UNIVERSITY of York

This is a repository copy of *Iberian Peninsula October 2017 wildfires:Burned area and population exposure in Galicia (NW of Spain)*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: <u>https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/159805/</u>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Touza, Julia M. orcid.org/0000-0001-8170-1789, Chas-Amil, María Luisa and García-Martínez, Eduardo (2020) Iberian Peninsula October 2017 wildfires:Burned area and population exposure in Galicia (NW of Spain). International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction. 101623. ISSN 2212-4209

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101623

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1 Iberian Peninsula October 2017 wildfires: Burned area and population exposure in

- 2 Galicia (NW of Spain)
- 3 María-Luisa Chas-Amil^a, Eduardo García-Martínez^b, Julia Touza^c
- ^a Universidade de Santiago de Compostela. Baixada Burgo das Nacións s/n, 15782
- 5 Santiago de Compostela, Spain, marisa.chas@usc.es
- ^b Universidad de Zaragoza. Pedro Cerbuna 6. Zaragoza, Spain, edgm_73@yahoo.es
- ^c University of York. Heslington, York, YO10 5NG, UK, julia.touza@york.ac.uk
- 8
- 9 María-Luisa Chas-Amil (corresponding author)
- ^a Universidade de Santiago de Compostela. Baixada Burgo das Nacións s/n, 15782
- 11 Santiago de Compostela, Spain, marisa.chas@usc.es, Phone: +34 881811549
- 12

13 Abstract

14 In October 2017, an extreme wildfire outbreak in the NW of the Iberian Peninsula burned 15 thousands of hectares, resulting in human deaths and important economic damage. This paper provides a first comprehensive assessment of the exposure of the local communities 16 in the Spanish region of Galicia, where forestlands routinely experience fire outbreaks, 17 as the one that occurred in 14th, 15th and 16th October with more than two hundred fire 18 incidents. We delimitate the wildfire perimeters, characterize the area burned in regards 19 to vegetation characteristics, evaluate the affected wildland-urban interface (WUI), and 20 21 quantify the population and buildings exposed to wildfires. The burned area was found to be unevenly distributed, concentrated in the south of the region, and in municipalities 22 with nearly half of their lands under WUI. This resulted in a high level of exposure in the 23 affected lands. We estimated that 51 communities were inside fire perimeters. Moreover, 24 25 873 communities with more than 87,000 people residing on them, were at a close distance of less than 1km away. This study demonstrates the importance of understanding extreme 26 wildfire events and their potential impacts which can guide how best communities can 27 respond to them. The high number of population exposed to the studied event shows the 28 necessity of integrating land-use planning with wildfire risk prevention and preparedness. 29 30

31 Keywords: wildfire perimeters, wildfire severity, wildfire exposure, wildland-urban

32 interface, Galicia, Spain.

- 33 Introduction
- 34

The increase in the length of fire weather season due to climate change (Jolly et al. 2015), 35 and other forest pressures such as urban expansion and unsustainable land uses (e.g., 36 Modugno et al. 2016; Radeloff et al. 2018), make the continued increase in frequency and 37 duration of extreme wildfire outbreaks inevitable (Calkin et al. 2014). These wildfire 38 events impose large social and environmental costs, often accounted for, on the timber 39 industry, carbon sequestration, air quality regulation, cultural values, physical and mental 40 health and human losses (Moritz et al. 2014). In 2017, wildfires had devastating 41 consequences worldwide (Bladon 2018; Gómez-González et al. 2018; Moreira and Pe'er 42 2018; McBride and Kent 2019). In the United States, more than 71,000 fires burned 4 43 44 million hectares and forced more than 200,000 residents to evacuate their homes; 66 45 people lost their lives (Balch et al. 2018); in the Austral Summer of 2017, Chile suffered the biggest wildfire episode in its history, with more than 500 thousand hectares of land 46 burned, 11 people killed, and 3,000 houses lost (De la Barrera et al. 2018). In the 47 European Union, wildfires burned over 1.2 million ha of natural land and killed 127 48 people (San-Miguel-Ayanz et al. 2017); Portugal being the most affected country with 49 almost 550,000 ha burned, 500 houses destroyed and 112 fatalities registered mostly in 50 two episodes taken place in June and October 2017 (Comissão Técnica Independente 51 2017, 2018). 52

53 Managing wildfire risk also poses important budgetary challenges to governments, with 54 fire suppression costs rising in scale (e.g., Costafreda-Aumedes et al. 2015; Doerr and 55 Santin 2016; Stocks and Martell 2016) due to weather and biomass conditions, but also, 56 very importantly, to the growing presence of houses and population in fire-prone 57 landscapes (e.g., Gebert et al. 2007; Gude et al. 2013; Strader 2018). In 2017, more than 58 half of the U.S. Forest Service's annual budget was associated with fighting wildfires

(Balch et al. 2018), and even before the end of the year, the costs for wildfire suppression 59 60 had exceeded US \$2 billion (Bladon 2018). In Chile, public expenditure to combat fires was more than US \$370 million (De la Barrera et al. 2018). Despite these large 61 62 investments, wildfires can often overwhelm suppression capabilities, causing substantial structure loss and fatalities, especially in the case of extreme of weather conditions like 63 those registered in all the wildfire events described above. Therefore, as the probability 64 of fires and losses grow, so do the arguments for the need of curb these losses through 65 developing policies, investments and community-based strategies to better prevent, 66 prepare for and respond to wildfires (Moritz et al. 2014; Williams et al. 2018). 67

Recent research on wildfire risk exposure has been focused in defining and mapping 68 69 Wildland-Urban Interface (WUI) (e.g., Radeloff et al. 2005; Lampin-Maillet et al. 2010; Johnston and Flanigan 2018), its expansion (e.g., Theobald and Rome 2007; Radeloff et 70 al. 2018; Bento-Gonçalves and Vieira 2020), and its relationship to social vulnerability, 71 72 i.e., the social factors that increases the human susceptibility to the impacts of fires in the WUI (Wigtil et al. 2016; Oliveira et al. 2018; Badia et al. 2019; Vaiciulyte et al. 2019). 73 The focus seems to have been on characterization of the hazard at the WUI and the 74 conditions that contribute to impacts and vulnerability. At the same time, numerous 75 studies have looked at the need for community protection strategies (e.g., Cova et al 76 2009), where being exposed to fires has been identified as a key element for public 77 support of actions for wildfire risk reduction (e.g., McGee et al. 2009). Therefore, 78 understanding the extent and location of the population that were actually exposed (ex-79 80 post) is important for developing efficient reduction risk strategies by spatially targeting public investments and prioritization of community protection planning resources (Ager, 81 et al. 2019; Fisher et al. 2016). In this work, we focus on identifying the location and 82 83 number of people, land cover and density of buildings exposed to wildfires, or in close

proximity. We extend previous research, which has inventoried population and housing within burned areas (e.g., Sarricolea et al., 2020; Argañaraz et al. 2017; Thomas and Butry 2014), by analyzing areas beyond the WUI, and focusing on an extreme wildfire event instead of using historical fires. This is justified by the the indispensable need to gather information to enhance the communities' preparedness to these type of fire outbreaks given their overwhelming capacity of control by firefighters (Tedim et al. 2018).

