminated water and the results 443 indicated that nitrification was promoted under higher concentration of TOC. Therefore, 444 the level of TOC in bulk water might be used as an indicator of nitrification potential.

445 Turbidity is a water quality indicator, which is caused by the presence of suspended 446 materials, such as clay, silt, organic or inorganic matter, plankton and other microscopic 447 organisms (McCoy and Olson, 1986). This was verified from the observation that a 448 significant positive correlation existed between TOC and turbidity in the current study (Fig. 449 6). In addition, turbidity was suggested to be an indicator of nitrification as well. Lipponen 450 et al. (2002) reported an increase of turbidity associated with increasing number of 451 nitrifying bacteria in an investigation within 15 chloraminated DWDSs. Although the 452 nitrifying bacteria was not measured within the current study, strong positive correlation 453 between nitrite and turbidity was found (Fig. 6). Together with the observation of the 454 change pattern of nitrite, TOC and turbidity in flow cell units running at different flow 455 rates, these two parameters followed similar trend between different hydraulic conditions 456 (Fig. S1 and S2). This was different from previous study, which observed increasing 457 turbidity was associated with the detachment of materials from pipe surface caused by 458 increased flow velocity (or shear stress) (Husband et al., 2008). This difference might 459 provide further evidence that the water quality within the current study was mostly affected 460 by hydraulic impacts on nitrification process, rather than directly by the hydraulics itself.

461 Microbial decay factor (Fm ratio) was firstly introduced by Sathasivan et al. (2005), 462 who suggested this factor could indicate the presence of AOB activity by determining 463 microbial contribution to total chloramine decay (Sathasivan et al., 2008). Based on this, 464 an increase of Fm ratio would be observed to be associated with the occurrence of 465 nitrification, and its value could reflect the nitrification potential to some extent. Within 466 the current study, similar to the results from a batch test made by Sawade et al. (2016), the 467 increases of Fm ratio was monitored in some cases where nitrite concentration increased 468 (Table 3), however, no significant correlation was found between its value and nitrite (Fig. 469 **6**). In addition, low value of Fm (< 0.1) was observed in cell units with severe nitrification,

470 suggesting that this factor might not be an effective tool to predict nitrification. Considering 471 the mechanism of this method, which assumed AOB activity was the main microbiological 472 cause of chloramine decay, the results obtained in the current study do not seem to be in 473 agreement with the suggested mechanism. The low value of *Fm* ratio in conditions with 474 severe nitrification (nitrite > 0.05 mg/L) might have resulted from high concentration of 475 soluble microbial products remaining within the water sample (Krishna et al., 2012), and 476 oxidation reactions between chloramine and nitrite (Krishna and Sathasivan, 2010).

## 477 **3.4 Suggestions for controlling nitrification**

478 Combined with the discussion above, the hydraulics was supposed to have an impact on 479 nitrification, but the influence could not be explained by simple linear relationship. 480 Nitrification will be more severe when the potential for promoting it from hydraulic to 481 nitrifying bacteria growth within biofilm was greater than the detachment force brought by 482 increasing shear force. To better understand the phenomenon, the abundance of nitrifying 483 bacteria is suggested to be monitored along the test in further research. Based on the results 484 from this study, the utilities are suggested to pay more attention to maintaining the 485 disinfectant residuals within the systems when the flow turbulence (Re number) is around 486 3300, in which case the nitrification is to be more likely to occur and become severe.

Although nitrification was observed under all operational conditions within the current 487 488 study, increasing the flow rate to turbulent conditions and increasing the disinfectant dose 489 concentration with high Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub>-N mass ratio (5:1) simultaneously within chloraminated 490 DWDSs could still be considered as a joint method for controlling nitrification. Lower flow 491 rate was not proposed (i.e. 2 L/min) for the reason that other water quality problems could 492 be associated with increase of hydraulic retention time (Machell et al., 2009; Tinker et al., 493 2009), although the level of nitrite produced under the lower flow rate was relatively low 494 based on the current results. The failure to inhibit nitrification for a long-term in flow cells 495 operated with flow rate of 10 L/min and fed with 5 mg/L monochloramine within the current study was thought to be due to the long water age (3 days), which would increase 496 497 the auto-decomposition of disinfectant. This water age was chosen for the purpose of 498 magnifying the physico-chemical changes of water quality under different operational 499 conditions. To further verify the proposed management method, shorter water age is 500 required to minimize the decline in disinfectant residual caused by the extended residence 501 time (Machell et al., 2009). In addition, as turbulent flow (flow rate = 10 L/min, Re = 5535) 502 was suggested to inhibit nitrification process to some degree within the current 503 experimental facility, this fluid condition could be considered for reducing biologically 504 mediated monochloramine during the maintenance phase in real systems.

