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#### Abstract

The uncertain nonlinear systems can be modeled with fuzzy differential equations (FDEs) and the solutions of these equations are applied to analyze many engineering problems. However, it is very difficult to obtain solutions of FDEs. In this paper, the solutions of FDEs are approximated by utilizing the fuzzy Sumudu transform (FST) method. Significant theorems are suggested in order to explain the properties of FST. The proposed method is validated with three real examples.
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## 1. Introduction

In many physical and dynamical processes, mathematical modeling leads to the deterministic initial and boundary value problems. In practice, the boundary values may be different from crisp and displays in the form of unknown parameters [1]. The qualitative behavior of solutions of the equations is associated with the errors. If the errors are random, in this case, we have a stochastic differential equation along with the random boundary value. Moreover, if the errors are not probabilistic, the fuzzy numbers are substituted by random variables [1,2]. The fuzzy derivative, as well as fuzzy differential equations (FDE), have been discussed in [3,4]. The Peano-like theorems for FDEs, and system of FDE on $R$ (Real line) is investigated in [5]. The first-order fuzzy initial value problem, and the fuzzy partial differential equation, have been studied in [5]. The simulation of the fuzzy system is discussed in [6-11]. The application of numerical techniques for resolving FDEs has been illustrated in [12]. The Lipschitz condition and the theorem for existence and uniqueness of the solution related to FDEs, are discussed in [13-15]. The fractional fuzzy Laplace transformation has been mentioned in [13].

An advanced method to solve FDEs is laid down based on the Sumudu transform. Sumudu transform along with broad applications has been utilized in the area of system engineering and applied physics. Recently, Sumudu transform is popularized in order to solve fractional local differential equations [16-20]. In [21], Sumudu transform is suggested in order to solve fuzzy partial differential equations. Some fundamental theorems along with some properties for Sumudu transform are mentioned in [22]. In [23] the variational iteration technique is proposed utilizing Sumudu transform for solving ordinary equations.

In this paper, we use FST to approximate the solutions of the FDEs. We extend our previous work [24] by generating more theorems for describing the properties of FST. Moreover, the comparison between our method with other numerical methods has been carried out. The FST reduces the FDE to an algebraic equation. A very important property of the FST is that it can solve the equation without resorting to a new frequency domain. By utilizing the proposed technique, the fuzzy boundary value problem can be resolved directly without determining a general solution.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 some definitions which have been used in this paper are given. Section 3 demonstrates the properties of FST. In Section 4 solving FDEs by utilizing

FST approach is described. Three real examples are used to demonstrate the efficiency of the proposed method in Section 5. Section 6 provides the conclusion to the paper.

## 2. Preliminaries

Some concepts related to the fuzzy calculations are laid down in this section $[25,26]$.
Definition 1. A fuzzy number $B$ is a function of $B \in E: R \rightarrow[0,1]$, in such a manner, (1) $B$ is normal, (there exists $a_{0} \in R$ in such a manner $B\left(a_{0}\right)=1$ ); (2) $B$ is convex, $B(\gamma a+(1-\gamma) c) \geq \min \{B(a), B(c)\}$, $\forall a, c \in R, \forall \gamma \in[0,1] ;(3) B$ is upper semi-continuous on $R$, i.e., $B(a) \leq B\left(a_{0}\right)+\epsilon, \forall a \in N\left(a_{0}\right), \forall a_{0} \in R$, $\forall \epsilon>0, N\left(a_{0}\right)$ is a neighborhood; (4) The set $B^{+}=\{a \in R, B(a)>0\}$ is compact.

Definition 2. The r-level of the fuzzy number B is defined as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
[B]^{r}=\{a \in R: B(a) \geq r\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<r \leq 1, B \in E$.
Definition 3. Let $B_{1}, B_{2} \in E$ and $\xi \in R$, the operations addition, subtraction, multiplication and scalar multiplication are defined as