This paper focuses on the wildfires that occurred in the Iberian Peninsula in October 2017, 90 contributing also to the scarce literature on this event, which has focused on Portuguese 91 fires (Alexander et al. 2018). We investigate the case study of Galicia (north-west Spain, 92 sharing a border with Portugal), where 393 fire incidents occurred in less than a week, of 93 94 which 215 registered in just three days, 14th, 15th and 16th October (Parlamento de Galicia 2018). In this period, extreme fire meteorology - dry biomass and high 95 temperatures - was aggravated by storm Ophelia, and a high rate of intentional ignitions 96 (80%), with simultaneous fires starting at the same time (Parlamento de Galicia 2018). 97 Moreover, there was a high incidence of large fires (>500 ha), registering 19 out of the 98 56 large fires that occurred in Spain in the whole year, and affecting nearly 40% of the 99 total forest area burned by large fires that year (MAPAMA 2018). These events resulted 100 in 4 people dead, 128 injured and 2,400 evacuated from their homes (Sampedro 2017). 101 102 This wildfire episode has been widely covered by the media (Delgado-Arango and Vicente-Mariño 2019; Pérez Pereiro et al. 2018) and has resulted in intense public opinion 103 and political debate (Parlamento de Galicia 2018). This has led to the provision of an 104 105 extraordinary budgetary fund for wildfire recovery, which offers financial assistance to repair damage to infrastructure and properties, and to help farmers and ranchers to recover 106 107 from production losses (Decree 102/2017, DOG 20 October 2017). However, there has 108 not yet been detailed information published on the location and extent of the

109 consequences of this event in the region. In this work, we (a) map and delimitate burned 110 areas, estimating burn severity; (b) identify the wildland-urban interface (WUI) areas 111 threatened, as well as the number of communities, people and buildings exposed for their 112 proximity to wildfires; and c) define the types of land cover affected to identify the 113 ecosystems that may suffer higher impacts.

114 Materials and methods

115

Estimation of burned area and burn severity

116 Sentinel 2A and its sensor MSI (Multi Spectral Instrument) were selected to identify and delimitate burned areas over other alternatives, like the Landsat 8 satellite and its sensor 117 OLI (Operational Land Imager), because of the availability of images immediately before 118 and after the wildfire wave, corresponding to 12th October and 27th October respectively. 119 The best cloud-free Sentinel-2A Level-1C (L1C) MSI images were downloaded from the 120 121 Sentinel's Scientific Data Hub (https://scihub.copernicus.eu/). Table 1 shows the pre-/post-fire images employed in this study. Images with more than 27% of cloud content 122 were discarded to avoid distortions (Biday and Bhosle 2010). This limited the availability 123 124 of suitable images only in the province of A Coruña, and affected only 10% of the studied area (Fig. 1). The atmospheric correction procedure was executed with a Sen2Cor 125 processor, and complemented with the C-correction method (Hantson and Chuvieco 126 127 2011). The spatial resolution used in this study was 20 m.

The near-infrared (NIR) (B8a) and shortwave-infrared (SWIR) (B11) bands (e.g., Fernández-Manso et al. 2016) were used to calculate the Normalized Burn Ratio (NBR) as the difference between NIR and SWIR divided by their sum. The burn severity, as the degree of environmental change caused by fire (Key and Benson 2006), was computed as the difference in the Normalized Burn Ratio before (NBRprefire) and after

(NBRpostfire) the wildfire wave, i.e., dNBR= (NBRprefire-NBRpostfire) (Key and 133 Benson 2006). Following the United States Geological Survey (USGS) classification, 134 dNBR can range between -2.0 and 2.0. However, over natural landscapes, non-135 anomalous dNBR values typically have a more limited range of about -0.5 to +1.3. In 136 this study, the thresholds of dNBR proposed by Key and Benson (2006) were used, 137 considering five burn severity categories: low (0.1-0.269), moderate-low (0.27-0.439), 138 moderate-high (0.440-0.659), high (>0.660), and unburned (-0.1-+0.099). Finally, note 139 that even though the dNBR measure has been shown to be highly effective to map burn 140 severity in forested areas, it can be less effective in other environments, such as grasslands 141 (Warner et al. 2017). In our study we found many plots with significant spectral changes 142 due to agricultural harvesting, therefore, visual monitoring of a random sample of 143 polygons and all the smallest polygons was performed, to clarify whether or not a wildfire 144 145 took place. Only isolated burned areas greater than 1 ha were identified and analyzed in 146 this work. Burned areas are represented as mapped patches. Note that this, therefore, does 147 not allow to identify whether each burned area was the outcome of a single or multiple 148 fires within the studied days in October 2017.

149

Wildland-urban interface and population exposed to wildfires

We identify and map the WUI, defined as the area within a 50 m radius around buildings at a distance of up to 400 m from wildland vegetation, using the most recent layer of buildings from the Galician Topographic Base 1:10,000 (BTG 2016), based on 2014 aerial orthophoto (PNOA ©Spanish Geographic Institute - Xunta de Galicia) with a resolution of 25 cm/pixel, and accounting for all forest polygons of any size to avoid a minimum size restriction imposed in previous works which lead to an underrepresentation of the forest cover (Chas-Amil et al. 2013). We estimated the area burned within and outside the wildland-urban interface, and identify the population and buildings exposed to wildfires by computing the number of people and buildings inside the wildfire perimeters, and for progressively farther "donuts" around them (less than 100 m, 500 m, and 1000 m). This can be justified because 1 km is a conservative reference of the approximate spotting distance in the case of an extreme wildfire event (Tedim et al. 2018), putting buildings at risk of being burned and influencing protective-action decision making (Cova et al. 2009).

This analysis used the information on the number of inhabitants in the threatened settlements level from the "Nomenclátor" provided by the Galician Statistical Institute. The number of buildings was obtained from the Galician Topographic Base, mentioned above. The Global Moran's I statistics (Anselin, 1995) was used to investigate the spatial clustering of burned areas and population exposure.

169 Land cover

170 Land use/land cover type (LULC) of the area burned was obtained using information from 171 the Fourth Spanish Forest Inventory (IFN4), which is based on the cartography of the Forest Map of Spain at 1: 25,000 (MFE25). We grouped the information on the 64 land 172 173 use types into the following classes (Table 2): forest area, wooded forest, shrubland, agricultural and grassland areas, and artificial surfaces, such as industrial and urban areas. 174 Moreover, wooded forest was classified based on the dominant tree species into four 175 subclasses: broadleaf, conifer, eucalyptus, and mixed forest mostly of conifer and 176 177 eucalyptus. Humid areas were excluded from this analysis (e.g., continental and maritime 178 waters).

