



UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of *Best practice in reducing the suicide risk in head and neck cancer patients: a structured review*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/158740/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Twigg, JA, Anderson, JM, Humphris, G et al. (3 more authors) (2020) Best practice in reducing the suicide risk in head and neck cancer patients: a structured review. *British Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery*. ISSN 0266-4356

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjoms.2020.06.035>

© 2020 The British Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Best practice in reducing suicide risk in head and neck cancer patients: a structured review

Twigg JA¹, Anderson JM², Humphris G³, Nixon I⁴, Rogers SN⁵, Kanatas A⁶

1. Joshua Twigg, BDS(hons), PhD, Dental Core Trainee / Academic Clinical Fellow, Leeds Teaching Hospitals Trust and Leeds Dental Institute denjtw@leeds.ac.uk
2. Jane Anderson, BSc(hons) Trainee Advanced Care Practitioner/Registered Mental Health Nurse, Leeds Teaching Hospitals Trust
jane.anderson15@nhs.net
3. Professor Gerald Humphris, PhD, MCLinPsychol, PostgradDipSocEcon, University of St. Andrews.
gmh4@st-andrews.ac.uk
4. Dr Ioanna Nixon, MPH, PhD, FFMLM Consultant Clinical Oncologist The Beatson West of Scotland Cancer Centre and Visiting Professor, Strathclyde University, Glasgow
Ioanna.Nixon@strath.ac.uk
5. Professor Simon N Rogers, BDS, MBChB(hons), FDSRCS(Eng), FRCS(Eng), FRCS(max), MD, Consultant Surgeon / Professor, University Hospital Aintree and University of Liverpool.
simonn.rogers@aintree.nhs.uk
6. Professor Anastasios Kanatas, MFDSRCS, FRCS (OMFS), MD, PGC, FHEA. Consultant Surgeon / Professor, Leeds Teaching Hospitals and St James Institute of Oncology and Leeds Dental Institute.
a.kanatas@doctors.org.uk

Key words: Head and neck cancer, free tissue transfer, Suicide risk, Oncology, suicide prevention

Abstract

Treatment of head and neck cancer (HNC) is often radical and the patient journey can be challenging, especially for individuals struggling with pre-existing mental health problems and lacking social support. Patients frequently suffer from high levels of emotional distress at some point prior to, during, or after treatment and their risk of suicide is markedly elevated. This structured review aimed to identify the extent of the problem, appropriate interventions and areas of future research. We found that the incidence of suicide among HNC patients was significantly elevated above that of the demographically matched general population. Furthermore, suicide risk in HNC patients was frequently higher, than for all other cancer sites. Despite the clear burden of suicide in HNC patients, there is an absence of evidence evaluating interventions to reduce suicidal ideation and suicide risk. Recommendations for practice are made, drawing from the wider literature on suicide prevention.

Background

Head and neck cancers (HNCs) are the 7th most common form of cancer globally¹. In England, there were approximately 7601 cases of HNC diagnosed in 2011². Worryingly, this same report suggested that the incidence of HNC is rising, predicting a further rise in incidence of greater than 50% by 2025. Recent years have seen a change in the demographic of HNC patients due to a number of factors, including a rise in HPV-positive HNCs which tend to affect younger individuals and have a more favourable prognosis³. In keeping with these emerging trends in HNC aetiopathogenesis, there has been a concomitant increase in overall survival⁴. Consequently, renewed focus on enhanced quality of life in such patients is warranted.

Suicide is a potentially preventable cause of mortality in HNC patients⁵. Risk of suicide is markedly elevated among HNC patients compared to many other cancer types or demographically matched controls⁶. This increased risk may relate to the associated morbidity

that results from HNC and its treatment, including loss of masticatory function, impaired speech, disfigurement and associated dysphoria⁷. It has also been suggested that increases in suicide seen among cancer patients may reflect pre-cancer mental health problems⁸. Depression, anxiety and a number of psychological problems correlate strongly with lifestyle factors which increase HNC risk; most notably alcohol and tobacco use⁹. Despite widespread recognition that suicidal ideation and suicide is a significant problem in HNC survivors, there is a dearth of research evaluating interventions to identify, prevent or manage suicide risk in practice. It should be noted that while suicidal ideation (which ranges from “thinking about, considering or planning suicide”) is a risk factor for suicide, only a small proportion of those individuals expressing suicidal ideation will go on to commit suicide¹⁰. This review therefore seeks to:

- explore the available literature to determine the prevalence and attributable mortality of suicide in HNC survivors
- evaluate current practice aimed at identification of suicide or interventions to reduce suicide and suicidal ideation among such patients
- identify areas of further research need

Methods

The literature was searched systematically using the following databases: MEDLINE via Ovid, CINAHL, Embase, PsycINFO and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), using a combination of MESH subject headings and key terms (supplemental information) relating to suicide or suicidal ideation in head and neck cancer patients. Studies which reported suicide or suicidal ideation in head and neck cancer patients (at any stage of diagnosis or treatment) were included. No restrictions were imposed on the date of publication, study design or number of participants. Only original studies published in English were included. Where the primary outcome of a study did not relate to HNC specifically, the study

was included if it reported data for a cohort of HNC patients as a subgroup. Further hand-searching of previously published reviews on the subject and in the reference lists of included studies was undertaken.