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[B_{1} \oplus B_{2}\right]^{r}=\left[B_{1}\right]^{r}+\left[B_{2}\right]^{r}=\left[\underline{B}_{1}^{r}+\underline{B}_{2}^{r}, \bar{B}_{1}^{r}+\bar{B}_{2}^{r}\right]}  \tag{2}\\
{\left[B_{1} \ominus B_{2}\right]^{r}=\left[B_{1}\right]^{r}-\left[B_{2}\right]^{r}=\left[\underline{B}_{1}^{r}-\underline{B}_{2}^{r}, \bar{B}_{1}^{r}-\bar{B}_{2}^{r}\right]}  \tag{3}\\
{\left[B_{1} \odot B_{2}\right]^{r}=\binom{\min \left\{\underline{B}_{1}^{r} \underline{B}_{2}^{r}, \underline{B}_{1}^{r} \bar{B}_{2}^{r}, \bar{B}_{1}^{r} \bar{B}_{2}^{r}, \bar{B}_{1}^{r} \bar{B}_{2}^{r}\right\}}{\max \left\{\underline{B}_{1}^{r} \underline{B}_{2}^{r}, \underline{B}_{1}^{r} \bar{B}_{2}^{r}, \bar{B}_{1}^{r} \underline{B}_{2}^{r}, \bar{B}_{1}^{r} \bar{B}_{2}^{r}\right\}}}  \tag{4}\\
{\left[\xi B_{1}\right]^{r}=\xi\left[B_{1}\right]^{r}= \begin{cases}\left(\xi \underline{B}_{1}^{r}, \xi \bar{B}_{1}^{r}\right), & \xi \geq 0 \\
\left(\xi \bar{B}_{1}^{r}, \xi \underline{B}_{1}^{r}\right), & \xi \leq 0\end{cases} } \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Definition 4. The Hausdroff distance between two fuzzy numbers $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ is defined as $[27,28]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)=\sup _{0 \leq r \leq 1}\left\{\max \left(\left|\underline{B}_{1}^{r}-\underline{B}_{2}^{r}\right|,\left|\bar{B}_{1}^{r}-\bar{B}_{2}^{r}\right|\right)\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$ has the following properties
(i) $D\left(B_{1} \oplus u, B_{2} \oplus u\right)=D\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right), \forall B_{1}, B_{2}, u \in E$
(ii) $D\left(\xi B_{1}, \xi B_{2}\right)=|\xi| D\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right), \forall \xi \in R, B_{1}, B_{2} \in E$
(iii) $D\left(B_{1} \oplus B_{2}, u \oplus v\right) \leq D\left(B_{1}, u\right)+D\left(B_{2}, v\right), \forall B_{1}, B_{2}, u, v \in E$
(iv) $(D, E)$ is stated as complete metric space.

Definition 5. The function $\psi:\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right] \longrightarrow E$ is integrable on $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]$, if it satisfies in the below mentioned relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a_{1}}^{\infty} \psi(x) d x=\left(\int_{a_{1}}^{\infty} \underline{\psi}(x, r) d x, \int_{a_{1}}^{\infty} \bar{\psi}(x, r) d x\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\psi(x)$ be a fuzzy value function, as well as $q(x)$ be a fuzzy Riemann integrable on $\left[a_{1}, \infty\right]$ so $\psi(x) \oplus q(x)$ can be a fuzzy Riemann integrable on $\left[a_{1}, \infty\right]$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a_{1}}^{\infty}(\psi(x) \oplus q(x)) d x=\int_{a}^{\infty} \psi(x) d x \oplus \int_{a}^{\infty} q(x) d x \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to fuzzy concept or in the case of interval arithmetic, equation $B_{1}=B_{2} \oplus$ s is not equivalent with $s=B_{1} \ominus B_{2}=B_{1} \oplus(-1) B_{2}$ or to $B_{2}=B_{1} \ominus s=B_{1} \oplus(-1) s$ and this is the main reason in introducing the following Hukuhara difference (H-difference).

Definition 6. The definition of $H$-difference [29,30], is proposed by $B_{1} \ominus_{H} B_{2}=s \Longleftrightarrow B_{1}=B_{2} \oplus s$. If $B_{1} \ominus_{H} B_{2}$ prevails, its r-level is $\left[B_{1} \ominus_{H} B_{2}\right]^{r}=\left[\underline{B}_{1}^{r}-\underline{B}_{2}^{r}, \bar{B}_{1}^{r}-\bar{B}_{2}^{r}\right]$. Precisely, $B_{1} \ominus_{H} B_{1}=0$ but $B_{1} \ominus B_{1} \neq 0$.

Definition 7. Suppose $\psi:\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right] \longrightarrow E$ and $x_{0}=\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right] . \psi$ is strongly generalized differentiable at $x_{0}$, if for all $k>0$ adequately minute, $\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \in E$ exists in such a manner that
(i) $\exists \psi\left(x_{0}+k\right) \ominus_{H} \psi\left(x_{0}\right), \psi\left(x_{0}\right) \ominus_{H} \psi\left(x_{0}-k\right)$ and

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\psi\left(x_{0}+k\right) \ominus_{H} \psi\left(x_{0}\right)}{k}=\lim _{k \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\psi\left(x_{0}\right) \ominus_{H} \psi\left(x_{0}-k\right)}{k}=\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

(ii) $\exists \psi\left(x_{0}\right) \ominus_{H} \psi\left(x_{0}+k\right), \psi\left(x_{0}-k\right) \ominus_{H} \psi\left(x_{0}\right)$ and

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\psi\left(x_{0}\right) \ominus_{H} \psi\left(x_{0}+k\right)}{(-k)}=\lim _{k \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\psi\left(x_{0}-k\right) \ominus_{H} \psi\left(x_{0}\right)}{(-k)}=\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

(iii) $\exists \psi\left(x_{0}+k\right) \ominus_{H} \psi\left(x_{0}\right), \psi\left(x_{0}-k\right) \ominus_{H} \psi\left(x_{0}\right)$ and

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\psi\left(x_{0}+k\right) \ominus_{H} \psi\left(x_{0}\right)}{k}=\lim _{k \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\psi\left(x_{0}-k\right) \ominus_{H} \psi\left(x_{0}\right)}{(-k)}=\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

(iv) $\exists \psi\left(x_{0}\right) \ominus_{H} \psi\left(x_{0}+k\right), \psi\left(x_{0}\right) \ominus_{H} \psi\left(x_{0}-k\right)$ and

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\psi\left(x_{0}\right) \ominus_{H} \psi\left(x_{0}+k\right)}{(-k)}=\lim _{k \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\psi\left(x_{0}\right) \ominus_{H} \psi\left(x_{0}-k\right)}{k}=\psi^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

Remark 1. It is clear that case (i) is H-derivative. Furthermore, a function is (i)-differentiable only when it is $H$-derivative.