We computed the Jacobs' selectivity index (Jacobs 1974) in order to evaluate wildfire
impact by land cover type, following previous work (Barros and Pereira 2014; Reilly et

al. 2018). This index presents the advantage of being easier to interpret than other 181 182 alternatives (e.g., Savage's forage ratio (Manly et al. 2010)); it takes values between -1 and 1, and is equal to 0 if a land cover burns in proportion to its presence. For instance, if 183 the index is higher (lower) than 0, this indicates that this land cover is burning more (less) 184 often than expected, and can be interpreted as wildfire preference for a given land cover. 185 186 For this analysis, we include only those parishes, as the smallest administrative unit that 187 divides the territory, affected by wildfires in October 2017, calculating the index as J=(r-p)/(r+p-2rp), where r is the proportion of burned area of each land cover 188 type with respect to the total burned area, and p is the proportion of the area of each land 189 190 cover type with respect to the total land cover area. We also estimated 95% confidence 191 intervals for the mean selectivity indexes of each land cover type based on 10,000 bootstrap samples. Following Carmo et al. (2011), differences between selectivity 192 193 indexes for different land cover classes were considered statistically significant when there was no overlap between the respective confidence intervals. Note that given the 194 approach taken here, this selective index does not capture whether fire avoidance of a 195 particular land use is due either to a lack of ignitions in the land use area or to an effective 196 natural resistance to fire spread (Nunes et al. 2005). In addition, no other factors are either 197 198 considered, such as different fire suppression efforts, the position of fire front relative to the plot, burning under deferring meteorological conditions (day or night) during the 199 course of the fire, etc. All the computations were made with ArcGIS® 10.6.1 by ESRI. 200

201

Results

202

Burned area and burn severity

The total area burned in the one-week wildfires of October 2017 was 42,314 ha (Table
3). This represents nearly 1.5% of the area of the whole region, and 70% of the total area

burned in the whole year. This is more than eleven times higher than the average 205 206 proportion of area burned in the same month (October) over the last 25 years, 6%; and only comparable to October 2011 when fires affected 68% of the area burned in the whole 207 208 year, although on this occasion the burned area was smaller (29,244 ha). Fig. 1 shows the uneven spatial distribution of the wildfires, with 88% of the total burned area concentrated 209 in the South (provinces of Pontevedra and Ourense). Moran's I index for global 210 autocorrelation (0.16, z-score= 4.17, p-value < 0.0001) confirms this spatial clustering of 211 burned areas. This high concentration is also illustrated in Table 3, which shows these 212 areas burned results by forest districts (FD), which are the administrative units in Galicia 213 214 to organise firefighting and forest management. The three forest districts (out of the existing nineteen) with the highest incidences of fire, in fact, concentrate 50% of the total 215 burned area of the region: XI-O Ribeiro-Arenteiro (with about 7,300 ha burned, 216 217 representing 7.6% of FD area and 17% of total area burned), XVII-O Condado-A Paradanta (6,000 burned ha, 8.6% FD area and 14% of the total area burned), and XVIII-218 219 Vigo-Baixo Miño (8,000 burned ha, 8.6% FD area and 19% total area burned). At the 220 municipal level, just 13 municipalities (out of the existing 313), with more than 1000 ha burned each, registered 58% of the total area burned. Among them, three municipalities, 221 belonging to the aforementioned forest districts, rank highest in terms of burned area, 222 with around half of their total municipal area burned: Carballeda de Avia (XI), As Neves 223 (XVII), and Pazos de Borbén (XVIII). 224

Fig. 2, 3 and 4 show a detailed delimitation of the burned area for these key affected districts, attending to burn severity, and showing the proximity of the area burned to buildings and main roads in these districts. Note that burn severity of the fires registered in October 2017 is mainly low to moderate, with only 0.02% of the total area burned classified as high burn severity, and 46.2% and 50.7% as moderate and low severity, respectively. The highest burn severity was recorded in district VII, A Fonsagrada-Os
Ancares, where 2,729 ha were burned inside the natural park, Biosphere Reserve of Os
Ancares Lucenses, out of the total 3,632 burned in this district (Table 3); but that, as we
examine in the next section, supposed a small risk to the population in terms of area
burned in the wildland urban interface.

235

Wildland-urban interface and population exposed to wildfires

The total area defined as WUI in Galicia is 385,177 ha, representing 13% of the region. 236 Table 3 shows the share of WUI for the forest districts affected by fires in October 2017, 237 238 and the proportion of the WUI that was burned in the studied period. Districts XVII, 239 XVIII and XIX have the largest proportion of WUI, accounting between 19% and 30% of their territory. Interestingly, these districts, and XI, were those where the WUI was 240 241 most seriously affected, with between 3% and 8% of the burned area in these districts occurring in the WUI, which corresponds to between 136 ha and 485 ha. Fig. 5 shows the 242 proportion of burned area in the WUI over different years and compared with this 243 proportion during the studied days of October 2017, showing the exceptionality of this 244 event with respect to the WUI affected. In the period 2010-2015, the annual average of 245 246 the area burned in the WUI is 1%, whereas it is 3.4% in the studied period. In total, 1,500 ha burned within the WUI in the whole region. A similar pattern occurred at the district 247 level, for example district XVII and XVIII registered annual averages of 2.1% and 3.7% 248 249 respectively of the burned area inside the WUI during the period 2010-15, compared with 8.4% and 5.5% in October 2017 (Table 3). The recurrent pattern observed in district 250 251 XVIII, where the highest proportion of burned area in the WUI in each of the years between 2010 and 2015 was recorded, may be related to the high proportion of WUI as 252 the highly-populated city of Vigo and its surrounding municipalities have peri-urban 253

characteristics where buildings and forest intermingle (Fig. 4). These municipalities are
among those with the highest proportion of WUI areas in the region, e.g., Nigrán (54%),
Redondela (44%), and Vigo (43%). It was precisely in this district where three casualties
were registered, two in Nigrán during the Chandebrito's wildfire, and another in Vigo.
However, the nearby municipality of Pazos de Borbén was the most damaged with 48%
of its area burned, affecting 11.5% of its WUI area (Fig. 4).

