



Deposited via The University of York.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/157745/>

---

**Article:**

Ferrari, Julia, Smee, Melanie and Heyworth, Eleanor Rose (Accepted: 2020) Aphid facultative symbionts aid recovery of their obligate symbiont and their host after heat stress. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*. ISSN: 2296-701X (In Press)

---

**Reuse**

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

# Aphid facultative symbionts aid recovery of their obligate symbiont and their host after heat stress

1 Eleanor R. Heyworth<sup>#</sup>, Melanie R. Smee<sup>1#</sup> and Julia Ferrari\*

2 Department of Biology, University of York, York, United Kingdom

3

4 <sup>1</sup> Current Address: Microbiology Department, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

5 <sup>#</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work

6 \* **Correspondence:**

7 Julia Ferrari

8 julia.ferrari@york.ac.uk

9 **Keywords:** *Acyrtosiphon pisum*; *Buchnera aphidicola*; facultative symbiont; heat stress; insect  
10 symbionts; quantitative PCR; symbiosis

## 11 Abstract

12 Environmental conditions affect insect fitness, with many species constrained by specific temperature  
13 ranges. Aphids are limited to temperate climates and it is hypothesized that this is partly due to their  
14 heat-susceptible obligate nutritional symbiont *Buchnera*. Aphids often carry additional facultative  
15 symbionts which can increase the host's fitness after heat stress. Here we used the pea aphid  
16 (*Acyrtosiphon pisum*) and three of its facultative endosymbionts (*Candidatus Regiella insecticola*,  
17 *Candidatus Fukatsuia symbiotica* (X-type; PAXS), and *Candidatus Hamiltonella defensa*) to  
18 investigate how these species respond to heat stress and whether their presence affects the fitness of  
19 the host or the obligate symbiont. We exposed aphid lines to a single high temperature event and  
20 measured lifetime fecundity and population densities of both obligate and facultative symbionts.  
21 Heat shock reduced aphid fecundity, but for aphids infected with two of the facultative symbionts  
22 (*Regiella* or *Fukatsuia*), this reduction was less than in uninfected aphids. The population density of  
23 *Buchnera* was also reduced after heat shock, and only recovered in aphids infected with *Regiella* or  
24 *Fukatsuia* but not in uninfected aphids or those with *Hamiltonella*. Although heat shock initially  
25 reduced the densities of two of the facultative symbionts (*Hamiltonella* and *Fukatsuia*), all facultative  
26 symbiont densities recovered by adulthood. Two of the facultative symbionts tested therefore aided  
27 the recovery of the obligate symbiont and the host, and we discuss possible underlying mechanisms.  
28 Our work highlights the beneficial effects of protective symbionts on obligate symbiont recovery  
29 after heat stress and how facultative symbionts may affect the wider ecological community.

## 30 1 Introduction

31 It is well established that infection with bacterial symbionts can affect an insect host's biology.  
32 Reproductive fitness, insect behavior, immune pathway function, and responses to natural enemies  
33 may all be influenced by the presence of endosymbionts (Dion et al., 2011; Gerardo and Parker,  
34 2014; Vorburger, 2014; Martinez et al., 2015). By improving the ecological fitness of a host through  
35 raising its immunity to natural enemies, or by enhancing its tolerance to environmental stress, a

36 vertically transmitted symbiont increases its own fitness (Oliver et al., 2005; Brownlie and Johnson,  
37 2009).

38 Rising global temperatures are already affecting insect populations; there is evidence of range shifts  
39 (Parmesan and Yohe, 2003), changes in phenology (Walther et al., 2002) and interactions with  
40 predators and parasitoids (Harrington et al., 1999; Schmitz and Barton, 2014). For several insect  
41 groups infection with various bacterial symbionts has been shown to enhance resistance to  
42 temperature stress (Corbin et al., 2017). These effects may be direct symbiont-mediated host  
43 protection (Montllor et al., 2002; Neelakanta et al., 2010; Brumin et al., 2011) or indirect effects of  
44 temperature on the symbiont itself (Chen et al., 2009; Bordenstein and Bordenstein, 2011).

45 There are a number of hypotheses for the mechanisms underlying indirect symbiont-mediated  
46 protection from heat. Infection with symbionts has been shown to increase the expression of immune  
47 system genes (Laughton et al., 2013), and it is hypothesized that this immune response controls the  
48 bacteria, restricting growth or location and protecting the host from microbial over-proliferation  
49 (Kwong et al., 2017; Maire et al., 2018). It may also bestow temperature tolerance as a by-product.  
50 For example, infection of *Rickettsia* in whiteflies leads to the upregulation of stress-response genes in  
51 the host and thus increases survival of the insect under heat shock (Brumin et al., 2011); similarly,  
52 insects infected with bacterial symbionts often produce more immune cells than those that are  
53 uninfected (Schmitz et al., 2012; Weiss et al., 2012; Laughton et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2015). There  
54 are close links between insect responses to heat and to infection - many heat shock proteins are  
55 chaperones that aid protein production and refolding post-stress, and they may also enhance immune  
56 responses (Young et al., 1993).

57 Instead of indirectly affecting the host's stress or immune responses, symbionts may themselves  
58 produce and release heat shock proteins or metabolites that directly protect the host or other microbes  
59 that the host depends on (i.e. obligate symbionts) (Burke et al., 2010a). Obligate symbionts are often  
60 a thermal "weak link" and more susceptible to temperature extremes than their hosts (Corbin et al.,  
61 2017; Shan et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2019). Shielding an obligate symbiont from thermal damage  
62 would therefore benefit both the host and all of its symbionts. For example, in pea aphids that  
63 experience heat shock, the density of the obligate nutritional symbiont *Buchnera aphidicola* is  
64 usually reduced, but is maintained at near normal levels in aphids that carry the facultative symbiont  
65 *Candidatus Serratia insecticola* (hereafter *Serratia*) (Burke et al., 2010). It is also plausible that  
66 facultative symbionts might be directly protecting the host by replacing an obligate symbiont that is  
67 no longer able to perform its function. When the obligate symbiont *Buchnera* is removed using  
68 antibiotics at benign temperatures, *Serratia* in pea aphids moved into the bacteriocytes vacated by  
69 *Buchnera* and subsequently allow the stressed aphid to survive and reproduce (Koga et al., 2003,  
70 2007).

71 Pea aphids, *Acyrtosiphon pisum*, are a model system for understanding how facultative symbionts  
72 protect their hosts from thermal stress. They and their obligate symbiont are typically intolerant to  
73 heat in laboratory populations (Dixon et al., 1987; Dunbar et al., 2007), but three of their eight  
74 potential facultative symbionts (*Serratia*, *Candidatus Fukatsua symbiotica* and *Candidatus*  
75 *Hamiltonella defensa*; hereafter *Fukatsua* and *Hamiltonella*, respectively) are known to improve  
76 survival or reproduction after heat shock (Montllor et al., 2002; Koga et al., 2003; Russell and  
77 Moran, 2006; Heyworth and Ferrari, 2015). The obligate symbiont *Buchnera* synthesises essential  
78 amino acids for the host, which are required for aphids to thrive on their imbalanced diet of plant  
79 phloem sap (Douglas, 1998). *Buchnera* has a highly reduced genome (Moran, 1996; Gómez-Valero  
80 et al., 2007) and some genotypes are susceptible to high temperatures; under heat stress, just five  
81 protective heat shock proteins are deployed (Wilcox et al., 2003) compared to over 75 in its free

82 living relative *Escherichia coli* (Carruthers and Minion, 2009) and during severe heat shock  
83 *Buchnera* can be killed.