Remark 2. It can be concluded from [29] that, the definition of differentiability is non contradictory [31].
Let us consider $\psi: R \rightarrow E$ where $\psi(t)$ has a parametric form as $[\psi(t, r)]=[\underline{\psi}(t, r), \bar{\psi}(t, r)]$, for all $0 \leq r \leq 1$, thus [31]
(i) If $\psi$ be (i)-differentiable, so $\underline{\psi}(t, r)$ and $\bar{\psi}(t, r)$ are differentiable functions, moreover $\psi^{\prime}(t)=$ $\left(\underline{\psi^{\prime}}(t, r), \bar{\psi}^{\prime}(t, r)\right)$.
(ii) If $\psi$ be (ii)-differentiable, so $\underline{\psi}(t, r)$ and $\bar{\psi}(t, r)$ are differentiable functions, moreover $\psi^{\prime}(t)=$ $\left(\bar{\psi}^{\prime}(t, r), \underline{\psi}^{\prime}(t, r)\right)$.

Suppose $f:\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \rightarrow R$ is differentiable on $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$, furthermore $\psi^{\prime}$ has finite root in $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$, and $m \in E$, therefore, $\psi(x)=m f(x)$ is strongly generalized differentiable on $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ along with $\psi^{\prime}(x)=m f^{\prime}(x), \forall x \in\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$.

Theorem 1. In [30] Assume $\psi: R \times E \rightarrow E$ is taken to be a continuous fuzzy function. If $x_{0} \in R$, the fuzzy initial value constraint

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi^{\prime}(t)=\psi(x, \phi)  \tag{9}\\
\phi\left(x_{0}\right)=\phi_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is incorporated with two solutions: (i)-differentiable, also (ii)-differentiable. Hence the successive iterations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n+1}(x)=\phi_{0}+\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \psi\left(t, \phi_{n}(t)\right) d t, \quad \forall x \in\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n+1}(x)=\phi_{0} \ominus_{H}(-1) \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \psi\left(t, \phi_{n}(t)\right) d t, \quad \forall x \in\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

approaches towards the two solutions sequentially.

Theorem 2. [29] The FDE is equivalent to a system of ordinary differential equations under generalized differentiability.

## 3. Fuzzy Sumudu Transform

Fuzzy initial and boundary value problems can be resolved by utilizing fuzzy Laplace transform [13]. In this paper, the FST methodology is illustrated; furthermore, the properties of this methodology are stated. By applying the FST methodology, the FDE is reduced to an algebraic equation. The main advantageous of the FST is that it can resolve the equation without resorting to a new frequency domain. The methodology of converting FDEs to an algebraic equation is expressed in [13].

Definition 8. Suppose $\psi(t)$ be a continuous fuzzy value function, also, $\psi(B t) \odot e^{-t}$ be an improper fuzzy Riemann integrable on $[0, \infty)$. Accordingly, $\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(B t) \odot e^{-t} d t$ is expressed as FST and it is defined by $\Omega(B)=\boldsymbol{S}[\psi(t)]=\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(B t) \odot e^{-t} d t$, where $0 \leq B<K, K \geq 0$, also $e^{-t}$ is real valued function. Based on the Theorem 4 we have the following relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(B t) \odot e^{-t} d t=\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \underline{\psi}(B t, r) e^{-t} d t, \int_{0}^{\infty} \bar{\psi}(B t, r) e^{-t} d t\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{S}[\psi(t, r)]=\int_{0}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\psi}(B t, r) e^{-t} d t  \tag{13}\\
& \boldsymbol{S}[\overline{\bar{\psi}}(t, r)]=\int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{\bar{\psi}}(B t, r) e^{-t} d t
\end{align*}
$$

hence we obtain the following relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{S}[\psi(t)]=(\boldsymbol{S}[\underline{\psi}(t, r), \boldsymbol{S} \bar{\psi}(t, r)]) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3. Suppose $\psi^{\prime}(t)$ be a fuzzy value integrable function, as well as $\psi(t)$ be the primitive of $\psi^{\prime}(t)$ on $[0, \infty)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{S}\left[\psi^{\prime}(t)\right]=\frac{1}{B} \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\psi(t)] \ominus\left(\frac{1}{B} \odot[\psi(0)]\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi$ is considered to be (i)-differentiable, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{S}\left[\psi^{\prime}(t)\right]=\frac{-1}{B} \odot[\psi(0)] \ominus\left(\frac{-1}{B} \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\psi(t)]\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi$ is considered to be (ii)-differentiable.
Proof. For arbitrary fixed $r \in[0,1]$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{B} \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\psi(t)] \ominus\left(\frac{1}{B} \odot \psi(0)\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\underline{\psi}(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\underline{\psi}(0, r)], \frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\bar{\psi}(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\bar{\psi}(0, r)]\right) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