In total 841 people, residing in 51 human settlements, and 2,124 buildings, were within 260 261 the wildfire perimeters. Our results show that the populations inside the three excluding "donuts" of 100 m, 500 m and 1000 m from wildfire perimeters, were about 11,600, 262 263 30,600 and 44,400 people. Overall, wildfires put at risk 873 settlements, 87,425 people 264 and 80,251 buildings, because they were either inside the wildfire perimeters or less than 1 km from them. This represents 4.5% of the population and 7.4% of the buildings of all 265 forest districts affected, 3.2% of the total regional population, and 5.7% of the total 266 number of buildings. Fig. 6 shows the proportion of affected individuals per municipality, 267 illustrating the significance of overall clustering detected by the Moran's index (0.39, z-268 269 score=13.51, p-value<0.0001). In fact, more than three quarters of the buildings and 270 population exposed to wildfires were concentrated in districts XI, XVII, and XVIII. District XVIII had the greatest exposure to wildfire risk with nearly 54,000 people living 271 within 1 km of fires (11.5% of its total population), followed by district XVII with nearly 272 15,000 people (28% of its population); and district XI with nearly 3,500 people (8% of 273 its population). The municipality of As Neves in district XVII (Fig. 3) is worth 274 275 mentioning as 48% of its area was burned, 25% of its WUI was affected, 451 people were residing within wildfire perimeters, and practically all its population (98%) were living 276 less than 1 km away from wildfires. This high incidence can also be found in other 277 278 municipalities of district XI (Fig. 2), Carballeda de Avia (with 94% of the population exposed, 60% of its total area burned and one causality), and Melón (72% of thepopulation exposed and 37% of its area burned).

281 Land cover

Forest was the ecosystem most affected by wildfires, with 40,509 ha damaged (95% of 282 the total area burned) (Table 4). This area corresponds to 65.4% of the total forest area 283 burned during the entire year, 61,902 ha (MAPAMA 2018). Overall, approximately half 284 of the forest burned was wooded land (20,038 ha) and the other half was shrubland 285 (20,471 ha). However, within the WUI wooded forest was the land cover with the higher 286 287 number of hectares burned, while shrubland was higher outside the WUI (Table 4). In 288 relation to forest species, mixed forest represented the highest hectares of burned area inside the WUI, while coniferous forest was highest outside the WUI. Note that even 289 290 though only 3.7% of agricultural areas were within the wildfires perimeters, 26% of that agricultural land burned occurred in the WUI (Table 4). 291

Results from the mean Jacobs' index showed that WUI areas burned less often than 292 293 expected given its availability in the Galician territory (J= -0.58 ± 0.0006 , $\alpha=0.05$). Fig. 294 7 shows these results according to land cover type. Shrubland burned more than expected based on availability, both in the WUI (J= 0.58 ± 0.0009 , $\alpha=0.05$) and in non-295 296 WUI areas (J=0.26 \pm 0.0008, α =0.05). However, wooded forests burned more than expected within the WUI (J=0.58±0.0005, α =0.05), but with a weak or indifferent 297 298 preference outside the WUI (J=0.026 \pm 0.0008 α =0.05). In relation to forest species, all 299 registered values were above zero inside the WUI. This confirms that all wooded types 300 burned more than expected, with the highest value obtained by eucalyptus forests, followed by mixed, and coniferous forests; but statistical differences were not found. 301 Outside the WUI, broadleaved forests were shown to burn less than expected given their 302

presence in the territory (J=-0.29 \pm 0.0008, α =0.05), being significantly different to other forest type vegetation. Agricultural and artificial areas were the land cover less preferred by fire in both WUI and non-WUI.

306 Discussion and conclusions

307 The high population exposed to an extreme wildfire shown in this work suggests the need 308 to rethink fire risk management for this type of events, enhancing risk prevention, but 309 also strengthening preparedness and capacity of response to support the affected populations (e.g., Moritz et al. 2014; Ager et al. 2019; Craig et al. 2020). This work 310 delimitates, maps and characterizes burned areas in the 14th-16th October 2017 wildfire 311 outbreak in NW Spain, to assess the exposure to this risk of local communities. Area 312 burned (42,314 ha) was spatially concentrated in the south, with just three forest districts 313 suffering most of the damage (80% of the burned area in the extreme event). This meant 314 that a few municipalities had a high percentage of their lands burned. Nevertheless, burn 315 316 severity was found to be low to moderate which might be related to a number of factors, including wind direction, slope, and aspect (e.g., Viedma et al. 2014; Arellano-Pérez et 317 al. 2018). 318

Moreover, we have updated the extent of the WUI in Galicia with respect to our previous 319 320 work (Chas-Amil et al. 2013), which gives an increment of 140,980 ha in WUI (an increment of about 60%). This result may be explained because we now use the most 321 recent building layer, which also has a higher resolution, and the fact a minimum-size 322 threshold of 500 ha for forestlands was not imposed in the calculation to better capture 323 the population and land use dispersion (García-Martínez et al. 2015). In this regard, the 324 325 findings also show that wildfires spread mainly across dispersed peri-urban residential areas, with a higher incidence inside the WUI in comparison with evidence registered in 326

annual fires in previous years. This extreme event resulted in a fire exposure of thousands 327 328 of buildings (dwellings and non-residential structures) and residents: more than 80,000 buildings and 85,000 people were located within 1 km of the fires. Despite this, we found 329 330 that WUI areas burned less than its expected value if this was calculated only based upon their presence in the region. This is because natural factors, such as fuel load and 331 continuity (Bajocco and Ricotta 2008), topographic characteristics, and level of forest 332 333 management, or in fact, lack of it due to rural abandonment (Silva et al. 2009) influence the burned area. In this study, burned areas are potentially highly determined by the 334 firefighting effort, which one may expect to differ within and outside the WUI, because 335 336 it is expected that firefighting prioritises populated areas, where houses, infrastructure, buildings and human lives can be in danger. Therefore, this finding may be in some extent 337 338 related to the suppression measures deployed by firefighting crews (and volunteers) in 339 the WUI.

Results suggest that shrublands were burned more than expected based upon their 340 availability. This is consistent with previous studies in the Iberian Peninsula (e.g., Nunes 341 et al. 2005; Moreira et al. 2009; Calviño-Cancela et al. 2016) and other Southern 342 343 European countries (Oliveira et al. 2013). Regarding forest species outside the WUI, (i) broadleaves seem to burn less than expected; and (ii) eucalyptus stands and mixed forests 344 (mainly composed of conifer and eucalyptus), showed the highest fire preference. The 345 346 later can be explained because mixed stands are often the result of natural resprouting after wildfires and harvesting and are usually considered as areas with poor or inexistent 347 348 management (Moreira et al. 2009). Inside the WUI, all types of forest cover burned more than expected. Even though, we recognize limitations mainly associated to the lack of 349 350 updating in recent years of the forest data used to extract these results, these findings suggest that vegetation control and management may be an appropriate prevention 351

strategy through the selection of fire-resistant species (Calviño-Cancela et al 2016, 2017;
Fernandes et al. 2010). Therefore, an evaluation of the current implementation and
enforcement of mandatory vegetation management in the region, making bush clearing
and removal of certain forest species around buildings and populated areas, is needed.
This could be an area for future studies.