Results and Discussion

The literature search identified 364 records after removal of duplicates. These records were screened independently by two authors (JA, JT). When there were disagreements regarding whether a paper should be included or excluded papers, two senior authors (AK,SNR) adjudicated to achieve consensus. Two further studies were identified through hand-searching of reference lists. Following screening, 37 full text records were obtained for further assessment, with 281 records excluded due to lack of relevance. After assessment, 18 articles were excluded with reasons provided (Table 1) and 19 included for further analysis. Figure 1 summarises the study selection process.

The majority of included studies were conducted in - or examined participants from - the USA (n=13). Of the remaining 6 studies, one study was conducted in Norway, Austria, Taiwan, England, Estonia and Canada, respectively. Fourteen of the included studies were secondary analyses of epidemiological databases and cancer registries. One study¹¹ reported a retrospective chart review of a single OMFS oncology centre. One study¹² employed a prospective longitudinal design, following 223 newly diagnosed HNC patients over 1 year in two head and neck surgery outpatient departments. Only one interventional study was included¹³. This randomised control trial evaluated the effect of citalopram to prevent major depressive disorder in the first 16 weeks after therapy for HNC. Although neither suicide nor suicidal ideation were specified outcome measures for this trial, both suicidal ideation and attempted suicide were reported as part of the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) assessment tool used in this study. Of the remaining 16 studies included, 15 used completed suicide as the primary outcome, while in 1 study suicidal ideation was the primary

outcome. A summary of the characteristics and relevant findings of included studies is provided in Table 2. Observational studies consistently found that suicide risk was increased patients with any type of cancer compared with aged, sex and race-matched general population controls. This was frequently expressed as the standardized mortality ratio (SMR), ranging from 1.2¹⁴ to 4.44¹⁵. In several studies comparing suicide rate by cancer site, HNC carried the highest suicide risks, with all studies reporting HNC as having an elevated suicide risk beyond all-site cancers. Estimates of SMR varied substantially between studies, ranging from 1.67¹⁴ to 37.1¹⁶. It should be noted that the high SMR reported by Massa et al.¹⁶ is considerably higher than has otherwise been reported. This may be related to limited analysis of SCCs within the study. Additionally, while cancer patient data was only recorded for the period of 2004-11, the general population mortality rate was generated from data spanning 1969-2014, despite considerable change in suicide trends over this time. Other studies within the same US cancer registry have found SMRs for suicide to range from 3.66¹⁷ to 6.08¹⁵. Most studies reported ethnicity (white), gender (male) and marital status (single, widowed or divorced) to carry an increased risk of suicide within the cohorts evaluated. In all such studies that explored the impact of time since diagnosis, the risk of suicide was highest in the first few months following HNC diagnosis and reduced substantially after 1 year. The discrepancy observed between mortality estimates from Henson et al.¹⁴ and the majority of similar population-level studies may reflect a number of factors. Most of the studies evaluated patient data from the US Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Program (SEER) registry. An important difference between the US and UK include access to firearms, which is the most common mode of suicide in the US¹⁸ compared with hanging in the UK¹⁹. The US population also has a higher rate of depression, anxiety and substance abuse compared with the UK²⁰, all of which may contribute to increased incidence of suicide. Critically, there are systematic disparities in the classification of death by suicide in each country. In the UK, the standard of proof for death by

suicide was aligned with that of criminal justice, i.e. 'beyond reasonable doubt'. This precedent was recently overturned in favour of a 'balance of probability'²¹, but this occurred after the period analysed by Henson et al.¹⁴. In the US, the standard of proof required differs across states and depends in part on whether the assignment of cause of death is undertaken by a medical examiner or coroner²². It remains unclear to what extent such factors may contribute to the differences observed between such studies.

A retrospective case note review by¹¹ identified 3 patients who had completed suicide, 2 patients who were documented as experiencing suicidal ideation, and 4 patients who refused further treatment or counselling, out of a total of 241 HNC patients seen over 5 years at a single US OMFS oncology centre. It is important to note that screening for suicidal ideation was not common practice during the time evaluated, so was likely underestimated. The authors identified hopelessness as a significant factor that may have triggered the 3 suicides, each of which could only be elucidated by an in-depth evaluation of the patients personal and social circumstances, preferences and values. Of note, all 3 patients who completed suicide were married with children and were reported to have strong social support in place. The small sample size evaluated limits the strength of any inferences that can be made from this observation but highlights the importance of individual context that is frequently lost in population-level assessments.

Henry et al.¹² conducted a prospective longitudinal study, consecutively recruiting 223 patients within the first 2 weeks of HNC diagnosis for 1 year. Participants completed a number of psychological tests, including the Beck Scale for Suicidal Ideation. They found a 1-year prevalence for suicidal ideation of 15.7% (n=35). Of these 35 suicidal patients, 71.4% (n=25) were assessed as at low risk for suicide, 20% (n= 7) at medium risk and 8.6% (n= 3) at high risk of suicide. During the study period, 2 patients attempted suicide and 1 (additional) patient completed suicide. The suicide risk assessment category was not reported in these patients.

Predictors of suicidality were self-reported psychiatric history and substance use as a mechanism of coping with HNC diagnosis. Further exploratory covariates identified as correlating with suicidality were reduced quality of life, increased HNC-related symptom burden (pain, speech impairment) and psychological distress (assessed by the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale). Importantly, the rate of suicidal ideation was approximately 4 times that seen in the general population, mirroring the disparity in rates of completed suicide observed in large-scale epidemiological studies.