84 While the costs and benefits of infection are being explored in a broad spectrum of insect species,  
85 relatively little is known about how different facultative symbionts confer increased heat tolerance to  
86 their hosts, and how these mechanisms vary depending on symbiont species. Understanding how  
87 insects can and will respond to increases in temperature is vital to accurately model current and  
88 future populations. We investigate whether three common facultative symbionts of the pea aphid  
89 (*Candidatus Regiella insecticola* (hereafter *Regiella*), *Fukatsuia* and *Hamiltonella*) protect the host  
90 and how they respond to heat stress themselves. Importantly, we test whether the protection from the  
91 effects of heat co-occur with the protection of the obligate symbiont *Buchnera*. We test whether the  
92 facultative symbionts directly protect *Buchnera*, allow it to recover after heat stress or protect the  
93 host by replacing *Buchnera* and whether this mode of protection is similar for all tested facultative  
94 symbionts.

## 95 **2 Materials and Methods**

### 96 **2.1 Aphids and symbionts**

97 Rapid, asexual reproduction results in clonal lines of aphids that can be kept indefinitely under long-  
98 day conditions. This allows the manipulation of facultative symbiont presence through antibiotic  
99 curing or artificial infections while maintaining an essentially identical aphid genotype. Two pea  
100 aphid genotypes were used for this study, both collected from the UK (Table S1). Genotype 218 was  
101 collected naturally infected with *Fukatsuia* and *Hamiltonella*, and was cured more than a year before  
102 use. This was achieved by feeding young aphids with broad bean leaves suspended in a tube of  
103 antibiotic solution (0.5% Gentomicin, 1% Ampicillin, 0.5% Cefotaxime in distilled water) over four  
104 days (McLean et al., 2011). Genotype 200 was collected naturally uninfected, harbouring no known  
105 facultative symbionts. All aphid lines were screened for *Hamiltonella*, *Regiella*, *Serratia symbiotica*,  
106 *Fukatsuia*, *Spiroplasma* sp., *Rickettsia* sp. and *Rickettsiella viridis* following protocols in Tsuchida et  
107 al. (2010) and Ferrari et al. (2012) to ensure that they had the appropriate symbiont infection and  
108 were not infected with any other known facultative symbionts. The symbiont infections were  
109 regularly checked to detect possible contamination. The symbiont-specific PCR primers can be  
110 found in Table S2. The PCR mix comprised 6.25  $\mu$ l BioMix (Bioline), 0.1  $\mu$ l (20  $\mu$ M) of forward  
111 and 0.1  $\mu$ l (20  $\mu$ M) reverse primer, 5.55  $\mu$ l distilled water and 1.0  $\mu$ l sample DNA. The PCR  
112 reaction was performed at 94°C for 2 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of: 94°C for 30 seconds, 55°C  
113 for 30 seconds and 72°C for one minute. It concluded with 6 minutes at 72°C and then cooled the  
114 sample to 4°C indefinitely. PCR products were run on a 1% agarose gel and the presence of a band  
115 confirmed the presence of the symbiont.

116 Five aphid lines were used in the experiment, two uninfected with facultative symbionts (200 and  
117 218) and the remainder infected singly with one of three facultative symbionts, *Regiella*, *Fukatsuia*  
118 and *Hamiltonella* (Table S1). *Regiella* was injected into aphid genotype 200, while the other two  
119 symbionts were injected singly into genotype 218. These five aphid lines comprise three pairwise  
120 comparisons between uninfected and infected aphids, with the uninfected line of 218 used in two  
121 comparisons. This design aimed to compare host fitness and symbiont densities within each pair  
122 across the same aphid genetic background and thus we conducted no analyses across multiple pairs.  
123 These specific isolates of symbiont were chosen because preliminary results indicated that they were  
124 likely to provide heat shock protection.

125 To produce these infections of *Hamiltonella*, *Regiella* and *Fukatsuia* we used hemolymph injections

126 from infected donor aphids (Table S1). Hemolymph was extracted from donor aphids under a  
127 microscope using glass needles and re-injected into the appropriate aphid line and surviving aphids  
128 raised to adulthood. Glass needles were pulled from Kwik-Fil™ borosilicate glass capillaries  
129 (1B100-4, World Precision Instruments, 1 mm diameter) using a P-97 Flaming/Brown micropipette  
130 Puller (Sutter Instrument Co.). The offspring of the surviving aphids were tested for the successful  
131 establishment of the new infection when they were adults, and these aphid lines were retested  
132 regularly to ensure the maintenance of the new aphid-symbiont combinations. All injected lines had  
133 been maintained in the laboratory for at least a year before being used for experimental assays.

## 134 2.2 Heat shock protocol

135 This assay was designed to understand symbiont dynamics after aphids have been exposed to heat  
136 shock. Aphids were exposed to either a single peak of high temperatures or were maintained at a  
137 steady control temperature. The ‘heat shock’ temperature chosen was 38.5°C, which was based on a  
138 series of pilot studies (data not shown). Our aim was to find a temperature that had a strong negative  
139 effect on fitness, but at which approximately half the individuals in an aphid population still  
140 survived. The aim was to explore the phenotypes of the symbionts and not to model natural  
141 situations directly. The temperature experienced by aphids near the ground is often considerably  
142 higher than meteorological records and depends, for example, on aspect and slope of the site, but it is  
143 likely that aphids are exposed to similarly high temperatures in Northern England on hot summer  
144 days (Bennie et al., 2008; Suggitt et al., 2011).

145 To produce age-controlled populations of each of the five lines, groups of young adults were placed  
146 into petri dishes (9 cm diameter) that contained a single broad bean (*Vicia faba* var. Sutton Dwarf)  
147 leaf, placed in 2% agar. *V. faba* is a host plant that almost all pea aphids perform well on (Ferrari et  
148 al., 2008). The adults were left to reproduce for 24 hours at 20°C, and the offspring were  
149 subsequently put onto two-week old *V. faba* plants in groups of 50 and enclosed in a vented,  
150 transparent cage. On the following day (aphid age 24-48 h) the populations were moved into  
151 cabinets where they were either exposed to heat stress or 20°C as a control. Temperature cabinets  
152 were rigorously checked before and during the experiments to ensure even distribution of heat and  
153 the same relative humidity (50%) in both cabinets. Plants containing aphids were also placed in a  
154 randomized block pattern within the cabinet to remove any potential effects of uneven heat  
155 distribution.

156 While the control treatment was left at 20°C, the temperature in the heat treatment was increased  
157 from 20°C to 38.5°C steadily over the course of 2 hours, held at 38.5°C for four hours, and then  
158 decreased back to 20°C over a further two hours. Surviving aphids from both treatments were moved  
159 onto fresh two-week-old plants on the day after heat shock to mitigate any temperature effects on the  
160 plant itself. These plants were moved into a different controlled-temperature room (20°C), where  
161 aphids from both heat treatments were kept together until being collected for analysis.

162 Aphids were removed to measure symbiont density at two time points. The first was 24-26 hours  
163 after the start of the peak heat shock period (when the aphids were three days old), and the second  
164 eleven days post heat shock (when the aphids were 14 days old, approximately six days after an  
165 aphid would usually begin reproducing). These two time points were chosen to investigate symbiont  
166 densities immediately after stress, and to test if recovery by the onset of reproduction was possible.  
167 *Buchnera* densities are known to decrease as aphids age (Simonet et al., 2016) and so this second  
168 time point was chosen to be the potential highest density of *Buchnera* during an aphid development.

169 The aphids were flash frozen using dry ice and kept at -80°C until DNA extraction. In addition, one

170 surviving apterous individual from each group was placed on a petri dish with a *V. faba* leaf (as  
171 above) to measure the number of offspring produced. These dishes were refreshed every 3-4 days to  
172 ensure healthy *V. faba* leaves. Offspring counts continued until all aphids had died, measuring total  
173 lifetime fecundity. There were 5-6 replicates for fecundity counts and symbiont density for each of  
174 the five aphid lines in each treatment (i.e. 10-12 replicates in total for each line), these were  
175 performed in two temporal blocks with approximately half the replicates of each treatment in each  
176 block.