505 **4. Conclusion** 

506 This study provided new information on the effect from hydraulic regimes and disinfection 507 strategies on nitrification process within chloraminated DWDS. The findings highlight the 508 difficulty in controlling nitrification, but also provide information for water utilities to 509 propose possible nitrification control methods. The outcomes of this study are summarized 510 below:

• Hydraulic effects on the nitrification process and nitrite accumulation were observed and these processes were promoted when the flow rate was 6 L/min, and where the fluid transforms from the laminar to the turbulent flows.

• Increasing Cl<sub>2</sub>/NH<sub>3</sub>-N mass ratio was not considered as an effective nitrification 515 control strategy. The different responses of ammonia to the change of nitrite observed 516 between these two ratios (3:1 and 5:1) might explain why the changing pattern of ammonia 517 varied in different utilities before nitrification.

• Increasing total chlorine concentration was found to inhibit nitrification for a short period in some cases, while it was completely inefficient in the cell running at the flow rate of 6 L/min. Combined with the less severe nitrification that was observed in the flow cells operated at higher flow rate (10L/min), a joint action is suggested to control nitrification by increasing both flow turbulence and chloramine concentration within DWDS with short water age.

• Water quality parameters including TOC, turbidity, NH<sub>3</sub>-N, nitrate and TN were found to be related to nitrification process. Specifically, except monitoring the change of nitrite, the variation of TOC and turbidity is suggested to be potential effective to evaluate nitrification extent also.

• Carbohydrate was the dominant composition of the biofilm EPS in this study and the carbohydrate/protein ratio was found to be higher in biofilm extracted from flow cell units experiencing more severe nitrification. This suggests biofilm with stronger cohesive ability would be conductive to nitrification. • More biomass, but less EPS per cell was observed in biofilm conditioned under higher concentration of disinfectant dose (5 mg/L), suggesting the disinfection resistant ability of EPS to outer interference would be one of the factors that result in the difficulty to inhibit nitrification within DWDS.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the technical support from the technicians at the School of Engineering, Cardiff University. We would also want to thank Dr. Gordon Webster for assisting on EPS analysis.

## Appendix A: Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at XXXX.

## Reference

- Abe, Y, Skali-Lami, S, Block, J-C, Francius, G, 2012. Cohesiveness and hydrodynamic properties of young drinking water biofilms. Water Research 46:1155-1166.
- Ahimou, F, Semmens, M J, Haugstad, G, Novak, P J, 2007. Effect of protein, polysaccharide, and oxygen concentration profiles on biofilm cohesiveness. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 73:2905-2910.
- APHA, 1998. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 20th ed Am. Public Health Assoc, Washington, DC.
- Beer, D D, Stoodley, P, Lewandowski, Z, 1996. Liquid flow and mass transport in heterogeneous biofilms. Water research 30:2761-2765.
- Beyenal, H, Lewandowski, Z, 2002. Internal and external mass transfer in biofilms grown at various flow velocities. Biotechnology progress 18:55-61.
- Brown, M J, Lester, J N, 1980. Comparison of bacterial extracellular polymer extraction methods. Appl. Environ. Microbiol 40:179-185.
- Cunliffe, D A, 1991. Bacterial nitrification in chloraminated water supplies. Appl. Environ. Microbiol 57:3399-3402.

