UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS

This is a repository copy of The Weight-Focused Forms of Self-Criticising/Attacking and Self-Reassuring Scale: Confirmatory Factor Analysis and associations with control, loss of control of eating and weight in overweight and obese women.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/156196/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Duarte, C, Stubbs, JR, Gilbert, P et al. (5 more authors) (2019) The Weight-Focused Forms of Self-Criticising/Attacking and Self-Reassuring Scale: Confirmatory Factor Analysis and associations with control, loss of control of eating and weight in overweight and obese women. Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice, 92 (4). pp. 539-553. ISSN 1476-0835

https://doi.org/10.1111/papt.12196

© 2018 The British Psychological Society. This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Duarte, C., Stubbs, J.R., Gilbert, P., Stalker, C., Catarino, F., Basran, J., Horgan, G. and Morris, L. (2019), The Weight-Focused Forms of Self-Criticising/Attacking and Self-Reassuring Scale: Confirmatory Factor Analysis and associations with control, loss of control of eating and weight in overweight and obese women. Psychol Psychother Theory Res Pract, 92: 539-553., which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/papt.12196. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1		
2		

4

5 Abstract

6

Objectives: The Weight-Focused Forms of Self-Criticising/Attacking and Self-reassuring scale (WFSCRS) is based on the original Forms of Self-Criticising/Attacking and Self-reassuring scale (FSCSRS; Gilbert et al., 2004) and assesses the inadequate and hated forms of selfcriticism and the ability to self-reassure when coping with attempts to control body weight, shape and eating. The aim of the current study was to examine the factor structure, consistency and reliability of the WFSCRS in overweight and obese women.

The Weight-Focused Forms of Self-Criticising/Attacking and Self-Reassuring Scale:

Confirmatory Factor Analysis and associations with control, loss of control of eating

and weight in overweight and obese women

Methods: The factorial structure of the WFSCRS was examined through a Confirmatory Factor Analysis in 724 overweight and obese women participating in a commercial weight management programme. The scale's construct and convergent validity were also examined. Results: The WFSCRS had a three-factor structure, similar to the FSCSRS, which fitted the data well. The WFSCRS had high internal reliability, construct and discriminant validity. The scale was positively associated with measures of shame, body image and eating-related

difficulties, symptoms of anxiety, depression and stress, and body mass index (BMI). The two forms of self-criticism were significantly associated with higher BMI and this effect was mediated by increased loss of control over eating (for both forms) and decreased flexible control over eating (for the hated self form).

23 Conclusions: The WFSCRS is a valid measure for assessing self-reassurance and two 24 denigratory forms (inadequate self and hated self) of self-criticism in people who are 25 overweight and obese.

26	
27	Keywords: self-criticism, self-reassurance, obesity, body weight; confirmatory factor analysis
28	
29	Practitioner Points
30	• The WFSCRS was developed to measure weight/shape and eating-related self-criticism
31	and self-reassurance.
32	• The WFSCRS was examined in a large sample of overweight and obese women
33	attending a community-based weight management programme.
34	• The WFSCRS presented a 3-factor structure measuring two forms of self-criticism
35	(inadequate self and hated self) and the ability to be self-reassuring.
36	• The two forms of self-criticism and self-reassurance are differentially associated with
37	BMI, through the mediating effect of loss of control over eating and flexible control over
38	eating.

- 40 Introduction
- 41

42 Overweight, obesity and associated diseases are key societal challenges to the health of 43 almost 2 billion people worldwide (McPherson, Marsh, & Brown, 2007; WHO, 2013, 2018; 44 Swanton & Frost, 2007; Swinburn et al., 2011). The prevalence of overweight and obesity has 45 progressively increased over the last 40 years (WHO, 2018). Undernutrition is still a major 46 concern in many populations, but most individuals struggle to avoid weight gain as they grow 47 older due to an 'obesogenic environment' that facilitates excess energy intake and low levels 48 of energy expenditure (Lieberman, 2006). Despite this, there is a widespread attitude of 49 criticism of overweight/obesity, overeating patterns and physical inactivity (Puhl & Heuer, 50 2010). Modern Western societies tend to be punitive and discriminating towards perceived 51 failure, and obesity is often interpreted as a failure at self-control (Stubbs, Gale, Whybrow, & 52 Gilbert, 2013). Overweight and obese individuals are often stigmatized in different contexts of 53 their life such as their immediate social, health and employment contexts (Brownell, Puhl, 54 Schwartz, & Rudd, 2005; Puhl & Brownell, 2001). There is now consistent evidence that 55 weight stigma has detrimental effects on psychological adjustment (Puhl, Moss-Racusin, & 56 Schwartz, 2007). Being obese and trying to lose weight can create negative affect and stress, 57 which may impact on eating behaviour and derail weight loss attempts (Duarte, Matos, et al., 58 2017; Foss & Dysrad, 2011). Stigma is an additional form of stress (Jackson, Beeken, & 59 Wardle, 2014; Puhl et al., 2007). Perceiving oneself as a member of a stigmatized group can 60 activate negative affect, feelings of shame and self-criticism (Gilbert, 2002).