### 177 **2.3 qPCR protocol**

178 DNA was extracted from the aphids after samples were defrosted at room temperature. Aphids were  
179 homogenised in a 200 µl 5% Chelex solution made in distilled water. 10 µl of proteinase K (10  
180 mg/ml) was added per sample, and samples were incubated for 6 hours at 56°C to facilitate digestion.  
181 They were then ‘boiled’ at 100°C for ten minutes before being centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 3  
182 minutes and the supernatant containing the DNA pipetted into a clean 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube which  
183 was stored at -20°C until use. Five aphids per replicate were pooled to generate a sample for the first  
184 time point (24-26 hours after heat shock) and one aphid for the second time point (eleven days later).

185 Samples were run in duplicate using SYBR® Green reagent on a StepOnePlus™ Real Time PCR  
186 machine (Applied Biosystems). Each reaction consisted of 10 µl FAST SYBR 2x mastermix  
187 (Applied Biosystems), 1 µl forward primer (7 µM), 1µl reverse primer (7 µM), 6 µl nuclease-free  
188 water and 2 µl DNA sample. qPCR primers for *Regiella*, *Fukatsuia*, *Hamiltonella* and the aphid  
189 housekeeping gene elongation factor-1 alpha (EF-1α) (Table S2) were tested to ensure high  
190 efficiency and similarity between primer sets. Melt curves were performed on each plate to ensure  
191 the primers were specific to each target and only bound once. Cycling conditions were 95°C for 20  
192 seconds, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 3 seconds and 60°C for 30 seconds. The melt curve  
193 involved a further 95°C for 15 seconds, 60°C for one minute and then a gradual increase to 95°C  
194 over 15 minutes. Each 96-well qPCR plate was analysed using StepOne Software v2.2.2 (Applied  
195 Biosystems) and Cycle threshold (Ct) values were obtained by comparing each primer sample to a  
196 single standard curve of known concentration and using identical threshold and baseline levels for  
197 each primer target across plates. Standard curves were created by amplifying positive control  
198 samples using PCR, calculating DNA concentrations using a High Sensitivity DNA Assay on a 2100  
199 Bioanalyzer system (Agilent), and then serially diluting the sample 1:10 with distilled water to create  
200 a 5-sample curve comprising known concentrations decreasing from 10 pmol/ml. Samples with Ct  
201 values over 30 were classed as negative, confirmed by our negative controls. This corresponds to a  
202 copy number of less than 52 per 2 µl sample for all primers, and is below the threshold of detection.  
203 Where the difference in Ct values between technical replicates was greater than 1.5, the sample was  
204 either rerun or not used in the analysis.

205 The standard curves were used to calculate the DNA concentration of each sample, and this was  
206 converted into copy numbers per 2 µl of DNA extract. To control for aphid size and extraction  
207 quality, copy numbers for each sample were presented relative to those of a housekeeping aphid gene  
208 as a control, giving a ratio of symbiont copy numbers to aphid copy numbers.

### 209 **2.4 Statistical analysis**

210 Data were analysed using the R software v. 3.4.1 (R Core Team, 2018). Since our core question was  
211 to test whether the three symbiont species can provide heat shock protection, but not to compare the  
212 extent of this protection, the data were analysed separately for each symbiont species. Thus, in each  
213 analysis the infected line was compared with the same uninfected aphid genotype, within and across

214 heat treatments. The data for the uninfected line 218 was therefore used twice, paired with line 218  
 215 infected with *Hamiltonella* or *Fukatsuia*. Similarly, we analysed the two time points separately  
 216 because symbiont densities change during aphid development, which would complicate the  
 217 interpretation of the analysis.

218 Lifetime fecundity of the set of *Regiella* lines was analysed using a general linear model assuming a  
 219 normal error distribution. The number of offspring was the response variable, and temporal block,  
 220 facultative symbiont presence, heat treatment and the interaction between symbiont presence and heat  
 221 treatment were the explanatory variables. The sets of *Fukatsuia* and *Hamiltonella* lines were  
 222 analysed with a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test, because the model assumptions of parametric  
 223 models were not met. This was followed by Wilcoxon tests to identify differences between specific  
 224 treatments.

225 The densities of the symbionts were also analysed with a general linear model assuming a normal  
 226 error distribution. This was split into six analyses, separate for the lines relating to each symbiont  
 227 species at each time point, to simplify the interpretation. For *Buchnera* densities, the explanatory  
 228 variables were again temporal block, facultative symbiont presence and heat treatment as well as the  
 229 interaction between the latter factors. For the densities of the facultative symbionts, only block and  
 230 heat treatment were explanatory variables. In most cases model assumptions were met without  
 231 transforming the data, only the *Buchnera* densities at the first time point in the *Regiella* lines were  
 232 log-transformed. For *Regiella* densities at the first time point and *Buchnera* densities in the set of  
 233 *Regiella* lines at the second time point, Kruskal Wallis and Wilcoxon tests were used as described for  
 234 the fecundity data.

235 For all general linear models, post-hoc tests were only performed when the factor or interaction was  
 236 significant in the main analysis. This was conducted using the R package “phia” (Helios and Fox,  
 237 2015), with Holm’s correction for multiple comparisons.

## 238 **3 Results**

### 239 **3.1 Effects of facultative symbionts on fecundity after heat shock**

240 We exposed aphids to a short spike of high temperature and measured facultative and obligate  
 241 symbiont densities and aphid fitness after one and eleven days. As expected, heat shock decreased  
 242 the number of offspring produced in an aphid’s lifetime in all three sets of lines (*Regiella*  $F_{1,19} =$   
 243  $103.23, P < 0.001$ ; *Fukatsuia*:  $W = 109, P = 0.03$ , *Hamiltonella*:  $W = 136.5, P = 0.001$ ; Figure 1).  
 244 However, the extent of this decrease was modified by the presence of *Regiella* and *Fukatsuia*  
 245 (*Regiella*, symbiont  $\times$  heat treatment:  $F_{1,19} = 5.78, P = 0.03$ ; *Fukatsuia*: heat treatment in uninfected  
 246 lines  $W = 36, P = 0.004$  and in infected lines  $W = 13, P = 0.48$ ). *Fukatsuia* provided the greatest  
 247 protection from heat as there was no difference in the fecundity of the infected lines in the control  
 248 and heat shock treatment, whereas there was a greater reduction in fecundity in the uninfected aphids  
 249 than in the infected aphids for the *Regiella* lines. In contrast, there was a similar decrease in  
 250 fecundity for both uninfected and infected *Hamiltonella* lines following heat shock (uninfected:  $W =$   
 251  $36, P = 0.004$ ; infected:  $W = 34.5, P = 0.008$ ; Figure 1). At benign temperatures, two of the  
 252 symbionts also affected lifetime fecundity: the presence of *Hamiltonella* increased lifetime fecundity  
 253 ( $W = 4, P = 0.03$ ), whereas *Fukatsuia* decreased it ( $W = 34, P = 0.013$ ), and there was no difference  
 254 for *Regiella* (Figure 1).