In the only intervention trial identified (n=36), Lydiatt et al.¹³ suggested that a 12-week dose of 20-40 mg citalopram was effective in preventing major depressive disorder (MDD) in the first 16 weeks following cancer therapy, although the effect was not statistically significant with the exception of an improved global mood state score (measured by Clinician Global Impression-Severity scale). While not a prespecified outcome, the investigators captured data on suicidal ideation as part of their psychological assessment tool. They identified 2 patients expressing suicidal ideation in the control group, while no patients displayed suicidal thoughts in the intervention group. No patients were reported to have attempted or completed suicide during the study period. Importantly, this study was underpowered for the primary outcome, and certainly had not been powered to detect differences in suicidal ideation. However, it is reasonable to assume that effective prevention of MDD might lead to reduced suicidality in the HNC population, as this mechanism has been demonstrated for this intervention elsewhere²³.

With the exception of Lydiatt¹³, there is no available evidence evaluating interventions to reduce suicidal ideation or suicide in HNC populations, despite a substantial body of evidence demonstrating that such patients are at an increased risk of suicidality. A diagnosis of cancer is a highly psychologically distressing event²⁴, which can lead to substantial anxiety, depression and risk of suicide²⁵. Patients diagnosed with HNC in particular may carry additional psychosocial burdens of loss of normal speech, masticatory function and enjoyment

and disfigurement. These can directly impact on quality of life and lead to social isolation²⁶, which may both further increase the risk of psychiatric symptoms and suicide among such individuals. Furthermore, the incidence pre-existing psychiatric illness, substance abuse and suicidality are all elevated in HNC populations²⁷, marking this group as higher risk than the general population regardless of cancer diagnosis. Suicide is a complex phenomenon, that represents the outcome of interactions between a multitude of psychosocial, behavioural and physiological factors²⁸. The majority of suicide prevention strategies in the UK have focused on young and middle-aged men, people in the care of mental health services, people in contact with the criminal justice system, people with a history of self-harm and specific occupational groups such as medical staff and agricultural workers²⁹. The profile of HNC patients may not fall under the remit of such strategies, as patients are typically older, may not have experienced contact with mental health services (regardless of mental illness), nor have a known history of self-harm. It should be noted that while self-harm does not necessarily correlate with suicidality, self-harm in individuals over the age of 60 is much more likely to be 'high intent' and thus may be a more reliable indicator of suicide risk³⁰. Where interventions have been targeted specifically at elderly populations, these have primarily focused on primary care or community outreach models of delivery. Such programmes typically include multilevel interventions; education about treatment options, workshops and peer support groups, interpersonal or behavioural psychotherapy and psychiatric medication with stringent monitoring³¹. These interventions necessitate frequent follow-up (often fortnightly or monthly), which would be prohibitively time-intensive for secondary care providers, emphasizing the need for integration of secondary care with primary care and outreach facilities. Of note, one meta-analysis of Japanese data³² demonstrated a lack of effectiveness of an intervention delivered by GMPs, while the same intervention was found to be effective when delivered by a clinical psychiatrist, highlighting the reliance upon suitably trained staff

in psychosocial therapy. A short, intensive clinical intervention of nortriptyline hydrochloride combined with interpersonal psychotherapy showed promise in reducing suicidality, which was maintained with low relapse rates (up to 26%) over a subsequent period of 3 years of bimonthly maintenance treatment³³. Where sex differences in response to interventions are explored, female patients are often found to respond more favourably, with little or no impact in male patients³¹. This has obvious ramifications to HNC patients, where the majority of the burden of suicide is found in male patients. However, no studies have directly studied suicide prevention in HNC cancer patients, despite the important demographic differences in such individuals. Similarly, there is a dearth of literature exploring the relationship between suicide-related behaviours, such as deliberate self-harm, non-compliance with treatment and self-neglect and suicidal ideation or attempted/completed suicide. A number of studies have evaluated interventions aimed at improving mood and/or quality of life in HNC patients, reviewed in depth in Senchak et al.³⁴. While these studies may offer insights into the modality of interventions best suited to improve wellbeing and therefore decrease suicide risk in such patients, the overall quality of such evidence is poor, with low sample sizes, divergent outcome measures and short follow-up periods. No studies included suicidal ideation or suicide attempts/completion as explicit outcome measures, and all would certainly be underpowered to detect differences in these events. This knowledge gap represents an important shortfall in understanding and managing the complex interplay of events, cognitive and psychosocial processes that lead to suicide. As the event rate of completed suicides in HNC patients is relatively low, suicide may be perceived as a disproportionately low risk in individual units without formal and proactive screening to assess suicidal ideation and intent. Accurate assessment of treatment need, based on targeted suicide risk assessment combined with background factors such as history of psychiatric symptoms or care, sociodemographic factors

and contextual factors at an individual level may allow stratification of suicide risk and tailoring of treatment to the needs of each patient.

Summary of findings

Based on the evidence available, we found that:

- There is a significant increase in suicides in individuals with HNC that exceeds most other cancer types, ranging from an approximately 20% to 600% increase.
- The most at-risk patient profile is male, Caucasian and not married, with a history of psychiatric illness and substance abuse.
- Suicidal ideation among HNC patients appears to be significant, and largely unexplored. The degree to which such thoughts translate to attempted or completed suicide remains unknown.
- There is a dearth of research evaluating interventions to reduce suicidal ideation or suicide risk in HNC patients. This is a significant, unmet need for this population.