We have the following relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{S}\left[\bar{\psi}^{\prime}(t, r)\right]=\frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\bar{\psi}(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B}[\bar{\psi}(0, r)]  \tag{18}\\
& \boldsymbol{S}\left[\underline{\psi}^{\prime}(t, r)\right]=\frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\underline{\psi}(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B}[\underline{\psi}(0, r)]
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{B} \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\psi(t)] \ominus\left(\frac{1}{B} \odot \psi(0)\right)=\left(\boldsymbol{S}\left[\underline{\psi}^{\prime}(t, r)\right], \boldsymbol{S}\left[\bar{\psi}^{\prime}(t, r)\right]\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\psi$ is cosidered to be (i)-differentiable, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{B} \odot S[\psi(t)] \ominus\left(\frac{1}{B} \odot \psi(0)\right)=S\left[\psi^{\prime}(t)\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\psi$ is (ii)-differentiable. For arbitrary fixed $\alpha \in[0,1]$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{-1}{B} \odot[\psi(0)] \ominus\left(\frac{-1}{B} \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\psi(t)]\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{-1}{B} \bar{\psi}(0, r)+\frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\bar{\psi}(t, r)], \frac{-1}{B} \underline{\psi}(0, r)+\frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\underline{\psi}(t, r)]\right) \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

The above equation can be written as the following relation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{-1}{B} \odot[\psi(0)] \ominus\left(\frac{-1}{B} \odot S[\psi(t)]\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{B} S[\bar{\psi}(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \bar{\psi}(0, r), \frac{1}{B} S[\underline{\psi}(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \underline{\psi}(0, r)\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{S}\left[\bar{\psi}^{\prime}(t, r)\right]=\frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\bar{\psi}(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \bar{\psi}(0, r)  \tag{23}\\
& \boldsymbol{S}\left[\underline{\psi}^{\prime}(t, r)\right]=\frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\underline{\psi}(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \underline{\psi}(0, r)
\end{align*}
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{-1}{B} \psi(0)\right) \ominus\left(\frac{-1}{B} \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\psi(t)]\right)=\left(\boldsymbol{S}\left[\psi^{\prime}(t, r)\right], \boldsymbol{S}\left[\underline{\psi}^{\prime}(t, r)\right]\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{-1}{B} \psi(0)\right) \ominus\left(\frac{-1}{B} \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\psi(t)]\right)=\boldsymbol{S}\left(\left[\bar{\psi}^{\prime}(t, r)\right],\left[\underline{\psi}^{\prime}(t, r)\right]\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\psi$ is (ii)-differentiable, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{-1}{B} \psi(0)\right) \ominus\left(\frac{-1}{B} \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\psi(t)]\right)=\boldsymbol{S}\left[\psi^{\prime}(t)\right] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4. Taking into consideration that Sumudu transform is a linear transformation, so if $\psi(t)$ and $\vartheta(t)$ be continuous fuzzy valued functions, moreover $k_{1}$ as well as $k_{2}$ be constant, therefore the following relation can be obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{S}\left[\left(k_{1} \odot \psi(t)\right) \oplus\left(k_{2} \odot \vartheta(t)\right)\right]=\left(k_{1} \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\psi(t)]\right) \oplus\left(k_{2} \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\vartheta(t)]\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{S}\left[\left(k_{1} \odot \psi(t)\right) \oplus\left(k_{2} \odot \vartheta(t)\right)\right]=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(k_{1} \odot \varphi(B t) \oplus k_{2} \odot \vartheta(B t)\right) \odot e^{-t} d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} k_{1} \odot \psi(B t) \odot e^{-t} d t \oplus \int_{0}^{\infty} k_{2} \odot \vartheta(B t) \odot e^{-t} d t  \tag{28}\\
& =k_{1} \odot\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(B t) \odot e^{-t} d t\right) \oplus k_{2} \odot\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \vartheta(B t) \odot e^{-t} d t\right) \\
& =k_{1} \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\psi(t)] \oplus k_{2} \odot S[\vartheta(t)]
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{S}\left[\left(k_{1} \odot \psi(t)\right) \oplus\left(k_{2} \odot \vartheta(t)\right)\right]=\left(k_{1} \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\psi(t)]\right) \oplus\left(k_{2} \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\vartheta(t)]\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1. Assume that the $\psi(t)$ is a continuous fuzzy value function on $[0, \infty)$, also $\gamma \geq 0$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{S}[\gamma \odot \psi(t)]=\gamma \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\psi(t)] \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Fuzzy Sumudu transform $\gamma \odot \psi(t)$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{S}[\gamma \odot \psi(t)]=\int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma \odot \psi(B t) \odot e^{-t} d t \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \gamma \odot \psi(B t) \odot e^{-t} d t=\gamma \odot \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(B t) \odot e^{-t} d t \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{S}[\gamma \odot \psi(t)]=\gamma \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\psi(t)] \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2. Assume that the $\psi(t)$ is a continuous fuzzy value function, and $\vartheta(t) \geq 0$. Furthermore, if we suppose that the $(\psi(t) \odot \vartheta(t)) \odot e^{-t}$ is improper fuzzy Reiman integrable on $[0, \infty)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty}(\psi(B t) \odot \vartheta(B t)) \odot e^{-t} d t \\
& =\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \vartheta(B t) \underline{\psi}(B t, r) e^{-t} d t, \int_{0}^{\infty} \vartheta(B t) \bar{\psi}(B t, r) e^{-t} d t\right) \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 5. Suppose $\psi(t)$ is a continuous fuzzy value function, also $\boldsymbol{S}[\psi(t)]=D(B)$, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{S}\left[e^{a_{1} t} \odot \psi(t)\right]=\frac{1}{1-a_{1} B} D\left(\frac{B}{1-a_{1} B}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e^{a_{1} t}$ is considered to be a real value function, also $1-a_{1} B>0$.
Proof. We have the following relation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{S}\left[e^{a_{1} t} \odot \psi(t)\right]=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{a_{1} B t} e^{-t} \psi(B t) d t \\
& =\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\left(1-a_{1} B\right) t} \underline{\psi}(B t, r) d t, \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\left(1-a_{1} B\right) t} \bar{\psi}(B t, r) d t\right) \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us consider $z=1-a_{1} B t$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& S\left[e^{a_{1} t} \odot \psi(t)\right]=\frac{1}{1-a_{1} B}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left.\psi\left(\frac{B z}{1-a_{1} B}, r\right) e^{-z} d z, \int_{0}^{\infty} \bar{\psi}\left(\frac{B z}{1-a_{1} B}, r\right) e^{-z} d z\right)}{=\left\{\frac{1}{1-a_{1} B} \underline{D}\left(\frac{B}{1-a_{1} B}\right), \frac{1}{1-a_{1} B} \bar{D}\left(\frac{B}{1-a_{1} B}\right)\right\}=\frac{1}{1-a_{1} B} D\left(\frac{B}{1-a_{1} B}\right)}\right. \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. Solving Fuzzy Initial Value Problem with Fuzzy Sumudu Transform Method