255

### 256 3.2 Facultative symbiont densities after heat shock

257 We measured the densities of the three facultative symbionts at two time points after exposure to  
 258 heat, 24-26 hours and 11 days post-heat shock (Figure 2). Compared to non-heat shocked controls  
 259 the densities of two of the symbionts, *Fukatsuia* and *Hamiltonella*, were lower on the day after heat  
 260 shock (*Fukatsuia*:  $F_{1,8} = 65.05$ ,  $P < 0.001$ , *Hamiltonella*:  $F_{1,8} = 6.64$ ,  $P = 0.03$ ), whereas densities of  
 261 *Regiella* are unaffected ( $W = 28$ ,  $p=0.13$ ; but note that this is significant in a less conservative  
 262 parametric test). By the second time point, taken when the aphids were young adults, there was no  
 263 difference between population densities in heat stressed or control aphids for any of the three  
 264 facultative symbionts (*Fukatsuia*:  $F_{1,8} = 0.35$ ,  $P = 0.57$ , *Hamiltonella*:  $F_{1,7} = 0.95$ ,  $P = 0.36$ , *Regiella*:  
 265  $F_{1,6} = 0.01$ ,  $P = 0.91$ ; Figure 2), suggesting that heat did not have long-term effects on facultative  
 266 symbiont populations.

### 267 3.3 Obligate symbiont densities under heat shock

268 Compared to the control treatment densities of *Buchnera* were decreased on the day after heat shock  
 269 in each of the three pairs of lines, regardless of facultative symbiont infection (*Regiella* lines:  $F_{1,19} =$   
 270  $80.36$ ,  $P < 0.001$ , *Fukatsuia* lines:  $F_{1,18} = 70.71$ ,  $P < 0.001$ , *Hamiltonella* lines:  $F_{1,18} = 56.07$ ,  $P <$   
 271  $0.001$ ; Figure 3). Regardless of treatment, *Buchnera* densities were higher in the lines harbouring  
 272 *Fukatsuia* ( $F_{1,18} = 33.86$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) or *Hamiltonella* ( $F_{1,18} = 24.52$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) compared to  
 273 uninfected lines, an effect that was not seen in the *Regiella* lines ( $F_{1,19} = 0.15$ ,  $P = 0.71$ ). For the  
 274 *Fukatsuia* and *Hamiltonella* lines there was also a significant interaction between symbiont presence  
 275 and heat treatment (*Fukatsuia*:  $F_{1,18} = 9.57$ ,  $P = 0.006$ ; *Hamiltonella*:  $F_{1,18} = 4.80$ ,  $P = 0.04$ ): in both  
 276 cases, *Buchnera* densities in the control treatment were higher in lines with facultative symbionts  
 277 compared to uninfected aphids but there was no difference between these lines after heat shock. The  
 278 interaction was not significant for the *Regiella* lines ( $F_{1,19} = 2.58$ ,  $P = 0.13$ ) where the extent of the  
 279 loss of *Buchnera* did not differ between infected and uninfected lines.

280 At the later time point, when aphids were young adults, heat shock again reduced *Buchnera* densities  
 281 on average (*Regiella* lines:  $W = 105$ ,  $P = 0.004$ ; *Fukatsuia* lines:  $F_{1,19} = 6.85$ ,  $P = 0.02$ ; *Hamiltonella*  
 282 lines:  $F_{1,17} = 24.84$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ). *Fukatsuia* presence on average also significantly increased the  
 283 density of *Buchnera* regardless of treatment which was due to high *Buchnera* densities in the heat  
 284 shocked aphids ( $F_{1,19} = 7.89$ ,  $P = 0.01$ ); a difference that was not found for *Regiella* ( $W = 46$ ,  $P =$   
 285  $0.37$ ) or *Hamiltonella* presence ( $F_{1,17} = 0.17$ ,  $P = 0.69$ ). Importantly, there was a significant  
 286 interaction between symbiont infection and temperature in the *Fukatsuia* lines ( $F_{1,19} = 7.55$ ,  $P = 0.01$ )  
 287 and an equivalent effect in the *Regiella* lines (heat treatment in uninfected lines:  $W = 36$ ,  $P = 0.004$   
 288 and in infected lines:  $W = 17$ ,  $P = 0.42$ ) that was not seen for the *Hamiltonella* lines ( $F_{1,17} = 1.95$ ,  $P =$   
 289  $0.18$ ): *Buchnera* densities after heat shock were significantly reduced in uninfected aphids but not  
 290 when *Fukatsuia* or *Regiella* were present.

## 291 4 Discussion

292 Our results show that different aphid symbionts can protect the aphid from heat and help the obligate  
 293 symbiont to recover after heat shock. Infection with *Regiella* and *Fukatsuia* was closely linked to  
 294 *Buchnera* recovery after heat shock and led to increased production of offspring compared to  
 295 uninfected controls whereas there was no such protection in aphids infected with *Hamiltonella*. This  
 296 pattern differs from other studies (Russell and Moran, 2006; Doremus and Oliver, 2017), which  
 297 found that *Hamiltonella* but not *Regiella* or *Fukatsuia* provided heat protection. As different lines of  
 298 insects and symbionts were used in these studies, it is likely that these protective effects are  
 299 dependent on symbiont, host genotype or their interaction and are thus not a universal feature of

300 symbiont infection. The prevalence of heat protection may be overestimated here since we chose  
301 genotypes based on preliminary results.

302 *Buchnera* densities are closely linked to aphid fitness. Disrupting the obligate symbiosis by  
303 removing *Buchnera* leads to large reductions in offspring production and often host death (Koga et  
304 al., 2003; Akman Gündüz and Douglas, 2009) Overly high densities of *Buchnera* can also lead to a  
305 reduction in fitness (Chong and Moran, 2016), meaning that the relationship between density of the  
306 symbiont and number of offspring produced is not directly proportional. However, removal of  
307 *Buchnera*, via antibiotics or heat, as also shown in our results, generally leads to aphid sterility in the  
308 absence of facultative symbionts (Dunbar et al., 2007; Koga et al., 2007).

309 A key question that we addressed was whether the facultative symbionts protect *Buchnera* from the  
310 effects of heat, and if so whether *Buchnera* is directly protected or its recovery facilitated. The  
311 densities of both *Buchnera* and the facultative symbionts were reduced 24 hours after heat shock. In  
312 aphids carrying *Fukatsuia* or *Regiella*, these densities returned to levels that were similar to those in  
313 non-heat shocked controls, thus demonstrating a clear role of the facultative symbionts in the  
314 recovery of *Buchnera*. The most parsimonious interpretation of the observed pattern is that the  
315 facultative symbionts do not provide immediate protection, although it is possible that the decline in  
316 *Buchnera* DNA density occurs due to different processes in aphids with and without facultative  
317 symbionts. It is conceivable that *Buchnera* is only truly killed by heat inside the latter and growth is  
318 merely arrested in the former and thus some immediate protection occurs.

319 The patterns of obligate and facultative symbiont densities observed here suggest that a different  
320 mechanism underlies the heat protection provided by *Regiella* and *Fukatsuia* compared to that  
321 provided by *Serratia* (Montllor et al., 2002; Burke et al., 2010a). After heat shock, *Serratia* in pea  
322 aphids lyse and this coincides with metabolomic changes (Burke et al., 2010a). At the same time  
323 *Buchnera* densities are maintained at similar levels to those at benign temperatures (Burke et al.,  
324 2010a). In our experiments, in aphids with *Regiella* or *Fukatsuia*, *Buchnera* densities initially  
325 decrease. This demonstrates that the protection provided by the facultative symbionts is not instant  
326 and suggests that the protection is probably not due to a constitutively activated aphid stress  
327 response, but it is still possible that the facultative symbionts prime a stress response by the aphid  
328 that helps recovery later on.