Self-criticism can be viewed as a self-correcting or self-monitoring component of selfregulation (Driscoll, 1989; Powers, Koestner, & Zuroff, 2007; Shahar, Henrich, Blatt, Ryan, &
Little, 2003). Self-criticism has been defined as occurring on a continuum. Indeed, Thompson
& Zuroff (2004) describe self-criticism as varying from a more externalized self-evaluative

65 domain that involves social comparisons and perceptions of hostility from others, to a more 66 internalized domain that entails a sense of inferiority and of falling short of one's (unrealistic) 67 goals (Thompson & Zuroff, 2004). Based on clinical practice with depressed patients and on 68 the Social Rank Theory (Gilbert, 1989, 2000, 2005), Gilbert et al. (2004) conceptualize 69 denigratory self-criticism as taking two forms: one form that involves evaluations of selfinadequacies, limitations or faults (inadequate self) and another form that is characterized by 70 71 self-attacking, self-hatred, self-disgust and desires to hurt or attack the self (hated self). Several 72 studies show that the 'hated self' form of self-criticism is associated with shame and poorer 73 psychological adjustment (Castilho, Pinto-Gouveia, & Duarte, 2015; Duarte, Ferreira, & Pinto-74 Gouveia, 2016; Gilbert et al., 2010; Harman & Lee, 2010; Luyten et al., 2007; Pinto-Gouveia, 75 Ferreira, & Duarte, 2014). In contrast, the ability to be self-reassuring and compassionate in 76 relation to personal setbacks or failures is negatively associated with indicators of 77 psychopathology and positively associated with psychological health (Gilbert et al., 2004, 78 2006).

In the context of eating and weight regulation, denigratory forms of self-criticism may undermine self-regulation, as uncontrolled eating may be used as means to cope with negative emotions resulting from self-criticism (Adams & Leary, 2007; Heatherton & Baumeister, 1991). Studies conducted in clinical samples with eating disorders (Duarte et al., 2016) and in nonclinical samples from the general population (Duarte, Pinto-Gouveia, & Ferreira, 2014; Palmeira, Pinto-Gouveia, Cunha, & Carvalho, 2017) show that the 'self-hated' form of selfcriticism is associated with greater eating disordered symptoms.

Gilbert and colleagues (2004) developed the Forms of Self-Criticising/Attacking and Self-Reassuring Scale (FSCRS) to assess how individuals relate to themselves when experiencing failures, limitations or threats to their social status. The scale's factor analysis and psychometric properties were originally explored in a sample of female undergraduates.

90 Results suggested a three-factor model with one factor of self-reassurance and two factors of 91 self-criticism: inadequate self (linked to feelings of self-inadequacy) and hated self (related to 92 self-hatred, and feelings of self- disgust and self-contempt). Other studies confirmed the three-93 factor structure of the FSCRS in nonclinical (Kupeli, Chilcot, Schmidt, Campbell, & Troop, 94 2013) and clinical samples (Baião, Gilbert, McEwan, & Carvalho, 2015; Castilho et al., 2015). 95 In recent studies of overweight/obese women attending a community-based weight 96 management programme (Duarte, Matos, et al., 2017; Duarte, Stubbs, et al., 2017) the FSCRS 97 was adapted to focus on the specific dimensions of body weight, shape and eating behaviour. 98 Higher scores on the inadequate self and hated self subscales were associated with higher 99 disinhibition of eating behaviour and with less weight loss in participants of the programme 100 (Duarte, Matos, et al., 2017). The ability to self-reassure was related to greater dietary restraint 101 and greater wellbeing (Duarte, Stubbs, et al., 2017). These results suggest that for some 102 individuals attending weight management programmes, internalisation of stigma as shame and 103 self-criticism may influence self-regulation of eating behaviour and weight outcomes. Weight 104 management programmes appear to be relatively effective for initial weight loss, but in the 105 longer-term the relapse and attrition rates are very high (Franz et al., 2007). Repeated cycles 106 of weight loss and weight regain may over time increase self-criticism and undermine self-107 regulation of energy balance behaviours, which in turn may negatively impact psychological 108 wellbeing (Stubbs et al., 2013; Stubbs & Lavin, 2013).

109 This domain-specific version of the FSCRS – Weight-Focused Forms of Self-110 Criticising/Self-Attacking and Self-Reassuring Scale (WFSCRS) – may enable researchers and 111 practitioners working with overweight/obese individuals to develop a wider understanding of 112 denigratory self-criticism and self-reassurance in self-regulation of eating behaviours and 113 related aspects of psychological adjustment. The current study examined the factorial structure 114 and psychometric properties of the WFSCRS in a sample of overweight and obese women participating in a community-based weight management programme. This paper explored a model examining the effect of these two forms of self-criticism (inadequate self and hated self) and self-reassurance, on participants' BMI, mediated by increased loss of control over eating (measured by the severity of binge eating symptomatology) and decreased control over eating (measured by flexible dietary restraint).

- 120
- 121 Method
- 122
- 123 **Participants**

124 Participants were 724 women attending a diet and lifestyle commercial weight 125 management programme in the United Kingdom. Participants' mean (SD) age was 44.89 126 (11.30), with a range of 19-65, and mean (SD) BMI was 32.81 (6.40), with a range of 25.06-127 66.14. 41.3% had a BMI between 25 and 29.99, 30.2% between 30 and 34.99, 15.4% between 128 35 and 39.99 and 13.1% > 40. At the time of the survey 44.2% had been in the programme for 129 6 months or less; 13.5% for 7 to 12 months, 12.5% for 13 to 18 months, 6.3% for 19 months 130 to 2 years, and 22.5% for more than 2 years. The number of self-reported previous weight loss 131 attempts were as follows, 40.7%, 1 to 5 times; 23.8%, 6 to 10 times; 5.6%, 11 to 15 times, 7.4%, 16 to 20 times; 21.7% >20 or countless times. On a scale from 1 ('Not at all') to 5 132 133 ('Extremely'), the mean perception of success at previous weight loss attempts was 2.99 (1.04), 134 perceptions that these efforts were too much of a struggle was 3.50 (0.92), and self-reported 135 relapse scores were 3.91 (0.91).