Recommendations for practice

- Assessment of mental state, including suicide risk assessment, should constitute an integral part of the management of HNC patients, from the time of diagnosis to at least 1 year following completion of therapy. Recurrent or new malignancies after primary therapy should ‘reset the clock’ on this screening process.
- Effective suicide prevention requires multilevel interventions, with a significant component delivered through primary care or community outreach and requires expert input from clinical psychiatry and psychology.
- A combination of pharmacological and psychosocial intervention, focusing on building peer support and resilience appears to be most effective for suicide prevention based on current limited evidence.

- Further research exploring suicidal ideation and suicide in HNC patients and evaluating interventions to reduce suicidal thoughts and suicide risk is urgently needed to address this preventable competing cause of mortality.

Conflict of interest: The authors have no conflict of interest to report

References

1. Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Ervik M, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C. GLOBOCAN 2012 v1.0, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC Cancer Base No 11. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2012.
2. Louie KS, Mehanna H, Sasieni P. Trends in head and neck cancers in England from 1995 to 2011 and projections up to 2025. *Oral Oncol.* 2015;51:341-8.
3. McCarthy CE, Field JK, Rajlawat BP, Field AE, Marcus MW. Trends and regional variation in the incidence of head and neck cancers in England: 2002 to 2011. *International Journal of Oncology.* 2015;47:204-10.
4. Pulte D, Brenner H. Changes in Survival in Head and Neck Cancers in the Late 20th and Early 21st Century: A Period Analysis. *Oncologist.* 2010;15:994-1001.
5. Massa ST, Osazuwa-Peters N, Christopher KM, Arnold LD, Schootman M, Walker RJ, et al. Competing causes of death in the head and neck cancer population. *Oral Oncol.* 2017;65:8-15.
6. Zaorsky NG, Zhang Y, Tuanquin L, Bluethmann SM, Park HS, Chinchilli VM. Suicide among cancer patients. *Nat Commun.* 2019;10.
7. Boyes H, Barraclough J, Ratansi R, Rogers SN, Kanatas A. Structured review of the patient-reported outcome instruments used in clinical trials in head and neck surgery. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2018 Apr;56(3):161-167.
8. Klaassen Z, Wallis CJD, Chandrasekar T, Goldberg H, Sayyid RK, Williams SB, et al. Cancer diagnosis and risk of suicide after accounting for prediagnosis psychiatric care: A matched-cohort study of patients with incident solid-organ malignancies. *Cancer.* 2019;125:2886-95.
9. McCarter K, Baker AL, Britton B, Wolfenden L, Wratten C, Bauer J, et al. Smoking, drinking, and depression: comorbidity in head and neck cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy. *Cancer Medicine.* 2018;7:2382-90.
10. Klonsky ED, May AM, Saffer BY. Suicide, suicide attempts, and suicidal ideation. *Annual review of clinical psychology.* 2016;12:307-30.
11. Henderson JM, Ord RA. Suicide in head and neck cancer patients. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 1997;55:1217-21; discussion 21-2.
12. Henry M, Rosberger Z, Bertrand L, Klassen C, Hier M, Zeitouni A, et al. Prevalence and Risk Factors of Suicidal Ideation in Head and Neck Cancer Patients: Longitudinal Study. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management.* 2018;56 (6):e53.

13. Lydiatt WM, Denman D, McNeilly DP, Puumula SE, Burke WJ. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of citalopram for the prevention of major depression during treatment for head and neck cancer. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2008;134:528-35.
14. Henson K, Brock R, Charnock J, Wickramasinghe B, Will O, Pitman A. Risk of Suicide After Cancer Diagnosis in England. *JAMA psychiatry.* 2019;76:51-60.
15. Nicholas GZ, Ying Z, Leonard T, Shirley MB, Henry SP, Vernon MC. Suicide among cancer patients. *Nat Commun.* 2019;10:1-7.
16. Bond SM, Hawkins DK, Murphy BA. Caregiver-reported neuropsychiatric symptoms in patients undergoing treatment for head and neck cancer: a pilot study. *Cancer Nursing.* 2014;37:227-35.
17. Misono S, Weiss NS, Fann JR, Redman M, Yueh B. Incidence of suicide in persons with cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26:4731-8.
18. Barber CW, Miller MJ. Reducing a suicidal person's access to lethal means of suicide: a research agenda. *American journal of preventive medicine.* 2014;47:S264-S72.
19. Statistics OfN. Suicides in the UK: 2018 registrations. Registered deaths in the UK from suicide analysed by sex, age, area of usual residence of the deceased and suicide method. 2018.
20. Organization WH. Depression and other common mental disorders: global health estimates. World Health Organization; 2017.
21. Appleby L, Turnbull P, Kapur N, Gunnell D, Hawton K. New standard of proof for suicide at inquests in England and Wales. *British Medical Journal Publishing Group;* 2019.
22. Rockett IR, Kapusta ND, Coben JH. Beyond suicide: action needed to improve self-injury mortality accounting. *JAMA psychiatry.* 2014;71:231-2.
23. Barak Y, Olmer A, Aizenberg D. Antidepressants reduce the risk of suicide among elderly depressed patients. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2006;31:178-81.
24. Mehnert A, Hartung T, Friedrich M, Vehling S, Brähler E, Härter M, et al. One in two cancer patients is significantly distressed: Prevalence and indicators of distress. *Psycho-oncology.* 2018;27:75-82.
25. Fang C-K, Chang M-C, Chen P-J, Lin C-C, Chen G-S, Lin J, et al. A correlational study of suicidal ideation with psychological distress, depression, and demoralization in patients with cancer. *Support Care Cancer.* 2014;22:3165-74.
26. Penner JL. Psychosocial care of patients with head and neck cancer. *Semin Oncol Nurs: Elsevier;* 2009. p. 231-41.