329 The provision of heat-protective compounds, through either lysis or release from a live cell, is also  
330 consistent with our observations. Burke et al. (2010a) explored which metabolites are affected by  
331 heat treatment when *Serratia* lyse. They found three metabolic changes linked to the presence of the  
332 protective *Serratia* after heat stress, one of which is a decrease in concentration of the antioxidant  
333 indole-3-lactate, as well as two other unidentified metabolites (Burke et al., 2010a). The initial  
334 decline of *Fukatsuia* in our study suggest that lysis is likely in this symbiont (and possibly in *Regiella*  
335 where the decline is significant using less conservative statistics). However, the protective  
336 compounds released during this process appear to act later than in *Serratia* (Burke et al., 2010a)  
337 as *Buchnera* also declines initially. In some cases, facultative symbionts can replace the function of  
338 an obligate symbiont (Koga et al., 2003, 2007) and this could be a way of protecting the host from  
339 the effects of heat. In our system, both *Buchnera* and the facultative symbionts recover  
340 demonstrating that this functional replacement is not a likely mechanism here.

341 Some strains of *Buchnera* are more resistant to heat than others (Dunbar et al., 2007; Moran and Yun,  
342 2015) and it is possible that the two aphid genotypes here carry different *Buchnera* genotypes.  
343 Aphids in laboratory populations often carry *Buchnera* strains with the *ibpA*<sup>12</sup> mutant allele that are  
344 more sensitive to heat but have higher fitness (Burke et al., 2010b). We did not sequence *ibpA* in the

345 genotypes used here since we were interested in the effects of facultative symbionts and the  
346 *Buchnera* strain is the same within all our comparisons. It is worth noting that the *Fukatsuia* and  
347 *Hamiltonella* lines both had the same aphid and *Buchnera* genotype but only *Fukatsuia* protected,  
348 suggesting that the *Buchnera* strain does not bias our conclusions. However, in natural populations  
349 the absence of facultative symbiont infections is correlated with a higher incidence of this mutation  
350 (Burke et al., 2010b). Aphids thus have two mechanisms which protect *Buchnera* from heat: the  
351 absence of the heat-sensitive *ibpA*<sup>12</sup> mutant allele and the presence of protective facultative  
352 symbionts. Facultative symbionts appear to confer low fitness in the presence of *ibpA*<sup>12</sup> and are thus  
353 likely selected against in aphids with this mutation (Burke et al., 2010b). It thus suggests that in  
354 natural populations facultative symbionts may only be able to rescue the aphid *Buchnera* from heat in  
355 aphids that carry the heat-tolerant *ibpA*<sup>13</sup> allele and this may explain the scarcity of *ibpA*<sup>12</sup> in natural  
356 populations.

357 As well as the protective effect of *Fukatsuia* and *Regiella*, we observed interesting changes in  
358 symbiont densities at benign temperatures. *Fukatsuia* decreased fecundity, as shown previously  
359 (Heyworth and Ferrari, 2015; Doremus and Oliver, 2017); the densities of *Buchnera* confirm that this  
360 is not due to suppression of the obligate symbiont (Koga et al., 2003), which has been observed for a  
361 costly infection by *Rickettsia* in pea aphids (Sakurai et al., 2005). Surprisingly, infection with  
362 *Fukatsuia* or *Hamiltonella* leads to an increase of *Buchnera* population levels in younger aphids.  
363 This may benefit both the aphid and *Buchnera* when facultative symbionts are present, because  
364 additional nutrients may be required. It is possible that either the aphid upregulates *Buchnera*  
365 densities or that this strain of *Buchnera* responds to the presence of facultative symbionts by  
366 increasing its growth rate. In either case, the density of *Buchnera* cells in infected aphids is  
367 comparable to uninfected aphids once the aphids are adult.

368 The ability of facultative symbionts to protect obligate nutritional symbionts from heat stress has  
369 implications for the frequencies and spread of both the microbes and the insects themselves. Many  
370 phytophagous insects rely on obligate symbionts to provide essential nutrition, but these are often  
371 vulnerable to ecologically stressful situations (Bennett and Moran, 2015; Kikuchi et al., 2016) due to  
372 severe genome reduction during coevolution with their hosts (McCutcheon and Moran, 2011). This  
373 genome reduction probably led to the heat sensitivity that some facultative symbionts ameliorate, an  
374 example of a symbiosis rescuing another symbiosis. It seems improbable that this rescue resulted  
375 from close coevolution due to the relatively transient nature of facultative symbiosis infections  
376 (Smith et al., 2015).

377 As we and others (Montllor et al., 2002; Burke et al., 2010a) have shown, carrying certain isolates of  
378 facultative symbionts can protect obligate symbionts from a single, short exposure to heat, but it  
379 remains to be investigated whether this protection is also effective under long-term or regular  
380 exposure to extreme temperatures. The temperature-dependent fitness effects are likely to alter the  
381 frequencies of facultative symbionts in natural populations, but will do so in concert with other  
382 abiotic and biotic factors, including the frequencies of heat-tolerant *Buchnera* strains. The  
383 symbionts' ability to affect interactions between host and natural enemies is also well documented  
384 (Hrček et al., 2016). These interactions can be affected by a change in temperature, through an effect  
385 of temperature on the natural enemy itself (Roux et al., 2010; Nguyen et al., 2013) or on the  
386 interaction between host, symbiont and natural enemy (Guay et al., 2009; Jeffs and Lewis, 2013;  
387 Heyworth and Ferrari, 2016). In addition, both vertical and horizontal transmission frequencies of  
388 symbionts can be affected by temperature (Anbutsu et al., 2008; Osaka et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2019).  
389 A combination of temperature-dependent fitness effects and transmission dynamics is therefore a  
390 likely reason for the lack of a clear correlation between symbiont mediated benefits seen in

391 laboratory experiments and symbiont frequencies observed in the field (Oliver et al., 2014), and  
392 probably contributes to the geographic variation in the composition of facultative symbiont  
393 communities (Montllor et al., 2002; Tsuchida et al., 2002; Sepúlveda et al., 2017). There are,  
394 however, examples where the patterns based on laboratory experiments are observed: the frequencies  
395 of the aphid heat-protective symbiont *Serratia* are high in host populations in the warmer climes of  
396 Southern USA (Chen and Purcell, 1997; Montllor et al., 2002) and more generally in arid compared  
397 to temperate regions (Henry et al., 2013). Similarly, land temperature correlates with symbiont  
398 prevalence in midges (Morag et al., 2012).

399 Facultative symbionts alter insect fitness under stressful conditions and can affect not just the host,  
400 but also species that the host interacts with directly and indirectly (McLean and Godfray, 2016;  
401 Doremus et al., 2018). In extreme cases, hosting a defensive symbiont can lead to cascading  
402 extinctions and the collapse of entire communities (Sanders et al., 2016). Our work highlights how  
403 the host and its symbiont community is affected by temperature and that this temperature-  
404 dependency might result in changes of community interactions under climate change.

## 405 **5 Conflict of Interest**

406 *The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial*  
407 *relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.*

## 408 **6 Author Contributions**

409 ERH, MRS and JF conceived the ideas and designed methodology, collected the data and wrote the  
410 manuscript and gave final approval for publication. ERH and JF analyzed the data.

## 411 **7 Funding**

412 ERH was supported by a studentship from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research  
413 Council (BBSRC, award BB/F016751/1) and JF and MRS by award BB/J00524X/1 from BBSRC.

## 414 **8 Acknowledgments**

415 We would like to thank Alison Fenwick, Chris Lancaster and Paul Scott for setting up and  
416 monitoring the cabinets for the heat shock treatment, Sally James in the Technology Facility at the  
417 University of York for support with the qPCR, and Sally Raines for helping with aphid culture and  
418 helpful discussions.

