


Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](https://www.sciencedirect.com)

# Trauma Case Reports

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/tcr](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/tcr)

## “Morbidity and Mortality”: A new section in Trauma Case Reports to help us learn from our mistakes

Morbidity and Mortality conferences were first introduced by Ernest Codman in 1904 [1]. He famously suggested that surgeon competence must be evaluated and reported in a structured and repetitive manner [1]. The editor of the Canadian Journal of Surgery argues that Codman's concept reached its zenith in 1983 when the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (the American equivalent of the Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons) mandated the presence of weekly M & M conferences to achieve and maintain accreditation for all surgical residency training programs [2]. A recent paper has reflected upon this theme but states that continued evaluation of surgeon competence must involve both comparisons of surgeon performance to larger groups of colleagues at the individual and program levels (big data) as well as the incorporation of local expertise and sage advice in the form of collegial discussion at a formal M & M conference [3]. This new section in the Trauma Case Reports journal hopes to highlight some of this sage advice in the form of cases that have gone awry. The M & M cases presented in this section will provide food for thought to readers and then some recent “best evidence” to allow a surgeon faced with a similar tough clinical decision to make a good choice for their patients.

Surgeons reading this new section in the Trauma Case Reports journal will: 1) see similar patients that they have in their practice, 2) identify situations where a good choice may save a patient from a bad result, 3) note the best recent clinical evidence available, 4) apply a consistent, prospective process for enhanced decision making when presented with a difficult patient dilemma.

We, the editors, hope that this new section will meet your expectations and make it easier for you to come to good patient care decisions. There is no doubt that the clinical problems presented in this section, will allow your future patients in your practice to have a better outcome.

### References

- [1] A. Gregor, D. Taylor, Morbidity and mortality conference: its purpose reclaimed and grounded in theory, *Teach. Learn. Med.* 28 (2016) 439–447.
- [2] C.G. Ball, Are morbidity and mortality conferences becoming a lost art? *Can. J. Surg.* 62 (2) (2019) 76.
- [3] D.A. McNamara, H.M. Hall, E.A. Hardin, Rethinking the modern cardiology morbidity and mortality conference: harmonizing quality improvements and education, *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 73 (2019) 868–872.

Richard Buckley<sup>a,\*</sup>, Peter Giannoudis<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup> *University of Calgary, Canada*<sup>b</sup> *Leeds General Infirmary University Hospital, Leeds, United Kingdom**E-mail addresses:* [buckclin@ucalgary.ca](mailto:buckclin@ucalgary.ca) (R. Buckley), [pgiannoudi@aol.com](mailto:pgiannoudi@aol.com) (P. Giannoudis).

\* Corresponding author.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcr.2019.100255>

Available online 14 November 2019

2352-6440/ © 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).