Consider the following fuzzy initial value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi^{\prime}(t)=\psi(t, \phi(t)),  \tag{38}\\
\phi(0)=(\underline{\phi}(0, r), \bar{\phi}(0, r)), \quad 0<r \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\psi(t, \phi(t))$ is a fuzzy function. The fuzzy function $\psi(t, \phi(t))$ is the mapping of $\psi: R \times E \rightarrow E$. By utilizing FST method, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{S}\left[\phi^{\prime}(t)\right]=\boldsymbol{S}[\psi(t, \phi(t))] \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resolving process of Equation (39) is based on the following cases.
Case 1: Assume that the $\phi^{\prime}(t)$ is (i)-differentiable. Based on the Theorem 4 we extract

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}(t)=\left(\underline{\phi}^{\prime}(t, r), \bar{\phi}^{\prime}(t, r)\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{S}\left[\phi^{\prime}(t)\right]=\left(\frac{1}{B} \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\phi(t)]\right) \ominus \frac{1}{B} \phi(0) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (41) can be displayed as following relation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S[\psi(t, \phi(t), r)]=\frac{1}{B} S[\phi(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \phi(0, \alpha)  \tag{42}\\
S[\bar{\psi}(t, \phi(t), r)]=\frac{1}{B} S[\overline{\bar{\phi}}(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \overline{\bar{\phi}}(0, r)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\psi(t, \phi(t), r)=\min \{\psi(t, B) \mid B \in(\underline{\phi}(t, r), \bar{\phi}(t, r))\}  \tag{43}\\
\overline{\bar{\psi}}(t, \phi(t), r)=\max \{\psi(t, B) \mid B \in(\underline{\phi}(t, r), \bar{\phi}(t, r))\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Accordingly, Equation (43) can be resolved on the basis of the following assumptions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{S}[\underline{\phi}(t, r)]=U_{1}(B, r)  \tag{44}\\
& \boldsymbol{S}[\bar{\phi}(t, r)]=U_{2}(B, r) \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

where $U_{1}(B, r)$, as well as $U_{2}(B, r)$ are the solutions of the Equation (43). By applying inverse Sumudu transform, $\underline{\phi}(t, r)$ as well as $\bar{\phi}(t, r)$ are computed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{\phi}(t, r)=S^{-1}\left[U_{1}(B, r)\right]  \tag{46}\\
& \bar{\phi}(t, r)=S^{-1}\left[U_{2}(B, r)\right] \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

Case 2: Assume that the $\phi^{\prime}(t)$ is (ii)-differentiable. Based on the Theorem 4 we extract

