

This is a repository copy of Suitability of Who Criteria for Quality Assessment in Teenage Boys Undergoing Sperm Banking for Fertility Preservation Prior to Potentially Gonadotoxic Treatment.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/154991/

Version: Accepted Version

## **Proceedings Paper:**

Friend, A orcid.org/0000-0001-9864-5605, Newton, H, Cotterill, M et al. (4 more authors) (2019) Suitability of Who Criteria for Quality Assessment in Teenage Boys Undergoing Sperm Banking for Fertility Preservation Prior to Potentially Gonadotoxic Treatment. In: Pediatric Blood and Cancer. International Society for Paediatric Oncology Annual Congress, 23-26 Oct 2019, Lyon, France. Wiley.

https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.27989

© 2019 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: (2019), SIOP ABSTRACTS. Pediatr Blood Cancer, 66: e27989. , which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.27989. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

## Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

## **Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[Title] Suitability of WHO Criteria for Quality Assessment in Teenage Boys Undergoing Sperm Banking for Fertility Preservation Prior to Potentially Gonadotoxic Treatment.

[Background] Semen quality as classified by 2010 WHO criteria is validated against data from males aged 17 and older. A validated reference range does not exist in younger males.

[Methods]We present a series of 62 ≤17year old males who stored sperm prior to gonadotoxic treatment between 2008 and 2017. Data were collected retrospectively and analysed by age, ethnicity and indication for banking using STATA 14 statistics software.

[Results] Mean age at storage was 16.0years (SEM 0.2, range 13-17). 4.8% of males were aged 13, 5.8% were 14, 4.4% were 15, 15.6% were 16, 22.6% were 17. White British was the largest ethnic group (66.1%), South East Asian accounted for 3.6%. The indication for storage was cancer in 91.9%. (Sarcoma (31.0%), Lymphoma (27.6%), Testicular (17.2%), Leukaemia (13.8%), CNS (5.2%) and Renal, Thyroid and Unknown Primary (1.7%)).

Applying the 2010 WHO criteria, 20.5% of samples at storage met the normal parameters. Analysis according to age revealed that; 0% of samples from 13 and 14 olds reached normal threshold. 20.0%, 17.6% and 33.3% did so in 15, 16 and 17 year olds respectively.

The likelihood of meeting WHO criteria increased with each increasing year of age, OR 2.3 (95% C.I. 1.0-5.3). Ethnicity, diagnosis, and previous orchidectomy had no significant impact.

**[Conclusion]** Despite limitations, we confirm sperm storage is possible in males younger than 18 years old, and in this population, age at storage conveys the greatest bearing on whether the sample meets the WHO parameters.

Pre- and post-treatment analysis is complex for this age group, for whom the 2010 WHO criteria is not validated. Given the time lag between pre- and post-treatment semen analysis the impact of treatment may be underestimated if patients were classified as storing an abnormal sample when in fact their semen parameters were normal for their age group.