136

```
137 Measures
```

```
139 Weight-Focused Self-Criticising/Self-Reassuring Scale (WFSCRS)
```

This 22-item scale is derived from the Forms of Self-Criticising/Attacking and Self-Reassuring Scale (FSCRS; Gilbert, Clarke, Hempel, Miles, & Irons, 2004). The FSCRS assesses the degree to which people experience denigratory self-criticism or self-reassurance when they encounter personal setbacks or failures. The WFSCRS' instructions to participants were adapted to focus on weight, body shape and eating. The content of the items was not changed (i.e., were kept as in the original FSCRS):

146 When we think about our weight and body shape we can sometimes have negative and self-

147 critical thoughts and feelings about ourselves, while at other times we can be caring and

supportive of ourselves. Below are a series of thoughts and feelings that you may have

149 experienced. Read each statement carefully and circle the number that best describes how

150 much each statement is true for you.

151 Participants rate each statement on a five-point scale (0 'Not at all like me' to 4 152 'Extremely like me'). The self-criticism scale has two subscales purporting to measure two 153 forms of denigratory self-criticism: i) inadequate self, which is a sense of feeling internally 154 put-down and inadequate (e.g., "I can't accept failures and setbacks without feeling 155 inadequate") and ii) hated self, which is a sense of self-dislike and aggressive/persecutory 156 desires to hurt the self (e.g., "I have become so angry with myself that I want to hurt or injury 157 myself"). The scale also purports to measure the construct of 'reassured self', which involves 158 an encouraging and supportive relationship with oneself when things go wrong (e.g., "I am 159 gentle and supportive with myself"). The original FSCRS has good reliability with Cronbach's 160 alphas of 0.90 for inadequate self, 0.86 for hated self, and 0.86 for reassured self.

161

162 Body Image Shame Scale (BISS)

163 The BISS (Duarte, Pinto-Gouveia, Ferreira, & Batista, 2015) measures body image 164 shame. The scale comprises two subscales, with seven items each, designed to measure i) 165 externalized body image shame, which involves the avoidance of social situations in which

166 one's body image may be an object of negative scrutiny and denigratory criticism from others 167 and ii) internalized body image shame, which comprises negative self-evaluations and body 168 image concealment. Respondents are asked to rate each item according to the frequency with 169 which they experience shame about their body, using a 5-point scale (ranging from 0 'Never' 170 to 4 'Almost always'). Duarte et al. (2015) found the BISS total score and the externalized and 171 internalized body shame subscales to have high internal reliability (.92, .90, and .89, 172 respectively).

173

174 Depression, Anxiety and Stress Scales (DASS-21)

The DASS-21 is a 21-item scale (Lovibond & Lovibond, 1995) that measures symptoms of depression, anxiety and stress (Lovibond & Lovibond, 1995). Respondents are asked to rate how much each statement applied to them over the past week, using a 4-point scale (ranging from 0 'did not apply to my' to 3 'Applied to me very much'). The subscales have Cronbach's alpha values of 0.94 for depression, 0.87 for anxiety and 0.91 for stress (Lovibond & Lovibond, 1995).

181

182 Binge Eating Scale (BES)

The BES (Gormally, Black, Daston, & Rardin, 1982) is a 16-item self-report instrument 183 184 that assesses behavioural, emotional and cognitive aspects of binge eating symptoms. Each 185 item comprises three to four statements that represent the severity of binge eating symptoms (ranging from 0 'no difficulties with binge eating' to 3 'severe problems with binge eating'). 186 187 In obese people the scale has good psychometric properties, with a Cronbach's alpha value of 188 0.85 (Gormally et al., 1982). In a sample of women from the general population the scale was 189 found to have good internal consistency (with a Composite Reliability value of 0.88; Duarte, 190 Pinto-Gouveia, & Ferreira, 2015).

191 Three Factor Eating Questionnaire (TEFQ)

The TFEQ (Stunkard & Messick, 1985) is a 51-item questionnaire that measures three cognitive and behavioural dimensions of eating: restraint, a subscale that measures the tendency to restrict food intake to control body weight and shape; disinhibition, which assesses episodes of loss of control over eating; and susceptibility to hunger, which assesses subjective perceptions of hunger and food craving. In the original study, the scale showed Cronbach's alpha values of 0.93 for the subscale restraint, 0.91 for the subscale disinhibition, and 0.85 for the subscale hunger.

199

200 Flexible and rigid control of eating behaviour

Additional items can be administered with the TEFQ (Westenhoefer et al., 2013; Westenhoefer, Stunkard, & Pudel, 1999): five that assess flexible control of eating behaviour, which involves the ability to follow a diet plan in which specific foods are not banned; nine that assess rigid control of eating behaviour, including inflexible restrained eating behaviours characterized by an 'all-or-nothing' attitude toward eating.

206

207 BMI

208 Participants' height was self-reported to the nearest 0.5 cm. Participants were weighed 209 in light clothing on scales with a precision of ± 0.23 kg (SECA bespoke model). Accuracy was 210 ensured by calibration against standard weights during routine service and scales were checked 211 weekly for accuracy. Participants were weighed weekly.

212

213 **Procedure**

The current study was part of a larger research programme investigating the effect of adding an online digital compassion-based intervention to a multicomponent commercial

weight management programme (BLIND FOR REVIEW). The programme and approach to
behaviour change and weight management are described elsewhere (Stubbs, Morris, Pallister,
Horgan, & Lavin, 2015).

The study was approved by the [BLIND FOR REVIEW] Ethics Committee. The study was presented to the programme Group Leaders who advertised it in-group to group attendees. All study participants gave fully informed consent to take part in the study. Measures were completed though an online survey. The questionnaire was constructed and administered using Checkbox v4.4-Web Survey Software-Copyright ©2007, Prezza Technologies, Inc.