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi^{\prime}(t)=\left(\bar{\phi}^{\prime}(t, r), \underline{\phi}^{\prime}(t, r)\right)  \tag{48}\\
\boldsymbol{S}\left[\phi^{\prime}(t)\right]=\left(\frac{-1}{B} \odot \phi(0)\right) \ominus\left(\frac{-1}{B} \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\phi(t)]\right) \tag{49}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation (49) can be displayed as following relation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{S}[\underline{\psi}(t, \phi(t), r)]=\frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\phi(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \phi(0, r)  \tag{50}\\
\boldsymbol{S}[\overline{\bar{\psi}}(t, \phi(t), r)]=\frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\overline{\bar{\phi}}(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \overline{\bar{\phi}}(0, r)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\psi(t, \phi(t), r)=\min \{\psi(t, B) \mid B \in(\underline{\phi}(t, r), \bar{\phi}(t, r))\}  \tag{51}\\
\overline{\bar{\psi}}(t, \phi(t), r)=\max \{\psi(t, B) \mid B \in(\underline{\phi}(t, r), \bar{\phi}(t, r))\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Accordingly, Equation (51) can be resolved on the basis of the following assumptions

$$
\begin{align*}
& S\left(\phi(t, r)=V_{1}(B, r)\right.  \tag{52}\\
& S\left(\overline{\bar{\phi}}(t, r)=V_{2}(B, r)\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where $V_{1}(B, r)$, and $V_{2}(B, r)$ are the solutions of the Equation (51). By applying inverse Sumudu transform, $\underline{\phi}(t, r)$ as well as $\bar{\phi}(t, r)$ are computed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi(t, r)=S^{-1}\left[V_{1}(B, r)\right]  \tag{53}\\
& \overline{\bar{\phi}}(t, r)=S^{-1}\left[V_{2}(B, r)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

## 5. Examples

The following examples have been used to narrate the methodology proposed in this paper.

Example 1. A tank with a heating system is displayed in Figure 1, where $\tilde{R}=0.5$, the thermal capacitance is $\tilde{C}=2$ also the temperature is $\psi$. The model is formulated as follows [13,32],

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi^{\prime}(t)=-\frac{1}{\bar{R} \tilde{C}} \phi(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T  \tag{54}\\
\phi(0)=(\underline{\phi}(0, r), \bar{\phi}(0, r))
\end{array}\right.
$$

By utilizing the FST method, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{S}\left[\phi^{\prime}(t)\right]=\boldsymbol{S}[-\phi(t)]  \tag{55}\\
\boldsymbol{S}\left[\phi^{\prime}(t)\right]=\int_{0}^{\infty} \phi^{\prime}(B t) \odot e^{-t} d t \tag{56}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $0 \leq B<K$. If $\phi(t)$ is (i)-differentiable and case 1 holds, we extract

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left[\phi^{\prime}(t)\right]=\frac{1}{B} \odot(S[\phi(t)] \ominus \phi(0))=\frac{1}{B} S[\phi(t)] \ominus \frac{1}{B} \phi(0) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. Thermal system.

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
-S[\phi(t)]=\frac{1}{B} S[\phi(t)] \ominus \frac{1}{B} \phi(0) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on the Equation (42), we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\boldsymbol{S}[\bar{\phi}(t, r)]=\frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\underline{\phi}(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \phi(0, r)  \tag{59}\\
-\boldsymbol{S}[\underline{\phi}(t, r)]=\frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\overline{\bar{\phi}}(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \overline{\bar{\phi}}(0, r)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore, the solution of Equation (59) is as follows

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S[\bar{\phi}(t, r)]=\left(\frac{-1}{B^{2}-1}\right) \bar{\phi}(0, r)+\left(\frac{B}{B^{2}-1}\right) \phi(0, r)  \tag{60}\\
S[\underline{\phi}(t, r)]=\left(\frac{-1}{B^{2}-1}\right) \underline{\phi}(t, r)+\left(\frac{B}{B^{2}-1}\right) \bar{\phi}(0, r)
\end{array}\right.
$$

By utilizing the inverse Sumudu transform we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S[\bar{\phi}(t, r)]=\bar{\phi}(0, r) S^{-1}\left(\frac{-1}{B^{2}-1}\right)+\phi(0, r) S^{-1}\left(\frac{B}{B^{2}-1}\right)  \tag{61}\\
S[\underline{\phi}(t, r)]=\underline{\phi}(0, r) S^{-1}\left(\frac{-1}{B^{2}-1}\right)+\bar{\phi}(0, r) S^{-1}\left(\frac{B}{B^{2}-1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{\phi}(t, r)=e^{t}\left(\frac{\bar{\phi}(0, r)-\phi(0, r)}{2}\right)+e^{-t}\left(\frac{\bar{\phi}(0, r)+\underline{\phi}(0, r)}{2}\right)  \tag{62}\\
\underline{\phi}(t, r)=e^{t}\left(\frac{\underline{\phi}(0, r)-\bar{\phi}(0, r)}{2}\right)+e^{-t}\left(\frac{\phi(0, r)+\bar{\phi}(0, r)}{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now if $\phi(t)$ be (ii)-differentiable and case 2 holds, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{S}\left[\phi^{\prime}(t)\right]=\left(\frac{-1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\phi(t)]\right) \ominus\left(\frac{-1}{B} \phi(0)\right) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\boldsymbol{S}[\phi(t)]=\left(\frac{-1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\phi(t)]\right) \ominus\left(\frac{-1}{B} \phi(0)\right) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on the above relations, Equation (54) can be written as follows