- Douterelo, I, Sharpe, R, Boxall, J, 2013. Influence of hydraulic regimes on bacterial community structure and composition in an experimental drinking water distribution system. Water Research 47:503-516.
- Dreszer, C, Vrouwenvelder, J S, Paulitsch-Fuchs, A H, Zwijnenburg, A, Kruithof, J C, Flemming, H C, 2013. Hydraulic resistance of biofilms. Journal of Membrane Science 429:436-447.
- Fish, K, Osborn, A, Boxall, J, 2016. Characterising and Understanding the Impact of Microbial Biofilms and the Extracellular Polymeric Substance (EPS) Matrix in Drinking Water Distribution Systems.
- Fish, K, Osborn, A, Boxall, J, 2017. Biofilm structures (EPS and bacterial communities) in drinking water distribution systems are conditioned by hydraulics and influence discolouration. Science of the Total Environment 593:571-580.
- Fleming, K K, Harrington, G W, Noguera, D R, 2005. Nitrification potential curves: a new strategy for nitrification prevention. American Water Works Association 97:90-99.
- Fleming, K K, Harrington, G W, Noguera, D R, 2008. Using nitrification potential curves to evaluate full-scale drinking water distribution systems. American Water Works Association 100:92.
- Furumai, H, Rittmann, B, 1994. Evaluation of multiple-species biofilm and floc processes using a simplified aggregate model. Water Science Technology 29:439.
- Gagnon, G, O'Leary, K, Volk, C, Chauret, C, Stover, L, Andrews, R, 2004. Comparative analysis of chlorine dioxide, free chlorine and chloramines on bacterial water quality in model distribution systems. Journal of environmental engineering 130:1269-1279.
- Hoefel, D, Monis, P T, Grooby, W L, Andrews, S, Saint, C P, 2005. Culture-independent techniques for rapid detection of bacteria associated with loss of chloramine residual in a drinking water system. Appl. Environ. Microbiol 71:6479-6488.
- Husband, P, Boxall, J, Saul, A, 2008. Laboratory studies investigating the processes leading to discolouration in water distribution networks. Water Research 42:4309-4318.
- Kilb, B, Lange, B, Schaule, G, Flemming, H-C, Wingender, J, 2003. Contamination of drinking water by coliforms from biofilms grown on rubber-coated valves. International Journal of Hygiene environmental Health 206:563-573.

- Kirmeyer, G J, Odell, L H, Jacangelo, J, Wilczak, A, Wolfe, R, 1995. Nitrification occurrence and control in chloraminated water systems. AWWA Res. Fdn., Denver.
- Krishna, K B, Sathasivan, A, 2010. Does an unknown mechanism accelerate chemical chloramine decay in nitrifying waters? Journal-American Water Works Association 102:82-90.
- Krishna, K B, Sathasivan, A, Sarker, D C, 2012. Evidence of soluble microbial products accelerating chloramine decay in nitrifying bulk water samples. Water Research 46:3977-3988.
- LeChevallier, M W, Lowry, C D, Lee, R G, 1990. Disinfecting biofilms in a model distribution system. American Water Works Association 82:87-99.
- Lehtola, M J, Laxander, M, Miettinen, I T, Hirvonen, A, Vartiainen, T, Martikainen, P J, 2006. The effects of changing water flow velocity on the formation of biofilms and water quality in pilot distribution system consisting of copper or polyethylene pipes. Water research 40:2151-2160.
- Lieu, N I, Wolfe, R L, III, E G M, 1993. Optimizing chloramine disinfection for the control of nitrification. American Water Works Association 85:84-90.
- Lipponen, M T, Suutari, M H, Martikainen, P J, 2002. Occurrence of nitrifying bacteria and nitrification in Finnish drinking water distribution systems. Water Research 36:4319-4329.
- Liu, S, Taylor, J S, Randall, A, Dietz, J D, 2005. Nitrification modeling in chloraminated distribution systems. American Water Works Association 97:98-108.
- Liu, Y, Tay, J H, 2001. Metabolic response of biofilm to shear stress in fixed-film culture. Journal of Applied Microbiology 90:337-342.
- Machell, J, Boxall, J, Saul, A, Bramley, D, 2009. Improved representation of water age in distribution networks to inform water quality. Journal of Water Resources Planning Management 135:382-391.
- McCoy, W F, Olson, B H, 1986. Relationship among turbidity, particle counts and bacteriological quality within water distribution lines. Water Research 20:1023-1029.
- Mi, Z, Dai, Y, Xie, S, Chen, C, Zhang, X, 2015. Impact of disinfection on drinking water biofilm bacterial community. Journal of Environmental Sciences 37:200-205.