419

420 **9 References**

- 421 Akman Gündüz, E., and Douglas, A. E. (2009). Symbiotic bacteria enable insect to use a nutritionally  
422 inadequate diet. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 276, 987–991. doi:10.1098/rspb.2008.1476.
- 423 Anbutsu, H., Goto, S., and Fukatsu, T. (2008). High and low temperatures differently affect infection  
424 density and vertical transmission of male-killing *Spiroplasma* symbionts in *Drosophila* hosts.  
425 *Appl. Env. Microbiol.* 74, 6053–6059. doi:10.1128/AEM.01503-08.
- 426 Bennett, G. M., and Moran, N. A. (2015). Heritable symbiosis: The advantages and perils of an  
427 evolutionary rabbit hole. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 112, 10169–10176.  
428 doi:10.1073/pnas.1421388112.
- 429 Bennie, J., Huntley, B., Wiltshire, A., Hill, M. O., and Baxter, R. (2008). Slope, aspect and climate:  
430 Spatially explicit and implicit models of topographic microclimate in chalk grassland. *Ecol.*  
431 *Model.* 216, 47–59. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2008.04.010.
- 432 Bordenstein, S. R., and Bordenstein, S. R. (2011). Temperature affects the tripartite interactions  
433 between bacteriophage WO, *Wolbachia*, and cytoplasmic incompatibility. *PLoS ONE* 6,  
434 e29106. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029106.
- 435 Brownlie, J. C., and Johnson, K. N. (2009). Symbiont-mediated protection in insect hosts. *Trends*  
436 *Microbiol.* 17, 348–354. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2009.05.005.
- 437 Brumin, M., Kontsedalov, S., and Ghanim, M. (2011). *Rickettsia* influences thermotolerance in the  
438 whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* B biotype. *Insect Sci.* 18, 57–66. doi:10.1111/j.1744-  
439 7917.2010.01396.x.
- 440 Burke, G., Fiehn, O., and Moran, N. (2010a). Effects of facultative symbionts and heat stress on the  
441 metabolome of pea aphids. *ISME J.* 4, 242–252. doi:10.1038/ismej.2009.114.
- 442 Burke, G. R., McLaughlin, H. J., Simon, J.-C., and Moran, N. A. (2010b). Dynamics of a recurrent  
443 *Buchnera* mutation that affects thermal tolerance of pea aphid hosts. *Genetics* 186, 367-U577.  
444 doi:10.1534/genetics.110.117440.
- 445 Carruthers, M. D., and Minion, C. (2009). Transcriptome analysis of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7  
446 EDL933 during heat shock. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 295, 96–102. doi:10.1111/j.1574-  
447 6968.2009.01587.x.
- 448 Chen, C.-Y., Lai, C.-Y., and Kuo, M.-H. (2009). Temperature effect on the growth of *Buchnera*  
449 endosymbiont in *Aphis craccivora* (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Symbiosis* 49, 53–59.  
450 doi:10.1007/s13199-009-0011-4.
- 451 Chen, D.-Q., and Purcell, A. H. (1997). Occurrence and transmission of facultative endosymbionts in  
452 aphids. *Curr. Microbiol.* 34, 220–225. doi:10.1007/s002849900172.
- 453 Chong, R. A., and Moran, N. A. (2016). Intraspecific genetic variation in hosts affects regulation of  
454 obligate heritable symbionts. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 201610749.  
455 doi:10.1073/pnas.1610749113.

- 456 Corbin, C., Heyworth, E. R., Ferrari, J., and Hurst, G. D. D. (2017). Heritable symbionts in a world  
457 of varying temperature. *Heredity* 118, 10–20. doi:10.1038/hdy.2016.71.
- 458 Dion, E., Polin, S. E., Simon, J.-C., and Outreman, Y. (2011). Symbiont infection affects aphid  
459 defensive behaviours. *Biol. Lett.* 7, 743–746. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2011.0249.
- 460 Dixon, A. F. G., Kindlmann, P., Leps, J., and Holman, J. (1987). Why there are so few species of  
461 aphids, especially in the tropics. *Am. Nat.* 129, 580–592. doi:10.1086/284659.
- 462 Doremus, M. R., and Oliver, K. M. (2017). Aphid heritable symbiont exploits defensive mutualism.  
463 *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 83, e03276-16. doi:10.1128/AEM.03276-16.
- 464 Doremus, M. R., Smith, A. H., Kim, K. L., Holder, A. J., Russell, J. A., and Oliver, K. M. (2018).  
465 Breakdown of a defensive symbiosis, but not endogenous defences, at elevated temperatures.  
466 *Mol. Ecol.* 27, 2138–2151.
- 467 Douglas, A. E. (1998). Nutritional interactions in insect-microbial symbioses: aphids and their  
468 symbiotic bacteria *Buchnera*. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 43, 17–37.  
469 doi:10.1146/annurev.ento.43.1.17.
- 470 Dunbar, H. E., Wilson, A. C. C., Ferguson, N. R., and Moran, N. A. (2007). Aphid thermal tolerance  
471 is governed by a point mutation in bacterial symbionts. *PLoS Biol.* 5.  
472 doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0050096.
- 473 Ferrari, J., Via, S., and Godfray, H. C. J. (2008). Population differentiation and genetic variation in  
474 performance on eight hosts in the pea aphid complex. *Evolution* 62, 2508–2524.  
475 doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2008.00468.x.
- 476 Ferrari, J., West, J. A., Via, S., and Godfray, H. C. J. (2012). Population genetic structure and  
477 secondary symbionts in host-associated populations of the pea aphid complex. *Evolution* 66,  
478 375–390. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01436.x.
- 479 Gerardo, N. M., and Parker, B. J. (2014). Mechanisms of symbiont-conferred protection against  
480 natural enemies: an ecological and evolutionary framework. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* 4, 8–14.  
481 doi:10.1016/j.cois.2014.08.002.
- 482 Gómez-Valero, L., Silva, F. J., Simon, J.-C., and Latorre, A. (2007). Genome reduction of the aphid  
483 endosymbiont *Buchnera aphidicola* in a recent evolutionary time scale. *Gene* 389, 87–95.  
484 doi:10.1016/j.gene.2006.10.001.
- 485 Guay, J.-F., Boudreault, S., Michaud, D., and Cloutier, C. (2009). Impact of environmental stress on  
486 aphid clonal resistance to parasitoids: role of *Hamiltonella defensa* bacterial symbiosis in  
487 association with a new facultative symbiont of the pea aphid. *J. Insect Physiol.* 55, 919–926.  
488 doi:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2009.06.006.
- 489 Harrington, R., Woiwod, I., and Sparks, T. (1999). Climate change and trophic interactions. *Trends*  
490 *Ecol. Evol.* 14, 146–150. doi:10.1016/S0169-5347(99)01604-3.
- 491 Helios, D. R.-M., and Fox, J. (2015). Phia post-hoc interaction analysis, R package. version 0.2-1.