Most importantly, the number of exposed people and buildings (in many occasions 357 homes) that an extreme wildfire event can cause, as evidenced here for the case of 14th-358 16th October 2017, seems to make necessary to develop policies addressing further 359 residential developments on fire-prone areas in the future. We, therefore, argue for the 360 high relevance of developing policies that minimize the potential fire exposure to people 361 362 and properties by correctly designing the infrastructure and their surroundings, and integrating wildfire management into spatial planning. This integration is quite rare 363 worldwide, with some illustrations found in California and some regions of Australia 364 (Butsic et al. 2015). In Spain, there are still no policies that coordinate land use planning 365 and wildfire prevention. Moreover, the results make evident the need to develop 366 communication policies that enhance the population wildfire risk emergency 367 368 preparedness. This may be done by direct involvement of local communities and other stakeholders through participatory processes (e.g., Otero et al. 2018), making them part 369 of forest fire mitigation measures in order to enhance their capacity to respond. 370

Finally, note that our estimation of area burned provides a conservative estimate, given the information provided by the Parlamento de Galicia (2018), which reports an estimate of 48,862 ha burned in 393 wildfires from 8th to 17th October 2017. This underestimation of the officially reported burned area in our study may be due to the fact that we discard burned pixels in the case of mixed patches composed of burned and unburned area, and

that our analysis only takes into account wildfires affecting more than 1 ha. In addition, 376 the use of a standard thresholds of dNBR (Key and Benson 2006) without field 377 verification can lead to errors in delineating burned areas and severity rating. 378 Furthermore, many forest stands can be traversed by fire burning the understory without 379 any damage to the canopy, which will lead to poor representation of the lower strata of 380 the tree canopy and soil (Arellano-Pérez et al. 2018). The potential error associated with 381 382 not accounting for the whole area of the province of A Coruña province is expected to be minor, as only 752 ha are reported to have been burned in this province (Parlamento de 383 Galicia 2018). 384

385 Acknowledgments

The work was supported in part by FEDER/Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades – Agencia Estatal de Investigación/ [Grant number ECO2017-89274-R MINECO/AEI/FEDER,UE]. MLCA is also grateful to Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad REFORCE/PCIN-2017-054, and FP7 ERA-NET. The authors would like to thank two anonymous reviewers whose constructive comments have improved this manuscript.

- **Declarations of interest**: None.
- 393 **References**

Ager AA, Palaiologou P, Evers C R, Day MA, Ringo C, Short K (2019) Wildfires
exposure to the wildland urban interface in the Western US. Applied Geography 111,
102059.

- Alexander R, Brown AR, Petropoulos GP, Ferentinos KP (2018) Appraisal of the
 Sentinel-1 & 2 use in a large-scale wildfire assessment: A case study from Portugal's fires
 of 2017. Applied Geography 100: 78-89.
- Anselin L (1995) Local indicators of spatial autocorrelations- LISA. Geographical
 Analysis 27: 93-115.
- 402 Arellano-Pérez S, Ruiz-González AD, Álvarez-González JG, Vega-Hidalgo JA, Díaz-
- 403 Varela R, Alonso-Rego C (2018) Mapping fire severity levels of burned areas in Galicia
- 404 (NW Spain) by Landsat images and the dNBR index: Preliminary results about the
- 405 influence of topographical, meteorological and fuel factors on the highest severity level.
- 406 In D. X. Viegas (Ed.) Advances in Forest Fire Research 2018, pp. 1053-1060.
- 407 Argañaraz J P, Radeloff V C, Bar-Massada A, Gavier-Pizarro G I, Scavuzzo C M, Bellis
- 408 L M (2017) Assessing wildfire exposure in the Wildland-Urban Interface area of the
- 409 mountains of central Argentina Journal of Environmental Management. 196:499-510
- 410 Badia A, Pallares-Barbera M, Valldeperas N, Gisbert M (2019) Wildfires in the wildland-
- 411 urban interface in Catalonia: vulnerability analysis based on land use and land cover
- 412 change. Science of The Total Environment 673:184-196.
- 413 Bajocco S, Ricotta C (2008) Evidence of selective burning in Sardinia (Italy): which land-
- 414 cover classes do wildfires prefer. Landscape Ecology, 23: 241-248.
- Balch JK, Schoennagel T, Williams AP, Abatzoglou JT, Cattau ME, Mietkiewica NP,
- 416 St Denis LA (2018) Switching on the Big Burn of 2017. Fire 1 (1): 17.
- 417 Barros AMG, Pereira JMC (2014) Wildfire Selectivity for Land Cover Type: Does Size
- 418 Matter? PlosOne, 9 (1): 1-10.
- Bento-Gonçalves A, Vieira A (2020) Wildfires in the wildland-urban interface: Dey
 concepts and evaluation methodologies. Science of the Total Environment. 707, 135592.

- 421 Biday SG, Bhosle U (2010) Radiometric Correction of Multitemporal Satellite Imagery.
- 422 Journal of Computer Science 6 (9): 1027-1036.
- Bladon KD (2018) Rethinking wildfires and forest watersheds. Science 359 (6379): 10011002.
- Butsik V, Kelly M, Moritz M (2015) Land use and wildfire: A review of local interactions
 and teleconnections. Land 4: 140-156.
- 427 Calkin DE, Cohen JD, Finney MA, Thompson MP (2014) How risk management can
- 428 prevent future wildfire disasters in the wildland-urban interface. PNAS 111(2): 746-751.
- 429 Calviño-Cancela M, Chas-Amil ML, García-Martínez E, Touza J (2016) Wildfire risk
- 430 associated with land cover types, topography and human activities within and outside431 wildland-urban interfaces. Forest Ecology and Management 376: 1-9.
- 432 Calviño-Cancela M, Chas-Amil ML, García-Martínez ED, Touza J (2017) Interacting
- effects of topography, vegetation, human activities and wildland-urban interfaces on
 wildfire ignition risk. Forest Ecology and Management 397: 10-17.
- 435 Carmo M, Moreira F., Casimiro P, Vaz P (2011) Land use and topography influences on
- 436 wildfire occurrence in northern Portugal. Landscape and Urban Planning 100: 169-176.
- 437 Chas-Amil ML, Touza J, García-Martínez E (2013) Forest fires in the wildland-urban
- 438 interface: A spatial analysis of forest fragmentation and human impacts. Applied439 Geography 43: 127-137.
- Comissão Técnica Independente (2017) Análise e apuramento dos factos relativos aos
 incêndios que ocorreram en Pedrogrão Grande, Castanheira de Pera, Ansião, Alavaiázere,
 Figueiró dos Vinhos, Argamil, Góis, Penela, Pampilhosa da Serra, Oleiros e Sertã, entre
 17 e 24 de junho de 2017. Relatório Final. Comissão Técnica Independente. Assembleia

444 da

República.

Lisboa.