27. Duffy SA, Ronis DL, Valenstein M, Fowler KE, Lambert MT, Bishop C, et al. Depressive symptoms, smoking, drinking, and quality of life among head and neck cancer patients. *Psychosomatics*. 2007;48:142-8.
28. O'Connor RC, Nock MK. The psychology of suicidal behaviour. *The Lancet Psychiatry*. 2014;1:73-85.
29. Health Do, Care S. Preventing suicide in England: Fourth progress report of the cross-government outcomes strategy to save lives. 2019.
30. Hawton K, Harriss L. How often does deliberate self-harm occur relative to each suicide? A study of variations by gender and age. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*. 2008;38:650-60.
31. Lapierre S, Erlangsen A, Waern M, De Leo D, Oyama H, Scocco P, et al. A systematic review of elderly suicide prevention programs. *Crisis*. 2011.
32. Oyama H, Sakashita T, Ono Y, Goto M, Fujita M, Koida J. Effect of community-based intervention using depression screening on elderly suicide risk: a meta-analysis of the evidence from Japan. *Community Mental Health Journal*. 2008;44:311-20.
33. Szanto K, Mulsant BH, Houck PR, Miller MD, Mazumdar S, Reynolds III CF. Treatment outcome in suicidal vs. non-suicidal elderly patients. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*. 2001;9:261-8.
34. Senchak JJ, Fang CY, Bauman JR. Interventions to improve quality of life (QOL) and/or mood in patients with head and neck cancer (HNC): a review of the evidence. *Cancers of the head & neck*. 2019;4:2.
35. Innos K, Rahu K, Rahu M, Baburin A. Suicides among cancer patients in Estonia: a population-based study. *Eur J Cancer*. 2003;39:2223-8.
36. Hem E, Loge JH, Haldorsen T, Ekeberg Ø. Suicide risk in cancer patients from 1960 to 1999. *J Clin Oncol*. 2004;22:4209-16.
37. Kendal WS. Suicide and cancer: A gender-comparative study. *Ann Oncol*. 2007;18:381-7.
38. Schneider KL, Shenassa E. Correlates of suicide ideation in a population-based sample of cancer patients. *J Psychosoc Oncol*. 2008;26:49-62.
39. Yu GP, Mehta V, Branovan D, Huang Q, Schantz SP. Non-cancer-related deaths from suicide, cardiovascular disease, and pneumonia in patients with oral cavity and oropharyngeal squamous carcinoma. *Archives of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery*. 2012;138:25-32.
40. Oberaigner W, Sperner-Unterweger B, Fiegl M, Geiger-Gritsch S, Haring C. Increased suicide risk in cancer patients in Tyrol/Austria. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2014;36:483-7.

41. Kam D, Salib A, Gorgy G, Patel TD, Carniol ET, Eloy JA, et al. Incidence of Suicide in Patients With Head and Neck Cancer. *JAMA Otolaryngology-- Head & Neck Surgery*. 2015;141:1075-81.
42. Osazuwa-Peters N, Arnold LD, Loux TM, Varvares MA, Schootman M. Factors associated with increased risk of suicide among survivors of head and neck cancer: A population-based analysis. *Oral Oncol*. 2018;81:29-34.
43. Osazuwa-Peters N, Simpson MC, Zhao L, Boakye EA, Olomukoro SI, Deshields T, et al. Suicide risk among cancer survivors: Head and neck versus other cancers. *Cancer*. 2018;124:4072-9.
44. Wang SM, Chang JC, Weng SC, Yeh MK, Lee CS. Risk of suicide within 1 year of cancer diagnosis. *Int J Cancer*. 2018;142:1986-93.
45. Thavarajah R, Mohandoss AA, Joshua E, Rao UK, Ranganathan K. Is suicide a significant contributor to mortality in head and neck cancer-A surveillance, epidemiology, and end results database study. *Journal of Global Oral Health• Volume*. 2018;1:37.
46. Henry M, Rosberger Z, Bertrand L, Klassen C, Hier M, Zeitouni A, et al. Prevalence and Risk Factors of Suicidal Ideation among Patients with Head and Neck Cancer: Longitudinal Study. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2018;159:843-52.
47. Lydiatt WM, Denman D, McNeilly DP, Puumala SE, Burke WJ. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of citalopram for the prevention of major depression during treatment for head and neck cancer. *Archives of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery*. 2008;134:528-32.
48. Aboumradi M, Shiner B, Riblet N, Mills PD, Watts BV. Factors contributing to cancer-related suicide: A study of root-cause analysis reports. *Psycho-Oncology*. 2018;27:2237-44.
49. Hernandez Blazquez M, Cruzado JA. A longitudinal study on anxiety, depressive and adjustment disorder, suicide ideation and symptoms of emotional distress in patients with cancer undergoing radiotherapy. *J Psychosom Res*. 2016;87:14-21.
50. Mallet J, Huillard O, Goldwasser F, Dubertret C, Le Strat Y. Mental disorders associated with recent cancer diagnosis: Results from a nationally representative survey. *Eur J Cancer*. 2018;105:10-8.
51. Sengul MCB, Kaya V, Sen CA, Kaya K. Association between suicidal ideation and behavior, and depression, anxiety, and perceived social support in cancer patients. *Medical Science Monitor*. 2014;20:329-36.
52. Walker J, Waters RA, Murray G, Swanson H, Hibberd CJ, Rush RW, et al. Better off dead: suicidal thoughts in cancer patients. *J Clin Oncol*. 2008;26:4725-30.