- 492 Henry, L. M., Peccoud, J., Simon, J.-C., Hadfield, J. D., Maiden, M. J. C., Ferrari, J., et al. (2013).  
493 Horizontally transmitted symbionts and host colonization of ecological niches. *Curr. Biol.* 23,  
494 1713–1717. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2013.07.029.
- 495 Heyworth, E. R., and Ferrari, J. (2015). A facultative endosymbiont in aphids can provide diverse  
496 ecological benefits. *J. Evol. Biol.* 28, 1753–1760. doi:10.1111/jeb.12705.
- 497 Heyworth, E. R., and Ferrari, J. (2016). Heat stress affects facultative symbiont-mediated protection  
498 from a parasitoid wasp. *PLOS ONE* 11, e0167180. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167180.
- 499 Hřček, J., McLean, A. H. C., and Godfray, H. C. J. (2016). Symbionts modify interactions between  
500 insects and natural enemies in the field. *J. Anim. Ecol.*, 1605–1612. doi:10.1111/1365-  
501 2656.12586.
- 502 Jeffs, C. T., and Lewis, O. T. (2013). Effects of climate warming on host–parasitoid interactions.  
503 *Ecol. Entomol.* 38, 209–218. doi:10.1111/een.12026.
- 504 Kikuchi, Y., Tada, A., Musolin, D. L., Hari, N., Hosokawa, T., Fujisaki, K., et al. (2016). Collapse of  
505 insect gut symbiosis under simulated climate change. *mBio* 7, e01578-16.  
506 doi:10.1128/mBio.01578-16.
- 507 Kim, J. K., Lee, J. B., Huh, Y. R., Jang, H. A., Kim, C.-H., Yoo, J. W., et al. (2015). *Burkholderia*  
508 gut symbionts enhance the innate immunity of host *Riptortus pedestris*. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.*  
509 53, 265–269. doi:10.1016/j.dci.2015.07.006.
- 510 Koga, R., Tsuchida, T., and Fukatsu, T. (2003). Changing partners in an obligate symbiosis: a  
511 facultative endosymbiont can compensate for loss of the essential endosymbiont *Buchnera* in  
512 an aphid. *Proc. R. Soc. B-Biol. Sci.* 270, 2543–2550. doi:10.1098/rspb.2003.2537.
- 513 Koga, R., Tsuchida, T., Sakurai, M., and Fukatsu, T. (2007). Selective elimination of aphid  
514 endosymbionts: effects of antibiotic dose and host genotype, and fitness consequences. *FEMS*  
515 *Microbiol. Ecol.* 60, 229–239. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2007.00284.x.
- 516 Kwong, W. K., Mancenido, A. L., and Moran, N. A. (2017). Immune system stimulation by the  
517 native gut microbiota of honey bees. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* 4, 170003. doi:10.1098/rsos.170003.
- 518 Laughton, A. M., Fan, M. H., and Gerardo, N. M. (2013). The combined effects of bacterial  
519 symbionts and ageing on life history traits in the pea aphid, *Acyrtosiphon pisum*. *Appl.*  
520 *Environ. Microbiol.* 80, 470–477. doi:10.1128/AEM.02657-13.
- 521 Liu, X.-D., Lei, H.-X., and Chen, F.-F. (2019). Infection pattern and negative effects of a facultative  
522 endosymbiont on its insect host are environment-dependent. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 4013.  
523 doi:10.1038/s41598-019-40607-5.
- 524 Maire, J., Vincent-Monégat, C., Masson, F., Zaidman-Rémy, A., and Heddi, A. (2018). An IMD-like  
525 pathway mediates both endosymbiont control and host immunity in the cereal weevil  
526 *Sitophilus* spp. *Microbiome* 6, 6. doi:10.1186/s40168-017-0397-9.

- 527 Martinez, J., Ok, S., Smith, S., Snoeck, K., Day, J. P., and Jiggins, F. M. (2015). Should symbionts  
528 be nice or selfish? Antiviral effects of *Wolbachia* are costly but reproductive parasitism Is  
529 not. *PLoS Pathog.* 11, e1005021. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005021.
- 530 McCutcheon, J. P., and Moran, N. A. (2011). Extreme genome reduction in symbiotic bacteria. *Nat.*  
531 *Rev. Microbiol.* 10, 13–26. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2670.
- 532 McLean, A. H. C., and Godfray, H. C. J. (2016). The outcome of competition between two parasitoid  
533 species is influenced by a facultative symbiont of their aphid host. *Funct. Ecol.* 31, 927–933.  
534 doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12781.
- 535 McLean, A., Van Asch, M., Ferrari, J., and Godfray, H. C. J. (2011). Effects of bacterial secondary  
536 symbionts on host plant use in pea aphids. *Proc. R. Soc. B-Biol. Sci.* 278, 760–766.  
537 doi:10.1098/rspb.2010.1654.
- 538 Montllor, C. B., Maxmen, A., and Purcell, A. H. (2002). Facultative bacterial endosymbionts benefit  
539 pea aphids *Acyrtosiphon pisum* under heat stress. *Ecol. Entomol.* 27, 189–195.  
540 doi:10.1046/j.1365-2311.2002.00393.x.
- 541 Morag, N., Klement, E., Saroya, Y., Lensky, I., and Gottlieb, Y. (2012). Prevalence of the symbiont  
542 *Cardinium* in *Culicoides* (Diptera: Ceratopogonidae) vector species is associated with land  
543 surface temperature. *FASEB J. Off. Publ. Fed. Am. Soc. Exp. Biol.* 26, 4025–4034.  
544 doi:10.1096/fj.12-210419.
- 545 Moran, N. A. (1996). Accelerated evolution and Muller’s ratchet in endosymbiotic bacteria. *Proc.*  
546 *Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 93, 2873–2878. doi:10.1073/pnas.93.7.2873.
- 547 Moran, N. A., and Yun, Y. (2015). Experimental replacement of an obligate insect symbiont. *Proc.*  
548 *Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, 2093–2096. doi:10.1073/pnas.1420037112.
- 549 Neelakanta, G., Sultana, H., Fish, D., Anderson, J. F., and Fikrig, E. (2010). *Anaplasma*  
550 *phagocytophilum* induces *Ixodes scapularis* ticks to express an antifreeze glycoprotein gene  
551 that enhances their survival in the cold. *J. Clin. Invest.* 120, 3179–3190.  
552 doi:10.1172/JCI42868.
- 553 Nguyen, T. M., Bressac, C., and Chevrier, C. (2013). Heat stress affects male reproduction in a  
554 parasitoid wasp. *J. Insect Physiol.* 59, 248–254. doi:10.1016/j.jinsphys.2012.12.001.
- 555 Oliver, K. M., Moran, N. A., and Hunter, M. S. (2005). Variation in resistance to parasitism in aphids  
556 is due to symbionts not host genotype. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102, 12795–12800.  
557 doi:10.1073/pnas.0506131102.
- 558 Oliver, K. M., Smith, A. H., and Russell, J. A. (2014). Defensive symbiosis in the real world –  
559 advancing ecological studies of heritable, protective bacteria in aphids and beyond. *Funct.*  
560 *Ecol.* 28, 341–355. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12133.
- 561 Osaka, R., Nomura, M., Watada, M., and Kageyama, D. (2008). Negative effects of low temperatures  
562 on the vertical transmission and infection density of a *Spiroplasma* endosymbiont in  
563 *Drosophila hydei*. *Curr. Microbiol.* 57, 335–339. doi:10.1007/s00284-008-9199-4.

