



Deposited via The University of Sheffield.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:

<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/156540/>

Version: Published Version

Proceedings Paper:

Eldridge, S., Bond, C., Campbell, M. et al. (2019) What use is an external pilot study? In: Trials. 5th International Clinical Trials Methodology Conference (ICTMC 2019), 06-09 Oct 2019, Brighton, UK. BioMed Central, p. 47. EISSN: 1745-6215.

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-019-3688-6>

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence. This licence allows you to distribute, remix, tweak, and build upon the work, even commercially, as long as you credit the authors for the original work. More information and the full terms of the licence here:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

MEETING ABSTRACTS

Open Access



Meeting abstracts from the 5th International Clinical Trials Methodology Conference (ICTMC 2019)

Brighton, UK. 06-09 October 2019

Published: 22 October 2019

P-1

Abstract omitted

P-2

Some practical considerations in the design of multi-arm multi-stage designs

Jerome Wulff, Nikolaos Demiris

Cambridge Clinical Trial Unit, Cambridge, United Kingdom

Trials 2019, 20(Suppl 1):P-2

Introduction: In the design of cancer clinical trials, one is often concerned with a number of options in the event that several treatments are of interest.

Methods: We explore in this work the distinct possibilities when four treatments are available, one acting as control and three as potentially efficacious alternatives. This design may be embedded within the context of multi-arm multi-stage (MAMS) trials where one may select a two- or three-stage design.

Potential Results: We explore the application of such designs, including trade-offs between potential gains in the number of patients with additional stages contrasted with patients "lost" due to practical considerations such as patients randomised in dropped arms while waiting for interim analyses and inspection by an Independent Data and Safety Committee. In addition, in cancer studies one may focus on the primary end-point using a time-to-event analysis or a binary outcome by looking at the probability of (potentially progression-free) survival at a specific, clinically meaningful, time point. The effect of such choices is extensively investigated.

Potential Relevance & Impact: We conclude with a discussion of the available software for MAMS designs and their advantages and disadvantages in terms of accuracy.

P-3

The UK plasma based Molecular profiling of Advanced breast cancer to inform Therapeutic CHOices (plasmaMATCH) Trial: A multiple parallel-cohort, phase IIa platform trial aiming to provide proof of principle efficacy for designated targeted therapies in patient subgroups identified through ctDNA screening (CRUK/15/010)

Sarah Kernaghan¹, Laura Moretti¹, Lucy Kilburn¹, Katie Wilkinson¹, Claire

Snowdon¹, James Morden¹, Iain Macpherson², Andrew Wardley³,

Rebecca Roylance⁴, Richard Baird⁵, Alistair Ring⁶, Nicholas Turner⁷,

Judith M Bliss¹, on behalf of the plasmaMATCH Trial Management

Group

¹Clinical Trials and Statistics Unit at The Institute of Cancer Research

(ICR-CTSU), United Kingdom; ²The Beatson West of Scotland Cancer

Centre, Glasgow, United Kingdom; ³The Christie NHS Foundation Trust,

Manchester, United Kingdom; ⁴University College London Hospitals NHS

Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom; ⁵Cambridge University

Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, United Kingdom; ⁶The

Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, Sutton, United Kingdom; ⁷The

Institute of Cancer Research and The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation

Trust, London, United Kingdom

Trials 2019, 20(Suppl 1):P-3

Introduction: plasmaMATCH is a novel platform trial which assesses the potential of circulating tumour DNA (ctDNA) screening to direct targeted therapies in advanced breast cancer (ABC) patients. The trial recruited ahead of target and will report initial results within 3years of first patient first visit demonstrating efficiency of this design.

Methods: plasmaMATCH is an open-label, multi-centre phase IIa platform trial, consisting of a ctDNA screening component and five parallel treatment cohorts. Patients with an actionable mutation identified at ctDNA screening are invited to enter Cohorts A-D to receive a targeted treatment matched to the mutation identified (A: ESR1-extended-dose fulvestrant; B: HER2-neratinib+/-fulvestrant; C&D: AKT1 (or PTEN for Cohort D) -AZD5363+/-fulvestrant). Cohort E was added



Introduction: Recruitment and retention of participants are the biggest challenges to successful delivery of trials. Many interventions are used by trial teams to improve recruitment and retention; however, few have been rigorously evaluated. A Study Within A Trial (SWAT) is a robust method to evaluate the effectiveness of interventions for improving trial conduct. PROMoting THE USE of SWATs (PROMETHEUS) aims to make embedding SWATs standard practice across UK Clinical Trials Units (CTUs), by pump-priming and facilitating trial teams to start at least 25 SWATs of recruitment or retention.

Methods: We established a network of CTUs committed to starting at least two SWATs of recruitment and/or retention interventions. We identified promising recruitment and retention interventions from a variety of sources including Cochrane systematic reviews and existing prioritisation exercises. We created a priority list of 7 recruitment and 8 retention interventions, and developed template SWAT protocols for testing them. We are inviting trial teams to apply for funding of up to £5,000 to test one of our prioritised interventions or their own. Successful applicants are given funding, methodological and process support to embed and report the SWAT.