53. Anguiano L, Mayer DK, Piven ML, Rosenstein D. A literature review of suicide in cancer patients. *Cancer Nursing*. 2012;35:E14-26.
54. Ferlito A, Haigentz M, Jr., Bradley PJ, Suarez C, Strojjan P, Wolf GT, et al. Causes of death of patients with laryngeal cancer. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol*. 2014;271:425-34.
55. Friedland CJ. Head and Neck Cancer: Identifying Depression as a Comorbidity Among Patients. *Clin J Oncol Nurs*. 2019;23:99-102.
56. Friedlander AH, Rosenbluth SC, Rubin RT. The adult suicide-prone patient: a review of the medical literature and implications for oral and maxillofacial surgeons. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg*. 2012;70:1253-60.
57. Haisfield-Wolfe ME, McGuire DB, Soeken K, Geiger-Brown J, De Forge BR. Prevalence and correlates of depression among patients with head and neck cancer: a systematic review of implications for research. *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 2009;36:E107-25.
58. Harris EC, Barraclough BM. Suicide as an outcome for medical disorders. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 1994;73:281-96.
59. Lydiatt WM, Moran J, Burke WJ. A review of depression in the head and neck cancer patient. *Clinical Advances in Hematology & Oncology*. 2009;7:397-403.
60. Purushotham A, Bains S, Lewison G, Szmukler G, Sullivan R. Cancer and mental health--a clinical and research unmet need. *Ann Oncol*. 2013;24:2274-8.
61. Shuman AG, Duffy SA, Ronis DL, Garetz SL, McLean SA, Fowler KE, et al. Predictors of poor sleep quality among head and neck cancer patients. *Laryngoscope*. 2010;120:1166-72.
62. Smith J, Shuman A, Riba M, Smith JD, Shuman AG, Riba MB. Psychosocial Issues in Patients with Head and Neck Cancer: an Updated Review with a Focus on Clinical Interventions. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*. 2017;19:1-11.
63. Mahalingam S, Spielmann P. Quality of Life Outcomes following Treatment of Hypopharyngeal Cancer. *Adv Otorhinolaryngol*. 2019;83:126-34.
64. Williams C. Psychosocial Distress and Distress Screening in Multidisciplinary Head and Neck Cancer Treatment. *Otolaryngol Clin North Am*. 2017;50:807-23.
65. Panwar A, Rieke K, Burke WJ, Sayles H, Lydiatt WM, Prevention of Depression in Patients Being Treated for H, et al. Identification of Baseline Characteristics Associated With Development of Depression Among Patients With Head and Neck Cancer: A Secondary Analysis of a Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Otolaryngology-- Head & Neck Surgery*. 2018;144:1004-10.
66. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Medicine*. 2009;6:e1000097.

Table 1: Studies included in this review

Author(s)	Study design	Participants & Setting	Outcomes & Effect size (SMR for suicide vs. reference population; 95% CI unless otherwise specified)	Comments
Innos et al. ³⁵	Retrospective Cohort	65,419 patients with any cancer vs. Estonian general population. Diagnosed 1983 – 2000. Secondary analysis of Estonian Cancer Registry.	Overall: Male 1.73 (1.45-2.01) Female 0.5 (0.37 - 0.6) Lip, oral cavity & pharynx Days post diagnosis: 0-89 Males 0.00 (0.00-7.94) Females 0.00 (0.00-51.16) 90-179 Males 7.41 (1.53-21.64) Females 15.18 (0.38-4.59) 180- 364 Males 6.2 (1.69-15.88) Females 0.00 (0.00– 31.31)	Low number of suicides overall (197 total). Number of HNC patients not specified.
Hem, Loge ³⁶	Retrospective Cohort	490245 patients with any cancer vs. Norwegian general population. Diagnosed 1960 – 1997. Secondary analysis of Cancer Registry of Norway.	All cancers: 589 suicides (0.0012%) SMR 1.48 (1.37-1.61) Buccal cavity & pharynx 27 suicides SMRs: Male 1.55 (0.96-2.32) Female 3.67 (1.35-7.99)	Low numbers of suicides within HNC cohort. HNC cohort size not specified.
Kendal ³⁷	Retrospective Cohort	1.3m patients with any cancer vs. USA general population. Diagnosed 1973 -2001. Secondary analysis of SEER database USA.	All cancers: Suicide HR ¹ by gender Males 6.2 (5.4-7.1) HNC (n=80747): Suicide HR ¹ by gender Males 4.7 (2.98-7.3) Males – 99 suicides (0.32%) Females – 7 suicides (0.05%) Factors associated with increased HR for suicide: Surgery – contraindicated or refused Site – pharyngeal involvement Stage/grade - advanced and less differentiated Marital status - single, widowed or divorced Race – white	HNC has highest HR for suicide of all cancer sites, the highest risk of suicide for males and the 4 th highest for females. Large, well-defined HNC cohort.
Misono, Weiss ¹⁷	Retrospective Cohort	3.5m patients with any cancer vs. USA general population.	All cancers: 1.88 (1.83-1.93) Oral cavity/pharynx (n=51807)	Large HNC cohort. Up to 30 y follow-up data.