- Noguera, D, Goel, R, Harrington, G, Yilmaz, L, 2009. Identification of Heterotrophic Bacteria that Colonize Chloraminated Crinking Water Distribution Systems. Water Environment Research Foundation.
- Norton, C D, LeChevallier, M W, 1997. Chloramination: its effect on distribution system water quality. American Water Works Association 89:66-77.
- Odell, L H, Kirmeyer, G J, Wilczak, A, Jacangelo, J G, Marcinko, J P, Wolfe, R L, 1996. Controlling nitrification in chloraminated systems. American Water Works Association 88:86-98.
- Oldenburg, P S, Regan, J M, Harrington, G W, Noguera, D R, 2002. Kinetics of Nitrosomonas europaea inactivation by chloramine. American Water Works Association 94:100-110.
- Paul, E, Ochoa, J C, Pechaud, Y, Liu, Y, Liné, A, 2012. Effect of shear stress and growth conditions on detachment and physical properties of biofilms. Water Research 46:5499-5508.
- Pintar, K D, Slawson, R M, 2003. Effect of temperature and disinfection strategies on ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in a bench-scale drinking water distribution system. Water Res 37:1805-1817.
- Purevdorj, B, Costerton, J W, Stoodley, P, 2002. Influence of hydrodynamics and cell signaling on the structure and behavior of Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilms. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68:4457-4464.
- Sathasivan, A, Fisher, I, Kastl, G, 2005. Simple method for quantifying microbiologically assisted chloramine decay in drinking water. Environ Sci Technol 39:5407-5413.
- Sathasivan, A, Fisher, I, Tam, T, 2008. Onset of severe nitrification in mildly nitrifying chloraminated bulk waters and its relation to biostability. Water Res 42:3623-3632.
- Sawade, E, Monis, P, Cook, D, Drikas, M, 2016. Is nitrification the only cause of microbiologically induced chloramine decay? Water Res 88:904-911.
- Seidel, C J, McGuire, M J, Summers, R S, Via, S, 2005. Have utilities switched to chloramines? American Water Works Association 97:87-97.
- Sekar, R, Deines, P, Machell, J, Osborn, A, Biggs, C, Boxall, J, 2012. Bacterial water quality and network hydraulic characteristics: a field study of a small, looped water

distribution system using culture-independent molecular methods. Journal of Applied Microbiology 112:1220-1234.

- Shi, Y, Babatunde, A, Bockelmann-Evans, B, Webster, G, 2019. Influence of hydraulic regimes and Cl 2/NH 3-N mass ratios on the bacterial structure and composition in an experimental flow cell chloraminated drinking water system. Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology 5:977-992.
- Simoes, M, Pereira, M O, Sillankorva, S, Azeredo, J, Vieira, M J, 2007. The effect of hydrodynamic conditions on the phenotype of Pseudomonas fluorescens biofilms. Biofouling 23:249-258.
- Skadsen, J, Cohen, Y K, 2006. Operational and treatment practices to prevent nitrification. Manual of water supply practices M 56.
- Tarre, S, Green, M, 2004. High-rate nitrification at low pH in suspended-and attachedbiomass reactors. Appl. Environ. Microbiol 70:6481-6487.
- Tinker, S C, Moe, C L, Klein, M, Flanders, W D, Uber, J, Amirtharajah, A, Singer, P, Tolbert, P E, 2009. Drinking water residence time in distribution networks and emergency department visits for gastrointestinal illness in Metro Atlanta, Georgia. Journal of Water Health 7:332-343.
- Tsai, Y-P, 2005. Impact of flow velocity on the dynamic behaviour of biofilm bacteria. Biofouling 21:267-277.
- Vieira, M, Oliveira, R, Melo, L, Pinheiro, M M, Martins, V, 1993. Effect of metallic ions on the adhesion of biofilms formed by Pseudomonas fluorescens. Colloids Surfaces B: Biointerfaces 1:119-124.
- Volk, C J, LeChevallier, M W, 1999. Impacts of the reduction of nutrient levels on bacterial water quality in distribution systems. Appl. Environ. Microbiol 65:4957-4966.
- Wang, C, Miao, L, Hou, J, Wang, P, Qian, J, Dai, S, 2013. The effect of flow velocity on the distribution and composition of extracellular polymeric substances in biofilms and the detachment mechanism of biofilms. Water Science and Technology 69:825-832.
- Weiner, R, Langille, S, Quintero, E, 1995. Structure, function and immunochemistry of bacterial exopolysaccharides. Journal of industrial microbiology 15:339-346.