Results: 26 trial teams from 11 CTUs have been funded to undertake 30 SWATs of recruitment and retention strategies, exceeding our initial target of 25 SWATs ahead of schedule. Each recruitment and retention intervention is being evaluated in up to five host trials, and will be evaluated for its effectiveness in the context of individual trials, as well as across different trial populations and contexts.

Discussion: The RCT community has shown that with enough financial and methodological support, many are willing to engage with and implement SWATs to build rapidly the evidence base. This will help to deliver trials in a timely manner, patients to receive better treatments and funders to deliver on their objectives.

P-161

What use is an external pilot study?

Sandra Eldridge¹, Christine Bond², Mike Campbell³, Claire Chan¹, Sally Hopewell⁴, Gill Lancaster⁵, Lehana Thabane⁶

¹Queen Mary University of London, United Kingdom; ²University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, United Kingdom; ³University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom; ⁴University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom; ⁵Keele University, Keele, United Kingdom; ⁶McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada

Trials 2019, **20**(Suppl 1):P-161

The past 15 years have seen an exponential rise in published studies in health research described as pilot or feasibility studies. Many of these published studies are in preparation for larger randomised controlled trials evaluating efficacy or effectiveness. The vast majority of these studies are external pilot or feasibility studies conducted separately from the future larger randomised trial, and the data they produce is used only to make decisions about whether and how to go on to a larger study. However, there has also been a rise in the number of effectiveness or efficacy randomised controlled trials in which the first part of the trial is a pilot phase used to test out the feasibility of trial processes such as recruitment and retention. These pilot phases are usually called internal pilot studies.

A pilot or feasibility phase for trials of complex interventions is widely recommended, for example by the UK MRC framework for the development and evaluation of complex interventions and is expected by funders such as the UK NIHR. However, researchers still face the question about whether and what sort of external pilot work is needed in relation to their own research area. In this talk, we will use some examples of external pilot and feasibility studies to reflect on when external pilot studies are particularly useful, and how to make judgements about their objectives, design and conduct. The examples cover a range of different health issues. We suggest that the usefulness of an external

pilot study in advance of a larger randomised controlled trial may be best assessed on a case by case basis.

P-162

Recruiting women during pregnancy and childbirth to clinical trials – the barriers and enablers of trial recruiters: a qualitative evidence synthesis

Vivienne Hanrahan¹, Linda Biesty², Katie Gillies³

¹National University of Ireland Galway, Galway, Ireland; ²National University of Ireland Galway, Galway, Ireland; ³University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, Scotland

Trials 2019, **20**(Suppl 1):P-162

Introduction: The Prioritising Recruitment in Randomised Trials Priority Setting Partnership Study (PRioRiTy PSP), identified and prioritised unanswered questions around trial recruitment research. We utilised qualitative research methods to answer Question 5 'What are the barriers and enablers for trial recruiters?' within the maternity care setting.

The aim of this Qualitative Evidence Synthesis (QES) was to explore the evidence on the recruiter's experience and perceptions of recruiting women during pregnancy & childbirth to trials. We were specifically interested in exploring;

- 1)The recruiter's perception and awareness of how their own role (e.g. clinical or non-clinical) might influence recruitment.
- 2)The recruiter's perception and experience of how the 'type of trial' (i.e. pharmaceutical, non-pharmaceutical,) might influence recruitment.
- 3)Explore the setting and environment in which recruitment is undertaken.

Methods: Using SPIDER, a broad search of electronic databases (Pubmed, CINAHL, Embase, PsycINFO) & grey literature (Scopus, forward & backward citation searches) returned 13,401 citations. Abstracts were independently screened by two reviewers, of these, 29 citations progressed to full text screening, resulting in 8 eligible papers. We designed a data extraction tool and critically appraised using CASP checklist. A thematic approach to coding & synthesis was undertaken, applying CERQual for confidence in review findings.

Timing of Potential Results: We have preliminary results and expect the QES will be submitted for publication in December 2019.

Potential Relevance & Impact: The review will, for the first time, systematically synthesise existing research on factors associated with recruitment to RCTs in maternity care from the recruiters perspective. The findings will provide the basis and direction of an exploratory qualitative study seeking to develop a statement of recommendation (in collaboration with stakeholders) for successful recruitment of women during pregnancy & childbirth to RCTs.

P-163

Understanding and addressing recruitment challenges in a thoracic anaesthesia randomised controlled trial (RCT)

Caroline Wilson¹, Marcus Jepson¹, Fang Gao-Smith²

¹Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom; ²Institute of Inflammation and Ageing Centre of Translational Inflammation Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

Trials 2019, **20**(Suppl 1):P-163

Introduction; The Topic 2 randomised controlled trial (RCT) (NIHR-HTA- 16/111/111) was set up to compare the effectiveness of thoracic epidural and paravertebral blockade in reducing chronic post-thoractomy pain. Recruitment was anticipated to be difficult and the QuinteT Recruitment Intervention (QRI) was integrated into the trial design to optimise recruitment.