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-S[\phi(t, r)]=\frac{1}{B} S[\phi(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \phi(0, r)  \tag{65}\\
-S[\overline{\bar{\phi}}(t, r)]=\frac{1}{B} S[\overline{\bar{\phi}}(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \overline{\bar{\phi}}(0, r)
\end{array}\right.
$$

So, the solution of Equation (65) is displayed as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
S[\phi(t, r)]=\phi(0, r)\left(\frac{1}{B+1}\right)  \tag{66}\\
S[\bar{\phi}(t, r)]=\overline{\bar{\phi}}(t, r)\left(\frac{1}{B+1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

By utilizing the inverse Sumudu transform, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi(t, r)=\phi(0, r) S^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{B+1}\right)  \tag{67}\\
\overline{\bar{\phi}}(t, r)=\overline{\bar{\phi}}(0, r) S^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{B+1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi(t, r)=e^{-t} \phi(0, r)  \tag{68}\\
\overline{\bar{\phi}}(t, r)=e^{-t} \overline{\bar{\phi}}(0, r)
\end{array}\right.
$$

If the initial condition be a symmetric triangular fuzzy number as $\phi(0)=(-a(1-r), a(1-r))$, then the following cases will hold

Case 1:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\phi(t, r)=e^{t}(-a(1-r))  \tag{69}\\
\bar{\phi}(t, r)=e^{t}(a(1-r))
\end{array}\right.
$$

Case 2:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\phi(t, r)=e^{-t}(-a(1-r))  \tag{70}\\
\bar{\phi}(t, r)=e^{-t}(a(1-r))
\end{array}\right.
$$

Corresponding solution plots are displayed in Figures 2 and 3. Corresponding error plots are shown in Figure 4. These errors are the differences of the exact and the approximation solutions for two different methods: FST and Average Euler method [33]. FST is more accurate than the Average Euler method.


Figure 2. The solution of fuzzy differential equations (FDE) under case 1 consideration.


Figure 3. The solution of FDE under case 2 consideration.


Figure 4. The lower and upper bounds of absolute errors.

Example 2. A tank system is displayed in Figure 5. Suppose $I=t+1$ is inflow disturbances of the tank that generates vibration in liquid level $\phi$, also $H=1$ is the flow obstruction, which can be curbed utilizing the valve. $Q=1$ is the cross section of the tank. The liquid level is illustrated as following relation [34],

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\phi^{\prime}(t) & =-\frac{1}{Q H} \phi(t)+\frac{I}{Q}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T  \tag{71}\\
\phi(0) & =(\underline{\phi}(0, r), \bar{\phi}(0, r))
\end{align*}\right.
$$

By utilizing the FST method we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\boldsymbol{S}[\phi(t)]=\left(\frac{1}{B} \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\phi(t)]\right) \ominus\left(\frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\phi(0)]\right)  \tag{72}\\
\boldsymbol{S}\left[\phi^{\prime}(t)\right]\left(\int_{a_{1}}^{\infty} \phi^{\prime}(B t) e^{-t} d t\right. \tag{73}
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 5. Liquid tank system.

The following relation is extracted by taking into consideration case 2

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{S}\left[\phi^{\prime}(t)\right]=\left(\frac{-1}{B} \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\phi(t)]\right) \ominus\left(\frac{-1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\phi(0)]\right) \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\boldsymbol{S}[\phi(t)]+\boldsymbol{S}[t]+\boldsymbol{S}[1]=\left(\frac{-1}{B} \odot \boldsymbol{S}[\phi(t)]\right) \ominus\left(\frac{-1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\phi(0)]\right) \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Based on the Equation (42), we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\boldsymbol{S}[\phi(t, r)]+\boldsymbol{S}[t]+\boldsymbol{S}[1]=\frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\phi(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \phi(0, r)  \tag{76}\\
-\boldsymbol{S}[\overline{\bar{\phi}}(t, r)]+\boldsymbol{S}[t]+\boldsymbol{S}[1]=\frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\overline{\bar{\phi}}(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \overline{\bar{\phi}}(0, r)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Therefore, the solution of Equation (76) is extracted as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{S}[\underline{\phi}(t, r)]=\boldsymbol{S}[t]+\boldsymbol{S}[1]+\frac{-1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\phi(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \phi(0, r)  \tag{77}\\
\boldsymbol{S}[\bar{\phi}(t, r)]=\boldsymbol{S}[t]+\boldsymbol{S}[1]+\frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\bar{\phi}(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \frac{1}{\phi}(0, r)
\end{array}\right.
$$

hence,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{S}[\phi(t, r)]=\left(\frac{1}{B+1}\right) \phi(0, r)+B  \tag{78}\\
\boldsymbol{S}[\overline{\bar{\phi}}(t, r)]=\left(\frac{1}{B+1}\right) \overline{\bar{\phi}}(t, r)+B
\end{array}\right.
$$