		Diagnosed 1973 – 2002. Secondary analysis of SEER database USA.	SMR 3.66 (3.16-4.22) Male – 3.71 (3.18-4.31) Female – 3.23 (1.96-5.03) Time since diagnosis 0-5 y 4.65 (3.92-5.48) 5-10 y 2.23 (1.47-3.23) 10-15 y 1.81 (0.87-3.29) 15-30 y 3.38 (1.75-5.85)	
Schneider and Shenassa ³⁸	Retrospective Cohort	980 patients with any cancer vs. USA general population. Died in 1993. Secondary analysis of 6 th National Mortality Followback Survey USA.	Suicidal ideation as reported by family in the last year of life All cancers: 17.7% (n=156) Lung/respiratory/oral cancers: AOR ² 5.74 (3.04-9.98) Rate of reported suicidal ideation – 30% (n=63, estimated from available data).	HNC has the highest AOR for suicidal ideation of all cancer sites. Small sample size and indirect method of ascertaining suicidal ideation. HNC grouped with respiratory/lung cancers – limited specificity.
Yu, Mehta ³⁹	Retrospective Cohort	32487 HNC patients vs. USA general population. Diagnosed 1980-2007. Secondary analysis of SEER database USA.	Suicide prevalence by time period observed: 1980-84 – 0.4% (n=3) 1990-94 – 0.6% (n=5) 2000-03 – 1.3% (n=8) 2004-07 – 1.8% (n=10) Year of diagnosis (data for 2000-2007 cohorts only) 1 - 7.8 (4.6-12.4) 2 - 3.7 (1.8-6.6) 3 - 2.5 (0.5-7.3) Factors associated with increased suicide risk: Treatment - no treatment, radiation or surgery alone Site - Pharynx, oral cavity Stage – distant spread/unstaged Sex - Male (100% of suicides) Age - elevated for patients age >45 y, peaks in 55-64 y age group Marital status - single, divorced or widowed Race - white	Low number of suicides (n=32). Suicide in HNC cohort is a primary outcome.

Oberaigner, Sperner-Unterweger ⁴⁰	Retrospective Cohort	53803 patients with any cancer vs. Austrian general population. Diagnosed 1991 – 2010. Secondary analysis of Cancer Incidence in Five Continents Database for cases diagnosed in Tyrol.	All cancers: 1.86 (1.57-2.19) HNC: 4.73 (2.52-8.09) Male (n=12) - 4.92 (2.54-8.60) Female (n=1) – 3.22 (0.08-17.95)	HNC has the highest risk for suicide of all cancer sites. HNC cohort size not specified. Low number of suicides in HNC cohort (n=13).
Kam, Salib ⁴¹	Retrospective Cohort	350413 HNC patients vs. USA general population. Diagnosed 1973 – 2011. Secondary analysis of SEER database USA.	3.21 (2.18-4.23) Male (n=757) – 3.67 (2.80-4.53) Female (n=100) 1.62 (0.53-2.71) 857 suicides (prevalence of 2.45 per 100000) Factors associated with increased suicide risk: Site – hypopharynx > larynx > oral cavity/oropharynx > nasopharynx Stage – regional/distant spread Treatment – radiation only/no treatment Race – white Marital status – Unmarried Time since diagnosis –5 y	Marked elevation in suicide risk in first 5 y since diagnosis (3-5x risk vs. 5-10 y). Large, well-defined cohort of HNC patients with up to 30 y follow-up data. Suicide in HNC cohort primary outcome.
Massa, Osazuwa-Peters ⁵	Retrospective Cohort	64598 HNC patients (SCC) vs. USA general population Diagnosed 2004-11. Secondary analysis of SEER database USA.	37.1 (26.1-48.6) (n=1163; prevalence 1.8%)	Sample limited to patients with SCC. Discrepancy between HNSCC population diagnosis/mortality times and general population times – may skew results.
Osazuwa-Peters, Arnold ⁴²	Retrospective Cohort	287901 HNC patients vs. USA general population. Diagnosed 1973 – 2014. Secondary analysis of SEER database USA.	1036 suicides (prevalence 3.6 per 100000). Factors associated with increased aRR ³ for suicide: Site – hypopharynx > nasopharynx > oropharynx > larynx Stage – regional Treatment – none No. of primary tumours – single primary Sex – male Age - ≥70 > 60-69 > 40-59 Race – white Marital status – widowed	No influence of HPV relatedness on suicidality. Large, well-defined cohort
Osazuwa-Peters, Simpson ⁴³	Retrospective Cohort	4.2 million patients with any cancer vs. USA general population.	All cancers: 4493 suicides (prevalence 1.1 per 100000)	Most suicides (all cancers) in males >50 years of age