- 445 https://www.parlamento.pt/Documents/2017/Outubro/RelatórioCTI_VF%20.pdf
- 446 [Accessed 15 March 2020]
- 447 Comissão Técnica Independente (2018) Avaliação dos incêndios ocorridos entre 14 e 16
- 448 de outubro de 2017 em Portugal Continental. Relatório Final. Comissão Técnica
- 449 Independente. Assembleia da República. Lisboa. 274 pp.
- 450 https://www.parlamento.pt/Documents/2018/Marco/RelatorioCTI190318N.pdf
- 451 [Accessed 15 March 2020]
- 452 Costafreda-Aumedes S, Cardil A, Molina DM, Daniel SN, Mavsar R, Vega-Garcia C
- 453 (2015). Analysis of factors influencing deployment of fire suppression resources in Spain
- using artificial neural networks. Iforest-Biogeosciences and Forestry 9: 138-145.
- 455 Craig C A, Allen M W, Feng S, Spialek M L (2020) Exploring the impact of resident
- 456 proximity to wildfires in the northern Rocky Mountains: perceptions of climate change
- risks, drought, and policy. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction 44:101420.
- 458 De la Barrera F, Barraza F, Favier P, Ruiz V, Quense J (2018) Megafires in Chile 2017:
- 459 Monitoring multiscale environmental impacts of burned ecosystems. Science of the Total
- 460 Environment 637-638: 1526-1536.
- 461 Delgado-Arango N, Vicente-Mariño M (2019) La cobertura periodística de los incendios
- 462 forestales en la prensa digital de España: el caso de Galicia 2017. Revista Española de
- 463 Comunicación en Salud Suplemento 1: S91-S106.
- 464 Doerr SH, Santín C (2016) Global trends in wildfire and its impacts: perceptions versus
 465 realities in a changing world. Philosophical Transactions Royal Society B 371: 20150345.

- Fernandes PM, Luz A, Loureiro C (2010) Changes in wildfire severity from maritime
 pine woodland to contiguous forest types in the mountains of northwestern Portugal.
 Forest Ecology and Management 260, 883-892.
- 469 Fernández-Manso A, Fernández-Manso O, Quintano C (2016) SENTINEL-2A red-edge
- 470 spectral indices suitability for discriminating burn severity. International Journal of
- 471 Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation 50:170-175.
- 472 Fischer A P, Spies T A, Steelman T A, Moseley C, Johnson B R, Bailey J D, Ager A A,
- 473 Bourgeron P, Charnley S, Collins B M, Kline J D, Leahy J E, Littell J S, Millington J DA,
- 474 Nielsen-Pincus M, Olsen C S, Paveglio T B; Roos C I, Steen-Adams M M, Stevens F R,
- 475 Vukomanovic J, White E M, Bowman D MJS (2016) Wildfire risk as a socioecological
- 476 pathology. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment. 14(5): 276-284.
- 477 Cova T J, Drews F A, Siebeneck L K, Musters A (2009) Protective actions in wildfires:
 478 evacuate of shelter-in-place? Natural Hazards Review 10(4):151-162.
- 479 García-Martinez E, Chas-Amil M L, Touza J (2015) Assessment of the Spanish land
- 480 cover information to estimate forest area in Galicia. Boletín de la Asociación de
 481 Geógrafos Españoles 69: 333-350.
- 482 Gebert KM, Calkin DE, Yoder J (2007) Estimating suppression expenditures for
 483 individual large wildland fires. Western Journal of Applied Forestry 22(3): 188-196.
- 484 Gómez-González S, Ojeda F, Fernandes PM (2018) Portugal and Chile: Longing for
- 485 sustainable forestry while rising from the ashes. Environmental Science & Policy 81:104486 107.
- 487 Gude PH, Jones KL, Rasker R, Greenwood MC (2013) Evidence for the effect of homes
- 488 on wildfire suppression costs. International Journal of Wildland Fire 22(4): 537-548.

- 489 Hantson S, Chuvieco E (2011) Evaluation of different topographic correction methods
- 490 for Landsat imagery. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and491 Geoinformation 13(5), 691–700.
- 492 Jacobs J (1974) Quantitative measurement of food selection: a modification of the forage
- 493 ratio and Ivlev's electivity index. Oecologia 14: 413–417.
- Johnston LL, Flannigan MD, 2018. Mapping Canadian wildland fire interface areas.
 International Journal of Wildland Fire 27, 1–14.
- 496 Jolly WM, Cochrane MA, Freeborn PH, Holden ZA, Brown TJ, Williamson GJ, Bowman
- 497 DMJS (2015). Climate-induced variations in global wildfire danger from 1979 to 2013.
- 498 Nature Communications 6: 7537.

Research

503

499 Key CH, Benson NC (2006) Landscape assessment: sampling and analysis methods. In:

500 Lutes DC, Keane RE, Caratti JF, Key CH, Benson NC, Sutherland S, Gangi LJ

501 FIREMON: Fire effects monitoring and inventory system. General Technical Report

502 RMRS-GTR-164-CD. Fort Collins, CO: USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain

pp

Station,

- https://www.fs.fed.us/rm/pubs/rmrs_gtr164/rmrs_gtr164_13_land_assess.pdf [Accessed
 15 March 2020]
- Lampin-Maillet C, Jappiot M, Long M, Bouillon C, Morge D, Ferrier JP, 2010. Mapping
 wildland-urban interfaces at large scales integrating housing density and vegetation
 aggregation for fire prevention in the South of France. Journal of Environmental
 Management 91(3), 732–741.
- 510 Manly B, McDonald L, Thomas D, McDonald T, Erickson W (2010) Resource selection
- 511 by animals: Statistical design and analysis for field studies. Dordrecht, The Netherlands:
- 512 Kluwer Academic Publishers. p. 240.

21

LA-1-LA-51

MAPAMA (2018) Los incendios forestales en España. 1 enero – 31 diciembre 2017
Avance Informativo https://www.mapa.gob.es/es/desarrollorural/estadisticas/iiff 2017 def tcm30-446071.pdf [Accessed 15 March 2020].

McBride JR, Kent J (2019) The failure of planning to address the urban interface and
intermix fire-hazard problems in the San Francisco Bay Area. International Journal of
Wildland Fire 28, 1–3.

519 McGee T K, McFarlane B L, Varghese L (2009) An Examination of the Influence of

520 Hazard Experience on Wildfire Risk Perceptions and Adoption of Mitigation Measures.

521 Society and Natural Resources Society and Natural Resources 22(4):308-323

522 Modugno S, Balzter H, Cole B, Borreli P (2016) Mapping regional patterns of large forest

- fires in wildland-urban interface areas in Europe. Journal of Environmental Management172: 112-126.
- 525 Moreira F, Pe'er G (2018). Agricultural policy can reduce wildfires. Science 359 (6379).
- 526 Moreira F, Vaz P, Catry F, Silva JS (2009) Regional variations in wildfire susceptibility
- 527 of land-cover types in Portugal: implications for landscape management to minimize fire
- hazard. International Journal of Wildland Fire 18: 563-574.
- 529 Moritz A, Batllori E, Bradstock RA, Gill AM, Handmer J, Hessburg PF, Leonard J,

530 McCaffrey S, Odion DO, Schoennagel T, Syphard AD (2014) Learning to coexist with

s31 wildfire. Nature 515 (7525): 58-66.