- Wilczak, A, Jacangelo, J G, Marcinko, J P, Odell, L H, Kirmeyer, G J, 1996. Occurrence of nitrification in chloraminated distribution systems. American Water Works Association 88:74-85.
- Wolfe, R L, Lieu, N I, 2001. Nitrifying bacteria in drinking water. Encyclopedia of Environmental Microbiology.
- Wolfe, R L, Lieu, N I, 2003. Nitrifying bacteria in drinking water. Encyclopedia of Environmental Microbiology.
- Wolfe, R L, Lieu, N I, Izaguirre, G, Means, E G, 1990. Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in a chloraminated distribution system: seasonal occurrence, distribution and disinfection resistance. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 56:451-462.
- Xue, Z, Hessler, C M, Panmanee, W, Hassett, D J, Seo, Y, 2013. Pseudomonas aeruginosa inactivation mechanism is affected by capsular extracellular polymeric substances reactivity with chlorine and monochloramine. FEMS microbiology ecology 83:101-111.
- Xue, Z, Sendamangalam, V R, Gruden, C L, Seo, Y, 2012. Multiple roles of extracellular polymeric substances on resistance of biofilm and detached clusters. Environ Sci Technol 46:13212-13219.
- Yang, J, Harrington, G W, Noguera, D R, 2008. Nitrification modeling in pilot-scale chloraminated drinking water distribution systems. Journal of Environmental Engineering 134:731-742.
- Zhang, Y, Edwards, M, 2009. Accelerated chloramine decay and microbial growth by nitrification in premise plumbing. American Water Works Association 101:51-62.
- Zhang, Y, Griffin, A, Edwards, M, 2008. Nitrification in premise plumbing: role of phosphate, pH and pipe corrosion. Environmental science & technology 42:4280-4284.
- Zhang, Y, Griffin, A, Rahman, M, Camper, A, Baribeau, H, Edwards, M, 2009a. Lead contamination of potable water due to nitrification. Environmental science & technology 43:1890-1895.
- Zhang, Y, Love, N, Edwards, M, 2009b. Nitrification in drinking water systems. Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology 39:153-208.

Zhang, Y, Zhou, L, Zeng, G, Deng, H, Li, G, 2010. Impact of total organic carbon and chlorine to ammonia ratio on nitrification in a bench-scale drinking water distribution system. Frontiers of Environmental Science Engineering in China 4:430-437.