		Diagnosed 2000 – 2014.	Suicide (HNC) n=404 (2.7 per 100000)	Large, well-defined HNC cohort directly compared with other cancer sites.
		Secondary analysis of SEER database USA.	HNC aRR ³ 1.97 (1.77-2.19) vs. other cancer patients	Suicide rates increasing from 2000-04 to 2010-14.
Wang, Chang ⁴⁴	Retrospective Case-Crossover	2907 patients with any cancer vs. 52523 Taiwan general population, who had committed suicide between 2000-07. Secondary analysis of the National Health Insurance Research Database Taiwan.	Suicide (all cancers) n=2907 (0.68% of all deaths) Lip, oral cavity & pharynx n=445 (1.34% of all deaths)	HNC has the highest risk for suicide of all cancer sites. Unusual study design with self-controlled samples using pre-diagnosis data as reference.
Henson, Brock ¹⁴	Retrospective Cohort	4,722,099 patients with any cancer vs. English general population. Diagnosed 1995 – 2015. Secondary analysis of National Cancer Registration and Analysis Service England.	All cancers: 2491 suicides (prevalence 0.05%) SMR 1.2 (1.16-1.25) HNC n=176 (prevalence 0.1%) SMR 1.67 (1.44-1.94)	Large, well defined cohort. Only study conducted in UK. NB – substantial change in classification of suicide as cause of death since data collection (see discussion).
Klaassen, Wallis ⁸	Retrospective Cohort	676,470 patients with any cancer vs. USA general population (n=2,152,682) Diagnosed 1997 – 2014. Secondary analysis of SEER database USA.	All cancers: HR ⁴ = 1.34 (1.22-1.48) Oral cancer: HR 2.55 (1.59-4.12)	HNC has the highest risk for suicide of all cancer sites. Suicide rates increasing from 1997-2002 to 2009-14.
Thavarajah et al. ⁴⁵	Retrospective Cohort	218,048 HNC patients. Diagnosed 1973 – 2014. Secondary analysis of SEER database USA.	774 suicides (prevalence 0.35%) Factors associated with increased suicide risk: Sex - male (91.7% of suicides) Race - caucasian (93% of suicides) Time since diagnosis - <6 months	Large HNC population. SMRs or equivalent statistics to quantify suicide risk not reported for specific clinical/demographic factors.
Zaorsky, Zhang ⁶	Retrospective Cohort	8,651,569 patients with any cancer vs. USA general population.	All cancers: 13311 suicides (prevalence 0.15%) SMR 4.44 (4.33-4.55)	HNC cohort size not specified.

		Diagnosed 1973 – 2014. Secondary analysis of SEER database USA.	HNCs: 81 suicides SMR 6.08 (5.44-6.76) <1 y after diagnosis 12.57 (9.99-15.28) 1-5 y after diagnosis 6.99 (5.87-8.25) >5 y after diagnosis 4.01 (3.31-4.81) Male n=74 SMR 5.97 (5.32-6.68) Female n=7 SMR 7.45 (4.95-10.77)	Low number of suicides in HNC cohorts.
Henderson and Ord ¹¹	Chart Review	241 HNC patients. Attending University of Maryland OMFS oncology service 1991 – 1996 USA.	Primary outcome - Suicide, suicidal ideation or therapy refusal. 3 suicides (1.2%) 2 patients with suicidal ideation (0.8%) 4 refused treatment or counselling (1.7%)	All patients who committed suicide were males over 40 years of age, married with children and had social support.
Henry, Rosberger ⁴⁶	Prospective Longitudinal	223 consecutive HNC patients seen within 2 weeks of diagnosis at 2 head and neck surgery outpatient departments in Canada.	35 (15.7%) HNC patients suicidal <1 year from diagnosis. Suicidal ideation rates over time: • 18 (8.1%) <2 weeks • 33 (14.8%) 3 months • 21 (9.4%) 6 months • 23 (10.4%) 12 months	Beck Scale for Suicidal Ideation and Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR Axis I Disorders used to assess suicidal ideation.
Lydiatt, Denman ⁴⁷	Double-blinded Randomised Control Trial	36 HNC patients. Randomised in academic outpatient setting USA, July 2002-April 2005.	Citalopram reduced MDD diagnosis by 19% (-59-21). 2 patients expressing suicidal ideation in control group vs. 0 in intervention group.	Intervention – 12 week course of 20-40 mg citalopram. Sixteen-week follow-up only. Study powered for 80 patients but stopped early due to logistical problems.

Table 2: Excluded studies

Author(s)	Study Design	Reason for exclusion
Aboumrad, Shiner ⁴⁸	Root cause analyses	No HNC data reported
Hernandez Blazquez and Cruzado ⁴⁹	Longitudinal	No specific HNC outcomes reported
Mallet, Huillard ⁵⁰	Cross sectional	No specific HNC outcomes reported
Sengul, Kaya ⁵¹	Cross sectional	No specific HNC outcomes reported
Walker, Waters ⁵²	Cross sectional	HNC cohort not included
Anguiano, Mayer ⁵³	Review	No primary data
Ferlito, Haigentz ⁵⁴	Review	No primary data
Friedland ⁵⁵	Review	No primary data
Friedlander, Rosenbluth ⁵⁶	Review	No primary data
Haisfield-Wolfe et al. ⁵⁷	Review	No primary data
Harris and Barraclough ⁵⁸	Review	No primary data
Lydiatt, Moran ⁵⁹	Review	No primary data
Purushotham, Bains ⁶⁰	Review	No primary data
Shuman, Duffy ⁶¹	Review	No primary data
Smith, Shuman ⁶²	Review	No primary data
Mahalingam and Spielmann ⁶³	Review	No primary data
Williams ⁶⁴	Review	No Primary data
Panwar, Rieke ⁶⁵	Secondary analysis of RCT	Suicide/suicidal ideation not reported as an outcome

Figure 1: PRISMA Diagram⁶⁶