224

225 Data analysis

226 A Confirmatory Factor Analysis was conducted to assess the factorial structure of the 227 WFSCRS. The Maximum Likelihood method was applied. We first tested the adequacy of the 228 theoretical three-factor model of the original FSCRS (Gilbert et al., 2004). A two-factor model 229 (with the factors self-criticism and reassured self) was also assessed where the two forms of 230 denigratory self-criticism (inadequate self and hated self) were loaded on a higher-order factor 231 of self-criticism. The model fit was assessed using the following model fit indices: chi-square statistic (χ^2), normed chi-square (χ^2 /df; with values ranging from 2 to 5 indicating good global 232 233 adjustment), Tucker Lewis Index (TLI) Comparative Fit Index (CFI), with values ranging from 234 .90 to .95 suggesting good fit, the Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), with 235 values between .05 and .08, indicating good fit; and the standardized root mean squared 236 residual (SRMR), with values below .08 suggesting good model fit. The Akaike information 237 criterion (AIC) and the Expected cross-validation index (ECVI) were used for model 238 comparison. Correlations among error terms with high modification indices were estimated 239 when the theoretical content of the item supported this approach (Brown, 2006; Kline, 2005). 240 The scale construct reliability was examined through the analysis of the composite reliability

241 (CR) and the average variance extracted (AVE; Fornell & Larcker, 1981). The association 242 between the WFSCRS and other related self-report measures and BMI were assessed through Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients. A path analysis was conducted to model the 243 244 mediator effect of loss of control and control of eating on the association between inadequate 245 self, hated self, reassured self (exogenous variables) and participants' BMI (endogenous, 246 dependent variable). The significance of the indirect effects was assessed through the Bootstrap 247 method using 5000 resamples. Effects are statistically significant when zero is not included 248 between the lower and upper bound of the 95% bias-corrected confidence interval (CI).

249

250

- 251 Results
- 252
- 253 Confirmatory Factor Analysis

Fit indices for the three-factor model (inadequate self, hated self and reassured self) 254 suggested a good fit to the data: $\chi^2_{(206)} = 1095.98 \text{ p} < .001$; $\chi^2/df = 5.32$; TLI = .87; CFI = .88; 255 256 RMSEA = .08, p < .001, SRMR = .05. Analyses of the Modification Indices (MI) indicated the 257 correlation of two pairs of items. A re-specified model was calculated where the error covariances between the items 1 and 2 (MI = 242.38) and the items 6 and 7 (MI = 103.63) were 258 correlated. Results revealed a good model fit: $\chi^2_{(204)}$ =699.63, p < .001; χ^2/df = 3.43; TLI = .93; 259 CFI = .94; RMSEA = .06, p = .003, SRMR = .05. The values of AIC (1189.98 > 797.63) and 260 261 ECVI (1.65 > 1.10) were lower and the Chi-square difference test indicated that the model with these two error terms specified was more plausible (χ^2 diff = 396.36, dfdiff = 2, p < .001). Also, 262 the removal of these two items did not improve model fit: $\chi^2_{(167)} = 621.61$, p < .001; $\chi^2/df =$ 263 3.72; TLI = .91; CFI = .93; RMSEA = .06, p = .000; SRMR = .05. Finally, a second-order 264

265	model was examined and results revealed a poor fit to the data ($\chi^2_{(205)}$ =944.44, p < .001; χ^2 /df
266	= 4.61; TLI = .89; CFI = .90; RMSEA = .07, p = .000, SRMR = .12).
267	The items' standardized regression weights (Table 1) for the Inadequate Self subscale
268	ranged from .45 (item 20) to .77 (item 7), for the hated self subscale ranged from .47 (item 9)
269	to .79 (item 22), and for the reassured self subscale ranged from .41 (item 19) to .80 (item 13).
270	
271	Table 1 around here
272	
273	Validity Analyses
274	The scale presented high internal consistency, with a Cronbach's alpha of .89 for the
275	inadequate self subscale, .80 for the hated self subscale and .84 for the reassured self subscale.
276	Regarding construct validity, results revealed a high CR for the inadequate self ($CR = .93$), for
277	the hated Self $(.87)$ and for the reassured self (CR = .90) subscales. Also, the inadequate self
278	subscale presented an AVE value of .59, the hated self subscale an AVE of .58 and the
279	reassured self subscale an AVE of .53. The subscale's discriminant validity was assessed by
280	comparing the subscales' AVE with the square correlation between each pair of subscales.
281	Results indicated good discriminant validity between inadequate self and reassured self ($r^2 =$
282	.41) and hated self and reassured self ($r^2 = .55$), given that the AVE values were higher than r^2 .
283	The r ² between inadequate self and hated self was .74 suggesting a lower discriminant validity
284	between these subscales.
285	

286 Convergent Validity

The two forms of self-criticism were positively associated with measures of body shame, eating behaviour, and depressive, anxiety and stress symptoms, whereas selfreassurance had an opposite pattern of associations with these variables. Moreover, the inadequate and hated self forms of self-criticism were positively associated with BMI, whileself-reassurance was negatively associated with BMI.

292

Path analysis testing the associations between emotional binge eating, control and loss of control of eating and BMI