## List of tables

| Parameters controlled                          | Cell 1     | Cell 2 | Cell 3 | Cell 4 | Cell 5 | Cell 6 |
|--|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|  | Test phase |        |        |        |        |        |
| Water age (days)                               | 3          | 3      | 3      | 3      | 3      | 3      |
| Cl <sub>2</sub> dose mg/L                      | 1          | 1      | 1      | 5      | 5      | 5      |
| Cl <sub>2</sub> :NH <sub>3</sub> -N mass ratio | 3:1        | 3:1    | 3:1    | 3:1    | 3:1    | 3:1    |
| Flow rate (L/min)                              | 2          | 6      | 10     | 2      | 6      | 10     |
| Shear stress (N/m <sup>2</sup> )               | 0.018      | 0.117  | 0.286  | 0.018  | 0.117  | 0.286  |
| Reynolds number (Re)                           | 1107       | 3321   | 5535   | 1107   | 3321   | 5535   |
| Test code                                      | 2A_T1      | 6A_T1  | 10A_T1 | 2B_T1  | 6B_T1  | 10B_T1 |
|  | Test phase | e 2    |        |        |        |        |
| Water age (days)                               | 3          | 3      | 3      | 3      | 3      | 3      |
| Cl <sub>2</sub> dose mg/L                      | 1          | 1      | 1      | 5      | 5      | 5      |
| Cl <sub>2</sub> :NH <sub>3</sub> -N mass ratio | 5:1        | 5:1    | 5:1    | 5:1    | 5:1    | 5:1    |
| Flow rate (L/min)                              | 2          | 6      | 10     | 2      | 6      | 10     |
| Shear stress (N/m <sup>2</sup> )               | 0.018      | 0.117  | 0.286  | 0.018  | 0.117  | 0.286  |
| Reynolds number (Re)                           | 1107       | 3321   | 5535   | 1107   | 3321   | 5535   |
| Test code                                      | 2A_T2      | 6A_T2  | 10A_T2 | 2B_T2  | 6B_T2  | 10B_T2 |

## Table 1: Experimental scenarios

**Table 2**: Water quality parameters measured before and after tests

| Disinfection scenario  | Flow rate (L/min) | рН    |                       | Turbidity (NTU) |                   | TN (mg/L) |                       | TOC (mg/L) |                        | HPC<br>(logCFU/mL) |        |
|--|-------------------|-------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------------|------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------|
|  |                   | Day 0 | Day 33                | Day 0           | Day 33            | Day 0     | Day 33                | Day 0      | Day 33                 | Day 0              | Day 33 |
| Total Cl <sub>2</sub> =1 mg/L  | 2                 | 7.72  | 7.73 <sup>a</sup>     | 0               | 12                | 2.91      | 2.46 <sup>a</sup>     | 1.68       | 4.82 <sup>a</sup>      | 4.08               | 6.75   |
| Total Cl <sub>2</sub> :NH <sub>3</sub> -N=3:1  | 6                 | 7.73  | 7.65 <sup>b</sup>     | 2               | 9 <sup>a</sup>    | 2.92      | 2.18 <sup>b</sup>     | 0.54       | 2.23                   | 4.22               | 6.85   |
|  | 10                | 7.91  | 7.52                  | 0               | 20 <sup>a</sup>   | 3.00      | 2.48 <sup>c</sup>     | 2.61       | 9.59                   | 4.77               | 7.41   |
| Total Cl <sub>2</sub> =5 mg/L  | 2                 | 7.60  | 7.44 <sup>a,c</sup>   | 0               | 25                | 5.23      | 3.36 <sup>a</sup>     | 0.47       | 8.81 <sup>a,b</sup>    | 3.95               | 8.02   |
| Total Cl <sub>2</sub> :NH <sub>3</sub> -N=3:1  | 6                 | 7.50  | 7.60 <sup>b,d</sup>   | 0               | 6                 | 5.23      | 4.44 <sup>b</sup>     | 1.38       | 4.06                   | 1.56               | 6.58   |
|  | 10                | 7.60  | 7.65 <sup>c,d</sup>   | 0               | 7                 | 5.06      | 4.10 <sup>c</sup>     | 0.71       | 3.57 <sup>b</sup>      | 3.62               | 6.38   |
| Total Cl <sub>2</sub> =1 mg/L  | 2                 | 7.21  | 7.74°                 | 5               | 5 <sup>b</sup>    | 2.40      | 1.54 <sup>d,e</sup>   | 0.00       | 2.37 <sup>c,d,e</sup>  | 4.08               | 7.06   |
| Total Cl <sub>2</sub> :NH <sub>3</sub> -N=5:1  | 6                 | 7.09  | 7.73 <sup>f,g</sup>   | 0               | 15 <sup>b,c</sup> | 2.45      | 1.60 <sup>f,g</sup>   | 0.00       | 5.52 <sup>c,f</sup>    | 4.22               | 7.53   |
|  | 10                | 7.21  | 7.79 <sup>e,f</sup>   | 0               | 4 <sup>c</sup>    | 2.63      | 1.32 <sup>d,f,h</sup> | 1.89       | 7.13 <sup>d</sup>      | 4.77               | 7.41   |
| Total Cl <sub>2</sub> =5 mg/L  | 2                 | 7.37  | 7.65 <sup>h,i</sup>   | 2               | 13 <sup>e</sup>   | 3.37      | 1.60 <sup>e</sup>     | 0.95       | 2.81 <sup>e,g,h</sup>  | 3.95               | 7.62   |
| Total Cl <sub>2</sub> :NH <sub>3</sub> -N=5:1  | 6                 | 7.14  | 7.53 <sup>g,h,j</sup> | 1               | 32 <sup>e,f</sup> | 3.52      | 2.07 <sup>g,i</sup>   | 0.43       | 10.28 <sup>f,g,i</sup> | 1.56               | 7.97   |
|  | 10                | 7.31  | 7.76 <sup>i,j</sup>   | 0               | 13 <sup>f</sup>   | 3.47      | 0.99 <sup>h,i</sup>   | 0.43       | 4.14 <sup>h,i</sup>    | 3.62               | 8.02   |
| Value labelled with the same subscript letter indicates that there is significant difference between the data sets collected from different operational conditions throughout the test phases (Mann-Whitney U test $n=22$ $n\leq 0.05$ ) |                   |       |                       |                 |                   |           |                       |            |                        |                    |        |