- 564 Parmesan, C., and Yohe, G. (2003). A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across  
565 natural systems. *Nature* 421, 37–42. doi:10.1038/nature01286.
- 566 R Core Team (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for  
567 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <http://www.R-project.org/> . Accessed 9 April  
568 2019.
- 569 Roux, O., Le Lann, C., van Alphen, J. J. M., and van Baaren, J. (2010). How does heat shock affect  
570 the life history traits of adults and progeny of the aphid parasitoid *Aphidius avenae*  
571 (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae)? *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 100, 543–549.  
572 doi:10.1017/S0007485309990575.
- 573 Russell, J. A., and Moran, N. A. (2006). Costs and benefits of symbiont infection in aphids: variation  
574 among symbionts and across temperatures. *Proc. R. Soc. B-Biol. Sci.* 273, 603–610.  
575 doi:10.1098/rspb.2005.3348.
- 576 Sakurai, M., Koga, R., Tsuchida, T., Meng, X.-Y., and Fukatsu, T. (2005). *Rickettsia* symbiont in the  
577 pea aphid *Acyrtosiphon pisum*: novel cellular tropism, effect on host fitness, and interaction  
578 with the essential symbiont *Buchnera*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 4069–4075.  
579 doi:10.1128/AEM.71.7.4069-4075.2005.
- 580 Sanders, D., Kehoe, R., van Veen, F. J. F., McLean, A., Godfray, H. C. J., Dicke, M., et al. (2016).  
581 Defensive insect symbiont leads to cascading extinctions and community collapse. *Ecol. Lett.*  
582 19, 789–799. doi:10.1111/ele.12616.
- 583 Schmitz, A., Anselme, C., Ravallec, M., Rebuf, C., Simon, J.-C., Gatti, J.-L., et al. (2012). The  
584 cellular immune response of the pea aphid to foreign intrusion and symbiotic challenge. *PLoS*  
585 *ONE* 7, e42114. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042114.
- 586 Schmitz, O. J., and Barton, B. T. (2014). Climate change effects on behavioral and physiological  
587 ecology of predator–prey interactions: Implications for conservation biological control. *Biol.*  
588 *Control* 75, 87–96. doi:10.1016/j.biocontrol.2013.10.001.
- 589 Sepúlveda, D. A., Zepeda-Paulo, F., Ramírez, C. C., Lavandero, B., and Figueroa, C. C. (2017).  
590 Diversity, frequency, and geographic distribution of facultative bacterial endosymbionts in  
591 introduced aphid pests. *Insect Sci.* 24, 511–521. doi:10.1111/1744-7917.12313.
- 592 Shan, H.-W., Deng, W.-H., Luan, J.-B., Zhang, M.-J., Zhang, Z., Liu, S.-S., et al. (2017). Thermal  
593 sensitivity of bacteriocytes constrains the persistence of intracellular bacteria in whitefly  
594 symbiosis under heat stress. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 9, 706–716. doi:10.1111/1758-  
595 2229.12554.
- 596 Simonet, P., Duport, G., Gaget, K., Weiss-Gayet, M., Colella, S., Febvay, G., et al. (2016). Direct  
597 flow cytometry measurements reveal a fine-tuning of symbiotic cell dynamics according to  
598 the host developmental needs in aphid symbiosis. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 19967. doi:10.1038/srep19967.
- 599 Smith, A. H., Łukasik, P., O’Connor, M. P., Lee, A., Mayo, G., Drott, M. T., et al. (2015). Patterns,  
600 causes and consequences of defensive microbiome dynamics across multiple scales. *Mol.*  
601 *Ecol.* 24, 1135–1149. doi:10.1111/mec.13095.

- 602 Suggitt, A. J., Gillingham, P. K., Hill, J. K., Huntley, B., Kunin, W. E., Roy, D. B., et al. (2011).  
603 Habitat microclimates drive fine-scale variation in extreme temperatures. *Oikos* 120, 1–8.  
604 doi:10.1111/j.1600-0706.2010.18270.x.
- 605 Tsuchida, T., Koga, R., Horikawa, M., Tsunoda, T., Maoka, T., Matsumoto, S., et al. (2010).  
606 Symbiotic bacterium modifies aphid body color. *Science* 330, 1102–1104.  
607 doi:10.1126/science.1195463.
- 608 Tsuchida, T., Koga, R., Shibao, H., Matsumoto, T., and Fukatsu, T. (2002). Diversity and geographic  
609 distribution of secondary endosymbiotic bacteria in natural populations of the pea aphid,  
610 *Acyrtosiphon pisum*. *Mol. Ecol.* 11, 2123–2135. doi:10.1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01606.x.
- 611 Vorburger, C. (2014). The evolutionary ecology of symbiont-conferred resistance to parasitoids in  
612 aphids. *Insect Sci.* 21, 251–264. doi:10.1111/1744-7917.12067.
- 613 Walther, G.-R., Post, E., Convey, P., Menzel, A., Parmesan, C., Beebee, T., et al. (2002). Ecological  
614 responses to recent climate change. *Nature* 416, 389–395. doi:10.1038/416389a.
- 615 Weiss, B. L., Maltz, M., and Aksoy, S. (2012). Obligate symbionts activate immune system  
616 development in the tsetse fly. *J. Immunol. Baltim. Md 1950* 188, 3395–3403.  
617 doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1103691.
- 618 Wilcox, J. L., Dunbar, H. E., Wolfinger, R. D., and Moran, N. A. (2003). Consequences of reductive  
619 evolution for gene expression in an obligate endosymbiont. *Mol. Microbiol.* 48, 1491–1500.  
620 doi:10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03522.x.
- 621 Young, D., Roman, E., Moreno, C., O'Brien, R., Born, W., Welch, W. J., et al. (1993). Molecular  
622 chaperones and the immune response. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* 339, 363–368.  
623 doi:10.1098/rstb.1993.0035.
- 624 Zhang, B., Leonard, S. P., Li, Y., and Moran, N. A. (2019). Obligate bacterial endosymbionts limit  
625 thermal tolerance of insect host species. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 116, 24712–24718.  
626 doi:10.1073/pnas.1915307116.
- 627
- 628

629 **10 Data Availability Statement**

630 All datasets generated and analyzed for this study are included in the manuscript and the  
631 supplementary files.

632 **11 Figure Legends**

633 **Figure 1. The effect of heat shock and facultative symbiont presence on the number of**  
634 **offspring produced by pea aphids.** Aphids from genotype 200 that were uninfected or carrying  
635 *Regiella* are compared in the first panel. Aphids from genotype 218 that were uninfected or carrying  
636 *Fukatsuia* or *Hamiltonella* are compared separately in the second and third panels, respectively. In  
637 all comparisons, there was a significant effect of heat shock compared to controls, but no overall  
638 effect of symbiont presence. Means and standard errors are shown. Within each panel separately,  
639 upper case letters denote significant differences between aphids with different symbionts within the  
640 control treatment and lower case letters denote significant differences in the heat shock treatment  
641 The asterisks show significant differences between heat treatments for aphids of the same symbiont  
642 status (\*\*  $P < 0.01$  \*\*\*  $P < 0.001$ ).


643

644 **Figure 2. Densities of facultative symbionts in pea aphids after heat shock or in the control**  
645 **treatment (A) 24-26 hours after the onset of the heat shock and (B) eleven days after heat**  
646 **shock.** Densities are shown as the copy number of the *gyrB* gene of the facultative symbiont relative  
647 to copy number of the aphid gene *EFL-α*. Means and standard errors are shown. Asterisks denote  
648 differences between heat treatments for aphids carrying a given symbiont (\*  $P < 0.05$ , \*\*\*  $P <$   
649  $0.001$ ).

650

651 **Figure 3. The effect of heat shock and facultative symbiont presence on densities of the primary**  
652 **symbiont *Buchnera* in pea aphids.** In each panel *Buchnera* densities are shown one day or eleven  
653 days after heat shock. Uninfected aphids are compared to aphids carrying (A) *Regiella*, (B)  
654 *Fukatsuia* and (C) *Hamiltonella*. The uninfected replicates are the same for the *Fukatsuia* and  
655 *Hamiltonella* lines. Means and standard errors are shown. In all cases, there was a significant  
656 overall difference between the heat shock and control treatments. There was also a significant  
657 overall effect of symbiont presence for the *Fukatsuia* lines at both time points, and *Hamiltonella* lines  
658 one day after heat shock. These main effects are not illustrated on the figure. Posthoc results from  
659 significant interactions are denoted by upper case letters for significant differences between aphids  
660 with different symbionts within the control treatment, and lower-case letters denote significant  
661 differences in the heat shock treatment. The asterisks show significant posthoc differences between  
662 heat treatments for aphids of the same symbiont status (\*\*  $P < 0.01$ , \*\*\*  $P < 0.001$ ).

663




664

665

666 Figure 1

667




668

669

670 Figure 2

671



672

673 Figure 3