295 The mediation model of flexible control and loss of control of eating on the association 296 between forms of denigratory self-criticism and self-reassurance, and BMI, is depicted in 297 Figure 1. An initial analysis indicated that the following paths were nonsignificant: the direct 298 effect of inadequate self on flexible control (B = -.03; SE = .02; p = .171; β = -.07) and on BMI $(B = -.07; SE = .04; p = .086; \beta = -.09)$, and the direct effect of reassured self on BMI $(B = .07; B = .07; B = .04; p = .086; \beta = -.09)$ 299 300 SE = .05; p = .126; β = .07). These nonsignificant paths were progressively removed from the model. The trimmed model showed a very good model fit ($X^{2}_{(3)} = 8.03$, p = 045; CFI = 1.00; 301 302 TLI = .98; RMSEA = .05 [.01, .09], p = .457; SRMR = .02; AIC = 56.03). The model accounted for a total of 38% of the variance of binge eating symptoms, 10% of the variance of flexible 303 control over eating and 17% of the variance of BMI. The inadequate-self form of self-criticism 304 305 had a significant mediated effect of .04 on BMI (95% CI (.02, .06), p = .000) via increased 306 binge eating symptoms. The hated self form of self-criticism had a direct positive effect on 307 BMI ($\beta = .20$) and a significant mediated effect of .08 (95% CI (.05, .12), p = .000), through 308 increased binge eating symptoms $(.35 \times .16 = .06)$ and through decreased flexible control over 309 eating (-.15 x - .16 = .02). A different pattern of associations was found for reassured self which 310 had a significant negative indirect effect on BMI of -.05 (95% CI (-.09, -.03), p = .000), 311 mediated by decreased binge eating symptoms $(-.12 \times .16 = -.02)$ and increased flexible control 312 over eating (.21 x - .16 = -.03). Overall, the model suggested that the hated self form of self-313 criticism had a stronger association with increased BMI and that its effect was partially 314 mediated by eating behaviour.

318	Given the cross-sectional design of the data, an alternative model was examined testing
319	the effect of BMI on the denigratory forms of self-criticism and self-reassurance, mediated by
320	control and loss of control of eating (Figure 2). The path coefficients from flexible control over
321	eating to inadequate self (B =12; SE = .10; p = .222; β =04), hated self (B =05; SE = .05;
322	$p = .311; \beta =03$) and reassured self (B =12; SE = .10; p = .534; \beta =02) were nonsignificant
323	and removed from the model. The path coefficients between BMI and inadequate self ($B = .05$;
324	SE = .04.; p = .241; β = .04) and reassured self (B =02; SE = .03; p = .534; β =02) were
325	also excluded. This model also presented a good model fit ($X^{2}_{(5)} = 19.01$, p = .002; CFI = .99;
326	TLI = .97; RMSEA = .06 [.03, .09], p = .212; SRMR = .03; AIC = 63.44). Nonetheless the AIC
327	value was lower ($\Delta = 7.41$) suggesting a poorer fit (Burnham & Anderson, 2004). BMI had a
328	significant indirect effect of .19 on inadequate self (95% CI (.14, .23), $p < .001$) mediated by
329	increased binge eating symptoms; and a significant indirect effect of15 on reduced reassured
330	self (95% CI (20,12), $p < .001$), again mediated by binge eating symptoms. Regarding the
331	hated self form of self-criticism, BMI had a direct effect of .13, and an indirect effect of .18
332	(95% CI (.14, .23), $p < .001$) mediated by increased binge eating symptomatology.
333	
334	Figure 2 around here
335	
336	Discussion
337	

The current study shows that adaptation of the FSCRS to the WFSCRS presents a threefactor structure similar to the structure obtained by the authors of the original FSCRS (Gilbert et al. 2004). The CFA also confirmed the factor structure of the FSCRS in nonclinical and 341 clinical samples (Baião et al., 2015; Castilho et al., 2015; Kupeli et al., 2013). Each subscale 342 presented high internal consistency and composite reliability. The two forms of self-criticism 343 presented good discriminant validity relative to the self-reassurance subscale. Discriminant 344 validity was less evident for the inadequate-self and hated self subscales. A second-order model 345 in which the two latent self-criticism subscales were specified to load on a higher-order factor 346 revealed a poorer fit to the data. Overall, results supported the plausibility of the three-factor 347 model previously identified in the original version of the scale (Gilbert et al., 2004) that 348 identifies a factor of self-reassurance and two forms of denigratory self-criticism: one focused 349 on feelings of self-inadequacy and discouragement, and the other focused on feelings of self-350 hatred and desires to harm or persecute the self for its faults. A distinct dimension captured by 351 this measure is the ability to self-reassure when facing body weight, shape and eating 352 difficulties. The associations between the three subscales and the other variables in the study 353 corroborated WFSCRS convergent validity. As in previous research, results confirmed that 354 there is a significant association between denigratory self-criticism and body image shame and 355 that this association is stronger for the hated self form of self-criticism (Duarte et al., 2014). 356 Associations were also positive and strong between the two forms of self-criticism and 357 symptoms of depression, anxiety and stress. The two forms of self-criticism were associated 358 with greater eating disinhibition and susceptibility to hunger cues, which reflects results of a 359 study in a separate sample of the same weight management programme (Duarte, Matos, et al., 360 2017; Duarte, Stubbs, et al., 2017).

361 Self-criticism (particularly the hated self subscale) was associated with lower flexible 362 control of eating behaviour and higher binge eating symptoms. Positive associations were also 363 found between self-criticism and participants' BMI. To better understand these associations, a 364 path analysis modelled the hypothesis that self-criticism vs. self-reassurance may have an 365 influence on BMI via their effect on loss of control over eating (binge eating symptoms and 366 lower flexible control of eating behaviour). The model suggested that the two forms of 367 denigratory self-criticism may operate differently on these associations. Inadequate self may 368 have an indirect effect on BMI through its effect on increased loss of control over eating. The 369 hated self form of self-criticism appears to have both a direct association with BMI and an 370 indirect effect that is mediated by binge eating symptoms and lower flexible control of eating. 371 As the cross-sectional design of this study does not allow cause-effect relationships to be 372 inferred, a competing model was examined. The model presented a poorer fit but suggested 373 that increased BMI and difficulties in regulating eating behaviour are directly associated with 374 self-hatred self-criticism. It is important that future studies using prospective and experimental 375 designs examine these findings. Weight management programmes could be developed to offer 376 personalised solutions to individuals who may benefit from more targeted approaches that 377 address problems related to feelings of shame and negative self-criticism around difficulties to 378 control eating behaviour and manage weight and that promote self-reassuring abilities (Stubbs 379 et al. submitted).