| Day | 2A_T1 | 6A_T1 | 10A_T1 | 2B_T1 | 6B_T1 | 10B_T1 | 2A_T2 | 6A_T2 | 10A_T2 | 2B_T2 | 6B_T2 | 10B_T2 |
|-----|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|
| 1   | 0.92  | 0.14  | <0.1   | 0.49  | 4.91  | 1.36   | N/A   | N/A   | N/A    | N/A   | N/A   | N/A    |
| 6   | 0.23  | 14.82 | 0.14   | 2.61  | 1.76  | 3.15   | 0.17  | 1.65  | <0.1   | 3.67  | 0.10  | 0.89   |
| 9   | 0.28  | 0.26  | 0.25   | 6.1   | 0.12  | < 0.1  | 1.05  | 3.80  | <0.1   | 2.30  | 0.11  | 0.89   |
| 16  | 2.21  | 2.16  | 0.22   | 0.13  | 2.61  | 0.16   | < 0.1 | 4.91  | <0.1   | < 0.1 | 5.33  | 1.50   |
| 21  | 7.43  | 7.1   | 7.87   | 0.34  | < 0.1 | 0.11   | 1.88  | 6.12  | <0.1   | 1.05  | 1.56  | 0.44   |
| 27  | 4.68  | 4.51  | 0.22   | 0.26  | 5.79  | 5.73   | 1.08  | 6.38  | 2.80   | 0.44  | 4.28  | < 0.1  |
| 33  | < 0.1 | < 0.1 | 0.32   | 0.11  | 2.70  | 3.72   | N/A   | N/A   | N/A    | N/A   | N/A   | N/A    |

Table 3: Monitoring microbial decay factors (Fm) for different experimental scenarios during the tests.

**Table 4**: Values of HPC cell numbers, protein, carbohydrate and concentration ratios (EPS)

 in isolated biofilm from different disinfection scenarios and hydraulic regimes.