- 532 Nunes MCS, Vasconcelos M J, Pereira JMC, Dasgupta M, Alldredge RJ, Rego FC (2005)
- Land cover type and fire in Portugal: do fires burn land cover selectively? LandscapeEcology 20: 661-673.

Oliveira S, Félix F, Nunes A, Lourenço L, Laneve G, Sebastián-López A (2018) Mapping
wildfire vulnerability in Mediterranean Europe. Testing a stepwise approach for
operational purposes. Journal of Environmental Management, 206,158-169.

538 Oliveira S, Moreira F, Boca F, San-Miguel-Ayanz J, Pereira JMC (2013) Assessment of

fire selectivity in relation to land cover and topography: a comparison between Southern

540 European countries. International Journal of Wildland Fire 23(5): 620-630.

Otero I, Castellnou M, Gonza'lez I, Arilla E, Castell L, Castellvi J, Sánchez F, Nielsen J
(2018) Democratizing wildfire strategies. Do you realize what it means? Insights from a
participatory process in the Montseny region (Catalonia, Spain). PLoS ONE 13 (10):
e0204806.

Parlamento de Galicia (2018) Ditame da Comisión especial non permanente de estudo e
análise das reformas da política forestal, de prevención e extinción de incendios forestais
e do Plan Forestal de Galicia, avaliando a experiencia acumulada dende 2006 e,
especificamente, a extraordinaria vaga de lumes que vén de sufrir Galicia en outubro de
2017. Boletín Oficial do Parlamento de Galicia Nº 346. 10 de agosto de 2018.
http://www.parlamentodegalicia.es/sitios/web/BibliotecaBoletinsOficiais/B100346.pdf

551 [Accessed 15 March 2020]

539

Pérez Pereiro M, Chaparro Dominguez MA, Díaz del Campo Lozano J (2018) La
cobertura periodística de los incendios de Galicia y Portugal de octubre de 2017: un
análisis de la información de emergencia de diarios portugueses, españoles y gallegos.
Estudos em Comunicação 26(1): 197-213.

556Radeloff VC, Hammer RB, Stewart SI, Fried JS, Holocomb SS, Mckeefry JF (2005) The

wildland-urban interface in the United States. Ecol. Appl. 15 (3), 799–805.

- 558 Radeloff VC, Helmers DP, Kramer HA, Mockrin MH, Alexandre PM, Bar-Massada A,
- 559 Butsic V, Hawbaker TJ, Martinuzzi S, Syphard AD, Stewart SI (2018) Rapid growth of
- the US wildland-urban interface raises wildfire risk. Proceedings of the National
 Academy of Sciences 115(13): 3314-3319.
- Reilly MJ, Elia M, Spies TA, Gregory MJ, Sanesi G, Lafortezza R (2018) Cumulative
 effects of wildfires on forest dynamics in the eastern Cascade Mountains, USA.
 Ecological Applications 28 (2): 291-308.
- 565 Sampedro D (2017) Las 30 medidas de la Xunta para acabar con los incendios, al detalle.
- 566 La Voz de Galicia 8/11/2017.
- 567 https://www.lavozdegalicia.es/noticia/galicia/2017/11/08/plan-choque-incluye-ocupar-
- 568 fincas-propiedad-desconocida/0003_201711G8P8998.htm [Accessed 15 March 2020].
- 569 San-Miguel-Ayanz J, Durrant T, Boca R, Libertà G, Branco A, de Rigo D, Ferrari D,
- 570 Maianti P, Artés Vivancos T, Costa H, Lana F, Löffler P, Nuijten D, Ahlgren AC, Leray
- 571 T (2017) Forest Fires in Europe, Middle East and North Africa 2017. EUR 29318 EN
- 572 Sarricolea P, Serrano-Notivoli R, Fuentealba M, Hernández-Mora M, de la Barrera F,
- 573 Smith P (2020) Recent wildfires in Central Chile: Detecting links between burned areas
- and population exposure in the wildland-urban interface. Science of the TotalEnvironment 706, 135894.
- 576 Silva JS, Moreira F, Vaz P, Catri F, Godinho-Ferreira P (2009) Assessing the relative fire
- proneness of different forest types in Portugal. Plant Biosystems 143(3): 597-608.
- 578 Stocks BJ, Martell DJ (2016) Forest fire management expenditures in Canada 1970-2013.
- 579 Forestry Chronicle 92: 298-306.

- 580 Strader SM (2018) Spatiotemporal changes in conterminous US wildfire exposure from
 581 1940 to 2010. Natural Hazards 92: 543–565.
- 582 Tedim F, Leone V, Amraoui M, Bouillon C, Coughlan M R, Delogu G M, Fernandes P
- 583 M, Ferreira C, McCaffrey S, McGee K, Parente T J, Paton D, Pereira M G, Ribeiro, L M,
- 584 Viegas D X, Xanthopoulos G (2018) Defining extreme wildfire events: Difficulties,
- challenges, and impacts. Fire. 1: 9.
- 586 Theobald DM, Romme WH (2007) Expansion of the US wildland–urban interface.
- 587 Landscape Urban Planning 83 (4), 340–354.
- Thomas DS, Butry D (2014) Areas of the US wildland-urban interface threatened by
 wildfire during the 2001-2010 decade. Natural Hazards 71: 1561-1585.
- Vaiciulyte S, Galea E R, Veeraswamy A, Hulse L (2019) Island vulnerability and
 resilience to wildfires: A case study of Corsica. International Journal of Disaster Risk
 Reduction 40, 101272.
- 593 Viedma O, Quesada J, Torres I, De Santis A, Moreno J M (2014) Fire Severity in a Large
- Fire in a Pinus pinaster Forest is Highly Predictable from Burning Conditions, StandStructure, and Topography. Ecosystems 18(2)
- 596 Warner TA, Skowronski NS, Gallagher MR (2017) Severity mapping of the New Jersey
- 597 Pine Barrens with WorldView-3 near-infrared and shortwave infrared imagery.598 International Journal of Remote Sensing 38(2): 598-616.
- Wigtil G, Hammer R B, Kline J D, Mockrin M H, Stewart S I, Roper D, Radeloff V C
 (2016) Places where wildfire potential and social vulnerability coincide in the
 coterminous United States. International Journal of Wildland Fire. 25: 896-908.

- 602 Williams KFH, Ford RM, Rawluk A (2018) Values of the public at risk of wildfires and
- its management. International Journal of Wildland Fire 27: 665-676.