380 This study has other limitations. An important limitation of the WFSCRS is that the 381 items of the scale were not adapted to focus on weight, shape and eating behaviour. Also, the 382 scale does not consider the distinctiveness of these three dimensions. Future developments of 383 a scale of self-criticism and self-reassurance should address this distinction between body 384 weight and shape, and eating behaviour. Also, this measure assesses self-criticism as involving 385 a sense of inferiority, defectiveness and self-hatred, and does not include items that relate to a 386 corrective self-regulatory function of self-criticism (Driscoll, 1989; Powers et al., 2007). A 387 scale is currently being designed (by the authors) that accounts for both self-corrective and 388 self-denigratory forms of self-criticism. These different dimensions of self-criticism may have 389 different effects on energy balance behaviours and weight control capability. This study sample 390 is representative of individuals attending weight management programmes (predominantly

391 middle-aged Caucasian women). However, is important to evaluate the WFSCRS's 392 applicability to a wider range of people who experience distress relating to their weight, shape 393 and eating. These include men and overweight/obese individuals not engaged in weight loss 394 attempts. Also, given the cross-sectional design of the study, it was not possible to examine the 395 scale's temporal stability. Future research should investigate the scale's test-retest reliability 396 and its sensitivity to change during weight management interventions. Despite these 397 limitations, the WFSCRS seems to be an important contribution to research focused on the 398 correlates and effects of self-criticism and self-reassurance in the context of weight 399 management.

400

401 **References**

402

Adams, C. E., & Leary, M. R. (2007). Promoting self-compassionate attitudes toward eating
among restrictive and guilty eaters. Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 26, 1120-1144.

405 doi:10.1521/jscp.2007.26.10.1120

406 Baião, R., Gilbert, P., McEwan, K., & Carvalho, S. (2015). Forms of Self-Criticising/Attacking

407 & Self-Reassuring Scale: Psychometric properties and normative study. Psychology and

408 Psychotherapy: Theory, Research & Practice, 88(4), 438-452. doi:10.1111/papt.12049

409 Brown, T. A. (2006). Confirmatory factor analysis for applied research. New York: Guilford.

410 Brownell, K. D., Puhl, R. M., Schwartz, M. B., Rudd, L., (2005). Weight bias: Nature,

411 consequences, and remedies. New York, NY: The Guilford Press.

Burnham, K. P., & Anderson, D. R. (2004). Multimodel Inference: Understanding AIC and
BIC in Model Selection. Sociological Methods & Research, 33(2), 261-304.
doi:10.1177/0049124104268644

- Castilho, P., Pinto-Gouveia, J., & Duarte, J. (2015). Exploring self-criticism: Confirmatory
 Factor Analysis of the FSCRS in clinical and nonclinical samples. Clinical Psychology &
 Psychotherapy, 22(2), 153-164. doi:10.1002/cpp.1881
- Driscoll, R. (1989). Self-condemnation: A comprehensive framework for assessment and
 treatment. Psychotherapy: Theory, Research, Practice, Training, 26, 104–111.
 doi:10.1037/h0085394
- 421 Duarte, C., Ferreira, C., & Pinto-Gouveia, J. (2016). At the core of eating disorders:
 422 Overvaluation, social rank, self-criticism and shame in anorexia, bulimia and binge eating
- disorder. Comprehensive Psychiatry, 66, 123-131. doi:10.1016/j.comppsych.2016.01.003
- 424 Duarte, C., Matos, M., Stubbs, R. J., Gale, C., Morris, L., Gouveia, J. P., & Gilbert, P. (2017).
- 425 The impact of shame, self-criticism and social rank on eating behaviours in overweight and
- 426 obese women participating in a weight management programme. PloS One, 12(1), e0167571.
- 427 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167571
- 428 Duarte, C., Pinto-Gouveia, J., & Ferreira, C. (2014). Escaping from body image shame and
- 429 harsh self-criticism: Exploration of underlying mechanisms of binge eating. Eating Behaviors,
- 430 15(4), 638-643. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2014.08.025
- 431 Duarte, C., Pinto-Gouveia, J., & Ferreira, C. (2015). Expanding binge eating assessment:
- 432 Validity and screening value of the Binge Eating Scale in women from the general population.
- 433 Eating Behaviors, 18 41–47. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2015.03.007
- 434 Duarte, C., Pinto-Gouveia, J., Ferreira, C., & Batista, D. (2015). Body image as a source of
- 435 shame: A new measure for the assessment of the multifaceted nature of body image shame.
- 436 Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy, 22(6), 656-666. doi:10.1002/cpp.1925
- 437 Duarte, C., Stubbs, J., Pinto-Gouveia, J., Matos, M., Gale, C., Morris, L., & Gilbert, P. (2017).
- 438 The Impact of Self-Criticism and Self-Reassurance on Weight-Related Affect and Well-Being