By utilizing the inverse Sumudu transform, we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi(t, r)=\phi(0, r) S^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{B+1}\right)+S^{-1}(B)  \tag{79}\\
\bar{\phi}(t, r)=\overline{\bar{\phi}}(0, r) S^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{B+1}\right)+S^{-1}(B)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi(t, r)=e^{-t} \phi(0, r)+t  \tag{80}\\
\overline{\bar{\phi}}(t, r)=e^{-t} \overline{\bar{\phi}}(0, r)+t
\end{array}\right.
$$

If the initial condition is taken to be a symmetric triangular fuzzy number as $\phi(0)=(-a(1-r), a(1-r))$, so

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi(t, r)=e^{-t}(-a(1-r))+t  \tag{81}\\
\overline{\bar{\phi}}(t, r)=e^{-t}(a(1-r))+t
\end{array}\right.
$$

Corresponding solution plot is displayed in Figure 6.


Figure 6. The solution of FDE under case 2 consideration.

Example 3. The nuclear decay equation can be described as [30],

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
N^{\prime}(t) & =-\lambda N(t)  \tag{82}\\
N(0) & =(\underline{N}(0, r), \bar{N}(0, r))
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $N(t)$ is considered to be the number of radionuclides present, $\lambda$ is state as the decay constant, also $N_{0}$ is taken to be the initial number of radionuclides. Let $N_{0}$ be a fuzzy number. By utilizing the FST method the following outcomes can be demonstrated

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{S}\left[N^{\prime}(t)\right]=\boldsymbol{S}[-\lambda N(t)]=-\lambda \boldsymbol{S}[N(t)]  \tag{83}\\
\boldsymbol{S}\left[N^{\prime}(t)\right]=\int_{a}^{\infty} N^{\prime}(s t) e^{-t} d t \tag{84}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $N(t)$ is (ii)-differentiable and case 2 holds, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left[N^{\prime}(t)\right]=\left(\frac{-1}{B} S[N(t)]\right) \ominus\left(\frac{-1}{B} N(0)\right) \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\lambda \boldsymbol{S}[N(t)]=\left(\frac{-1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[N(t)]\right) \ominus\left(\frac{-1}{B} N(0)\right) \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Equation (42), we will have the below mentioned relation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\lambda \boldsymbol{S}[\underline{N}(t, r)]=\frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\underline{N}(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \underline{N}(0, r)  \tag{87}\\
-\lambda \boldsymbol{S}[\overline{\mathcal{N}}(t, r)]=\frac{1}{B} \boldsymbol{S}[\bar{N}(t, r)]-\frac{1}{B} \overline{\bar{N}}(0, r)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, the solution of Equation (87) is as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{S}[\underline{N}(t, r)]\left(\lambda-\frac{1}{B}\right)=\frac{1}{B} \underline{N}(0, r)  \tag{88}\\
\boldsymbol{S}[\bar{N}(t, r)]\left(\lambda-\frac{1}{B}\right)=\frac{1}{B} \bar{N}(t, r)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus we extract

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
S[\underline{N}(t, r)] & =\frac{1}{-\lambda B+1} \underline{N}(0, r)  \tag{89}\\
S[\bar{N}(t, r)] & =\frac{1}{-\lambda B+1} \bar{N}(t, r)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

So, by utilizing the inverse Sumudu transform the following outcomes can be observed

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\underline{N}(t, r)=\underline{N}(0, r) S^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{-\lambda B+1}\right)  \tag{90}\\
\overline{\bar{N}}(t, r)=\overline{\bar{N}}(0, r) S^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{-\lambda B+1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\underline{N}(t, r) & =e^{-\lambda t} N(0, r)  \tag{91}\\
\bar{N}(t, r) & =e^{-\lambda t} \overline{\bar{N}}(0, r)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Let $\lambda=1$ and $N_{0}=(1,2,5)$, then

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\underline{N}(0, r) & =(1+r)  \tag{92}\\
\bar{N}(0, r) & =(5-3 r)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

So

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\underline{N}(t, r) & =e^{-t}(1+r)  \tag{93}\\
\bar{N}(t, r) & =e^{-t}(5-3 r)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Corresponding solution plot is displayed in Figure 7.


Figure 7. The solution of the nuclear decay equation under case 2 consideration.

## 6. Conclusions

In this paper, the utilization of FST results in the solution of the first order FDEs in such a manner that it is clarified by using the notion of strongly generalized differentiability. By implementing the methodology of FST, the FDE reduces to an algebraic problem. Some theorems are given to illustrate the properties of the FST. The novel method is validated by three real examples. Numerical experiments along with comparisons demonstrate the excellent behavior of the proposed method. This work makes a significant contribution in initializing a superior starting point for such extensions. Future work involves studying the application of this method in solving FDEs where the uncertainties are in the form of Z-numbers.
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