	Acquisition	Filename of the image
	date	
Pre-fire	12/10/2017	S2A_MSIL2A_20171012T112111_N0205_R037_T29TNG_20171012T112
		S2A_MSIL2A_20171012T112111_N0205_R037_T29TNH_20171012T112
		S2A_MSIL2A_20171012T112111_N0205_R037_T29TNJ_20171012T1127
		S2A_MSIL2A_20171012T112111_N0205_R037_T29TPG_20171012T1127
		S2A_MSIL2A_20171012T112111_N0205_R037_T29TPH_20171012T1127
		S2A_MSIL2A_20171012T112111_N0205_R037_T29TPJ_20171012T1127
Post-fire	27/10/2017	S2B MSIL2A 20171027T112139 N0206 R037 T29TNG 20171027T145
		S2B MSIL2A 20171027T112139 N0206 R037 T29TNH 20171027T145
		S2B MSIL2A 20171027T112139 N0206 R037 T29TNJ 20171027T1458
		S2B_MSIL2A_20171027T112139_N0206_R037_T29TPG_20171027T1458
		S2B_MSIL2A_20171027T112139_N0206_R037_T29TPH_20171027T1458
		S2B MSIL2A 20171027T112139 N0206 R037 T29TPJ 20171027T1458

Table 2. Description of land-cover types used in this study, and percentage of area they
 <u>occupy in the region.</u>

Land-cover	Description/MFE25 codes	%
		, 0
Forest area	It is composed of wooded forest,	
	shrublands and grasslands.	68.8
	Structural type: Class 1=1	
Wooded forest	Vegetation with tree cover $\geq 10\%$	48.0
	Structural type: Class 2=1	
Broadleaf	Mainly Quercus robur, Castanea	14.3
	sativa, and Quercus pyrenaica in	
	pure and mixed stands.	
	Wooded formations (id_ForArb): 1, 3,	
	4, 8, 9, 13, 15, 18, 19, 29, 31, 33, 43,	
	44, 56, 63	
Conifer	Mainly Pinus pinaster in pure and	13.5
	mixed stands.	
	Wooded formations (id_ForArb): 21-	
	23, 25, 46, 58, 61, 62, 64, 65, 392, 393	
Eucalyptus	Mainly Eucalyptus globulus.	9.6
	Wooded formations (id_ForArb): 57	
Mixed forest	Mostly mixed broadleaf with conifer	10.6
	but also broadleaf with eucalyptus,	
	and mixed forest mainly Pinus	
	pinaster with Eucalyptus globulus. It	
	includes acacia wood, mostly Acacia	
	dealbata.	
	Wooded formations (id ForArb): 38,	
	41, 49, 66, 401, 402, 403	
Shrubland	Low and tall shrublands. It also	20.8
Sindoland	includes sparsely or non-vegetated	20.0
	areas (2.1% of the study area), and	
	natural vegetation dominated by	
	grasses and forbs (0.3% of the study	
	area).	
	Structural type: Class $2=2+3+4$	
Agricultural areas	Crops and diverse agriculture mosaics	27.7
c	and pastures.	
	Structural type: Class 1=2	
Artificial areas	Urban, industrial, infrastructures and	2.8
	other artificial areas	
	Structural type: Class 1=3	
Humid areas	Structural type: Class 1=4+5	0.7

	Total burned area		Burned area within WUI		
Forest districts (FD)		%		% /total FD	%WUI
	ha	/total	ha	burned	/total
		FD area		area	FD area
I. Ferrol	129.6	0.08	0,4	0.31	16.06
III. Santiago Meseta Interior.	233.1	0.10	2.2	0.94	16.01
VII. A Fonsagrada-Os Ancares	3,632.3	2.10	25.7	0.71	4.45
VIII. Terra de Lemos	626.0	0.32	5.3	0.85	8.91
IX. Lugo-Sarria	673.1	0.26	4.2	0.62	11.35
X. Terra Chá	18.5	0.01	0.0	0.00	10.64
XI. O Ribeiro- Arenteiro	7,292.2	7.61	259.0	3.55	11.99
XII. Miño-Arnoia	3,366.6	2.22	43.1	1.28	17.01
XIII. Valdeorras-Trives	2,701.0	1.58	15.9	0.59	5.82
XIV. Verín-Viana	1,971.6	1.12	3.0	0.15	5.03
XV. A Limia	3,011.0	2.26	13.3	0.44	6.70
XVI. Deza-Tabeirós	559.0	0.38	8.8	1.57	12.85
XVII. O Condado-A Paradanta	5,812.7	8.57	485.4	8.35	19.42
XVIII. Vigo-Baixo Miño	8,020.2	8.60	438.3	5.46	29.87
XIX. Caldas- O Salnés	4,267.1	3.04	136.1	3.19	24.96
Galicia	42,314.0	1.43	1,440.7	3.40	13.00

Table 3: Total burned area, burned area within WUI, and WUI by forest district.

	WUI		Non-WUI		Total burned area	
Land Cover	ha	%/total	ha	%/total	ha	%
Forest land	982.1	2.42	39527.0	97.58	40,509.1	95.73
- Wooded	750.4	3.74	19288.1	96.26	20,038.5	47.36
Broadleaf	112.5	2.53	4325.9	97.47	4,438.3	10.49
Coniferous	204.4	3.07	6461.8	96.93	6,666.2	15.75
Eucalyptus	184.9	3.58	4978.7	96.42	5,163.7	12.20
Mixed forest	248.6	6.59	3521.6	93.41	3770.2	8.90
- Shrubland	231.7	1.13	20238.9	98.87	20,470.6	48.38
Agricultural areas	405.3	25.96	1156.2	74.04	1,561.5	3.69
Artificial area	52.5	22.79	177.9	77.21	230.4	0.54
Humid areas	0.6	4.62	12.4	95.38	13.0	0.03
Total	1,440.5	3.40	40873.5	96.60	42,314.0	100.00

Table 4. Burned area by land cover type in WUI and non-WUI areas.



Fig. 1: Delimitation of burned areas caused by wildfires in Galicia in October 2017.





621 Fig. 2: Burned area and burn severity for October 2017 wildfires in Forest District XI

622 (Galicia).



624 Fig. 3: Burned area and burn severity for October 2017 wildfires in Forest District XVII

625 (Galicia).



627 Fig. 4: Burned area and burn severity for October 2017 wildfires in Forest District XVIII

628 (Galicia).



Fig. 5. Percentage of burned area in the WUI during October 2017 wildfires in the mostaffected forest districts and in Galicia.



Fig. 6. Proportion of people living within wildfires perimeters, and up to 1000 m, bymunicipality.







Fig. 7. Jacobs' selection index (J; mean \pm 95% confidence interval) with values of 0, 1 and -1 corresponding to indifference, preference and avoidance, respectively by land cover types in (a) WUI and (b) non-WUI areas.