- in Participants of a Commercial Weight Management Programme. Obesity Facts, 10(7), 6575. doi:10.1159/000454834
- 441 Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable
- 442 variables and measurement error. Journal of Marketing Research, 18(1), 39-50. Retrieved
- 443 from: http://faculty-gsb.stanford.edu/larcker/PDF/6 Unobservable Variables.pdf
- 444 Foss, B., & Dysrad, S. (2011). Stress in obesity: Cause or consequence? Medical Hypostheses,
- 445 77, 7-10. doi: 10.1016/j.mehy.2011.03.011
- 446 Gilbert, P. (1989). Human nature and suffering. Hove: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- 447 Gilbert, P. (2000). Social mentalities: Internal 'social' conflicts and the role of inner warmth
- 448 and compassion in cognitive therapy. In P. Gilbert & K. G. Bailey (Eds.), Genes on the couch:
- 449 Explorations in evolutionary psychotherapy (pp. 118-150). Philadelphia: Brunner-Routledge.
- 450 Gilbert, P. (2002). Body shame: A biopsychosocial conceptualisation and overview with
- 451 treatment implications. In P. Gilbert & J. Miles (Eds.), Body shame: Conceptualisation,
- 452 research and treatment (pp. 3-54). New York: Brunner Routledge.
- 453 Gilbert, P. (2005). Social mentalities: A biopsychosocial and evolutionary reflection on social
- relationships. . In M. B. Baldwin (Ed.), Interpersonal cognition (pp. 299-335). New York:Guilford.
- Gilbert, P., Clarke, M., Hempel, S., Miles, J., & Irons, C. (2004). Criticizing and reassuring
 oneself: An exploration of forms, styles and reasons in female students. British Journal of
 Clinical Psychology, 43(1), 31-50. doi:0.1348/014466504772812959
- 459 Gilbert, P., McEwan, K., Irons, C., Bhundia, R., Christie, R., Broomhead, C., & Rockliff, H.
- 460 (2010). Self-harm in a mixed clinical population: the roles of self-criticism, shame, and social
- 461 rank. British Journal of Clinical Psychology, 49(Pt 4), 563-576.
 462 doi:10.1348/014466509X479771

- Gormally, J., Black, S., Daston, S., & Rardin, D. (1982). The assessment of binge eating
 severity among obese persons. Addictive Behaviors, 7(1), 47-55. doi:10.1016/0306465 4603(82)90024-7
- 466 Harman, R., & Lee, D. (2010). The role of shame and self-critical thinking in the development
- 467 and maintenance of current threat in post-traumatic stress disorder. Clinical Psychology and
- 468 Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 17, 13–24. doi:10.1002/cpp.636
- Heatherton, T., & Baumeister, R. (1991). Binge eating as escape from self-awareness.
 Psychological Bulletin, 110(1), 86-108. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.110.1.86
- 471 Jackson, S. E., Beeken, R. J., & Wardle, J. (2014). Perceived weight discrimination and
- 472 changes in weight, waist circumference, and weight status. Obesity, 22, 2485-2488.
 473 doi:10.1002/oby.20891
- Kline, R. B. (2005). Principles and practice of structural equation modeling (2nd ed.). New
 York: The Guilford Press.
- 476 Kupeli, N., Chilcot, J., Schmidt, U., Campbell, I., & Troop, N. (2013). A confirmatory factor
- 477 analysis and validation of the forms of self-criticism/reassurance scale. British Journal of
- 478 Clinical Psychology, 52(1), 12-25. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8260.2012.02042.x
- Lieberman, L. S. (2006). Evolutionary and anthropological perspectives on optimal foraging
 in obesogenic environments. Appetite, 47(1), 3-9. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2006.02.011
- 481 Lovibond, S., & Lovibond, P. (1995). Manual for the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (2nd
 482 ed.). Sydney: Psychology Foundation.
- 483 Luyten, P., Sabbe, B., Blatt, S. J., Meganck, S., Jansen, B., De Grave, C., . . . Corveleyn, J.
- 484 (2007). Dependency and self-criticism: relationship with major depressive disorder, severity
- 485 of depression, and clinical presentation. Depression and Anxiety, 24(8), 586-596.

McPherson, K., Marsh, T., & Brown, M. (2007). Tackling obesities: Future choices Modeling future trends in obesity and the impact on health. London: Government Office for
Science.

World Health Organization (2013). Methodology and summary: Country profiles on nutrition,
physical activity and obesity in the 53 WHO European Region Member States Retrieved from:

 $491 \qquad http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/noncommunicable-diseases/obesity.$

World Health Organization (2018). Double burden of malnutrition: Policy brief. Retrieved
from: http://www.who.int/nutrition/double-burden-malnutrition/en/.

Palmeira, L., Pinto-Gouveia, J., Cunha, M., & Carvalho, S. (2017). Finding the link between
internalized weight-stigma and binge eating behaviors in Portuguese adult women with
overweight and obesity: The mediator role of self-criticism and self-reassurance. Eating
Behaviour, 26, 50-54. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2017.01.006

498 Pinto-Gouveia, J., Ferreira, C., & Duarte, C. (2014). Thinness in the pursuit for social safeness:
499 An integrative model of social rank mentality to explain eating psychopathology. Clinical

500 Psychology & Psychotherapy, 21(2), 154-165. doi:10.1002/cpp.1820

Powers, T., Koestner, R., & Zuroff, D. (2007). Self-criticism, goal motivation, and goal
progress. Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 26(7), 826-840.
doi:10.1521/jscp.2007.26.7.826

Puhl, R., & Brownell, K. D. (2001). Obesity, bias, and discrimination. Obesity Research, 8,
788–805. doi:10.1038/oby.2001.108

506 Puhl, R., Moss-Racusin, C., & Schwartz, M. (2007). Internalization of weight bias:
507 Implications for binge eating and emotional well-being. Obesity, 15(1), 19-23.
508 doi:10.1038/oby.2007.521

509 Puhl, R. M., & Heuer, C. A. (2010). Obesity stigma: Important considerations for public health.

510 American Journal of Public Health, 100(6), 1019-1028. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2009.159491