| Disinfection scenarios                  | Hydraulic          |                        | Ratio                  | Mass     |                    |              |  |
|---|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------|--------------------|--------------|--|
|   | regimes<br>(L/min) | EPS:cells<br>(µg/cell) | Carbohydrates:Proteins | Cells    | Carbohydrates (µg) | Protein (µg) |  |
| Total                                   | 2                  | 1.26E-07               | 2.73                   | 1.80E+07 | 15.74              | 5.77         |  |
| Cl <sub>2</sub> =1mg/L                  | 6                  | 1.72E-06               | 3.64                   | 4.86E+07 | 65.72              | 18.05        |  |
| Cl <sub>2</sub> /NH <sub>3</sub> -N=3:1 | 10                 | 1.20E-06               | 1.17                   | 1.98E+08 | 13.50              | 11.50        |  |
| Total                                   | 2                  | 4.70E-06               | 11.59                  | 3.15E+07 | 87.06              | 7.51         |  |
| Cl <sub>2</sub> =5mg/L                  | 6                  | 3.80E-06               | 14.13                  | 2.34E+07 | 82.94              | 5.87         |  |
| Cl <sub>2</sub> /NH <sub>3</sub> -N=3:1 | 10                 | 3.00E-06               | 5.98                   | 1.98E+07 | 79.76              | 13.34        |  |
| Total                                   | 2                  | 4.19E-06               | 5.21                   | 5.40E+07 | 27.91              | 5.36         |  |
| Cl <sub>2</sub> =1mg/L                  | 6                  | 2.18E-07               | 7.66                   | 2.91E+08 | 55.99              | 7.30         |  |
| Cl <sub>2</sub> /NH <sub>3</sub> -N=5:1 | 10                 | 6.16E-07               | 4.44                   | 6.30E+06 | 21.55              | 4.85         |  |
| Total                                   | 2                  | 2.03E-07               | 16.07                  | 3.55E+08 | 86.13              | 5.36         |  |
| Cl <sub>2</sub> =5mg/L                  | 6                  | 1.14E-07               | 5.35                   | 3.97E+08 | 38.02              | 7.10         |  |
| Cl <sub>2</sub> /NH <sub>3</sub> -N=5:1 | 10                 | 2.58E-07               | 6.78                   | 2.33E+08 | 41.20              | 6.08         |  |

## List of figures



Fig.1: Schematic diagram of the flow cells during test phases



**Fig. 1**: Time-series plots of free chlorine, nitrite nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen and nitrate nitrogen measured at different hydraulic regimes and disinfection scenarios in test phase 1 ( $Cl_2/NH_3-N = 3:1$ ). a) flow rate = 2 L/min, total chlorine = 1 mg/L in feed water; b) flow rate = 6 L/min, total chlorine = 1 mg/L in feed water; c) flow rate = 10 L/min, total chlorine = 1 mg/L in feed water; d) flow rate = 2 L/min, total chlorine = 5 mg/L in feed water; e) flow rate = 6 L/min, total chlorine = 5 mg/L in feed water; f) flow rate = 10 L/min, total chlorine = 5 mg/L in feed water.



**Fig. 2**: Time-series plots of free chlorine, nitrite nitrogen, ammonia nitrogen and nitrate nitrogen measured at different hydraulic regimes and disinfection scenarios in test phase 2 ( $Cl_2/NH_3-N = 5:1$ ). a) flow rate = 2 L/min, total chlorine = 1 mg/L in feed water; b) flow rate = 6 L/min, total chlorine = 1 mg/L in feed water; c) flow rate = 10 L/min, total chlorine = 1 mg/L in feed water; d) flow rate = 2 L/min, total chlorine = 5 mg/L in feed water; e) flow rate = 6 L/min, total chlorine = 5 mg/L in feed water; f) flow rate = 10 L/min, total chlorine = 5 mg/L in feed water.



**Fig. 4**: The concentration of nitrite in different experimental scenarios. The colour represents different total chlorine concentration in feed water. (Value labelled with the same subscript letter indicates that there is significant difference between the data sets collected from different operational conditions. (Mann-Whitney U test, n=22, p<0.05)



**Fig. 5**: Total concentration of carbohydrate and protein within EPS of biofilms collected from different flow units. a). EPS extracted from isolated biofilm in test phases 1; b). EPS extracted from isolated biofilm in test phases 2.



**Fig. 6**: Non-parametric Spearman correlations between parameters. a) for test phase 1; b) for test phase 2. (n=108 for pH, turbidity, TN, TOC, free  $Cl_2$ ,  $NO_2^-$ -N,  $NO_3^-$ -N, and  $NH_3$ -N; n=42 for HPC and n=36 for Fm; \*\*p<0.01, \*<0.05; NS = p>0.05; two-tailed test was used.)