- 511 Shahar, G., Henrich, C. C., Blatt, S. J., Ryan, R., & Little, T. D. (2003). Interpersonal 512 relatedness, self-definition, and their motivational orientation during adolescence: A 513 theoretical and empirical integration. Developmental Psychology, 39(3), 470–483. 514 doi:10.1037/0012-1649.39.3.470
- 515 Stubbs, R. J., Gale, C., Whybrow, S., & Gilbert, P. (2012). The evolutionary inevitability of
- 516 obesity in modern society: implications for behavioral solutions to weight control in the general
- 517 population. In M. P. Martinez & H. Robinson (Eds.), Obesity and Weight Management:
- 518 Challenges, Practices and Health Implications: Novo Publishing.
- Stubbs R.J., & Lavin, J. H. (2013). The challenges of implementing behaviour changes that
 lead to sustained weight management. Nutrition Bulletin, 38, 5-22. doi:10.1111/nbu.12002
- 521 Stubbs, R. J., Morris, L., Pallister, C., Horgan, G., & Lavin, J. H. (2015). Weight outcomes
- 522 audit in 1.3 million adults during their first 3 months' attendance in a commercial weight
- 523 management programme. BMC Public Health, 10(15), 882. doi:10.1186/s12889-015-2225-0
- Stunkard, A., & Messick, S. (1985). The Three-factor Eating Questionnaire to measure dietary
 restraint, disinhibition and hunger. Journal of Psychosomatic Research, 29(1), 71-83.
- 526 doi:10.1016/0022-3999(85)90010-8
- 527 Swanton, K., & Frost, M. (2007). Lightening the load: Tackling overweight and obesity.
- 528 National Heart Forum. Retrieved from:
- 529 http://www.heartforum.org.uk/Publications_NHFreports_Overweightandobesitytool.aspx.).
- 530 Swinburn, B. A., Sacks, G., Hall, K. D., McPherson, K., Finegood, D. T., Moodie, M. L., &
- 531 Gortmaker, S. L. (2011). The global obesity pandemic: Shaped by global drivers and local
- environments. Lancet, 378(9793), 804-814. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60813-1
- 533 Thompson, R., & Zuroff, D. C. (2004). The levels of self-criticism scale: Comparative self-
- 534 criticism and internalized self-criticism. Personality and Individual Differences, 36(2), 419-
- 535 430. doi:10.1016/S0191-8869(03)00106-5

- 536 Westenhoefer, J., Engel, D., Holst, C., Lorenz, J., Peacock, M., Stubbs, J., ... Raats, M. (2013).
- 537 Cognitive and weight-related correlates of flexible and rigid restrained eating behaviour.
- 538 Eating Behaviors, 14, 69-72. doi:10.1016/j.eatbeh.2012.10.015
- 539 Westenhoefer, J., Stunkard, A. J., & Pudel, V. (1999). Validation of the flexible and rigid
- 540 control dimensions of dietary restraint. International Journal of Eating Disorders, 26, 53-64.
- 541 doi:10.1002/(SICI)1098-108X(199907)26:1<53::AID-EAT7>3.0.CO;2-N
- 542

Table 1. Standardized Regression Weights (SRW) and Squared Multiple Correlations (SMC)

	SRW	SMC
Inadequate self		
1. I am easily disappointed with myself.	.68	.46
2. There is a part of me that puts me down.	.70	.49
4. I find it difficult to control my anger and frustration at myself.	.60	.36
6. There is a part of me that feels I am not good enough.	.76	.58
7. I feel beaten down by my own self-critical thoughts.	.78	.61
14. I remember and dwell on my failings.	.75	.56
17. I can't accept failures and setbacks without feeling inadequate.	.67	.45
18. I think I deserve my self-criticism.	.74	.54
20. There is a part of me that wants to get rid of the bits I don't like	.45	.20
Hated self		
9. I have become so angry with myself that I want	.47	.23
to hurt or injure myself		
10. I have a sense of disgust with myself.	.75	.57
12. I stop caring about myself.	.68	.47
15. I call myself names.	.66	.43
22. I do not like being me.	.78	.62
Reassured self		
3. I am able to remind myself of positive things about myself	.61	.37
5. I find it easy to forgive myself.	.45	.20
8. I still like being me.	.76	.58
11. I can still feel lovable and acceptable.	.77	.59
13. I find it easy to like myself.	.81	.65
16. I am gentle and supportive with myself.	.68	.47
21. I encourage myself for the future.	.60	.36
- ·		

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1 Inadequate self	1												
2 Reassured self	53**	1											
3 Hated self	.71**	60**	1										
4 BISS	.64**	53**	.67**	1									
5 Disinhibition	.37**	29**	.34**	.43**	1								
6 Hunger	.30**	22**	.28**	.37**	.61**	1							
7 Restraint	13**	.24**	16**	13**	33**	28**	1						
8 Flexible control	25**	.29**	27**	22**	38**	32**	.73**	1					
9 Rigid control	.13**	.01	.11*	.18**	.13**	.11**	.50**	.37**	1				
10 Binge Eating	.55**	45**	.58**	.60**	.68**	.57**	28**	37**	.13**	1			
11 Depression	.60**	52**	.69**	.59**	.35**	.30**	18**	27**	.06	.54**	1		
12 Anxiety	.48**	31**	.52**	$.50^{**}$.22**	.23**	07	.14**	$.08^{*}$.42**	.71**	1	
13 Stress	.57**	41**	.56**	.52**	.27**	.24**	05	.14**	.13**	.44**	.76**	.73**	1
14 BMI	.23**	19**	.34**	.40**	.19**	.20**	25**	27**	.04	.34**	.28**	.28**	.17**

544Table 2. Correlations between WFSCRS subscales and measures of shame, eating behaviour, psychological adjustment and545BMI.

** p < .001





549 Figure 1. Path model with the association between self-criticism and self-reassurance 550 and BMI mediated by binge eating symptoms and flexible control of eating, with standardized 551 estimates and square multiple correlations.





554 Figure 2. Alternative path model with the association between BMI and self-criticism 555 and reassured self, mediated by binge eating symptoms and flexible control of eating, with 556 standardized estimates and square multiple correlations.